Interpreting and learning voice commands with a Large Language Model for a robot system

Stanislau Stankevich^{1[0009-0007-2432-4500]}, Woiciech Dudek^{1[0000-0001-5326-1034]}

¹Warsaw University of Technology Faculty of Electronics and Information Technology Nowowiejska 15/19, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland wojciech.dudek@pw.edu.pl

Abstract. Robots are increasingly common in both industry and daily life, such as in nursing homes where they can assist staff. A key challenge is developing intuitive interfaces for easy communication. The use of Large Language Models (LLMs) like GPT-4 has enhanced robot capabilities, allowing for real-time interaction and decision-making. This integration improves robots' adaptability and functionality. This project focuses on merging LLMs with databases to improve decision-making and enable knowledge acquisition for the request interpretation problems.

Keywords: Service robots, ROS, LLM, Voice control, intent detection, slot filling

1. Introduction

We observe the necessity for user-friendly interfaces for seamless human-robot interaction, with voice interfaces being a prime example [1]. The use of Large Language Models (LLMs) like GPT-4 has enhanced robot capabilities, allowing for real-time interaction and decision-making, as demonstrated in [2].

This work seeks to enhance the capabilities of Rico (Fig. 2), a robot developed using PAL Robotics' TIAGo platform [3, 4], designed to assist seniors in care homes. Its example application involves two main human roles: the Senior, an elderly individual who may face mobility or other challenges, and the Keeper, a staff member responsible for assisting the seniors. Rico, the robot, serves as a third participant, designed to support the Keeper by taking on simpler tasks, e.g. delivering goods to the seniors.

The scenario is an item transportation from the keeper to the senior at the senior's request. However, the item may have various attributes, like tea's temperature, volume, and additional ingredients. The robot must not only fulfil these requests but also learn the available options (like only black coffee being available) by interpreting dialogues.

The process of identifying the user's purpose behind a request is known in NLP as *intent detection*. Additional details included in a request are called *parameters* or *slots* of the intent. For instance, the intent "bring coffee" might include a "size" parameter, while "sing a song" could have parameters like "song name" and "volume". The process of extracting the values of the parameters from user's request is called *slot filling*.

The aim of this work is to enable the system to dynamically expand it's knowledge about given task by identifying and incorporating new intents and slots the during conversations.

The sequence diagram in Fig. 1 presents this use case scenario. The subsequent request for tea should include an immediate tea-type clarification question for the Senior. This learning behaviour should be continued.






Figure 1: Scenario with bringing an item

Figure 2: Rico robot

2. The conversation system

The system has a ROS-based architecture [5]. It is modelled with MeROS [6] and it is shown in Fig. 3. Each system's component serves a specific function within the system.




Figure 3: System architecture

User interactions with the robot are handled by the ROS node Talker. It converts speech to text and text to speech. This involves turning audio requests into text and the robot's responses into audible speech using OpenAI's models with the web API. The text-converted requests are sent to the LanguageProcessor (LangProc) component for further processing.

The ROS node ContextStore (CS) records and organises event data during user sessions, like "Rico heard 'bring tea'" or "Rico completed a task." It enables the system to process this information easily, e.g. joining it to prompts for LLM, thus enhancing user interaction.

TaskER is a framework for task management in ROS-based mobile [7] and mobile manipulation [8] robots. It enables task delegation to a robot by sending a message on a specific ROS topic. the TaskER module encompasses a task repository represented by state machines, defined with SMACH [9].

TaskDatabase (TD) is a ROS interface to a PostgreSQL database. It stores known intents along with their parameters and a mapping between intents and tasks that they trigger.

The LangProc handles natural language processing in the system using its connection to the LLM (OpenAI GPT-4 API). It crafts prompts for system tasks.




Figure 4: Modules interaction - unexpected question

For these prompts construction, the LangProc queries a database storing known user intents, gets the textual transcription of the user's request, and acquires the current context and scenario (e.g. who is the robot talking with and what was already mentioned in the conversation). Six prompt templates¹ were found to be sufficient for achieving for achieving desired behaviour.

A request for an item (e.g. juice) initiates the application. This request reaches the LangProc module, which gathers data about intents known to the system from TD and then prompts GPT to guess user intent based on the current state of the conversation. If the system identifies the intent, LangProc passes it to TaskER, which then checks TD for the related task name and executes the task.

When the robot reaches the kitchen, it asks the keeper for the juice, sending a prompt request to the LangProc. LangProc fetches the context, queries GPT-4, and the resulting text is vocalized by Talker. Throughout, Talker updates CS

¹https://github.com/RCPRG-ros-pkg/rico-language-processor/tree/main/src/ stories

with the spoken sentences, extending the system's context.

The question "Which juice?" is set as unexpected by LLM. In consequence, the new intent "Bring juice" with parameter "which" is added to the database, and the robot returns to the senior for the juice type clarifying, as shown in Fig. 4.

Subsequently, LangProc again queries GPT-4 with the conversation context, and LLM asks, "What kind of juice would you like?" due to detecting "Bring juice" intent with slot "which" not filled. Talker voices this question to the senior, who answers "Apple juice". This expands the context, and LLM extracts all the necessary information, which then reaches the TaskER and the scenario continues as before. The robot reaches the keeper, and GPT-4 generates the robot's initiating conversation with the keeper.

3. Validation and Conclusion

We executed the system in various "bring goods" scenarios, as demonstrated in the video². The system effectively conducted the primary scenario, adeptly responding to unexpected inquiries and remembering the "sugar" and "lemon" attributes of the "bring tea" task. However, there is a need to enhance the system's performance. Secondly, the system sometimes struggles with tasks with more than five parameters. We observed that GPT-4 generates inaccurate or "hallucinated" responses, though infrequently. E.g. "Bring me a cup of tea with sugar" may sometimes be misinterpreted, and the "blackOrGreen" slot may be filled as "Black".

In conclusion, we propose an adaptive voice-operated interface for robots. The challenge was integrating the LLM abilities with databases, state machines and the robot controller. Our system uses ROS, speech-to-text and text-to-speech models, and a relational database to deliver vocal conversation functionality with tasks and their parameters' interpretation and exploration. It is usable by a waiter robot, though the system would need customization. Adjusting other Rico's tasks for dynamic intent knowledge expanding requires changing other TaskER state machines by integrating them with LangProc and TD. The future version could model the robot's environment with LLM prompts and plan tasks by querying LLM.

The most recognized current GPT application in robotics is the Spot robot controlled with voice commands [2]. Our system contrasts with it by extending robot's database using LLMs, instead of providing an API to the robot for LLM. While

²https://vimeo.com/863071575

the idea of LLM-controlled robot gains attention, the robot's ability to explore its environment by using LLM is also desirable.

Acknowledgment

Research was funded by the Centre for Priority Research Area Artificial Intelligence and Robotics of Warsaw University of Technology within the Excellence Initiative: Research University (IDUB) programme.

References

- Seaborn, K., Miyake, N. P., Pennefather, P., and Otake-Matsuura, M. Voice in human-agent interaction: A survey. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 54(4), 2021. ISSN 0360-0300. doi:10.1145/3386867. URL https://doi.org/10.1145/ 3386867.
- [2] Klingensmith, M., McDonald, M., Agrawal, R., Allum, C., and Shinkle, R. Robots that can chat. *Boston Dynamics Blog*, 2023. URL https:// bostondynamics.com/blog/robots-that-can-chat/. Accessed: 2024-02-04.
- [3] Pagès, J., Marchionni, L., and Ferro, F. Tiago: the modular robot that adapts to different research needs. 2016. URL https://api.semanticscholar. org/CorpusID:218478582.
- [4] Winiarski, T., Dudek, W., Stefanczyk, M., Zielinski, L., Gieldowski, D., and Seredynski, D. An intent-based approach for creating assistive robots' control systems. *CoRR*, abs/2005.12106, 2020. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/ 2005.12106.
- [5] Quigley, M., Conley, K., Gerkey, B., Faust, J., Foote, T., Leibs, J., Wheeler, R., Ng, A. Y., et al. Ros: an open-source robot operating system. In *ICRA workshop on open source software*, volume 3, page 5. Kobe, Japan, 2009.
- [6] Winiarski, T. Meros: Sysml-based metamodel for ros-based systems. *IEEE Access*, 11:82802–82815, 2023. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3301727.

- [7] Dudek, W. and Winiarski, T. Scheduling of a robot's tasks with the tasker framework. *IEEE Access*, 8:161449–161471, 2020. doi:10.1109/ACCESS. 2020.3020265.
- [8] Dudek, W. Prudent management of interruptible tasks executed by a service robot. Ph.D. thesis, Warsaw University of Technology, 2021. URL https: //robotyka.ia.pw.edu.pl/papers/phd_thesis_wd.pdf.
- Bohren, J. and Cousins, S. The smach high-level executive [ros news]. *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, 17(4):18–20, 2010. doi:10.1109/MRA. 2010.938836.