

A deterministic proof of Loewner energy reversibility via local reversals

Jinwoo Sung*

Abstract

We give a new proof of the orientation reversibility of chordal Loewner energy by reversing the orientation of a chord in small increments. This fact was first proved by Yilin Wang [Wan19a] using the reversibility of chordal Schramm–Loewner evolution (SLE). Our method is similar in spirit to Dapeng Zhan’s proof [Zha08] of chordal SLE reversibility, though it is purely deterministic.

1 Introduction

Let γ be a simple curve from 0 to ∞ in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$. We parameterize γ by $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$ so that, if $g_t : \mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma(0, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is the unique conformal map with the normalization $g_t(z) - z \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, then the expansion $g_t(z) = z + 2a_t z^{-1} + O(z^{-2})$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ satisfies $a_t = t$ for every $t > 0$. By Carathéodory’s theorem, we can extend g_t to the prime ends of $\mathbb{R} \cup \gamma(0, t]$. Let $\lambda_t := g_t(\gamma(t))$, which we call the *driving function* of γ . The *Loewner energy* of γ is defined as the Dirichlet energy of its driving function: i.e.,

$$I_{\mathbb{H};0,\infty}(\gamma) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |\dot{\lambda}_t|^2 dt. \quad (1.1)$$

Loewner energy was introduced in independent works by Friz and Shekar [FS17] and Yilin Wang [Wan19a]. The latter was in the context of large deviations for a family of random curves called the chordal Schramm–Loewner evolution (SLE_κ) as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$, which was also considered in [Dub07, Section 9.3]. Loewner energy has since been identified in terms of various geometric and probabilistic quantities, hinting at a deeper connection between these fields. We refer the reader to the survey articles [Wan22, Wan24] for various perspectives on Loewner energy.

If $D \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain and γ is a crosscut (henceforth called a *chord*) from a prime end a to another prime end b , it is natural given the conformal invariance of chordal SLE_κ to define the Loewner energy of γ as

$$I_{D,a,b}(\gamma) := I_{\mathbb{H},0,\infty}(\varphi(\gamma)) \quad (1.2)$$

where $\varphi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is a conformal transformation with $\varphi(x) = 0$ and $\varphi(y) = \infty$. It is straightforward to check that the definition does not depend on the choice of such a map φ .

*University of Chicago. jsung@math.uchicago.edu

A fundamental property of Loewner energy, called *reversibility*, is that the Loewner energy of a chord does not depend on the choice of its orientation. It is not obvious a priori that the two quantities are related. If γ is a chord in \mathbb{H} going from 0 to ∞ , let γ^R denote the same chord in the reverse orientation, going from ∞ to 0 in \mathbb{H} . If we denote the inversion map $z \mapsto -1/z$ by ι , we see from (1.2) that $I_{\mathbb{H},\infty,0}(\gamma^R) = I_{\mathbb{H},0,\infty}(\iota(\gamma^R)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |\dot{\lambda}_t^R|^2 dt$ where λ_t^R is the driving function corresponding to the curve $\iota(\gamma^R)$. The map $(\lambda_t)_{t \in [0,\infty)} \mapsto (\lambda_t^R)_{t \in [0,\infty)}$ induced by reversing of the chord is rather intricate and there does not exist an explicit formula except for extremely simple examples. Nevertheless, Wang showed in [Wan19a] that the Loewner energy of γ^R is equal to that of γ . The purpose of this article is to give another proof of this fact.

Theorem 1.1. *Let D be a simply connected domain and let a and b be any two distinct prime ends of D . For a chord γ in D from a to b , let γ^R be the same chord with its orientation reversed to trace from b to a . Then, $I_{D;a,b}(\gamma) = I_{D;b,a}(\gamma^R)$.*

1.1 Proof overview

We first describe previous proofs of Theorem 1.1 and explain how ours differs from them. The original proof in [Wan19a] relied upon the reversibility of chordal SLE_κ for $\kappa \in (0, 4]$, which was first established in [Zha08] and proved subsequently with different methods in [MS16,LY21]. By interpreting Loewner energy $I_{\mathbb{H};0,\infty}$ as the large deviation rate function as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ for chordal SLE_κ in \mathbb{H} from 0 to ∞ , Wang showed that the orientation reversal of a finite-energy chord must have the same Loewner energy.

Wang gave a deterministic proof of Loewner energy reversibility in [Wan19b] through an identification between Loewner energy $I_{\mathbb{H},0,\infty}(\gamma)$ and the Dirichlet energy of $\log |f'|$, where f is the uniformizing map on each component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma$. The reversibility of Loewner energy follows immediately since the uniformizing map f does not depend on the orientation of the chord γ . Based on this result, she revealed in the same work an unexpected link between Loewner chains, conformal welding, and the Kähler geometry of the Weil–Petersson Teichmüller space.

On the other hand, our proof of Theorem 1.1 uses directly the definition (1.1) of Loewner energy in terms of the driving function. The notable difference in our approach is that rather than “lifting” γ to the space of chords without orientation, we consider a “continuous” reversal of its orientation.

For a Jordan arc $\eta : (0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ with $\eta(0) = 0$ and driving function λ , we define the *partial Loewner energy* of the curve η as $I_{\mathbb{H};0,\infty}(\eta) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\dot{\lambda}_t|^2 dt$. We extend this definition to other simply connected domains by conformal invariance as in (1.2). This allows us to consider the energy of a chord with its orientation reversed midway. For instance, If $\gamma = \gamma_1 \oplus \gamma_2$ is a chord in D from a to b where γ_1 and γ_2 meet at $z \in D$, then we can ask if $I_{D;a,z}(\gamma_1) + I_{D \setminus \gamma_1;b,z}(\gamma_2^R)$ equals $I_{D;a,b}(\gamma)$. Our heuristic is to show that this identity holds as we gradually move z along γ from b to a . The following local commutation relation derived from the Loewner equation is at the heart of our approach.

Theorem 1.2 ([Wan19a, Cor. 4.4]). *Let D be a simply connected domain and a, b be distinct prime ends. Suppose $\gamma : (0, t] \rightarrow D$ and $\eta : (0, s] \rightarrow D$ are simple curves with $\gamma(0) = a$ and*

$\eta(0) = b$. If $\gamma \cap \eta = \emptyset$, then

$$I_{D;a,b}(\gamma) + I_{D \setminus \gamma; b, \gamma(t)}(\eta) = I_{D;b,a}(\eta) + I_{D \setminus \eta; a, \eta(s)}(\gamma). \quad (1.3)$$

In other words, we can compute the joint Loewner energy of γ and η by computing the energy of either γ or η first, then adding the energy of the other curve in the complement of the first. The main restriction in Theorem 1.2 is that the curves γ and η cannot intersect, even at their endpoints. Suppose that this relation extended to the case where the two curves meet at their endpoints. Then, for two curves γ and η as in the setup of Theorem 1.2 and a chord ω in $D \setminus (\gamma \cup \eta)$ running from $\gamma(t)$ to $\eta(s)$, we would have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{D;a,b}(\gamma \oplus \omega) + I_{D \setminus (\gamma \cup \omega); b, \eta(s)}(\eta) &= I_{D;a,b}(\gamma) + I_{D \setminus \gamma; \gamma(t), b}(\omega) + I_{D \setminus (\gamma \cup \omega); b, \eta(s)}(\eta) \\ &= I_{D;a,b}(\gamma) + I_{D \setminus \gamma; b, \gamma(t)}(\eta) + I_{D \setminus (\gamma \cup \eta); \gamma(t), \eta(s)}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$I_{D;a,b}(\gamma) + I_{D \setminus \gamma; b, \gamma(t)}(\eta) + I_{D \setminus (\gamma \cup \eta); \eta(s), \gamma(t)}(\omega^{\mathbb{R}}) = I_{D;a,b}(\gamma) + I_{D \setminus \gamma; b, \gamma(t)}(\eta \oplus \omega^{\mathbb{R}}).$$

If we could furthermore show that $I_{D \setminus (\gamma \cup \eta); \gamma(t), \eta(s)}(\omega)$ and $I_{D \setminus (\gamma \cup \eta); \eta(s), \gamma(t)}(\omega^{\mathbb{R}})$ are approximately equal for small ω , then we can reverse a given chord in small increments while keeping the difference in Loewner energy small. This is indeed our approach, except that, instead of $\omega^{\mathbb{R}}$, we replace ω with a chord with zero energy in the same direction and endpoints as $\omega^{\mathbb{R}}$. Here are further details of our proof strategy. The terms appearing below are standard in the theory of Loewner chains, but they are also defined carefully in Section 2.

- Instead of chords in \mathbb{H} from 0 to ∞ , we consider those in \mathbb{H} between two points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. These chords have finite half-plane capacity. Let γ be such a chord going from x to y parameterized by half-plane capacity on the interval $[0, T]$.
- We define the local reversal operation on γ where we replace the segment $\gamma[t - \varepsilon, t]$ with the hyperbolic geodesic going from $\gamma(t)$ to $\gamma(t - \varepsilon)$ in $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma([0, t - \varepsilon] \cup [t, T])$. We apply this operation inductively, starting from the terminal point $t = T$ and reducing t by ε in each step, to obtain a chord $\hat{\gamma}^\varepsilon$ going from y to x . By the commutation relation (1.3), the Loewner energy of $\hat{\gamma}^\varepsilon$ is less than or equal to that of γ .
- We consider the uniformizing maps $g : \mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ and $g^\varepsilon : \mathbb{H} \setminus \hat{\gamma}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ that satisfy $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} (g(z) - z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} (g^\varepsilon(z) - z) = 0$. Using standard estimates for these maps, we show that $g^\varepsilon \rightarrow g$ uniformly on some neighborhood of ∞ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Equivalently, the unbounded component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \hat{\gamma}^\varepsilon$ converges in the Carathéodory sense to that of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma$. Using the lower semicontinuity of $I_{\mathbb{H}; y, x}$ with respect to this topology, we show that $I_{\mathbb{H}; y, x}(\gamma^{\mathbb{R}}) \leq I_{\mathbb{H}; x, y}(\gamma)$. The equality between the two energies follows by considering $\gamma^{\mathbb{R}}$ in place of γ .

The strategy of considering bounded chords and reversing their orientation in small capacity increments appeared in Zhan's proof of SLE reversibility [Zha08] (see also [Dub09]), which itself was based on the local commutation relations for SLE [Dub07]. In fact, our motivation comes from a search for an analogous proof for Loewner energy reversibility as suggested in [Wan19a]. The local reversal operation in Zhan's proof takes in a small segment of an SLE chord and

replaces it with an independently resampled SLE segment in the reverse direction. In contrast, we use hyperbolic geodesics in the reverse direction as replacements. This “surgery” technique where we replace a segment of a Jordan curve with the hyperbolic geodesic in the slit domain appeared previously in [RW21]. The idea of considering the Carathéodory topology on the hulls generated by the chords (instead of the Hausdorff topology on chords, as in [Zha08]) comes from the reformulation of Zhan’s SLE reversibility proof by Lawler and Yearwood in [LY21].

The final stages of the SLE reversibility proofs in [Zha08, LY21] consist of checking that their sequence of couplings $(\gamma, \hat{\gamma}^\varepsilon)$, whose marginal distributions are SLE curves from x to y and from y to x , converges to (γ, γ^R) weakly (Zhan) or in total variation distance (Lawler and Yearwood). This probabilistic technique cannot be adapted directly to our deterministic setting. We overcome this difficulty by exploiting the lower semicontinuity of Loewner energy in the Carathéodory topology.

Here is an overview for the rest of this paper. In Section 2, we introduce the Carathéodory topology on chords and describe its relation to the Hausdorff topology. We show that Loewner energy is lower semicontinuous with respect to Carathéodory topology, and give estimates that can be used to show convergence in Carathéodory topology. Using these tools, we present in Section 3 the proof of Theorem 1.1 using the local reversal operation.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Yilin Wang for introducing the theory of Loewner energy and the problem during a course given at the Cornell Probability Summer School, and wish to extend the gratitude to the organizers of the program as well. We thank Greg Lawler and Stephen Yearwood for explaining their proof of SLE reversibility, and Yilin Wang and Catherine Wolfram for their helpful comments on an earlier draft of this article. Much of the current work was completed during the Thematic Program on Randomness and Geometry at the Fields Institute, to which the author thanks for their hospitality. The author is partially supported by a fellowship from Kwanjeong Educational Foundation.

2 Carathéodory topology on bounded chords

Given a simply connected domain $D \subsetneq \hat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and distinct prime ends a and b , we define $\mathcal{X}(D; a, b)$ as the space of chords in D (i.e., a Jordan arc γ in D with $\partial\gamma \subset \partial D$) from a to b . We consider these chords modulo orientation-preserving reparameterizations. In particular, $\mathcal{X}(D; a, b)$ and $\mathcal{X}(D; b, a)$ differ in the orientations of the chords. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, a natural topology on $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ from the perspective of Loewner chains is that induced by the Carathéodory topology on the hull created by the chords.

In this section, we first set up some notations on the mapping-out functions appearing in Loewner chains and give a few estimates on it. We then give a precise definition of the Carathéodory topology on bounded hulls and give a metrization of this topology in terms of the mapping-out functions (Lemma 2.3) to be used in the proof of the main theorem.

Our main goal in this section is Proposition 2.8, which states that Loewner energy is lower semicontinuous with respect to the Carathéodory topology on $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$. This is based on a stronger statement proved in [PW24] that $I_{\mathbb{H}; x, y}$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to the

Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$. The link is provided by the observation that the Carathéodory topology is coarser than the Hausdorff topology on bounded hulls (Lemma 2.5).

2.1 Mapping-out functions

Let \mathcal{C} be the space of non-empty closed subsets of $\overline{\mathbb{H}} \cup \{\infty\}$. We say that $K \in \mathcal{C}$ is *bounded* if there exists $R < \infty$ such that $|z| \leq R$ for all $z \in K$. The set of *compact \mathbb{H} -hulls* is defined as

$$\mathcal{K} := \{K \in \mathcal{C} : K \text{ is bounded, } \mathbb{H} \setminus K \text{ is simply connected, and } \overline{K \cap \mathbb{H}} = K\}.$$

For each $K \in \mathcal{K}$, there exists a unique conformal transformation $g_K : \mathbb{H} \setminus K \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ such that $g_K(z) - z \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, which we refer to as the *mapping-out function* of K . The *half-plane capacity* of K is defined as the constant $\text{hcap}(K)$ appearing in the expansion

$$g_K(z) = z + \frac{\text{hcap}(K)}{z} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

We summarize here some of the basic properties of the half-plane capacity drawn from [Law05]. From the definition (2.1), we see that for any $K \in \mathcal{K}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $r > 0$,

$$\text{hcap}(rK + x) = r^2 \text{hcap}(K). \quad (2.2)$$

Since $\text{Im}[g(z) - z]$ is a bounded harmonic function on $\mathbb{H} \setminus K$, we see that

$$\text{hcap}(K) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \int (\text{Im } z) d\omega_{\mathbb{H} \setminus K}(iy, dz) \quad (2.3)$$

where $\omega_{\mathbb{H} \setminus K}$ is the harmonic measure of $\mathbb{H} \setminus K$ viewed from iy . Therefore, $\text{hcap}(K) \geq 0$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}$. The following properties follow directly from the representation (2.3) using the basic properties of harmonic measure (equivalently, those of complex Brownian motion). For any $K, K' \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$\text{hcap}(K') = \text{hcap}(K) + \text{hcap}(g_K(K')), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\text{hcap}(K \cup K') \leq \text{hcap}(K) + \text{hcap}(K'). \quad (2.5)$$

In particular, if $K \subset K'$, then $\text{hcap}(K) \leq \text{hcap}(K')$. Note that $\text{hcap}(\{z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} : |z| \leq r\}) = r^2$ since $z \mapsto z + r/z$ is the corresponding mapping-out function. Combining these two facts, we see that for all $K \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$\text{hcap}(K) \leq \text{hcap}(\{z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} : |z| \leq \text{diam}(K)\}) = [\text{diam}(K)]^2. \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, any chord in \mathbb{H} between two boundary points in \mathbb{R} has a finite half-plane capacity. As a consequence of the Loewner equation, we have a partial converse

$$\text{hcap}(K) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\max_{z \in K} (\text{Im } z) \right]^2. \quad (2.7)$$

Equality is achieved when K is a vertical line segment; see [LLN09, Lemma 1] for a proof. Hence, a compact \mathbb{H} -hull with a large diameter has small capacity only if it is horizontally spread out

while staying close to the real axis. A key input in our proof (Proposition 3.1) shows that this cannot happen for finite-energy chords.

For $K \in \mathcal{K}$, define the *radius* of K viewed from $x \in \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\text{rad}(K; x) := \max_{z \in K} |z - x|.$$

We denote $\text{rad}(K) := \text{rad}(K; 0)$. We end our discussion of the mapping-out function with the following estimates collected from [Law05, Sec. 3.4].

Lemma 2.1. *For all $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and $z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus K$,*

$$|g_K(z) - z| \leq 3 \text{diam}(K). \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $c < \infty$ such that for any $K \in \mathcal{K}$, if $z \in \mathbb{H}$ with $|z| \geq 2 \text{rad}(K)$, then

$$\left| z - g_K(z) - \frac{\text{hcap}(K)}{z} \right| \leq c \frac{\text{rad}(K) \text{hcap}(K)}{|z|^2} \quad (2.9)$$

In particular, if $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ are hulls with $\text{hcap}(K_1) = \text{hcap}(K_2) = h$ and radii both less than r with respect to the same point $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then for every $z \in \mathbb{H}$ with $|z - x| \geq 2r$,

$$|g_{K_1}(z) - g_{K_2}(z)| \leq \frac{crh}{|z - x|^2}. \quad (2.10)$$

2.2 Topology on hulls

We now introduce Carathéodory and Hausdorff topologies on the space of compact \mathbb{H} -hulls \mathcal{K} . We will later obtain corresponding topologies on the space of chords $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ by considering the hulls generated by the chords.

Definition 2.2. Let $(K^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and K be compact \mathbb{H} -hulls. We say that K^n converges to K in the *Carathéodory topology* if $g_{K^n}^{-1}$ converges to g_K^{-1} uniformly away from \mathbb{R} . This means that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $g_{K^n}^{-1}$ converges uniformly to g_K^{-1} on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > \varepsilon\}$. We denote the convergence of K^n to K in the Carathéodory topology as $K^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} K$.

The topology of uniform convergence away from \mathbb{R} is metrizable by standard methods. It can also be stated geometrically by means of Carathéodory kernel theorem. Given a sequence of unbounded open subsets (U_n) of \mathbb{C} , let V_n denote the interior of the unbounded connected component of $\bigcap_{k \geq n} U_k$. The *kernel* of the sequence (U_n) is defined as $V = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} V_k$. We say that the sequence (U_n) converges to its kernel if every subsequence (U_{n_j}) has the same kernel. Then, $K^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} K$ if and only if $(\mathbb{H} \setminus K^n)$ converges to its kernel and this kernel is $\mathbb{H} \setminus K$. Further discussions on the Carathéodory kernel convergence can be found in standard texts on Loewner evolution; see [Bel20, Sec. 3.3] for instance.

Define $\mathcal{K}_r := \{K \in \mathcal{K} : \text{rad}(K) \leq r\}$, which includes the empty hull. On \mathcal{K}_r , Definition 2.2 is equivalent to the uniform topology on the mapping-out functions g_K restricted to any small neighborhood of ∞ . Let us check this claim carefully since this metrization allows us to use the estimate (2.10) in our proof of Loewner energy reversibility.

Lemma 2.3. Fix $0 < r < R$ and let (K^n) be a sequence of hulls in \mathcal{K}_r and $K \in \mathcal{K}$. The mapping out functions g_{K^n} converge uniformly to g_K on $\{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z| \geq R\}$ if and only if $K^n \xrightarrow{C} K$.

Proof. To show $K^n \xrightarrow{C} K$ given that $g_{K^n} \rightarrow g_K$ uniformly on $\{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z| \geq R\}$, it suffices to show that for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, given any subsequence of (K^n) , there exists a further subsequence (K^{n_j}) such that $g_{K^{n_j}}^{-1} \rightarrow g_K^{-1}$ uniformly on $\{z \in \mathbb{H} : \text{Im } z \geq \varepsilon\}$. Then, by a diagonal argument, we can find given any subsequence of (K^n) a further subsequence (K^{n_j}) such that $g_{K^{n_j}}^{-1} \rightarrow g_K^{-1}$ uniformly on $\{z \in \mathbb{H} : \text{Im } z \geq \varepsilon\}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, thus proving the claim. That $K \in \mathcal{K}_r$ follows from the fact that $\mathbb{H} \setminus K$ is the kernel of the sequence of domains $(\mathbb{H} \setminus K^n)$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume that $\text{rad}(K) \leq r$, choosing a larger r if necessary. Without loss of generality, assume also that $R \geq 8r$. To lighten notations, let us write $g_n = g_{K^n}$ and $g = g_K$. If $\tilde{g}(z) = -1/g(-1/z)$, note that \tilde{g} extends by Schwarz reflection to a conformal map on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1/r\}$ since $g(z) - z \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.8), if $|w| \geq 5r$, then $|g^{-1}(w)| \geq 2r$. Hence,

$$M := \sup_{w \in \mathbb{H}, |w| \geq 5r} |(g^{-1})'(w)| \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{H}, |z| \geq 2r} |g'(z)|^{-1} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{H}, |z| \geq 2r} |\tilde{g}'(-z^{-1})| \cdot \left| \frac{z}{g(z)} \right|^2 < \infty.$$

Now, take any $w \in \mathbb{H}$ with $|w| \geq R - 3r$ and denote $z_n = g_n^{-1}(w)$ and $z = g^{-1}(w)$. Again by (2.8), we have $|z_n| \geq 5r$ for all n and $|z| \geq 5r$. Hence, recalling $g_n(z_n) = w = g(z)$,

$$|z_n - z| \leq M\pi|g(z_n) - g(z)| = M\pi|g(z_n) - g_n(z_n)|$$

where π was multiplied to bound the maximum length of a path connecting $g(z_n)$ and $g(z)$ that stays outside the open ball of radius $5r$ centered at 0. Since $g_n \rightarrow g$ converges uniformly on $\{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z| \geq R\}$, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a positive integer N such that for all $w \in \mathbb{H}$ with $|w| \geq R - 3r$, we have $|g_n^{-1}(w) - g^{-1}(w)| < \varepsilon$.

We complete the proof by finding a subsequence (n_j) such that $g_{n_j}^{-1} \rightarrow g^{-1}$ uniformly on $C := \{w \in \mathbb{H} : |w| \leq R, \text{Im } w \geq \varepsilon\}$. For this, observe that by (2.8), each g_n^{-1} is a holomorphic map taking the compact set C into the closed disk of radius $R + 3r$. By the Schwarz lemma, we see that (g_n^{-1}) is a uniformly bounded and equicontinuous sequence of holomorphic maps, implying that there exists a subsequence $(g_{n_j}^{-1})$ which converges uniformly to a holomorphic map \tilde{g} on C by the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem and Morera’s theorem. Since $g_n^{-1} \rightarrow g^{-1}$ on $\{w \in \mathbb{H} : |w| \geq R - 3r\}$ and g^{-1} has a unique analytic extension on \mathbb{H} , we conclude that $\tilde{g} = g^{-1}$.

The proof that $K^n \xrightarrow{C} K$ implies the uniform convergence of $g_{K^n} \rightarrow g_K$ on $\{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z| \geq R\}$ proceeds in an analogous manner. \square

The second topology we consider on \mathcal{K} is the Hausdorff topology, by which we mean the topology induced by the Hausdorff distance $d_H(K_1, K_2) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : K_1 \subset B_\varepsilon(K_2), K_2 \subset B_\varepsilon(K_1)\}$. If K^n converges to K in the Hausdorff topology, we denote it as $K^n \xrightarrow{H} K$.

We note that \mathcal{K} (also \mathcal{K}_r for any $r > 0$) is not a closed subspace of \mathcal{C} under the Hausdorff topology. A classic example is that of the arcs $\{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [1/k, \pi]\}$ converging to the closed unit half-disk in the Carathéodory topology but to the closed unit half-circle in the Hausdorff distance. In fact, Carathéodory topology on \mathcal{K} is (strictly) coarser than Hausdorff topology on \mathcal{K} . This is an immediate consequence of the following observation given the compactness of \mathcal{K}_r under the Carathéodory topology for every $r > 0$ [Law05, Prop. 3.68].

Lemma 2.4 ([PW24, Lem. 2.3]). *Let (K^n) be a sequence in \mathcal{K} . If $K^n \xrightarrow{C} \tilde{K} \in \mathcal{K}$ and $K^n \xrightarrow{H} K \subset \mathbb{H}$ which is bounded, then $\mathbb{H} \setminus \tilde{K}$ coincides with the unbounded connected component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus K$. In particular, if $K \in \mathcal{K}$, then $K = \tilde{K}$.*

Lemma 2.5. *The identity map from \mathcal{K} endowed with the Hausdorff topology to that endowed with the Carathéodory topology is continuous.*

Proof of Lemma 2.5. Let (K^n) be a sequence of compact \mathbb{H} -hulls that converges to $K \in \mathcal{K}$ in the Hausdorff distance. Then $r := \sup_n \text{rad}(K^n) < \infty$. Since \mathcal{K}_r is compact in the Carathéodory topology, given an arbitrary subsequence of (K^n) , we can find a further subsequence (K^{n_j}) and $\tilde{K} \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $K^{n_j} \xrightarrow{C} \tilde{K}$. Since $K \in \mathcal{K}$, we conclude $\tilde{K} = K$ by Lemma 2.4. \square

2.3 Topology on chords

We remind the reader that a chord in a simply connected domain D is merely an open Jordan arc whose boundary points are in ∂D . We use freely the following consequence of the Jordan curve theorem. See [Pom92, Prop. 2.12] for a proof.

If γ is a chord in a simply connected domain $D \subsetneq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, then $D \setminus \gamma$ has exactly two connected components U_1 and U_2 and these satisfy $D \cap \partial U_1 = D \cap \partial U_2 = \gamma$.

Given a chord $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ are distinct boundary points, let us denote by K_γ the compact \mathbb{H} -hull given by the closure of the bounded connected component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma$. Then, $\mathbb{H} \cap \partial K_\gamma = \gamma$. However, it is not always the case that any $K \in \mathcal{K}$ is of the form K_γ for some chord γ in \mathbb{H} . We define the Carathéodory topology on $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ as the pullback of the Carathéodory topology on the space $\{K \in \mathcal{K} : \mathbb{H} \cap \partial K \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)\}$.

Definition 2.6. Given a sequence of chords (γ^n) in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$, we say that γ^n converges to $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ in the Carathéodory topology if K_{γ^n} converges to K_γ in the Carathéodory topology on \mathcal{K} . We denote this convergence by $\gamma^n \xrightarrow{C} \gamma$.

Remark 2.7. As a point of comparison, the Hausdorff topology on the closures of bounded chords also agree with that on the hulls they generate. For if $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ with $x < y$ (resp. $y < x$), we have $\partial K_\gamma = \gamma \cup [x, y]$ (resp. $[y, x]$). Hence, for $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$, we have $d_H(\gamma \cup \{x, y\}, \gamma' \cup \{x, y\}) = d_H(K_\gamma, K_{\gamma'})$. That is, the Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ coincides with the pullback of the Hausdorff topology on \mathcal{K} under the map $\gamma \mapsto K_\gamma$. We denote this mode of convergence by $\gamma^n \xrightarrow{H} \gamma$.

Here is the main result of this section.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. The map $I_{\mathbb{H}; x, y} : \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is lower semicontinuous when the domain is equipped with the Carathéodory topology.*

Proof. For every $\rho \in [0, \infty)$, the sublevel set $\{\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y) : I_{\mathbb{H}; x, y}(\gamma) \leq \rho\}$ is compact in the Hausdorff topology [PW24, Lem. 2.7]. The case for Carathéodory topology follows immediately given Lemma 2.5, Definition 2.6, and Remark 2.7. \square

Remark 2.9. We note that the proof of the compactness of the sublevel sets of Loewner energy in [PW24] relies on the classification of chords with uniformly bounded Loewner energy as images of $i\mathbb{R}_+$ under a quasiconformal self-map of \mathbb{H} with uniformly bounded dilatation [Wan19a, Prop. 2.1]. In particular, Proposition 2.8 is a purely deterministic result.

We remark that for bounded simple curves in \mathbb{H} starting from 0 and terminating within \mathbb{H} , the lower semicontinuity of the partial Loewner energy $I_{\mathbb{H};0,\infty}(\eta)$ with respect to the Carathéodory topology on $\mathbb{H}\setminus\eta$ follows trivially from the continuity of the Loewner transformation $(\lambda_t)_{t\in[0,T]} \mapsto g_T$ when we consider the uniform norm on $(\lambda_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ and the topology of uniform convergence away from \mathbb{R} for g_T^{-1} [Kem17, Prop. 6.1]. The main point of Proposition 2.8 is that consider the Loewner energy of the entire chord.

We end this section with a clarification that the Hausdorff topology on the space of chords is equivalent to Carathéodory topology viewed from both sides of the chord.

Proposition 2.10. *Let D be a simply connected domain. Given $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(D; a, b)$, denote by U_γ^L and U_γ^R the two connected components of $D \setminus \gamma$ which are to the left and the right of the chord, respectively. Suppose (γ^n) is a sequence in $\mathcal{X}(D; a, b)$ such that there is a chord $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(D; a, b)$ for which $(U_{\gamma^n}^L)$ and $(U_{\gamma^n}^R)$ converges to their kernels U_γ^L and U_γ^R , respectively. Then, $\gamma^n \xrightarrow{\text{H}} \gamma$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $D = \mathbb{D}$. Given an arbitrary subsequence of (γ^n) , there is further subsequence (γ^{n_j}) that converges in Hausdorff distance to a compact set $K \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. By Lemma 2.4, U_γ^L and U_γ^R are each connected components of $\mathbb{D} \setminus K$. Since $a, b \in \gamma^n$ for all n , $\{a, b\} \subset K \subset \gamma \cup \partial\mathbb{D}$.

We claim that if $K \cap \mathbb{D} \subsetneq \gamma$, then $\mathbb{D} \setminus K$ is connected. Suppose there is a point $z \in \gamma \setminus K$ but $\mathbb{D} \setminus K$ is not connected. Then there are disjoint sets $V^L, V^R \subset \mathbb{D} \setminus K$ which are open in $\mathbb{D} \setminus K$ and $V^L \cup V^R = \mathbb{D} \setminus K$. Since $U_\gamma^L, U_\gamma^R \subset \mathbb{D} \setminus K$ and are connected, they cannot be in both V^L or V^R . Without loss of generality, assume $U_\gamma^L \subset V^L$ and $U_\gamma^R \subset V^R$ as well as $z \in V^L$. Since $\gamma = \mathbb{D} \cap \partial U_\gamma^L$, there is a neighborhood of z in \mathbb{D} which has a nonempty intersection with U_γ^L . Hence, V^L is not open in $\mathbb{D} \setminus K$. This is a contradiction, so the claim is proved.

Therefore, $K \cap \mathbb{D} = \gamma$. But $K \cap \partial\mathbb{D} = \{a, b\}$ since otherwise either U_γ^L or U_γ^R , which are the only connected components of $\mathbb{D} \setminus K$, would not be the kernel of $(U_{\gamma^{n_j}}^L)$ or $(U_{\gamma^{n_j}}^R)$, respectively. This implies $K = \gamma \cup \{a, b\}$ and thus $\gamma^n \xrightarrow{\text{H}} \gamma$. \square

3 Proof of Loewner energy reversibility

3.1 Diameter bound for finite-energy chords

Our strategy for the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to reverse the chord in small half-plane capacity increments while keeping the Loewner energy nonincreasing. We wish to show that as we reduce the “hcap step size,” the resulting orientation-reversed chord converges in Carathéodory topology to the reversal of the original chord. By Lemma 2.3, it suffices use the estimate (2.10) to show that the mapping-out functions of these reversed chords converge uniformly near ∞ . This requires bounding the diameter of the reversed chord in terms of its half-plane capacity.

This is exactly the estimate we obtain from the following lemma. For a chord $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $\text{hcap}(\gamma) := \text{hcap}(K_\gamma)$ where $K_\gamma \in \mathcal{K}$ is the closure of the bounded connected component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma$.

Proposition 3.1. *For each $\rho \in [0, \infty)$, there exist constants $0 < c_\rho < C_\rho < \infty$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ with $I_{\mathbb{H}; x, y}(\gamma) \leq \rho$, we have*

$$|x - y| \leq \text{diam}(\gamma) \leq C_\rho |x - y| \quad \text{and} \quad (c_\rho |x - y|)^2 \leq \text{hcap}(\gamma) \leq (C_\rho |x - y|)^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Given the bound (2.6), the upshot from Proposition 3.1 is the existence of a constant $c_\rho \in (1, \infty)$ such that $[\text{diam}(\gamma)]^2 \leq c_\rho \text{hcap}(\gamma)$ for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ with $I_{\mathbb{H}; x, y}(\gamma) \leq \rho$.

We give a short proof of this lemma using the following restriction on the location of finite-energy chords. This result was first proved in [Wan19a] using a probabilistic method, but it has been proved again in [Mes23] using a purely deterministic methodology.

Lemma 3.2. *For each $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, the Loewner energy of all chords in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; 0, \infty)$ passing through the point $e^{i\theta}$ is bounded below by $-8 \log(\sin \theta)$. Consequently, any chord $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; 0, \infty)$ with $I_{\mathbb{H}; 0, \infty}(\gamma) \leq \rho$ lies in the cone $\{z \in \mathbb{H} : \theta \leq \arg z \leq \pi - \theta\}$ where $\theta = \arcsin(e^{-\rho/8}) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.*

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Choose a conformal automorphism φ of \mathbb{H} that fixes 0 and maps ∞ to 1. Let γ_{\min} and γ_{\max} be the image of the rays $\arg z = \arcsin(e^{-\rho/8})$ and $\arg z = \pi - \arcsin(e^{-\rho/8})$, respectively. Since φ is determined up to pre-composition by the scaling map $z \mapsto rz$, the chords γ_{\min} and γ_{\max} do not depend on the choice of φ . Any $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; 0, 1)$ with $I_{\mathbb{H}; 0, 1}(\gamma) \leq \rho$ must lie between γ_{\min} and γ_{\max} by Lemma 3.2. That is, $K_{\gamma_{\min}} \subset K_\gamma \subset K_{\gamma_{\max}}$ in terms of the hulls enclosed by these chords. Then, from (2.6) and (2.7), we have $0 < \frac{1}{2} [\max_{z \in \gamma_{\min}} \text{Im } z]^2 \leq \text{hcap}(\gamma) \leq [\text{diam}(\gamma_{\max})]^2 < \infty$ and these bounds are determined only by ρ . The same bounds apply to $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; 0, -1)$ with $I_{\mathbb{H}; 0, -1}(\gamma) \leq \rho$ by symmetry. The conformal invariance of Loewner energy and (2.2) give the lemma for general $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

A self-contained proof of Proposition 3.1 can also be given using the Loewner equation and the definition of Loewner energy using estimates similar to those appearing in [LY21].

3.2 A commutation inequality

For the final step in the preparation of our proof of the Loewner energy reversibility, we observe that the commutation relation (1.3) is given only for curves that do not intersect each other. We wish to argue that this relation extends to the case of two curves that are joined together at their endpoints. But this requires that as the domain becomes smaller so that a Jordan arc becomes a chord, the partial Loewner energy of the curve converges to the Loewner energy of the chord in the final domain. This difficulty we encounter in joining two curves at their endpoints is analogous to the problem of “lifting” local commutation relations for SLE to global ones discussed by Dubédat in [Dub07, Dub09].

Short of this fact, we settle with the following “commutation inequality,” which is sufficient for our proof thanks to the lower semicontinuity of Loewner energy. A *hyperbolic geodesic* in a simply connected domain D between prime ends a and b is the chord $\varphi(i\mathbb{R}_+)$ where $\varphi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow D$ is

a conformal transformation with $\varphi(0) = a$ and $\varphi(\infty) = b$. For instance, the hyperbolic geodesic in \mathbb{H} between $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ is the half-circle with the interval between x and y as its diameter.

Lemma 3.3. *Let D be a simply connected domain. Suppose γ and η are simple curves in D starting from distinct prime ends a and b , respectively. Furthermore, suppose these curves intersect only at their endpoints, labeled $z \in D$. Let $\tilde{\eta}$ be the hyperbolic geodesic in $D \setminus \gamma$ from b to z . Then,*

$$I_{D;a,b}(\gamma) + I_{D \setminus \gamma;b,z}(\tilde{\eta}) \leq I_{D;b,a}(\eta) + I_{D \setminus \eta;a,z}(\gamma). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Pick any continuous parameterization $\gamma : (0, 1] \rightarrow D$ where $\gamma(0) = a$ and $\gamma(1) = z$. Let us denote $\gamma_t := \gamma(0, t]$. For $t \in (0, 1)$, since γ_t and η do not intersect, the local commutation relation (1.3) implies

$$I_{D;a,b}(\gamma_t) \leq I_{D;a,b}(\gamma_t) + I_{D \setminus \gamma_t;b,\gamma(t)}(\eta) = I_{D;b,a}(\eta) + I_{D \setminus \eta;a,z}(\gamma_t).$$

We obtain the lemma by taking $t \rightarrow 1$ in this inequality and observing that $I_{D \setminus \gamma;b,z}(\tilde{\eta}) = 0$. \square

3.3 The proof

We are now ready to state the proof of the orientation reversibility of Loewner energy. Recall the notation \xrightarrow{C} for convergence in the Carathéodory topology and γ^R for the orientation reversal of a chord γ . If $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ is a chord in \mathbb{H} from x to y , then we denote $g_\gamma := g_{K_\gamma}$ in the notation of the mapping-out function (2.1) where K_γ is the closure of the bounded connected component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Using the conformal invariance (1.2) of Loewner energy, we may assume that we are given a chord $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; 0, 1)$ with finite Loewner energy $\rho := I_{\mathbb{H};0,1}(\gamma) < \infty$. Let $T := \text{hcap}(\gamma) < \infty$ and denote $\gamma_t := \gamma(0, t]$. Suppose γ is parameterized by half-plane capacity: i.e., $\text{hcap}(\gamma_t) = t$ for every $t \in (0, T)$. Let $c_\rho \in (1, \infty)$ be a constant depending only on ρ given by Proposition 3.1 such that for any chord $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ with $I_{\mathbb{H};x,y}(\tilde{\gamma}) \leq \rho$, we have

$$|x - y| \leq \text{rad}(\tilde{\gamma}; x) \vee \text{rad}(\tilde{\gamma}; y) \leq \sqrt{c_\rho \text{hcap}(\tilde{\gamma})}. \quad (3.3)$$

Here are the induction hypotheses for the local reversal procedure. Let $t \in (0, T]$ and assume that we have reversed $\gamma[t, T)$, meaning that we now have a simple curve η starting at 1 joined to γ_t at their endpoints only. In other words, $\eta \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_t; 1; \gamma(t))$. Assume further that we have $\text{hcap}(\gamma_t \cup \eta) \leq T + c_\rho(T - t)$ and $I_{\mathbb{H};0,1}(\gamma_t) + I_{\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_t;1,\gamma(t)}(\eta) \leq \rho$. The base step for the induction is when $t = T$ and η is the empty curve, which trivially satisfy these hypotheses.

Let us now define the local reversal operation. For $\varepsilon \in (0, t]$, define the mapping $F_\varepsilon : \eta \mapsto \tilde{\eta}$ in the following way. See Figure 1 for a graphical representation of F_ε .

- Let $g : \mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_{t-\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ and $h : \mathbb{H} \setminus g(\eta) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be the mapping-out functions as in (2.1).
- Denote $\gamma^\varepsilon := (h \circ g)(\gamma(t-\varepsilon, t)) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; x, y)$ where $x = (h \circ g)(\gamma(t-\varepsilon))$ and $y = (h \circ g)(\gamma(t))$.
- Let η^ε be the hyperbolic geodesic in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; y, x)$. That is, η^ε is the half-circle centered at $\frac{x+y}{2}$ with diameter $|x - y|$ oriented from y to x .




Figure 1 Given a chord $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; 0, 1)$, we reverse γ in steps of ε half-plane capacity. Suppose we have already replaced $\gamma[t, T]$ with $\eta \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_t; 1, \gamma(t))$. The local reversal operation F_ε is defined by replacing $\gamma[t - \varepsilon, t]$ with the hyperbolic geodesic in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H} \setminus (\gamma_{t-\varepsilon} \cup \eta), \gamma(t), \gamma(t - \varepsilon))$, which is concatenated to η to output $\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_{t-\varepsilon}, 1, \gamma(t - \varepsilon))$. When we recursively apply F_ε through the whole chord γ , then we get a chord $\hat{\gamma} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; 1, 0)$ with Loewner energy $I_{\mathbb{H}; 1, 0}(\hat{\gamma})$ no more than $I_{\mathbb{H}; 0, 1}(\gamma)$.

- Define $F_\varepsilon(\eta) = \tilde{\eta} := \eta \oplus (h \circ g)^{-1}(\eta^\varepsilon)$. Here, \oplus refers to the concatenation operation.

Let us check that $F_\varepsilon(\eta)$ satisfies the induction hypotheses. By the relations (2.4) and (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hcap}(\gamma^\varepsilon) &= \text{hcap}(g(\gamma[t - \varepsilon, t] \cup \eta)) - \text{hcap}(g(\eta)) \\ &\leq \text{hcap}(g(\gamma[t - \varepsilon, t])) = \text{hcap}(\gamma_t) - \text{hcap}(\gamma_{t-\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.3), $|x - y| \leq \text{rad}(\gamma^\varepsilon; y) \leq \sqrt{c_\rho \varepsilon}$. This implies $\text{hcap}(\eta^\varepsilon) = |x - y|^2 \leq c_\rho \varepsilon$ by (2.6).

- Since $\eta^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; y, x)$, we have $(h \circ g)^{-1}(\eta^\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H} \setminus (\gamma_{t-\varepsilon} \cup \eta); \gamma(t), \gamma(t - \varepsilon))$. Since η terminates at $\gamma(t)$, we have $\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; \setminus \gamma_{t-\varepsilon}; 1, \gamma(t - \varepsilon))$.
- For the half-plane capacity,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hcap}(\gamma_{t-\varepsilon} \cup \tilde{\eta}) &= \text{hcap}(\gamma_{t-\varepsilon}) + \text{hcap}(g(\eta)) + \text{hcap}(\eta^\varepsilon) \\ &\leq \text{hcap}(\gamma_{t-\varepsilon}) + \text{hcap}(g(\eta)) + \text{hcap}(\gamma^\varepsilon) + c_\rho \varepsilon \\ &= \text{hcap}(\gamma \cup \eta) + c_\rho \varepsilon \leq T + c_\rho(T - t + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

- For the Loewner energy, by Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_{t-\varepsilon}; 1, \gamma(t-\varepsilon)}(\tilde{\eta}) &= I_{\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_{t-\varepsilon}; 1, \gamma(t-\varepsilon)}(\eta) + I_{\mathbb{H}; y, x}(\eta^\varepsilon) \\ &\leq I_{\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_{t-\varepsilon}; \gamma(t-\varepsilon), 1}(\gamma(t - \varepsilon, t)) + I_{\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_t; 1, \gamma(t)}(\eta) = I_{\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_t; 1, \gamma(t)}(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $I_{\mathbb{H}; 0, 1}(\gamma_{t-\varepsilon}) + I_{\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_{t-\varepsilon}; 1, \gamma(t-\varepsilon)}(\tilde{\eta}) \leq I_{\mathbb{H}; 0, 1}(\gamma_t) + I_{\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_t; 1, \gamma(t)}(\eta)$.

Thus, all of the induction hypotheses are satisfied. We now show that the local reversal operation F_ε corresponds to a small step in terms of the uniform norm on the mapping-out functions.

Since $I_{\mathbb{H};0,1}(\gamma) = \rho$ and $\text{hcap}(\gamma) = T$, we have $\text{diam}(\gamma_{t-\varepsilon}) \leq \text{diam}(\gamma) \leq 2\sqrt{c_\rho T}$ by (3.3). By (2.8), we have $|g(z) - z| \leq 6\sqrt{c_\rho T}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_{t-\varepsilon}$. Similarly, $I_{\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_{t-\varepsilon};1,\gamma(t-\varepsilon)}(\tilde{\eta}) \leq \rho$ and $\text{hcap}(g(\tilde{\eta})) \leq \text{hcap}(\gamma_{t-\varepsilon} \cup \tilde{\eta}) \leq (1 + c_\rho)T$ imply $\text{diam}(g(\tilde{\eta})) \leq \text{diam}(g(\tilde{\eta})) \leq 2c_\rho\sqrt{2T}$ and $|h(z) - z| \leq 6c_\rho\sqrt{2T}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus g(\tilde{\eta})$. Combining these, we have

$$|(h \circ g)(z) - z| \leq 15c_\rho\sqrt{T} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus (\gamma_{t-\varepsilon} \cup \tilde{\eta}).$$

Therefore, for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $|z| \geq 34c_\rho\sqrt{T}$, we have

$$|(h \circ g)(z) - (h \circ g)(\gamma(t))| \geq |z| - |\gamma(t)| - |(h \circ g)(z) - z| - |(h \circ g)(\gamma(t)) - \gamma(t)| \geq 2c_\rho\sqrt{T}.$$

Recall that $\text{hcap}(\gamma^\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$ and $\text{hcap}(\eta^\varepsilon) \leq c_\rho\varepsilon$. Hence, $\text{rad}(\gamma^\varepsilon; y) \leq \sqrt{c_\rho\varepsilon}$ and $\text{rad}(\eta^\varepsilon; y) \leq c_\rho\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. We deduce from (2.10) that for all $w \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $|w - y| \geq 2c_\rho\sqrt{\varepsilon}$,

$$|g_{\gamma^\varepsilon}(w) - g_{\eta^\varepsilon}(w)| \leq c \frac{c_\rho^2 \varepsilon^{3/2}}{|w - y|^2}$$

Therefore, for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $|z| \geq 34c_\rho\sqrt{T}$, we have

$$|g_{\gamma_t \cup \eta}(z) - g_{\gamma_{t-\varepsilon} \cup \tilde{\eta}}(z)| = |(g_{\gamma^\varepsilon}((h \circ g)(z))) - (g_{\eta^\varepsilon}((h \circ g)(z)))| \leq \frac{c}{T} \varepsilon^{3/2}. \quad (3.5)$$

Here, the constant $c \in (0, \infty)$ does not depend on any of our parameters nor the chord γ .

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\hat{\gamma}^k := (F_{T/k})^k(\gamma) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; 1, 0)$ be the chord in the reverse orientation obtained by repeating the local reversal operation $F_{T/k}$ through the whole chord γ . Then, by (3.5), for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $|z| \geq 34c_\rho\sqrt{T}$,

$$|g_{\hat{\gamma}^k}(z) - g_\gamma(z)| \leq c\sqrt{T/k}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.3, $\hat{\gamma}^k \xrightarrow{C} \gamma^R$ on $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; 1, 0)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ where γ^R is the reversal of γ . Also, $I_{\mathbb{H};1,0}(\hat{\gamma}^k) \leq \rho$ by construction. Hence, by Proposition 2.8,

$$I_{\mathbb{H};1,0}(\gamma^R) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_{\mathbb{H};1,0}(\hat{\gamma}^k) \leq \rho = I_{\mathbb{H};0,1}(\gamma). \quad (3.6)$$

Changing the roles of γ and γ^R , we have the reverse inequality. Therefore, $I_{\mathbb{H};1,0}(\gamma^R) = I_{\mathbb{H};0,1}(\gamma)$. Moreover, if $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{H}; 0, 1)$ is a chord with $I_{\mathbb{H};0,1}(\gamma) = \infty$, then we must have $I_{\mathbb{H};1,0}(\gamma^R) = \infty$ since otherwise we would have $I_{\mathbb{H};0,1}(\gamma) \leq I_{\mathbb{H};1,0}(\gamma^R) < \infty$ from (3.6). \square

References

- [Bel20] Dmitry Beliaev. *Conformal maps and geometry*. Advanced Textbooks in Mathematics. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2020.
- [Dub07] Julien Dubédat. Commutation relations for Schramm-Loewner evolutions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 60(12):1792–1847, 2007.

- [Dub09] Julien Dubédat. Duality of Schramm-Loewner evolutions. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 42(5):697–724, 2009.
- [FS17] Peter K. Friz and Atul Shekhar. On the existence of SLE trace: finite energy drivers and non-constant κ . *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 169(1-2):353–376, 2017.
- [Kem17] Antti Kemppainen. *Schramm-Loewner evolution*, volume 24 of *SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics*. Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [Law05] Gregory F. Lawler. *Conformally invariant processes in the plane*, volume 114 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [LLN09] Steven Lalley, Gregory Lawler, and Hariharan Narayanan. Geometric interpretation of half-plane capacity. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 14:566–571, 2009.
- [LY21] Gregory F. Lawler and Stephen Yearwood. A new proof of reversibility of SLE_κ for $\kappa \leq 4$. *Preprint*, arXiv:2111.06960, 2021.
- [Mes23] Tim Mesikepp. A deterministic approach to Loewner-energy minimizers. *Math. Z.*, 305(59), 2023.
- [MS16] Jason Miller and Scott Sheffield. Imaginary geometry II: reversibility of $SLE_\kappa(\rho_1; \rho_2)$ for $\kappa \in (0, 4)$. *Ann. Probab.*, 44(3):1647–1722, 2016.
- [Pom92] Ch. Pommerenke. *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*, volume 299 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [PW24] Eveliina Peltola and Yilin Wang. Large deviations of multichordal SLE_{0+} , real rational functions, and zeta-regularized determinants of Laplacians. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 26(2):469–535, 2024.
- [RW21] Steffen Rohde and Yilin Wang. The Loewner energy of loops and regularity of driving functions. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2021(10):7715–7763, 2021.
- [Wan19a] Yilin Wang. The energy of a deterministic Loewner chain: reversibility and interpretation via SLE_{0+} . *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 21(7):1915–1941, 2019.
- [Wan19b] Yilin Wang. Equivalent descriptions of the Loewner energy. *Invent. Math.*, 218(2):573–621, 2019.
- [Wan22] Yilin Wang. Large deviations of Schramm-Loewner evolutions: a survey. *Probab. Surv.*, 19:351–403, 2022.
- [Wan24] Yilin Wang. From the random geometry of conformally invariant systems to the Kähler geometry of universal Teichmüller space. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 71(6):732–739, 2024.
- [Zha08] Dapeng Zhan. Reversibility of chordal SLE. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(4):1472–1494, 2008.