Gravitational form factors of pion from top-down holographic QCD

Daisuke Fujii^(D),^{1,2,*} Akihiro Iwanaka^(D),^{2,†} and Mitsuru Tanaka^(D),^{3,‡}

¹Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA), Tokai, 319-1195, Japan

²Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, Ibaraki 567-0048, Japan

³Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

The gravitational form factors (GFFs) of pions has been calculated from a top-down holographic QCD approach with momentum transfer dependence for the first time. It is important because the GFFs of hadrons have information on the internal stress distribution that may provide insight into the mechanism of how QCD forms hadrons. The forward limit value of this GFFs, i.e. the D-term, was also obtained. Furthermore, in this approach, we observe the so-called glueball dominance, in which pions have gravitational interactions via infinite glueball spectra.

Introduction.— How forces act inside hadrons to confine quarks and gluons forming hadrons has not been solved. Addressing this question is inseparable from developing an insight into the non-perturbative properties of quantum chromodynamics (QCD), such as confinement and spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking, which is a crucial problem in modern physics.

Recently, the stress inside hadrons has attracted attention (see a recent review [1, 2]) due to the fact that experimentally it has been measured. The stress distribution inside nucleons, extracted from the matrix elements of the nucleon energy-momentum tensor (EMT), is obtained from deeply virtual Compton scattering [3–5]. The form factors that characterize the matrix elements are called gravitational form factors (GFFs), which are calculated theoretically from their coupling with gravity.

The forces inside nucleons were analyzed experimentally in Ref. [6] for the quark part (2018) and in Ref. [7] for the gluon part (2022). Experimental results show that around the center of the nucleon, the force pushes the quarks outwards, while around the surface it reverses into a confining force, indicating an extremely anisotropic behavior. As a result, the pressure near the center of the nucleon may reach the highest value currently observed in nature. The pion GFFs have also been analyzed experimentally in Ref. [8–10]. The GFFs of nucleons [11–18] and pions [13, 17, 19–23] have also been measured by lattice QCD analysis, a first-principles numerical simulation of QCD.

In this letter, we are the first to calculate the momentum transfer dependence of the pion GFFs from a top-down holographic QCD approach. We use the Sakai-Sugimoto model, a top-down holographic QCD model based on the D4-D8 brane construction [24, 25]. With this approach, the spectroscopy [24, 26–37], decay widths and electromagnetic form factors [25, 38–60] of mesons, baryons and glueballs have been investigated with strong coupling and large N_c limit. Recently, using the same approach, nucleon GFFs have been formulated and Dterms have been estimated [61]. The GFFs of pions [62] and other hadrons [63–68] have also been calculated using bottom-up holographic QCD, which has no direct connection with QCD through string theory.

It is interesting that stress distributions and GFFs of pions[69–87], which are the focus of this letter, show anomalous behavior. The stress distribution inside hadrons is very sensitive to interactions in the system. The forward limit of the GFFs giving the stress distribution is called the D-term, which takes the value -1 for spin-zero particles in the free Klein-Gordon theory. On the other hand, even an infinitesimally small interaction causes a significant deviation from -1 [75].

However, the D-term of the Nambu-Goldstone (NG) bosons in the strongly coupled system becomes -1 like the free particles, in the soft pion limit because of the restriction by chiral symmetry [69, 75, 88]. This fact may provide some insight into the role of chiral symmetry breaking in hadron formation since the D-term carries information about the forces inside hadrons. These insights are expected to be validated in more detail via analysis of hadron GFFs from future hadron experiments [89–93].

Formulation.— In this section, we provide the method to derive the GFFs of pions in the top-down holographic QCD [24, 25]. The method for calculating the GFFs of nucleons with spin 1/2 has been formulated in Ref. [61] and will be applied in the following.

The matrix elements of EMT of a spin-0 particle are expressed as

$$\langle \pi^{a}(p_{2})|\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x)|\pi^{b}(p_{1})\rangle$$

$$= \delta^{ab} \Big[2P^{\mu}P^{\nu}A(t) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^{\mu}\Delta^{\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{2})D(t) \Big] e^{ix\cdot\Delta},$$

$$(1)$$

where a, b are the indices for isospin and the kinematic variables are defined as $P^{\mu} = (p_1^{\mu} + p_2^{\mu})/2$, $\Delta^{\mu} = p_2^{\mu} - p_1^{\mu}$ and $t = \Delta^2$ with Lorentz indices μ, ν [1, 94, 95]. In this paper, we employ the mostly plus metric $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ and the normalization condition as $\langle \vec{p_2} | \vec{p_1} \rangle = 2E(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p_1} - \vec{p_2}), \quad E = \sqrt{\vec{p_1}^2 + m^2}.$ The method for calculating the matrix elements of

The method for calculating the matrix elements of the EMT by holographic QCD is as follows. Within the framework of holographic QCD, perturbations to the metric caused by matter fields in the bulk propagate to the boundary, allowing us to extract the expectation values of the EMT in the boundary theory from these perturbations. The top-down holographic QCD used in this study, the Sakai-Sugimoto model, consists of N_f D8 branes embedded as a probe in black 4-brane background [96], a holographic dual of N_c D4 branes. This background is a 10-dimensional curved spacetime of type IIA supergravity, derived from 11-dimensional supergravity related to M-theory on doubly Wick rotated AdS₇ black hole $\times S^4$,

$$ds^{2} = \frac{r^{2}}{L^{2}} \left[f(r) d\tau^{2} - dx_{0}^{2} + dx_{1}^{2} + dx_{2}^{2} + dx_{3}^{2} + dx_{11}^{2} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{L^{2}}{r^{2}} \frac{dr^{2}}{f(r)} + \frac{L^{2}}{4} d\Omega_{4}^{2},$$

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{R^{6}}{r^{6}}, \quad R = \frac{L^{2} M_{KK}}{3}, \quad L^{3} = 8\pi g_{s} N_{c} l_{s}^{3},$$

$$R_{11} = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi N_{c} M_{KK}}, \quad \lambda N_{c} = \frac{L^{6} M_{KK}}{32\pi g_{s} l_{s}^{5}} = 216\pi^{3}\kappa,$$

$$(2)$$

where M_{KK} is the Kaluza-Klein mass in the τ direction, g_s string coupling, l_s string length, λ 't Hooft coupling, and R_{11} the radius of the circle compactified in the x_{11} direction.

The expectation values of EMT on the boundary, $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$, can be extracted from the boundary values of the metric fluctuations in the bulk in the background space-time (2) propagated to the boundary as follows,

$$\int_{x_{11},\tau} \delta g_{\mu\nu} \sim \frac{2\kappa_7^2 L^5}{6} \frac{\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle}{r^4} + \dots, \tag{3}$$

where κ_7^2 is related to the 11-dimensional gravitational

constant as $\kappa_{11}^2 = \text{Vol}(S^4)\kappa_7^2 = 8\pi^2/3(L/2)^4\kappa_7^2$. Note that, to obtain the above, we need to apply the holographic renormalization, developed by Ref. [97, 98].

The metric fluctuations δg_{MN} of the bulk obey the following linearized Einstein equations:

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{MN} - \frac{g_{MN}}{2} \mathcal{H}_P^P = -2\kappa_7^2 \mathcal{T}_{MN},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} \equiv \nabla^2 \delta g_{MN} + \nabla_M \nabla_N \delta g_P^P \\
- \nabla^P (\nabla_M \delta g_{NP} + \nabla_N \delta g_{MP}) - \frac{12}{L^2} \delta g_{MN},$$
(4)

where M, N and P are the Lorenz indices of the 7dimensional space-time after integration over S^4 , as in $M, N, P = 0, 1, 2, 3, \tau, r, 11$, and the source \mathcal{T}_{MN} is the EMT of the matter fields which here considered only the pion field. We solve Eq. (4) under the axial gauge, $\delta g_{Mr} = 0$.

In order to examine the EMT \mathcal{T}_{MN} in the bulk, we embed the D8 branes into the 11-dimensional space, considering the action as

$$S_{D8} = -\frac{C}{2\pi R_{11}g_s} \int d\tau dx_{11} d^4 x dr d\Omega_4(\delta(\tau) + \delta(\tau - \pi/M_{KK})) \\ \times \frac{\sqrt{-G_{(11)}}}{\sqrt{G_{\tau\tau}}} \frac{1}{4} G^{MN} G^{PQ} \text{tr}[F_{MP}F_{NQ}] + ..., \quad (5)$$

with $C = (64\pi^6 l_s^5)^{-1}$ and the metric tensor G_{MN} in Eq. (2). We define the EMT as

$$\mathcal{T}_{MN} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-G_{(11)}}} \frac{\delta S_{D8}}{\delta G_{(11)}^{MN}} \text{Vol}(S^4), \tag{6}$$

which leads to the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{MN}(\vec{\Delta},r) &\equiv 2\pi R_{11} \int d\tau' \mathcal{T}_{MN}(\tau',\vec{k},r), \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}(\vec{\Delta},r) &= \frac{L^5}{r^5} \frac{\pi^2 C r^2 L^2}{3g_s \sqrt{f(r)}} \text{tr} \Big[F_{\mu\rho} F_{\nu}{}^{\rho} + (1+z^2)^{4/3} M_{KK}^2 F_{\mu z} F_{\nu z} - \frac{\eta_{\mu\nu}}{4} \Big(F_{\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} + 2(1+z^2)^{4/3} M_{KK}^2 F_{\rho z} F^{\rho}{}_z \Big) \Big], \\ \mathcal{T}_{11,11}(\vec{\Delta},r) &= \frac{L^5}{r^5} \frac{\pi^2 C r L^2}{3g_s \sqrt{f(r)}} \text{tr} \Big[-\frac{1}{4} F_{\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} - \frac{(1+z^2)^{4/3} M_{KK}^2}{2} F_{\rho z} F^{\rho z} \Big], \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mu z}(\vec{\Delta},r) &= \frac{L^5}{r^5} \frac{\pi^2 C r L^2}{3g_s \sqrt{f(r)}} \text{tr} \Big[F_{\mu\rho} F_z{}^{\rho} \Big], \quad \mathcal{T}_{zz}(\vec{\Delta},r) = \frac{L^5}{r^5} \frac{\pi^2 C r L^2}{3g_s \sqrt{f(r)}} \text{tr} \Big[\frac{1}{2} F_{z\rho} F_z{}^{\rho} - \frac{1}{4(1+z^2)^{4/3} M_{KK}^2} F_{\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} \Big], \end{aligned}$$
(7)

where the radial coordinate $r \ (R \leq r < \infty)$ is related to $z \ (-\infty < z < \infty)$ as $z = \pm \sqrt{r^6/R^6 - 1}$. In this study, we consider the case where the pion field is the only matter field as the source of the gravitational waves and decompose $A_M(x^{\nu}, z)$ as follows

$$A_{\mu}(x^{\nu}, z) = 0, \quad A_{z}(x^{\nu}, z) = \pi(x^{\mu})\phi_{0}(z), \qquad (8)$$

$$\phi_{0}(z) = c_{0}(1+z^{2})^{-1},$$

where $c_0^{-2} = 2M_{KK}^2 \kappa \int dz (1+z^2) \phi_0(z)^2$ is the normal-

ization constant of the eigenfunction $\phi_0(z)$ [24]. Since the pion field is an external line, it can be replaced by a plane wave as $\pi(x^{\nu}) \sim e^{ip_{\nu}x^{\nu}}$ with incoming momentum p_{ν} .

Since we are interested in the EMT of QCD at the boundary such as to satisfy $\Delta^{\mu} \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle = 0$, we consider the metric fluctuations that are transverse $\Delta^{\mu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} \sim$ 0 near the boundary, which can be parametrized as $\delta g_{ij} \sim \delta g_{ij}^{\text{TT}} + (\delta_{ij} - \Delta_i \Delta_j / \vec{\Delta}^2) / 5 \delta g_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$ with the transversetraceless (TT) part $\delta g_{ij}^{\text{TT}}$, i, j = 1, 2, 3 and $\alpha = \mu, \tau, 11$. Under the axial gauge, the Einstein equation requires the six-dimensional traceless condition $\delta g_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \sim 0$ in the asymptotic AdS region $(r \to \infty)$ labeled by (x^{μ}, τ, x^{11}) . Therefore, we consider TT modes in the metric fluctuation, which is nothing but glueball spectra. Among the 14 TT modes named in Ref. [27] (see also Ref. [26]), only T₄ and S₄ contribute to the EMT. Actually, substituting the pion field (8) into equation (15), we confirm that $\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{other}$ falls faster than T₄ and S₄ mode at $r \to \infty$. By decomposing the metric fluctuations into each mode, $\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{T}(2)} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{T}(0)} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{S}(0)} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{other}}$, the Einstein equations reduce to

$$\frac{r^2}{L^2} \left[\frac{1}{L^2 r^5} \partial_r \left((r^7 - rR^6) \partial_r \right) - \frac{L^2 \Delta^2}{r^2} \right] \frac{L^2}{r^2} \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{T}} = -2\kappa_7^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(9)
$$\frac{r^2}{L^2} \left[\frac{1}{L^2 r^5} \partial_r \left((r^7 - rR^6) \left(\partial_r + \frac{144r^5 R^6}{(5r^5 - 2R^6)(r^6 + 2R^6)} \right) \right) - \frac{L^2 \Delta^2}{r^2} \right] \frac{L^2}{r^2} \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{S}(0)} \Big|_{r \to \infty} = -2\kappa_7^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{S}(0)},$$
(10)

where the source of each mode is decomposed as $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{S}(0)} + \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{other}} \ (\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{T}} \equiv \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{T}(2)} + \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{T}(0)})$, and the two T₄-modes are combined as $\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{T}} = \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{T}(2)} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{T}(0)}$, since they obey the same equation.

Following the same manner as in Ref. [61], each part of EMT is written as

$$2\kappa_7^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\rm T} = 2\kappa_7^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} - 2\kappa_7^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\rm S} - 2\kappa_7^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\rm other},\tag{11}$$

$$2\kappa_7^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\rm S} = \frac{r^6 + 2R^6}{4(r^6 - R^6)} \Big(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\Delta_{\mu}\Delta_{\nu}}{\Delta^2}\Big) \Big[\frac{1}{L^4 r^3} \partial_r \big(r(r^6 - R^6)\partial_r(a+b) - 3R^6(a+b)\big) - \Delta^2 b\Big],\tag{12}$$

$$2\kappa_7^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{other}} = \frac{1}{L^4 r^3} \partial_r \Big[\Big(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\Delta_\mu \Delta_\nu}{\Delta^2} \Big) r(r^6 - R^6) \partial_r a - 3R^6 \eta_{\mu\nu} b \Big], \tag{13}$$

$$\partial_r a = -\frac{3R^6}{(5r^6 - 2R^6)}b + \frac{9r^{11}}{(5r^6 - 2R^6)R^6}2\kappa_7^2\mathcal{T}_{zz}, \quad b = -i\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{\Delta^2}\frac{r^6\sqrt{r^6 - R^6}}{R^9}2\kappa_7^2\mathcal{T}_{\mu z},\tag{14}$$

with the ansatz,

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\text{other}}(\vec{\Delta}, r) = \frac{r^2}{L^2} \frac{\Delta_{\mu} \Delta_{\nu}}{\Delta^2} a(r, \Delta),$$

$$\delta g_{11,11}^{\text{other}}(\vec{\Delta}, r) = \frac{r^2}{L^2} b(r, \Delta),$$

$$\delta g_{\tau\tau}^{\text{other}}(\vec{\Delta}, r) = \frac{r^2 f(r)}{L^2} (-b(r, \Delta)).$$
(15)

We determine the perturbation to the metric caused by the pion field as the bulk EMT source using Green's function method as follows,

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\rm T/S}(\vec{\Delta}, r) \sim \frac{r^2}{L^2} \int dr' \sqrt{-G_{(7)}(r')} G^{\rm T/S}(\vec{\Delta}, r, r') \\ \times \frac{L^2}{r'^2} 2\kappa_7^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\rm T/S}(\vec{\Delta}, r'), \quad (16)$$

where, for S₄ mode, we can write as this form in the $r \to \infty$ limit, and \sim implies this point. The Green's function $G^{\text{T/S}}$ is written as

$$G^{\mathrm{T/S}}(\vec{\Delta}, r, r') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_n^{\mathrm{T/S}}(r)\Psi_n^{\mathrm{T/S}}(r')}{(m_n^{\mathrm{T/S}})^2 + \vec{\Delta}^2}, \qquad (17)$$

by expanding in the complete system $\{\Psi_n^{T/S}(r)\}$ which obeys the eigenvalue equation,

$$\frac{1}{r^3 L^4} \partial_r \left((r^7 - rR^6) \partial_r \Psi_n^{\mathrm{T}} \right) = -(m_n^{\mathrm{T}})^2 \Psi_n^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{1}{r^3 L^4} \partial_r \Big((r^7 - rR^6) \Big(\partial_r + \frac{144r^3 R^6}{(5r^6 - 2R^6)(r^6 + 2R^6)} \Big) \Psi_n^{\rm S} \Big) \\= -(m_n^{\rm S})^2 \Psi_n^{\rm S}, \qquad (19)$$

where $m_n^{T/S}$ is n'th glueball mass of T/S mode and $\Psi_n^{T/S}(r)$ satisfy the completeness conditions,

$$\frac{r^3}{L^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi_n^{\text{T/S}}(r) \Psi_n^{\text{T/S}}(r') = \delta(r - r'),$$
$$\int_R^{\infty} dr \frac{r^3}{L^3} \Psi_n^{\text{T/S}}(r) \Psi_m^{\text{T/S}}(r) = \delta_{mn}.$$
(20)

Results.— Substituting the pion field into the EMT of the bulk, we read off the matrix elements of the boundary

EMT from Eq. (3) with the dictionary Eq. (16) as follows,

$$A(t) = \frac{6}{L^7} \int_R^\infty dr' \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\alpha_n^{\rm T} \Psi_n^{\rm T}(r')}{(m_n^{\rm T})^2 + \vec{\Delta}^2} \frac{r'^3}{L^3} P_{\rm t}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}(\vec{\Delta}, r'),$$
(21)

D(t) =

$$-\frac{6}{L^{7}}\int_{R}^{\infty} dr' \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{n}^{\mathrm{T}} \Psi_{n}^{\mathrm{T}}(r')}{(m_{n}^{\mathrm{T}})^{2} + \vec{\Delta}^{2}} \frac{r'^{3}}{L^{3}} P_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{S}} + \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{other}}) + \frac{6}{L^{7}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dr' \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{n}^{\mathrm{S}} \Psi_{n}^{\mathrm{S}}(r')}{(m_{n}^{\mathrm{S}})^{2} + \vec{\Delta}^{2}} \frac{r'^{3}}{L^{2}} P_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{S}}, \qquad (22)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{L^7} \int_R dr \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m_n^S)^2 + \vec{\Delta}^2} \overline{L^3} F_{\rm s}^* I_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (22)$$

$$\alpha^{\mathrm{T/S}} = \frac{(m_n^{1/S})^2 L^4}{6} \int_R^\infty dr r^3 \Psi_n^{\mathrm{T/S}}(r), \qquad (23)$$

where $P_t^{\mu\nu}$ and $P_s^{\mu\nu}$ are defined as $P_t^{\mu\nu} = (3P^{\mu}P^{\nu}/P^2 - \eta^{\mu\nu})/P^2$ and $P_s^{\mu\nu} = (P^{\mu}P^{\nu}/P^2 - \eta^{\mu\nu})/\Delta^2$.

To calculate infinite sums, we expand as

$$\frac{6}{L^7} \int_R^\infty dr' \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\alpha_n^{T/S} \Psi_n^{T/S}(r')}{(m_n^{T/S})^2 + \vec{\Delta}^2} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty F_k^{T/S}(r')(-\vec{\Delta}^2)^k,$$
$$F_k^{T/S}(r') = \int_R^\infty dr \frac{r^3}{L^3} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\Psi_n^{T/S}(r)\Psi_n^{T/S}(r')}{(m_n)^{2k}}.$$
(24)

From the eigenvalue equations (19), $F_k^{T/S}(r)$ satisfies the following equations:

$$\frac{1}{r^3 L^4} \partial_r \left((r^7 - rR^6) \partial_r F_k^{\rm T} \right) = -F_{k-1}^{\rm T}, \tag{25}$$

$$\frac{1}{r^3 L^4} \partial_r \left((r^7 - rR^6) \left(\partial_r + \frac{144r^3 R^6}{(5r^6 - 2R^6)(r^6 + 2R^6)} \right) F_k^{\rm S} \right) = -F_{k-1}^{\rm S}, \quad (26)$$

where we can prove $F_0^{T/S} = 1$ by using Eq. (20). We determine $F_k^{T/S}(r)$ by solving it as the recurrence formula with boundary conditions on $\partial_r F_k^{T/S}(r)|_{r\to R} = 1$, $F_k^{T/S}(r)|_{r\to\infty} = 0$.

We show the results of GFFs that truncate the expansion at k = 10 using Eq. (24) in FIG. 1 (also including results that truncate at k = 8,9), where we set $\kappa = 0.00745$ and $M_{KK} = 949$ MeV which are determined by ρ meson mass 776 MeV and the pion decay constant $f_{\pi} = 92.4$ MeV [25]. In the current calculation, D(t) begins to deviate from around $t \sim 0.5$ GeV² while A(t) converges well in the range 0 < t < 0.8 GeV² on the expansion up to k = 10 with momentum transfer. The current approach begins to deviate from QCD in the region where t is close to the M_{KK} , so further efforts are unnecessary.

In the top-down holographic QCD approach, the amplitude of D(t) dumps more rapidly than that of A(t), which is qualitatively consistent with the results of lattice QCD [23]. These differences are due to the contribution of S_4 modes to D(t), which is not observed in



FIG. 1. The pion GFFs A(t) and D(t) with the lattice data [23].

the bottom-up holographic QCD framework [62]. Also in this approach, as in previous studies [61], we observe the so-called glueball dominance, in which pions have gravitational interactions via infinite glueball spectra.

As you can see in FIG. 1, our result shows D(0) = -1. Note that this is a result of our calculations with loop effects in the large Nc limit, unlike the fact A(0) = 1, given by the general constraints. This is consistent with the low-energy theorem obtained in the soft pion limit [69, 75, 88]. The result is as expected since in our present work we consider the Sakai-Sugimoto model, which is the holographic dual of QCD in the chiral limit. Several methods have been proposed on how to introduce quark masses into this model [99–105], thus analysis beyond the chiral limit is a future work.

Summary and outlook.— We calculated the GFFs of pions from the top-down holographic QCD. We solve the Einstein equations in bulk theory with pion fields as matter fields and derived the GFFs using the dictionary (3). Our results are qualitatively compatible with those from lattice QCD [23] and successfully reproduce the feature where the behaviors of A(t) and D(t) are different, which is distinct from bottom-up holographic QCD [62]. Additionally, we obtained the D-term as D(0) = -1 in the chiral limit.

Finally, this study opens up several avenues for future research. First, it is possible to generalize to other hadrons, such as nucleons and vector mesons with nonzero spin. Also, it is very interesting to investigate beyond the chiral limit, because the connection between the Gell-Mann–Oakes–Renner relation and the stability of the stress distribution has been discussed in Ref. [73]. It is also important to investigate the behavior of the GFFs and the stress distribution inside hadrons under finite temperatures, densities, and magnetic fields, which change the nature of the interaction in the system.

Acknowledgements.— We are grateful to the authors of Ref. [23] for generously supplying the data tables utilized in FIG. 1. We also thank Atsushi Hosaka, Kei Suzuki and Shigeki Sugimoto for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI (Grant Nos. JP24K17054, JP24KJ1620).

- * daisuke@rcnp.osaka-u.ac.jp
- [†] iwanaka@rcnp.osaka-u.ac.jp
- [‡] tanaka@hken.phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp
- M. V. Polyakov and P. Schweitzer, Forces inside hadrons: pressure, surface tension, mechanical radius, and all that, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 33, 1830025 (2018), arXiv:1805.06596 [hep-ph].
- [2] V. D. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, F. X. Girod, C. Lorcé, P. Schweitzer, and P. E. Shanahan, Colloquium: Gravitational form factors of the proton, Rev. Mod. Phys. 95, 041002 (2023), arXiv:2303.08347 [hep-ph].
- [3] M. Diehl, Generalized parton distributions, Phys. Rept. 388, 41 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0307382.
- [4] A. V. Belitsky and A. V. Radyushkin, Unraveling hadron structure with generalized parton distributions, Phys. Rept. 418, 1 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0504030.
- [5] C. Mezrag, An Introductory Lecture on Generalised Parton Distributions, Few Body Syst. 63, 62 (2022), arXiv:2207.13584 [hep-ph].
- [6] V. D. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, and F. X. Girod, The pressure distribution inside the proton, Nature 557, 396 (2018).
- [7] B. Duran *et al.*, Determining the gluonic gravitational form factors of the proton, Nature **615**, 813 (2023), arXiv:2207.05212 [nucl-ex].
- [8] S. Uehara *et al.* (Belle), Measurement of $\gamma \gamma^* \rightarrow \pi^0$ transition form factor at Belle, Phys. Rev. D 86, 092007 (2012), arXiv:1205.3249 [hep-ex].
- [9] M. Masuda *et al.* (Belle), Study of π^0 pair production in single-tag two-photon collisions, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 032003 (2016), arXiv:1508.06757 [hep-ex].
- [10] S. Kumano, Q.-T. Song, and O. V. Teryaev, Hadron tomography by generalized distribution amplitudes in pion-pair production process $\gamma^* \gamma \to \pi^0 \pi^0$ and gravitational form factors for pion, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 014020 (2018), arXiv:1711.08088 [hep-ph].
- [11] P. Hagler *et al.* (LHPC), Nucleon Generalized Parton Distributions from Full Lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 77, 094502 (2008), arXiv:0705.4295 [hep-lat].
- [12] G. Bali, S. Collins, M. Göckeler, R. Rödl, A. Schäfer, and A. Sternbeck, Nucleon generalized form factors from

lattice QCD with nearly physical quark masses, PoS LATTICE2015, 118 (2016), arXiv:1601.04818 [hep-lat].

- [13] P. E. Shanahan and W. Detmold, Gluon gravitational form factors of the nucleon and the pion from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 99, 014511 (2019), arXiv:1810.04626 [hep-lat].
- [14] C. Alexandrou, M. Constantinou, K. Hadjiyiannakou, K. Jansen, C. Kallidonis, G. Koutsou, and A. Vaquero Avilés-Casco, Nucleon spin structure from lattice QCD, PoS **DIS2018**, 148 (2018), arXiv:1807.11214 [hep-lat].
- [15] C. Alexandrou *et al.*, Moments of nucleon generalized parton distributions from lattice QCD simulations at physical pion mass, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 034519 (2020), arXiv:1908.10706 [hep-lat].
- [16] C. Alexandrou, S. Bacchio, M. Constantinou, J. Finkenrath, K. Hadjiyiannakou, K. Jansen, G. Koutsou, H. Panagopoulos, and G. Spanoudes, Complete flavor decomposition of the spin and momentum fraction of the proton using lattice QCD simulations at physical pion mass, Phys. Rev. D 101, 094513 (2020), arXiv:2003.08486 [hep-lat].
- [17] D. A. Pefkou, D. C. Hackett, and P. E. Shanahan, Gluon gravitational structure of hadrons of different spin, Phys. Rev. D 105, 054509 (2022), arXiv:2107.10368 [hep-lat].
- [18] D. C. Hackett, D. A. Pefkou, and P. E. Shanahan, Gravitational Form Factors of the Proton from Lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. **132**, 251904 (2024), arXiv:2310.08484 [hep-lat].
- [19] D. Brommel, M. Diehl, M. Gockeler, P. Hagler, R. Horsley, D. Pleiter, P. E. L. Rakow, A. Schafer, G. Schierholz, and J. M. Zanotti, Structure of the pion from full lattice QCD, PoS LAT2005, 360 (2006), arXiv:heplat/0509133.
- [20] D. Brommel, Pion Structure from the Lattice, Ph.D. thesis, Regensburg U. (2007).
- [21] Y.-B. Yang, Y. Chen, T. Draper, M. Gong, K.-F. Liu, Z. Liu, and J.-P. Ma, Meson Mass Decomposition from Lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 074516 (2015), arXiv:1405.4440 [hep-ph].
- [22] M. Löffler, P. Wein, T. Wurm, S. Weishäupl, D. Jenkins, R. Rödl, A. Schäfer, and L. Walter (RQCD), Mellin moments of spin dependent and independent PDFs of the pion and rho meson, Phys. Rev. D 105, 014505 (2022), arXiv:2108.07544 [hep-lat].
- [23] D. C. Hackett, P. R. Oare, D. A. Pefkou, and P. E. Shanahan, Gravitational form factors of the pion from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 108, 114504 (2023), arXiv:2307.11707 [hep-lat].
- [24] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, Low energy hadron physics in holographic QCD, Prog. Theor. Phys. 113, 843 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0412141.
- [25] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, More on a holographic dual of QCD, Prog. Theor. Phys. **114**, 1083 (2005), arXiv:hepth/0507073.
- [26] N. R. Constable and R. C. Myers, Spin two glueballs, positive energy theorems and the AdS / CFT correspondence, JHEP 10, 037, arXiv:hep-th/9908175.
- [27] R. C. Brower, S. D. Mathur, and C.-I. Tan, Glueball spectrum for QCD from AdS supergravity duality, Nucl. Phys. B 587, 249 (2000), arXiv:hep-th/0003115.
- [28] H. Hata, T. Sakai, S. Sugimoto, and S. Yamato, Baryons from instantons in holographic QCD, Prog. Theor.

Phys. 117, 1157 (2007), arXiv:hep-th/0701280.

- [29] K. Hashimoto, T. Hirayama, and D. K. Hong, Quark Mass Dependence of Hadron Spectrum in Holographic QCD, Phys. Rev. D 81, 045016 (2010), arXiv:0906.0402 [hep-th].
- [30] K. Hashimoto, N. Iizuka, T. Ishii, and D. Kadoh, Three-flavor quark mass dependence of baryon spectra in holographic QCD, Phys. Lett. B 691, 65 (2010), arXiv:0910.1179 [hep-th].
- [31] T. Ishii, Toward Bound-State Approach to Strangeness in Holographic QCD, Phys. Lett. B 695, 392 (2011), arXiv:1009.0986 [hep-th].
- [32] Y. Liu and I. Zahed, Heavy Baryons and their Exotics from Instantons in Holographic QCD, Phys. Rev. D 95, 116012 (2017), arXiv:1704.03412 [hep-ph].
- [33] Y. Liu and I. Zahed, Heavy and Strange Holographic Baryons, Phys. Rev. D 96, 056027 (2017), arXiv:1705.01397 [hep-ph].
- [34] Y. Liu, M. A. Nowak, and I. Zahed, Holographic tetraquarks and the newly observed Tcc+ at LHCb, Phys. Rev. D 105, 054021 (2022), arXiv:1909.02497 [hep-ph].
- [35] D. Fujii and A. Hosaka, Heavy baryons in holographic QCD with higher dimensional degrees of freedom, Phys. Rev. D 101, 126008 (2020), arXiv:2003.13415 [hep-ph].
- [36] H. Suganuma and K. Hori, Topological Objects in Holographic QCD, Phys. Scripta 95, 074014 (2020), arXiv:2003.07127 [hep-th].
- [37] Y. Liu, M. A. Nowak, and I. Zahed, Holographic charm and bottom pentaquarks. I. Mass spectra with spin effects, Phys. Rev. D 104, 114021 (2021), arXiv:2108.04334 [hep-ph].
- [38] K. Hashimoto, C.-I. Tan, and S. Terashima, Glueball decay in holographic QCD, Phys. Rev. D 77, 086001 (2008), arXiv:0709.2208 [hep-th].
- [39] K. Hashimoto, T. Sakai, and S. Sugimoto, Holographic Baryons: Static Properties and Form Factors from Gauge/String Duality, Prog. Theor. Phys. **120**, 1093 (2008), arXiv:0806.3122 [hep-th].
- [40] K.-Y. Kim and I. Zahed, Electromagnetic Baryon Form Factors from Holographic QCD, JHEP 09, 007, arXiv:0807.0033 [hep-th].
- [41] H. R. Grigoryan, T. S. H. Lee, and H.-U. Yee, Electromagnetic Nucleon-to-Delta Transition in Holographic QCD, Phys. Rev. D 80, 055006 (2009), arXiv:0904.3710 [hep-ph].
- [42] C. A. Ballon Bayona, H. Boschi-Filho, N. R. F. Braga, and M. A. C. Torres, Form factors of vector and axialvector mesons in holographic D4-D8 model, JHEP 01, 052, arXiv:0911.0023 [hep-th].
- [43] C. A. B. Bayona, H. Boschi-Filho, N. R. F. Braga, and M. A. C. Torres, Scattering vector mesons in D4 / D8 model, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **199**, 119 (2010), arXiv:0912.0191 [hep-th].
- [44] C. A. Ballon Bayona, H. Boschi-Filho, N. R. F. Braga, and M. A. C. Torres, Deep inelastic scattering for vector mesons in holographic D4-D8 model, JHEP 10, 055, arXiv:1007.2448 [hep-th].
- [45] A. Cherman and T. Ishii, Long-distance properties of baryons in the Sakai-Sugimoto model, Phys. Rev. D 86, 045011 (2012), arXiv:1109.4665 [hep-th].
- [46] C. A. B. Bayona, H. Boschi-Filho, N. R. F. Braga, M. Ihl, and M. A. C. Torres, Generalized baryon form factors and proton structure functions in the

Sakai-Sugimoto model, Nucl. Phys. B 866, 124 (2013), arXiv:1112.1439 [hep-ph].

- [47] M. Harada and M. Rho, Integrating Holographic Vector Dominance to Hidden Local Symmetry for the Nucleon Form Factor, Phys. Rev. D 83, 114040 (2011), arXiv:1102.5489 [hep-ph].
- [48] F. Brünner, D. Parganlija, and A. Rebhan, Glueball Decay Rates in the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto Model, Phys. Rev. D 91, 106002 (2015), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 93, 109903 (2016)], arXiv:1501.07906 [hep-ph].
- [49] S.-w. Li, Glueball-baryon interactions in holographic QCD, Phys. Lett. B 773, 142 (2017), arXiv:1509.06914 [hep-th].
- [50] O. C. Druks, P. H. C. Lau, and I. Zahed, Electromagnetic and Axial Current Form Factors and Spectroscopy of Three-Flavor Holographic Baryons, Phys. Rev. D 99, 054022 (2019), arXiv:1807.05956 [hep-ph].
- [51] D. Fujii and A. Hosaka, Decay properties of Roper resonance in the holographic QCD, Phys. Rev. D 104, 014022 (2021), arXiv:2104.02227 [hep-ph].
- [52] Y. Liu, M. A. Nowak, and I. Zahed, Holographic charm and bottom pentaquarks. II. Open and hidden decay widths, Phys. Rev. D 104, 114022 (2021), arXiv:2108.07074 [hep-ph].
- [53] A. Iwanaka, D. Fujii, and A. Hosaka, Decay properties of N(1535) in the holographic QCD, Phys. Rev. D 105, 114057 (2022), arXiv:2205.06054 [hep-ph].
- [54] D. Fujii, A. Iwanaka, and A. Hosaka, Electromagnetic transition amplitude for Roper resonance from holographic QCD, Phys. Rev. D 106, 014010 (2022), arXiv:2203.13988 [hep-ph].
- [55] D. Fujii, Dynamical properties of baryon resonances in the holographic QCD, Ph.D. thesis, Osaka U. (2023).
- [56] F. Bigazzi and F. Castellani, Resonance contributions to nucleon spin structure in holographic QCD, JHEP 04, 037, arXiv:2308.16833 [hep-ph].
- [57] F. Hechenberger, J. Leutgeb, and A. Rebhan, Radiative meson and glueball decays in the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model, Phys. Rev. D 107, 114020 (2023), arXiv:2302.13379 [hep-ph].
- [58] G. Ramalho and M. T. Peña, Electromagnetic transition form factors of baryon resonances, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 136, 104097 (2024), arXiv:2306.13900 [hep-ph].
- [59] F. Castellani, Nucleon electric and magnetic polarizabilities in Holographic QCD, (2024), arXiv:2402.07553 [hep-ph].
- [60] F. Hechenberger, J. Leutgeb, and A. Rebhan, Spin-1 glueballs in the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model, Phys. Rev. D 109, 074014 (2024), arXiv:2401.17986 [hep-ph].
- [61] M. Fujita, Y. Hatta, S. Sugimoto, and T. Ueda, Nucleon D-term in holographic quantum chromodynamics, PTEP 2022, 093B06 (2022), arXiv:2206.06578 [hep-th].
- [62] Z. Abidin and C. E. Carlson, Gravitational Form Factors in the Axial Sector from an AdS/QCD Model, Phys. Rev. D 77, 115021 (2008), arXiv:0804.0214 [hep-ph].
- [63] Z. Abidin and C. E. Carlson, Gravitational form factors of vector mesons in an AdS/QCD model, Phys. Rev. D 77, 095007 (2008), arXiv:0801.3839 [hep-ph].
- [64] Z. Abidin and C. E. Carlson, Nucleon electromagnetic and gravitational form factors from holography, Phys. Rev. D 79, 115003 (2009), arXiv:0903.4818 [hep-ph].
- [65] K. A. Mamo and I. Zahed, Diffractive photoproduction of J/ψ and Υ using holographic QCD: gravitational form factors and GPD of gluons in the proton, Phys.

Rev. D 101, 086003 (2020), arXiv:1910.04707 [hep-ph].

- [66] D. Chakrabarti, C. Mondal, A. Mukherjee, S. Nair, and X. Zhao, Gravitational form factors and mechanical properties of proton in a light-front quarkdiquark model, Phys. Rev. D 102, 113011 (2020), arXiv:2010.04215 [hep-ph].
- [67] K. A. Mamo and I. Zahed, Nucleon mass radii and distribution: Holographic QCD, Lattice QCD and GlueX data, Phys. Rev. D 103, 094010 (2021), arXiv:2103.03186 [hep-ph].
- [68] K. A. Mamo and I. Zahed, J/ψ near threshold in holographic QCD: A and D gravitational form factors, Phys. Rev. D 106, 086004 (2022), arXiv:2204.08857 [hep-ph].
- [69] M. V. Polyakov and C. Weiss, Skewed and double distributions in pion and nucleon, Phys. Rev. D 60, 114017 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9902451.
- [70] W. Broniowski and E. Ruiz Arriola, Gravitational and higher-order form factors of the pion in chiral quark models, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 094011 (2008), arXiv:0809.1744 [hep-ph].
- [71] T. Frederico, E. Pace, B. Pasquini, and G. Salme, Pion Generalized Parton Distributions with covariant and Light-front constituent quark models, Phys. Rev. D 80, 054021 (2009), arXiv:0907.5566 [hep-ph].
- [72] P. Masjuan, E. Ruiz Arriola, and W. Broniowski, Meson dominance of hadron form factors and large-N_c phenomenology, Phys. Rev. D 87, 014005 (2013), arXiv:1210.0760 [hep-ph].
- [73] H.-D. Son and H.-C. Kim, Stability of the pion and the pattern of chiral symmetry breaking, Phys. Rev. D 90, 111901 (2014), arXiv:1410.1420 [hep-ph].
- [74] C. Fanelli, E. Pace, G. Romanelli, G. Salme, and M. Salmistraro, Pion Generalized Parton Distributions within a fully covariant constituent quark model, Eur. Phys. J. C 76, 253 (2016), arXiv:1603.04598 [hep-ph].
- [75] J. Hudson and P. Schweitzer, D term and the structure of pointlike and composed spin-0 particles, Phys. Rev. D 96, 114013 (2017), arXiv:1712.05316 [hep-ph].
- [76] A. Freese, A. Freese, I. C. Cloët, and I. C. Cloët, Gravitational form factors of light mesons, Phys. Rev. C 100, 015201 (2019), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.C 105, 059901 (2022)], arXiv:1903.09222 [nucl-th].
- [77] A. F. Krutov and V. E. Troitsky, Pion gravitational form factors in a relativistic theory of composite particles, Phys. Rev. D 103, 014029 (2021), arXiv:2010.11640 [hep-ph].
- [78] E. Shuryak and I. Zahed, Nonperturbative quarkantiquark interactions in mesonic form factors, Phys. Rev. D 103, 054028 (2021), arXiv:2008.06169 [hep-ph].
- [79] G. F. de Téramond, H. G. Dosch, T. Liu, R. S. Sufian, S. J. Brodsky, and A. Deur (HLFHS), Gluon matter distribution in the proton and pion from extended holographic light-front QCD, Phys. Rev. D 104, 114005 (2021), arXiv:2107.01231 [hep-ph].
- [80] K. Raya, Z.-F. Cui, L. Chang, J.-M. Morgado, C. D. Roberts, and J. Rodriguez-Quintero, Revealing pion and kaon structure via generalised parton distributions *, Chin. Phys. C 46, 013105 (2022), arXiv:2109.11686 [hep-ph].
- [81] X.-B. Tong, J.-P. Ma, and F. Yuan, Gluon gravitational form factors at large momentum transfer, Phys. Lett. B 823, 136751 (2021), arXiv:2101.02395 [hep-ph].
- [82] A. F. Krutov and V. E. Troitsky, Relativistic compositeparticle theory of the gravitational form factors of the

pion: Quantitative results, Phys. Rev. D **106**, 054013 (2022), arXiv:2201.04991 [hep-ph].

- [83] Y.-Z. Xu, M. Ding, K. Raya, C. D. Roberts, J. Rodríguez-Quintero, and S. M. Schmidt, Pion and kaon electromagnetic and gravitational form factors, Eur. Phys. J. C 84, 191 (2024), arXiv:2311.14832 [hepph].
- [84] Y. Li and J. P. Vary, Stress inside the pion in holographic light-front QCD, Phys. Rev. D 109, L051501 (2024), arXiv:2312.02543 [hep-th].
- [85] W. Broniowski and E. Ruiz Arriola, Gravitational form factors of the pion and meson dominance, (2024), arXiv:2405.07815 [hep-ph].
- [86] W.-Y. Liu, E. Shuryak, C. Weiss, and I. Zahed, Pion gravitational form factors in the QCD instanton vacuum I, (2024), arXiv:2405.14026 [hep-ph].
- [87] W.-Y. Liu, E. Shuryak, and I. Zahed, Pion gravitational form factors in the QCD instanton vacuum II, (2024), arXiv:2405.16269 [hep-ph].
- [88] J. F. Donoghue and H. Leutwyler, Energy and momentum in chiral theories, Z. Phys. C 52, 343 (1991).
- [89] V. D. Burkert, Jefferson Lab at 12 GeV: The Science Program, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 68, 405 (2018).
- [90] R. Abdul Khalek *et al.*, Science Requirements and Detector Concepts for the Electron-Ion Collider: EIC Yellow Report, Nucl. Phys. A **1026**, 122447 (2022), arXiv:2103.05419 [physics.ins-det].
- [91] D. P. Anderle *et al.*, Electron-ion collider in China, Front. Phys. (Beijing) **16**, 64701 (2021), arXiv:2102.09222 [nucl-ex].
- [92] F. Georges *et al.* (Jefferson Lab Hall A), Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering Cross Section at High Bjorken xB, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 252002 (2022), arXiv:2201.03714 [hep-ph].
- [93] G. Christiaens *et al.* (CLAS), First CLAS12 Measurement of Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering Beam-Spin Asymmetries in the Extended Valence Region, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 211902 (2023), arXiv:2211.11274 [hepex].
- [94] I. Y. Kobzarev and L. B. Okun, GRAVITATIONAL IN-TERACTION OF FERMIONS, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 43, 1904 (1962).
- [95] H. Pagels, Energy-Momentum Structure Form Factors of Particles, Phys. Rev. 144, 1250 (1966).
- [96] E. Witten, Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 505 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9803131.
- [97] S. de Haro, S. N. Solodukhin, and K. Skenderis, Holographic reconstruction of space-time and renormalization in the AdS / CFT correspondence, Commun. Math. Phys. 217, 595 (2001), arXiv:hep-th/0002230.
- [98] I. Kanitscheider, K. Skenderis, and M. Taylor, Precision holography for non-conformal branes, JHEP 09, 094, arXiv:0807.3324 [hep-th].
- [99] R. Casero, E. Kiritsis, and A. Paredes, Chiral symmetry breaking as open string tachyon condensation, Nucl. Phys. B 787, 98 (2007), arXiv:hep-th/0702155.
- [100] K. Hashimoto, T. Hirayama, and A. Miwa, Holographic QCD and pion mass, JHEP 06, 020, arXiv:hepth/0703024.
- [101] O. Bergman, S. Seki, and J. Sonnenschein, Quark mass and condensate in HQCD, JHEP 12, 037, arXiv:0708.2839 [hep-th].
- [102] A. Dhar and P. Nag, Sakai-Sugimoto model, Tachyon

Condensation and Chiral symmetry Breaking, JHEP 01, 055, arXiv:0708.3233 [hep-th].

- [103] K. Hashimoto, T. Hirayama, F.-L. Lin, and H.-U. Yee, Quark Mass Deformation of Holographic Massless QCD, JHEP 07, 089, arXiv:0803.4192 [hep-th].
- [104] A. Dhar and P. Nag, Tachyon condensation and quark

mass in modified Sakai-Sugimoto model, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 066021 (2008), arXiv:0804.4807 [hep-th].

[105] R. McNees, R. C. Myers, and A. Sinha, On quark masses in holographic QCD, JHEP 11, 056, arXiv:0807.5127 [hep-th].