

# Gravitational-wave observations and primordial black holes

Francesco Iacovelli and Michele Maggiore

**Abstract** Gravitational-wave observations have the potential of allowing the identification of a population of merging primordial black-hole binaries. We provide an overview of the capabilities of present and future GW detectors, with a special emphasis on the perspective for observing quantities that are signatures of a primordial origin.

In this chapter we discuss the perspectives for observing PBHs and studying their properties, both individually and as a population, with current and future GW detectors.


In GW physics the last decade has been marked by the extraordinary results of the LIGO-Virgo Collaboration. The first detection of a BBH coalescence took place on Sept. 14th, 2015 [1], and was a milestone in science. Another milestone was the observation, on Aug. 17, 2017, of the first binary neutron star coalescence [2], and the detection and follow-up of the source in all bands of the electromagnetic spectrum [3]. Nowadays, after three observing runs, the catalog of observed compact binary coalescences contains about 90 BBH coalescences, two NSBH binaries and two BNS [4, 5]. In the currently ongoing fourth observing run, one candidate event every few days has been announced [6]. Many different fields have already been significantly impacted by these discoveries: we now have a much better understanding of the population properties of compact binaries (see, e.g. ref. [7]), we start to have first constraints on deviations from GR, as well as on the expansion history of the Universe (see, e.g. ref. [8, 9]), while the multi-messenger observation of the binary neutron-star system GW170817 and of its electromagnetic counterpart [3, 10] had important implications in relativistic astrophysics, nuclear physics, nucleosynthesis in the Universe, and cosmology.

---

Francesco Iacovelli e-mail: Francesco.Iacovelli@unige.ch

Michele Maggiore e-mail: Michele.Maggiore@unige.ch

Département de Physique Théorique, Université de Genève, 24 quai Ernest Ansermet, 1211 Genève 4, Switzerland, and Gravitational Wave Science Center (GWSC), Université de Genève, CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland




**Fig. 1** Noise amplitude spectral densities for: the current second generation detectors LIGO and Virgo achieved during the O3 observing run; the prospects for LIGO in the so-called A# configuration; ET in the triangular configuration with 10 km arms (rescaling the spectral density by a factor  $2/3$  to take into account the three nested interferometers [17]); a single L-shaped interferometer (part of a 2L configuration of ET) with 15 km arms; CE with 20 km and with 40 km long arms.

While the results of second-generation (2G) detectors such as LIGO, Virgo and KAGRA have marked the beginning of the GW astronomy era, a new generation (3G) of ground-based detectors is currently under development. In particular, the European flagship project is Einstein Telescope (ET) [11, 12, 13], while the US community is developing the Cosmic Explorer project [14, 15]. A discussion of the ET Science Case can be found in [16], while a recent comprehensive study of the prospects for CBC observations and characterization at ET can be found in [17]; the perspective for multi-messenger observations with ET are discussed in detail in [18]; for CE and for a more general discussion of 3G detectors see also [19, 20]. More recently, the whole science case of ET has been refined and evaluated with respect to different possible choices of design, including different options for the geometry (a single-site detector with nested interferometer in a triangular configuration, versus two L-shaped interferometers) and different sensitivity curves [21].

Fig. 1 shows the strain sensitivities that were reached by LIGO and Virgo during the O3 run. As an example of the potential of the second-generation LIGO and Virgo instruments, when pushed to their limit, we show a representative sensitivity for the so-called A# stage of the LIGO detectors. We compare these sensitivities with those expected for ET (in the two configurations currently under consideration, i.e. a triangle with 10 km arms and a 2L with 15 km arms) and CE (considering both a detector with 20 km arms and one with 40 km arms). The corresponding detection horizons, for equal-mass spinless binaries, are shown in Fig. 2.

From the point of view of PBHs the following aspects, in the jump from 2G to 3G detectors, are noteworthy.




**Fig. 2** Redshift horizons for equal-mass spinless binaries at: LIGO and Virgo during the O3 observing run; LIGO in the A# configuration; ET both in the triangular configuration with 10 km arms and as two L-shaped detector with 15 km arms at  $45^\circ$ ; CE in a configuration consisting of two L-shaped instruments one with 40 km long arms and the other of 20 km long arms.

1. The increased reach in distance. This is particularly significant for identifying a PBH population because, even if the redshift at which the first stars formed is not precisely known, theoretical calculations and cosmological simulations suggest that the redshift at which Pop III remnant BBHs merge is below  $z \sim 30$  (see [22, 23] and refs. therein). In contrast, stellar-mass PBHs have a merger rate density that increases monotonically with redshift, up to  $z \sim 10^3$  and beyond [24]. While current detectors have a reach where astrophysical BH dominates, we see from the figure that 3G detectors reach redshift as high as  $z \sim 50$  (and even  $z \sim 100$  for the optimal combinations of masses and orientation), where the contamination from astrophysical BH binary mergers is expected to be negligible.

Reaching a great distance, however, is not yet sufficient to have a smoking-gun signature of a primordial origin. It is also necessary to be able to reconstruct the redshift with an error sufficiently small, so that the support of the posterior at  $z$  smaller than a critical value, such as  $z_c = 30$ , is negligible. This issue was studied in [23, 25, 26], where it is found that individual identification of PBHs based on the condition  $z > 30$  is possible but challenging, and in any case requires a network of 3G detectors including ET and one or two CE.

2. The significant improvement in sensitivity of 3G detectors implies a corresponding improvement in the parameter estimation of coalescing binaries. From the point of view of PBHs, particularly relevant are the orbit eccentricity, and the spins of the binary components [31]. The left panel of Fig. 3 shows the improvement in the reconstruction of  $\chi_{1,z}$  and  $\chi_{2,z}$ , the components of the spin parallel to the orbital angular momentum, for the two component stars (we use the label 1 to refer to the heavier star and 2 to the lighter star), assuming a population of PBHs as in [28] based



**Fig. 3** *Left panel*: cumulative distribution of the errors on the PBH binary spin parameters obtained with the gwFAST Fisher code [17, 27] for the population used in [28] and based on [29]. We report the results for two ET configurations (10 km triangle and 15 km 2L at 45°) both alone and in a network with 2 CE. *Right panel*: Relative error on the eccentricity parameter  $e_0$  at  $f_{e_0} = 10$  Hz for a  $M_{\text{tot}} = 20 M_{\odot}$  spinless binary at 100 Mpc as a function of  $e_0$ . We compare the performance of aLIGO in the A# configuration, ET in the triangular and 2L configurations and 2 CE.


on the results in [29], while the right panel shows the accuracy on the eccentricity for a source at a fixed distance  $d_L = 100$  Mpc and total source-frame mass  $20M_{\odot}$ .

3. A possible smoking gun signature for PBH is a source-frame mass in the sub-solar range. Fig. 2 shows that, in this regime, even LIGO-A# cannot go significantly beyond our local Universe. In contrast, ET or CE can reach cosmological distances, up to  $z \sim 1 - 2$  for a total mass of  $1M_{\odot}$  and  $z \sim 2 - 5$  for a total mass of  $2M_{\odot}$ .


Finally, Fig. 4 show the sensitivity to stochastic background energy fraction  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}$ , as expressed by the power-law integrated sensitivity curve [30]. We see that 3G detector gain two orders of magnitudes even with respect to LIGO-A#. The consequences for PBHs will be developed in a different section

The future will also be marked by pioneering experiments in completely different frequency bands as compared to the ones mentioned above, providing a new perspective on the universe.

The milliHz band will be explored by the space-based European Space Agency experiment LISA [37] that with its three spacecraft arranged in a triangular configuration at million-km distance could collect signals from a large variety of sources: galactic binaries observations will allow to map the Milky Way through gravitational waves, giving valuable information on the formation of such systems and their evolution through gravitational and tidal dissipation; observing the inspiral and merger of massive and supermassive BHs through cosmic time will help shedding light on their origin and evolution, and also allow us to put constraints on the expansion history of the Universe; detections of extreme mass ratio inspirals of stellar-origin BHs into massive BHs at the center of galaxies are an extremely promising way




**Fig. 4** Power-law integrated sensitivity curves to a stochastic background of GWs  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}$  [30] obtained for: LIGO and Virgo during the O3 observing run; LIGO in the A# configuration; ET both in the triangular configuration with 10 km arms and as as two parallel L-shaped detector with 15 km arms; CE in a configuration consisting of two L-shaped instruments one with 40 km long arms and the other of 20 km long arms. The curves are scaled by  $h = H_0/100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  and obtained assuming an observation time of 1 yr and an SNR of 1.



**Fig. 5** *Left panel*: Noise amplitude spectral densities for: LISA assuming an arm length of  $2.5 \times 10^9 \text{ km}$  and two data channels [32]; LGWA [33, 34]; B-DECIGO [35, 36]. *Right panel*: Redshift horizon for equal-mass spinless binaries at LISA assuming a mission lifetime of 4 years.

to map with exquisite precision the gravitational field around these gargantuan objects and study their environments; multiband of stellar-origin BHs in LISA and ground-based detectors would complement each other, giving e.g. the possibility to disentangle formation channels thanks to eccentricity measurements; the detection of stochastic backgrounds of cosmological origin generated that can be generated by several processes in the early universe can give extremely valuable information on the first instants after the Big Bang [38]. In Fig. 5 we report the sensitivity curve (left panel) and detection horizon for equal-mass spinless binaries (right panel) for



**Fig. 6** Effective characteristic strain noise to a stochastic GW background source for the NANOGrAV 15-yr PTA data and corresponding power-law integrated sensitivity curve [30] obtained as in [43, 44].

the LISA detector, again noticing the considerable reach in terms of distance in a different mass range, giving e.g. the possibility to observe PBH binaries in a broad mass range out to extremely high redshift.

The frequency gap between LISA and ground-based detectors such as ET and CE could be covered by proposed experiments such as the Lunar Gravitational Wave Antenna (LGWA) [33], that aims at using the Moon as a GW-detector, monitoring with seismometers the vibrations of the Moon; by experiments based on atom interferometry such as MIGA [39], VLBAI [40] and AION [41] (see also [42] for review); and by the space interferometer DECIGO currently under study [36]. As an example, the sensitivity of LGWA and the so-called B-DECIGO are reported in Fig. 5.

In a different frequency band, corresponding to the nanoHz, another exciting result came out in mid-2023 from pulsar timing arrays (PTAs): several experiments monitoring the arrival times of pulses emitted by galactic millisecond pulsars and searching for the correlation induced by GWs, such as the European Pulsar Timing Array (EPTA) and NANOGrAV in North America, reported evidence for the presence of a stochastic background of GWs [45, 46, 47, 48], in data streams spanning longer than a decade. While the origin of this signal has still to be understood, a natural candidate is offered by the incoherent superposition of signals from the inspiral of supermassive BH binaries. In Fig. 6 we report the effective characteristic strain noise for the data-release of 15 years of observations from the NANOGrAV collaboration in the search for a stochastic signal, and the corresponding power-law integrated sensitivity curve. Thanks to more data being collected and new facilities with outstanding accuracy expected to become available, such as the Square

Kilometre Array (SKA), the accuracy of PTA experiments is expected to greatly raise in the future.

## References

1. B. P. Abbott et al. Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116(6):061102, 2016.
2. B. P. Abbott et al. GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 119(16):161101, 2017.
3. B. P. Abbott et al. Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 848(2):L12, 2017.
4. R. Abbott et al. GWTC-2: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo During the First Half of the Third Observing Run. *Phys. Rev. X*, 11:021053, 2021.
5. R. Abbott et al. GWTC-3: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo during the Second Part of the Third Observing Run. *Phys. Rev. X*, 13(4):041039, 2023.
6. LIGO Scientific Collaboration. GRACEDB. <https://gracedb.ligo.org>.
7. R. Abbott et al. Population of Merging Compact Binaries Inferred Using Gravitational Waves through GWTC-3. *Phys. Rev. X*, 13(1):011048, 2023.
8. R. Abbott et al. Tests of General Relativity with GWTC-3. 12 2021.
9. R. Abbott et al. Constraints on the Cosmic Expansion History from GWTC-3. *Astrophys. J.*, 949(2):76, 2023.
10. B. P. Abbott et al. Gravitational Waves and Gamma-rays from a Binary Neutron Star Merger: GW170817 and GRB 170817A. *Astrophys. J.*, 848:L13, 2017.
11. Stefan Hild, Simon Chelkowski, and Andreas Freise. Pushing towards the ET sensitivity using 'conventional' technology. 2008.
12. M. Punturo et al. The Einstein Telescope: A third-generation gravitational wave observatory. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 27:194002, 2010.
13. S. Hild et al. Sensitivity Studies for Third-Generation Gravitational Wave Observatories. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 28:094013, 2011.
14. David Reitze et al. Cosmic Explorer: The U.S. Contribution to Gravitational-Wave Astronomy beyond LIGO. *Bull. Am. Astron. Soc.*, 51(7):035, 2019.
15. Matthew Evans et al. A Horizon Study for Cosmic Explorer: Science, Observatories, and Community. 9 2021.
16. Michele Maggiore et al. Science Case for the Einstein Telescope. *JCAP*, 2003:050, 2020.
17. Francesco Iacovelli, Michele Mancarella, Stefano Foffa, and Michele Maggiore. Forecasting the detection capabilities of third-generation gravitational-wave detectors using GWFAST. *Astrophys. J.*, 941(2):208, 2022.
18. Samuele Ronchini, Marica Branchesi, Gor Oganessian, Biswajit Banerjee, Ulyana Dupletsa, Giancarlo Ghirlanda, Jan Harms, Michela Mapelli, and Filippo Santoliquido. Perspectives for multimessenger astronomy with the next generation of gravitational-wave detectors and high-energy satellites. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 665:A97, 2022.
19. Ssohrab Borhanian and B. S. Sathyaprakash. Listening to the Universe with Next Generation Ground-Based Gravitational-Wave Detectors. 2 2022.
20. Vicky Kalogera et al. The Next Generation Global Gravitational Wave Observatory: The Science Book. 11 2021.
21. Marica Branchesi et al. Science with the Einstein Telescope: a comparison of different designs. *JCAP*, 07:068, 2023.
22. Ken K. Y. Ng, Salvatore Vitale, Will M. Farr, and Carl L. Rodriguez. Probing multiple populations of compact binaries with third-generation gravitational-wave detectors. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 913(1):L5, 2021.

23. Ken K. Y. Ng, Shiqi Chen, Boris Goncharov, Ulyana Dupletsa, Ssohrab Borhanian, Marica Branchesi, Jan Harms, Michele Maggiore, B. S. Sathyaprakash, and Salvatore Vitale. On the Single-event-based Identification of Primordial Black Hole Mergers at Cosmological Distances. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 931(1):L12, 2022.
24. Martti Raidal, Christian Spethmann, Ville Vaskonen, and Hardi Veermäe. Formation and Evolution of Primordial Black Hole Binaries in the Early Universe. *JCAP*, 02:018, 2019.
25. Ken K. Y. Ng et al. Measuring properties of primordial black hole mergers at cosmological distances: Effect of higher order modes in gravitational waves. *Phys. Rev. D*, 107(2):024041, 2023.
26. Michele Mancarella, Francesco Iacovelli, and Davide Gerosa. Inferring, not just detecting: Metrics for high-redshift sources observed with third-generation gravitational-wave detectors. *Phys. Rev. D*, 107(10):L101302, 2023.
27. Francesco Iacovelli, Michele Mancarella, Stefano Foffa, and Michele Maggiore. GWFAST: a Fisher information matrix Python code for third-generation gravitational-wave detectors. *Astrophys. J. Suppl.*, 263:2, 2022.
28. Gabriele Franciolini, Francesco Iacovelli, Michele Mancarella, Michele Maggiore, Paolo Pani, and Antonio Riotto. Searching for primordial black holes with the Einstein Telescope: Impact of design and systematics. *Phys. Rev. D*, 108(4):043506, 2023.
29. Gabriele Franciolini, Ilija Musco, Paolo Pani, and Alfredo Urbano. From inflation to black hole mergers and back again: Gravitational-wave data-driven constraints on inflationary scenarios with a first-principle model of primordial black holes across the QCD epoch. *Phys. Rev. D*, 106(12):123526, 2022.
30. Eric Thrane and Joseph D. Romano. Sensitivity curves for searches for gravitational-wave backgrounds. *Phys. Rev. D*, 88(12):124032, 2013.
31. Gabriele Franciolini, Roberto Cotesta, Nicholas Loutrel, Emanuele Berti, Paolo Pani, and Antonio Riotto. How to assess the primordial origin of single gravitational-wave events with mass, spin, eccentricity, and deformability measurements. *Phys. Rev. D*, 105(6):063510, 2022.
32. Travis Robson, Neil J. Cornish, and Chang Liu. The construction and use of LISA sensitivity curves. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 36(10):105011, 2019.
33. Jan Harms et al. Lunar Gravitational-wave Antenna. *Astrophys. J.*, 910(1):1, 2021.
34. Ulyana Dupletsa, Jan Harms, Biswajit Banerjee, Marica Branchesi, Boris Goncharov, Andrea Maselli, Ana Carolina Silva Oliveira, Samuele Ronchini, and Jacopo Tissino. gwfish: A simulation software to evaluate parameter-estimation capabilities of gravitational-wave detector networks. *Astron. Comput.*, 42:100671, 2023.
35. Takashi Nakamura et al. Pre-DECIGO can get the smoking gun to decide the astrophysical or cosmological origin of GW150914-like binary black holes. *PTEP*, 2016(9):093E01, 2016.
36. Seiji Kawamura et al. Current status of space gravitational wave antenna DECIGO and B-DECIGO. *PTEP*, 2021(5):05A105, 2021.
37. Pau Amaro-Seoane et al. Laser Interferometer Space Antenna. 2 2017.
38. Pierre Auclair et al. Cosmology with the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna. *Living Rev. Rel.*, 26(1):5, 2023.
39. B. Canuel et al. Exploring gravity with the MIGA large scale atom interferometer. *Sci. Rep.*, 8:2689, 2018.
40. Dennis Schlippert, C Meiners, RJ Rengelink, C Schubert, D Tell, É Wodey, KH Zipfel, W Ertmer, and EM Rasel. Matter-wave interferometry for inertial sensing and tests of fundamental physics. In *Proceedings of the Eighth Meeting on CPT and Lorentz Symmetry*, pages 37–40. World Scientific, 2020.
41. L. Badurina et al. AION: An Atom Interferometer Observatory and Network. *JCAP*, 05:011, 2020.
42. *Terrestrial Very-Long-Baseline Atom Interferometry: Workshop Summary*, 10 2023.
43. Gabriella Agazie et al. The NANOGrav 15 yr Data Set: Detector Characterization and Noise Budget. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 951(1):L10, 2023.
44. Jeffrey S. Hazboun, Joseph D. Romano, and Tristan L. Smith. Realistic sensitivity curves for pulsar timing arrays. *Phys. Rev. D*, 100(10):104028, 2019.



45. Gabriella Agazie et al. The NANOGrav 15 yr Data Set: Evidence for a Gravitational-wave Background. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 951(1):L8, 2023.
46. J. Antoniadis et al. The second data release from the European Pulsar Timing Array - III. Search for gravitational wave signals. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 678:A50, 2023.
47. Daniel J. Reardon et al. Search for an Isotropic Gravitational-wave Background with the Parkes Pulsar Timing Array. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 951(1):L6, 2023.
48. Heng Xu et al. Searching for the Nano-Hertz Stochastic Gravitational Wave Background with the Chinese Pulsar Timing Array Data Release I. *Res. Astron. Astrophys.*, 23(7):075024, 2023.