

Supermassive blackhole-Ultralight dark matter connection

Essay written for the GRF 2024 essay competition

T R Govindarajan*

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai, India

Krea University, Sricity, India

selected for honorable mention

August 1, 2024

Abstract

A novel proposal linking the origin of supermassive blackhole formation and ultralight dark matter. Ultralight bosons of mass $10^{-22} - 10^{-24}$ eV which is one of the front running proposal for dark matter could also linked with the formation and evolution of supermassive blackholes at the center of all the galaxies.

*trg@imsc.res.in

1 Introduction

It is established that galaxies are held together by mostly non-luminous matter known as dark matter[1]. The radial speeds of of stellar objects in our own and other galaxies do not drop down fast enough as expected in Newtonian gravity led to the speculation of such matter. In addition velocity dispersions, galaxy clusters, gravitational lensing, bullet clusters and CMB offer further support for such matter. The important constraint on the candidate dark matter is, it should be only coupled extremely weakly to the normal matter and gravity is the only link between the two sectors. There were several proposals from High energy physics beyond the standard model. Supersymmetric extensions provide several potential massive particles to fill this gap. Unfortunately they do not provide proper densities in the expanding universe evolution from big bang. There are proposals for sterile neutrino or QCD axion to explain this puzzle and they also do not match correctly. New dark photons were also suggested and do not have experimental signals too. Primordial blackholes also have been proposed and fail to have any convincing follow up[2].

It is also suggested to modify gravity at extremely low accelerations away from Newtonian gravity which is seriously followed[3]. But such modifications do not stem from some fundamental principles and also have unanswered questions. We will comment on these later in our conclusions.

A resolution on this question begs to look for some fascinating inputs from quantum theory in combination with gravity, though may not be directly connected to difficult questions of quantum gravity.

The suggestion is to introduce untrahlight bose particles whose mass is $\leq \mathcal{O}(10^{-22})eV$ which could provide the necessary wave like background and explain several features. Being ultrahlight, it is necessarily relativistic in nature and is naturally expected to escape at the early stages of evolution to be of any use. But quantum theory of bose gas comes to the rescue through the critical temperature at which Bose Einstein condensate could be formed and such collections of lumpy quantum objects offer a novel solution to the dark matter question[4]. Naturally it should be chargeless to escape being detected through electromagnetic interactions. We will provide a natural candidate which has its origin to the queries of Schrodinger himself[5].

In this background we also have yet another unexplained question related to the formation of galaxies very early after the bigbang. Now there are evidences early stars and galaxies were there around 300-400 million years after the bang[6]. These galaxies do also possess central supermassive blackholes at the center and their formation is also expected to be tied up with dark matter. Our proposal naturally links these two unanswered questions and provide possible resolution. Word of caution: More work needs to be done to establish to build the scenario.

In section 2 we will provide our proposal for dark matter question and build evidences towards that in section 3. Section 4 will discuss about the seeds of primordial blackholes to grow along at the early times itself. Section 5 will provide a discussion of the strengths and weaknesses of the proposal and conclude with future directions.

2 Proposal for dark matter

The ultralight dark matter proposal needs a scalar boson which does not interact with matter except through gravity. The anticipated mass is less than $\mathcal{O}(10^{-22})eV$. The only particles which we know obey such bound is photon and graviton itself. Both are expected to be massless within the standard model. A question was posed by Schrodinger in 1955, ‘Should the photon be massless?’ [5] He answered that it need not be, provided its coupling to matter is through a conserved current. That is if A_μ is the vector potential it should be coupled to the current of the matter j_μ through the interaction term $e j_\mu A^\mu$ where $\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0$. He also provided a mass bound for photon to be $\leq 10^{-16}eV$. He used the data from geomagnetic fields which gives a natural length scale of the radius of the earth which serves as the scale of the Compton wavelength. This has been improved with better geomagnetic data to $\leq 10^{-18}eV$. Incidentally this is the bound given in Particle Data Book. Using galactic magnetic fields there are limits $\mathcal{O}(10^{-25})eV$ which are yet to be verified. But there are couple of problems with having mass for the photon however small it is.. First is gauge invariance is lost. Second is that there is a discontinuity in the degrees of freedom from 3 to 2 in the massless limit. This was resolved by Stueckelberg earlier by introducing another scalar boson. This can be thought of as precursor to mass through spontaneous symmetry breaking. A simple action was provided by Stueckelberg[7].

$$\mathcal{S} = \int -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \left(A_\mu - \frac{1}{m}\partial_\mu\phi \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

As pointed out by Schrodinger it can be coupled to matter through a conserved current. This theory of QED will not contradict with any of the results if $m \leq 10^{-18}eV$ at the current levels

of measurements. In addition it will indeed help in understanding infrared problems of QED. Subtle massless limit of such a theory will naturally provide the Faddeev-Kulish realisation of QED[8]. One can understand this through Inonu-Wigner contraction of the little group of massive spin -1 particle, namely $SO(3)$ going over to Eucliden group $E(2)$ the little group of massless representation.

2.1 The dark matter question

Having given the background we have for the massive spin 1 photon three degrees of freedom, an extra longitudinal component which using gauge invariance can be decoupled to the Stuckelberg scalar field. This field is proposed as candidate for ultralight dark matter. It couples to the matter fields only extremely weakly with wavelengths of the $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{mc}\right)$, the Compton wavelength. This ultralight bosons can condense to form BEC condensate below the critical temperature T_c .

2.2 Critical temperature T_c

We have to consider relativistic ideal gas for estimating the critical temperature [9]. It is given by:

$$T_c = \frac{\hbar c}{k_B} \left(\frac{\rho \pi^2}{m_\gamma \zeta(3)} \right)^{1/3}. \quad (2)$$

Given the mass of the photon to be $\leq 10^{-18} eV$ we parametrize the mass as $10^{-22+x} eV$. Given the current estimate of the dark matter energy density as $\mathcal{O}(1)$ Gev/cc the T_c can be expected to be $10^{17} - 10^{19} K$. This is close to the temperature at the big bang and the condensate of

Stuckelberg photons will be formed at the earliest times itself. The time could be estimated to be Lepton era at around $10^{-15}sec$. This radiation dominated time scale makes the transverse photons equilibrium by about 50K years. But the longitudinal/Stuckelberg photons will spread to the edge of the universe at that time and condense.

Given this background it is clear at the very early universe ultra light dark matter condensate provides the much needed pressure to assist the formation of galactic structure even at the early times. But still the core of the galaxies namely the supermassive blackhole should be formed without the need for nuclear fusion and stellar evolution pathways. This should happen only by the gravity and it needs the seeds of such blackholes. Towards this we enhance Stueckelberg theory to Abelian Higgs mechanism in sec 4.

3 Support for Ultralight scalar

We will provide some evidences in favor of ultralight scalar. Ultralight scalar as Stuckelberg particle with mass in the range of $10^{-18} - 10^{-24}eV$ seems to be favored [10].

1. This corresponds to Compton length of 3×10^3 light seconds to 3×10^9 light seconds which is 0.0001 – 100 light years. The size of the BEC condensate is expected to be close to that of compton wavelength. If that were the case the size of dwarf galaxies should be greater than this size. There are expected 200 dwarf galaxies around the Milky way (through N-body simulation). There are around 50 galaxies seen with various sizes ranging from 500 light years to 1,00,000 light years holding around 1000 - 1000000 stars. The not seen or missing one may be smaller in sizes with very low luminosity. The smallest galaxy seen

so far is Segue 2 with half radius of 115 light years. Our expectations predict the lower bound on the size to be 100 light years.

2. The ultra light scalar also provides profile of halos of dark matter which does not have the cusp problem at the core. Following the work of Hui et al., [10] we can expect the mass for ultralight scalar can fit with a range of $10^{-22} - 10^{-24} eV$.
3. Current pulsar timing array observations indicate a background noise due to early merger of galaxies. These affect the pulsars in our galaxy as expected from Rubakov [11]. FDM affects the time of arrival at different pulsars. This also provides measure of dark matter density. The frequencies and amplitudes provide an upper bound on the FDM masses to be $\leq 10^{-24} eV$. It would be nice by precision measurements to reduce the uncertain width. Note if photon mass is the factor for the dark matter, then this provides an upper bound for it.
4. Ryutov et al [12] considered the extra pressure due to longitudinal photons of massive electromagnetic theory. For correlations of length scales compared to the Compton wavelength when longitudinal photons affect the pressure, they find the extra pressure mimicking the dark matter.

4 Abelian Higgs mechanism

Stueckelberg mechanism for providing mass for the photons without breaking gauge invariance can be obtained as a limit of the gauge field coupled to a complex scalar field with

spontaneous symmetry breaking. Consider Φ a complex scalar field and $U(1)$ gauge theory.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + |D_\mu \Phi|^2 - V(\Phi) \\ V(\Phi) &= -\mu^2|\Phi|^2 + l|\Phi|^4\end{aligned}$$

We transform to polar coordinates for the complex scalar field, $\Phi = R e^{i\phi/v}$ and we get

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{m\nu}^2 + m^2 \left(A_\mu - \frac{1}{m} \partial_\mu \phi \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu R)^2 - \mu^2 R^2 + f(\{R, \phi\}) \quad (3)$$

If R is frozen at high mass value, $R^2 = \frac{2vl^2}{2}$ where l is the quartic coupling constant and v is the vacuum expectation value $\left(v^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{2l} \right)$ we get the mass of the photon to be $m = ev$.

Stuckelberg theory is the limit of Higgs mass $\rightarrow \infty$. In principle we can set the Planck mass as this limit. So in addition to massive photon of extremely small mass $\leq 10^{-18}eV$ we will have extremely heavy new scalar Higgs. This is the scenario at the early universe when ultralight scalars are formed. The Higgs will act as seeds for the primordial blackholes which provide center of attraction for galaxy formation.

4.1 Supermassive blackholes and dark matter

Having provided the role of massive photon mass FDM we now look at the Higgs part of the complex scalar field whose mass is very large as the seeds of the primordial blackholes [13] simultaneously with dark matter. The formation galaxies earlier than the initial expectations with supermassive blackholes at the core provide support for the speculations that these could be the cause of such objects. The strength of such queries can be answered through dwarf galaxies particularly those with SMBH at the core and star formations still taking place.

We now have prima facie evidence for SMBH at the center of ultra compact dwarf galaxies in Virgo clusters. The sizes are $\mathcal{O}(100)$ parsecs. The SMBHs have masses 10^6 solar masses. They also contain large proportion of the galactic masses to the extent of $\geq 10\%$.

In recent JWST observations at the earliest times of 300-500 million years after the Big bang have led to the astonishing formation of baby galaxies [14]. These could not have been possible without the seeds being born very close to the times of bang itself.

5 Conclusions

We have argued in favor of the Stuckelberg field which provides the mass of photons could be candidate for ultralight matter. It could also be considered as axion like dark matter field. The highly massive Higgs field produced along with that close to the big bang promises to provide the seeds primordial blackhole which could be the origin of SMBH at the early stages of the universe. This proposal has interesting prediction about the size of the dwarf galaxies as well as signals from pulsar timing array. Detailed developement of the proposal could provide new features in CMB and Nanogravitational waves. Lastly the effective field approach of the background of the dark matter profile background can be the source of the deviation needed for MOND.

References

- [1] Zwicky, F. *Astrophysical Journal*, 86, 217(1937), Jaco de Swart, Gianfranco Bertone, Jeroen van Dongen, *Nature Astronomy* 1, 0059 (2017)

- [2] Jianglai Liu, Xun Chen, Xiangdong Ji, Nature Physics 13, 212 (2017)
- [3] Milgrom, M, Astrophysical Journal 270,365 (1983)
- [4] J P Ostriker, J. Steinhard, Science 300, 1909, 2003.
- [5] L. Bass and E. Schrodinger, Proc. Roy. Soc. London. A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences 232,1188 (1955).
- [6] Steven L. Finkelstein et al 2023 ApJL 946 L13 (CEERS Key Paper I: An Early Look into the First 500 Myr of Galaxy Formation with JWST)
- [7] Henri Ruegg, Marti Ruiz-Altaba, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A19 (2004) 3265
- [8] T R Govindarajan, N Kalyanapuram, Mod. Phys. Lett. A33, 1950330 (2019); Modern Physics Letters A34 (2019) 1950009.
- [9] M. Grether, M. de Llano, and George A. Baker, Jr. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 200406.
- [10] Lam Hui, J P Ostriker, S Tremaine, and E Witten, Phys. Rev. D 95, 043541 (2017)
- [11] A. Khmelnitsky and V. Rubakov, J Cosmol Astropart Phys 02,019 (2014).
- [12] D D Ryutov, D Budker and V V Flambaum, The Astrophysical Journal, 871, 218 (2019).
- [13] Ya B Zel'dovitch and I D Novikov Soviet Astronomy, 10,4, 602 (1966); S Hawking, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 152: 75 (1971)
- [14] R P Naidu et al ApJL 940 L14 (2022); M Castellano et al ApJL 938 L15 (2022).