

SPECTRAL GAP FOR RANDOM SCHOTTKY SURFACES

IRVING CALDERÓN, MICHAEL MAGEE AND FRÉDÉRIC NAUD

ABSTRACT. We establish a spectral gap for resonances of the Laplacian of random Schottky surfaces which is optimal, according to a conjecture of Jakobson and Naud.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper addresses the question of whether typical hyperbolic Schottky surfaces have almost optimal spectral gaps. By hyperbolic Schottky surface we mean an infinite area Riemannian surface X which is connected, orientable, complete, of constant curvature -1 , with finitely generated fundamental group and without cusps. The spectral gap refers either to a gap in the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_X or, more generally, to a region in the complex plane where there are no resonances of X .

Let us explain the background on the spectral theory of Schottky surfaces needed for this work¹. One can obtain X as a quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ of the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 by a Γ discrete, finitely generated, non-abelian free subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. We will consider a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\}$ of Γ having the geometric description presented in Section 2.1. Any Γ -orbit in \mathbb{H}^2 accumulates on a compact subset Λ_Γ of $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ called the *limit set* of Γ . We denote by δ_Γ the Hausdorff dimension of Λ_Γ . The assumption that Γ is not abelian and X has infinite area implies that $\delta_\Gamma \in (0, 1)$.

We consider Δ_X as an unbounded operator on $L^2(X)$. It is essentially self-adjoint, positive semidefinite. By results of Lax-Phillips in [LP82], the continuous spectrum of Δ_X is the interval $[\frac{1}{4}, \infty)$, and the discrete spectrum consists of finitely many eigenvalues—counted with multiplicity—in $(0, \frac{1}{4})$. A result of Patterson in [Pat76] says that when $\delta_\Gamma > \frac{1}{2}$, the bottom of the spectrum of Δ_X is the simple eigenvalue $\lambda_0(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta_\Gamma(1 - \delta_\Gamma)$. In this case, we define the L^2 -spectral gap of X as $\lambda_1(X) - \lambda_0(X)$, where $\lambda_1(X)$ is the minimum element of the spectrum other than $\lambda_0(X)$. This definition is not well suited for the case $\delta_\Gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$, since the spectrum of Δ_X is precisely $[\frac{1}{4}, \infty)$. For this reason, we will work with the following more general notion of spectral gap, which applies regardless of the value of δ_Γ : The resolvent operator

$$R_X(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\Delta_X - s(1 - s))^{-1} : C_c^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$$

is initially defined on the halfplane $\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}\}$. Mazzeo and Melrose show in [MM87] that R_X has a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane. See also the papers of Guillopé and Zworski [GZ97, GZ95] for the more specific case of surfaces.

¹Borthwick's book [Bor16] is a good reference for the spectral theory of infinite area hyperbolic surfaces.

The poles of this family of operators are called *resonances of X* and form a discrete subset of \mathbb{C} . Resonances are the natural replacement data to the missing L^2 eigenvalues in non-compact situations. They correspond to non- L^2 eigenfunctions satisfying an outgoing condition at infinity, see [Bor16] for details. Let \mathcal{R}_X be the set of resonances of X . The multiplicity of a resonance s is defined by $\text{rank} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_s} R_X \right)$, where \mathcal{C}_s is a circle enclosing s and no other resonance of X , with anticlockwise orientation. Resonances s with $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}$ yield L^2 -eigenvalues $s(1-s)$ of Δ_X with the same multiplicity. Hence the gaps in the discrete spectrum of Δ_X can be thought as regions of \mathbb{C} without resonances. This is the point of view we adopt. Here are some important facts about resonances: δ_Γ is the only resonance with real part $\geq \delta_\Gamma$ and it is simple. Moreover, there is always an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{R}_X \cap \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re } s > \delta_\Gamma - \varepsilon\} = \{\delta_\Gamma\}.$$

This follows from [LP82] when $\delta_\Gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ and from [Nau05] when $\delta_\Gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$. We define the *spectral gap of X* as the maximum value $\varepsilon(\Gamma)$ of such ε . On the one hand, it was shown in [JNS20] that $\varepsilon(\Gamma)$ can be arbitrarily small. On the other hand, a conjecture of Jakobson and Naud [JN12, Conjecture 2] predicts, among other things, that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there are infinitely many resonances of X with $\text{Re } s > \frac{\delta_\Gamma}{2} - \epsilon$, so $\varepsilon(\Gamma) \leq \frac{\delta_\Gamma}{2}$. It is a pressing question as to whether a typical X has spectral gap close to this conjectural optimal value. This question has famous analogs in graph theory that discuss below.

We address this question from a probabilistic perspective, using the model of hyperbolic Schottky surfaces introduced in [MN20]: consider a Schottky surface $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ and let ϕ_n be a random homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow S_n$ with uniform distribution. We obtain a random diagonal action of Γ on $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, by setting for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\gamma \cdot (z, j) := (\gamma(z), \phi_n(\gamma)(j)).$$

The quotient

$$X_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma \backslash (\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$$

is then a random n -sheeted Riemannian covering of X . Note that if Y is a finite cover of X , the value of δ is the same for both surfaces and any resonance of X is a resonance of Y with multiplicity at least as large as that of X . Hence $\varepsilon(Y)$ is at most $\varepsilon(X)$. The main theorem of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a nonelementary, hyperbolic Schottky surface and let X_n be a random n -sheeted covering of X with uniform distribution. For any compact \mathcal{K} contained in the halfplane $\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re } s > \delta_\Gamma/2\}$, the probability that X and X_n have the same resonances in \mathcal{K} with the same multiplicities tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

This theorem says that a typical finite covering of X has the maximal possible spectral gap *provided we restrict to resonances of bounded frequency* (imaginary part). A weaker version of Theorem 1.1 with $\frac{\delta_\Gamma}{2}$ replaced by $\frac{3\delta_\Gamma}{4}$ was proved in [MN20, Thm. 1.1].

Theorem 1.1 together with [BMM17, Theorem 1.6] implies the following corollary on L^2 eigenvalues.

Corollary 1.2. *Let Γ be a Fuchsian Schottky group such that $\delta_\Gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ and the surface² $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ has Euler characteristic -1 . Let X_n be a uniformly random*

²In this case X is either a pair of pants with three funnels or a torus with one funnel.

degree n covering space of X . With probability tending to one as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the only eigenvalue of Δ_{X_n} is $\delta_\Gamma(1 - \delta_\Gamma)$.

The proof of our spectral gap for random Schottky surfaces relies on the next result of independent interest. We explain in Section 1.3 how these statements are related. See Section 2.4 for the definition of the transfer operators \mathcal{L}_{s, ρ_j} .

Theorem 1.3. *Let Γ be a Fuchsian Schottky group. Suppose that $(\rho_j, W_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is a sequence of random, finite dimensional unitary representations of Γ that a.s. strongly converge to the left regular representation $(\rho_\Gamma, \ell^2(\Gamma))$ in the sense of Section 4.1. Then, for any compact subset \mathcal{K} of the halfplane $\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} s > \delta_\Gamma/2\}$ there is an integer $\ell = \ell(\mathcal{K}, \Gamma) > 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\left\| \mathcal{L}_{s, \rho_j}^\ell \right\|_{op} < 1 \text{ for all } s \in \mathcal{K} \right) = 1.$$

Remark 1.4. Following the proof of Theorem 1.3 one can see that if we assume that $(\rho_j)_{j \geq 1}$ a.s. strongly converge to ρ_Γ , then there is an $\ell = \ell(\mathcal{K}, \Gamma)$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[1 - \mathbb{P} \left(\left\| \mathcal{L}_{s, \rho_j}^\ell \right\|_{op} < 1 \text{ for all } s \in \mathcal{K} \right) \right] < \infty.$$

Theorem 1.3 shows that the process of ‘inducing strong convergence’, first uncovered in [HM23] for finite-area surfaces, is also valid in the infinite volume Schottky setting in a stronger sense than that of L^2 -eigenvalues.

1.1. Spectral gaps of random graphs. We now explain the analogy with random graphs—this is also discussed in detail in the introduction of [MN20]. We need some notation: If G is a graph with n vertices, we denote $\lambda_0(G) \geq \lambda_1(G) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1}(G)$ the eigenvalues of its adjacency operator. Note that $\lambda_0(G) = d$ when G is d -regular. Let $\mathcal{G}_{d,n}$ be a random d -regular graph of size n with uniform distribution. A celebrated conjecture of Alon [Alo86] predicted that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\lambda_1(\mathcal{G}_{d,n}) < 2\sqrt{d-1} + \epsilon$ with probability tending to one as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The number $2\sqrt{d-1}$ is relevant for two reasons: it is the spectral radius of the adjacency operator of the d -regular tree—which is the universal cover of any d -regular graph—and also, a result of Alon-Boppana [Nil91] says that for any d -regular graph on n vertices $G_{d,n}$,

$$\lambda_1(G_{d,n}) \geq 2\sqrt{d-1} - o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence one cannot replace $2\sqrt{d-1}$ by a smaller number in Alon’s conjecture. This means that, for d -regular graphs, $2\sqrt{d-1}$ plays the role of $\frac{\delta_\Gamma}{2}$ for Schottky surfaces. Even though the Alon-Boppana bound is not very hard to prove for graphs, we point out that the analog conjecture of Jakobson-Naud for Schottky surfaces has not been established yet and seems much harder to reach. Alon’s conjecture was proved by Friedman in [Fri08]. See also [Bor20] for a new proof and [Pud15] for a proof of a slightly weaker result. Friedman conjectured in [Fri03] a variant of Alon’s conjecture for random covering spaces of degree n^3 of any initial finite graph G provided that:

- One replaces $2\sqrt{d-1}$ by the spectral radius $r_{\tilde{G}}$ of the adjacency operator of the universal cover of G ;

³In graph-theoretic literature, these are called n -lifts.

- One allows eigenvalues of the adjacency operator that already belonged to G (as one must).

Friedman’s conjecture was proved in the breakthrough work [BC19] of Bordenave and Collins. In fact, Bordenave-Collins proved a vast generalization of Friedman’s conjecture where one twists a random Hecke operator, formed from random permutations, by any fixed finite dimensional matrices, assuming the matrices satisfy a symmetry condition that forces the resulting operator to be self-adjoint. In fact, the [BC19] is a vital ingredient in the proof of our spectral gap for random Schottky surfaces, as is explained in Section 1.3.

1.2. Some related results. The first result on spectral gap of random hyperbolic surfaces is due to Brooks and Makover [BM04] who prove, for a combinatorial model of random closed hyperbolic surfaces depending on a parameter n that influences the genus (non-deterministically), that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\lambda_1 \geq C$ with probability tending to one as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where λ_1 is the first non-zero eigenvalue of the Laplacian of the surface. Mirzakhani proved in [Mir13] that for a Weil-Petersson random closed hyperbolic surface of genus g , $\lambda_1 \geq 0.0024$ with probability tending to one as $g \rightarrow \infty$. In [MN20], the second and third named authors of the current work proved that Theorem 1.1 holds with $\frac{\delta_\Gamma}{2}$ replaced by $\frac{3\delta_\Gamma}{4}$.

Returning to closed surfaces, by building on [MP23], Magee-Naud-Puder proved in [MNP22] that for a uniformly random degree n cover Y_n of a fixed closed hyperbolic surface Y and all $\epsilon > 0$, the probability that Y_n has no new eigenvalues below $\frac{3}{16} - \epsilon$ tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This result was adapted to Weil-Petersson random surfaces independently by Wu-Xue [WX22] and Lipnowski-Wright [LW24]; here the corresponding statement is that there are no eigenvalues between 0 and $\frac{3}{16} - \epsilon$. When it comes *solely* to L^2 eigenvalues, these ‘ $\frac{3}{16}$ ’ results are at the strength of the main result of [MN20] giving resonance-free regions in terms of $\frac{3\delta_\Gamma}{4}$: for compact surfaces, $\delta_\Gamma = 1$ and $\frac{3}{4}(1 - \frac{3}{4}) = \frac{3}{16}$. On the other hand, closed surfaces involve additional difficulties due to their non-free fundamental groups.

Some works on Weil-Petersson random surfaces, like [Mon22, GLMST21], do not imply spectral gaps but offer instead spectral delocalization results.

Uniform spectral gaps for deterministic covering spaces of infinite area hyperbolic surfaces has also been of interest in number theoretic settings; see [Gam02, BGS11, OW15, MOW19, CM23] for a selection of results. The quantitative results here are those by Gamburd and Calderón-Magee. Much of the motivation of these works came from the ‘thin groups’ research program; see Sarnak’s article [Sar14] for an overview.

Another closely related concept is that of *essential spectral gap*. We say that $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is an essential spectral gap of a hyperbolic Schottky surface $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ if the half-plane $\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} s > t\}$ has finitely many resonances of X . Two important results here are due to Bourgain and Dyatlov: The first, proved in [BD17], says that there is $\epsilon > 0$, *depending only on* δ_Γ , such that $\delta_\Gamma - \epsilon$ is an essential spectral gap of X . This result is relevant if $\delta_\Gamma \leq 1/2$. On the other hand, it is proved in [BD18] that X has an essential spectral gap $\frac{1}{2} - \eta$ for some $\eta = \eta(\Gamma) > 0$. This result is relevant if $\delta_\Gamma > 1/2$. One can reformulate the conjecture of Jakobson-Naud [JN12] mentioned earlier by saying that the optimal essential spectral gap of X is $\frac{\delta_\Gamma}{2} + \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. We do not know yet if our probabilistic techniques can be used

to address high frequency problems (i.e. resonances with large imaginary parts) and have not attempted to do so in the present paper. However, our main result says that this conjecture holds in the bounded frequency, large cover regime. For a broader perspective on resonances of hyperbolic surfaces than we are able to offer here, we recommend Zworski’s survey article [Zwo17].

1.3. Overview of the main proof and structure of the article. The proof of our main result involves tools from three areas: thermodynamic formalism, random matrices and operator algebras. Let us highlight the main steps.

We start by recalling how one can study the resonances of deterministic coverings of a Schottky surface with tools from the thermodynamic formalism. Consider first a single Schottky surface $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$. Building on the symbolic coding of the—recurrent part of—geodesic flow of X , the thermodynamic formalism attaches to Γ a family $(\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma,s})_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$ of *transfer operators*. These act on \mathbb{C} -valued functions of a union \mathbf{D}^4 of disks in \mathbb{C} . By choosing an appropriate functional space, the $(\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma,s})_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$ are trace-class operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_Γ , in particular compact. For us, the key link between resonances and transfer operators is the following: The resonances of X on the halfplane $\{\operatorname{Re} s > 0\}$ coincide with the parameters s for which 1 is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma,s}$. Moreover, the multiplicities are the same.

Consider now the cover X_ϕ of X associated to a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow S_n$, and let ρ_0 be the corresponding unitary representation of Γ on V_n^0 . Any resonance s of X , say of multiplicity $m_X(s)$, is also a resonance of X_ϕ and $m_{X_\phi}(s) \geq m_X(s)$. We say that s is a *new resonance* of X_ϕ if $m_{X_\phi}(s) > m_X(s)$ ⁵. Twisting the classical transfer operators by ρ_0 , we obtain a family $(\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho_0})_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$ of trace-class operators, on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,n}$ of maps $\mathbf{D} \rightarrow V_n^0$, that detect new resonances. Namely, on the halfplane $\{\operatorname{Re} s > 0\}$, s_0 is a new resonance of X_ϕ if and only if 1 is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_{s_0,ρ_0} . There is a natural identification of the space $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,n}$ with $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma \otimes V_n^0$. Under it, the powers of the transfer operators take the form

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_{s,\rho_0}^\ell = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\ell} T_{\gamma,s} \otimes \rho_0(\gamma),$$

where the sum is over the set Γ_ℓ of elements of Γ of word length ℓ and the $T_{\gamma,s}$ are certain trace-class operators of \mathcal{H}_Γ . To understand why random matrices enter naturally into the proof, it is useful to think of (1.1) as saying that $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho_0}^\ell$ is a noncommutative polynomial with operator coefficients in the variables $x_\gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho_0(\gamma)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$.

Let us now add the randomness to the picture: Consider the random, degree n cover X_n of X associated to a uniformly random homomorphism $\phi_n : \Gamma \rightarrow S_n$. We now have a random unitary representation (ρ_n^0, V_n^0) of Γ and random transfer operators \mathcal{L}_{s,ρ_n^0} . To obtain the “polynomial expression” of $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho_n^0}^\ell$ one replaces ρ_0 by ρ_n^0 in (1.1); the coefficients $T_{\gamma,s}$ remain the same. Our strategy to show that, most likely, a single s_0 is not a new resonance of X_n is finding an integer $\ell > 0$ such that $\left\| \mathcal{L}_{s_0,\rho_n^0}^\ell \right\|_{op} < 1$ with high probability. We achieve this by feeding into Theorem 1.3 the main theorem of [BC19], a deep result on random matrices—which is also

⁴See Section 2.1 for the definition of \mathbf{D} .

⁵This definition includes the case where $m_X(s) = 0$ or, in other words, s is not a resonance of X

a vital tool in [HM23]—saying that the random representations ρ_n^0 almost surely tend, in a suitable sense, to the left regular representation ρ_Γ of Γ . This implies, from the “polynomial expressions” of $\mathcal{L}_{s, \rho_n^0}^\ell$, that $\left\| \mathcal{L}_{s_0, \rho_n^0}^\ell \right\|_{op}$ tends in probability, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to the norm of the deterministic operator $\mathcal{L}_{s_0, \rho_\Gamma}^\ell$ on $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)$, defined as in (1.1), and which we denote by \mathcal{T}_{ℓ, s_0} in the article.

Applying an upper bound of Buchholz for the norm of an operator of the form

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\ell} T_\gamma \otimes \rho_\Gamma(\gamma),$$

we show that whenever $\operatorname{Re} s_0 > \frac{\delta_\Gamma}{2}$, $\|\mathcal{T}_{\ell, s_0}\|_{op} \rightarrow 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ with a rate of convergence. Hence $\left\| \mathcal{L}_{s_0, \rho_n^0}^\ell \right\|_{op} < 1$ with high probability for any big enough ℓ . To improve the result from a single s_0 to s_0 in a fixed compact subset of the halfplane $\{\operatorname{Re} s > \delta_\Gamma/2\}$, we apply a rough estimate of the dependence of the transfer operators on the parameter s .

The rest of the article is divided into five sections. Section 2 covers the background on hyperbolic Schottky surfaces needed for our main result: a geometric definition of Fuchsian Schottky group, the model we use of random Schottky surfaces and the link between transfer operators and resonances. Section 3 gathers several technical estimates that we use later in the article. The goal of Section 4 is to prove Theorem 1.3: we define strong convergence, we justify why the norm of the random transfer operators of X_n are controlled by that of the limit operators $\mathcal{T}_{\ell, s}$ and we state our main norm bound for the limit operators. This last bound is Proposition 4.4, and is proved in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we state the result of Bordenave-Collins and we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section contains the background on Schottky groups and surfaces needed for this work: the basic definitions and notation, the description of the model of random Schottky surfaces we consider, and the link between resonances and transfer operators.

2.1. Fuchsian Schottky groups. Here we give a geometric definition of Fuchsian Schottky groups, we recall their basic properties and fix the notation we use to work with them.

We consider the action of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by Möbius transformations on the extended complex plane $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

This action preserves the upper halfplane

$$\mathbb{H}^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} z > 0\},$$

which we endow with the Riemannian metric $\frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2}$ in coordinates $z = x + iy$ —this is the upper halfplane model of the hyperbolic plane—. Moreover, $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{H}^2$ is isometric, and it identifies the group of orientation preserving isometries of \mathbb{H}^2 with $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. If Γ is a discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ without torsion,

the quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ is a complete, connected and orientable hyperbolic surface⁶. Conversely, any such surface can be represented as $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$, for some Γ as before.

The focus of this work are the hyperbolic surfaces associated to Fuchsian Schottky groups, which are defined as follows: Consider an integer $N \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, 2N\}$. If $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote \tilde{a} the unique element of \mathcal{A} such that $\tilde{a} \equiv a + N \pmod{2N}$. Consider open disks $(D_a)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ in \mathbb{C} centered in \mathbb{R} and elements $(\gamma_a)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\gamma_{\tilde{a}} = \gamma_a^{-1}$, and verifying the following conditions:

- (1) The closures of the $(D_a)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ are pairwise disjoint;
- (2) The image of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D_{\tilde{a}}$ under γ_a is the closure of D_a .

We write \mathbf{D} for the union $\cup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} D_a$, and I_a for the open interval $D_a \cap \mathbb{R}$. The group Γ generated by $(\gamma_a)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ is free with basis $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$ by Klein's Ping-Pong Lemma, since the $(D_a)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ are disjoint. It is also a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ since $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbf{D}$ is a fundamental domain of $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, and condition (1) above guarantees that Γ is convex cocompact.

For us, a *Fuchsian Schottky group* is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ admitting a description as the Γ above. As proved by Button in [But98], any convex cocompact, non cocompact Fuchsian group is a Fuchsian Schottky group.

Let Γ be a Fuchsian Schottky group. We denote by N_Γ the rank of Γ . We assume always that Γ comes equipped with a fixed choice of Schottky data $(D_a)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ and $(\gamma_a)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{N_\Gamma}\}$. From now on we identify each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with the corresponding γ_a . So, \mathcal{B} is a basis of Γ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \sqcup \mathcal{B}^{-1}$. Let $|\cdot|_w$ be the word length on Γ with respect to \mathcal{A} . We respectively denote Γ_n and $\Gamma_{\geq n}$ the sets of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ of word length $= n$ and $\geq n$. The notation $\gamma_1 \rightarrow \gamma_2$ means that $|\gamma_1 \gamma_2|_w = |\gamma_1|_w + |\gamma_2|_w$.

Consider $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$ with $n \geq 1$ and its expression $a_1 \cdots a_n$ with the $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$. Sometimes we refer to the a_j as the *letters of γ* , and to γ as a *word* in the alphabet \mathcal{A} . The first and last letters a_1 and a_n of γ will be respectively denoted $S(\gamma)$ and $E(\gamma)$, while $\overleftarrow{\gamma}$ stands for the word $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}$ obtained by erasing the last letter of γ . We associate to each γ an open disk and an interval:

$$D_\gamma = \overleftarrow{\gamma} D_{E(\gamma)}, \quad I_\gamma = D_\gamma \cap \mathbb{R}.$$

We denote by Υ_γ the length of I_γ .

2.2. Random covering spaces. Here we recall the model of random Schottky surface we work with, which was introduced in [MN20].

Consider a Fuchsian Schottky group Γ , the corresponding hyperbolic surface $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ and let S_n be the group of permutations of $[n] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For any homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow S_n$, we consider the diagonal action $\gamma(z, j) = (\gamma z, \phi(\gamma)j)$ of Γ on $\mathbb{H}^2 \times [n]$. The quotient

$$X_\phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma \backslash (\mathbb{H}^2 \times [n])$$

is a hyperbolic surface, and the projection $\mathbb{H}^2 \times [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ induces an n -sheeted covering map $X_\phi \rightarrow X$. We denote by V_n the Hilbert space $\ell^2([n])$ ⁷, and V_n^0 the subspace of maps $f : [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_{j \in [n]} f(j) = 0$. Note that ϕ induces unitary representations of Γ on V_n and V_n^0 .

⁶By hyperbolic surface we mean a Riemannian surface of constant curvature -1 .

⁷This is the Hilbert space of maps $[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_j f(j) \overline{g(j)}$.

Since a homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow S_n$ is completely determined by its restriction to the basis \mathcal{B} , $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_n)$ is finite and hence we can talk about the uniform probability measure on it. Throughout the article, ϕ_n is a *uniformly random homomorphism* $\Gamma \rightarrow S_n$ —in other words, a random variable on $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_n)$ with uniform distribution—and X_n is the corresponding random n -sheeted covering space of X . We write ρ_n and ρ_n^0 for the random unitary representations of Γ on V_n and V_n^0 coming from ϕ_n .

2.3. Conventions and notation for Hilbert spaces. Here fix the notation and conventions on Hilbert spaces we use throughout the article.

All the Hilbert spaces we consider are assumed to be complex. Let V and W be Hilbert spaces. We denote $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$ the inner product of V —with the convention that it is \mathbb{C} -linear in the first coordinate and \mathbb{C} -antilinear in the second.—, and $\|\cdot\|_V$ is the norm coming from the inner product. Let $B(V)$ be the group of bounded linear operators on V . The unitary group $U(V)$ of V is the group of operators on V preserving $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$. We denote by $\|T\|_{op}$ the operator norm of any linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ with respect to the norms of the inner products. When $V = W$, sometimes we write $\|T\|_V$ instead of $\|T\|_{op}$ to emphasize the space on which T acts. We write T^* for the adjoint of T . The tensor product $V \otimes W$ —suitably completed—is also a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle v_1 \otimes w_1, v_2 \otimes w_2 \rangle_{V \otimes W} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_V \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle_W.$$

Recall that a unitary representation of a group Γ on V is a homomorphism $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow U(V)$. We often write the unitary representations as a pair (ρ, V) .

For any $r \geq 1$, we consider \mathbb{C}^r as Hilbert space with the standard inner product

$$\langle (z_1, \dots, z_r), (w_1, \dots, w_r) \rangle = \sum_j z_j \overline{w_j}.$$

We usually write $M_r(\mathbb{C})$ instead of $B(\mathbb{C}^r)$.

2.4. Transfer operators and their relation to resonances. Here we introduce transfer operators, the main tool we use to detect resonances of Schottky surfaces. These are a family $(\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho})_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$ of operators associated to any unitary representation (ρ, V) of a Fuchsian Schottky group Γ , acting on a certain space \mathcal{F}_ρ of functions $\mathbf{D} \rightarrow V$. The relation between transfer operators and resonances we use to prove our main result is stated in Proposition 2.1: when ρ comes from a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow S_n$, we will use the $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$ to study the resonances of X_ϕ . In order to do so, one has to choose a functional space \mathcal{F}_ρ where the transfer operators behave well. Like in [MN20], our choice of \mathcal{F}_ρ is a Bergman space. We give also the “polynomial expression” of the powers of $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$ with operator coefficients, which is key for the proof of our main result.

Let Γ be a Fuchsian Schottky group and let V be a Hilbert space of finite dimension. For any unitary representation (ρ, V) of Γ and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the operator $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$ on measurable functions $f : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow V$ by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_{s,\rho} f(z_a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Gamma_2 \\ E(w)=a}} \overline{w}'(z_a)^s \rho(\overline{w}^{-1}) f(\overline{w} z_a).$$

We clarify two points about this definition: the subindex in z_a means that z_a belongs to D_a , and $\zeta\bar{w}'(z_a)^s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(s\tau(\zeta\bar{w}'(z_a)))$, where τ is the branch of the logarithm

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbb{C} - (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus i(-\pi, \pi).$$

The Bergman space $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)$ is the Hilbert space of square integrable⁸, holomorphic maps $\mathbf{D} \rightarrow V$ with the inner product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \langle f_1(z), f_2(z) \rangle_V dz.$$

Note that $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)$ is stable under $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$. In this work, we take $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)$ as the domain of the transfer operators. This guarantees that $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$ is trace-class for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ —see [MN20, Corollary 4.2]—, so compact in particular.

Here is the relation between resonances and transfer operators that we use to establish our main result. It follows from the correspondence between resonances of a hyperbolic Schottky surface and the zeros of its Selberg zeta function—see [Bor16, Theorem 10.1]—, and the factorization [MN20, Proposition 4.4 (2)] of the Selberg zeta function of X_ϕ .

Proposition 2.1. *Let ϕ be a homomorphism from a Fuchsian Schottky group Γ to S_n , and let ρ_0 be the corresponding unitary representation of Γ on V_n^0 . If $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is a resonance of X_ϕ with greater multiplicity than in X , then 1 is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_{s,ρ_0} .*

Now we give a convenient formula for the powers of $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$, and then the “polynomial expression” of $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}^\ell$ with operator coefficients.

We claim that for any integer $\ell > 0$ and all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}^\ell f(z_a) = \sum_{\substack{w \in \Gamma_{\ell+1} \\ E(w)=a}} \zeta\bar{w}'(z_a)^s \rho(\zeta\bar{w}^{-1}) f(\zeta\bar{w} z_a).$$

Indeed, consider $w \in \Gamma_{\ell+1}$, say $w = a_1 \cdots a_{\ell+1}$ with $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$. We denote $a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_\ell$ by $w_{j \rightarrow}$. For any $z \in D_{a_{\ell+1}}$, let

$$(2.4) \quad \theta(w, z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau(a'_j(w_{j+1 \rightarrow} z)).$$

Iterating (2.1) one obtains

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}^\ell f(z_a) = \sum_{\substack{w \in \Gamma_{\ell+1} \\ E(w)=a}} \exp(s\theta(w, z_a)) \rho(\zeta\bar{w}^{-1}) f(\zeta\bar{w} z_a),$$

for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. When z_a is real, the terms $a'_j(w_{j+1 \rightarrow} z_a)$ in (2.4) are real as well, so

$$\theta(w, z_a) = \tau \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1} a'_j(w_{j+1 \rightarrow} z_a) \right) = \tau(\zeta\bar{w}' z_a).$$

Since $\theta(w, \cdot)$ and $\tau \circ \zeta\bar{w}'$ coincide in I_a and are holomorphic on D_a , in fact they coincide on D_a . Hence $\exp(s\theta(w, z_a)) = \zeta\bar{w}'(z_a)^s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, and (2.3) follows.

The “polynomial expression” of $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$ and its powers is essentially due to the following isomorphism of Hilbert spaces: For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)$ and any $v \in V$, the

⁸With respect to the Lebesgue measure of \mathbf{D} .

map $\varphi_v(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \varphi(z), v \rangle$ lies in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D})$. Consider an orthonormal basis $(v_i)_i$ of V . The map

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi \mapsto \sum_i \varphi_{v_i} \otimes v_i$$

is an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}) \otimes V$, and it does not depend on the choice of orthonormal basis of V . Here are the coefficients of the ‘‘polynomial expression’’ of $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}^\ell$: For any $w \in \Gamma_{\geq 2}$ and any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ we define $\widetilde{M}_{w,s} : \mathcal{H}(D_{S(w)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(D_{E(w)})$ by

$$(2.7) \quad \widetilde{M}_{w,s} \psi_{S(w)}(z_{E(w)}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overleftarrow{w}'(z_{E(w)})^s \psi_{S(w)}(\overleftarrow{w} z_{E(w)}),$$

with the s -th power defined as in (2.1). Let \mathbf{P}_a be the orthogonal projection $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(D_a)$. The operators

$$M_{w,s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{P}_{E(w)}^* \widetilde{M}_{w,s} \mathbf{P}_{S(w)}$$

are trace-class, as is shown in [Bor16, Lemma 15.7].

Lemma 2.2. *Let (ρ, V) be a unitary representation of Γ . For any integer $\ell \geq 1$, under the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V) \simeq \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}) \otimes V$ given by (2.6), we have*

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}^\ell = \sum_{w \in \Gamma_{\ell+1}} M_{w,s} \otimes \rho(\overleftarrow{w}^{-1}).$$

Proof. We identify $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}) \otimes V$ via (2.6). Let us denote by $\mathcal{K}_{s,\rho}^{(\ell)}$ the operator on the right-hand-side of (2.8). It suffices to show that $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}^\ell$ and $\mathcal{K}_{s,\rho}^{(\ell)}$ agree on all the $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)$ of the form $\varphi \otimes v$, with $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D})$ and v any unit vector in V . For any such f and all $b \in \mathcal{A}$, by (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}^\ell f](z_b) &= \sum_{\substack{w \in \Gamma_{\ell+1} \\ E(w)=b}} \overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)^s \rho(\overleftarrow{w}^{-1}) f(\overleftarrow{w} z_b) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{w \in \Gamma_{\ell+1} \\ E(w)=b}} \overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)^s \varphi(\overleftarrow{w} z_b) \rho(\overleftarrow{w}^{-1}) v \\ &= \left(\sum_{\substack{w \in \Gamma_{\ell+1} \\ E(w)=b}} M_{w,s} \otimes \rho(\overleftarrow{w}^{-1}) \right) f(z_b) \\ &= [\mathbf{P}_b \mathcal{K}_{s,\rho}^{(\ell)} f](z_b), \end{aligned}$$

which proves our claim. \square

3. DETERMINISTIC A PRIORI BOUNDS

In this section we gather technical lemmas about Schottky groups, transfer operators and Bergman spaces. Some of them are well known, while others are specifically tailored for our needs. We suggest the reader to skim the statements in a first reading, and come back to the details when the later sections demand it.

We start with four properties of $w \mapsto \Upsilon_w$, whose proofs can be respectively found in [MN20, Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 3.1. *Let Γ be a Fuchsian Schottky group. The following holds:*

(Rough multiplicativity): For all $w_1, w_2 \in \Gamma_{\geq 1}$ with $w_1 \rightarrow w_2$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad \Upsilon_{w_1 w_2} \asymp_{\Gamma} \Upsilon_{w_1} \Upsilon_{w_2}.$$

(Mirror estimate): For all $w \in \Gamma_{\geq 1}$ we have

$$(3.2) \quad \Upsilon_w \asymp_{\Gamma} \Upsilon_{w^{-1}}.$$

(Derivatives): For all $w \in \Gamma_{\geq 2}$ and any $z \in D_{E(w)}$ we have

$$(3.3) \quad |\overleftarrow{w}'(z)| \asymp_{\Gamma} \Upsilon_w.$$

(Exponential Bound): There are constants $\theta_{\Gamma}, \tau_{\Gamma} \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\geq 1}$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad \theta_{\Gamma}^{|\gamma|^w} \ll_{\Gamma} \Upsilon_{\gamma} \ll_{\Gamma} \tau_{\Gamma}^{|\gamma|^w}.$$

In the next lemma we consider τ_{Γ} as in (3.4).

Lemma 3.2. *Let Γ be a Fuchsian Schottky group. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and any integer $k \geq 1$ we have*

$$\sum_{w \in \Gamma_k} \Upsilon_w^{\delta_{\Gamma} + \varepsilon} \ll_{\Gamma} \tau_{\Gamma}^{k\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Consider $w \in \Gamma_k$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. By (3.4) we have $\Upsilon_w \ll_{\Gamma} \tau_{\Gamma}^k$. [BD17, Lemma 2.11] tells us that $\Upsilon_w^{\delta_{\Gamma}} \asymp_{\Gamma} \mu(I_w)$, where μ is a Patterson-Sullivan probability measure on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ associated to Γ . Then

$$\sum_{w \in \Gamma_k} \Upsilon_w^{\delta_{\Gamma} + \varepsilon} \ll_{\Gamma} \tau_{\Gamma}^{k\varepsilon} \sum_{w \in \Gamma_k} \mu(I_w) = \tau_{\Gamma}^{k\varepsilon},$$

which completes the proof. In the last step we used the fact that $\sum_{w \in \Gamma_k} \mu(I_w) = 1$ ⁹. \square

We move on to two auxiliary results about Bergman spaces that we use later. Both follow easily from the fact that the Bergman space of an open domain Ω in \mathbb{C} has a reproducing kernel B_{Ω} . Namely, B_{Ω} is a map $\Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ respectively holomorphic and antiholomorphic in the first and second coordinates, such that for any $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ and all $z_0 \in \Omega$ we have

$$f(z_0) = \int_{\Omega} B_{\Omega}(z_0, z) f(z) dz.$$

For an open disk $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, say of radius r and center c , there is the explicit formula

$$(3.5) \quad B_D(z_1, z_2) = \frac{r^2}{\pi[r^2 - (z_1 - c)(\overline{z_2 - c})]^2}.$$

See [Bor16, p. 378].

Lemma 3.3. *Let D and D' be open disks in \mathbb{C} , respectively with centers $c, c' \in \mathbb{R}$ and radii r, r' . Suppose that D contains the closure of D' . For any holomorphic function $\psi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}(D')} \leq \frac{r'}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}(r - r' - |c - c'|)^2} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}(D)}.$$

⁹The probability measure μ is supported on the limit set of Γ , which is covered by the pairwise disjoint intervals $(I_w)_{w \in \Gamma_k}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that $c = 0$. We compute the norm of ψ in $\mathcal{H}(D')$ with the aid of B_D : For any $z_0 \in D$ we have

$$\psi(z_0) = \int_D B_D(z_0, z) \psi(z) dz.$$

Any $z' \in D'$ verifies $|\bar{z}'| = |z'| \leq r' + |c'|$, so from (3.5) we readily see that

$$|B_D(z', z)| \leq \frac{1}{\pi(r - r' - |c'|)^2}$$

for any $z \in D$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D'} |\psi(z')|^2 dz' &= \int_{D'} \left| \int_D B_D(z', z) \psi(z) dz \right|^2 dz' \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi^2(r - r' - |c'|)^4} \int_{D'} \left(\int_D |\psi(z)| dz \right)^2 dz' \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi^2(r - r' - |c'|)^4} \int_{D'} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}(D)}^2 dz' \\ &= \frac{(r')^2}{\pi(r - r' - |c'|)^4} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}(D)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. From line 2 to line 3 in the preceding computation we used Jensen's inequality. \square

For ease of reference we record the following consequence of Lemma 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. *Let Γ be a Fuchsian Schottky group. For any $w \in \Gamma_{\geq 2}$ and any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}(D_{S(w)})$ we have*

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}(D_w)} \ll_{\Gamma} \Upsilon_w \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}(D_{S(w)})}.$$

Proof. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{I\}$, we denote respectively the center and the radius of D_{γ} by c_{γ} and r_{γ} . Let $a = S(w)$. Since D_w is contained in D_a , by Lemma 3.3 we have

$$(3.6) \quad \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}(D_w)} \leq \frac{r_w}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}(r_a - r_w - |c_a - c_w|)^2} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}(D_a)}.$$

Note that $r_w + |c_a - c_w|$ is the radius of the smallest circle with center c_a containing D_w , hence $r_a - r_w - |c_a - c_w| \gg_{\Gamma} 1$. Also, $2r_w = \Upsilon_w$. The claim follows from these observations and (3.6). \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let Γ be a Fuchsian Schottky group and let V be a Hilbert space of finite dimension. For any $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)$, any $w \in \Gamma_{\geq 2}$ and all $z_w \in D_w$ we have*

$$\|f(z_w)\|_V \ll_{\Gamma} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)}.$$

Proof. We start with the case $V = \mathbb{C}$. Consider $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D})$ and let $a = S(w)$. Let c_a, r_a and B_a be respectively the center, radius and the Bergman kernel of D_a . Since z_w lies in D_a , we have $\varphi(z_w) = \left\langle P_a \varphi, \overline{B_a(z_w, \cdot)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}(D_a)}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{B}_a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma_2 \\ S(\gamma) = a}} D_{\gamma}.$$

Note that there is $\varepsilon_\Gamma > 0$ such that for any $z \in \mathcal{B}_a$ we have $|z - c_a| \leq r_a - \varepsilon_\Gamma$ ¹⁰. It follows then from (3.5) that $|B_a(z_w, z_a)| \ll_\Gamma 1$ for any $z_a \in D_a$. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality on $\mathcal{H}(D_a)$ gives

$$(3.7) \quad |\varphi(z_w)| \leq \left\| \overline{B_a(z_w, \cdot)} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(D_a)} \|\mathbb{P}_a \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}(D_a)} \\ \ll_\Gamma \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D})},$$

which completes the proof in this case.

For a general V and $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)$, we consider an orthonormal basis v_1, \dots, v_d of V and we express f as $\sum_j \varphi_j \otimes v_j$ for some $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D})$. To complete the proof we apply (3.7) as follows:

$$\|f(z_w)\|_V = \left(\sum_{j=1}^d |\varphi_j(z_w)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \ll_\Gamma \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \|\varphi_j\|_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)}.$$

□

We close this section with an estimate of the norm variation of a transfer operator with respect to the parameter s . Recall that N_Γ is the rank of a Schottky group Γ .

Lemma 3.6. *Let Γ be a Fuchsian Schottky group and let \mathcal{K} be a compact subset of \mathbb{C} . There are positive constants B_Γ, J_Γ and $C_\mathcal{K}$ such that for any $\ell \gg_\Gamma 1$, any finite dimensional unitary representation (ρ, V) of Γ and any $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ we have*

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{s_1, \rho}^\ell - \mathcal{L}_{s_2, \rho}^\ell\|_{op} \leq J_\Gamma |s_1 - s_2| (\ell + 1) \left[(2N_\Gamma - 1) C_\mathcal{K}^{B_\Gamma} \right]^{\ell+1}.$$

Proof. Consider $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)$, $b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $z_b \in D_b$. From (2.3) we see that

$$(3.8) \quad (\mathcal{L}_{s_1, \rho}^\ell - \mathcal{L}_{s_2, \rho}^\ell) f(z_b) = \sum_{\substack{w \in \Gamma_{\ell+1} \\ E(w) = b}} (\overleftarrow{w}'(z_b))^{s_1} - \overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)^{s_2} \rho(\overleftarrow{w}^{-1}) f(\overleftarrow{w} z_b).$$

In all the proof, w is an element of $\Gamma_{\ell+1}$ with $E(w) = b$. By (3.3) and (3.4), both $|\overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)|$ and Υ_w are < 1 whenever $\ell \gg_\Gamma 1$. We assume this for the rest of the proof.

To estimate the norm of $(\mathcal{L}_{s_1, \rho}^\ell - \mathcal{L}_{s_2, \rho}^\ell) f(z_b)$ we will use the next elementary bound, valid for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$|e^{z_1} - e^{z_2}| \leq |z_1 - z_2| e^{\max\{\operatorname{Re} z_1, \operatorname{Re} z_2\}}.$$

Thus

$$(3.9) \quad |\overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)^{s_1} - \overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)^{s_2}| \leq |s_1 - s_2| |\tau(\overleftarrow{w}' z_b)| e^{\max\{\operatorname{Re} [s_1 \tau(\overleftarrow{w}' z_b)], \operatorname{Re} [s_2 \tau(\overleftarrow{w}' z_b)]\}},$$

where τ is the branch of the logarithm as in (2.2). Since $|\overleftarrow{w}' z_b| < 1$, then $|\tau(\overleftarrow{w}' z_b)| \leq \pi + \log(|\overleftarrow{w}' z_b|^{-1})$, which by (3.3) and (3.4) implies that

$$(3.10) \quad |\tau(\overleftarrow{w}' z_b)| \leq B_\Gamma (\ell + 1)$$

¹⁰Since the closure of \mathcal{B}_a is contained in D_a .

for some $B_\Gamma > 0$. Let $C_\mathcal{K} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{z \in \mathcal{K}} e^{|z|}$. By (3.10), we have the following for any $s \in \mathcal{K}$:

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \exp[\operatorname{Re}(s\tau(\overleftarrow{w}'z_b))] &\leq \exp|s\tau(\overleftarrow{w}'z_b)| \\ &\leq C_\mathcal{K}^{B_\Gamma(\ell+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging (3.10) and (3.11) into (3.9) yields

$$(3.12) \quad |\overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)^{s_1} - \overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)^{s_2}| \ll_\Gamma |s_1 - s_2|(\ell+1)C_\mathcal{K}^{B_\Gamma(\ell+1)}$$

Applying the triangle inequality in (3.8) followed by (3.12) and Lemma 3.5, we get

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{L}_{s_1, \rho}^\ell - \mathcal{L}_{s_2, \rho}^\ell)f(z_b)\|_V &\ll_\Gamma |s_1 - s_2|(\ell+1)C_\mathcal{K}^{B_\Gamma(\ell+1)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)} \frac{\#\Gamma_{\ell+1}}{2N_\Gamma} \\ &\ll_\Gamma |s_1 - s_2|(\ell+1) \left[C_\mathcal{K}^{B_\Gamma} (2N_\Gamma - 1) \right]^{\ell+1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)}, \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\|(\mathcal{L}_{s_1, \rho}^\ell - \mathcal{L}_{s_2, \rho}^\ell)f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, V)} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} \|(\mathcal{L}_{s_1, \rho}^\ell - \mathcal{L}_{s_2, \rho}^\ell)f(z)\|_V^2 dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we are done. \square

4. STRONG CONVERGENCE AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

This section has two parts: in Section 4.1 we introduce the notion of strong convergence for random unitary representations of a free group Γ , which is in fact a condition on the associated representations of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$. We also explain how to upgrade the strong convergence via the matrix amplification trick in order to handle the random transfer operators of Theorem 1.3. The proof of this last result is completed in Section 4.2 by using a norm bound that we prove in Section 5.

4.1. Strong convergence and matrix amplification. Suppose in this section that Γ is a free group of rank N and $(\rho_j, W_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is a sequence of (possibly random) unitary representations of Γ .

Let us recall two notions of convergence for random variables: We say that a sequence of complex random variables $(Z_j)_{j \geq 1}$ *converges asymptotically almost surely* (a.a.s.) to a constant $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(|Z_j - z| > \epsilon) = 0.$$

This is the same as convergence in probability. We say additionally that the convergence is almost sure (a.s.) if for all $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|Z_j - z| > \epsilon) < \infty.$$

Definition 4.1 (Strong convergence). A sequence of unitary representations $(\rho_j, W_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of Γ *strongly converges* to (ρ_∞, W_∞) if for all $f \in \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ —the group algebra of Γ —we have

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_j(f)\|_{W_j} = \|\rho_\infty(f)\|_{W_\infty}.$$

When the unitary representations $(\rho_j)_{j \geq 1}$ are random, we say that they a.a.s. (a.s.) strongly converge to ρ_∞ if the convergence in (4.1) is a.a.s. (a.s.).

In the rest of this section, we assume that the random unitary representations $(\rho_j, W_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of Γ are finite dimensional and that they a.a.s. or a.s. strongly converge to the left regular representation $(\rho_\Gamma, \ell^2(\Gamma))$ of Γ .

By matrix amplification (see for example [HT05, Section 9]), the strong convergence of the sequence $(\rho_j)_{j \geq 1}$ implies the following more general statement.

Proposition 4.2. *For any $r \geq 1$ and any finitely supported map $\gamma \mapsto F_\gamma$ with values in $M_r(\mathbb{C})$ we have*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F_\gamma \otimes \rho_j(\gamma) \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^r \otimes W_j} = \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F_\gamma \otimes \rho_\Gamma(\gamma) \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^r \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)}$$

(a.s. or a.a.s., respectively, if the representations are random).

We need a mild extension of Proposition 4.2 allowing the F_γ to be compact operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, which is obtained via a standard approximation argument (see, for example, [MT23, proof of Prop. 6.2]).

Corollary 4.3. *For any finitely supported map $\gamma \mapsto T_\gamma$ on Γ taking values in the compact operators of a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we have*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} T_\gamma \otimes \rho_i(\gamma) \right\|_{W \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_i}} = \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} T_\gamma \otimes \rho_\Gamma(\gamma) \right\|_{W \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)}$$

(a.s. or a.a.s., respectively, if the representations are random).

4.2. The proof of Theorem 1.3. In this section we consider a Fuchsian Schottky group Γ and a sequence $(\rho_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of random unitary representations of Γ a.a.s. strongly converging to ρ_Γ . Recall—see (2.3)—that the powers of the transfer operators can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{s, \rho_j}^\ell = \sum_{w \in \Gamma_{\ell+1}} M_{w, s} \otimes \rho_j(\overleftarrow{w}^{-1}).$$

By Corollary 4.3 we know that, for big n , the size of $\mathcal{L}_{s, \rho_i}^\ell$ is governed a.a.s. by that of the *limit operator*

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{T}_{\ell, s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{w \in \Gamma_{\ell+1}} M_{w, s} \otimes \rho_\Gamma(\overleftarrow{w}^{-1})$$

on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}) \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)$. We will prove Theorem 1.3 by showing that we can choose $\ell = \ell(\Gamma, \mathcal{K})$ such that $\|\mathcal{T}_{\ell, s}\|_{op} < 1$ for any $s \in \mathcal{K}$.

The key in the next norm bound of $\mathcal{T}_{\ell, s}$, whose proof is deferred to Section 5.

Proposition 4.4. *Let Γ be a Fuchsian Schottky group. There are constants $A_\Gamma > 0$, $C_\Gamma > 1$ and $\tau_\Gamma \in (0, 1)$ with the next property: for any integer $\ell \gg_\Gamma 1$, any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re } s \geq \frac{\delta_\Gamma}{2} + \varepsilon$ we have*

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\ell, s}\|_{op} \leq A_\Gamma C_\Gamma^{\text{Re } s} e^{\pi |\text{Im } s|} (\ell + 1) \tau_\Gamma^{\varepsilon \ell}.$$

Taking Proposition 4.4 momentarily for granted, we establish now Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Consider $A_\Gamma > 0, C_\Gamma > 1$ and $\tau_\Gamma \in (0, 1)$ as in Proposition 4.4. It suffices to prove the result when \mathcal{K} is a rectangle of the form

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \frac{\delta_\Gamma}{2} + \varepsilon \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq M_r, |\operatorname{Im} s| \leq M_i \right\},$$

with $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $M_r, M_i > 1$. We fix these three parameters for the rest of the proof, as well as $\ell = \ell(\mathcal{K}, \Gamma)$ big enough so that Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 4.4 apply to it, and

$$(4.3) \quad A_\Gamma C_\Gamma^{M_r} e^{\pi M_i} (\ell + 1) \tau_\Gamma^{\varepsilon \ell} < 1 - 2\varepsilon.$$

Consider also

$$\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\Gamma, \mathcal{K}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\varepsilon}{2J_\Gamma(\ell + 1) \left[(2N_\Gamma - 1) C_\mathcal{K}^{B_\Gamma} \right]^{\ell+1}},$$

where J_Γ, B_Γ and $C_\mathcal{K}$ are as in Lemma 3.6.

Consider, as in the statement, a sequence $(\rho_j, W_j)_{j \geq 1}$ a sequence of finite dimensional unitary representations of Γ that a.a.s. strongly converges to ρ_Γ . Recall that under the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}, W_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}) \otimes W_j$ given by (2.6) we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{s, \rho_j}^\ell = \sum_{w \in \Gamma_\ell} T_{w, s} \otimes \rho_j(w),$$

with $T_{w, s}$ as in (5.4)—see Lemma 2.2—.

We denote by $\mathcal{E}_{s, j}$ the event that

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}_{s, \rho_j}^\ell \right\|_{op} \leq \|\mathcal{T}_{\ell, s}\|_{op} + \varepsilon,$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{\ell, s}$ is the limiting operator on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}) \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)$ defined in (5.3). Each $T_{w, s}$ is a compact operator of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D})$ since it is a sum of $M_{w^{-1}b, s}$, which are compact as is explained right after (2.7).

The bound of the norm of $\mathcal{T}_{\ell, s}$ of Proposition 4.4 and (4.3) imply that for any $s \in \mathcal{K}$, on $\mathcal{E}_{s, j}$ we have

$$(4.4) \quad \left\| \mathcal{L}_{s, \rho_j}^\ell \right\|_{op} < 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Let \mathbf{S} be a finite subset of \mathcal{K} such that the open disks with center in \mathbf{S} and radius ε_1 cover \mathcal{K} , and let $\mathcal{E}_j = \bigcap_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{s}, j}$.

Since \mathbf{S} is finite, then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_j) \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Consider any $s \in \mathcal{K}$. Take $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}$ such that $|s - \mathbf{s}| < \varepsilon_1$. By Lemma 3.6 and (4.4), on \mathcal{E}_j we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{L}_{s, \rho_j}^\ell \right\|_{op} &\leq \left\| \mathcal{L}_{s, \rho_j}^\ell - \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}, \rho_j}^\ell \right\|_{op} + \left\| \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}, \rho_j}^\ell \right\|_{op} \\ &< \varepsilon_1 J_\Gamma(\ell + 1) \left[(2N_\Gamma - 1) C_\mathcal{K}^{B_\Gamma} \right]^{\ell+1} + (1 - \varepsilon) = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

5. NORM ESTIMATION OF THE LIMIT OPERATORS

The goal of this section is to prove the norm estimate of the limit operators $\mathcal{T}_{\ell, s}$ —Proposition 4.4—, which was used to establish Theorem 1.3. By definition, these operators are finite sums of the form $\sum_\gamma T_\gamma \otimes \rho_\Gamma(\gamma) \in B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma))$, where ρ_Γ is the left regular representation of a free group Γ and \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space. Let \mathbb{T} be any operator of this form. Here are the ingredients of our proof: the first

and key one is a bound due to Buchholz of $\|T\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)}$ in terms of the norms of certain matrices \mathcal{R}_j with operator coefficients, determined by the $(T_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$. This result is presented in Section 5.1. Then, in Section 5.2 we prove a lemma allowing us to bound $\|\mathcal{R}_j\|_{op}$ by its ‘‘Hilbert-Schmidt norm’’, and we estimate the size of the $(T_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ corresponding to the limit operators. We combine these results in Section 5.3 to prove Proposition 4.4.

5.1. Buchholz inequality . In this section, Γ is a free group of rank N and we use the following notation: Let us fix a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\}$ of Γ and work with the word length $|\cdot|_w$ on Γ with respect to $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}^{-1}$. As before, Γ_k is the set of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $|\gamma|_w = k$. Recall that $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the group of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For any subset Λ of Γ , we denote by $L^2(\Lambda, \mathcal{H})$ the Hilbert space of L^2 -maps $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ with respect to the counting measure on Λ .

We start with some motivation: It was shown in [Haa79] that for any integer $m \geq 1$ and any $\alpha \in L^2(\Gamma_m, \mathbb{C})$ one has

$$(5.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{w \in \Gamma_m} \alpha_w \rho_\Gamma(w) \right\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)} \leq (m+1) \|\alpha\|_{L^2}.$$

This is commonly known as *Haagerup’s inequality*. It has various applications and generalizations—see [dIS09] for details—. What we need here is a version of (5.1) where the α_w are operators. This was established by Buchholz in [Buc99].

Consider a map $R : \Gamma \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$, $w \mapsto R_w$. For any nonnegative integers m, n we define the map $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(m, n) : L^2(\Gamma_m, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{H})$ by

$$(5.2) \quad [\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(m, n)f](w_n) = \sum_{w_m \in \Gamma_m} R_{w_m w_n}(f(w_m)).$$

We set $\mathcal{R}(m, n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{T}_n^* \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(m, n) \mathbf{T}_m$, where \mathbf{T}_j is the orthogonal projection $L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{H})$. We state below only the inequality from [Buc99, Theorem 2.8] that we need for our purposes.

Theorem 5.1. *Let Γ be a free group of finite rank $N \geq 2$. Consider a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a map $\Gamma \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$, $\gamma \mapsto R_\gamma$ supported on Γ_ℓ , for some integer $\ell \geq 1$. Then*

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\ell} R_\gamma \otimes \rho_\Gamma(\gamma) \right\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)} \leq (\ell+1) \max_{0 \leq j \leq \ell} \|\mathcal{R}(j, \ell-j)\|_{op}.$$

5.2. Auxiliary results. Consider two Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{I} . Let

$$\mathcal{H}^{\oplus j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L^2(\{1, 2, \dots, j\}, \mathcal{H}).$$

For any linear map $T : \mathcal{H}^{\oplus m} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\oplus n}$, we define $T_{i,j}$ as $\mathbf{Q}_i T \mathbf{R}_j^*$, where \mathbf{Q}_i and \mathbf{R}_j are respectively the orthogonal projections to the i -th and j -th factors of $\mathcal{H}^{\oplus m}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{\oplus n}$.

The next lemma is a simple extension of a well-known inequality for complex matrices.

Lemma 5.2. *For any bounded operator $T : \mathcal{H}^{\oplus m} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\oplus n}$ we have*

$$\|T\|_{op} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m T_{i,j} T_{i,j}^* \right\|_{op} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. We denote $\mathbf{Q}_i T$ by T_i . For all $v \in \mathcal{H}^{\oplus m}$ we have

$$\|Tv\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|T_i v\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|T_i\|_{op}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|.$$

Thus, $\|T\| \leq \left(\sum_i \|T_i\|_{op}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. To conclude, note that $\|T_i\|_{op}^2 = \|T_i T_i^*\|_{op}$ and

$$T_i T_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^m T_{i,j} T_{i,j}^*.$$

□

We also need an estimate on the norm of the coefficients $M_{w,s}$ —defined in (2.7)—of the limit operators.

Lemma 5.3. *Let Γ be a Fuchsian Schottky group. There is $C_\Gamma > 1$ with the next property: For any $w \in \Gamma_{\ell+1}$ with $\ell \geq 1$ and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$ we have*

$$\|M_{w,s}\|_{op} \ll_\Gamma e^{\pi |\operatorname{Im} s|} C_\Gamma^{\operatorname{Re} s} \Upsilon_w^{\operatorname{Re} s}.$$

Proof. Let $a = S(w)$ and $b = E(w)$, so that $\widetilde{M}_{w,s} : \mathcal{H}(D_a) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(D_b)$. Note that $\|M_{w,s}\|_{op} = \left\| \widetilde{M}_{w,s} \right\|_{op}$ ¹¹. We work with the latter operator. Consider any $z_b \in D_b$ and a complex number $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma > 0$. From the definition of $\overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)^s$ we have

$$|\overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)^s| = e^{-\alpha t} |\overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)|^\sigma$$

for some $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$.

Now we compute the norm of $\widetilde{M}_{w,s} \psi_a$, for any $\psi_a \in \mathcal{H}(D_a)$, using the change of variable $z_w = \overleftarrow{w} z_b$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widetilde{M}_{w,s} \psi_a \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(D_b)}^2 &= \int_{D_b} |\overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)^s \psi_a(\overleftarrow{w} z_b)|^2 dz_b \\ &= \int_{D_w} \frac{|\overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)^s|^2}{|\overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)|^2} |\psi_a(z_w)|^2 dz_w \\ &\leq e^{2\pi|t|} \int_{D_w} |\overleftarrow{w}'(z_b)|^{2\sigma-2} |\psi_a(z_w)|^2 dz_w. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma > 0$, applying (3.3) and Lemma 3.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widetilde{M}_{w,s} \psi_a \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(D_b)}^2 &\leq e^{2\pi|t|} C_\Gamma^{2\sigma-2} \Upsilon_w^{2\sigma-2} \|\psi_a\|_{\mathcal{H}(D_w)}^2 \\ &\ll_\Gamma e^{2\pi|t|} C_\Gamma^{2\sigma} \Upsilon_w^{2\sigma} \|\psi_a\|_{\mathcal{H}(D_a)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

from where our claim follows. □

¹¹Both operators have the same norm by the submultiplicativity of $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ since $M_{w,s} = \mathbf{P}_b^* \widetilde{M}_{w,s} \mathbf{P}_a$ and $\widetilde{M}_{w,s} = \mathbf{P}_b M_{w,s} \mathbf{P}_a^*$.

Let us write the limit operators $\mathcal{T}_{\ell,s}$ as a sum indexed by Γ_ℓ instead of $\Gamma_{\ell+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{\ell,s} &= \sum_{w \in \Gamma_{\ell+1}} M_{w,s} \otimes \rho_\Gamma(\overleftarrow{w}^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{w \in \Gamma_\ell} \left(\sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{A} \\ w^{-1} \rightarrow b}} M_{w^{-1}b,s} \right) \otimes \rho_\Gamma(w) \\
(5.3) \quad &= \sum_{w \in \Gamma_\ell} T_{w,s} \otimes \rho_\Gamma(w),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad T_{w,s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{A} \\ w^{-1} \rightarrow b}} M_{w^{-1}b,s}.$$

These verify essentially the same bound as the $M_{w,s}$. Here are the details:

Lemma 5.4. *Let Γ be a Fuchsian Schottky group. There is a constant $C_\Gamma > 1$ such that, for any $w \in \Gamma_\ell$ with $\ell \geq 1$ and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with positive real part we have*

$$\|T_{w,s}\|_{op} \ll_\Gamma e^{\pi|\text{Im } s|} C_\Gamma^{\text{Re } s} \Upsilon_w^{\text{Re } s}.$$

Proof. Let us fix $w \in \Gamma_\ell$. Note that the operators $M_{w^{-1}b,s}$ with $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $w^{-1} \rightarrow b$ have pairwise orthogonal images, so

$$(5.5) \quad \|T_{w,s}\|_{op} \leq \sqrt{2N_\Gamma - 1} \max_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{A} \\ w^{-1} \rightarrow b}} \|M_{w^{-1}b,s}\|_{op}.$$

The concatenation (3.1) and mirror (3.2) estimates imply that $\Upsilon_{w^{-1}b} \ll_\Gamma \Upsilon_w$. Thus, for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re } s > 0$, Lemma 5.3 yields

$$\|M_{w^{-1}b,s}\|_{op} \ll_\Gamma e^{\pi|\text{Im } s|} C_\Gamma^{\text{Re } s} \Upsilon_w^{\text{Re } s},$$

which combined with (5.5) proves the bound on $\|T_{w,s}\|_{op}$. \square

5.3. The proof of the norm bound of the limit operators .

Proof of Proposition 4.4. In all the proof we fix $\ell \geq 1, \varepsilon > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re } s \geq \frac{\delta_\Gamma}{2} + \varepsilon$. Consider the following map $R_\bullet : \Gamma \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}))$:

$$R_w = \begin{cases} T_{w,s} & \text{if } |w|_w = \ell, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall that

$$\mathcal{T}_{\ell,s} = \sum_{w \in \Gamma_\ell} R_w \otimes \rho_\Gamma(w)$$

by (5.3). Since R_\bullet is supported on Γ_ℓ , by Theorem 5.1 we have

$$(5.6) \quad \|\mathcal{T}_{\ell,s}\|_{op} \leq (\ell + 1) \max_{0 \leq j \leq \ell} \|\mathcal{R}(j, \ell - j)\|_{op},$$

with $\mathcal{R}(j, \ell - j) : L^2(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D})) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma_{\ell-j}, \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{D}))$ as in (5.2). We bound the norm of $\mathcal{R}(j, \ell - j)$ using Lemma 5.2, the triangle inequality for $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ and the identity¹² $\|T^*T\|_{op} = \|T\|_{op}^2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}(j, \ell - j)\|_{op} &\leq \left(\sum_{w_{\ell-j} \in \Gamma_{\ell-j}} \sum_{\substack{w_j \in \Gamma_j \\ w_j \rightarrow w_{\ell-j}}} \|R_{w_j w_{\ell-j}}\|_{op}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{w \in \Gamma_{\ell}} \|T_{w,s}\|_{op}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.4), $\Upsilon_w < 1$ for any $w \in \Gamma_{\ell_0}$ provided $\ell_0 \gg_{\Gamma} 1$. Assuming this holds for our initial ℓ , we have $\Upsilon_w^{2\operatorname{Re} s} \leq \Upsilon_w^{\delta_{\Gamma} + 2\varepsilon}$ for any $w \in \Gamma_{\ell}$. From the bound for $\|T_{w,s}\|_{op}$ of Lemma 5.4, and Lemma 3.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}(j, \ell - j)\|_{op} &\ll_{\Gamma} e^{\pi|\operatorname{Im} s|} C_{\Gamma}^{\operatorname{Re} s} \left(\sum_{w_{\ell} \in \Gamma_{\ell}} \Upsilon_{w_{\ell}}^{2\operatorname{Re} s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq e^{\pi|\operatorname{Im} s|} C_{\Gamma}^{\operatorname{Re} s} \left(\sum_{w_{\ell} \in \Gamma_{\ell}} \Upsilon_{w_{\ell}}^{\delta_{\Gamma} + 2\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (5.7) \quad &\leq e^{\pi|\operatorname{Im} s|} C_{\Gamma}^{\operatorname{Re} s} \tau_{\Gamma}^{\ell\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

The claimed upper bound for $\|\mathcal{T}_{\ell,s}\|_{op}$ follows from (5.6) and (5.7). \square

6. PROOF OF THE SPECTRAL GAP FOR RANDOM SCHOTTKY SURFACES

In the situation of Theorem 1.1, our strategy to prove that the random cover X_n has no new resonances in \mathcal{K} is to show that, with high probability, some power $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho_n}^{\ell}$ of the relevant random transfer operator has norm < 1 for all $s \in \mathcal{K}$. This is shown by feeding into Theorem 1.3 a deep result on random matrices of Bordenave–Collins that we recall in Section 6.1. Having this, we complete the proof of our spectral gap for random Schottky surfaces in Section 6.2.

6.1. Background on random matrices. The result of Bordenave–Collins is a contribution to a general problem on random matrices that we now explain intuitively: The broad goal is to study the joint behavior of finite sequences of independent, random $n \times n$ matrices $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,N}$ in some fixed model \mathcal{M} , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is known that for many important models, the matrices $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,N}$ jointly converge to deterministic operators $X_{\infty,1}, \dots, X_{\infty,N}$ of some Hilbert space in the sense that, for any noncommutative polynomial P in $2N$ variables, the operators P_n a.s. “tend” to P_{∞} , where $P_j = P(X_{j,1}, \dots, X_{j,N}, X_{j,1}^*, \dots, X_{j,N}^*)$. This series of results started with the breakthrough work [HT05] of Haagerup and Thorbj rn sen, where they treat the case of the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble. The analog results for the Gaussian Orthogonal and Symplectic Ensembles were established by Schulz

¹²Which holds for any bounded operator T on a Hilbert space.

in [Sch05]; [CDM07] and [And13] treat some Wigner matrices under certain conditions on the distribution, while [CM14] consider random unitary matrices with Haar distribution. Bordenave and Collins handle in [BC19] the case relevant for random covers of Schottky surfaces, namely, when $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,N}$ are the random unitary operators on V_n^0 coming from a sequence $\varphi_{n,1}, \dots, \varphi_{n,N}$ of independent random permutations of $[n]$ with uniform distribution.

Let us now turn the random matrix intuition into a rigorous statement in terms of representation theory. Besides being better suited for our purposes, this language allows us to describe the limiting operators in a natural and straightforward way: Let Γ be a free group of rank N and consider a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\}$ of it. A sequence $\varphi_{n,1}, \dots, \varphi_{n,N}$ as above is the same thing as a uniformly random homomorphism $\phi_n : \Gamma \rightarrow S_n$ simply by setting $\varphi_{n,j} = \phi_n(\gamma_j)$. Thus, the goal is to understand the random unitary representations $(\rho_n^0, V_n^0)_{n \geq 1}$ of Γ . Below we reformulate [BC19, Theorem 3] in terms of these representations. Recall that ρ_Γ denotes the left regular representation of Γ .

Theorem 6.1 (Bordenave–Collins). *Let Γ be a free group of finite rank. The sequence $(\rho_n^0, V_n^0)_{n \geq 1}$ of random representations a.a.s. strongly converges to $(\rho_\Gamma, \ell^2(\Gamma))$.*

6.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We are finally ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose we are given a Schottky surface $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ and \mathcal{K} as in the statement of Theorem 1.1.

By plugging Theorem 6.1 into Theorem 1.3 we see that there is $\ell = \ell(\mathcal{K}, \Gamma)$ such that the probability that

$$(6.1) \quad \left\| \mathcal{L}_{s, \rho_n^0}^\ell \right\|_{op} < 1 \text{ for all } s \in \mathcal{K}$$

tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. When (6.1) holds for a deterministic homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow S_n$ and a fixed $s_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, 1 is not an eigenvalue of the corresponding $\mathcal{L}_{s_0, \rho}$. Hence by Proposition 2.1, s_0 is not a new resonance of X_ϕ . In our probabilistic setting, we conclude that a.a.s. there are no new resonances of the random cover X_n in \mathcal{K} . \square

REFERENCES

- [Alo86] Noga Alon, *Eigenvalues and expanders*, *Combinatorica* **6** (1986), 83–96 (English).
- [And13] Greg W. Anderson, *Convergence of the largest singular value of a polynomial in independent Wigner matrices*, *Ann. Probab.* **41** (2013), no. 3B, 2103–2181 (English).
- [BC19] Charles Bordenave and Benoît Collins, *Eigenvalues of random lifts and polynomials of random permutation matrices*, *Ann. Math. (2)* **190** (2019), no. 3, 811–875 (English).
- [BD17] Jean Bourgain and Semyon Dyatlov, *Fourier dimension and spectral gaps for hyperbolic surfaces*, *Geometric and Functional Analysis* **27** (2017), no. 4, 744–771.
- [BD18] ———, *Spectral gaps without the pressure condition*, *Ann. Math. (2)* **187** (2018), no. 3, 825–867 (English).
- [BGS11] Jean Bourgain, Alex Gamburd, and Peter Sarnak, *Generalization of Selberg’s $\frac{3}{16}$ theorem and affine sieve*, *Acta Math.* **207** (2011), no. 2, 255–290.
- [BM04] Robert Brooks and Eran Makover, *Random construction of Riemann surfaces*, *J. Differ. Geom.* **68** (2004), no. 1, 121–157 (English).
- [BMM17] Werner Ballmann, Henrik Matthiesen, and Sugata Mondal, *Small eigenvalues of surfaces of finite type*, *Compos. Math.* **153** (2017), no. 8, 1747–1768 (English).
- [Bor16] David Borthwick, *Spectral theory of infinite-area hyperbolic surfaces*, second ed., *Prog. Math.*, vol. 318, Birkhäuser/Springer, [Cham], 2016.

- [Bor20] Charles Bordenave, *A new proof of Friedman’s second eigenvalue theorem and its extension to random lifts*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **53** (2020), no. 6, 1393–1439 (English).
- [Buc99] Artur Buchholz, *Norm of convolution by operator-valued functions on free groups*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **127** (1999), no. 6, 1671–1682 (en).
- [But98] Jack Button, *All Fuchsian Schottky groups are classical Schottky groups*, The Epstein Birthday Schrift Dedicated to David Epstein on the Occasion of his 60th Birthday, Warwick: University of Warwick, Institute of Mathematics, 1998, pp. 117–125.
- [CDM07] M. Capitaine and C. Donati-Martin, *Strong asymptotic freeness for Wigner and Wishart matrices*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), no. 2, 767–803 (English).
- [CM14] Benoît Collins and Camille Male, *The strong asymptotic freeness of Haar and deterministic matrices*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **47** (2014), no. 1, 147–163 (English).
- [CM23] Irving Calderón and Michael Magee, *Explicit spectral gaps for Schottky groups contained in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$* , Preliminary version (2023).
- [dLS09] Mikael de la Salle, *Strong Haagerup inequalities with operator coefficients*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 12, 3968–4002 (English).
- [Fri03] Joel Friedman, *Relative expanders or weakly relatively Ramanujan graphs.*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), no. 1, 19–35 (English).
- [Fri08] ———, *A proof of Alon’s second eigenvalue conjecture and related problems*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc., vol. 910, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2008 (English).
- [Gam02] Alex Gamburd, *On the spectral gap for infinite index “congruence” subgroups of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$* , Isr. J. Math. **127** (2002), 157–200.
- [GLMST21] Clifford Gilmore, Etienne Le Masson, Tuomas Sahlsten, and Joe Thomas, *Short geodesic loops and L^p norms of eigenfunctions on large genus random surfaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **31** (2021), no. 1, 62–110 (English).
- [GZ95] Laurent Guillopé and Maciej Zworski, *Upper bounds on the number of resonances for non-compact Riemann surfaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **129** (1995), no. 2, 364–389 (English).
- [GZ97] ———, *Scattering asymptotics for Riemann surfaces*, Ann. Math. (2) **145** (1997), no. 3, 597–660 (English).
- [Haa79] Uffe Haagerup, *An example of a non nuclear C^* -algebra, which has the metric approximation property*, Invent. Math. **50** (1979), 279–293 (English).
- [HM23] Will Hide and Michael Magee, *Near optimal spectral gaps for hyperbolic surfaces*, Ann. Math. (2) **198** (2023), no. 2, 791–824 (English).
- [HT05] Uffe Haagerup and Steen Thorbjørnsen, *A new application of random matrices: $\text{Ext}(C_{red}^*(F_2))$ is not a group*, Ann. Math. (2) **162** (2005), no. 2, 711–775 (English).
- [JN12] Dmitry Jakobson and Frédéric Naud, *On the critical line of convex co-compact hyperbolic surfaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **22** (2012), no. 2, 352–368 (English).
- [JNS20] Dmitry Jakobson, Frédéric Naud, and Louis Soares, *Large degree covers and sharp resonances of hyperbolic surfaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **70** (2020), no. 2, 523–596 (English).
- [LP82] Peter D. Lax and Ralph S. Phillips, *The asymptotic distribution of lattice points in Euclidean and non-Euclidean spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **46** (1982), no. 3, 280–350. MR 661875
- [LW24] Michael Lipnowski and Alex Wright, *Towards optimal spectral gaps in large genus*, Ann. Probab. **52** (2024), no. 2, 545–575 (English).
- [Mir13] Maryam Mirzakhani, *Growth of Weil-Petersson volumes and random hyperbolic surface of large genus*, J. Differ. Geom. **94** (2013), no. 2, 267–300 (English).
- [MM87] Rafe R. Mazzeo and Richard B. Melrose, *Meromorphic extension of the resolvent on complete spaces with asymptotically constant negative curvature*, J. Funct. Anal. **75** (1987), no. 2, 260–310.
- [MN20] Michael Magee and Frédéric Naud, *Explicit spectral gaps for random covers of Riemann surfaces*, Publications Mathématiques. Institut de Hautes Études Scientifiques **132** (2020), 137–179. MR 4179833
- [MNP22] Michael Magee, Frédéric Naud, and Doron Puder, *A random cover of a compact hyperbolic surface has relative spectral gap $\frac{3}{16} - \varepsilon$* , Geom. Funct. Anal. **32** (2022), no. 3, 595–661 (English).

- [Mon22] Laura Monk, *Benjamini-Schramm convergence and spectra of random hyperbolic surfaces of high genus*, Anal. PDE **15** (2022), no. 3, 727–752 (English).
- [MOW19] Michael Magee, Hee Oh, and Dale Winter, *Uniform congruence counting for Schottky semigroups in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, with appendix by Jean Bourgain, Alex Kontorovich, and Michael Magee*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **2019** (2019), no. 753, 89–135.
- [MP23] Michael Magee and Doron Puder, *The asymptotic statistics of random covering surfaces*, Forum Math. Pi **11** (2023), 51 (English), Id/No e15.
- [MT23] Michael Magee and Joe Thomas, *Strongly convergent unitary representations of right-angled artin groups*, 2023.
- [Nau05] Frédéric Naud, *Expanding maps on Cantor sets and analytic continuation of zeta functions*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **38** (2005), no. 1, 116–153 (English).
- [Nil91] A. Nilli, *On the second eigenvalue of a graph*, Discrete Math. **91** (1991), no. 2, 207–210 (English).
- [OW15] Hee Oh and Dale Winter, *Uniform exponential mixing and resonance free regions for convex cocompact congruence subgroups of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$* , J. Am. Math. Soc. **29** (2015), no. 4, 1069–1115.
- [Pat76] Samuel J. Patterson, *The limit set of a Fuchsian group*, Acta Math. **136** (1976), no. 3-4, 241–273.
- [Pud15] Doron Puder, *Expansion of random graphs: new proofs, new results*, Invent. Math. **201** (2015), no. 3, 845–908 (English).
- [Sar14] Peter Sarnak, *Notes on thin matrix groups*, Thin groups and superstrong approximation. Selected papers based on the presentations at the workshop held at MSRI, Berkeley, CA, USA, February 6–10, 2012, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014, pp. 343–362 (English).
- [Sch05] Hanne Schultz, *Non-commutative polynomials of independent Gaussian random matrices. The real and symplectic cases.*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **131** (2005), no. 2, 261–309 (English).
- [WX22] Yunhui Wu and Yuhao Xue, *Random hyperbolic surfaces of large genus have first eigenvalues greater than $\frac{3}{16} - \epsilon$* , Geom. Funct. Anal. **32** (2022), no. 2, 340–410 (English).
- [Zwo17] Maciej Zworski, *Mathematical study of scattering resonances*, Bull. Math. Sci. **7** (2017), no. 1, 1–85 (English).