

Captured molecules could make a Bose star visible

V. V. Flambaum* and I. B. Samsonov†

School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia

A Bose star passing through cold molecular clouds may capture atoms, molecules and dust particles. The observational signature of such an event would be a relatively small amount of matter that is gravitationally bound. This binding may actually be provided by invisible dark matter forming the Bose star. We may expect a relative excess of heavier atoms, molecules, and solid dust compared to the content of giant cold molecular clouds since the velocity of heavy particles at a given temperature is lower and it may be small compared to the escape velocity, $v_{\text{rms}} = \sqrt{3k_{\text{B}}T/m_{\text{gas}}} \ll v_{\text{esc}} = \sqrt{2GM/R}$. Finally, the velocity of this captured matter cloud may correlate with the expected velocity of free dark matter particles (e.g. expected axion wind velocity relative to Earth).

I. INTRODUCTION

Bose stars are assumed to be formed from cold dark matter fields (scalars, axions) which may form Bose condensate and solitons bound mainly by the gravitational attraction [1, 2]. Bose condensation temperature $T_B \propto n^{2/3}/m$, so in thermal equilibrium axions (or scalars) form Bose condensate for sufficiently small mass m and large density n .

A simple estimate, similar to that for hydrogen atom, makes relation between mass $M \approx Nm$ and size R of Bose star, where N is the number of bosons and m is their mass. Estimating kinetic energy of the ground state using uncertainty relation for minimal momentum $p \sim \hbar/r$ and estimating gravitational energy we obtain equation for the non-relativistic energy of Bose star:

$$E = a_1 N \frac{\hbar^2}{mr^2} - a_2 \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \frac{Gm^2}{r}, \quad (1)$$

where a_1, a_2 are constants ~ 1 . Minimizing energy by varying size r or using virial theorem ($U = -2E_k$, $E = -E_k$) we obtain size of gravitationally bound Bose star

$$R = \frac{4a_1 \hbar^2}{a_2(N-1)Gm^3}, \quad (2)$$

binding energy

$$E = N(N-1)^2 \frac{a_2^2 G^2 m^5}{16a_1 \hbar^2}, \quad (3)$$

and number density

$$n \sim \frac{N}{V} \sim 0.004N(N-1)^3 \frac{G^3 m^9}{\hbar^6}, \quad (4)$$

where the star volume is $V \sim 4\pi R^3/3$. We see very strong dependence on the boson mass m . We also see that the density n in the ground state is proportional

to N^4 so any, even small non-gravitational self interaction between bosons may become important for large N . We may add the self-interaction contribution of the self-interaction term $\lambda\phi^4$ to the Bose star energy

$$E = a_1 N \frac{\hbar^2}{mr^2} - a_2 \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \frac{Gm^2}{r} + a_3 \lambda \frac{N(N-1)}{2m^2 r^3}, \quad (5)$$

where a_1, a_2, a_3 are constants ~ 1 . Using $dE/dr = 0$, this expression gives quadratic equation for r with two solutions. For the QCD axion, the selfinteraction constant $\lambda = -m^2/(24f_a^2)$ is expressed via the axion decay constant f_a (see e.g. [3, 4]). In the case of $\lambda < 0$ the energy tends to minus infinity for $r \rightarrow 0$, so the Bose star is metastable. Solution with larger r corresponds to a minimum whereas the smaller r solution is maximum. More accurate analytical calculations using variational approach will be given in the next section.

Distribution of ordinary matter is given by Boltzmann distribution in the gravitational field of Bose star. Velocity distribution is given by Maxwell distribution. We can add molecular matter terms E_m to the energy E :

$$E_m = a_4 N_m \frac{5}{2} kT(r) - a_5 N_M N \frac{Gm_m m}{r} - a_6 \frac{N_m(N_m-1)}{2} \frac{Gm_m^2}{r}. \quad (6)$$

If the total mass of the molecular cloud bound to the Bose star, $M_m = N_m m_m$, is relatively small as compared with the mass of the Bose star, $N_m m_m \ll Nm$, we may assume that in the leading approximation molecular distribution does not affect Bose star parameters. Then we may include gravitational effect of molecules using iterations.

Time of formation of Bose stars very strongly depends on the Boson mass m . For $m > 10^{-22}$ eV the formation time is smaller than the lifetime of the Universe 13.7 billion years (see e.g. [3]).

Total mass of the Bose star M is strongly model dependent and depends on time. There are estimates of a typical scale for expected mass M . The QCD axion dark matter field with axion mass $m \sim 10^{-5}$ eV makes Bose star with mass $M \sim 10^{-15} M_\odot$ [3, 5, 6]. Fuzzy dark matter with particle mass $m \sim 10^{-22}$ eV may compose a Bose

* v.flambaum@unsw.edu.au

† igor.samsonov@unsw.edu.au

star with mass $M \sim 10^8 M_\odot$ [7, 8]. Bose star accumulating certain critical mass becomes unstable, collapses and decays to relativistic jets [9].

Axions and scalars are neutral, so in zero approximation Bose star is transparent and is hardly observable by telescopes. In principle, Bose stars may be observed using gravitational lensing of passing light and axion decays to photons. We discuss a different possibility: Bose star may capture atoms, molecules and dust. Radiation and absorption of light by this matter could make Bose stars visible.

II. VARIATIONAL APPROACH

The variational approach allows one to get an approximate analytic solution to the particle density which minimizes total energy of the system of interacting particles. We consider N bosonic DM particles with position vectors \vec{r}_i and momenta \vec{p}_i . Given that each particle has mass m , they can interact gravitationally. The corresponding non-relativistic Hamiltonian is

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_k^2 - \sum_{i<j}^N \frac{Gm^2}{r_{ij}}, \quad (7)$$

where G is the gravitational constant and $r_{ij} \equiv |\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|$.

In the ground state, all particles are in the same state with the wave function $\psi(\vec{r}_i)$, and the full system is described by a product of these wave functions,

$$\Psi = \prod_{i=1}^N \psi(\vec{r}_i). \quad (8)$$

Below we consider two approximate analytic solutions to the function $\psi(\vec{r})$.

A. Exponential ansatz

The ground state wave function may be searched for within the ansatz

$$\psi(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} R^{-3/2} e^{-r/R}, \quad (9)$$

where R is a free parameter. The function $\psi(r)$ is the 1s solution of the stationary Schrödinger equation with the potential $V = -\frac{\hbar^2}{mRr}$ and energy $E_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2mR^2}$.

Making use of the function (8) we find the expectation value of the Hamiltonian (7):

$$E = \langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle = \frac{N}{2} \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{mR^2} - \frac{5}{8} \frac{Gm^2}{R} (N-1) \right]. \quad (10)$$

This energy reaches its minimum value

$$E_{\min} = -\frac{25}{512} \frac{G^2 m^5}{\hbar^2} N(N-1)^2 \quad (11)$$

at

$$R = \frac{16}{5} \frac{\hbar^2}{Gm^3(N-1)}. \quad (12)$$

The energy of the solution (11) agrees at 90% level with the corresponding numerical result in Ref. [10]. The root-mean-squared (RMS) radius of this solution is

$$r_{\text{rms}} = (\langle \Psi | r_i^2 | \Psi \rangle)^{1/2} = \sqrt{3}R. \quad (13)$$

As an example, consider a Bose star with mass of Bennu asteroid, $M = 7 \times 10^{10}$ kg composed of QCD axions with mass $m = 10^{-5}$ eV. It consists of $N = 4 \times 10^{51}$ particles. Its size is

$$r_{\text{rms}} = 4 \times 10^{10} \text{ km}. \quad (14)$$

Note that the diameter of the Bennu asteroid is 0.5 km.

The RMS speed:

$$v_{\text{rms}} = \frac{1}{m} (\langle \Psi | \vec{p}_i^2 | \Psi \rangle)^{1/2} = \frac{\hbar}{mR}. \quad (15)$$

For the axion star considered above, it is $v \approx 8 \times 10^{-16} c$. Thus, the non-relativistic approximation is justified. However, for solutions with boson mass $m \sim 10^{-5}$ eV and total mass of order the Solar mass, a relativistic treatment is needed.

B. Gaussian ansatz

The simple exponential ansatz (9) for the wave function provides a rather low accuracy for the energy. A better accuracy may be achieved within the Gaussian ansatz [11]

$$\psi(r) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi R^2} \right)^{3/4} e^{-r^2/R^2}, \quad (16)$$

where R is the parameter to be found. Making use of this wave function, we find the expectation value of the Hamiltonian (7):

$$E = \langle H \rangle = \frac{3N\hbar^2}{2mR^2} - \frac{N(N-1)Gm^2}{\sqrt{\pi}R}. \quad (17)$$

This energy reaches its minimum value

$$E_{\min} = -\frac{N(N-1)^2}{6\pi} \frac{G^2 m^5}{\hbar^2} \quad (18)$$

at

$$R = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}\hbar^2}{(N-1)Gm^3}. \quad (19)$$

The energy (18) agrees at 98% level with the numerical solution presented in Ref. [10]. Therefore, we will consider only the Gaussian ansatz in what follows.

The RMS radius calculated with the wave function (16) is

$$r_{\text{rms}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}R}{2} = \frac{3\sqrt{3\pi}\hbar^2}{2(N-1)Gm^3}, \quad (20)$$

and the RMS speed is

$$v_{\text{rms}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}\hbar}{mR}. \quad (21)$$

The non-relativistic approximation is applicable for $v_{\text{rms}} \lesssim 0.1c$. Making use of equations (19) and (21), this relation translates into the constraint on the particle number and mass,

$$Nm^2 < \frac{0.1\hbar c\sqrt{3\pi}}{G} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{g}^2. \quad (22)$$

C. Gravitational potential

The Bose star solution is given by the product of N identical wave functions (16) each describing a particle with mass m . They create a gravitational potential $V(r)$ obeying the Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 V(r) = -4\pi G\rho(r), \quad (23)$$

where the mass density is

$$\rho = Nm\psi^\dagger\psi = Nm \left(\frac{2}{\pi R^2} \right)^{3/2} e^{-2r^2/R^2}. \quad (24)$$

The solution to Eq. (23) reads

$$V(r) = -GNm \frac{\text{erf}(\sqrt{2}r/R)}{r} \approx -GNm \begin{cases} \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}R} - \frac{4\sqrt{2}r^2}{3\sqrt{\pi}R^3} & (r \ll R), \\ \frac{1}{r} & (r \gg R). \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

On the boundary $r = r_{\text{rms}}$, the gravitational potential is

$$V(r_{\text{rms}}) = -\frac{2GNm}{\sqrt{3}R} \text{erf}\left(\sqrt{3/2}\right) \approx -0.2N^2 \frac{G^2 m^4}{\hbar^2}. \quad (26)$$

Thus, the escape velocity on the boundary is

$$v_{\text{esc}} = \sqrt{2|V(r_{\text{rms}})|} \approx 0.6NGm^2/\hbar. \quad (27)$$

Consider, for example, the QCD axion with mass $m = 10^{-5}$ eV and the total mass comparable with the mass of the Earth, $Nm = M_\oplus$. The size of this object is about $r_{\text{rms}} \approx 0.4$ m. The corresponding escape velocity at the boundary is $v_{\text{esc}} \approx 0.14c$.

If the DM particle mass is $m = 10^{-9}$ eV, the corresponding RMS radius and escape velocity are $r_{\text{rms}} \approx 6.3R_\oplus$, $v_{\text{esc}} \approx 4.3$ km/s.

D. Adding $\lambda\phi^4$ selfinteraction

The scalar field ϕ is related to the wave function (8) as

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\Psi. \quad (28)$$

Making use of the Gaussian ansatz (16), we find

$$\lambda \int \phi^4 d^3r = \frac{\lambda}{\pi^{3/2}m^2R^3}. \quad (29)$$

In the contribution to the energy, this expression should be multiplied by $N(N-1)/2$ which accounts for the pairwise particle interaction. Thus, the total energy of this field configuration reads

$$E = E_{\text{kin}} + E_{\text{grav}} + E_\lambda, \quad (30a)$$

$$E_{\text{kin}} = \frac{3N\hbar^2}{2mR^2}, \quad (30b)$$

$$E_{\text{grav}} = -\frac{N(N-1)Gm^2}{\sqrt{\pi}R}, \quad (30c)$$

$$E_\lambda = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \frac{\lambda\hbar^3}{\pi^{3/2}cm^2R^3}. \quad (30d)$$

This expression reaches its extrema at

$$R_\pm = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}\hbar^2}{2Gm^3(N-1)} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\lambda Gm^2(N-1)^2}{3\pi^2\hbar c}} \right). \quad (31)$$

The case $\lambda < 0$ corresponds to unstable solution because the energy (30) is unbounded from below in this case. However, there is a potential barrier separating energy minimum at R_+ in Eq. (31) from the collapse area close to $r = 0$, with the barrier maximum at R_- . In the case of small λ , we obtain minimum of energy

$$E_{\text{min}} = -\frac{N(N-1)^2 G^2 m^5}{6\pi \hbar^2} + O(\lambda) \quad (32)$$

at

$$R = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}\hbar^2}{Gm^3(N-1)} + \frac{\lambda\hbar(N-1)}{2\pi^{3/2}mc} + O(\lambda^2), \quad (33)$$

and maximum at small $R \propto \lambda$,

$$R = -\frac{\lambda\hbar(N-1)}{2\pi^{3/2}mc} + O(\lambda^2). \quad (34)$$

In the case of small λ this barrier will be sufficiently high to make the decay time of the metastable Bose star exponentially long, making this kind of Bose star potentially observable.

For positive selfinteraction constant, $\lambda > 0$, only “+” solution in Eq. (31) is physical. If λ is sufficiently small, the leading contribution has the same form as in Eq. (34). For strong selfinteraction, $\frac{2\lambda Gm^2(N-1)^2}{3\pi^2\hbar c} \gg 1$, the parameter R is independent of N ,

$$R = \frac{\hbar^{3/2}}{m^2} \sqrt{\frac{3\lambda}{2\pi cG}}. \quad (35)$$

III. IDEAL GAS DISTRIBUTION AROUND THE BOSE STAR

Let us consider an ideal gas with particles of mass m_{gas} in the gravitational potential $V(r) < 0$ at temperature T . Assuming that the total mass of the gas is sufficiently small so that the gravitational potential is not changed significantly, we can write the density distribution in the gas cloud,

$$\rho(r) = \rho_0 \exp\left(\frac{m_{\text{gas}}V(r)}{k_{\text{B}}T}\right). \quad (36)$$

The total mass of the gas cloud is

$$M_{\text{gas}} = 4\pi\rho_0 \int_0^\infty \exp\left(\frac{m_{\text{gas}}V(r)}{k_{\text{B}}T}\right) r^2 dr. \quad (37)$$

The gas at every point should follow the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution

$$f(v) = 4\pi v^2 \left(\frac{m_{\text{gas}}}{2\pi k_{\text{B}}T}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{m_{\text{gas}}v^2}{2k_{\text{B}}T}}. \quad (38)$$

This distribution, however, should be truncated at $v = v_{\text{esc}}$. Note that $v_{\text{rms}} = \sqrt{3k_{\text{B}}T/m_{\text{gas}}}$.

At the Earth's surface, the temperature is about $T = 300$ K. Empirically, we find the condition for the gas molecules to be captured by the gravitational field of the Earth:

$$v_{\text{rms}} \lesssim 0.1v_{\text{esc}}. \quad (39)$$

This corresponds to the escape probability suppressed by the exponential factor in the distribution (38) $\exp(-\frac{3}{2}\frac{v_{\text{esc}}^2}{v_{\text{rms}}^2}) \lesssim \exp(-150)$. For the Earth, this condition implies that the gas molecules with mass number $A < 6$ escape from the gravitational attraction. This is confirmed by the fact that the fractions of hydrogen and helium gases in the Earth's atmosphere are very low.

In the case of Bose stars, making use of Eq. (27) the above condition may be cast in the form

$$m_{\text{gas}} > \frac{830k_{\text{B}}T\hbar^2}{N^2G^2m^4}, \quad (40)$$

Representing the gas particle mass as $m_{\text{gas}} = Am_p$, with m_p the proton mass, we find the condition on the atomic number A of gas particles captured near the surface of the Bose star,

$$\begin{aligned} A &> \frac{830k_{\text{B}}T\hbar^2}{N^2G^2m^4m_p} \\ &= 1.35 \cdot 10^{-29} \left(\frac{M_\odot}{M}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\text{eV}}{m}\right)^2 \frac{T}{10\text{K}}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The temperature in cold molecular clouds in our Galaxy is typically in the range from 10 to 20 K. Assuming $T = 10$ K, we estimate the possibility of capture




FIG. 1: Relation between the mass and radius of the Bose star M (in units of solar mass and radius) and the scalar particle mass m , assuming that this object can gravitationally bound gas particles with atomic mass numbers A exceeding 1, 10 and 100. The gas is assumed to have temperature $T = 10$ K.

of gases in the fuzzy dark matter with $m = 10^{-22}$ eV and total mass of the object $Nm = 10^7 M_\odot$:

$$A > 13. \quad (42)$$

Thus, this object can accumulate only heavy atoms and molecules, as well as dust particles.

In Fig. 1, we present plots of mass (and radius) of the Bose star as a function of scalar particle mass m which can capture molecules with atomic mass numbers $A = 1, 10, \text{ and } 100$.

If radius R of Bose star is small, there will be too little matter inside the star and impossible to observe it. However, matter may be captured to distant orbits, but this will require bigger mass M of the star, since the escape velocity will be smaller; for matter at distance r , the required star mass is $M(r) = M_0R/r$, where M_0 is the star mass required to capture matter inside.

Cold molecular gas and dust may also be captured by large axion clusters.

If matter capture conditions are fulfilled inside Bose star for all A , there will be violation of such conditions outside Bose star at some distance r from the centre. Firstly, this condition will be violated for $A=1$, going further, for higher A . Therefore, there will be separation of heavy and light elements outside the Bose star. This is important for Bose star of large mass and small radius.

IV. SUMMARY

We applied the analytic Gaussian solution for Bose star density to study the distribution of interstellar gas particles within the gravitational field of a Bose star, assuming the gas does not significantly perturb the star's gravitational field. We examined the conditions under which interstellar gas particles with a given atomic number A could escape the gravitational attraction of a Bose star

with a total mass M composed of scalar dark matter particles of mass m . Our findings indicate that, for a range of values of m and M , the Bose star can capture atoms, molecules, and dust particles.

A small cloud of interstellar gas cannot form a gravitationally bound state without an additional gravitational source. Thus, the observation of such a gravitationally bound gas cloud would suggest it is held by the gravitational field of a Bose star or a dark matter cluster. Due to differences in escape velocities between light and heavy molecules, molecular clouds captured by a Bose

star may exhibit higher metallicity compared to typical giant molecular clouds. The discovery of small, gravitationally bound molecular clouds with high metallicity in the interstellar medium would provide compelling evidence supporting this dark matter model.

Acknowledgements.— We are grateful to John Webb for interest in this work and useful discussions. The work was supported by the Australian Research Council Grants No. DP230101058 and No. DP200100150.

-
- [1] R. Ruffini and S. Bonazzola, *Phys. Rev.* **187**, 1767 (1969).
 [2] I. Tkachev, *Sov. Astron. Lett.* **12**, 305–308 (1986).
 [3] D. G. Levkov, A. G. Panin, and I. I. Tkachev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 011301 (2017).
 [4] D. J. E. Marsh, *Physics Reports* **643**, 1 (2016).
 [5] B. Eggemeier and J. C. Niemeyer, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 063528 (2019).
 [6] J. Chen, X. Du, E. W. Lentz, D. J. E. Marsh, and J. C. Niemeyer, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 083022 (2021), [arXiv:2011.01333 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
 [7] H.-Y. Schive, T. Chiueh, and T. Broadhurst, *Nature Phys.* **10**, 496 (2014), [arXiv:1406.6586 \[astro-ph.GA\]](#).
 [8] J. Veltmaat and J. C. Niemeyer, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 123523 (2016), [arXiv:1608.00802 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
 [9] L. Visinelli, S. Baum, J. Redondo, K. Freese, and F. Wilczek, *Physics Letters B* **777**, 64 (2018).
 [10] M. Membrado, A. F. Pacheco, and J. Sañudo, *Phys. Rev. A* **39**, 4207 (1989).
 [11] P.-H. Chavanis, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 043531 (2011).