

A CERTAIN SEQUENCE ON PURE κ -SPARSE GAPSETS

GILBERTO B. ALMEIDA FILHO AND STÉFANI C. VIEIRA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the pure κ -sparse gapsets and our focus on getting information about the sequence observed in Table 3 at [1], this sequence is listed in OEIS as A374773. We verify that the cardinality of the set of gapsets with genus $3n + 1$ such that the maximum distance between two consecutive elements is $2n$ is equal to the cardinality of the set of gapsets with genus $3n + 2$ such that the maximum distance between two consecutive elements is $2n + 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we compute the cardinality of the symmetric and pseudo-symmetric gapsets in these cases.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *gapset* is a finite set $G \subset \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following property: Let $z \in G$ and write $z = x + y$, with x and $y \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x \in G$ or $y \in G$. This concept was formally introduced by Eliahou and Fromentin [6]. We can associated a gapsets with set of gaps of a numerical semigroups. Recall that a numerical semigroup S is a submonoid of the set of non-negative integers \mathbb{N}_0 , equipped with the usual addition, where $G(S) := \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S$, the set of *gaps* of S , is finite. The cardinality of a gapset G is called the *genus* of G . The set of all gapsets is denoted by Γ and the set of all gapsets with a fixed genus g by $\Gamma(g)$.

If G is a gapset, we can use several known invariants of G . Among them are the multiplicity $m(G) := \min\{s \in \mathbb{N} : s \notin G\}$, the conductor $c(G) := \min\{s \in \mathbb{N} : s + n \notin G, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, the depth $q(G) := \lceil \frac{c(G)}{m(G)} \rceil$ and the Frobenius number of a gapset $F(G) := c(G) - 1$. In particular, if the genus of G is g we denote $F(G)$ by ℓ_g . Furthermore, the invariant genus implies a importante inclusion about gapsets. If G is a non-empty gapset with genus g then $G \subseteq [1, 2g - 1]$. Throughout this paper, we denote $[a, b] := \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : a \leq x \leq b\}$ and $[a, \infty) := \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \geq a\}$, for integers a and b .

We denote by $G := \{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_g\}$ a gapset with genus g . We say that G is κ -sparse if the differences between two consecutive elements of G (in the natural order) is at most κ . If there are two consecutive elements ℓ_i and $\ell_{i+1} \in G$ such that $\ell_{i+1} - \ell_i = \kappa$, then we say that G is a *pure κ -sparse gapset*. By convention, we say that \emptyset is a 0-sparse gapset and $\{1\}$ is a 1-sparse gapset. Our goal is the study of the following families of sets: Let \mathcal{G}_κ be the set of all pure κ -sparse gapsets, the set of pure κ -sparse gapsets with genus g , namely $\mathcal{G}_\kappa(g) := \{G \in \Gamma(g) : G \in \mathcal{G}_\kappa\}$ and its subset $\mathcal{G}_\kappa(g, q) := \{G \in \mathcal{G}_\kappa(g) : q(G) = q\}$.

2020 Math. Subj. Class.: Primary 20M14; Secondary 05A15, 05A19.

Keywords: gapset, symmetric gapset, pseudo-symmetric gapset, pseudo-Frobenius number.

We can use a notion of m -extension in the study about gapsets. The notion of m -extension was introduced by Eliahou and Fromentin in [6], for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. An m -extension $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a finite set containing $[1, m - 1]$ that admits a partition $A = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_t$, for some $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $A_0 = [1, m - 1]$ and $A_{i+1} \subseteq m + A_i$ for all i . In particular, if A is an m -extension, then $A \cap m\mathbb{N} = \emptyset$. Almeida and Bernardini introduced more general sets and they define them as follows: let m be a positive integer. We say that $M \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a m -set if $[1, m - 1] \subset M$ and $M \cap m\mathbb{N} = \emptyset$. In particular, an m -extension is a m -set. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_\kappa(g)$ the set of all m -sets with g elements, such that the maximum distance between two consecutive elements (with respect to the natural order) is κ and that lies on $[1, 2g - 1]$. In some cases, we also deal with subsets of $[1, 2g - 1]$ with no specific property. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_\kappa(g)$ the set of those sets that have g elements and such that the maximum distance between two consecutive elements (with respect to the natural order) is κ . Notice that $\mathcal{G}_\kappa(g) \subset \mathcal{M}_\kappa(g) \subset \mathcal{C}_\kappa(g)$.

Some authors studied the set of numerical semigroups with fixed genus and some other invariants. For instance, Bernardini and Almeida [1] studied numerical gapsets pure κ -sparse; Blanco and Rosales [2] studied numerical semigroups with fixed genus and fixed Frobenius number; Bras-Amorós [3] studied numerical semigroups with fixed genus and fixed ordinarization number; Kaplan [8] studied numerical semigroups with fixed genus and fixed multiplicity; all of them obtained some partial interesting results. The study of gapsets (or numerical semigroups) has been generated some interesting numerical sequences. For example, the cardinality of $\Gamma(g)$ is denoted by n_g has first few elements of the 1, 1, 2, 4, 7, 12, 23, 39, 67, 118 and it is registered as the sequence A007323 at OEIS (the on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences). This sequence was deeply studied after Bras-Amorós [4] conjectured some statements, among them, that “ $n_g + n_{g+1} \leq n_{g+2}$, for all g ”, which is still an open question. In the paper [1] which studied pure κ -sparse gapsets with genus g satisfying the condition $2g \leq 3\kappa$. Under this condition, the results obtained by Almeida and Bernardini generated the sequence (g_w) whose first terms are: 1, 2, 5, 12, 30, 70, 167, 395, 936 and 2212, registered as the sequence A348619 at OEIS.

The sequence studied in this paper was noted in the paper [1] in Table 3 which was created to illustrate the behavior of the sequence (g_w) , reproduced here in Table 2. Thus, we can see the existence of a sequence of κ -pure sparse gapsets with genus g that do not satisfy the condition $2g \leq 3\kappa$. This sequence was registered as the sequence A374773 at OEIS, whose first terms are: 3, 8, 22, 54, 135, 331 and 808. We illustrate the sequence this sequence in Table 5.

Here is an outline of this paper. In section 2, we present some general properties of gapsets. In section 3, we study pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapsets with genus $3n + 1$ and check some properties regarding the elements of these gapsets. In this case, we show some important properties about symmetric gapsets and verify the non-existence of pseudo-symmetric gapsets under these conditions. In particular, we calculate the number of symmetric gapsets. In section 4, we study pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapsets with genus $3n + 2$

and check some properties regarding the elements of these gapsets. In this case, we show some important properties about pseudo-symmetric gapsets and verify the non-existence of symmetric gapsets under these conditions. In particular, we calculate the number of pseudo-symmetric gapsets. In section 5, we construct a map σ from the family $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g)$ to the set of subsets of $[1, 2g + 1]$. In particular, we prove that the map takes gapsets with depth at most 3 into non pseudo-symmetric gapsets with depth at most 3 and, therefore, establishes a bijection between the set of pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapsets with genus $3n + 1$ into the set of pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapsets with genus $3n + 2$. Thus, we conclude that $\#\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g) = \#\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(g + 1)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g = 3n + 1$. In section 6, we discuss some further questions related to this work.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We bring some familiar results on numerical semigroup theory to gapset theory and we keep the proofs for the sake of completeness. We say that a gapset G of genus g is

- *symmetric gapset* if $F(G) = 2g - 1$;
- *pseudo-symmetric gapset* if $F(G) = 2g - 2$.

Let G be a gapset. We say that an integer x is a *pseudo-Frobenius number* if $x \in G$ and $x + s$ is a non-gap for all non-gap $s \neq 0$. We will denote by $PF(G)$ the set of pseudo-Frobenius numbers of G , and its cardinality is the *type* of G , denoted by $\tau(G)$. In particular, $x \in G$ is a non pseudo-Frobenius number if exists a non-gap $s \neq 0$ such that $x + s \in G$.

The next result guarantees that every m -extension with depth at most 2 is a gapset.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $G \subseteq [1, 2m - 1]$, with $[1, m - 1] \subseteq G$ and $m \notin G$. Then G is a gapset with multiplicity m and depth at most 2.*

Proof. Let $z \in G$ and write $z = x + y$, with $x \leq y$. Since $z \leq 2m - 1$, then $x \leq m - 1$ and we conclude that $x \in G$; hence G is a gapset. Moreover, the conductor of G is at most $2m - 1$; thus, $q(G) \leq 2$. \square

Proposition 2.2. *If a non-empty gapset has genus g and multiplicity m , then $2 \leq m \leq g + 1$.*

Proof. If $m \geq g + 2$, then $[1, g + 1] \subseteq G$ and $\#G \geq g + 1$, which does not occur. Hence $m \leq g + 1$. On the other hand, since $G \neq \emptyset$, then $1 \in G$ and $m \geq 2$. \square

Example 2.3. Let $G = \{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_g\}$ be a pure 1-sparse gapset. Then $\ell_{i+1} - \ell_i = 1$, for all i . Hence, $G = \{1, 2, \dots, g\}$ is an ordinary gapset. Note that this is the only pure 1-sparse gapset.

Now we obtain a relation between the multiplicity of a gapset and the maximum distance between consecutive elements.

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a pure κ -sparse gapset with multiplicity m . Then $\kappa \leq m$.*

Proof. Let ℓ_i and $\ell_{i+1} \in G$ such that $\ell_{i+1} - \ell_i = \kappa$. If $\kappa > m$, then $\ell_i + 1, \ell_i + 2, \dots, \ell_i + m \notin G$ ($\ell_i + m < \ell_{i+1}$). There is $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\ell_i + 1 \leq \ell_{i+1} - am \leq \ell_i + m$. Notice that $G \ni \ell_{i+1} = (\ell_i - am) + am$. But $\ell_i - am \notin G$ and $am \notin G$, which leads to a contradiction. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let $G = \{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_g\}$ be gapset with multiplicity m and genus g . Then $[am + \ell_j + 1, am + \ell_{j+1} - 1] \cap G = \emptyset$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and for all $j \in [1, g - 1]$.*

The next result is important for the proofs some results of this paper. It confirms that the Frobenius number of a gapset cannot be too big with respect to its element ℓ_α .

Proposition 2.6. *Let $G = \{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_\alpha < \ell_{\alpha+1} = \ell_\alpha + \kappa < \dots < \ell_g\}$ be a pure κ -sparse gapset with genus g . Then $\ell_g \leq \ell_\alpha + m$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\ell_g > \ell_\alpha + m$ and let r be the smallest index such that $\ell_r > \ell_\alpha + m$. By Proposition 2.5, we conclude that $\ell_r \geq \ell_{\alpha+1} + m$ and thus $\ell_r - \ell_{r-1} \geq \kappa$. Since G is a pure κ -sparse gapset, we have $\ell_r - \ell_{r-1} = \kappa$. Notice that $r \geq \alpha + 1$. In fact, we know that $\ell_j \leq \ell_\alpha < \ell_\alpha + m$, for all $j \in [1, \alpha]$. By the definition of α , we know that α is the greatest index such that $\ell_{i+1} - \ell_i = \kappa$ and thus $r \leq \alpha + 1$. Hence, the only possibility is $r = \alpha + 1$. However $\ell_{\alpha+1} = \ell_\alpha + \kappa \leq \ell_\alpha + m$ and we obtain a contradiction. Hence, $\ell_g \leq \ell_\alpha + m$. \square

The next results are important for the proofs of the main results of this paper. From [Corollary 4.11, [10]] and [Corollary 4.11, [10]] the following two results holds.

Theorem 2.7. *Let G be a numerical semigroup. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) G is symmetric.
- (2) $PF(G) = \{F(G)\}$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let S be a numerical semigroup. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) G is pseudo-symmetric.
- (2) $PF(G) = \{F(G), \frac{F(G)}{2}\}$.

Proposition 2.9 (Corollary 2, [1]). *Let $G = \{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_\alpha < \dots < \ell_g\}$ be a pure k -sparse gapset with genus g , where $\alpha = \max\{i : \ell_{i+1} - \ell_i = k\}$, and consider its canonical partition $G_0 \cup G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_{q-1}$. Then one of the following occurs:*

- $\ell_\alpha, \ell_{\alpha+1} \in G_{q-2}$
- $\ell_\alpha, \ell_{\alpha+1} \in G_{q-1}$
- $\ell_\alpha \in G_{q-2}$ and $\ell_{\alpha+1} \in G_{q-1}$

Proof. From Proposition 2.6, we conclude that $\ell_\alpha \in G_{q-2}$ or $\ell_\alpha \in G_{q-1}$, because $\ell_g \in G_{q-1}$. For the first case, both possibilities can occur: $\ell_{\alpha+1} \in G_{q-2}$ or $\ell_{\alpha+1} \in G_{q-1}$. For the second case, we must have $\ell_{\alpha+1} \in G_{q-1}$ and we are done. \square

Theorem 2.10. *Let G be gapset with genus g , multiplicity m and q , then $G_{q-1} \subset PF(G)$. In particular, $\#G_{q-1} \leq \tau(G)$.*

Proof. For $x \in G_{q-1}$ we have $x \geq (q-1)m + 1$, since $G_{q-1} \subset [(q-1)m + 1, qm - 1]$ and $G = G_0 \cup G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_{q-1}$. Given $s \neq 0$ a non-gap we obtain that $x + s \geq (q-1)m + 1 + m = qm + 1$. Then, $x + s$ is a non-gap for all non-gap $s \neq 0$, i.e., $x \in PF(G)$. \square

3. ON PURE $(2n)$ -SPARSE GAPSETS WITH GENUS $3n + 1$

In this section we let consider the family of the pure κ -sparse gapsets with genus g with $g = 3n + 1$ and $\kappa = 2n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denoted by $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g)$. More precisely, we study the structure of this gapsets and some properties. In particular, we calculate the quantity of the symmetric gapsets in $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g)$.

Throughout the section, n denote a positive integer and $g = 3n + 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let n be a positive integer and $g = 3n + 1$. The family $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g)$ has a hyperelliptic gapset if, and only if, $n = 1$. In particular, $G = \{1, 3, 5, 7\} \in \mathcal{G}_2(4)$.*

Proof. The fact, if some family $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n + 1)$ has a hyperelliptic gapset G we have that $2 = m(G)$. The Proposition 2.4 ensures that $2n \leq m$ we have $2n \leq m(G) = 2$, then $n = 1$. \square

The next proposition tells us that the set $\{i : \ell_{i+1} - \ell_i = 2n\}$ has an unique element.

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapset with genus g . Then there is an unique $\alpha \in [1, g - 1]$ such that $\ell_{\alpha+1} - \ell_\alpha = 2n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > 2$.*

Proof. Suppose there are distinct $\alpha, \beta \in [1, g - 1]$ such that $\ell_{\alpha+1} - \ell_\alpha = 2n$ and $\ell_{\beta+1} - \ell_\beta = 2n$. Then, in $[\ell_\alpha, \ell_{\alpha+1}] \cup [\ell_\beta, \ell_{\beta+1}]$ we have $4n - 2$ non-gaps. Then, in $[1, 2g - 1]$ we have $4n - 2$ non-gaps and $3n + 1$ gaps. Therefore, $7n - 1 = 4n - 2 + 3n + 1 \leq \#[1, 2g] = 2g - 1 = 6n + 1$ what is a contradiction. \square

The next result gives us information about the multiplicity fo a symmetric gapset with genus $3n + 1$ and pure $(2n)$ -sparse.

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapset with genus $g = 3n + 1$ and multiplicity m . If G is symmetric, then $m = 2n$.*

Proof. Suppose that G symmetric. By Theorem 2.7 we have $PF(G) = \{\ell_g\}$, so for each $x \in G$ exists a non-gap $s \neq 0$ such that $x + s \in G$, for all $x \neq \ell_g$. Taking $x = \ell_{g-1}$ exists a non-gap $s \neq 0$ such that $\ell_{g-1} + s \in G$, then $\ell_{g-1} + s = \ell_g$, i.e., $\ell_g - \ell_{g-1} = s$. By Proposition 2.4 we have $2n \leq m$ and conclude that

$$2n \geq \ell_g - \ell_{g-1} = s \geq m \geq 2n.$$

□

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be a pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapset with genus $g = 3n + 1$ and depth q . Then $q \leq 4$.*

Proof. Since $\ell_g \leq 2g - 1 = 6n + 1$ and $2n \leq m$ by Proposition 2.4, we have that

$$q = \left\lceil \frac{c(G)}{m} \right\rceil \leq \left\lceil \frac{6n + 2}{2n} \right\rceil = 4.$$

□

Theorem 3.5. *Let n be a positive integer and $g = 3n + 1$. Let G be a pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapset with genus g and depth q . Then G is symmetric if, and only if, $q = 4$.*

Proof. If G is symmetric, then $\ell_g = 2g - 1 = 6n + 1$ and $q = \left\lceil \frac{c(G)}{m} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{6n+2}{2n} \right\rceil = 4$.

Reciprocally, if $q = 4$ we have by Proposition 2.9 we have $\ell_\alpha \geq 2m + 1$, where $\alpha = \max\{i : \ell_{i+1} - \ell_i = 2n\}$. By Proposition 2.4 we have

$$\ell_g \geq \ell_{\alpha+1} = 2n + \ell_\alpha \geq 2m + 1 + 2n \geq 3(2n) + 1 = 2g - 1.$$

Therefore, $\ell_g = 2g - 1$ and G is symmetric. □

By straightforward calculation, the following holds.

Proposition 3.6. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > 1$ exists a pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapset with genus $g = 3n + 1$ such that $q(G) = 4$.*

Proof. Take $G = [1, 2n - 1] \cup \{2n + 1\} \cup [3n + 1, 4n - 1] \cup \{4n + 1, 6n + 1\}$. □

For the next result we consider a pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapset G with genus $3n + 1$. If $q(G) \leq 3$, then $G = G_0 \cup G_1 \cup G_2$ is the canonical partition. The next result ensures that ℓ_α belongs to either G_0 or G_1 .

Proposition 3.7. *Consider $G = \{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_\alpha < \ell_{\alpha+1} < \dots < \ell_g\}$ be a pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapset with genus g multiplicity m and depth $q \leq 3$, where $\alpha = \max\{i : \ell_{i+1} - \ell_i = 2n\}$. Then $\ell_\alpha \leq 2m - 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\ell_\alpha \geq 2m + 1$. Then, $\ell_{\alpha+1} = \ell_\alpha + 2n \geq 2m + 1 + 2n \geq 3(2n) + 1 = 2g - 1$. By Theorem 3.5 we would have $q = 4$, which is a contradiction. □

The next result guarantees that the family $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g)$ has no any pseudo-symmetric gapsets.

Theorem 3.8. *If G is a pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapset with genus g , then G is not pseudo-symmetric.*

Proof. Suppose that exists a gapset G pseudo-symmetric. By Theorem 3.5 we conclude that $q := q(G) \leq 3$ and by Theorem 2.8 we have $PF(G) = \{\ell_g, \frac{\ell_g}{2}\}$.

- (1) If $q = 2$, then $G = G_0 \cup G_1$ then $x \in PF(G)$ for all $x \in G_1$. Therefore, $\#G_1 \leq \tau(G) = 2$.
 - If $\#G_1 = 0$ then $G = [1, m - 1]$. So G is ordinary and $2n = 1$ implying an absurd.
 - If $\#G_1 = 2$ then $G = [1, m - 1] \cup \{\ell_{g-1}, \ell_g\}$. So $3n + 1 = g = (m - 1) + 2$ we have $m = 3n = \frac{\ell_g}{2}$ what is an absurd.
 - If $\#G_1 = 1$ we have $G = [1, m - 1] \cup \{\ell_g\}$. So $3n + 1 = g = (m - 1) + 1$ and we conclude that $m - 1 = 3n$ and $\ell_{g-1} = m - 1$. Therefore, we would have $\ell_g - \ell_{g-1} = 3n > 2n$. Absurd.
- (2) If $q = 3$, then $G = G_0 \cup G_1 \cup G_2$. Notice that $\ell_g = 2g - 2 = 6n$, $\frac{\ell_g}{2} = 3n$ and $\ell_g - \frac{\ell_g}{2} = 3n > 2n$ then they must not be consecutive gaps, so $\frac{\ell_g}{2} < \ell_{g-1} < \ell_g$ and we conclude that $\ell_{g-1} \notin PF(G)$. Then exists a non-gap $s \neq 0$ such that $\ell_{g-1} + s = \ell_g$. So we conclude that $s = m = 2n$ and therefore

$$\ell_{g-1} = \ell_g - 2n = 6n - 2n = 4n = 2m$$

what is a contradiction. □

Example 3.9. For $n = 1$ we have $g = 3n + 1 = 4$. The every pure 2-sparse gapsets with genus 4 are:

- $\{1, 2, 3, 5\}$,
- $\{1, 2, 4, 5\}$,
- $\{1, 3, 5, 7\}$.

In the next result, we provide a characterization for all symmetric gapsets in $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n + 1)$.

Proposition 3.10. *Let G be a pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapset with genus $g = 3n + 1$ and symmetric. Then, $G = G_0 \cup G_1 \cup G_2 \cup G_3$, $G_3 = \{\ell_g\}$ and $G_2 = \{\ell_{g-1}\}$. In particular, $\alpha = g - 1$, $\ell_{g-1} = 2m + 1$, $\ell_g = 3m + 1$ and $\#G_1 = n$.*

Proof. Since G is symmetric we know that $\ell_g = 2g - 1 = 3m + 1$ by Proposition 3.3 and $PF(G) = \{\ell_g\}$ by Theorem 2.7. The Theorem 3.5 ensures that $G = G_0 \cup G_1 \cup G_2 \cup G_3$. As ℓ_{g-1} not is pseudo-Frobenius, exists a non-gap $s \neq 0$ such that $\ell_{g-1} + s = \ell_g$, then

$$s = \ell_g - \ell_{g-1} \leq 2n = m \leq s$$

Therefore, $\ell_{g-1} = \ell_g - 2n = 6n + 1 - 2n = 2m + 1$. So we conclude that $G_3 = \{\ell_g\}$, $G_2 = \{\ell_{g-1}\}$.

Consequently $3n + 1 = \#G = 2n - 1 + \#G_1 + 2$ where we obtain $\#G_1 = n$.

□

As a consequence of the previous result, we note that $m + 1$ is always a gap. We show this in the next result.

Corollary 3.11. *Let G be a pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapset with genus $g = 3n + 1$ and symmetric. Then $m + 1 \in G$.*

Proof. Suppose that $m + 1 \notin G$, so $m + (m + 1) = 2m + 1$ is a non-gap. But, on the other hand by Proposition 3.10 we have $2m + 1 = \ell_{g-1}$ what is a contradiction. □

Example 3.12. The gapset $G = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 17, 25]$ has genus 13 and is a pure 8-sparse, $\ell_g = 25 = 3m + 1$ and $m + 1 = 9 \in G$. Therefore, G is a symmetric gapset with genus $g = 3n + 1$, where $n = 4$.

Theorem 3.13. *The quantity of symmetric gapsets in $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n + 1)$ is 2^{n-1} .*

Proof. Let G be a pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapset with genus $g = 3n + 1$ and symmetric. As G is symmetric we know that $\ell_g = 2g - 1$, by Proposition 3.3 we have $m = 2n$ and $PF(G) = \{\ell_g\}$ by Theorem 2.7. By Proposition 3.10 we have that $\ell_g = 3m + 1$, $\ell_{g-1} = 2m + 1$ and $G = [1, m - 1] \cup G_1 \cup \{2m + 1\} \cup \{3m + 1\}$ with $\#G_1 = n$. By Corollary 3.11 we have $m + 1 \in G$. Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $n - 1$ possibilities choices for gaps in $G_1 \subset [m + 1, 2m - 1]$.

Since G is symmetric and $PF(G) = \{\ell_g\}$, any gap other than ℓ_g is a non pseudo-Frobenius number. In particular, for each non pseudo-Frobenius number $x \in [m + 2, 2m - 1]$ has the following property:

(1) $\exists y \in [m + 2, 2m - 1]$ such that $x + y = \ell_g$, where y is a non-gap.

Claim 1. For each $x \in [m + 2, 2m - 1] = [2n + 2, 4n - 1]$ there exists a only $y \in [m + 2, 2m - 1]$ satisfying equation and the number of entries for x is $n - 1$.

In fact, since $x \in [m + 2, 2m - 1]$ we can write it as $x = 2n + 2 + i$ where $i = 0, \dots, 2n - 3$ then $6n + 1 = \ell_g = x + y = 2n + 2 + i + y \Rightarrow y = 4n - 1 - i$. Since $0 \leq i \leq 2n - 3$ then $2n + 2 \leq y \leq 4n - 1$, i.e., $y \in [m + 2, 2m - 1]$.

In the next, we define the following set:

$$G' = [1, m - 1] \cup G'_1 \cup \{2m + 1\} \cup \{3m + 1\}$$

where $G'_1 := \{m + 1\} \cup X$, $m = 2n$ and $X \subset [m + 2, 2m - 1]$ consists by all elements $x \in [m + 2, 2m - 1]$ with the following property:

$$(2) \quad x \in X \Leftrightarrow \ell_g - x \notin X.$$

Note by Claim 1 and by construction of the G'_1 has n elementos. Therefore, $\#G' = 3n + 1$. We have 2^{n-1} possibilities to build G'_1 and therefore for G' . Indeed, the number of possibilities for G'_1 defined by the property (2) is

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (2n - 2i)}{(n-1)!} = 2^{n-1}.$$

Note that any G symmetric pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapset with genus $g = 3n + 1$ is like G' .

Claim 2. G' is a gapset.

Indeed, First note that by construction $m, 2m, 3m$ and $4m \notin G$. Therefore, G is m -set. Let $z \in G$ and write $z = x + y$. We consider three cases as follows:

- (1) If $z \in [1, m - 1]$. In this case, both $x, y \in G$.
- (2) If $z \in G'_1, \subseteq [m + 2, 2m - 1]$. In this case, $2x \leq x + y = z \leq 2m - 1$. Thus, $x \leq m - 1$ and $x \in G'_0$.
- (3) If $z = 2m + 1$. Note that $x, y \geq m + 1 \Rightarrow x + y \geq 2m + 2$, so we can conclude without loss of generality that $x \leq m$.
 - If $x = m$, then $y = m + 1 \in G'_1$.
 - If $x \leq m - 1$, then $x \in G'_0$.
- (4) If $z = 3m + 1$. Note that $x, y \geq 2m \Rightarrow x + y \geq 4m$, so we can conclude without loss of generality that $x \leq 2m - 1$.
 - If $x \leq m - 1$, then $x \in G'_0$.
 - If $x = m$, then $y = 2m + 1 \in G'$
 - If $x \in [m + 2, 2m - 1]$. Since $y = \ell_g - x$ we have by Claim 1 and by Property (2) that $x \in G \Leftrightarrow y \notin G$ and conversely $x \notin G \Leftrightarrow y \in G$.

Therefore, G' is a gapset.

□

4. ON PURE $(2n + 1)$ -SPARSE GAPSETS WITH GENUS $3n + 2$

In this section we let consider the family of the pure κ -sparse gapsets with genus g with $g = 3n + 2$ and $\kappa = 2n + 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denoted by $\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(g)$. More precisely, we study the structure of this gapsets, some properties and calculate the quantity of the symmetric gapsets in $\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(g)$.

The next proposition tells us that the set $\{i : \ell_{i+1} - \ell_i = 2n + 1\}$ has an unique element.

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be a pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapset with genus g . Then there is an unique $\alpha \in [1, g - 1]$ such that $\ell_{\alpha+1} - \ell_\alpha = 2n + 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Suppose there are $\alpha, \beta \in [1, g-1]$ distinct such that $\ell_{\alpha+1} - \ell_\alpha = 2n$ and $\ell_{\beta+1} - \ell_\beta = 2n + 1$. Then, in $[\ell_\alpha, \ell_{\alpha+1}]$ and $[\ell_\beta, \ell_{\beta+1}]$ we have $4n$ non-gaps. Then, in $[1, 2g]$ we have $3n + 2$ gaps and at least $4n$ non-gaps. Therefore, $7n + 2 = 4n + 3n + 2 < \#[1, 2g - 1] = 2g - 1 = 6n + 3$ what is a contradiction. \square

The next result ensures that ℓ_α belongs to either G_0 or G_1 .

Proposition 4.2. *Let n and $g = 3n + 2$ be positive integers and consider $G = \{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_\alpha < \ell_{\alpha+1} < \dots < \ell_g\}$ be a pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapset with genus g , multiplicity m and depth $q \leq 3$, where $\alpha = \max\{i : \ell_{i+1} - \ell_i = 2n + 1\}$. Then $\ell_\alpha \leq 2m - 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\ell_\alpha \geq 2m + 1$. Then, $\ell_{\alpha+1} = \ell_\alpha + (2n + 1) \geq 2m + 1 + 2n + 1 \geq 3(2n + 1) + 1 = 6n + 4 = 2g$, which is a contradiction. \square

Example 4.3. The gapset $G = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 17, 26]$ has genus 14 and is a pure 9-sparse with multiplicity $m = 9$. Therefore, G is a pseudo-symmetric gapset with genus $g = 3n + 2$, where $n = 4$.

The next result gives us information about the multiplicity for a pseudo-symmetric gapset with genus $3n + 2$ and pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse .

Proposition 4.4. *Let G be a pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapset with genus g and multiplicity m . If G is pseudo-symmetric, then $m = 2n + 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that G pseudo-symmetric. By Theorem 2.8 we have $PF(G) = \{\ell_g, \frac{\ell_g}{2}\}$, then $\frac{\ell_g}{2} = 3n + 1$. Note that $\ell_g - \frac{\ell_g}{2} = 3n + 1 > 2n + 1$, then exists $\ell \in G$ such that $\frac{\ell_g}{2} < \ell < \ell_g$. Taking $\ell = \ell_{g-1}$ exists a non-gap $s \neq 0$ such that $\ell_{g-1} + s \in G$, then $\ell_{g-1} + s = \ell_g$, i.e., $\ell_g - \ell_{g-1} = s$. By Proposition 2.4 we have $2n + 1 \leq m$ and we conclude that

$$2n + 1 \geq \ell_g - \ell_{g-1} = s \geq m \geq 2n + 1.$$

\square

The next proposition ensures that the family $\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(g)$ does not have any symmetric gapsets.

Proposition 4.5. *If G is a pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapset with genus g , then G not is symmetric.*

Proof. Suppose that G is symmetric. By Theorem 2.7 we have $PF(G) = \{\ell_g\}$, then exist $s \neq 0$ non-gap such that $\ell_{g-1} + s = \ell_g$. Therefore,

$$s = \ell_g - \ell_{g-1} \leq 2n + 1 = m \leq s.$$

Then, we obtain that $\ell_{g-1} = 4n + 2 = 2m$ what is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let G be a pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapset with genus g and depth q . Then $q \leq 3$. In particular, if G is pseudo-symmetric, then $q = 3$*

Proof. By Proposition 2.4 we have $2n + 1 \leq m$, so $\frac{1}{m} \leq \frac{1}{2n+1}$. By Proposition 4.5 we have $c := c(G) \leq 2g - 1 = 6n + 3$. Then

$$q = \lceil \frac{c}{m} \rceil \leq \lceil \frac{6n + 3}{2n + 1} \rceil = 3.$$

In particular, if $\ell_g = 2g - 2$ we have that

$$q = \lceil \frac{c}{m} \rceil = \lceil \frac{6n + 3}{2n + 1} \rceil = 3.$$

□

Remark 4.7. The converse of the previous result is not true. The gapset $G = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 13\}$ is pure 5-sparse gapset with genus 8 e depth 3, however $\ell_g = 2g - 3$, i.e., G is not pseudo-symmetric.

Example 4.8. For $n = 1$ we have $g = 3n + 2 = 5$ and $2n + 1 = 3$. The pure 3-sparse gapsets with genus 5 are:

- $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 7\}$,
- $\{1, 2, 3, 6, 7\}$,
- $\{1, 2, 4, 5, 8\}$.

The following result we give a characterization for all pseudo-symmetric gapsets in $\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n + 2)$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let G be a pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapset with genus g and pseudo-symmetric. Then $G_2 = \{\ell_g\}$ and $\#G_1 = n + 1$, where $G = G_0 \cup G_1 \cup G_2$. In particular, $\alpha = g - 1$, $\ell_{g-1} = 2m - 1$ and $\ell_g = 3m - 1$.*

Proof. Since G is pseudo-symmetric we now that $PF(G) = \{\ell_g, \frac{\ell_g}{2}\} = \{6n + 2, 3n + 1\}$ by Theorem 2.8. Since G is pseudo-symmetric we have $\ell_g = 2g - 2$ and by Corollary 4.6 we have $G = G_0 \cup G_1 \cup G_2$. So $\ell_g - \frac{\ell_g}{2} = 3n + 1 > 2n + 1$ we have that ℓ_{g-1} can not be pseudo-Frobenius number, so exists a non-gap $s \neq 0$ such that $\ell_{g-1} + s = \ell_g$, then

$$s = \ell_g - \ell_{g-1} \leq 2n + 1 = m \leq s$$

Therefore, $\ell_{g-1} = \ell_g - (2n + 1) = 6n + 2 - (2n + 1) = 2m - 1$. So we conclude that $G_2 = \{\ell_g\}$, $G_1 = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n, \ell_{g-1}\}$. □

Theorem 4.10. *The quantity of pseudo-symmetric gapsets in $\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n + 2)$ is 2^{n-1} .*

Proof. Let G be a pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapset with genus g , pseudo-symmetric. As G is pseudo-symmetric we know that $\ell_g = 2g - 2$, by Proposition 4.4 we have $m = 2n + 1$ and $PF(G) = \{\ell_g, \frac{\ell_g}{2}\}$ by Theorem 2.8. By Proposition 4.9 we have $G = G_0 \cup G_1 \cup G_2$

where $G_2 = \{\ell_g\} = \{3m - 1\}$, $\ell_{g-1} = 2m + 1 \in G_1$ and $\#G_1 = n + 1$. By Proposition 4.9 $2m - 1 \in G_1$ and the fact that $\frac{\ell_g}{2} = 3n + 1 \in G_1$ we have, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n - 1$ possibilities choices for gaps in $G_1 \subset [m + 1, 2m - 1]$.

Since G is pseudo-symmetric and $PF(G) = \{\ell_g, \frac{\ell_g}{2}\}$, any gap other than ℓ_g and $\frac{\ell_g}{2}$ is a non pseudo-Frobenius number. In particular, for each non pseudo-Frobenius number $x \in [m + 2, 2m - 1]$ has the following property:

$$(3) \quad x, y \in [m + 1, 2m - 2] \setminus \left\{ \frac{\ell_g}{2} \right\} \text{ such that } x + y = \ell_g$$

Claim 3. For each $x \in [m + 1, 2m - 2] = [2n + 2, 4n]$ there exists $y \in [m + 1, 2m - 2]$ satisfying equation and the number of entries for x is $n - 1$.

Proof. In fact, since $x \in [m + 2, 2m - 2]$ we can write it as $x = 2n + 2 + i$ where $i = 0, \dots, 2n - 2$ then $6n + 2 = \ell_g = x + y = 2n + 2 + i + y \Rightarrow y = 4n - i$. Since $0 \leq i \leq 2n - 2$ then $2n + 2 \leq y \leq 4n$, i.e., $y \in [m + 1, 2m - 2]$. \square

In the next, we define the following set:

$$(4) \quad G' = [1, m - 1] \cup G'_1 \cup \{3m - 1\},$$

where $G'_1 := X \cup \left\{ \frac{\ell_g}{2}, 2m - 1 \right\}$, $m = 2n + 1$ and $X \subset [m + 1, 2m - 2] \setminus \left\{ \frac{\ell_g}{2} \right\}$ with the following property:

$$(5) \quad x \in X \Leftrightarrow \ell_g - x \notin X.$$

Note by Claim 3 and by construction of the G'_1 has $n + 1$ elementos. Therefore, $\#G' = 3n + 1$. We have 2^{n-1} possibilities to build G'_1 and therefore for G' . Indeed, the number of possibilities for G'_1 defined by the property (5) is

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (2n - 2i)}{(n - 1)!} = 2^{n-1}.$$

Note that any pseudo-symmetric pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapset with genus $g = 3n + 2$ is like G' .

Claim 4. G' is a gapset.

In fact, first we note that by construction $m, 2m, 3m \notin G$. Therefore, G is m -set. Let $z \in G$ and write $z = x + y$. We consider three cases as follows:

- (1) If $z \in [1, m - 1]$. In this case, both $x, y \in G$.
- (2) If $z \in G'_1, \subseteq [m + 1, 2m - 1]$. In this case, $2x \leq x + y = z \leq 2m - 1$. Thus, $x \leq m - 1$ and $x \in G'_0$.

(3) If $z = 3m - 1$. Note that $x, y \geq 2m \Rightarrow x + y \geq 4m$, so we can conclude without loss of generality that $x \leq 2m - 1$.

- If $x \leq m - 1$, then $x \in G'_0$.
- If $x = m$, then $y = 2m - 1 \in G'$
- If $x \in [m + 1, 2m - 2]$. Since $y = \ell_g - x$ we have by Claim 3 and by property (5) that $x \in G' \Leftrightarrow y \notin G'$ and conversely $x \notin G' \Leftrightarrow y \in G'$.

Therefore, G' is a gapset.

□

5. THE CARDINALITY OF THE $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n + 1)$ AND $\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n + 2)$

We remember that we denote by $\mathcal{M}_\kappa(g)$ the set of all m -sets with g elements, such that the maximum distance between two consecutive elements (with respect to the natural order) is κ and that lies on $[1, 2g - 1]$. If c is the largest element of an m -set M , then its depth is defined as $\lceil \frac{c}{m} \rceil$, denoted by $q(M)$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_\kappa(g)$ the set of all subsets of $[1, 2g - 1]$ that have g elements and such that the maximum distance between two consecutive elements (with respect to the natural order) is κ . Let $\mathcal{G}_\kappa(g, q(G) \leq q) := \{G \in \mathcal{G}_\kappa(g) \mid q(G) \leq q\}$ be the set of pure κ -sparse gapsets of genus g and depth at most q .

In this section, we introduce the map σ and we discuss which properties the set $\sigma(G)$ has, when $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n + 1)$. Our aim is that $\sigma(G)$ is a pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapset with genus $3n + 2$.

Let n be a non-negative integer and $g = 3n + 1$. We define $\sigma : \mathcal{G}_{2n}(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n+1}(g + 1)$, with

$$G = \{1 = \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_\alpha < \ell_{\alpha+1} < \dots < \ell_g\} \mapsto \\ \sigma(G) = \{1 = \ell_0 < 2 = \ell_1 + 1 < \ell_2 + 1 < \dots < \ell_\alpha + 1 < \ell_{\alpha+1} + 2 < \dots < \ell_g + 2\},$$

where $\alpha = \max\{i \mid \ell_{i+1} - \ell_i = 2n\}$.

Throughout the section, n denote a positive integer and $g = 3n + 1$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let n and q be non-negative integers. The function $\sigma : \mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, q \leq 3) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n+1}(g + 1)$ is well defined and injective.*

- i) *If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, 2)$ with multiplicity m , then $\sigma(G)$ is an $(m + 1)$ -set of depth 2;*
- ii) *If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, 3)$ with multiplicity m , then $\sigma(G)$ is an $(m + 1)$ -set of depth 3.*

Proof. By construction of the $\sigma(G)$ we have that the maximum distance between two consecutive elements in $\sigma(G)$ is $(\ell_{\alpha+1} + 2) - (\ell_\alpha + 1) = 2n + 1$ and there are $g + 1$ elements in $\sigma(G)$.

It remains to solve the cases $q = 2$ and $q = 3$. Let $G = \{1 = \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_\alpha < \ell_{\alpha+1} < \dots < \ell_g\}$ with $m(G) = m$; in particular, $[1, m-1] \subset G$ and $m \notin G$ implies that $[1, m] \subset \sigma(G)$ and $m+1 \notin \sigma(G)$.

If $q = 2$, then $m+1 \leq \ell_g \leq 2m-1$ and the maximum element of $\sigma(G)$, $\ell_g + 2$, is such that $(m+1) + 1 < m+3 \leq \ell_g + 2 \leq 2m+1 = 2(m+1) - 1$. Thus, $2(m+1) \notin \sigma(G)$, which guarantees that $\sigma(G)$ is an $(m+1)$ -set with depth 2.

If $q = 3$, we just need to prove that $2(m+1), 3(m+1) \notin \sigma(G)$. If $2(m+1) = 2m+2 \in \sigma(G)$ then $2m \in G$ with $\ell_\alpha < 2m$ or $2m+1 \in G$ with $\ell_\alpha > 2m+1$. But, the first condition never happens and the Proposition 3.7 ensures that $\ell_\alpha \leq 2m-1$ the second condition is also not met. Thus, $2(m+1) \notin \sigma(G)$. Now notice that $2m+1 \leq \ell_g \leq 3m-1$ and the maximum element of $\sigma(G)$, namely $\ell_g + 2$, is such that $2(m+1) + 1 = 2m+3 \leq \ell_g + 2 \leq 3m+1 < 3(m+1) - 1$. Thus, $3(m+1) \notin \sigma(G)$, which guarantees that $\sigma(G)$ is an $(m+1)$ -set with depth 3.

Now we prove that σ is injective. Let $G' = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_\alpha < a_{\alpha+1} < \dots < a_g\}$ and $\tilde{G} = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_\beta < b_{\beta+1} < \dots < b_g\} \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}(g)$ such that $\sigma(G') = \sigma(\tilde{G})$, where $\alpha = \max\{i : a_{i+1} - a_i = 2n\}$ and $\beta = \max\{i : b_{i+1} - b_i = 2n\}$. First, we prove that $\alpha = \beta$. Otherwise, without loss of generality, suppose that $\alpha < \beta$. Then $a_s = b_s$, for all $s \in [1, \alpha] \cup [\beta+1, g]$ and $a_\beta + 2 = b_\beta + 1$. Then, $2n = b_{\beta+1} - b_\beta = a_{\beta+1} - (a_\beta + 1)$, i.e., $2n+1 = a_{\beta+1} - a_\beta$ which is a contradiction. Hence, $\alpha = \beta$ and $G' = \tilde{G}$. □

Now, we look at pure $(2n)$ -sparse gapsets with genus $g = 3n+1$ and depth 2 and 3, namely $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, 2) := \{G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}(g) : q(G) = 2\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, 3) := \{G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}(g) : q(G) = 3\}$, respectively. Theorem 5.1 guarantees that gapsets with multiplicity m and depth at most 3 are mapped onto $(m+1)$ -sets with depth at most 3. Now we look for conditions that ensure that those $(m+1)$ -sets are in fact gapsets.

Proposition 5.2. *Let n and $g = 3n+1$ be non-negative integers and $\sigma_2 := \sigma|_{\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, 2)}$. Then $Im(\sigma_2) \subset \mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(g+1, 2)$.*

Proof. Let $G \in Im(\sigma_2)$. Then there is $G' \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}(g)$ such that $\sigma_2(G') = G$. If $m(G') = m$ and $q(G') = 2$, then Theorem 5.1 guarantees that G is an $(m+1)$ -set with depth 2. By Proposition 2.1, we conclude that G is a gapset and $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(g+1, 2)$. □

Proposition 5.3. *Let n and $g = 3n+1$ be non-negative integers and $\sigma_3 := \sigma|_{\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, 3)}$. Then $Im(\sigma_3) \subset \mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(g+1, 3)$.*

Proof. Let $G = \{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_\alpha < \ell_{\alpha+1} < \dots < \ell_g\} \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, 3)$, with $m(G) = m$. By Theorem 5.1, $\sigma(G)$ is a m -set that belongs in $\mathcal{M}_{2n+1}(g+1, 3)$. It remains to prove that $\sigma_3(G)$ is a gapset. Consider the canonical partition of $\sigma(G)$, namely $G'_0 \cup G'_1 \cup G'_2$. Let $z \in \sigma(G)$ and write $z = x + y$, with $x \leq y$. We consider three cases as follows:

- (1) $z \in G'_0 = [1, m]$. In this case, both x and $y \in G'_0$.
- (2) $z \in G'_1 \subseteq [m + 2, 2m + 1]$. In this case, $2x \leq x + y = z \leq 2m + 1$. Thus, $x \leq m$ and $x \in G'_0$.
- (3) $z \in G'_2 \subseteq [2m + 3, 3m + 1]$. We claim that if $x \leq m$ or $y \geq 2m + 1$, then $x \in G'_0$. The first case is trivial and the second one implies that $3m + 1 \geq z \geq x + 2m + 1$ and we obtain $x \leq m$. Hence it remains to show that if $x, y \in [m + 1, 2m]$, with $x \leq y$, then x or $y \in G'_1$.
 - Consider $\ell_{\alpha+1} \leq 2m - 1$. In this case, we claim that $y - 1 \leq \ell_\alpha$. In fact, if $y \geq \ell_\alpha + 2$, then $z \geq (m+1) + (\ell_\alpha + 2) = \ell_\alpha + m + 3$. Thus, $G \ni z - 2 \geq \ell_\alpha + m + 1$, which is a contradiction according to Proposition 2.6. Thus, both $x - 1$ and $y - 1 \leq \ell_\alpha$ and we can write $z - 2 = (x - 1) + (y - 1)$. Since $z - 2 \in G$ and G is a gapset, we conclude that $x - 1$ or $y - 1 \in G$ and thus $x \in \sigma(G)$ or $y \in \sigma(G)$.
 - Consider $\ell_{\alpha+1} \geq 2m + 1$. By Proposition 3.7, we have $\ell_\alpha \leq 2m - 1$ and thus $\ell_\alpha + 1 \in G'_1$. Hence, $z \geq \ell_{\alpha+1} + 2$. In this case, $x \leq y \leq 2m < \ell_{\alpha+1}$ and $G \ni z - 2 = (x - 1) + (y - 1)$. Since G is a gapset, then $x - 1$ or $y - 1 \in G$. Hence, $x \in \sigma(G)$ or $y \in \sigma(G)$.

□

The next result show that follow union $\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n + 2) = \mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n + 2, q \leq 3) \cup \{G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(g + 1) | G \text{ is pseudo-symmetric}\}$ is disjoint.

Proposition 5.4. *For all $G' \in \sigma(\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, q \leq 3))$ we have $\ell_{g'} \leq 2g' - 3$ where $g' = g(G') = 3n + 2$ and $g = 3n + 1$. In particular, G' is not pseudo-symmetric.*

Proof. If $G' \in \sigma(\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, q))$ exists $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, q)$ such that $G' = \sigma(G)$. By Theorem 3.8 we have $\ell_g \leq 2g - 3 = 6n - 1$ and definition of the σ we have $\ell_{g'} = \ell_g + 2 \leq 6n + 1 = 2g' - 3$ □

The next theorem guarantees that the image of $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, q \leq 3)$ by σ does not contain pseudo-symmetric gapsets. This is important because it provides insight into the structure of the set $\sigma(\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, q \leq 3))$.

Theorem 5.5. *The set $\sigma(\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, q \leq 3))$ no has a pseudo-symmetric pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapset with genus $g + 1$. In particular, $\sigma(\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, q \leq 3)) = \mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(g + 1) \setminus \mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{P} = \{G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(g + 1) | G \text{ pseudo-symmetric}\}$.*

Proof. The Theorem 5.1 guarantees that σ is injective; Propositions 5.2 and 5.3 guarantee that σ is well defined. Hence, we only have to prove that σ is surjective. Now, notice that that $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, q \leq 3)$ has only the gapsets with depth 2 or 3. The Proposition 5.4 ensures that $\sigma(\mathcal{G}_{2n}(g, q \leq 3))$ no has a G pure $(2n + 1)$ -sparse gapset with genus $g = 3n + 2$, pseudo-symmetric.

Write $G = \{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \ell_3 < \dots < \ell_\alpha < \ell_{\alpha+1} < \dots < \ell_{g+1}\} \in \mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(g+1) \setminus \mathcal{P}$ and assume that its multiplicity is m . Now $\ell_{g+1} \leq 2(g+1) - 3$ by Proposition 5.4. In particular G has depth at most 3 and thus $\ell_{g+1} \leq 3m - 1$. The Proposition 4.1 ensures that α is the unique index such that $\ell_{\alpha+1} - \ell_\alpha = 2n + 1$. We show that $G' = \{\ell_2 - 1 < \ell_3 - 1 < \dots < \ell_\alpha - 1 < \ell_{\alpha+1} - 2 < \dots < \ell_{g+1} - 2\} \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}(g)$ and satisfies $\sigma(G') = G$.

Naturally, $\#G' = g$, the maximum distance between to elements of G' is $(\ell_{\alpha+1} - 2) - (\ell_\alpha - 1) = 2n$ and definition of the G' the first positive integer that does not belong to G' is $m - 1$. Now we prove that G' is a $(m - 1)$ -set:

- $2(m - 1) \notin G'$

Suppose that $2m - 2 \in G'$. In this case, we could have $2m \in G$ or $2m - 1 \in G$. The first case does not occur, because m is the multiplicity of G . In the second case, we have $2m - 1 \leq \ell_\alpha$ (otherwise, the correspondent element would be $(2m - 1) - 2 = 2m - 3$). By Proposition 4.2, we have that $\ell_\alpha = 2m - 1$. Thus, $\ell_{\alpha+1} = \ell_\alpha + (2n + 1) = 2m - 1 + 2n + 1 \geq 2(2n + 1) + 2n = 6n + 2 = 2(g + 1) - 2$, which contradicts the Proposition 4.5. Hence, $2m - 2 \notin G'$.

- $3(m - 1) \notin G'$

We already know that $\ell_{g+1} \leq 3m - 1$ and thus the Frobenius number of G' is such that $\ell_{g+1} - 2 \leq 3m - 3$. Hence, we only have to show that $\ell_{g+1} \neq 3m - 1$ which implies that $\ell_{g+1} - 2 \leq 3m - 4$. Suppose that $\ell_{g+1} = 3m - 1$. In this case, we have $2m - 1 \in G$ and we could have $\ell_\alpha < 2m - 1$ or $\ell_\alpha = 2m - 1$. The first case implies that $\ell_\alpha + m < 3m - 1$. By Proposition 2.6 $\ell_{g+1} \leq \ell_\alpha + m$ and we would have $3m - 1 = \ell_{g+1} < 3m - 1$ what is absurd. In the second case, we obtain $\ell_{\alpha+1} = \ell_\alpha + (2n + 1) = 2m - 1 + 2n + 1 \geq 2(2n + 1) + 2n = 3(2n) + 2 = 6n + 2 = 2(g + 1) - 2$, which contradicts the definition of \mathcal{P} and the Proposition 4.5. Hence, $3m - 3 \notin G'$.

Therefore, G' is a $(m - 1)$ -set for $q = 2$ and $q = 3$. Hence, we can write $G' = G'_0 \cup G'_1 \cup G'_2$, with $G'_0 = [1, m - 2]$, $G'_1 \subseteq [m, 2m - 3]$ and $G'_2 \subseteq [2m - 1, 3m - 4]$. Now we prove that G' is a gapset. Let $z \in G'$ and write $z = x + y$, with $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \leq y$.

- If $z \in G'_0$, then x and $y \in G'_0$.
- If $z \in G'_1$, then $z \leq 2m - 3$ and $2x \leq 2m - 3$ and $x \in G'_0$.
- Let $z \in G'_2$. If $x \leq m - 2$, then $x \in G'_0$. If $y \geq 2m - 1$, then $x \leq m - 3$ and $x \in G'_0$. Hence, we can consider $x, y \in [m, 2m - 3]$. Notice that $\ell_\alpha \leq 2m - 2$ (if $\ell_\alpha = 2m - 1$, then $2m - 2 \in G'$, which does not occur). In particular, $\ell_\alpha - 1 \leq 2m - 3$ and $z \geq \ell_{\alpha+1} - 2$. Thus $z = \ell_t - 2$, for some $t \in [\alpha + 1, g + 1]$. We claim that $y < \ell_\alpha$. Otherwise, $z = x + y \geq m + \ell_\alpha$ and it implies that $\ell_t > \ell_\alpha + m$, which is a contradiction according to Proposition 2.6. Hence, $x \leq y < \ell_\alpha$. By writing $\ell_t - 2 = z = x + y$, i.e., $\ell_t = (x + 1) + (y + 1)$ and using that G is a gapset, we conclude that $x + 1 \in G$ or $y + 1 \in G$. Hence, $x \in G'$ or $y \in G'$.

□

TABLE 1. Some informations related to (s_n)

n	s_n	$\frac{s_n}{s_{n-1}}$	$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^n s_i}{s_n}$
1	3	2.6666	1
2	8	2.7500	1.3750
3	22	2.4545	1.500
4	54	2.500	1.6111
5	135	2.4518	1.6444
6	331	2.4410	1.6706
7	808		1.6844

The family of gapsets $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n+1)$ is composed of gapsets of depth $q \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. Since the Theorem 3.5 guarantees that the only gapsets with $q = 4$ are the symmetric ones, we can write $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n+1) = \mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n+1, q \leq 3) \cup \mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n+1, 4)$ for $q \in \{2, 3\}$. On the other hand, the family of gapsets $\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n+2)$ is composed of gapsets of depth 2 or 3. According to the Proposition 4.5 a familia $\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n+2)$ does not contain symmetric gapsets and the Corollary 4.6 guarantees that pseudo-symmetric gapsets have depth 3. Therefore, we can write $\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n+2) = \{G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n+2) \mid G \text{ is not pseudo-symmetric}\} \cup \{G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n+2) \mid G \text{ is pseudo-symmetric}\}$. The Theorem 5.5 guarantees that the cardinality of the family $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n+1, q \leq 3)$ is the same as that of the set $\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n+2) \mid G \text{ is not pseudo-symmetric}\}$.

Corollary 5.6. $\#\mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n+1) = \#\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n+2)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We have by Theorem 3.13 that $\#\mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n+1, 4) = 2^{n-1}$ and Theorem 4.10 guarantees that $\#\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n+2) \mid G \text{ is pseudo-symmetric}\} = 2^{n-1}$. Now, from Theorem 5.5 we conclude that $\#\mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n+1) = \#\mathcal{G}_{2n+1}(3n+2)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Let s_n is the cardinality of $\mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n+1)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here are the first few values of the sequence $(s_n)_n$: $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_7) = (3, 8, 22, 54, 135, 331, 808)$.

We can notice that the sequence $(s_n)_n$ has an asymptotic growth similar to the sequence (g_w) described in [1].

We conclude this section by presenting Table 2, which illustrates our results. The values were obtained using the package [5] in GAP.

TABLE 2. A few values for $\#\mathcal{G}_\kappa(g)$

$g \backslash \kappa$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	n_g
0	1																				1
1	1																				1
2	1	1																			2
3	1	2	1																		4
4	1	3	2	1																	7
5	1	5	3	2	1																12
6	1	7	7	5	2	1															23
7	1	10	12	8	5	2	1														39
8	1	15	18	17	8	5	2	1													67
9	1	20	31	28	18	12	5	2	1												118
10	1	27	51	49	34	22	12	5	2	1											204
11	1	38	78	87	57	40	22	12	5	2	1										343
12	1	51	125	147	100	76	42	30	12	5	2	1									592
13	1	70	195	237	177	134	83	54	30	12	5	2	1								1001
14	1	95	297	399	309	239	150	99	54	30	12	5	2	1							1693
15	1	128	457	654	530	422	259	183	103	70	30	12	5	2	1						2857
16	1	172	705	1061	902	723	452	336	199	135	70	30	12	5	2	1					4806
17	1	230	1074	1717	1513	1248	811	590	363	243	135	70	30	12	5	2	1				8045
18	1	309	1621	2777	2535	2148	1411	1037	646	444	251	167	70	30	12	5	2	1			13467
19	1	413	2448	4464	4232	3636	2434	1810	1124	804	480	331	167	70	30	12	5	2	1		22464

6. FURTHER QUESTIONS

The values obtained in Table 2 indicate that it is possible for an injective function to exist between the sets $\mathcal{G}_\kappa(g)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa+1}(g+1)$, for example, in [1] they use the condition $2g \leq 3k$ to build this map. Furthermore, these values also indicate that it is possible to obtain an injective map from $\mathcal{G}_\kappa(g)$ to $\mathcal{G}_\kappa(g+1)$. We can write these questions as follows:

Question 6.1. Let g and κ be non-negative integers. Is there an injective map $\mathcal{G}_\kappa(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\kappa+1}(g+1)$?

Question 6.2. Let g and κ be non-negative integers. Is there an injective map $\mathcal{G}_\kappa(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\kappa(g+1)$?

Notice that a positive answer to any of them implies that the sequence (n_g) is increasing for $g \in \mathbb{N}$.

Another question that arises is about the behavior of the sequence $(s_n)_n$, where $s_n = \#\mathcal{G}_{2n}(3n+1)$. In fact, Theorem 3.13 guarantees that for depth 4 a part of this sequence is known, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, it may be interesting to know information about its sequence, which was unknown at OEIS before this work.

Question 6.3. Is it possible to determine a closed formula for the sequence $(s_n)_n$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$?

Question 6.4. Are there remarkable properties about the sequence $(s_n)_n$?

REFERENCES

- [1] Almeida Filho, Gilberto and Bernardini, Matheus.: On pure κ -sparse gapsets, *Semigroup Forum* 104, 1-15 (2022)
- [2] Blanco, V., Rosales, J.C.: The set of numerical semigroups of a given genus, *Semigroup Forum* 85, 255-267 (2012)
- [3] Bras-Amorós, M.: The ordinarization transform of a numerical semigroup and semigroups with a large number of intervals, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 216, 2507-2518 (2012)
- [4] Bras-Amorós, M.: Fibonacci-like behavior of the number of numerical semigroups of a given genus, *Semigroup Forum* 76, 379-384 (2008)
- [5] Delgado, M., García-Sánchez P.A., Morais J.: *NumericalSgps*, A package for numerical semigroups, Version 1.2.2 (2020)
- [6] Eliahou S., Fromentin J.: Gapsets and numerical semigroups, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* 169, 105 - 129 (2020)
- [7] Kaplan, N.: Counting numerical semigroups, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 124, 862 - 875 (2017)
- [8] Kaplan, N.: Counting numerical semigroups by genus and some cases of a question of Wilf, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 216, 1016-1032 (2012)
- [9] Munuera, C., Torres, F., Villanueva, J.: Sparse Numerical Semigroups. In: Bras-Amorós M., Hoholdt T. (eds) *Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes. AAECC 2009. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 5527. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2009)
- [10] Rosales, J.C., García-Sánchez, P.A.: *Numerical Semigroups. Developments in Mathematics*, vol. 20, Springer, New York (2009)

- [11] Oliveira, G.: Weierstrass semigroups and the canonical ideal of non-trigonal curves, *Manuscripta Math.* 71, 431–450 (1991),
- [12] Tizziotti, G., Villanueva, J.: On κ -sparse numerical semigroups, *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications* 11, Vol. 17, 1850209 (2018)
- [13] Zhai A.: Fibonacci-like growth of numerical semigroups of a given genus, *Semigroup Forum* 86, 634–662 (2013)

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO MATO GROSSO, CAMPUS VÁRZEA GRANDE, MATO GROSSO, MT, BRAZIL

Email address: gilberto.filho@ufmt.br

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO MATO GROSSO, CAMPUS CUIABÁ, MATO GROSSO, MT, BRAZIL

Email address: stefani.vieira1@ufmt.br