

# LONG-TIME ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE ABLOWITZ-LADIK SYSTEM WITH PRESENT OF SOLITONS

MEISEN CHEN, ENGUI FAN, AND ZHAOYU WANG

**ABSTRACT.** Abstract]In this article, we investigate some problems about the initial-value problem of the focusing Ablowitz-Ladik system, and the initial data belongs to a discrete weighted  $\ell^2$  space. On the one hand, we have proved the global well-posedness for the initial-value problem. Utilizing the fact that the initial data belongs to the discrete weighted  $\ell^2$  space, we construct a Riemann-Hilbert problem for the initial-value problem. By Fredholm theory, the Riemann-Hilbert problem is uniquely solved with the jump contour consisting of three circles that all center around the origin. On the other hand, based on the global well-posedness and the Riemann-Hilbert problem, we allow the solution to have the higher-order solitons, and then analyze the long-time asymptotics for the solution in different sectors on the upper-half plane.

**KEYWORDS:** Global wellposedness, Fredholm theory, long-time asymptotics, higher-order soliton

**MSC 2020:** 35P25, 35Q15, 35B40, 37K40

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Main results	3
2. Global well-posedness	4
3. Riemann-Hilbert problem	5
3.1. Jost solutions and modified Jost solutions	5
3.2. Scattering coefficients	6
3.3. Discrete spectrum	8
3.4. The reconstruction formula	8
4. Fredholm alternative, Pure RH problem and the solvability	9
4.1. Remove the poles	9
4.2. The solvability	11
5. Long-time asymptotics for $ \xi  < 1$	15
5.1. Transform to $M^{(1)}$	17
5.2. Split the jump contour	19
5.3. The factorization of the $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem	20
6. Long-time asymptotics for the soliton region	27
Appendix A. Parabolic cylinder model	31
References	32

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Ablowitz-Ladik systems read:

$$(1.1) \quad i \frac{d}{dt} q_n = q_{n+1} - 2q_n + q_{n-1} + \sigma |q_n|^2 (q_{n+1} + q_{n-1}), \quad \sigma = \pm 1$$

which is the completely integrable discretization of nonlinear Schrödinger equations:

$$(1.2) \quad i u_t = u_{xx} + \sigma |u|^2 u,$$

*Date:* August 1, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C40, 14E20; Secondary 46E25, 20C20.

*Key words and phrases.* Global wellposedness, Fredholm theory, long-time asymptotics.

and it was first introduced by Ablowitz and Ladik in the 1970s [2, 3]. The inverse scattering transform of (1.1) has been well investigated [4, 31, 1, 30]. By the connection between Ablowitz-Ladik systems and orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, Nenciu [29] have constructed the Lax pair for the Ablowitz-Ladik systems. Using methods of algebraic geometry, Miller et al [28] studied the finite genus solutions for Ablowitz-Ladik systems. The multi-Hamilton structure is constructed by Gekhtman and Nenciu for the Ablowitz-Ladik system in the defocusing cases [18]. In this article, our research focus on the global well-posedness and the Fredholm theory of the initial-value problem of Ablowitz-Ladik system in the focusing case, and based on these, we study the long-time asymptotics. The focusing Ablowitz-Ladik system reads, that is, (1.1) with  $\sigma = 1$ :

$$(1.3) \quad i \frac{d}{dt} q_n = q_{n+1} - 2q_n + q_{n-1} + |q_n|^2 (q_{n+1} + q_{n-1}).$$

We constrain the initial potential in the weighted  $\ell^2$  space:  $\ell^{2,1}$ . In addition, we suppose no spectral singularity for the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem, and do not restrict the poles of the RH problem to be simple. Under these assumption, by analyzing the Laurent's expansion of the solution for RH problem on the discrete spectrum, we equivalently transform it into RH problem 4.3 with the jump contour consisting of three circles. It is interesting that RH problem 4.3 is a pure RH problem without discrete spectrum. Utilizing the Vanishing Lemma, we develop the Fredholm theory for RH problem 4.3, which ensure the solvability of this RH problem.

It is well acknowledged that on the complex plane, a matrix-valued RH problem is not always solved [6]. In 1989, Zhou have developed a Vanishing Lemma for the  $n \times n$  RH problem whose jump contour is symmetric about the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  by Fredholm theory [33]. In Zhou's work, the jump matrix admits skew-Hermitian outside  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $v(z) = v(\bar{z})^\dagger$ , and he specified it to be positively definite along  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $\operatorname{Re} v|_{\mathbb{R}} > \mathbf{0}$ . The Vanishing Lemma ensures the Fredholm alternative to be zero and consequently the solvability of the matrix-valued RH problem. For some discrete integrable systems, the discrete and continuous spectrum are symmetric about the unit circle, like Ablowitz-Ladik systems [4, 1, 31, 30], Toda system [24], discrete sine-Gordon equation [8]. For the discrete integrable systems, if ones also develop the vanishing lemma, then it ensures the solvability of the corresponding RH problem, which is the Lemma 4.4's results.

The long-time asymptotic behavior for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, the equation (1.2) with  $\sigma = -1$ , has been studied thoroughly [16, 12, 14, 15, 17]. In 2018, Borghese et al have investigated the long-time asymptotics for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation [7]. Utilizing the dbar steepest descent method, they indicate that the leading terms of the asymptotic formula are determined by the solitons and the RH problem at the stationary phase points, and the error is  $\mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{4}})$ . The dbar steepest descent is first introduced when handling the asymptotic analysis for the orthogonal polynomials [26, 27]. Recently, Chen et al have constructed the inverse scattering theory on the discrete weighted  $\ell^2$  space for the defocusing Ablowitz-Ladik system, i.e. (1.1) with  $\sigma = -1$  [9], and based on this, they investigate the long-time asymptotic behavior for the initial-value problem of the defocusing Ablowitz-Ladik system on the background of lower regularity [10]. The results show that according to the long-time asymptotic behavior, the upper half plane  $(n, t)$  is divided into three sectors, two of which are fast decaying regions with the rate  $\mathcal{O}(t^{-1})$  while the other one is the oscillatory region where the long-time asymptotic behavior is agree with that for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation [16]. The results also consist with the work of Stewart in 2023 that shows the long time asymptotic behavior by linear estimates for some small-norm initial potential [32].

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the global well-posedness of the focusing Ablowitz-Ladik system in the discrete weight  $\ell^2$  space:  $\ell^{2,1}$ . In Section 3, we introduce the direct scattering and construct RH problem 3.2 for the initial-problem. When the initial potential belongs to  $\ell^{2,1}$ , it is verified that the reflection coefficient belongs to  $H^1$ , and the discrete spectrum are invariant about the mapping:  $\lambda \mapsto \bar{\lambda}^{-1}$ . In Section 4, we investigate the solvability of RH problem 3.2. Transforming it into a new RH problem for  $\tilde{M}$ , we apply Fredholm theory on  $\tilde{M}$ , and then uniquely solve the RH problem 4.3. In Section 5, we study the long-time asymptotic in the region I:  $\xi \in (-1, 1)$ . In this region, the leading term of the asymptotic formula is the solitonic part  $q_n^{\mathbb{Z}\xi}(t)$ , and the second one with decaying rate  $\mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  is determined by the reflection coefficient around stationary phase points, and the remaining part is dominated by  $\mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{4}})$ . The result is consisting with those for nonlinear Schrödinger equation [7]. In Section 6, we study the region II:  $\xi < -1$  and region III:  $\xi > 1$ . In these regions, the leading term is also the soliton, but the second term in the region I vanishes and the remaining part is dominated by  $\mathcal{O}(t^{-1})$ .

List some notation exploited in this paper below:

- The right-shifting operator  $E$ :  $Ef(n) = f(n+1)$ , the left-shifting operator  $E^{-1}$ :  $E^{-1}f(n) = f(n-1)$ .

- $\ell^1$ :  $\{\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} : \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty\}$ , where  $\|a_n\|_1 = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n|$ .
- $\ell^{k,1}$ :  $\{\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} : \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)|a_n|^k < \infty\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , where the norm reads:  $\|a_n\|_{k,1} = (\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)|a_n|^k)^{\frac{1}{k}}$ .
- $H^1$ :  $\{f(z) \in L^2(\Sigma) : \partial_{\theta} f(e^{i\theta}) \in L^2([0, 2\pi])\}$ , where  $\Sigma = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$  is the unit circle at the origin and  $\|f\|_{H^1} = \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\partial_{\theta} f\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}$ .
- The Pauli matrices:

$$(1.4) \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- The phase function on  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ :

$$(1.5) \quad \phi(\lambda, n, t) = -it(\lambda + \lambda^{-1} - 2) + n \ln \lambda.$$

- The discrete spectrum  $\mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}^{-1}}$ :

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{Z} = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l\}.$$

And

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\xi}^+ = \mathcal{Z} \cap \{\operatorname{Re} \phi > 0\}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\xi} = \mathcal{Z} \cap \{\operatorname{Re} \phi = 0\}.$$

**1.1. Main results.** The initial-value problem of the focusing Ablowitz-Ladik system reads:

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} i \frac{d}{dt} q_n = q_{n+1} - 2q_n + q_{n-1} + |q_n|^2(q_{n+1} + q_{n-1}), \\ q_n(t=0) = q_n(0) \in \ell^{2,1}, \end{cases}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the spatial variable,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  is the time variable. When we take the initial data shown in (1.8), the solution is globally well-posed, and in RH problem 3.2, the reflection coefficient  $r(\lambda)$  belongs to  $H^1$ .  $r(\lambda) \in H^1$  means that it is  $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuous on the unit circle. In the residue condition of RH problem 3.2, the order of the poles is set to be  $\alpha_{l'}$  for any  $l' = 1, \dots, l$ , and we remove the poles by some triangular rational functions, and equivalently transform RH problem 3.2 into RH problem 4.3 without poles. By the Fredholm theory and Vanishing Lemma, we unique solve RH problem 3.2.

Based on the solvability of RH problem 3.2, we construct the long-time asymptotic formulas, and according to it, we divide the upper-half plane  $(n, t)$  into three sectors: two soliton regions and one solitonic-oscillatory region.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The initial-value problem (1.8) is uniquely solved, and the solution satisfies the following asymptotic properties on the upper-half plane  $(n, t)$ :*

- In region I:  $\xi \in (-1, 1)$ ,

$$(1.9) \quad q_n(t) = \left( \prod_{l'=1}^l |\lambda_{l'}|^{2\alpha_{l'}} \right) e^{\int_{S_1}^{S_2} \frac{\ln(1+|r(s)|^2)}{2\pi i s} ds} \left( q_n^{\mathcal{Z}_{\xi}}(t) + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} q_n^{Osc}(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{4}}) \right),$$

where  $q^{\mathcal{Z}_{\xi}}$  is the soliton, and  $q^{Osc}$  is the oscillatory part defined in (5.5).

- In regions II and III:  $\xi < -1$  and  $\xi > 1$ ,

$$(1.10) \quad q_n(t) \sim q_n^{\mathcal{Z}_{\xi}}(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}).$$

**Remark 1.2.** In the above theorem, we have presented the long-time asymptotics for the initial-value problem in region I III, and the results for the transition regions is undergoing.

Our analysis exploits the Lax representation:

$$(1.11) \quad EX = AX, \quad \frac{dX}{dt} = BX,$$

$$(1.12) \quad A = z^{\sigma_3} + Q, \quad B = \frac{\sigma_3}{i} \left( \frac{(z - z^{-1})^2}{2} - Q(E^{-1}Q) + z^{\sigma_3}Q - (E^{-1}Q)z^{\sigma_3} \right),$$

where  $Q$  is a skew-symmetry matrix

$$(1.13) \quad Q = Q(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q_n \\ -\bar{q}_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

That a smooth function solves the initial-value problem (1.8) is equivalent to the compatibility condition:

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = (EB)A - AB,$$

which is proved to be the focusing Ablowitz-Ladik system. Introducing

$$c_n(t) = \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} (1 + |q_k(t)|^2),$$

it is readily seen that  $c_{-\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} c_n(t)$  is a conserved quantity. By direct computation,

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} c_{-\infty} = \frac{d}{dt} \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |q_n(t)|^2) = c_{-\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{d}{dt} q_n(t) \overline{q_n(t)} + q_n(t) \frac{d}{dt} \overline{q_n(t)}}{1 + |q_n(t)|^2}$$

and taking (1.8) into (1.14), we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt} c_{-\infty} \equiv 0,$$

that is,  $c_{-\infty}$  is independent on  $t$ .

## 2. GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS

In this section, we consider the solution of the initial value problem (1.8). We see that if the initial potential  $q(0)$  is in a given weighted  $\ell^2$  space and the conserved quantity  $c_{-\infty}$  is finite, then the solution is globally well-posed, which we shown in Proposition 2.1.

**Proposition 2.1.** When  $q(0) \in \ell^{2,1}$  and  $c_{-\infty}$  is finite, the initial value problem (1.8) admits a unique solution  $q(t) \in \ell^{2,1}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and the solution is Lipschitz continuous about  $t$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of  $c_{-\infty}$ , notice the initial potential satisfies  $\|q(0)\|_{\infty} < c_{-\infty}$ . We discuss the solution of (1.8) in the Banach space:

$$B(q(0), c_{-\infty}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \in \ell^{\infty} : \|a - q(0)\|_{\infty} < c_{-\infty}\}.$$

Since the right-hand side of (1.8) is Lipschitz continuous, by a standard argument about ODEs in a Banach space, there exist  $t_1 > 0$  such that for  $t \in (-t_1, t_1)$ , (1.8) admits a unique solution, and  $t_1$  is determined by the conserved quantity  $c_{-\infty}$ . Moreover, noticing that  $\|q(-\frac{t_1}{2})\|_{\infty}, \|q(\frac{t_1}{2})\|_{\infty} \leq c_{-\infty}$ , we can extended the solution to  $t \in (-\frac{t_1}{2}, \frac{3t_1}{2}) \cup (-\frac{3t_1}{2}, \frac{t_1}{2}) = (-\frac{3t_1}{2}, \frac{3t_1}{2})$  by investigating the solution of (1.8) in the ball  $B(q(-\frac{t_1}{2}), c_{-\infty})$  and  $B(q(\frac{t_1}{2}), c_{-\infty})$ , respectively; repeating the procedure, we uniquely extended the solution  $q(t)$  to  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $q(t)$  belongs to  $B(0, c_{-\infty})$ .

Since we have proved the solvability of (1.8) and the uniqueness of solution in  $B(0, c_{-\infty})$ , we claim that the solution  $q(t)$  does not blow up in  $\ell^{2,1}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . By (1.8),

$$|\frac{d}{dt} q_n(t)| \lesssim |q_n(t)| + |q_{n-1}(t)| + |q_{n+1}(t)|,$$

i.e.,

$$|\frac{d}{dt} |q_n(t)|^2| \lesssim |q_n(t)|^2 + |q_{n-1}(t)|^2 + |q_{n+1}(t)|^2.$$

Summing up the both sides in the above inequality, we obtain that there exists positive constant  $C$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dt} |q(t)|^2 \right\|_{1,1} \leq C \|q(t)\|_{2,1}^2.$$

Integrate both sides of (2.1) on the interval:  $[0, t]$  for  $t > 0$  (or  $[t, 0]$  for  $t < 0$ ), it is readily seen that

$$(2.2) \quad \|q(t)\|_{2,1} - \|q(0)\|_{2,1} \leq C \int_0^t \|q(\tau)\|_{2,1} d\tau,$$

therefore by Gronwell's inequality,  $\|q(t)\|_{2,1} \leq \|q(0)\|_{2,1} e^{Ct}$  never blows up. Additionally, in the similar way, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we obtain that

$$(2.3) \quad \|q(t \pm \epsilon) - q(t)\|_{2,1} \lesssim \int_0^{\pm \epsilon} \|q(\tau)\|_{2,1} d\tau,$$

which means that the solution  $q(t)$  is Lipschitz continuous in  $\ell^{2,1}$  about  $t$ . This proves the results.  $\square$

## 3. RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEM

**3.1. Jost solutions and modified Jost solutions.** Denote  $X^\pm(z, n, t)$  as the Jost solution for the Lax pair, and it satisfies:

$$X^\pm(z, n, t) \sim z^{n\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(z-z^{-1})^2 t \sigma_3}.$$

Notice that the Jost solution satisfies that

$$(3.1) \quad \det X^+(z, n, t) \equiv c_n(t)^{-1}, \quad \det X^-(z, n, t) \equiv c_{-\infty} c_n(t)^{-1}.$$

Recalling that  $c_{-\infty}$  is positive, by (3.1), we see that  $X^\pm(z, n, t)$  are invertible. By uniqueness of the fundamental solution, there is a unique  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $S(z)$  called scattering matrix such that

$$(3.2) \quad X^-(z, n, t) = X^+(z, n, t)S(z).$$

We note that the matrix  $Q(n)$  is skew-Hermitian, therefore, by the Lax pair (1.11), it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad X^\pm(z, n, t) = \overline{\sigma_2 X^\pm(\bar{z}^{-1}, n, t) \sigma_2}.$$

We naturally introduce the modified Jost solutions

$$(3.4) \quad Y^\pm = Y^\pm(\lambda, n, t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} X^\pm(z, n, t) z^{-n\sigma_3} e^{\frac{i}{2}(z-z^{-1})^2 t \sigma_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$\lambda = z^2$ , and it follows that  $Y^\pm \sim I$  as  $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . By (1.11) and (3.4), we see that

$$(3.5a) \quad Y^\pm(\lambda, n+1, t) = (I + Q(n, t)) \lambda^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} Y^\pm(\lambda, n, t) \lambda^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}},$$

$$(3.5b) \quad \partial_t Y^\pm(\lambda, n, t) = \tilde{B}(\lambda, n, t) Y^\pm(\lambda, n, t) + \frac{i(\lambda + \lambda^{-1} - 2)}{2} Y^\pm(\lambda, n, t) \sigma_3,$$

where

$$\tilde{B} = \frac{\sigma_3}{i} \left( \frac{\lambda + \lambda^{-1} - 2}{2} I - Q(E^{-1}Q) + Q - (E^{-1}Q) \lambda^{\sigma_3} \right)$$

and it follows that  $Y^\pm$  are single-valued functions. By (3.5a), it is readily seen that

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda^{-\frac{n+1}{2}\sigma_3} Y^\pm(\lambda, n+1, t) \lambda^{\frac{n+1}{2}\sigma_3} - \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} Y^\pm(\lambda, n, t) \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3} = \lambda^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)\sigma_3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q_n(t) \\ -q_n(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} Y^\pm(\lambda, n, t) \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}\sigma_3},$$

and then taking summation on both sides of (3.6), we rewrite  $Y^\pm(\lambda, n, t)$  in the form of summation

$$(3.7a) \quad Y^+(\lambda, n, t) = I - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q_n(t) \\ -q_n(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} Y^+(\lambda, k, t) \lambda^{-\frac{n-k}{2}\sigma_3},$$

$$(3.7b) \quad Y^-(\lambda, n, t) = I + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} \lambda^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q_n(t) \\ -q_n(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} Y^-(\lambda, k, t) \lambda^{-\frac{n-k}{2}\sigma_3}.$$

Recalling the assumption that  $q(0) \in \ell^{2,1} \in \ell^1$ , as shown in [2, 3, 4],  $[Y_1^+, Y_2^-]$  is holomorphic on  $D_- = \{|\lambda| < 1\}$  and continuously extended to  $D_- \cup \Sigma$ ,  $\Sigma = \{|\lambda| = 1\}$ , while  $[Y_1^-, Y_2^+]$  is holomorphic on  $D_+ = \{|\lambda| > 1\}$  and continuously extended to  $D_+ \cup \Sigma$ .

By (3.5), taking the WKB expansion, it follows that the modified Jost solutions satisfy asymptotic properties at  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda = \infty$ :

$$(3.8a) \quad [Y_1^+, Y_2^-](\lambda, n, t) \sim \begin{bmatrix} c_n^{-1}(t) + O(\lambda) & q_{n-1}(t) + O(\lambda) \\ c_n^{-1}(t) q_n(t) \lambda + O(\lambda^2) & 1 + O(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(3.8b) \quad [Y_1^-, Y_2^+](\lambda, n, t) \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 + O(\frac{1}{\lambda}) & -c_n^{-1}(t) q_n(t) \frac{1}{\lambda} + O(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}) \\ -q_{n-1}(t) + O(\frac{1}{\lambda}) & c_n^{-1}(t) + O(\frac{1}{\lambda}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

**3.2. Scattering coefficients.** Introduce scattering coefficients:

$$(3.9) \quad a(\lambda) = c_n(t) \det[Y_1^-, Y_2^+](\lambda, n, t), \quad b(\lambda) = e^{\phi(\lambda, n, t)} c_n(t) \det[Y_1^+, Y_1^-](\lambda, n, t).$$

Factually,

$$(3.10) \quad a(\lambda) = S_{1,1}(z), \quad b(\lambda) = z S_{2,1}(z),$$

which follows by (3.2), (3.4) and (3.9). Since  $Y^\pm$  is single-valued, we see that  $a(\lambda)$ ,  $b(\lambda)$  are also single-valued functions of  $\lambda$ . By the analyticity of  $Y^\pm$ , it follows that  $a(\lambda)$  is holomorphic on  $D_+$  and continuously extended to  $D_+ \cup \Sigma$ ; in addition,  $b(\lambda)$  is continuous on  $\Sigma$ . By the symmetric property (3.3) and the definition (3.9), it is readily seen that

$$(3.11) \quad \check{a}(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{a(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})} = c_n(t) \det[Y_1^+, Y_2^-](\lambda, n, t),$$

and that  $\check{a}(\lambda)$  is holomorphic on  $D_-$ . What is more, utilizing the asymptotic property (3.8), we obtain that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} a(\lambda) = 1, \quad \check{a}(\lambda) = 1.$$

The reflection coefficient is defined as

$$(3.12) \quad r(\lambda) = \frac{b(\lambda)}{a(\lambda)},$$

and we claim that when  $q(0) \in \ell^{2,1}$ , the corresponding reflection coefficient  $r$  belongs to  $H^1$  as shown in Proposition 3.1.

**Proposition 3.1.** Suppose that  $q(0) \in \ell^{2,1}$  and no spectral singularity, i.e.,  $a$  admits no zero on  $\Sigma$ . Let  $r$  be the corresponding reflection coefficient as defined in (3.12). We assert that  $r \in H^1$ .

Before we prove Proposition 3.1, we introduce

$$(3.13) \quad \tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}^\pm(\lambda, n) = \lambda^{-n\sigma_3/2} Y^\pm(\lambda, n, 0) \lambda^{n\sigma_3/2},$$

and it is a little abuse of notation that we simply note  $q_n = q_n(0)$  in the proof. Substituting (3.13) into (3.9), we obtain that

$$(3.14) \quad a(\lambda) = c_n(0) \det[\tilde{Y}_1^-, \tilde{Y}_2^+](\lambda, n), \quad b(\lambda) = c_n(0) \det[\tilde{Y}_1^+, \tilde{Y}_1^-](\lambda, n).$$

and that  $Y^\pm$  satisfy the following Volterra summation equation:

$$(3.15) \quad \tilde{Y}^\pm = I + \mathcal{T}^\pm \tilde{Y}^\pm,$$

where for any  $2 \times 2$  matrix function  $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}(n)$

$$(3.16a) \quad \mathcal{T}^- \tilde{Y}(\lambda, n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q_k \\ -q_k & 0 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^{(k+1)\sigma_3} \tilde{Y}(k),$$

$$(3.16b) \quad \mathcal{T}^+ \tilde{Y}(\lambda, n) = - \sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q_k \\ -q_k & 0 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^{(k+1)\sigma_3} \tilde{Y}(k).$$

Recalling that  $q \in \ell^{2,1}$ , we obtain that the Volterra summation equation (3.15) uniquely solved by

$$(3.17) \quad Y^\pm = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (\mathcal{T}^\pm)^k I.$$

In addition, by (3.16), it is readily seen that for  $\lambda \in \Sigma$ ,  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{T}^\pm$  admits the symmetry on the unite circle

$$(3.18) \quad \sigma_2 \overline{\mathcal{T}^\pm \tilde{Y} \sigma_2} = \mathcal{T}^\pm (\overline{\sigma_2 \tilde{Y} \sigma_2}).$$

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* Since  $a$  have no zeros on the jump contour  $\Sigma$ , if we prove that

$$(3.19) \quad a, b \in H^1,$$

then, we obtain the results. Moreover, by (3.14), to prove (3.19), we only have to verify

$$(3.20) \quad Y^\pm(\cdot, 0) \in H^1.$$

By direct computation, we obtain that for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$(3.21a) \quad (\mathcal{T}^-)^{2m-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\lambda, n) = (-1)^m \sum_{-\infty < k_{2l-1} < \dots < k_1 < n} \left[ \frac{0}{\prod_{\text{Even } j} q_{k_j} \overline{\prod_{\text{Odd } j} q_{k_j} \lambda^{A_{2m-1}}}} \right],$$

$$(3.21b) \quad (\mathcal{T}^-)^{2m} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\lambda, n) = (-1)^m \sum_{-\infty < k_{2m} < \dots < k_1 < n} \left[ \frac{\prod_{\text{Odd } j} q_{k_j} \overline{\prod_{\text{Even } j} q_{k_j} \lambda^{1-A_{2m}}}}{0} \right],$$

$$(3.21c) \quad (\mathcal{T}^+)^{2m-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\lambda, n) = (-1)^m \sum_{n \leq k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_{2m-1} < +\infty} \left[ \frac{0}{\prod_{\text{Even } j} q_{k_j} \overline{\prod_{\text{Odd } j} q_{k_j} \lambda^{A_{2m-1}}}} \right],$$

$$(3.21d) \quad (\mathcal{T}^+)^{2m} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\lambda, n) = (-1)^m \sum_{n \leq k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_{2m} < +\infty} \left[ \frac{\prod_{\text{Odd } j} q_{k_j} \overline{\prod_{\text{Even } j} q_{k_j} \lambda^{1-A_{2m}}}}{0} \right],$$

where  $A_m = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j-1} k_j + 1$ . Denoting  $N(m, \lambda, n)$  as the non-zero entry of  $(\mathcal{T}^-)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\lambda, n)$ , we obtain that for any  $g(\lambda) \in L(\Sigma)$

$$(3.22) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} N(m, \lambda, n) g(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i} \right| = \left| \sum_{-\infty < k_{2m-1} < \dots < k_1 < n} \left( \prod_{\text{Even } j} q_{k_j} \right) \overline{\left( \prod_{\text{Odd } j} q_{k_j} \right)} \hat{g}(A_{2m-1}) \right| \\ \leq \sum_{-\infty < k_{2m-1} < \dots < k_1 < n} |q_{k_1} \cdots q_{k_{2m-1}} \hat{g}(A_{2m-1})| \leq \frac{\|q\|_1^{2m-2}}{(2m-2)!} \|q\|_2 \|\hat{g}\|_2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)^{m-1} \frac{\|q\|_{2,1}^{2m-1}}{(2m-2)!} \|g\|_{L^2(\Sigma)},$$

where  $\hat{g} = \hat{g}(n)$  are the Fourier coefficients of  $g$ , thus,

$$(3.23) \quad \|N(m, \cdot, n)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq \left(1 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)^{m-1} \frac{\|q\|_{2,1}^{2m-1}}{(2m-2)!}.$$

We also have

$$(3.24) \quad \partial_{\theta} N = \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} (-1)^{j-1} N_j, \\ N_j = N_j(m, \lambda, n) = \sum_{-\infty < k_{2m-1} < \dots < k_1 < n} \left( \prod_{\text{Even } j'} q_{k_{j'}} \right) \overline{\left( \prod_{\text{Odd } j'} q_{k_{j'}} \right)} k_j \lambda^{A_{2m-1}}.$$

Using the same technique in (3.22), we have

$$(3.25) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} N_j(m, \lambda, n) g(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i} \right| \leq \sum_{-\infty < k_{2m-1} < \dots < k_1 < n} \left| \left( \prod_{j' \neq j} q_{k_{j'}} \right) \overline{\left( \prod_{j' \neq j} q_{k_{j'}} \right)} k_j \lambda^{A_{2m-1}} \right| \\ \leq \frac{\|q\|_1^{2m-1}}{(2m-2)!} \|q\|_{2,1} \|\hat{g}\|_2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)^{m-1} \frac{\|q\|_{2,1}^{2m-1}}{(2m-2)!} \|g\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}.$$

Considering (3.24) and (3.25), we obtain that

$$(3.26) \quad \|\partial_{\theta} N(m, \cdot, n)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq (2m-1) \left(1 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)^{m-1} \frac{\|q\|_{2,1}^{2m-1}}{(2m-2)!}.$$

By (3.23) and (3.26), it follows that

$$(3.27) \quad \|N(m, \cdot, n)\|_{H^1} \leq 2m \left(1 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)^{m-1} \frac{\|q\|_{2,1}^{2m-1}}{(2m-2)!},$$

i.e.,

$$(3.28) \quad \|(\mathcal{T}^-)^{2m-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\cdot, n)\|_{H^1} \leq 2m \left(1 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)^{m-1} \frac{\|q\|_{2,1}^{2m-1}}{(2m-2)!}.$$

Applying the technique for the estimate in (3.21a), we estimate the others in (3.21) and obtain that

$$(3.29) \quad \| (\mathcal{T}^\pm)^m \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\cdot, n) \|_{H^1} \leq (m+1) \left(1 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \frac{\|q\|_{2,1}^m}{(m-1)!}.$$

Additionally, by (3.18), it is readily seen that for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$(3.30) \quad \sigma_2(\overline{(\mathcal{T}^\pm)^m I} \sigma_2) = \mathcal{T}^\pm(\sigma_2(\overline{(\mathcal{T}^\pm)^{m-1} I} \sigma_2)) = \dots = \sigma_2(\mathcal{T}^\pm)^m I \sigma_2,$$

thus,

$$(3.31) \quad (\mathcal{T}^\pm)^m \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (\cdot, n) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \overline{(\mathcal{T}^\pm)^m \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\cdot, n)} \in H^1,$$

and

$$(3.32) \quad \| \mathcal{T}^\pm)^m \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (\cdot, n) \|_{H^1} \leq (m+1) \left(1 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \frac{\|q\|_{2,1}^m}{(m-1)!}.$$

By (3.17), (3.29) and (3.32), applying the Dominated Convergence Theorem and taking  $n = 0$ , we obtain that (3.20). This proves the results.  $\square$

**3.3. Discrete spectrum.** Under the assumption of no spectral singularity, the holomorphic function  $a(\lambda)$  admits at most finite zeros the discrete spectrum  $\mathcal{Z} \in D_+$ , and denote the zero's order at  $\lambda_{l'}$  as  $\alpha_{l'} > 0$ . By the symmetric relation (3.11), it is clear that  $\check{a}(\lambda)$  admits an  $\alpha_{l'}$ -order zero at each  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}$ ,  $l' = 1, \dots, l$ .

Since  $\lambda_{l'}$  is the  $\alpha_{l'}$ -order pole of  $a(\lambda)$ , by the first formula in (3.9), it turns out that there exist constants:  $\beta_{\lambda_{l'},0}, \beta_{\lambda_{l'},1}, \dots, \beta_{\lambda_{l'},\alpha_{l'}-1}$ , such that for any  $\alpha = 0, \dots, \alpha_{l'} - 1$ ,

$$(3.33) \quad \partial_\lambda^\alpha Y_1^-(\lambda_{l'}) = \sum_{\alpha'=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \partial_\lambda^{\alpha-\alpha'} Y_2^+(\lambda_{l'}) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha'} \binom{\alpha'}{j} \beta_{\lambda_{l'},j} \partial_\lambda^{\alpha'-j} (e^{-\phi(\lambda_{l'})}) \right),$$

where  $\binom{\alpha}{\alpha'}$  denotes the binomial coefficient and  $\beta_{\lambda_{l'},0} \neq 0$ . Similarly utilizing (3.11), for  $\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1} \in D_-$ , there exist constants  $\beta_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},0}, \dots, \beta_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},\alpha_{l'}-1}$ , such that for any fixed  $\alpha$  belonging to  $0, \dots, \alpha_{l'} - 1$ ,

$$(3.34) \quad \partial_\lambda^\alpha Y_2^-(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}) = \sum_{\alpha'=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \partial_\lambda^{\alpha-\alpha'} Y_1^+(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha'} \binom{\alpha'}{\alpha''} \beta_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},j} \partial_\lambda^{\alpha'-j} (e^{\phi(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})}) \right),$$

especially,  $\beta_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},0} = -\overline{\beta_{\lambda_{l'},0}} \neq 0$ .

**3.4. The reconstruction formula.** In this part, we construct the Riemann-Hilbert problem and the reconstruction formula. Set the  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function

$$(3.35) \quad M = M(\lambda, n, t) = \begin{cases} \left( P \begin{bmatrix} Y_1^+ & Y_2^- \\ \frac{1}{a} & \frac{1}{a} \end{bmatrix} \right) (\lambda, n, t), & \lambda \in D_-, \\ \left( P \begin{bmatrix} Y_1^- & Y_2^+ \\ \frac{1}{a} & \frac{1}{a} \end{bmatrix} \right) (\lambda, n, t), & \lambda \in D_+, \end{cases}$$

where  $P = P(n, t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c_n(t) \overline{q_{n-1}(t)} & c_n(t) \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\check{a} = \check{a}(\lambda) = \overline{a(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})}$ . We claim that  $M$  is the unique solution of RH problem 3.2. By the analyticity of  $Y^\pm$  and (3.7), we obtain the analyticity of  $M$ . By the asymptotic behavior (3.8) of  $Y^\pm$  at the infinity, we derive the normalization of  $M$  at  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . Now, we focus on the residue condition. Recalling that  $\lambda = \lambda_{l'}$  is an  $\alpha_{l'}$ -order zero of  $a(\lambda)$ , it is naturally an  $\alpha_{l'}$ -order pole of  $M(\lambda, n, t)$ . If denote  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda=\lambda'}^{-\alpha} M$  as the coefficient of  $(\lambda - \lambda')^{-\alpha}$  in the Laurent's expansion of  $M$  at  $\lambda = \lambda'$ , then by the argument of complex analysis, we obtain the formula to compute the Laurent's coefficients:

$$(3.36) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\lambda=\lambda'}^{-\alpha} M = \frac{1}{(\alpha_{l'} - \alpha)!} \partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha} [M(\lambda) (\lambda - \lambda_{l'})^{\alpha_{l'}}] \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_{l'}}.$$

Considering (3.33), (3.35) and (3.36), we obtain the residue condition (3.37a). In addition, the residue condition (3.37b) is verified similarly. The jump condition is a consequence of (3.2), (3.4) and (3.35).

**RH problem 3.2.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $M$  such that



- *Analyticity:*  $M$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$ .
- *Normalization:* As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M \sim I + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ .
- *Residue condition:* On the  $\alpha_{l'}$ -order pole  $\lambda = \lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z}$ , we have

$$(3.37a) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M = \sum_{\lambda=\lambda_{l'}}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M(\lambda_{l'})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p_{\lambda_{l'},j} e^{\phi(\lambda_{l'})} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha = 0, \dots, \alpha_{l'} - 1$  and  $p_{\lambda_{l'},j}$  is a polynomial of  $(n, t)$  of order at most  $j$

$$p_{\lambda_{l'},j} = \sum_{j'=0}^j \sum_{j''=0}^{j'} \partial_{\lambda}^{j''} \left( \frac{(\cdot - \lambda_{l'})^{\alpha_{l'}}}{a} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_{l'}} \frac{\beta_{\lambda_{l'},j'-j''} \partial_{\lambda}^{j-j'} e^{\phi}(\lambda_{l'})}{(j-j')!(j'-j'')!j''! e^{\phi(\lambda_{l'})}}.$$

And  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}$  is also a  $\alpha_{l'}$ -order pole of  $M$  on  $D_-$ , and

$$(3.37b) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M = \sum_{\lambda=\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},j} e^{-\phi(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$p_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},j} = \sum_{j'=0}^j \sum_{j''=0}^{j'} \partial_{\lambda}^{j''} \left( \frac{(\cdot - \bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})^{\alpha_{l'}}}{\check{a}} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}} \frac{\beta_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},j'-j''} \partial_{\lambda}^{j-j'} e^{-\phi}(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})}{(j-j')!(j'-j'')!j''! e^{-\phi(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})}}$$

- *Jump condition:* If we set the boundary value of  $M = M(\lambda, n, t)$  on  $\lambda \in \Sigma$  as  $M_{\pm} = M_{\pm}(\lambda, n, t)$ , then

$$M_+ = M_- V, \quad V = V(\lambda, n, t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + |r(\lambda)|^2 & \overline{r(\lambda)} e^{-\phi(\lambda, n, t)} \\ r(\lambda) e^{\phi(\lambda, n, t)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the asymptotic property (3.8a) of  $Y^{\pm}$  at  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  and the definition (3.35), we find that the solution of (1.8) is recovered by the solution of RH problem 3.2, i.e. the reconstruction formula,

$$(3.38) \quad q_n(t) = [M(0, n+1, t)]_{1,2}.$$

#### 4. FREDHOLM ALTERNATIVE, PURE RH PROBLEM AND THE SOLVABILITY

In this section, utilizing some triangular matrix function  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f e^{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \check{f} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , we remove the poles in the original RH problem and transform it into a new RH problem without singularity. In the new RH problem, we find that the jump matrix is self-adjoint, and as a consequence, using the Fredholm theorem, we prove that the RH problem is uniquely solved.

**4.1. Remove the poles.** In this section, we construct lower/upper triangular functions  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f e^{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \check{f} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , such that  $f, \check{f}$  are rational function and  $M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f e^{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \check{f} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  are analytic on  $\tilde{D}_+$ ,  $\tilde{D}_-$  respectively. The regions are as shown in FIGURE 1.

Before proving the results for the region  $\tilde{D}_+$  and  $\tilde{D}_-$  respectively, we consider the pole at each  $\lambda_{l'}$  or  $\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}$  belonging to the discrete spectrum as the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** There exist polynomials  $f_{\lambda_{l'}}(\lambda)$ ,  $f_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}}(\lambda)$  of  $(\lambda - \lambda_{l'})^{-1}$ ,  $(\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})^{-1}$  with the order not more than  $\alpha_{l'}$ , respectively, such that for  $l' = 1, \dots, l$  and  $\alpha = 1, \dots, \alpha_{l'}$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} \left( M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_{\lambda_{l'}} e^{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \equiv 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} \left( M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & f_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \equiv 0.$$

*Proof.* Set

$$(4.2) \quad f_{\lambda_{l'}}(\lambda) = \sum_{s=1}^{\alpha_{l'}} f_{\lambda_{l'},s} (\lambda - \lambda_{l'})^{-s}.$$

Rewrite the first equality in (4.1),

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \equiv \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} (M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_{\lambda_{l'}} e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M + \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} (M \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ f_{\lambda_{l'}} e^\phi & 0 \end{bmatrix}).$$

Recalling that the second column of  $M$  is holomorphic on  $\lambda \in D_+$ , we rewrite the second term on the right-hand side of (4.3):

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} (M \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ f_{\lambda_{l'}} e^\phi & 0 \end{bmatrix}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha - j} M}{(\alpha_{l'} - \alpha - j)!} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sum_{j'=0}^j \frac{f_{\lambda_{l'}, \alpha_{l'} - j'} \partial_\lambda^{j-j'} e^\phi}{(j-j')!} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_{l'}}.$$

Substituting (3.37a) and (4.4) into (4.3), we obtain that

$$f_{\lambda_{l'}, s} = \sum_{s'=0}^{\alpha_{l'} - s} \partial_\lambda^{s'} \left( \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_{l'})^{\alpha_{l'}}}{a(\lambda)} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_{l'}} \frac{\beta_{\lambda_{l'}, \alpha_{l'} - s - s'}}{(\alpha_{l'} - s - s')! s'!}$$

solve (4.3), i.e.

$$(4.5) \quad f_{\lambda_{l'}}(\lambda) = \sum_{s=1}^{\alpha_{l'}} \sum_{s'=0}^{\alpha_{l'} - s} \partial_\lambda^{s'} \left( \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_{l'})^{\alpha_{l'}}}{a(\lambda)} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_{l'}} \frac{\beta_{\lambda_{l'}, \alpha_{l'} - s - s'} (\lambda - \lambda_{l'})^{-s}}{(\alpha_{l'} - s - s')! s'!}.$$

For the existence of  $f_{\lambda_{l'}^{-1}}$ , the proof is parallel. We complete the proof.  $\square$

As shown in Proposition 4.1, we have proved that the pole of  $M$  can be removed by the rational triangular function at each point of the discrete spectrum, which means in RH problem 3.2, the residue condition can be transformed into the jump. Based on this, we want to do more, and find a rational triangular function on  $D_+$  or  $D_-$  to remove the poles at the discrete spectrum uniformly, which is helpful when we prove the unique solvability of the newly obtained RH problem. By the theory of generalized Vandermonde matrix, we obtain the rational function on  $D_+$  and  $D_-$  as the proposition below.

**Proposition 4.2.** There exists a rational function  $f(\lambda)$ ,  $\check{f}(\lambda)$  such that  $M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \check{f} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  are holomorphic on  $D_+$ ,  $D_- \setminus \{0\}$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Notice that when we take  $l = 1$ , it is Proposition 4.1; thus, Proposition 4.2 is a generalized version of Proposition 4.1. To prove the results, we introduce the generalized Vandermonde matrix [22]

$$(4.6) \quad V(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \cdots & \lambda_1^{\alpha_1} & \cdots & \lambda_1^\tau \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \alpha_1 \lambda_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} & \cdots & \tau \lambda_1^{\tau - 1} \\ \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & \frac{\tau!}{\alpha_1!} \lambda_1^{\tau - \alpha_1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & \lambda_l & \cdots & \lambda_l^{\alpha_l} & \cdots & \lambda_l^\tau \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \alpha_l \lambda_l^{\alpha_l - 1} & \cdots & \tau \lambda_l^{\tau - 1} \\ \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & \frac{\tau!}{\alpha_l!} \lambda_l^{\tau - \alpha_l} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\tau = \sum_{l'=1}^l \alpha_{l'}$ . The generalized Vandermonde matrix is invertible and its determinant is

$$(4.7) \quad \det V(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l) = \prod_{j>l} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^{\alpha_j \alpha_k}.$$

To construct  $f(\lambda)$ , it is sufficient to construct a polynomial  $g(\lambda)$  of  $\lambda$  such that

$$(4.8) \quad f(\lambda) = g(\lambda) \prod_{l'=1}^l f_{\lambda_{l'}}(\lambda), \quad g(\lambda) = \sum_{s=0}^{\tau-1} g_s \lambda^s,$$

and for any  $l' = 1, \dots, l$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, \alpha_{l'}$ ,

$$(4.9) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} f(\lambda_{l'}) = f_{\lambda_{l'}, \alpha}.$$

Seeing (4.8), since  $f$  is consisting of  $f_{\lambda_{l'}}$  and the holomorphic function  $g$ , we learn that  $f$  admits an  $\alpha_{l'}$ -order pole at  $\lambda = \lambda_{l'}$ . Calculate the Laurent's coefficients of  $f$ :

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} f(\lambda_{l'}) &= \frac{1}{(\alpha_{l'} - \alpha)!} \partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha} ((-\lambda_{l'})^{-\alpha_{l'}} f_{\lambda_{l'}} g \prod_{l'' \neq l'} f_{\lambda_{l''}})(\lambda_{l'}) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha} \frac{1}{s!} f_{\lambda_{l'}, \alpha+s} \partial_{\lambda}^s (g \prod_{l'' \neq l'} f_{\lambda_{l''}})(\lambda_{l'}). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing (4.10) and (4.9), it is sufficient to solve the following linear system with  $\tau$  variables  $g_0, \dots, g_{\tau-1}$ :

$$(4.11) \quad \partial_{\lambda}^s (g \prod_{l'' \neq l'} f_{\lambda_{l''}})(\lambda_{l'}) = \delta_{0,s}, \quad \delta_{0,s} = \begin{cases} 1 & s = 0 \\ 0 & s \neq 0 \end{cases}, \quad s = 0, \dots, \alpha_{l'} - 1.$$

Equivalently, we rewrite (4.11) in the form of matrix:

$$(4.12) \quad \text{blkdiag}(\{J_{l'}(\lambda_{l'})\}_{l'=1}^l) V(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l)(g_0, \dots, g_{\tau-1})^t = (1, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{\alpha_1-1}, \dots, 1, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{\alpha_l-1})^t$$

where  $\text{blkdiag}(\cdot)$  denotes the block diagonal matrix, and  $J_{l'}$  is the  $\alpha_{l'} \times \alpha_{l'}$  matrix function:

$$(4.13) \quad J_{l'} = \begin{bmatrix} \prod_{l'' \neq l'} f_{\lambda_{l''}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \partial_{\lambda} \prod_{l'' \neq l'} f_{\lambda_{l''}} & \prod_{l'' \neq l'} f_{\lambda_{l''}} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha_{l'}} \prod_{l'' \neq l'} f_{\lambda_{l''}}}{\alpha_{l'}!} & \cdots & \partial_{\lambda} \prod_{l'' \neq l'} f_{\lambda_{l''}} & \prod_{l'' \neq l'} f_{\lambda_{l''}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We default  $f_{\lambda_{l''}}(\lambda_{l'}) \neq 0$  for all  $l'' \neq l'$ , or let  $f_{\lambda_{l''}}$  plus a constant to ensure its non-zero property at  $\lambda_{l'}$ ; therefore, the block diagonal matrix in (4.12) is invertible; in addition, by the fact that the generalized Vandermonde matrix is invertible, it is readily seen that the linear system (4.12) is uniquely solved. We have confirmed the existence of  $f$ .

For the existence of  $\check{f}$ , since  $M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f e^{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix}(\lambda)$  is holomorphic on  $\lambda \in D_+$ , it follows that  $\overline{\sigma_2 M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f e^{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix}(\bar{\lambda}^{-1}) \sigma_2}$  is holomorphic on  $\lambda \in D_-$ . By (3.35) and the symmetry shown in (3.3), it is readily seen that

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{\sigma_2 M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f e^{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix}(\bar{\lambda}^{-1}) \sigma_2} &= \overline{\sigma_2 P \sigma_2^2 e^{-\frac{\phi \sigma_3}{2}} \sigma_2^2 [Y_1^-, Y_2^+] \sigma_2^2 \text{diag}(a, 1) \sigma_2^2 e^{\frac{\phi \sigma_3}{2}} \sigma_2^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f e^{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sigma_2(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})} \\ &= \sigma_2 \bar{P} \sigma_2 (e^{-\frac{\phi}{2} \sigma_3} [Y_1^+, Y_2^-] \text{diag}(1, \check{a}) e^{\frac{\phi}{2} \sigma_3})(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\overline{f(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})} e^{-\phi(\lambda)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (\sigma_2 \bar{P} \sigma_2 P^{-1}) M(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\overline{f(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})} e^{-\phi(\lambda)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \end{aligned}$$

therefore, by the fact that the matrix function  $\sigma_2 \bar{P} \sigma_2 P^{-1}$  is invertible, it follows that when we take  $\check{f}(\lambda) = -\overline{f(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})}$ ,  $M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \check{f} e^{-\phi} \\ f e^{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is holomorphic on  $D_-$ . We complete the proof.  $\square$

**4.2. The solvability.** We have constructed the rational triangular matrix functions in Proposition 4.2 to remove poles of  $M$ , however since  $\phi$  admits an essential singularity at  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , the newly obtained matrix function is not normalized at the infinity, i.e.,  $M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f e^{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  diverges at  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, because the essential singularity of  $\phi$  at  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \check{f} e^{-\phi} \\ f e^{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  also admits an essential singularity at  $\lambda = 0$ . To avoid these essential singularities, we choose a circle  $\tilde{\Sigma}_1$  such that its radius is greater than 1 and the region  $\tilde{D}_+$  between  $\tilde{\Sigma}_1$  and  $\Sigma$  contains all the discrete spectra in  $D_+$ . Writing  $\tilde{\Sigma}_2 = \overline{(\tilde{\Sigma}_1)^{-1}}$  and  $\tilde{D}_- = \overline{(\tilde{D}_+)^{-1}}$ , by the symmetry of the discrete spectrum, we

claim that  $\tilde{D}_-$  contains all the discrete spectra belonging to  $D_-$ . Taking the transformation:

$$(4.15) \quad \tilde{M} = M \begin{cases} I & \lambda \in (D_+ \cup D_-) \setminus (\tilde{D}_+ \cup \tilde{D}_-), \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \tilde{D}_+, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \check{f} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \tilde{D}_-, \end{cases}$$

we obtain a matrix-valued function  $\tilde{M}$  that is the solution of RH problem 4.3. In RH problem 4.3, it is easily to find that the jump matrix admits an upper-lower triangular factorization:

$$(4.16) \quad V = (I - w_-)^{-1} (I + w_+)$$

$$(4.17) \quad w_- = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \tilde{\Sigma}_1, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\check{f} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{on } \tilde{\Sigma}_2, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -(r+f)e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{on } \Sigma, \end{cases},$$

$$(4.18) \quad w_+ = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ f e^\phi & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{on } \tilde{\Sigma}_1, \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \tilde{\Sigma}_2, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (r+f)e^\phi & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{on } \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

**RH problem 4.3.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $\tilde{M}$  such that

- $\tilde{M}$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \tilde{\Sigma}$ , where  $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_1 \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_2$ .
- As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\tilde{M} \sim I + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ .
- On the jump contour  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ ,

$$(4.19) \quad \tilde{M}_+ = \tilde{M}_- \tilde{V}, \quad \tilde{V} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \tilde{\Sigma}_1, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\check{f} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \tilde{\Sigma}_2, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 + |f+r|^2 & \overline{(r+f)e^{-\phi}} \\ (f+r)e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

Introduce the Cauchy-type integral operator:

$$(4.20) \quad C^\Gamma f(\lambda) = \int_\Gamma \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda 2\pi i},$$

where  $\Gamma$  consists of finite oriented Jordan curves with finite intersection points. Denote  $C_\pm^\Gamma f$  as the boundary values of  $C^\Gamma f$ . It is well known that  $C_\pm^\Gamma$  are bounded operators on  $L^2(\Gamma)$ . Taking  $\Gamma = \tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_1 \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_2$  and a function  $f$  on the jump contour  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ , we define the bounded operator:

$$(4.21) \quad T^{\tilde{\Sigma}} f = C_-^{\tilde{\Sigma}}(C_+^{\tilde{\Sigma}}(fw)_+) + C_+^{\tilde{\Sigma}}(C_-^{\tilde{\Sigma}}(fw)_-),$$

where  $w = w_+ + w_-$ . By rationally approximating  $w_+$ ,  $w_-$  in the 1st, 2nd term on the right-hand side of (4.21), respectively, we obtain that both  $C_-^{\tilde{\Sigma}}(C_+^{\tilde{\Sigma}}(\cdot w)_+)$  and  $C_+^{\tilde{\Sigma}}(C_-^{\tilde{\Sigma}}(\cdot w)_-)$  are compact operators on  $L^2(\tilde{\Sigma})$ . Exactly, we simply rewrite (4.21) as

$$(4.22) \quad T^{\tilde{\Sigma}} = (C_w)^2,$$

where

$$(4.23) \quad C_w f = C_+^{\tilde{\Sigma}}(fw_-) + C_-^{\tilde{\Sigma}}(fw_+),$$




FIGURE 1. The Jump contour  $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_1 \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_2$  consists of three circles centering at the origin, which is for RH problem 4.3

and then by the compactness of  $T^{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ ,  $\text{Id} - T^{\tilde{\Sigma}} = (\text{Id} + C_w)(\text{Id} - C_w)$  is Fredholm. In addition, referring to Corollary 4.5 that the equation  $C_w \mu = \mu$  admits no non-trivial solution, the Fredholm alternative is zero, and therefore, we obtain that both  $\text{Id} - T^{\tilde{\Sigma}}$  and  $\text{Id} - C_w$  are invertible with the relation

$$(4.24) \quad (\text{Id} - C_w)^{-1} = (\text{Id} - T^{\tilde{\Sigma}})^{-1}(\text{Id} + C_w).$$

Thus, RH problem 4.3 is uniquely solvable and the solution of RH problem 4.3 is

$$(4.25) \quad \tilde{M}(\lambda) = I + \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \frac{[(\text{Id} - C_w)^{-1} I w](\zeta) d\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i},$$

which is also well known as Beals-Coifman solution.

**Lemma 4.4** (Vanishing Lemma). *Setting  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$  as a jump contour consisting of finite Jordan contours and the unit circle with at most finite intersections, we assume that the jump contour is invariant under the mapping  $\lambda \mapsto \bar{\lambda}^{-1}$ . Suppose a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $V^\Gamma = V^\Gamma(\lambda)$  satisfies*

(1)  $V^\Gamma$  admits the symmetry on  $\Gamma \setminus \Sigma$ :

$$(4.26) \quad V^\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}^{-1}) = (V^\Gamma(\lambda))^\dagger;$$

(2) on  $\lambda \in \Sigma$ ,

$$(4.27) \quad \text{Re } V^\Gamma(\lambda) > \mathbf{0};$$

(3)  $V^\Gamma$  admits the factorization:

$$(4.28) \quad V^\Gamma = (I - w_-^\Gamma)^{-1}(I + w_+^\Gamma),$$

where  $w_\pm^\Gamma$  are some continuous  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued functions on  $\Gamma$ .

Define the Cauchy-type integral operator for  $f \in L^2(\Gamma)$ :

$$(4.29) \quad C_{w^\Gamma} f = C_+^\Gamma(f w_-^\Gamma) + C_-^\Gamma(f w_+^\Gamma),$$

where

$$(4.30) \quad w^\Gamma = w_+^\Gamma + w_-^\Gamma,$$

then, we claim that there is no non-trivial solution  $\mu \in L^2(\Gamma)$  for the equation:

$$(4.31) \quad C_{w^\Gamma} \mu = \mu.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mu$  is a non-trivial solution. It is readily seen that  $C^\Gamma(\mu w^\Gamma)(\lambda)$  is analytic outside  $\Gamma$  and satisfies

• on  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ ,

$$(4.32) \quad C_+^\Gamma(\mu w^\Gamma) = C_-^\Gamma(\mu w^\Gamma) V^\Gamma,$$

- as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(4.33) \quad C^\Gamma(\mu w^\Gamma)(\lambda) \sim \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}).$$

The holomorphism is the direct result of  $\mu, w^\Gamma \in L^2(\Gamma)$ . For (4.32), it is well-known that when  $\Gamma$  consists of finite Jordan curves,  $C_\pm^\Gamma$  are bounded on  $L^2(\Gamma)$  and

$$(4.34) \quad C_+ - C_- = \text{Id},$$

therefore,

$$(4.35a) \quad C_+^\Gamma(\mu w^\Gamma) = C_{w^\Gamma} \mu + (C_+^\Gamma - C_-^\Gamma)(\mu w_+^\Gamma) = \mu(I + w_+^\Gamma),$$

$$(4.35b) \quad C_-^\Gamma(\mu w^\Gamma) = C_{w^\Gamma} \mu + (C_-^\Gamma - C_+^\Gamma)(\mu w_-^\Gamma) = \mu(I - w_-^\Gamma),$$

i.e. we obtain (4.32). (4.33) is the direct consequence of the compactness of  $\Gamma$ .

Now, we investigate the analytic function on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$ :

$$(4.36) \quad \Phi = C^\Gamma(\mu w^\Gamma).$$

By the above argument, it follows that  $\Psi = \Psi(\lambda) = \Phi(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})^\dagger$  is holomorphpic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$  and satisfies that

- on  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ ,

$$(4.37) \quad \Psi_+(\lambda) = (V^\Gamma(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})^\dagger)^{-1} \Psi_-(\lambda),$$

- as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(4.38) \quad \Psi(\lambda) \sim \mathcal{O}(1),$$

thus by (4.26), (4.32) and (4.37), it follows that  $\Phi\Psi$  admits no jump over  $\Gamma \setminus \Sigma$ , that is, on  $\lambda \in \Gamma \setminus \Sigma$ ,

$$(4.39) \quad \Phi_+(\lambda)\Psi_+(\lambda) = \Phi_-(\lambda)(V(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})^\dagger)^{-1}\Psi_+(\lambda) = \Phi_-(\lambda)\Psi_-(\lambda).$$

On  $\Sigma$ , Recalling the asymptotic property (4.33) of  $\Phi$ , we have that at  $\lambda = 0$ ,

$$(4.40) \quad \Psi(\lambda) \sim \mathcal{O}(\lambda),$$

therefore,  $\Phi(\lambda)\Psi(\lambda)\lambda^{-1}$  is holomorphic on the unit disc  $\{|\lambda| < 1\}$ , and by Cauchy's Integral Theorem, the integral

$$(4.41) \quad \mathbf{0} = \int_\Sigma \Phi_-(\lambda)\Psi_-(\lambda)\lambda^{-1} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i} = \int_\Sigma [\Phi_-(V^\Gamma)^\dagger \Phi_-^\dagger](\lambda)\lambda^{-1} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i} = \int_0^{2\pi} [\Phi_-(V^\Gamma)^\dagger \Phi_-^\dagger](e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

However, recalling that  $\text{Re } V^\Gamma|_\Sigma > \mathbf{0}$ , we obtain that the right-hand side of (4.41) vanishes if and only if  $\Phi(\lambda)$  tends to zero almost everywhere on the boundary of  $\{|\lambda| < 1\}$ , which by (4.36) is equivalent to that  $\mu$  is vanishing on the support of  $w^\Gamma$ . This controverts to the assumption. We have proved the results.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *Set the Cauchy-type integral operator  $C_w$  as defined in (4.23). There is no non-trivial solution in  $L^2(\tilde{\Sigma})$  for the equation below*

$$(4.42) \quad C_w \mu = \mu.$$


*Proof.* (4.17) shows that  $\tilde{V}$  admits a proper factorization. And since  $r \in H^1$  and  $f$  is a rational function, by (4.17) and (4.18),  $w_\pm$  are  $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuous on  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ . By the choice of  $f$  and  $\check{f}$  in Proposition 4.2,  $\tilde{V}$  satisfies that on  $\tilde{\Sigma}_1 \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_2$ ,

$$(4.43) \quad \tilde{V}(\bar{\lambda}^{-1}) = \tilde{V}(\lambda)^\dagger.$$

Recalling that  $\tilde{V}$  is self-adjoint on  $\Sigma$ , it follows that

$$(4.44) \quad \text{Re } \tilde{V}|_\Sigma > \mathbf{0}.$$

Thus, the results is the consequence of Lemma 4.4. We have proved the results.  $\square$

FIGURE 2.  $\Sigma$  is the jump contour; On the grayed region,  $\text{Re}\phi > 0$ .

**Remark 4.6.** We have proved the solvability of RH problem 4.3. And by (3.38) and (4.15), the solution of the initial-value problem is written by  $\tilde{M}$  in the form,

$$(4.45) \quad q_n(t) = [\tilde{M}(0, n+1, t)]_{1,2}.$$

Especially, under the reflectionless condition, i.e.,  $r \equiv 0$ , the RH problem is uniquely solved, which is equivalent to the solvability of RH problem 3.2 with  $r \equiv 0$ , and we denote the solution with the discrete spectral data  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})$  as  $M^{(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})}$ . And the soliton solution is

$$(4.46) \quad q_n(t|\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}) = [M^{(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})}(0, n+1, t)]_{1,2}.$$

It is readily seen that  $M^{(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})}$  is unique. In fact, we assume that there is another solution  $M^{(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})'}$  solves RH problem 3.2 for  $r \equiv 0$ , then we have that by Proposition 4.2,  $M^{(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})}(M^{(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})'})^{-1}$  is entire, and by Liouville's Theorem,  $M^{(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})}(M^{(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})'})^{-1} \equiv I$  on the whole plane, i.e.,

$$(4.47) \quad M^{(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})} \equiv M^{(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})'}.$$

## 5. LONG-TIME ASYMPTOTICS FOR $|\xi| < 1$

Previously, we have presented the direct scattering transform and constructed the RH problem for the initial-value problem that is unique solvable. When  $|\xi = \frac{n}{2t}| < 1$ , there are two stationary phase points on the jump contour

$$(5.1) \quad S_1 = -i\xi + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2}, \quad S_2 = -i\xi - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2},$$

and the Taylor's expansions of  $\phi$  at  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are

$$(5.2) \quad \phi(\lambda, n, t) - \phi(S_j, n, t) \sim (-1)^j i t \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} S_j^{-2} (\lambda - S_j)^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\lambda - \xi|^3), \quad \lambda \rightarrow S_j.$$

In this section, we take a series of RH transformations for  $M$ . Under the condition  $|\xi = \frac{n}{2t}| < C_0$  for some positive constant  $C_0 < 1$ , it asserts that the asymptotic behavior of  $q_n(t)$  is solitonic and oscillatory, that is, as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

$$(5.3) \quad q_n(t) = \left( \prod_{l'=1}^l |\lambda_{l'}|^{2\alpha_{l'}} \right) e^{\int_{S_1}^{S_2} \frac{\ln(1+|r(s)|^2)}{2\pi i s} ds} \left( q_n^{\mathcal{Z}\xi}(t) + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} q_n^{Osc}(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{4}}) \right),$$

where  $q^{\mathcal{Z}\xi}$  is the solitonic part

$$(5.4) \quad q_n^{\mathcal{Z}\xi}(t) = [M^{(\mathcal{Z}\xi)}]_{1,2}(0, n+1, t),$$

and  $q^{Osc}$  is the oscillatory part

$$(5.5) \quad q_{n-1}^{Osc}(t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(1-\xi^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} (T_1^2 M_{1,2}^{(\mathcal{Z}\xi)}(S_1, n, t) \gamma_2(r(S_1)) \\ + T_1^{-2} M_{1,1}^{(\mathcal{Z}\xi)}(S_1, n, t) \gamma_1(r(S_1)) + T_2^2 M_{1,2}^{(\mathcal{Z}\xi)}(S_2, n, t) \gamma_1(\overline{r(S_2)}) + T_2^{-2} M_{1,1}^{(\mathcal{Z}\xi)}(S_2, n, t) \gamma_2(\overline{r(S_1)}))$$

In addition,  $M^{(\mathcal{Z}\xi)}$  is defined as (5.44), and for  $j = 1, 2$

$$(5.6) \quad \arg(\gamma_j(r(S_1))) = (-1)^j \left( \arg(r(S_1)) - \frac{\pi}{4} - \arg(\Gamma(i\nu(r(S_1)))) \right),$$

$$(5.7) \quad \arg(\gamma_j(\overline{r(S_2)})) = (-1)^{j-1} \left( \arg(r(S_2)) + \frac{\pi}{4} + \arg(\Gamma(i\nu(r(S_2)))) \right).$$

At the beginning, we introduce the scalar function that admits a jump on an upper-half arc  $\widehat{S_1 S_2}$  of the unit circle  $\Sigma$ ,

$$(5.8) \quad T(\lambda) = T(\lambda, \xi) = e^{\int_{S_1}^{S_2} \frac{\ln(1+|r(\zeta)|^2)}{\zeta-\lambda} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i}} \prod_{\lambda_l \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+} \left( \frac{\lambda - \lambda_l}{\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_l^{-1}} \right)^{\alpha_l}, \quad \xi \in (-1, 1),$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}_\xi^+ = \mathcal{Z} \cap \{\operatorname{Re} \phi > 0\}$  and the integral is on the arc from  $S_2$  to  $S_1$ . We assert that  $T(\lambda)$  admits properties shown in the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** The function defined by (5.8) satisfies the following properties:

- (a)  $T(\lambda)$  is a meromorphic function on  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \widehat{S_1 S_2}$ , and admits  $\alpha_j$ -order pole at  $\lambda = \lambda_j$ .
- (b) For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \widehat{S_1 S_2}$ ,  $T(\lambda)$  admits the symmetry

$$(5.9) \quad T(\bar{\lambda}^{-1}) = T(0) \overline{T^{-1}(\lambda)}$$

- (c) For  $\lambda \in \widehat{S_1 S_2}$ , the boundary value  $T_\pm(\lambda)$  of  $T(\lambda)$  satisfy

$$(5.10) \quad T_+(\lambda) = T_-(\lambda)(1 + |r(\lambda)|^2).$$

- (d) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(5.11) \quad T(\lambda) \sim 1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}).$$

- (e) On the neighborhood of  $S_j$ ,  $T(\lambda)$  admits the following asymptotic property,

$$(5.12) \quad T(\lambda) \sim \prod_{\lambda_l \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+} \left( \frac{S_j - \lambda_l}{S_j - \bar{\lambda}_l^{-1}} \right)^{\alpha_l} \left( \frac{\lambda - S_2}{\lambda - S_1} \right)^{i\nu_j} e^{\alpha_j(S_j)} + \mathcal{O}(|\lambda - S_j|^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

where

$$\nu_j = \frac{\ln(1 + |r(S_j)|^2)}{2\pi}, \quad \alpha(\lambda) = \int_{S_2}^{S_1} \frac{\ln(1 + |r(s)|^2) - \ln(1 + |r(S_j)|^2)}{s - \lambda} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.$$

*Proof.* (a) is directly verified by (5.8). For (b), we have

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} T(\lambda) \overline{T(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})} &= \exp \left( \int_{S_1}^{S_2} \frac{\ln(1 + |r(\zeta)|^2)}{\zeta - \lambda} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i} + \overline{\int_{S_1}^{S_2} \frac{\ln(1 + |r(\zeta)|^2)}{\zeta - \bar{\lambda}^{-1}} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i}} \right) \prod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+} \left( \frac{\lambda - \lambda_j}{\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_j^{-1}} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{-1} - \lambda_j^{-1}}{\lambda^{-1} - \bar{\lambda}_j} \right)^{\alpha_j} \\ &= \exp \left( \int_{S_1}^{S_2} \frac{\ln(1 + |r(\zeta)|^2)}{\zeta - \lambda} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i} + \overline{\int_{S_1}^{S_2} \frac{\ln(1 + |r(\zeta)|^2)}{(\bar{\zeta}^{-1} - \bar{\lambda}^{-1})\bar{\zeta}^2} \frac{d\bar{\zeta}}{2\pi i}} \right) \prod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+} |\lambda_j|^{-2\alpha_j} \\ &= \exp \left( \int_{S_1}^{S_2} \frac{\ln(1 + |r(\zeta)|^2)}{\zeta - \lambda} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\zeta} \right) \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i} \right) \prod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+} |\lambda_j|^{-2\alpha_j} \\ &= \exp \left( \int_{S_1}^{S_2} \ln(1 + |r(\zeta)|^2) \zeta^{-1} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i} \right) \prod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+} |\lambda_j|^{-2\alpha_j} = T(0). \end{aligned}$$

For (c), by Sokhotski-Plemelj formula, we have that for any  $\lambda \in \widehat{S_1 S_2}$ ,

$$(5.14) \quad \int_{S_1}^{S_2} \frac{\ln(1 + |r(\zeta)|^2)}{\zeta - \lambda_+} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i} - \int_{S_1}^{S_2} \frac{\ln(1 + |r(\zeta)|^2)}{\zeta - \lambda_-} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i} = \ln(1 + |r(\lambda)|^2),$$

where  $\lambda_\pm$  means the  $\pm$  limits of  $\lambda \in \widehat{S_1 S_2}$ . Since  $r \in H^1$ , (d) is the direct result of the definition (5.8).

The remaining is (e). We refer to a conformal mapping (5.16) that transforms the unit circle to the straight line, and then discuss it on the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . We take

$$(5.15) \quad \delta(\lambda) = \delta(\lambda, \xi) = T(\lambda) \prod_{\lambda_l \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+} \left( \frac{\lambda - \lambda_l}{\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_l^{-1}} \right)^{-\alpha_l} = e^{\int_{S_1}^{S_2} \frac{\ln(1+|r(\zeta)|^2)}{\zeta-\lambda} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i}}.$$



Changing the variable on  $\Sigma$ :  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda'$ ,  $s \rightarrow s'$ ,

$$(5.16) \quad \lambda = \sqrt{S_1 S_2} \frac{\sqrt{S_1} + \sqrt{S_2} \lambda'}{\sqrt{S_2} + \sqrt{S_1} \lambda'}, \quad s = \sqrt{S_1 S_2} \frac{\sqrt{S_1} + \sqrt{S_2} s'}{\sqrt{S_2} + \sqrt{S_1} s'},$$

we obtain that

$$(5.17) \quad \int_{S_2}^{S_1} \frac{f(s) - f(S_1)}{(2\pi i)(s - \lambda)} ds = \frac{\sqrt{S_2} + \sqrt{S_1} \lambda'}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{f(s) - f(S_1) ds'}{(\sqrt{S_2} + \sqrt{S_1} s')(s' - \lambda')},$$

where  $f(s) = \ln(1 + |r(s)|^2)$ . Since  $r(s) \in H^1 \subset L^\infty(\Sigma)$ , it naturally follows that

$$(5.18) \quad F(s') = \begin{cases} \frac{f(s) - f(S_1)}{\sqrt{S_2} + \sqrt{S_1} s'}, & s' \leq 0, \\ 0, & s' > 0, \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $F(s' = 0) = 0$ ; therefore, we apply Lemma 23.3 in [5] and get that for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$

$$(5.19) \quad \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{F(s')}{(2\pi i)(s' - \lambda')} ds' - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{F(s')}{2\pi i s'} ds' \right| \lesssim \|F\|_{H^1} |\lambda'|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

i.e.

$$(5.20) \quad \delta(\lambda) \sim \left( \frac{\lambda - S_2}{\lambda - S_1} \right)^{i\nu_j} e^{\alpha_j(S_j)} + \mathcal{O}(|\lambda - S_j|^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

which is an equivalence of (5.12). These complete the proof.  $\square$

**5.1. Transform to  $M^{(1)}$ .** By the property of functions  $M$  and  $T$ , as usual, we take the RH transformation:

$$(5.21) \quad M^{(1)} = MT^{\sigma_3}.$$

In the new RH problem below, the jump matrix admits a proper factorization and the exponential functions  $e^\phi$ ,  $e^{-\phi}$  in the residue condition do not blow up.

We assert that (5.21) solves the following RH problem.

**RH problem 5.2.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $M^{(1)}$  s.t.

- $M^{(1)}(\lambda)$  is meromorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$ .
- As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(5.22) \quad M^{(1)} \sim I + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$$

- On  $\lambda \in \Sigma$ ,

$$(5.23) \quad M_+^{(1)} = M_-^{(1)} V^{(1)},$$

where

$$V^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + |r|^2 & \bar{r} T^{-2} e^{-\phi} \\ r T^2 e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma - \widehat{S_1 S_2}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \bar{r} T_+^{-2} e^{-\phi} \\ \frac{r}{1 + |r|^2} T_-^2 e^\phi & 1 + |r|^2 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \widehat{S_1 S_2}. \end{cases}$$

- Residue condition: On the poles  $\mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}^{-1}}$ , we have

$$(5.24a) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{\lambda'} - \alpha} \frac{\partial_{\lambda'}^{\alpha_{\lambda'} - \alpha - j} M^{(1)}(\lambda_{\lambda'})}{(\alpha_{\lambda'} - \alpha - j)!} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_{\lambda_{\lambda'}, j}^T e^{-\phi(\lambda_{\lambda'})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda_j \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{\lambda'} - \alpha} \frac{\partial_{\lambda'}^{\alpha_{\lambda'} - \alpha - j} M^{(1)}(\lambda_{\lambda'})}{(\alpha_{\lambda'} - \alpha - j)!} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p_{\lambda_{\lambda'}, j}^T e^{\phi(\lambda_{\lambda'})} & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda_j \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.24b) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{\lambda'} - \alpha} \frac{\partial_{\lambda'}^{\alpha_{\lambda'} - \alpha - j} M^{(1)}(\bar{\lambda}_{\lambda'}^{-1})}{(\alpha_{\lambda'} - \alpha - j)!} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p_{\bar{\lambda}_{\lambda'}^{-1}, j}^T e^{\phi(\bar{\lambda}_{\lambda'}^{-1})} & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda_j \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{\lambda'} - \alpha} \frac{\partial_{\lambda'}^{\alpha_{\lambda'} - \alpha - j} M^{(1)}(\bar{\lambda}_{\lambda'}^{-1})}{(\alpha_{\lambda'} - \alpha - j)!} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_{\bar{\lambda}_{\lambda'}^{-1}, j}^T e^{-\phi(\bar{\lambda}_{\lambda'}^{-1})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda_j \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \end{cases}$$

where  $p_{\lambda_{l'}, j}^T$  and  $p_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}, j}^T$  are polynomials of  $(n, t)$  of order at most  $j$ .

That  $M^{(1)} = MT^{\sigma_3}$  satisfies the analyticity, normalization, and jump condition in RH problem 5.2 is easily verified by RH problem 3.2 and the first three items in Proposition 5.1. The remaining to check is the Residue condition on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . On the one hand for  $\lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\xi}^+$ , since  $T$  is analytic at  $\lambda_{l'}$ , we calculate the Laurent's coefficients of  $M_2^{(1)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.25) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M_1^{(1)} &= \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha} ((\cdot - \lambda_{l'})^{\alpha_{l'}} M_1 T)(\lambda_{l'})}{(\alpha_{l'} - \alpha)!} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha} \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha - j} ((\cdot - \lambda_{l'})^{\alpha_{l'}} M_1)(\lambda_{l'})}{(\alpha_{l'} - \alpha - j)!} \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^j T(\lambda_{l'})}{j!} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha} \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^j T(\lambda_{l'})}{j!} \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha - j} M_1.
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.21) and Leibniz Rule, it follows that

$$(5.26) \quad \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha} M_2(\lambda_{l'})}{\alpha!} = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha - j} M_2^{(1)}(\lambda_{l'})}{(\alpha - j)!} \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^j T(\lambda_{l'})}{j!}.$$

Thus, considering (3.37a), (5.25), and (5.26), we obtain that there are polynomials  $p_{\lambda_{l'}, j}^T$  of  $(n, t)$  of order at most  $j$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, \alpha_{l'} - 1$ , such that

$$(5.27) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M_1^{(1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha} \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha - j} M_2^{(1)}(\lambda_{l'})}{(\alpha_{l'} - \alpha - j)!} p_{\lambda_{l'}, j}^T e^{\phi(\lambda_{l'})}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, \alpha_{l'}.$$

On the other hand for  $\lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\xi}^+$ , by the transform (5.21), we see that at any  $\lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\xi}^+$ , the pole of  $M^{(1)}$  is on the second column while the first column is holomorphic. Calculate the Laurent's coefficients,

$$(5.28) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M_2^{(1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha} \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha - j} T^{-1} \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^j M_2(\lambda_{l'})}{j!}.$$

By Leibniz Rule, We also have that for any natural number  $\alpha < \alpha_{l'}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.29) \quad \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha} M_1^{(1)}(\lambda_{l'})}{\alpha!} &= (\alpha!)^{-1} \partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha} \left[ (\cdot - \lambda_{l'})^{\alpha_{l'}} M_1 \frac{T}{(\cdot - \lambda_{l'})^{\alpha_{l'}}} \right] (\lambda_{l'}) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha - j} [(\cdot - \lambda_{l'})^{\alpha_{l'}} M_1](\lambda_{l'})}{(\alpha - j)!} \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^j [(\cdot - \lambda_{l'})^{-\alpha_{l'}} T]}{j!} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha - j - \alpha_{l'}} M_1 \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^j [(\cdot - \lambda_{l'})^{-\alpha_{l'}} T]}{j!}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.37a), (5.28), and (5.29), it is readily seen that there are polynomials  $p_{\lambda_{l'}, j}^T$  of  $(n, t)$  of order at most  $j$  such that for any  $\lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\xi}^+$ ,

$$(5.30) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M_2^{(1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha} \frac{\partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha_{l'} - \alpha - j} M_1^{(1)}(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})}{(\alpha_{l'} - \alpha - j)!} p_{\lambda_{l'}, j}^T e^{-\phi(\lambda_{l'})}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, \alpha_{l'}.$$

Combining (5.27) and (5.30), we obtain the residue condition (5.24a), and we also verify (5.24b) in the similar way. Thus, we complete the assertion.

**5.2. Split the jump contour.** In this part, applying the  $\bar{\partial}$ -RH transformation, we split the jump contour  $\Sigma$  along the arcs  $\widehat{S_1 S_2}$  and  $\Sigma \setminus \widehat{S_1 S_2}$ . In the follow, properly choose the jump contour  $\Sigma^{(2)} = \Sigma_1^{(2)} \cup \Sigma_3^{(2)} \cup \Sigma_4^{(2)} \cup \Sigma_6^{(2)}$  as shown in FIGURE 3 such that it consists of line segments  $S_j(1 + e^{\pm i\pi/4}(-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0))$  and arcs centering at the original. Positive number  $\epsilon_0$  is so small enough that the  $\bigcup_{k=1,3,4,6} \Omega_k$  is away from the discrete spectrum.

Introduce the continuous functions on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$

$$(5.31a) \quad R_1(\lambda) = r(\lambda/|\lambda|), \quad R_6(\lambda) = \overline{R_1(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})},$$

$$(5.31b) \quad R_3(\lambda) = \left( \frac{\bar{r}}{1 + |r|^2} \right) (\lambda/|\lambda|), \quad R_4(\lambda) = \overline{R_3(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})},$$

and the  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function

$$(5.32) \quad M^{(2)} = M^{(1)}\mathcal{R}, \quad \mathcal{R} = \begin{cases} I & \lambda \in \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_5, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -R_1 T^2 e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Omega_1, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -R_3 T^{-2} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Omega_3, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ R_4 T^2 e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Omega_4, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & R_6 T^{-2} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Omega_6. \end{cases}$$

Utilizing RH problem 5.2 and the transformation (5.32), ones easily check that  $M^{(2)}$  uniquely solves the following  $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem, where the jump contour  $\Sigma^{(2)}$  as shown in FIGURE 3.

**$\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem 5.3.** Find a function such that

- $M^{(2)}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\Sigma^{(2)} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}^{-1}}\}$  and holomorphic on  $(\Omega_2 \cup \Omega_5) \setminus \{\mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}^{-1}}\}$ .
- As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M \sim I + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ .
- On  $\Sigma^{(2)}$ ,

$$(5.33) \quad M_+^{(2)} = M_-^{(2)} V^{(2)},$$

where

$$(5.34) \quad V^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ R_1 T^2 e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_1^{(2)}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & R_3 T^{-2} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_3^{(2)}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ R_4 T^2 e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_4^{(2)}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & R_6 T^{-2} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_6^{(2)}. \end{cases}$$

- On the poles on  $\mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}^{-1}}$ ,

$$(5.35a) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M^{(2)} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_{l'}} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M^{(2)}(\lambda_{l'})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_{\lambda_{l'},j}^T e^{-\phi(\lambda_{l'})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & \lambda_j \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M^{(2)}(\lambda_{l'})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p_{\lambda_{l'},j}^T e^{\phi(\lambda_{l'})} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & \lambda_j \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.35b) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M^{(2)} \Big|_{\lambda=\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M^{(2)}(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},j}^T e^{\phi(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & \lambda_j \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M^{(2)}(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},j}^T e^{-\phi(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & \lambda_j \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+. \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 3. The jump contour is  $\Sigma^{(2)} = \Sigma_1^{(2)} \cup \Sigma_3^{(2)} \cup \Sigma_4^{(2)} \cup \Sigma_6^{(2)}$ , where  $\Sigma_i^{(2)} = \partial\Omega_i \cap D_+$  for  $i = 1, 3$  and  $\Sigma_i^{(2)} = \partial\Omega_i \cap D_-$  for  $i = 4, 6$ . Here, we choose  $\Sigma^{(2)}$  properly that  $\bigcup_{k=1,3,4,6} \Omega_k$  contains none of the discrete spectrum.

- $\bar{\partial}$  condition: On  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_3 \cup \Omega_4 \cup \Omega_6$ ,

$$(5.36) \quad \bar{\partial}M^{(2)} = M^{(2)}\bar{\partial}\mathcal{R}.$$

Rewrite  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  in the polar coordinates:  $\lambda = \rho e^{i\theta}$ . We note that the function  $R_j$  are constant along the ray  $\mathbb{R}^+ e^{i\theta}$ , thus utilizing the formula  $\bar{\partial} = e^{i\theta}(\partial_\rho + i\rho^{-1}\partial_\theta)/2$ , we calculate

$$(5.37a) \quad \bar{\partial}R_1 = \frac{i}{2}\rho^{-1}e^{i\theta}\partial_\theta(r(e^{i\theta})),$$

$$(5.37b) \quad \bar{\partial}R_3 = \frac{i}{2}\rho^{-1}e^{i\theta}\frac{\overline{\partial_\theta(r(e^{i\theta}))} - r(e^{i\theta})^2\partial_\theta(r(e^{i\theta}))}{(1 + |r(e^{i\theta})|^2)^2}.$$

Through (5.37), it is readily seen that the  $L^1$ -norm of  $\bar{\partial}R_j$  on any circle  $\{|\lambda| > 0\}$  is well dominated by the norm of  $r \in H^1$ , which is applied to those estimates in Section 5.3.3.

**5.3. The factorization of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem.** In this part, we decompose the solution for  $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem into a product of solutions for an RH problem and a  $\bar{\partial}$  problem. i.e.,

$$(5.38) \quad M^{(2)} = M_D^{(2)} M_{RH}^{(2)}.$$

**RH problem 5.4.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$  satisfying the  $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem 5.3 with  $\bar{\partial}_\lambda \mathcal{R} \equiv 0$ .

**$\bar{\partial}$ -problem 5.5.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $M_D^{(2)}$  such that

- $M_D^{(2)}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{C}$  and analytic on  $\Omega_2 \cup \Omega_5$ .
- $\bar{\partial}$  condition: On  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_3 \cup \Omega_4 \cup \Omega_6$ ,

$$(5.39) \quad \bar{\partial}M_D^{(2)} = M_D^{(2)}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = M_{RH}^{(2)}\bar{\partial}\mathcal{R}(M_{RH}^{(2)})^{-1}.$$

**5.3.1. Reflection coefficient vanishes.** In this part, we discuss the RH problem 5.2 when the reflection coefficient vanishing and denote its solution as  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})} = M^{(\mathcal{Z})}(\lambda, n, t)$ .

**RH problem 5.6.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})}$  solves RH problem 5.2 with  $r \equiv 0$ .

For any  $\lambda_{\nu'} \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+$ , by the method to prove Proposition 4.1, there are two rational functions  $f_{\lambda_j}^{\mathcal{Z}} = f_{\lambda_j}^{\mathcal{Z}}(\lambda)$ ,  $f_{\bar{\lambda}_j}^{\mathcal{Z}} = f_{\bar{\lambda}_j}^{\mathcal{Z}}(\lambda)$  such that  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & f_{\lambda_{\nu'}}^\xi e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_{\bar{\lambda}_{\nu'}}^\xi e^{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  are holomorphic at  $\lambda = \lambda_{\nu'}$ ,  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}_{\nu'}^{-1}$ , respectively. Similarly, for  $\lambda_{\nu'} \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_\xi^+ \cup \mathcal{Z}_\xi)$ , there are rational functions  $f_{\lambda_j}^{\mathcal{Z}} = f_{\lambda_j}^{\mathcal{Z}}(\lambda)$ ,  $f_{\bar{\lambda}_j}^{\mathcal{Z}} = f_{\bar{\lambda}_j}^{\mathcal{Z}}(\lambda)$  such

that  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_{\lambda_{l'}}^\xi e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & f_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}}^\xi e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  are holomorphic at  $\lambda = \lambda_{l'}$ ,  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}$ , respectively. By these facts, choosing a small enough positive constant  $\epsilon_1$ , we construct the matrix-valued function

$$(5.40) \quad M^{(3)} = M^{(\mathcal{Z})} \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & f_{\lambda_{l'}}^\xi e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & |\lambda - \lambda_{l'}| < \epsilon_1, \quad \lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}}^\xi e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & |\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}| < \epsilon_1, \quad \lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_{\lambda_{l'}}^\xi e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & |\lambda - \lambda_{l'}| < \epsilon_1, \quad \lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_\xi^+ \cup \mathcal{Z}_\xi), \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & f_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}}^\xi e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & |\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}| < \epsilon_1, \quad \lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_\xi^+ \cup \mathcal{Z}_\xi), \\ I, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, by the choice of  $M^{(3)}$ , it solves a RH problem without residue condition that the jump condition is over  $\Sigma^{(3)} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\xi} \{|\lambda - \lambda_{l'}| = \epsilon_1 \text{ or } |\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}| = \epsilon_1\}$ , and seeing the sign table of  $\text{Re}\phi$  in FIGURE ??, if  $\epsilon_1$  is small enough, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$(5.41) \quad \|V^{(3)} - I\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{(3)})} \leq e^{-Ct}.$$

Explicitly, the RH problem reads as follows.

**RH problem 5.7.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $M^{(3)}$ , such that

- $M^{(3)}$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\Sigma^{(3)} \cup \mathcal{Z}_\xi \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}_\xi^{-1}})$ .
- As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M^{(3)} \sim I + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ .
- On  $\Sigma^{(3)}$ ,

$$(5.42) \quad M_+^{(3)} = M_-^{(3)} V^{(3)},$$

where

$$(5.43) \quad V^{(3)} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & f_{\lambda_{l'}}^\xi e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & |\lambda - \lambda_{l'}| = \epsilon_1, \quad \lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}}^\xi e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & |\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}| = \epsilon_1, \quad \lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_{\lambda_{l'}}^\xi e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & |\lambda - \lambda_{l'}| = \epsilon_1, \quad \lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_\xi^+ \cup \mathcal{Z}_\xi), \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & f_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}}^\xi e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & |\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}| = \epsilon_1, \quad \lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_\xi^+ \cup \mathcal{Z}_\xi). \end{cases}$$

- The residue condition is as that of RH problem 5.2 on  $\mathcal{Z}_\xi$  if  $\mathcal{Z}_\xi \neq \emptyset$ .

Set

$$(5.44) \quad M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)} = \begin{cases} I & \mathcal{Z}_\xi = \emptyset, \\ M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi, \mathcal{P}_\xi^T)} & \mathcal{Z}_\xi \neq \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

where  $M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi, \mathcal{P}_\xi^T)}$  is as defined in Remark 4.6, and the discrete spectral data  $(\mathcal{Z}_\xi, \mathcal{P}_\xi^T)$  is the restriction of  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}^T)$  on  $\mathcal{Z}_\xi$ , where  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}^T)$  is the discrete spectral data of RH problem 5.2. Recalling the discussion in Remark 4.6,  $M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)}$  uniquely exists. Referring to Proposition 4.1, for any  $\lambda_{l'} \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi$ , there is a rational function  $f_{\lambda_{l'}} = f_{\lambda_{l'}}(\lambda)$  such that

$$(5.45) \quad M^{(3)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_{\lambda_{l'}} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_{\lambda_{l'}} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

are holomorphic at  $\lambda = \lambda_{\nu}$ , and then it follows that

$$(5.46) \quad \tilde{M}^{(3)} = M^{(3)} \left( M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)} \right)^{-1}$$

is analytic at  $\lambda_{\nu}$ . Similarly, it is also analytic at  $\bar{\lambda}_{\nu}^{-1}$ . Based on the above arguments, it is clear that (5.46) solves a small-norm RH problem without poles

**RH problem 5.8.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $\tilde{M}^{(3)}$  such that

- $\tilde{M}^{(3)}$  is holomorphic on  $\Sigma^{(3)}$ .
- As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\tilde{M}^{(3)} \sim I + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ .
- On  $\Sigma^{(3)}$ ,  $\tilde{M}_+^{(3)} = \tilde{M}_-^{(3)} V^{(3,R)}$ , where  $V^{(3,R)} = M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)} V^{(3)} \left( M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)} \right)^{-1}$ .

By (5.41) and the boundedness of  $M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)}$  on  $\Sigma^{(3)}$ , for  $t \gg 0$ , the jump matrix  $V^{(3,R)}$  decays to the identity exponentially,

$$(5.47) \quad \| V^{(3,R)} - I \|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{(3)})} \lesssim e^{-Ct}.$$

Then by the small norm theory for the RH problems [23, 11], it follows that

$$(5.48) \quad M^{(3)}(\lambda) = \left( I + \int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \frac{\mu^{(3)}(s) (V^{(3)}(s) - I) ds}{s - \lambda} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right) M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)}(\lambda),$$

where  $\mu^{(3)}$  is the solution in  $L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})$  of

$$(5.49) \quad (\text{Id} - C_{V^{(3,R)}-I}) \mu^{(3)} = I, \quad C_{V^{(3,R)}-I} = C_-^{\Sigma^{(3)}} \cdot (V^{(3,R)} - I).$$

It is well understood that the projection operator  $C_-^{\Sigma^{(3)}}$  is bounded on  $L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})$ , then it follows that the bound  $\| C_{V^{(3,R)}-I} \|_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})}$  of the linear operator of  $C_{V^{(3,R)}-I}$  is dominated by

$$(5.50) \quad \| C_{V^{(3,R)}-I} \|_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})} \leq \| C_-^{\Sigma^{(3)}} \|_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})} \| V^{(3,R)} - I \|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{(3)})} \lesssim e^{-Ct}.$$

By (5.50), for  $t \gg 0$ , the solution  $\mu^{(3)} = (\text{Id} - C_{V^{(3,R)}-I})^{-1} I$  for (5.49) uniquely exists and as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(5.51) \quad \| \mu^{(3)} \|_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})} \leq \mathcal{O}(1).$$

By (5.40), (5.47), (5.48) and (5.51), it follows that

$$(5.52) \quad M^{(\mathcal{Z})}(0) = (I + \mathcal{O}(e^{-Ct})) M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)}(0).$$

and also

$$(5.53) \quad M^{(\mathcal{Z})}(S_j) = (I + \mathcal{O}(e^{-Ct})) M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)}(S_j), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

**5.3.2. Solvability of  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$ .** In this part, we discuss the solvability of  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$ . We choose small discs  $U_j$  as the neighborhood of  $S_j$ . We rewrite  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$  in the form

$$(5.54) \quad M_{RH}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} EM^{(\mathcal{Z})}, & \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Sigma^{(2)} \cup U_1 \cup U_2), \\ EM^{(\mathcal{Z})} M_{loc}^{(2,j)}, & \lambda \in U_j \setminus \Sigma^{(2)}, \end{cases}$$

where  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})}$  is the solution of RH problem 5.6,  $M_{loc}^{(2,j)}$  is the solution of RH problem 5.9, and  $E$  admits the RH problem 5.10.

**RH problem 5.9.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $M_{loc}^{(2,j)}$  such that

- $M_{loc}^{(2,j)}$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(2,j)}$ .
- $M_{loc}^{(2,j)} \sim I + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .
- $M_{loc}^{(2,j)}$  admits the jump condition on  $\Sigma^{(2,j)}$

$$(5.55) \quad (M_{loc}^{(2,j)})_+ = (M_{loc}^{(2,j)})_- V_{loc}^{(2,j)}, \quad \text{on } \Sigma^{(2,j)}.$$

where

$$(5.56a) \quad V_{loc}^{(2,1)} = V_{loc}^{(2,1)}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ T_1^2 r(S_1) \zeta^{-2i\nu_1} e^{\frac{i\zeta^2}{2}} & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_1^{(2,1)}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & T_1^{-2} \frac{r(S_1)}{1+|r(S_1)|^2} \zeta^{2i\nu_1} e^{-\frac{i\zeta^2}{2}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_2^{(2,1)}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ T_1^2 \frac{r(S_1)}{1+|r(S_1)|^2} \zeta^{-2i\nu_1} e^{\frac{i\zeta^2}{2}} & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_3^{(2,1)}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & T_1^{-2} \overline{r(S_1)} \zeta^{2i\nu_1} e^{-\frac{i\zeta^2}{2}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_4^{(2,1)}, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.56b) \quad V_{loc}^{(2,2)} = V_{loc}^{(2,2)}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & T_2^{-2} \overline{r(S_2)} \zeta^{-2i\nu_2} e^{\frac{i\zeta^2}{2}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_1^{(2,2)}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ T_2^2 \frac{r(S_2)}{1+|r(S_2)|^2} \zeta^{2i\nu_2} e^{-\frac{i\zeta^2}{2}} & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_2^{(2,2)}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & T_2^{-2} \frac{r(S_2)}{1+|r(S_2)|^2} \zeta^{-2i\nu_2} e^{\frac{i\zeta^2}{2}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_3^{(2,2)}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ T_2^2 r(S_2) \zeta^{2i\nu_2} e^{-\frac{i\zeta^2}{2}} & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_4^{(2,2)}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(5.57) \quad \lambda = \beta_j \zeta + S_j, \quad \beta_j = (-1)^j \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (1 - \xi^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_j,$$

$$(5.58) \quad T_j = \prod_{\lambda_l \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+} \left( \frac{S_j - \lambda_l}{S_j - \bar{\lambda}_l^{-1}} \right)^{\alpha_l} \frac{(-2\sqrt{2}(1 - \xi^2)^{\frac{3}{4}} t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{(-1)^{j-1} i \nu_j} (i S_j)^{(-1)^j i \nu_j}}{e^{it[1 + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} + \xi(\frac{1 + (-1)^j}{4})\pi + (-1)^{j-1} \arcsin \xi]} - \alpha_j(S_j)}.$$

**RH problem 5.10.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function such that

- $E$  is analytic on  $\Sigma^E = \partial U_1 \cup \partial U_2 \cup (\Sigma^{(2)})$ .
- As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $E(\lambda) \sim I + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ .
- On  $\Sigma^E$ ,

$$(5.59) \quad E_+ = E_- V^E,$$

where

$$(5.60) \quad V^E = \begin{cases} M^{(\mathcal{Z})} V^{(2)} (M^{(\mathcal{Z})})^{-1}, & \lambda \in \Sigma^{(2)} \setminus (\partial U_1 \cup \partial U_2), \\ M^{(\mathcal{Z})} M_{loc}^{(2,j)} V^{(2)} (V_{loc}^{(2,j)})^{-1} (M^{(\mathcal{Z})} M_{loc}^{(2,j)})^{-1}, & \lambda \in \Sigma^{(2)} \cap U_j, \\ M^{(\mathcal{Z})} M_{loc}^{(2,j)} (M^{(\mathcal{Z})})^{-1}, & \lambda \in \partial U_1 \cup \partial U_2. \end{cases}$$

Using (5.54), we obtain the solution  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$  of RH problem 5.4 by constructing  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})}$ ,  $M_{loc}^{(2,j)}$  and  $E$  one by one.

To see the solvability of  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$ , we first investigate the part of  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})}$  and  $M_{loc}^{(2,j)}$ . On the one hand, recalling the discussion in Section 5.3.1, we see that  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})}$  is uniquely solved for  $t \gg 0$ . On the other hand,  $M_{loc}^{(2,j)}$  can be represented by

$$(5.61a) \quad M_{loc}^{(2,1)}(\lambda) = T_1^{-\sigma_3} M^{(PC)}(\zeta, r(S_1)) T_1^{\sigma_3},$$

$$(5.61b) \quad M_{loc}^{(2,2)}(\lambda) = \sigma_1 T_2^{\sigma_3} M^{(PC)}(\zeta, \overline{r(S_2)}) T_2^{-\sigma_3} \sigma_1,$$

where  $M^{(PC)}$  the well-known as the model RH problem A.1.

Based on the solvability of  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})}$  and  $M_{loc}^{(2,j)}$ , we come to the error part of  $E$ . By (5.12), (5.31), (5.34) and the sign table of  $\text{Re}\phi$ , it is readily seen that for some positive constant  $\epsilon$

$$(5.62) \quad |V^{(2)}(\lambda) - I| \leq e^{-\epsilon t}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma^{(2)} \setminus (U_1 \cup U_2).$$

By (5.34), (5.56) and Proposition 5.11, we obtain that

$$(5.63) \quad |V^{(2)}(V_{loc}^{(2,j)})^{-1} - I| \lesssim |V^{(2)} - V_{loc}^{(2,j)}| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{4}} |\zeta|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{|\zeta|^2}{2}}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma^{(2)} \cap U_j.$$

By (5.61), (A.7) and (5.57), it follows that

$$(5.64) \quad |(M_{loc}^{(2,j)})^{-1} - I| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \lambda \in \partial U_j.$$

Considering (5.62), (5.63) and (5.64), by the boundedness of  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})}$  on  $\Sigma^E$ , we conclude that

$$(5.65) \quad |V^E - I| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{4}},$$

that is,  $E$  is the solution of a small-norm RH problem. Recalling that  $C_{\Sigma^E}^{\Sigma^E}$  is a bounded operation on  $L^2(\Sigma^E)$ , by (5.65), it is readily seen that the Cauchy-type integral operator

$$(5.66) \quad C_{V^E-I} : C_{V^E-I} f = C_-(f(V^E - I))$$

is consequently bounded on  $L^2(\Sigma^E)$ , and

$$(5.67) \quad \|C_{V^E-I}\|_{L^2(\Sigma^E)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

(5.67) indicates that as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , the operator decays, and for sufficiently large  $t$ ,  $(\text{Id} - C_{V^E-I})^{-1}$  exists and is bounded on  $L^2(\Sigma^E)$ . By RH problem 5.10, it follows that the solution can be written in the integral form:

$$(5.68) \quad E(\lambda, n, t) = I + \int_{\Sigma^E} \frac{[(\text{Id} - C_{V^E-I})^{-1}I](s, n, t)(V^E(s, n, t) - I) ds}{s - \lambda} \frac{1}{2\pi i}.$$

Now, we focus on the estimate of  $E(0) = E(0, n, t)$ , and assert that as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$(5.69) \quad \begin{aligned} E(0, n, t) - I = & t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)}(S_1, n, t)}{\sqrt{2}(1 - \xi^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\gamma_1(r(S_1))}{T_1^2} \\ \gamma_2(r(S_1))T_1^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)}(S_1, n, t))^{-1} \\ & + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)}(S_2, n, t)}{\sqrt{2}(1 - \xi^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\gamma_2(r(S_2))}{T_2^2} \\ \gamma_1(r(S_2))T_2^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)}(S_2, n, t))^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{4}}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the value of (5.68) at  $\lambda = 0$ ,

$$(5.70) \quad \begin{aligned} E(0) = & I + \oint_{\partial U_1 \cup \partial U_2} s^{-1} [(\text{Id} - C_{V^E-I})^{-1} C_{V^E-I} I](s) (V^E(s) - I) \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \\ & + \oint_{\Sigma^{(2)}} s^{-1} [(\text{Id} - C_{V^E-I})^{-1} I](s) (V^E(s) - I) \frac{ds}{2\pi i} + \oint_{\partial U_1 \cup \partial U_2} s^{-1} (V^E(s) - I) \frac{ds}{2\pi i}. \end{aligned}$$

In (5.70), the first integral is dominated by  $\mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{4}})$  because of (5.64) and (5.67), and using (5.62) and (5.63), it follows that the second integral is constrained by  $\mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{4}})$ . Then we rewrite (5.70) in the asymptotic form that as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$(5.71) \quad E(0, n, t) = I + \oint_{\partial U_1 \cup \partial U_2} s^{-1} (V^E(s, n, t) - I) \frac{ds}{2\pi i} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{4}}).$$

Using (5.53), (5.60), (5.61), (A.7) and the Residue Theorem, we formulate the integral term in (5.71), and consequently obtain (5.69).

**Proposition 5.11.** If we set the transformation on  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ :  $\lambda = \beta_j \zeta + S_j$ , where  $\beta_j$  are defined as (5.57) and  $S_j$  are the stationary phase points, then when  $\xi \in (-1, 1)$ , we have the following asymptotic properties that as  $\lambda \rightarrow S_j$ ,

$$(5.72) \quad R_1(\lambda) \sim r(S_j) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{4}} |\zeta|^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad R_3(\lambda) \sim \frac{\overline{r(S_j)}}{1 + |r(S_j)|^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{4}} |\zeta|^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

$$(5.73) \quad T^2(\lambda) e^{\phi(\lambda)} \sim T_j^2 \zeta^{(-1)^j 2i\nu_j} e^{-(-1)^j i \frac{\zeta^2}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{4}} |\zeta|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(-1)^j i \frac{\zeta^2}{2}}),$$






FIGURE 4. Jump contours for RH problem 5.9 are  $\Sigma_{loc}^{(2,j)} = \bigcup_{k=1}^4 \Sigma_k^{(2,j)}$ , where  $\Sigma_k^{(2,j)}$  are the rays:  $\Sigma_k^{(2,1)} = S_1(1 + e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}(2k-3)}\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $\Sigma_k^{(2,2)} = S_2(1 + e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}(2k+1)}\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, 4$ .




FIGURE 5. The jump contour of RH problem 5.10 is  $\Sigma^E = \Sigma^{(2)} \cup \partial U_1 \cup \partial U_2$ , where  $\partial U_1$  and  $\partial U_2$  are oriented clockwise.

*Proof.* On the one hand, recalling that  $r \in H^1$ , we have that for  $\lambda$  on the neighborhood of  $S_j$ ,

$$(5.74) \quad R_1(\lambda) - r(S_j) = r\left(\frac{\beta_j \zeta + S_j}{|\beta_j \zeta + S_j|}\right) - r(S_j) \lesssim \left| \frac{\beta_j \zeta + S_j}{|\beta_j \zeta + S_j|} - S_j \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |\beta_j \zeta|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and we proved the result for  $R_1$  in (5.72). In addition, when  $r \in H^1$ , it is readily seen that  $\frac{r}{1+|r|^2} \in H^1$ , therefore, we similarly obtain  $R_3(\lambda)$ 's result in (5.72) and complete the proof of (5.72). On the other hand, the result (5.73) is a consequence of (5.2), (5.12) and (5.57). This completes the proof.  $\square$

We have proved that  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$  is uniquely solved, then we claim that  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$  is invertible. It is readily seen that  $\det M_{RH}^{(2)}$  has no jump over  $\mathbb{C}$  and tends to 1 at the infinity. In addition, utilizing the technique to prove Proposition 4.1, we can remove the pole of  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$  at  $\lambda = \lambda_{l'}$  by a triangular function with constant determinant, that is, the singularities of  $\det M_{RH}^{(2)}$  at  $\lambda = \lambda_{l'}$  are removable. In the similar way, its singularities at  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}$  are also removable. By Liouville's Theorem,  $\det M_{RH}^{(2)}$  is the constant 1 over  $\mathbb{C}$ , that is,  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$  is invertible.

Defining the function

$$(5.75) \quad M_D^{(2)} = M^{(2)} \left( M_{RH}^{(2)} \right)^{-1}.$$

Since  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$  is invertible, the definition (5.75) is well posed, and comparing  $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem 5.3 to RH problem 5.4, we find that  $M_D^{(2)}$  is the solution of  $\bar{\partial}$  problem 5.5.

5.3.3. *Estimate on the  $\bar{\partial}$  problem.* Since we have already proved the existence of  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$ , in this part, we concentrate on some estimates of  $M_D^{(2)}$ .

That  $M_D^{(2)}$  solves  $\bar{\partial}$  problem 5.3 is equivalent to the integral equation

$$(5.76) \quad M_D^{(2)}(\lambda) = I + \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{[M_D^{(2)}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}](s) dL(s)}{s - \lambda} \frac{1}{\pi},$$

where  $L(s)$  is the Lebesgue's measure on the complex plane. Rewrite (5.76) as

$$(5.77) \quad (\text{Id} - S)M_D^{(2)} = I, \quad Sf(\lambda) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{[f\tilde{\mathcal{R}}](s) dL(s)}{s - \lambda} \frac{1}{\pi}.$$

The linear integral operator  $S$  is proved bounded on  $L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  in Proposition 5.12, and the bound  $\|S\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C})}$  decays as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, (5.77) is uniquely solved in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  for  $t \gg 0$ .

**Proposition 5.12.** Define the integral operator  $S$  as (5.77). If  $r \in H^1$ , then  $S$  is bounded on  $L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ , and as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , the bound of  $S$  on  $L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  is dominated by  $t^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ , that is,

$$(5.78) \quad \|S\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

*Proof.* Recalling that  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  is supported on  $\bigcup_{k=1,3,4,6} \Omega_k$  and the discrete spectrum of RH problem 5.4 is away from  $\bigcup_{k=1,3,4,6} \Omega_k$ , we have that  $M_{RH}^{(2)}$ ,  $(M_{RH}^{(2)})^{-1}$ ,  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are all bounded on  $\bigcup_{k=1,3,4,6} \Omega_k$ , and consequently,

$$(5.79) \quad \begin{aligned} |Sf(\lambda)| &= \left| \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{[f\tilde{\mathcal{R}}](s) dL(s)}{s - \lambda} \frac{1}{\pi} \right| = \left| \iint_{\bigcup_{k=1,3,4,6} \Omega_k} \frac{[f\tilde{\mathcal{R}}](s) dL(s)}{s - \lambda} \frac{1}{\pi} \right| \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \sum_{k=1,3,4,6} \iint_{\Omega_k} \left| \frac{\bar{\partial}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(s)}{s - \lambda} \right| \frac{dL(s)}{\pi} \\ &= \|f\|_\infty \sum_{k=1,3,4,6} \iint_{\Omega_k} \left| \frac{[\bar{\partial}R_k e^{(-1)^{[k/2]}\phi}](s)}{s - \lambda} \right| \frac{dL(s)}{\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(-1)^{[k/2]} = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 1, 4 \\ -1 & k = 2, 6 \end{cases}$ .

For the region  $\Omega_1$ , we write  $s \in \Omega_1$  in the polar coordinates:  $s = \rho e^{i\theta}$ ,  $(\rho, \theta) \in (1, \rho_0) \times (\theta_\rho, -\pi - \theta_\rho)$ , where  $\rho_0 = |1 + \epsilon_0 e^{i\pi/4}|$ , and  $\rho e^{i\theta_\rho}$ ,  $\rho e^{-\pi - \theta_\rho}$  are on the upper boundaries of  $\Omega_1$ :  $S_1(1 + e^{i\pi/4}(0, \epsilon_0))$ ,  $S_2(1 + e^{-i\pi/4}(0, \epsilon_0))$ , respectively. Taking Taylor's expansion of (1.5) at  $\lambda = S_1$ , we see that as  $\rho e^{i\theta_\rho} \rightarrow S_1$ ,

$$(5.80) \quad \text{Re}\phi(\rho e^{i\theta_\rho}) = \text{Re}\phi(\rho e^{i\theta_\rho}, n, t) \sim -2t\sqrt{1 - \xi^2}(\rho - 1)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho - 1)^3.$$

Utilizing (1.5), (5.37a) and the boundedness of  $T$  on  $\Omega_1$ , we estimate the integral on  $\Omega_1$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,

$$(5.81) \quad \begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega_1} \left| \frac{[\bar{\partial}R_1 e^\phi](s)}{s - \lambda} \right| \frac{dL(s)}{\pi} &= \int_1^{\rho_0} \int_{-\pi - \theta_\rho}^{\theta_\rho} \left| \frac{\rho^{-1} \partial_\theta(r(e^{i\theta})) e^{\phi(\rho e^{i\theta})}}{2\pi(\rho e^{i\theta} - \lambda)} \right| \rho d\theta d\rho \\ &\leq \int_1^{\rho_0} \int_{-\frac{3\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{|\partial_\theta(r(e^{i\theta}))| e^{\text{Re}\phi(\rho e^{i\theta_\rho})}}{2\pi|\rho e^{i\theta} - \lambda|} d\theta d\rho \\ &\leq \int_1^{\rho_0} d\rho \frac{e^{\text{Re}\phi(\rho e^{i\theta_\rho})}}{2\pi} \|r\|_{H^1} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\rho e^{i\theta} - \lambda|^{-2} d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \int_1^{\rho_0} d\rho \frac{e^{\text{Re}\phi(\rho e^{i\theta_\rho})}}{2\pi|\rho - |\lambda||^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.80) and (5.81), since we have assumed  $\epsilon_0$  small enough, it is readily seen that

$$(5.82) \quad \iint_{\Omega_1} \left| \frac{[\bar{\partial}R_1 e^\phi](s)}{s - \lambda} \right| \frac{dL(s)}{\pi} \leq \int_0^{\rho_0} d\rho \frac{e^{-t\sqrt{1 - \xi^2}(\rho - 1)^2}}{2\pi|\rho - |\lambda||^{1/2}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

For regions  $\Omega_3, \Omega_4, \Omega_6$ , letting  $\lambda \neq 0$ , it admits the same estimates as (5.82), i.e., for sufficient small  $\epsilon_0$  and  $k = 1, 3, 4, 6$ ,

$$(5.83) \quad \iint_{\Omega_k} \left| \frac{[\bar{\partial}R_k e^{(-1)^{[k/2]}\phi}](s)}{s - \lambda} \right| \frac{dL(s)}{\pi} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Utilizing (5.79) and (5.83), we finally prove the results.  $\square$

Now, we focus on the estimate of  $M_D^{(2)}$  at  $\lambda = 0$ .

$$(5.84) \quad M_D^{(2)}(0) = I + \iint_{\mathbb{C}} [M_D^{(2)} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}](s) s^{-1} \frac{dL(s)}{\pi}.$$

Again taking  $s = \rho e^{i\theta} \in \Omega_1$ , by (1.5) it is easy to check that for any fixed  $\xi \in (-1, 1)$ , there is a positive constant  $\tilde{\epsilon}(\xi)$  such that

$$(5.85) \quad \sin \theta - \sin \theta_\rho \leq \begin{cases} \tilde{\epsilon}(\xi)(\theta - \theta_\rho) & \theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \theta_\rho), \\ -\tilde{\epsilon}(\xi)(\theta + \pi + \theta_\rho) & \theta \in (-\pi - \theta_\rho, -\frac{\pi}{2}). \end{cases}$$

Utilizing the techniques applied in Proposition 5.12 and the estimate (5.85), we obtain that the following integral on  $\Omega_1$  is dominated by  $t^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ , that is,

$$(5.86) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \iint_{\Omega_1} [M_D^{(2)} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}](s) s^{-1} \frac{dL(s)}{\pi} \right| \lesssim \iint_{\Omega_1} |\bar{\partial} R_1(s) e^{\phi(s)} s^{-1}| \frac{dL(s)}{\pi} \\ & = \int_1^{\rho_0} \frac{e^{\operatorname{Re} \phi(\rho e^{i\theta_\rho})}}{2\pi\rho} d\rho \int_{-\pi-\theta_\rho}^{\theta_\rho} |\partial_\theta(r(e^{i\theta}))| e^{\operatorname{Re} \phi(\rho e^{i\theta}) - \operatorname{Re} \phi(\rho e^{i\theta_\rho})} d\theta \\ & \leq \|r\|_{H^1} \int_1^{\rho_0} \frac{e^{\operatorname{Re}(\rho e^{i\theta_\rho})}}{2\pi\rho} d\rho \left( \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\theta_\rho} e^{2t(\rho-\rho^{-1})(\theta-\theta_\rho)\cos\theta_\rho} d\theta + \int_{-\pi-\theta_\rho}^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-2t(\rho-\rho^{-1})(\theta+\pi+\theta_\rho)\cos\theta_\rho} d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \int_1^{\rho_0} \frac{e^{-t\sqrt{1-\xi^2}(\rho-1)^2}}{2\pi\rho} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\rho - \rho^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\rho \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Utilizing the same technique, the results still hold for  $\Omega_3$ ,  $\Omega_4$  and  $\Omega_6$ :

$$(5.87) \quad \left| \iint_{\Omega_k} [M_D^{(2)} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}](s) s^{-1} \frac{dL(s)}{\pi} \right| \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \quad k = 1, 3, 4, 6.$$

Substituting (5.87) into (5.84), it is readily seen that as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$(5.88) \quad M_D^{(2)}(0) \sim I + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{4}}).$$

5.3.4. *The asymptotic formula.* By (3.38), (5.21), (5.32), (5.38), (5.52), (5.54), (5.71) and (5.88), we obtain the asymptotic formula (5.3).

## 6. LONG-TIME ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE SOLITON REGION

When  $\xi \in (-1, 1)$ , we have defined the scalar function  $T(\lambda)$  as (5.8), otherwise, we set it as

$$(6.1) \quad T(\lambda) = T(\lambda, \xi) = \begin{cases} \prod_{\lambda_{l'}} \left( \frac{\lambda - \lambda_{l'}}{\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_{l'}} \right)^{\alpha_{l'}} & \xi \leq -1, \\ e^{-\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\ln 1 + |r(s)|^2}{s - \lambda} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}} \prod_{\lambda_{l'}} \left( \frac{\lambda - \lambda_{l'}}{\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_{l'}} \right)^{\alpha_{l'}} & \xi \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

In the following, we discuss the long-time asymptotics in the two sectors:  $\xi > 1$  and  $\xi < -1$ .

In the sector  $\xi < -1$ , the stationary phase points are off the jump contour  $\Sigma = \{|\lambda| = 1\}$ . In this vein, set the positive number

$$(6.2) \quad \epsilon_2 = \frac{\min\{|\lambda_1| - 1, \dots, |\lambda_l| - 1, \sqrt{\xi^2 - 1} + \xi - 1\}}{2}.$$

By the sign table shown in FIGURE 6 and (1.5), it is clear that

$$(6.3) \quad \Sigma_{\epsilon_2} = \{|\lambda| = 1 + \epsilon_2\} \subset \{\operatorname{Re} \phi > 0\},$$

$$(6.4) \quad \Sigma_{\epsilon_2}^{-1} \subset \{\operatorname{Re} \phi < 0\},$$

and there is no discrete spectrum in the region between  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma_{\epsilon_2}$ . By (6.3) and (6.4), it follows that there is a positive constant  $C$  such that the jump matrix define in (6.8) satisfies

$$(6.5) \quad \|V^{(4)} - I\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{R})} \lesssim e^{-Ct}, \quad t \rightarrow +\infty,$$




FIGURE 6. (A), (B), (C) and (D) are the sign tables for  $\text{Re}\phi$  when  $\xi < -1$ ,  $\xi > 1$ ,  $\xi = -1$  and  $\xi = 1$ , respectively, where in the gray regions,  $\text{Re}\phi > 0$ , and  $\text{Re}\phi < 0$  otherwise. In (A) and (B), the stationary phase points are off the jump contour. In (C) and (D), the stationary phase points are 2nd-order at  $i$  and  $-i$ , respectively.




FIGURE 7. This is the jump contour  $\Sigma^{(4)} = \Sigma_{\epsilon_0} \cup \Sigma_{\epsilon_0}^{-1}$  for  $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem 6.1, where  $\Omega_7, \dots, \Omega_{10}$ , are the regions divide by  $\Sigma^{(4)}$  and  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma_{\epsilon_2} = \{|\lambda| = 1 + \epsilon_2\}$ .

Define

$$(6.6) \quad M^{(4)} = MT^{\sigma_3} \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -R_1 T^2 e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Omega_8, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & R_6 T^{-1} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Omega_9, \\ I & \lambda \in \Omega_7 \cup \Omega_{10}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $M^{(4)}$  solves the following  $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem uniquely, and one can refer to its jump contour  $\Sigma^{(4)} = \Sigma_{\epsilon_2} \cup \Sigma_{\epsilon_2}^{-1}$  in FIGURE 7.

**$\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem 6.1.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $M^{(4)}$  such that

- $M^{(4)}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\Sigma^{(4)} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}^{-1}}\}$  and holomorphic on  $(\Omega_7 \cup \Omega_{10}) \setminus \{\mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}^{-1}}\}$ .
- As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M^{(4)} \sim I + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ .
- On  $\Sigma^{(4)}$ ,

$$(6.7) \quad M_+^{(4)} = M_-^{(4)} V^{(4)},$$

where

$$(6.8) \quad V^{(4)} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ R_1 T^2 e^\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_2}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & R_6 T^{-2} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_2}^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

- On the poles on  $\mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}^{-1}}$ ,

$$(6.9a) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M^{(4)} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_{l'}} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M^{(4)}(\lambda_{l'})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_{\lambda_{l'},j}^T e^{-\phi(\lambda_{l'})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M^{(4)}(\lambda_{l'})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p_{\lambda_{l'},j}^T e^{\phi(\lambda_{l'})} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & \lambda_j \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M^{(4)}(\lambda_{l'})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p_{\lambda_{l'},j}^T e^{\phi(\lambda_{l'})} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M^{(4)}(\lambda_{l'})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p_{\lambda_{l'},j}^T e^{-\phi(\lambda_{l'})} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & \lambda_j \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \end{cases}$$

$$(6.9b) \quad \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} M^{(4)} \Big|_{\lambda=\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1}} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M^{(4)}(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},j}^T e^{\phi(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M^{(4)}(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},j}^T e^{-\phi(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & \lambda_j \in \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M^{(4)}(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},j}^T e^{-\phi(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha} \frac{\partial_\lambda^{\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j} M^{(4)}(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})}{(\alpha_{l'}-\alpha-j)!} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p_{\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1},j}^T e^{\phi(\bar{\lambda}_{l'}^{-1})} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & \lambda_j \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\xi^+. \end{cases}$$

- $\bar{\partial}$  condition: On  $\Omega_8 \cup \Omega_9$ ,

$$(6.10) \quad \bar{\partial} M^{(4)} = M^{(4)} \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{\partial} R_1 T^2 e^\phi & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Omega_8, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bar{\partial} R_6 T^{-1} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \lambda \in \Omega_9. \end{cases}$$

As we have done in the case  $\xi \in (-1, 1)$ , one can factorize the solution  $M^{(4)}$  into a product of solutions of a  $\bar{\partial}$  problem and an RH problem below, that is,  $M^{(4)}$  admits the following factorization:

$$(6.11) \quad M^{(4)} = M_D^{(4)} M_{RH}^{(4)}.$$

**RH problem 6.2.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $M_{RH}^{(4)}$  solves  $\bar{\partial}$ -RH problem 6.1 with  $\bar{\partial} M_{RH}^{(4)} \equiv 0$  over the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**$\bar{\partial}$ -problem 6.3.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $M_D^{(4)} = M_D^{(4)}(\lambda, n, t)$  such that

- $M_D^{(4)}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M_D^{(4)} \sim I + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ .
- On  $\Omega_7, \dots, \Omega_{10}$ , it admits the  $\bar{\partial}$  condition:

$$(6.12) \quad \bar{\partial} M_D^{(4)} = M_D^{(4)} \mathcal{R}^{(4)}, \quad \mathcal{R}^{(4)} = M_{RH}^{(4)} \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{\partial} R_1 T^2 e^\phi & 0 \end{bmatrix} (M_{RH}^{(4)})^{-1} & \lambda \in \Omega_8, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bar{\partial} R_6 T^{-1} e^{-\phi} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (M_{RH}^{(4)})^{-1} & \lambda \in \Omega_9. \end{cases}$$

On the one hand for  $M_{RH}^{(4)}$ , we claim that  $M_{RH}^{(4)}$  is well-defined as what ones have done in Section 5. With a little abuse of notation, for  $\xi > 0$ , denote  $M^{(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}^T)}$  as the solution of RH problem 6.2 with  $V^{(4)} \equiv I$ , where

$(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}^T)$  is the discrete spectral data. Referring to the techniques of small norm RH problem theory in Section 5.3.1, it is clear that for some positive constant  $C$ ,

$$(6.13) \quad M^{(\mathcal{Z})}(0, n, t) = (I + \mathcal{O}(e^{-Ct}))M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)}(0, n, t),$$

where  $M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)} = M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)}(\lambda, n, t)$  is defined for  $\xi > 0$  as

$$(6.14) \quad M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)} = \begin{cases} I & \mathcal{Z}_\xi = \emptyset, \\ M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi, \mathcal{P}_\xi^T)} & \mathcal{Z}_\xi \neq \emptyset, \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{Z}_\xi = \mathcal{Z} \cap \{\operatorname{Re} \phi = 0\},$$

and  $M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi, \mathcal{P}_\xi^T)} = M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi, \mathcal{P}_\xi^T)}(\lambda, n, t)$  is as defined in Remark 4.6 with the discrete spectral data  $(\mathcal{Z}_\xi, \mathcal{P}_\xi^T)$  that is the restriction of  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}^T)$  on  $\mathcal{Z}_\xi$ . Utilizing the techniques in Section 5, it is clear that  $M_{RH}^{(4)}$  admits the form

$$(6.15) \quad M_{RH}^{(4)} = E^{(4)}M^{(\mathcal{Z})},$$

where  $E^{(4)}$  is the solution of the small-norm RH problem:

**RH problem 6.4.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $E^{(4)} = E^{(4)}(\lambda, n, t)$  such that

- $E^{(4)}$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(4)}$ .
- As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $E^{(4)} \sim I + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ .
- On  $\Sigma^{(4)}$ ,  $M_+^{(4)} = M_-^{(4)}V^{(4,E)}$ , where  $V^{(4,E)} = M^{\mathcal{Z}}V^{(4)}(M^{\mathcal{Z}})^{-1}$ .

By the boundedness of  $M^{\mathcal{Z}}$  and  $(M^{\mathcal{Z}})^{-1}$  on  $\Sigma^{(4)}$ , recalling (6.5), ones obtain that as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$(6.16) \quad \|V^{(4,E)} - I\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{(4)})} \lesssim e^{-Ct}.$$

Again utilizing the small norm theory for the RH problems, we obtain that RH problem 6.4 is uniquely solved and as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$(6.17) \quad E^{(4)}(\lambda, n, t) \sim I + \mathcal{O}(e^{-Ct}).$$

Substituting (6.17) into (6.15) and utilizing (6.13), it follows that as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$(6.18) \quad M_{RH}^{(4)}(0, n, t) \sim M^{(\mathcal{Z}_\xi)}(0, n, t) + \mathcal{O}(e^{-Ct}).$$

On the other hand for  $M_D^{(4)}$ , we assert that when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $M_D^{(4)}(0, n, t)$  decays to the identity matrix like that it have done for  $\xi \in (-1, 1)$ , but the decaying rate is  $\mathcal{O}(t^{-1})$ ,

$$(6.19) \quad M_D^{(4)}(0, n, t) \sim I + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}).$$

Recalling  $\bar{\partial}$  problem 6.3, rewrite  $M_D^{(4)}$  in the form


$$(6.20) \quad M_D^{(4)} = (\operatorname{Id} - S^{(4)})^{-1}I, \quad Sf(\lambda) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{[f\mathcal{R}^{(4)}](s) dL(s)}{s - \lambda} \frac{1}{\pi}.$$

The integral operator is bounded on  $L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  and the bound decays to zero for  $t \gg 0$ , that is,

$$(6.21) \quad \|S^{(4)}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

For  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ , by the boundedness of  $M^{(\mathcal{Z})}$ ,  $(M^{(\mathcal{Z})})^{-1}$  and  $T$  on  $\Sigma^{(4)}$ , it is similar to the estimates in (5.81) and (5.86) that

$$(6.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \iint_{\Omega_8} \frac{[f\mathcal{R}^{(4)}](s) ds}{s - \lambda} \frac{1}{\pi} \right| &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} \iint_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(4)}} \frac{|\bar{\partial} R_1 e^\phi|(s) dL(s)}{|s - \lambda|} \frac{1}{\pi} \\ &= \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} \int_1^{1+\epsilon_2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|\partial_\theta(r(e^{i\theta}))| e^{t((\rho-\rho^{-1})\sin\theta+2\xi\ln\rho)}}{2\pi|\rho e^{i\theta} - \lambda|} d\theta d\rho \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} \|r\|_{H^1} \int_1^{1+\epsilon_2} e^{t((\rho-\rho^{-1})+2\xi\ln\rho)} \frac{d\rho}{2\pi} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\rho e^{i\theta} - \lambda|^{-2} d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} \int_1^{1+\epsilon_2} \frac{e^{-2t(\rho-1)((-\xi)\frac{\ln(1+\epsilon_2)}{\epsilon_2}-1)}}{|\rho - |\lambda||^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\rho \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})}. \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 8. The jump contour  $\Sigma^{(PC)}$  for  $M^{(PC)}$ .

and also

$$(6.23) \quad \left| \iint_{\Omega_s} \frac{[f\mathcal{R}^{(4)}](s) ds}{s - \lambda} \frac{1}{\pi} \right| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})},$$

thus, (6.21) follows. Utilizing (6.21), we obtain that (6.20) is well-posed, and at  $\lambda = 0$ , similarly we have the estimate (6.19).

Considering (3.38), (6.6), (6.11), (6.18) and (6.19), we have that for  $\xi < -1$

$$(6.24) \quad q_n(t) = q_n^{\mathcal{Z}^\xi}(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}),$$

where  $q_n^{\mathcal{Z}^\xi}(t) = [M^{(4)}]_{1,2}(0, n+1, t)$ . By the same technique, it is readily seen that for  $\xi > 1$ , the solution  $q_n(t)$  also satisfies (6.24).

#### APPENDIX A. PARABOLIC CYLINDER MODEL

Here, describe the parabolic cylinder model that is first introduced by [20] and further by [13]. And it has been frequently applied to the literature of long-time asymptotics [17, 19, 25, 7, 21]. Set  $\Sigma^{(PC)} = \bigcup_{k=1}^4 \Sigma_k^{PC}$ , where  $\Sigma_k^{PC}$  is the ray  $e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}(2k-1)}$  oriented as shown in FIGURE 8. The regions  $\Omega^{PC}$  are the sectors on  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  that is divided by  $\Sigma^{(PC)}$  and  $\text{Re}\zeta$ . Consider the parabolic-cylinder RH problem below.

**RH problem A.1.** Find a  $2 \times 2$  matrix-valued function  $M^{(PC)} = M^{(PC)}(\zeta, \tau)$  on  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that

- $M^{(PC)}$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(PC)}$ .
- As  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M^{(PC)} \sim I + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-1})$ .
- On  $\Sigma^{(PC)}$ ,

$$(A.1) \quad M_+^{(PC)} = M_-^{(PC)} V^{(PC)}, \quad V^{(PC)} = V^{(PC)}(\zeta, \tau) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \tau \zeta^{-2i\nu_j} e^{\frac{i\zeta^2}{2}} & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \zeta \in \Sigma_1^{PC}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\bar{\tau}}{1+|\tau|^2} \zeta^{2i\nu_j} e^{-\frac{i\zeta^2}{2}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \zeta \in \Sigma_2^{PC}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\tau}{1+|\tau|^2} \zeta^{-2i\nu_j} e^{\frac{i\zeta^2}{2}} & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \zeta \in \Sigma_3^{PC}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \bar{\tau} \zeta^{2i\nu_j} e^{-\frac{i\zeta^2}{2}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \zeta \in \Sigma_4^{PC}. \end{cases}$$

The solution of RH problem A.1 is explicitly solved by:

$$(A.2) \quad M^{(PC)}(\zeta, \tau) = \Phi^{(PC)}(\zeta, \tau) \mathcal{Q}(\zeta, \tau) \zeta^{-i\nu\sigma_3} e^{\frac{i}{4}\zeta\sigma_3},$$

$$(A.3) \quad \Phi^{(PC)}(\zeta, \tau) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\frac{3\pi\nu}{4}} D_{i\nu}(e^{-\frac{3\pi i}{4}\zeta}) & -i\gamma_1(\tau) e^{\frac{\pi(\nu-i)}{4}} D_{-i\nu-1}(e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}\zeta}) \\ i\gamma_2(\tau) e^{-\frac{3\pi(\nu+i)}{4}} D_{i\nu-1}(e^{-\frac{3\pi i}{4}\zeta}) & e^{\frac{\pi\nu}{4}} D_{-i\nu}(e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}\zeta}) \end{bmatrix} & \zeta \in \{\text{Im}\zeta > 0\}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} e^{\frac{\pi\nu}{4}} D_{i\nu}(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}\zeta}) & -i\gamma_1(\tau) e^{-\frac{3\pi(\nu-i)}{4}} D_{-i\nu-1}(e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}\zeta}) \\ i\gamma_2(\tau) e^{\frac{\pi(\nu+i)}{4}} D_{i\nu-1}(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}\zeta}) & e^{-\frac{3\pi\nu}{4}} D_{-i\nu}(e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}\zeta}) \end{bmatrix} & \zeta \in \{\text{Im}\zeta < 0\}, \end{cases}$$

$$(A.4) \quad \mathcal{Q}(\zeta, \tau) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\tau & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \zeta \in \Omega_1^{PC}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\bar{\tau}}{1+|\tau|^2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \zeta \in \Omega_3^{PC}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\tau}{1+|\tau|^2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \zeta \in \Omega_4^{PC}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \bar{\tau} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \zeta \in \Omega_6^{PC}, \\ I & \zeta \in \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_5, \end{cases}$$

where  $D_a(\lambda)$  is the solution of the parabolic cylinder equation:

$$(A.5) \quad \left( \partial_\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} + a \right) D_a(\lambda) = 0$$

and the complex constants  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  and  $\nu$  read

$$(A.6) \quad \gamma_1 = \gamma_1(\tau) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} e^{i\pi/4 - \pi\nu(\tau)/2}}{\tau \Gamma(-i\nu(\tau))}, \quad \gamma_2 = \gamma_2(\tau) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} e^{-i\pi/4 - \pi\nu(\tau)/2}}{\bar{\tau} \Gamma(i\nu(\tau))}, \quad \nu = \nu(\tau) = \frac{\ln(1 + |\tau|^2)}{2\pi}.$$

It is well acknowledged in [13, 7] that  $M^{(PC)}$  admits the asymptotic property at  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(A.7) \quad M^{(PC)}(\zeta, \tau) \sim I + \frac{1}{\zeta} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i\gamma_1(\tau) \\ i\gamma_2(\tau) & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-2}).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. ABLOWITZ, G. BIONDINI, AND B. PRINARI, *Inverse scattering transform for the integrable discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonvanishing boundary conditions*, Inverse Probl., 23 (2007), pp. 1711–1758.
- [2] M. ABLOWITZ AND J. LADIK, *Nonlinear differential-difference equations*, J. Math. Phys., 16 (1975), pp. 598–603.
- [3] ———, *Nonlinear differential-difference equations and Fourier analysis*, J. Math. Phys., 17 (1976), pp. 1011–1018.
- [4] M. ABLOWITZ, B. PRINARI, AND A. TRUBATCH, *Discrete and continuous nonlinear Schrödinger systems*, vol. 302, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [5] R. BEALS, P. A. DEIFT, AND C. TOMEI, *Direct and inverse scattering on the line*, no. 28, American Mathematical Society, 1988.
- [6] A. A. BOLIBRUKH, *The Riemann-Hilbert problem*, Russian Mathematical Surveys, 45 (1990), p. 1.
- [7] M. BORGHESE, R. JENKINS, AND K. T.-R. MCLAUGHLIN, *Long time asymptotic behavior of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré-Anal. Non Linéaire, 35 (2018), pp. 887–920.
- [8] M. S. CHEN AND E. G. FAN, *Riemann-Hilbert approach for discrete sine-Gordon equation with simple and double poles*, Stud. Appl. Math., 148 (2022), pp. 1180–1207.
- [9] M. S. CHEN, E. G. FAN, AND J. S. HE,  *$l^2$ -Sobolev space bijectivity of the scattering-inverse scattering transforms related to defocusing Ablowitz-Ladik systems*, Physica D, (2022), p. 133565.
- [10] M. S. CHEN, J. S. HE, AND E. G. FAN, *Long-time asymptotics for the defocusing ablowitz-ladik system with initial data in lower regularity*, Adv. Math., 450 (2024), p. 109769.
- [11] P. DEIFT, T. KRIECHERBAUER, K. T.-R. MCLAUGHLIN, S. VENAKIDES, AND X. ZHOU, *Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 52 (1999), pp. 1491–1552.
- [12] P. A. DEIFT, A. R. ITS, AND X. ZHOU, *Long-time asymptotics for integrable nonlinear wave equations*, in Important developments in soliton theory, Springer, 1993, pp. 181–204.
- [13] P. A. DEIFT AND X. ZHOU, *A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems. Asymptotics for the MKdV equation*, Ann. Math., 137 (1993), pp. 295–368.
- [14] ———, *Long-time asymptotics for integrable systems. higher order theory*, Commun. Math. Phys., 165 (1994), pp. 175–191.
- [15] ———, *Long-time behavior of the non-focusing linear Schrödinger equation—a case study*, in New series: lectures in mathematical sciences, University of Tokyo, 1994.



- [16] ———, *Long-time asymptotics for solutions of the NLS equation with initial data in a weighted Sobolev space*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 56 (2003), pp. 1029–1077.
- [17] M. DIENG AND K. T.-R. McLAUGHLIN, *Long-time Asymptotics for the NLS equation via  $\bar{d}$  methods*, arXiv preprint arXiv:0805.2807, (2008).
- [18] M. GEKHTMAN AND I. NENCIU, *Multi-Hamiltonian structure for the finite defocusing Ablowitz-Ladik equation*, Commun. Pure and Appl. Math., 62 (2009), pp. 147–182.
- [19] K. GRUNERT AND G. TESCHL, *Long-time asymptotics for the korteweg–de vries equation via nonlinear steepest descent*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom, 12 (2009), pp. 287–324.
- [20] A. R. ITS, *Asymptotics of solutions of the nonlinear schrödinger equation and isomonodromic deformations of systems of linear differential equations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 261 (1981), pp. 14–18.
- [21] R. JENKINS, J. Q. LIU, AND P. PERRY, *Soliton resolution for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Commun. Math. Phys., 363 (2018), pp. 1003–1049.
- [22] D. KALMAN, *The generalized vandermonde matrix*, Math. Mag., 57 (1984), pp. 15–21.
- [23] S. KAMVISSIS, K. T.-R. McLAUGHLIN, AND P. MILLER, *Semiclassical Soliton Ensembles for the Focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation (AM-154)*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, 2003.
- [24] H. KRÜGER AND G. TESCHL, *Long-time asymptotics for the Toda lattice in the soliton region*, Math. Z., 262 (2009), pp. 585–602.
- [25] ———, *Long-time asymptotics of the Toda lattice for decaying initial data revisited*, Rev. Math. Phys., 21 (2009), pp. 61–109.
- [26] K. T.-R. McLAUGHLIN AND P. D. MILLER, *The  $\bar{\partial}$  steepest descent method and the asymptotic behavior of polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle with fixed and exponentially varying nonanalytic weights*, Int. Math. Res. Pap., 2006 (2006), p. 48673.
- [27] ———, *The  $\bar{\partial}$  steepest descent method for orthogonal polynomials on the real line with varying weights*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 2008 (2008).
- [28] P. D. MILLER, N. M. ERCOLANI, I. M. KRICHEVER, AND C. D. LEVERMORE, *Finite genus solutions to the Ablowitz-Ladik equations*, 48 (1995), pp. 1369–1440.
- [29] I. NENCIU, *Lax pairs for the Ablowitz-Ladik system via orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 2005 (2005), pp. 647–686.
- [30] A. ORTIZ AND B. PRINARI, *Inverse scattering transform for the defocusing Ablowitz-Ladik system with arbitrarily large nonzero background*, Stud. Appl. Math., 143 (2019), pp. 373–403.
- [31] B. PRINARI, *Discrete solitons of the focusing Ablowitz-Ladik equation with nonzero boundary conditions via inverse scattering*, J. Math. Phys., 57 (2016), p. 083510.
- [32] G. STEWART, *Asymptotics for small data solutions of the ablowitz-ladik equation*, 2023.
- [33] X. ZHOU, *The Riemann–Hilbert problem and inverse scattering*, SIMA, 20 (1989), pp. 966–986.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FUJIAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, FUZHOU, PR CHINA  
Email address: chenms@fjnu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, PR CHINA  
Email address: faneg@fudan.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, PR CHINA  
Email address: wang\_zy@fudan.edu.cn