

The core-EP inverse: A numerical approach for its acute perturbation

Mengmeng Zhou,^{*} Jianlong Chen,[†] Néstor Thome[‡]

Abstract: This paper studies the concept of stable perturbation $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ for the core-EP inverse of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with index k . For a given stable perturbation B of A , explicit expressions of its core-EP inverse B^{\oplus} and its projection at zero B^{π} are presented. Then, the perturbation bounds of $\|B^{\oplus} - A^{\oplus}\| / \|A^{\oplus}\|$ and $\|B^{\pi} - A^{\pi}\|$ are given provided that B is a stable perturbation of A . In addition, we investigate the concept of acute perturbation of A . We give a perturbation analysis with respect to core-EP inverses. We provide a condition under which the acute perturbation coincides with the stable perturbation for core-EP inverses.

Key words: Core inverse; Core-EP inverse; Stable perturbation; Acute perturbation.
AMS subject classifications: 15A09, 65F20.

1 Introduction

The set of all $m \times n$ complex matrices will be denoted by $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, the notations $R(A)$, $N(A)$, $\text{rk}(A)$ and A^* stand for the range space, the null space, the rank and the conjugate transpose of A , respectively. The identity matrix of an appropriate order is denoted by I . The symbols $\|\cdot\|$ and $\rho(\cdot)$ denote the spectral norm and spectral radius, respectively.

The (unique) matrix $A^{\dagger} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ satisfying the following four equations [21]

$$AA^{\dagger}A = A, \quad A^{\dagger}AA^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}, \quad (AA^{\dagger})^* = AA^{\dagger}, \quad (A^{\dagger}A)^* = A^{\dagger}A,$$

is called the Moore-Penrose inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. The (unique) matrix $A^D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is called the Drazin inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ if it satisfies the following three equations [8]

$$A^{k+1}A^D = A^k, \quad A^D AA^D = A^D, \quad AA^D = A^D A, \quad \text{for some integer } k.$$

If A is singular and k is the smallest positive integer such that $\text{rk}(A^{k+1}) = \text{rk}(A^k)$ holds, then k is called the index of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and denoted by $\text{ind}(A)$. When $\text{ind}(A) = 1$, the Drazin inverse is called the group inverse and denoted by $A^{\#}$.

The core-EP inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k$ is the unique matrix $A^{\oplus} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfying the following three equations [10, 17]

$$A^{\oplus} A^{k+1} = A^k, \quad A(A^{\oplus})^2 = A^{\oplus}, \quad (AA^{\oplus})^* = AA^{\oplus}.$$

^{*}Mengmeng Zhou (E-mail: mmz9209@163.com): College of Information Engineering, Nanjing Xiaozhuang University, Nanjing 211171, China

[†]Jianlong Chen (E-mail: jlchen@seu.edu.cn): School of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

[‡]Néstor Thome (Corresponding author Email: njthome@mat.upv.es): Instituto Universitario de Matemática Multidisciplinar, Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia 46022, Spain

We denote $A^\pi := I - AA^\dagger$. By definition of the core-EP inverse, it is known that $(A^\pi)^2 = A^\pi = (A^\pi)^*$. That is, A^π is an orthogonal projector. If $k = 1$, then the core-EP inverse is reduced to the core inverse of A and denoted by $A^\#$ [2, 33], i.e., the core inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = 1$ is the unique matrix $A^\# \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfying the following three equations

$$A^\# A^2 = A, \quad A(A^\#)^2 = A^\#, \quad (AA^\#)^* = AA^\#.$$

Some publications related to the core-EP inverse are [9, 18–20, 26, 37, 38].

In 1973, Wedin [27] introduced the concept of acute perturbation of the Moore-Penrose inverse. Let $A, E \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B = A + E$. The matrix B is an acute perturbation with respect to the Moore-Penrose inverse if

$$\|BB^\dagger - AA^\dagger\| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|B^\dagger B - A^\dagger A\| < 1,$$

in which case, A and B are acute. In 1990, Stewart et al. [24] proved that $\|BB^\dagger - AA^\dagger\| < 1$ if and only if

$$R(A) \cap N(B^*) = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad R(B) \cap N(A^*) = \{0\}.$$

Many papers have focused on investigating explicit expressions for the Drazin inverse, providing the related norm upper bounds based on these various formulas and giving the corresponding error estimations [5, 6, 11, 12, 15, 23, 29–31, 35, 36]. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k$ and $\text{ind}(B) = s$. Xu et al. [32] defined that B is a stable perturbation of A with respect to the Drazin inverse if B satisfies the condition (C_s) : $R(A^k) \cap N(B^s) = \{0\}$ and $R(B^s) \cap N(A^k) = \{0\}$. In [28], Wei introduced the concept of acute perturbation with respect to the group inverse. That is, B is an acute perturbation of A with respect to the group inverse if $\|B - A\|$ is small and the spectral radius $\rho(BB^\# - AA^\#) < 1$. The author proved that the acute perturbation concept coincides with that of stable perturbation of the group inverse if condition (C_1) holds. Furthermore, Qiao et al. [22] considered the concept of acute perturbation with respect to the Drazin inverse and oblique projectors (For more details on spectral projectors and generalized inverses see [1, 3, 7, 25]). They presented an example to show that the spectral radius is a better choice than the spectral norm with respect to the Drazin inverse (group inverse). They also proved that B is an acute perturbation of A if and only if B satisfies the condition (C_s) . Perturbation analysis involving generalized inverses, projections, their applications to the study of linear systems, and related problems has been studied in the literature from different points of view as we can see in the following references [4, 34].

Recently, Ma [14] studied optimal perturbation bounds of core inverses. Moreover, Ma et al. [16] investigated optimal perturbation bounds of core-EP inverses, generalizing the results in [14]. Ma [13] also pointed out the difficulty involved in investigations on stable or acute perturbations for weighted core-EP inverses. For that, for a given matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, it is necessary to consider, among other things, the influence of the weighted matrix $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ on the index of AW and WA , the conditions under which the weighted core-EP inverse satisfies a stable perturbation, and the solution to one of its stable perturbations. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k$ and $\text{ind}(B) = s$. Zhou et al. [39] characterized a class of matrices related to the core-EP inverse under the condition that:

$$(C_{s,*}) \quad R(A^k) \cap N((B^s)^*) = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad R(B^s) \cap N((A^k)^*) = \{0\}.$$

When the condition $(C_{s,*})$ holds, they proved that $I + (L_B - A)A^\dagger$ is nonsingular and presented the explicit expression of B^\dagger , where $L_B = B^2B^\dagger$. The upper bound of $\|B^\dagger - A^\dagger\| / \|A^\dagger\|$ was given under the assumption that $(C_{s,*})$ is satisfied and

$$\max\{\|(L_B - A)A^\dagger\|, \|(A^\dagger)^*(L_B - A^*)\|\} < \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\|A^\pi\|}}.$$

Motivated by above discussion, we introduce the notion of the stable perturbation and the acute perturbation with respect to the core-EP inverse (separately) when the condition $(C_{s,*})$ is satisfied. Then, we investigate the relationship between them.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminary lemmas. In Section 3, we define the stable perturbation of the core-EP inverse and present equivalent characterization of the stable perturbation according to the results in [39]. Moreover, we obtain new expressions for B^\dagger and B^π under condition of stable perturbation. In addition, the upper bounds for $\|B^\dagger - A^\dagger\| / \|A^\dagger\|$ and $\|B^\pi - A^\pi\|$ are obtained, respectively. In Section 4, we introduce the acute perturbation for the core-EP inverse. Then, some characterizations of the acute perturbation are presented. Moreover, sufficient and necessary conditions for the acute perturbation of the core-EP inverse are derived. An numerical example is provided to illustrate the validity of the acute perturbation for the core-EP inverse. In Section 5, we show that the perturbation results in [14, 16] are acute perturbations.

2 Preliminaries

Let $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(B) = s$. We write $L_B := B^2B^\dagger$. By [9], we have $\text{rk}(L_B) = \text{rk}(B^s)$. Next, some auxiliary lemmas are given.

Lemma 2.1. [26] (*Core-EP decomposition*) *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k$. Then A can be uniquely written as $A = A_1 + A_2$, where*

- (i) $\text{ind}(A_1) \leq 1$;
- (ii) $A_2^k = 0$;
- (iii) $A_1^*A_2 = A_2A_1 = 0$.

Moreover, there exists a unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$A_1 = U \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^*, \quad A_2 = U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} U^*,$$

where $T \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ is nonsingular, N is nilpotent and $\text{rk}(A^k) = r$.

For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ being as in Lemma 2.1, it is known [26] that

$$A^\dagger = U \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^*, \quad A^\pi = U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} U^*.$$

Lemma 2.2. [10] *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) $AA^{\dagger} = A^m(A^{\dagger})^m$, for arbitrary positive integer m ;
- (ii) $A^{\dagger} = A^D A^k (A^k)^{\dagger}$;
- (iii) $(A^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = (A^{\dagger})^{\#} = A^2 A^{\dagger}$;
- (iv) $((A^{\dagger})^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}$.

Lemma 2.3. [39] *Let $B_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ be nonsingular and let $P \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ be arbitrary matrices. Then, the matrix $W := \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_1 P \\ QB_1 & QB_1 P \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(m+n) \times (m+n)}$ is core invertible if and only if $I + PQ$ is nonsingular. In this case,*

$$W^{\#} = \begin{pmatrix} ((I + Q^*Q)B_1(I + PQ))^{-1} & ((I + Q^*Q)B_1(I + PQ))^{-1}Q^* \\ Q((I + Q^*Q)B_1(I + PQ))^{-1} & Q((I + Q^*Q)B_1(I + PQ))^{-1}Q^* \end{pmatrix},$$

$$WW^{\#} = \begin{pmatrix} (I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & (I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$W^{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} I - (I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & -(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ -Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & I - Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

3 Stable perturbation of the core-EP inverse

In this section, let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k > 0$. For any $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(B) = s$, let $L_B = B^2 B^{\dagger}$. If $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfies condition $(C_{s,*})$, by [39, Lemma 3.1], it is known that $I + (A^{\dagger})^*(L_B - A^*)$ and $I + (L_B - A)A^{\dagger}$ are nonsingular.

Firstly, we give the definition and equivalent conditions of the stable perturbation

Definition 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. A matrix $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is said to be a stable perturbation of A with respect to the core-EP inverse (in short, stable perturbation) if $I - (B^{\pi} - A^{\pi})^2$ is nonsingular.*

Now, we present a characterization of stable perturbations.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k > 0$. Then the following conditions on $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(B) = s$ are equivalent:*

- (i) B is a stable perturbation of A ;
- (ii) L_B is a stable perturbation of A ;
- (iii) $\text{rk}(B^s) = \text{rk}(A^k) = \text{rk}((A^k)^* L_B A^k)$;
- (iv) B satisfies condition $(C_{s,*})$;
- (v) $I + (L_B - A)A^{\dagger}$ is nonsingular, $A^{\pi}(I + (L_B - A)A^{\dagger})^{-1}L_B = 0$;

(vi) If A is written as in Lemma 2.1, then L_B has the following matrix form:

$$L_B = U \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_1 P \\ QB_1 & QB_1 P \end{pmatrix} U^*,$$

for some matrices B_1 , P and Q such that B_1 and $I + PQ$ are nonsingular;

(vii) $\text{rk}(B^s) = \text{rk}(A^k)$, $I + (L_B - A)A^\oplus$ is nonsingular.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) : From Lemma 2.2 and $L_B = B^2 B^\oplus$, we have $L_B(L_B)^\oplus = BB^\oplus$. So, by Definition 3.1, we obtain $I - (B^\pi - A^\pi)^2$ is nonsingular if and only if $I - (L_B^\pi - A^\pi)^2$ is nonsingular.

By Definition 3.1 and [39, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2], we know that (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) \Leftrightarrow (v) \Leftrightarrow (vi) \Leftrightarrow (vii). \square

Remark 3.3. According to the proof of [39, Theorem 4.2], we know that L_B depends on the choice of B and not on the choice of B^\oplus in Lemma 3.2.

Next, we give characterizations of the core-EP inverse when B is a stable perturbation of A . We denote

$$E_B = L_B - A, \quad F_B = L_B - A^*, \quad Y = (I + (A^\oplus)^* F_B)^{-1} (A^\oplus)^* F_B A^\pi$$

and

$$Z = A^\pi E_B A^\oplus (I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1}.$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k > 0$. If $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is a stable perturbation of A with $\text{ind}(B) = s$, then $I + YZ$ is nonsingular.

Proof. Suppose that A is written as in Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 3.2 (i) and (vi), we have $L_B = U \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_1 P \\ QB_1 & QB_1 P \end{pmatrix} U^*$, for some matrices B_1 , P and Q such that B_1 and $I + PQ$ are nonsingular. It then follows,

$$E_B = U \begin{pmatrix} B_1 - T & B_1 P - S \\ QB_1 & QB_1 P - N \end{pmatrix} U^*, \quad F_B = U \begin{pmatrix} B_1 - T^* & B_1 P \\ QB_1 - S^* & QB_1 P - N^* \end{pmatrix} U^*,$$

$$I + E_B A^\oplus = U \begin{pmatrix} B_1 T^{-1} & 0 \\ QB_1 T^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} U^*, \quad I + (A^\oplus)^* F_B = U \begin{pmatrix} (T^{-1})^* B_1 & (T^{-1})^* B_1 P \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} U^*.$$

By a direct computation, we get that

$$(I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1} = U \begin{pmatrix} T B_1^{-1} & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} U^*, \quad (I + (A^\oplus)^* F_B)^{-1} = U \begin{pmatrix} B_1^{-1} T^* & -P \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} U^*,$$

$$Y = (I + (A^\oplus)^* F_B)^{-1} (A^\oplus)^* F_B A^\pi = U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^*,$$

$$Z = A^\pi E_B A^\oplus (I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1} = U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Q & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^*.$$

Since $I + PQ$ is nonsingular and $I + YZ = U \begin{pmatrix} I + PQ & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} U^*$, we obtain that $I + YZ$ is nonsingular. \square

From the above lemma, we obtain representations of B^\oplus in terms of A^\oplus and of B^π in terms of A^π and A^\oplus .

Theorem 3.5. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k > 0$. If $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is a stable perturbation of A with $\text{ind}(B) = s$, then*

$$B^\oplus = W_1^{-1} A^\oplus (I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1} W_2^{-1},$$

$$B^\pi = W_2 A^\pi (I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1} W_2^{-1},$$

where $W_1 = (I + YZ)(I - Z)$ with $W_1^{-1} = (I + Z)(I + YZ)^{-1}$ and $W_2 = (I - Z^*)(I + Z^*Z)$ with $W_2^{-1} = (I + Z^*Z)^{-1}(I + Z^*)$.

Proof. We know that $E_B = L_B - A$, $F_B = L_B - A^*$, $Y = (I + (A^\oplus)^* F_B)^{-1} (A^\oplus)^* F_B A^\pi$ and $Z = A^\pi E_B A^\oplus (I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1}$. Let A be as in Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 3.2, we have $L_B = U \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_1 P \\ Q B_1 & Q B_1 P \end{pmatrix} U^*$, for some matrices B_1 , P and Q such that B_1 and $I + PQ$ are nonsingular. By the proof of Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$W_1 = (I + YZ)(I - Z) = U \begin{pmatrix} I + PQ & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} U^*,$$

$$W_1^{-1} = U \begin{pmatrix} (I + PQ)^{-1} & 0 \\ Q(I + PQ)^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} U^* = (I + Z)(I + YZ)^{-1},$$

$$W_2 = (I - Z^*)(I + Z^*Z) = U \begin{pmatrix} I + Q^*Q & -Q^* \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} U^*,$$

$$W_2^{-1} = U \begin{pmatrix} (I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & (I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} U^* = (I + Z^*Z)^{-1}(I + Z^*).$$

Again, by the proof of Lemma 3.4, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^{-1} A^\oplus (I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1} W_2^{-1} &= U \begin{pmatrix} (I + PQ)^{-1} & 0 \\ Q(I + PQ)^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T B_1^{-1} & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} (I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & (I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} U^* = U \begin{pmatrix} (I + PQ)^{-1} & 0 \\ Q(I + PQ)^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \times \\ &\begin{pmatrix} B_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & (I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} U^* \\ &= U \begin{pmatrix} ((I + Q^*Q)B_1(I + PQ))^{-1} & ((I + Q^*Q)B_1(I + PQ))^{-1}Q^* \\ Q((I + Q^*Q)B_1(I + PQ))^{-1} & Q((I + Q^*Q)B_1(I + PQ))^{-1}Q^* \end{pmatrix} U^* \\ &= L_B^\oplus = B^\oplus \end{aligned}$$

and by the proof of Lemma 3.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_2 A^\pi (I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1} W_2^{-1} &= U \begin{pmatrix} I + Q^*Q & -Q^* \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T B_1^{-1} & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} (I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & (I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} U^* \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= U \begin{pmatrix} Q^*Q & -Q^* \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & (I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} U^* \\
&= U \begin{pmatrix} I - (I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & -(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ -Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & I - Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \end{pmatrix} U^* \\
&= L_B^\pi = B^\pi.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we investigate the stable perturbation bounds of the core-EP inverse. In order to simplify results, we again denote $E_B = L_B - A$, $F_B = L_B - A^*$, $Y = (I + (A^\oplus)^*F_B)^{-1}(A^\oplus)^*F_B A^\pi$ and $Z = A^\pi E_B A^\oplus (I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1}$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k > 0$ and let $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(B) = s$. If B is a stable perturbation of A , then*

$$\frac{\|B^\oplus - A^\oplus\|}{\|A^\oplus\|} \leq \frac{\|W_1^{-1}\| \|W_2^{-1}\|}{\|A^\oplus\|} (\|G_1\| + \|A^\oplus\| \|G_2\|), \quad (3.1)$$

where $G_1 = A^\oplus - (I + YZ - Z)A^\oplus(I + Z^*Z - Z^*)$ and $G_2 = (I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1} - I$.

Furthermore, if $\|Z\| < 1$ and $\|YZ\| < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|B^\oplus - A^\oplus\|}{\|A^\oplus\|} &\leq \frac{1 + \|Z\|}{\|A^\oplus\| (1 - \|Z\|)(1 - \|YZ\|)} (\|G_1\| + \|A^\oplus\| \|G_2\|) \\
&\leq \frac{1 + \|Z\|}{(1 - \|Z\|)(1 - \|YZ\|)} (1 + \alpha\beta + \|G_2\|), \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = 1 + \|Z\| + \|YZ\|$ and $\beta = 1 + \|Z\| + \|Z\|^2$.

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 3.4, we know that $Z^2 = 0$. Then $W_1 = I + YZ - Z$ and $W_2 = I + Z^*Z - Z^*$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1^{-1}A^\oplus W_2^{-1} - A^\oplus &= W_1^{-1}(A^\oplus - W_1 A^\oplus W_2)W_2^{-1} \\
&= W_1^{-1}(A^\oplus - (I + YZ - Z)A^\oplus(I + Z^*Z - Z^*))W_2^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$B^\oplus - W_1^{-1}A^\oplus W_2^{-1} = W_1^{-1}A^\oplus((I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1} - I)W_2^{-1}.$$

Denoting

$$G_1 = A^\oplus - (I + YZ - Z)A^\oplus(I + Z^*Z - Z^*)$$

and

$$G_2 = (I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1} - I,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
B^\oplus - A^\oplus &= W_1^{-1}A^\oplus W_2^{-1} + W_1^{-1}A^\oplus G_2 W_2^{-1} + W_1^{-1}G_1 W_2^{-1} - W_1^{-1}A^\oplus W_2^{-1} \\
&= W_1^{-1}(G_1 + A^\oplus G_2)W_2^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\|B^{\oplus} - A^{\oplus}\|}{\|A^{\oplus}\|} \leq \frac{\|W_1^{-1}\| \|W_2^{-1}\|}{\|A^{\oplus}\|} (\|G_1\| + \|A^{\oplus}\| \|G_2\|).$$

If $\|Z\| < 1$ and $\|YZ\| < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_1\| &\leq 1 + \|YZ\| + \|Z\|, & \|W_2\| &\leq 1 + \|Z\| + \|Z\|^2, \\ \|W_1^{-1}\| &\leq \frac{1 + \|Z\|}{1 - \|YZ\|}, & \|W_2^{-1}\| &\leq \frac{1 + \|Z\|}{1 - \|Z\|^2} = \frac{1}{1 - \|Z\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\alpha := 1 + \|YZ\| + \|Z\|$ and $\beta := 1 + \|Z\| + \|Z\|^2$, we obtain

$$\|G_1\| \leq \|A^{\oplus}\| + \|A^{\oplus}\| \|W_1\| \|W_2\| \leq (1 + \alpha\beta) \|A^{\oplus}\|.$$

By substitution and simplification of inequality (3.1), we obtain inequality (3.2). \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k > 0$ and let $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(B) = s$. If B is a stable perturbation of A and $\|Z\| < 1$, then*

$$\|B^\pi - A^\pi\| \leq \frac{2\|Z\|}{1 - \|Z\|}.$$

Proof. We know that $E_B = L_B - A$ and $Z = A^\pi E_B A^{\oplus} (I + E_B A^{\oplus})^{-1}$. Since $A^\pi Z^* = 0$, $Z^* A^\pi = Z^*$ and $(I + Z^* Z) A^\pi = A^\pi = A^\pi (I + Z^* Z)^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_2 A^\pi W_2^{-1} - A^\pi &= (I - Z^*) (I + Z^* Z) A^\pi (I + Z^* Z)^{-1} (I + Z^*) - A^\pi \\ &= (I - Z^*) A^\pi (I + Z^*) - A^\pi \\ &= (I - Z^*) A^\pi - A^\pi = -Z^*. \end{aligned}$$

By the proof of Lemma 3.4, it is easy to check that $A^\pi (I + E_B A^{\oplus})^{-1} - A^\pi = -Z$. Using that $A^\pi G_2 = -Z$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B^\pi - A^\pi &= W_2 A^\pi (I + E_B A^{\oplus})^{-1} W_2^{-1} - Z^* - W_2 A^\pi W_2^{-1} \\ &= -Z^* - (I - Z^*) Z (I + Z^* Z)^{-1} (I + Z^*). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|Z\| < 1$, we have

$$\|B^\pi - A^\pi\| \leq \|Z\| + \frac{\|Z\| (1 + \|Z\|)}{1 - \|Z\|} = \frac{2\|Z\|}{1 - \|Z\|}.$$

\square

Remark 3.8. *Let B be a stable perturbation of A . Then the following two statements hold:*

- (i) *If $\|E_B A^{\oplus}\| + \|A^\pi E_B A^{\oplus}\| < 1$, then $\|Z\| < 1$;*
- (ii) *If $\max\{\|E_B A^{\oplus}\|, \|(A^{\oplus})^* F_B\|\} < \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\|A^\pi\|}}$, then $\|YZ\| < 1$.*

In fact, by the expressions of Y and Z , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z\| &\leq \frac{\|A^\pi E_B A^{\oplus}\|}{1 - \|E_B A^{\oplus}\|} < 1, \\ \|YZ\| &\leq \frac{\|(A^{\oplus})^* F_B\| \|A^\pi E_B A^{\oplus}\|}{(1 - \|(A^{\oplus})^* F_B\|)(1 - \|E_B A^{\oplus}\|)} < \frac{\|A^\pi\| \left(\frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\|A^\pi\|}}\right)^2}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\|A^\pi\|}}\right)^2} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

4 Acute perturbation of the core-EP inverse

In this section, we investigate the acute perturbation of the core-EP inverse.

Firstly, we present the definition of acute perturbation.

Definition 4.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. A matrix $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is called an acute perturbation related to the core-EP inverse (or in short, acute perturbation) if $\|E_B\|$ is small and the spectral radius $\rho(B^\pi - A^\pi) < 1$.

Now, we give an upper bound for the spectral radius $\rho(B^\pi - A^\pi)$. In order to simplify results, we again denote $E_B = L_B - A$ and $Z = A^\pi E_B A^\oplus (I + E_B A^\oplus)^{-1}$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k > 0$, $\text{ind}(B) = s$ and $\text{rk}(A^k) = \text{rk}(B^s)$. If the perturbation E_B satisfies $\|E_B A^\oplus\| < \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{2}\|A^\pi\|}$, then the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\rho(Z^*Z) < \frac{1}{2}$;
- (ii) $\rho(BB^\oplus(I - AA^\oplus)) = \rho(AA^\oplus(I - BB^\oplus)) \leq \frac{\rho(Z^*Z)}{1 - \rho(Z^*Z)}$;
- (iii) $(\rho(B^\pi - A^\pi))^2 = \rho(AA^\oplus(I - BB^\oplus)) = \rho((B^\pi - A^\pi)^2) < 1$;
- (iv) $I - BB^\oplus A^\pi$, $I - AA^\oplus B^\pi$, $I - (B^\pi - A^\pi)$ and $I - (B^\pi - A^\pi)^2$ are nonsingular.

Proof. Let A be as in Lemma 2.1. Since $\rho(E_B A^\oplus) \leq \|E_B A^\oplus\| < \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{2}\|A^\pi\|} < 1$, we obtain that -1 is not an eigenvalue of $E_B A^\oplus$. Therefore, 0 is not an eigenvalue of $I + E_B A^\oplus$, that is $I + E_B A^\oplus$ is nonsingular. Combining $\text{rk}(A^k) = \text{rk}(B^s)$, by Lemma 3.2 (vi) and (vii), it is easy to obtain $L_B = U \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_1 P \\ Q B_1 & Q B_1 P \end{pmatrix} U^*$, for some matrices B_1 , P and Q such that B_1 and $I + PQ$ are nonsingular.

(i) : Since $\|E_B A^\oplus\| < \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{2}\|A^\pi\|}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(Z^*Z) &\leq \|Z^*Z\| \leq \left(\frac{\|E_B A^\oplus\|}{1 - \|E_B A^\oplus\|} \right) \left(\frac{\|A^\pi E_B A^\oplus\|}{1 - \|E_B A^\oplus\|} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\|A^\pi\| (\|E_B A^\oplus\|)^2}{(1 - \|E_B A^\oplus\|)^2} \\ &= \frac{\|A^\pi\|}{\left(\frac{1}{\|E_B A^\oplus\|} - 1 \right)^2} \\ &< \frac{\|A^\pi\|}{(1 + \sqrt{2}\|A^\pi\| - 1)^2} = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) : By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} BB^\oplus &= L_B L_B^\oplus = U \begin{pmatrix} (I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & (I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1} & Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \end{pmatrix} U^* \\ &= U \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & (I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} U^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
BB^\oplus(I - AA^\oplus) &= L_B L_B^\#(I - AA^\oplus) = U \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ Q & I \end{pmatrix} \\
&\times \begin{pmatrix} I & (I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} U^* \\
&= U \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^*Q & (I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} U^*,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
AA^\oplus(I - BB^\oplus) &= U \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} U^* \\
&= U \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} U^* \\
&= U \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ 0 & Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} U^*.
\end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(BB^\oplus(I - AA^\oplus)) &= \rho((I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^*Q) = \rho(Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^*) \\
&= \rho(AA^\oplus(I - BB^\oplus)) = \rho((I + Z^*Z)^{-1}Z^*Z) \\
&\leq \rho((I + Z^*Z)^{-1})\rho(Z^*Z) \leq \frac{\rho(Z^*Z)}{1 - \rho(Z^*Z)} < 1, \text{ by condition (i).}
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) : By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B^\pi - A^\pi &= U \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} U^* - U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} U^* \\
&= U \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \\ -Q & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} U^*, \\
(B^\pi - A^\pi)^2 &= U \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ Q & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^*Q & 0 \\ 0 & Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -Q & I \end{pmatrix} U^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho((I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^*Q) = \rho(Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^*)$, by conditions (i) and (ii), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\rho(B^\pi - A^\pi))^2 &= \rho((B^\pi - A^\pi)^2) = \rho(Q(I + Q^*Q)^{-1}Q^*) \\
&= \rho(AA^\oplus(I - BB^\oplus)) < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) : It is clear by conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). \square

From Definition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k > 0$ and $\text{ind}(B) = s$. If $\text{rk}(A^k) = \text{rk}(B^s)$ and $\|E_B A^\oplus\| < \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{2}\|A^\pi\|}$, then B is an acute perturbation of A .*

Remark 4.4. *Since A^π and B^π are orthogonal projectors, we have*

$$\|B^\pi - A^\pi\| = (\rho((B^\pi - A^\pi)^*(B^\pi - A^\pi)))^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\rho((B^\pi - A^\pi)^2))^{\frac{1}{2}} = \rho(B^\pi - A^\pi).$$

Now, we present sufficient and necessary conditions for acute perturbation related to the core-EP inverse by using the results obtained for the stable perturbation about the core-EP inverse in Lemma 3.2.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k > 0$ and $\text{ind}(B) = s$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *B is an acute perturbation of A with respect to the core-EP inverse;*
- (ii) *B satisfies condition $(C_{s,*})$;*
- (iii) *B is a stable perturbation of A with respect to the core-EP inverse;*
- (iv) *$\text{rk}(A^k) = \text{rk}(B^s) = \text{rk}((A^k)^*L_BA^k)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, it is easy to know that (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv).

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) : Suppose that B is an acute perturbation of A with respect to the core-EP inverse. By Definition 4.1, we have $\rho(B^\pi - A^\pi) < 1$. If $R(B^s) \cap N((A^k)^*) \neq \{0\}$, then there exists a nonzero vector (see [9]) $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$BB^\oplus x = x, \quad AA^\oplus x = 0.$$

That is, $(BB^\oplus - AA^\oplus)x = x$. Hence $\rho(B^\pi - A^\pi) = \rho(BB^\oplus - AA^\oplus) \geq 1$. This produces a contradiction. Thus $R(B^s) \cap N((A^k)^*) = \{0\}$. Similarly, we obtain $R(A^k) \cap N((B^s)^*) = \{0\}$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) : Suppose that $\|E_B\| = \|L_B - A\|$ is small such that $\|E_B A^\oplus\| < \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{2\|A^\pi\|}}$. Since $\text{rk}(A^k) = \text{rk}(B^s) = \text{rk}((A^k)^*L_BA^k)$, by Theorem 4.2, we obtain $\rho(B^\pi - A^\pi) < 1$. \square

Remark 4.6. *If B is not an acute perturbation of A with respect to the core-EP inverse and $\text{rk}(A^k) < \text{rk}(B^s)$, then $\rho(B^\pi - A^\pi) = \|B^\pi - A^\pi\| \geq 1$. In fact, since $\mathbb{C}^n = R(A^k) \oplus N((A^k)^*)$ and $\text{rk}(A^k) < \text{rk}(B^s)$, we have $R(B^s) \cap N((A^k)^*) \neq \{0\}$. There exists nonzero $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that*

$$BB^\oplus x = x, \quad AA^\oplus x = 0.$$

Assuming, without loss of generality, $\|x\| = 1$, we arrive at

$$1 = \|x\| = \|(BB^\oplus - AA^\oplus)x\| \leq \|BB^\oplus - AA^\oplus\| = \|B^\pi - A^\pi\|.$$

By Remark 4.4. we have $\rho(B^\pi - A^\pi) = \|B^\pi - A^\pi\| \geq 1$.

Finally, we give an example to check properties of acute perturbation obtained in Theorem 4.2.

Example 4.7. [39, Example 5.5] Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{10} \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = 2$. Then

$A^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{5} & \frac{2}{5} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Set $B \in \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 4}$ with $\text{ind}(B) = s$, where $0 < s < 4$. By the

equivalence of condition (i) and condition (vi) in Lemma 3.2, we set $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$,

$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{10} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{5} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{10} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. By using MATLAB, we obtain

$$L_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{10} \\ 2 & 1 & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{2}{5} & \frac{1}{50} & \frac{1}{50} \\ \frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{100} & \frac{1}{100} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^{\dagger} = L_B^{\#} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{409}{1327} & \frac{200}{309} & -\frac{400}{6489} & -\frac{200}{6489} \\ \frac{40}{63} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{8}{63} & \frac{4}{63} \\ -\frac{400}{6489} & \frac{40}{309} & -\frac{80}{6489} & -\frac{40}{6489} \\ -\frac{200}{6489} & \frac{20}{309} & -\frac{40}{6489} & -\frac{20}{6489} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A^{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{21} & 0 & -\frac{4}{21} & -\frac{2}{21} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{4}{21} & 0 & \frac{101}{105} & -\frac{2}{105} \\ -\frac{2}{21} & 0 & -\frac{105}{105} & \frac{104}{105} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$E_B = L_B - A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{2}{5} & \frac{1}{50} & -\frac{49}{50} \\ \frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{100} & \frac{1}{100} \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_B = L_B - A^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{10} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{10} & \frac{2}{5} & \frac{1}{50} & \frac{1}{50} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{5} & -\frac{99}{100} & \frac{1}{100} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case, we have $\text{rk}(A^2) = 2 = \text{rk}(L_B) = \text{rk}(B^s)$, $\|E_B A^{\dagger}\| = \frac{646}{2889} < 1$ and $\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{2\|A^{\pi}\|}} = \frac{408}{985}$. Then $\|E_B A^{\dagger}\| < \frac{1}{1+\sqrt{2\|A^{\pi}\|}}$. By Theorem 4.2, we compute $\rho(Z^*Z) = \frac{1}{20} < \frac{1}{2}$, $\rho(BB^{\dagger}(I - AA^{\dagger})) = \frac{1}{21} = \rho(AA^{\dagger}(I - BB^{\dagger})) < \frac{1}{20}$, $\rho(B^{\pi} - A^{\pi}) = \frac{769}{3524}$, $(\rho(B^{\pi} - A^{\pi}))^2 = \frac{1}{21} = \rho((B^{\pi} - A^{\pi})^2) < \frac{1}{20}$.

5 Applications

In [14], Ma studied optimal perturbation bounds for the core inverse. In [16], Ma et al. investigated optimal perturbation bounds for core-EP inverses. The conditions required to calculate optimal perturbation bounds for generalized inverses are relatively simple in our case. In fact, it is a special case of the acute (or stable) perturbation.

According to [16, Theorem 5.1], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = k > 0$ and $\text{ind}(B) = s$. Denoting $E_B = L_B - A$. If E_B satisfies $AA^{\dagger}E_B = E_B$ and $\|E_B A^{\dagger}\| < 1$, then

$$B^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}(I + E_B A^{\dagger})^{-1} \text{ and } BB^{\dagger} = AA^{\dagger}.$$

Moreover, B is an acute perturbation with respect to the core-EP inverse of A and B is a stable perturbation with respect to the core-EP inverse of A .

Proof. Similar to the proof of [16, Theorem 5.1], it is easy to check that $\text{rk}(A^k) = \text{rk}(L_B) = \text{rk}(B^s)$ and $\rho(B^\pi - A^\pi) = \rho(BB^\dagger - AA^\dagger) = 0$. So, B satisfies condition $(C_{s,*})$. By Theorem 4.5, we get that B is an acute perturbation of A and B is a stable perturbation of A . \square

In particular, we have the following corollaries when $\text{ind}(A) = 1$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{ind}(A) = 1$ and $\text{ind}(B) = s$. Denoting $E_B = L_B - A$. If E_B satisfies $AA^\#E_B = E_B$ and $\|E_BA^\#\| < 1$, then*

$$B^\# = A^\#(I + E_BA^\#)^{-1} \text{ and } BB^\# = AA^\#.$$

Moreover, B is an acute perturbation with respect to the core inverse of A and B is a stable perturbation with respect to the core inverse of A .

Remark 5.3. *If we change $E_B = L_B - A$, $AA^\dagger E_B = E_B$ and $\|E_BA^\dagger\| < 1$ to $F_B = L_B - A^*$, $AA^\dagger F_B = F_B$ and $\|(A^\dagger)^* F_B\| < 1$ in conditions of Theorem 5.1, respectively, then we have*

$$B^\dagger = (I + (A^\dagger)^* F_B)^{-1} (A^\dagger)^* \text{ and } BB^\dagger = AA^\dagger.$$

Moreover, B is an acute perturbation with respect to the core-EP inverse of A .

This is the dual case of Theorem 5.1.

Disclosure Statement

Nothing to report.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Editor and both Referees for their valuable comments and suggestions which improve the readability of the paper.

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12101315, 12101539, 12171083), the China Scholarship Council (File No. 201906090122), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (21KJB110004), the Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province. The third author is partially supported by Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad of Spain (Grant Red de Excelencia RED2022-134176-T), partially supported by Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina (EXP-UBA: 13.019/2017, 20020170100350BA), and partially supported by Universidad Nacional de La Pampa, Argentina, Facultad de Ingeniería (Resolución del CD N° 135/19).

References

- [1] N. Aldhafeeri, D. Pappas, I. P. Stanimirović, M. Tasić, Representations of generalized inverses via full-rank QDR decomposition, *Numer. Algorithms* 86 (3) (2021) 1327-1337.
- [2] O.M. Baksalary, G. Trenkler, Core inverse of matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 58 (6) (2010) 681-697.
- [3] A. Ben-Israel, T.N.E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, second ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.

- [4] J.J. Brust, R.F. Marcia, C.G. Petra, Computationally efficient decomposition of oblique projection matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 41 (2) (2020) 852-870.
- [5] N. Castro-González, J.J. Koliha, Y.M. Wei, Perturbation of the Drazin inverse for matrices with equal eigenprojection at zero, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 312 (2000) 181-189.
- [6] N. Castro-González, J. Robles, J.Y. Vélez-Cerrada, Characterizations of a class of matrices and perturbation of the Drazin inverse, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 30 (2008) 882-897.
- [7] J. Ding, Q.L. Huang, On the stable perturbation and Nashed's condition for generalized inverses, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 41 (14) (2020) 1761-1768.
- [8] M.P. Drazin, Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 65 (1958) 506-514.
- [9] D.E. Ferreyra, F.E. Levis, N. Thome, Revisiting the core-EP inverse and its extension to rectangular matrices, *Quaest. Math.* 41 (2018) 265-281.
- [10] Y.F. Gao, J.L. Chen, Pseudo core inverses in rings with involution, *Comm. Algebra* 46 (1) (2018) 38-50 .
- [11] J.J. Koliha, Error bounds for a general perturbation of the Drazin inverse, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 126 (2002) 181-185.
- [12] X.Z. Li, Y.M. Wei, An expression of the Drazin inverse of a perturbed matrix, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 153 (2004) 187-198.
- [13] H.F. Ma, A characterization and perturbation bounds for the weighted core-EP inverse, *Quaes. Math.* 43 (7) (2020) 869-879.
- [14] H.F. Ma, Optimal perturbation bounds for the core inverse, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 336 (2018) 176-181.
- [15] H.F. Ma, X.S. Gao, Further results on the perturbation estimations for the Drazin inverse, *Numer Algebra Control Optim.* 8 (4) (2018) 493-503.
- [16] H.F. Ma, P.S. Stanimirović, Characterizations, approximation and perturbation of the core-EP inverse, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 359 (2019) 404-417.
- [17] K. Manjunatha Prasad, K.S. Mohana, Core-EP inverse, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 62 (2014) 792-802.
- [18] D. Mosić, Core-EP pre-order of Hilbert space operators, *Quaest. Math.* 41 (2018) 585-600.
- [19] D. Mosić, Weighted core-EP inverse of an operator between Hilbert spaces, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 67 (2019) 278-298.

- [20] D. Mosić, Perturbation of the weighted core-EP inverse, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 11 (2020) 75-86.
- [21] R. Penrose, A generalized inverse for matrices, *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 51 (1955) 406-413.
- [22] S.Z. Qiao, Y.M. Wei, Acute perturbation of Drazin inverse and oblique projectors, *Front. Math. China* 13 (6) (2018) 1427-1445.
- [23] V. Rakočević, Y.M. Wei, The perturbation theory for the Drazin inverse and its applications, II. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 70 (2001) 189-197.
- [24] G.W. Stewart, J.G. Sun, *Matrix Perturbation Theory*, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [25] D. Szyld, The many proofs of an identity on the norm of oblique projections, *Numer. Algorithms* 42 (2006) 309-323.
- [26] H.X. Wang, Core-EP decomposition and its applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 508 (2016) 289-300.
- [27] P.Å. Wedin, Perturbation theory for pseudo-inverses, *BIT.* 13 (1973) 217-232.
- [28] Y.M. Wei, Acute perturbation of the group inverse, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 534 (2017) 135-157.
- [29] Y.M. Wei, On perturbation of the group inverse and oblique projection, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 98 (1999) 29-42.
- [30] Y.M. Wei, The Drazin inverse of updating of a square matrix with application to perturbation formula, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 108 (2000) 77-83.
- [31] Y.M. Wei, G.R. Wang, The perturbation theory for the Drazin inverses and its applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 258 (1997) 179-186.
- [32] Q.X. Xu, C.N. Song, Y.M. Wei, The stable perturbation of the Drazin inverse of the square matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 31 (3) (2010) 1507-1520.
- [33] S.Z. Xu, J.L. Chen, X.X. Zhang, New characterizations for core inverses in rings with involution, *Front. Math. China* 12 (1) (2017) 231-246.
- [34] Q.X. Xu, Y.M. Wei, Y.Y. Gu, Sharp norm-estimations for Moore-Penrose inverses of stable perturbations of Hilbert C^* -module operators, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 47 (6) (2010) 4735-4758.
- [35] A.Q. Yu, C.Y. Deng, Characterizations of DMP inverse in a Hilbert space, *Calcolo* 53 (2016) 331-341.
- [36] N.M. Zhang, Y.M. Wei, A note on the perturbation of an outer inverse, *Calcolo* 45 (2008) 263-273.

- [37] M.M. Zhou, J.L. Chen, Integral representations of two generalized core inverses, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 333 (2018) 187-193.
- [38] M.M. Zhou, J.L. Chen, T.T. Li, D.G. Wang, Three limit representations of the core-EP inverse, *Filomat* 32 (17) (2018) 5887-5894.
- [39] M.M. Zhou, J.L. Chen, N. Thome, Characterizations and perturbation analysis of a class of matrices related to core-EP inverses, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 393 (2021) 113496.