

# NON-VANISHING OF CERESA AND GROSS–KUDLA–SCHOEN CYCLES ASSOCIATED TO MODULAR CURVES

MATT KERR, WANLIN LI, CONGLING QIU, AND TONGHAI YANG

ABSTRACT. Given an algebraic curve  $X$  of genus  $\geq 3$ , one can construct two algebraic 1-cycles, the *Ceresa cycle* and the *Gross–Kudla–Schoen modified diagonal cycle*, each living in the Jacobian of  $X$  and the triple product  $X \times X \times X$  respectively. These two cycles are homologically trivial but are of infinite order in their corresponding Chow groups for a very general curve over  $\mathbb{C}$ . From the work of S-W Zhang [39], for a fixed curve these two cycles are non-torsion in their corresponding Chow groups if and only if one of them is. In this paper, we prove that the Ceresa and Gross–Kudla–Schoen cycles associated to a modular curve  $X$  are non-torsion in the corresponding Chow groups when  $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$  for certain congruence subgroups  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . We obtain the result by studying a pullback formula for special divisors by the diagonal map  $X \hookrightarrow X \times X$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The *Ceresa cycle*  $X_o^+ - X_o^-$  is an algebraic 1-cycle in the Jacobian of a curve  $X$  with a fixed base point  $o$ .<sup>[1]</sup> The Ceresa cycle lives in the kernel of the cycle class map from the Chow group  $\mathrm{CH}^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(X))$  to a Weil cohomology theory and thus is referred to as homologically trivial. In [6], Ceresa proved that this cycle is of infinite order modulo algebraic equivalence for a very general complex curve of genus  $\geq 3$ . From this result, the Ceresa cycle is viewed as one of the first examples showing the infinitude of the Griffiths group.

The method used in Ceresa’s work [6] involves degeneration and only works for families of curves. It remains a difficult problem to determine the non-triviality of the Ceresa cycle for a specific pointed algebraic curve. Very few examples of this nature exist in the current literature. In [18], Harris numerically computed an invariant, the harmonic volume, deduced from Hodge theory to show that the Ceresa cycle associated to the degree 4 Fermat curve  $F_4 : x^4 + y^4 = z^4$  is algebraically nontrivial. In [3], Bloch further proved that the Ceresa cycle is of infinite order in the Griffiths group for this particular genus 3 curve  $F_4$ . The work of Harris and Bloch relied on the fact that the Jacobian of this Fermat curve is isogenous to the triple self-product of an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by the imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ . Thus, their work can not be directly generalized to a more general curve. Note that algebraic triviality/non-triviality does not depend on the choice of a base point unlike the problem of triviality/non-triviality of the cycle in the Chow group. In this paper, we will work with Chow groups tensored with  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus, from now on, by “trivial” or “nontrivial”, we will always mean the order of a cycle class in  $\mathrm{CH}^*(\cdot)$  is finite or infinite and ignore the nontrivial torsion information contained in integral Chow groups.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14C25; Secondary 14G35.

*Key words and phrases*. Modular curve, Ceresa cycle, Gross–Kudla–Schoen modified diagonal cycle, Chow–Heegner divisor.

<sup>[1]</sup>Instead of a point, one can use a degree 1 divisor and canonically can take  $\xi \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X)$  satisfying  $(2g-2)\xi = K_X$  where  $K_X$  is the canonical class following [39]. See Example 2.6 for a detailed discussion.

The Ceresa cycle  $X_o^+ - X_o^-$  is linearly trivial if  $X$  is a hyperelliptic curve with  $o$  a Weierstrass point and algebraically trivial for any choice of base point, as can be seen directly from its construction. Hence it is an interesting problem to study the relationship between the triviality of the Ceresa cycle  $X_o^+ - X_o^-$  and the hyperellipticity of  $X$ . There have been numerous works [2, 23, 30–32] devoted to showing triviality of the Ceresa cycle in  $\mathrm{CH}^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(C))$  or  $\mathrm{Griff}^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(C))$  for specific non-hyperelliptic curves.

In [31, 32], Qiu and Zhang developed a sufficient condition for the Ceresa cycle to be trivial in the Chow group  $\mathrm{CH}^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(C))$ , and used their criterion to construct explicit examples of non-hyperelliptic curves with trivial Ceresa cycle. In particular, in [32], they applied their method to modular curves and Shimura curves but could only find a handful of such examples. This led Qiu to conjecture (in [30, Conjecture 1.2.2]) that only finitely many Shimura curves are associated with trivial Ceresa cycles. This statement can be seen as a generalization of the finiteness statement of hyperelliptic Shimura curves. The goal of this paper is to show that for a large class of modular curves, the associated Ceresa cycle is nontrivial in the Chow group  $\mathrm{CH}^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(C))$ . The authors will address the more general case of Shimura curves in a subsequent paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $N$  be a positive integer such that there exists a weight 2 normalized newform  $f \in \mathcal{S}_2^{\mathrm{new}}(\Gamma(N))^-$  satisfying  $L'(f, 1) \neq 0$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_1(2N) \cap \Gamma(2) \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and let  $X_N = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$  be the modular curve associated to  $\Gamma$ . Then the Ceresa cycle associated to  $X_N$  is nontrivial in the the Chow group  $\mathrm{CH}^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(X_N))$  with respect to any chosen base point.*

More concretely, we will use this theorem together with results on non-vanishing of central derivative of Hecke  $L$ -functions to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X_N$  be the modular curve defined in Theorem 1.1.*

(a) *If a positive integer  $N$  satisfies one of the following conditions, then the Ceresa cycle associated to  $X_N$  is nontrivial in the the Chow group  $\mathrm{CH}^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(X_N))$  with respect to any chosen base point:*

- (1)  $N$  is divisible by a prime  $p = 37, 43, 53, 61, 67$  or  $p > 71$ ,
- (2)  $N$  is divisible by  $p^2$  for some prime  $p \geq 11$ .

(b) *When  $N$  is big enough, then the Ceresa cycle associated to  $X_N$  is nontrivial in  $\mathrm{CH}^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(X_N))$  with respect to any chosen base point. For example*

$$N > 2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot \prod_{\substack{11 \leq p \leq 71 \\ p \neq 37, 43, 53, 61, 67}} p$$

*is enough.*

The method to prove Theorem 1.1 was inspired by the work of Eskandari–Murty [12, 13] in which the authors showed the Ceresa cycle associated to the degree  $p$  Fermat curve  $F_p : x^p + y^p = z^p$  for  $p > 7$  prime is nontrivial in the Chow group for any chosen base point. Their work relies on the construction of the Chow–Heegner divisors in the work of Darmon–Rotger–Sols [9], in which the authors obtain a point  $\Pi_Z$  in the Jacobian of a curve  $C$  by choosing a correspondence  $Z \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X \times X)$ . The point  $\Pi_Z \in \mathrm{Jac}(X)$  being non-torsion then implies that the cycle  $X_o^+ - X_o^-$  is nontrivial in  $\mathrm{CH}^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(X))$ . We will review and discuss the construction of the Chow–Heegner divisor in Section 2.

The Chow–Heegner divisor construction does not directly relate to the Ceresa cycle, but rather to the *Gross–Kudla–Schoen modified diagonal cycle*  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$  ([15], [16]). This is an algebraic 1-cycle constructed from the pointed curve  $(X, o)$  and lives in the triple product  $X^3 := X \times X \times X$ . If we replace the base point  $o$  by a degree 1 divisor  $\xi \in \text{Pic}^1(X)$  satisfying  $(2g - 2)\xi = \omega_X$ , it had been shown by S-W Zhang [39, Theorem 1.5.5] that the Ceresa cycle  $X_\xi^+ - X_\xi^-$  is nontrivial in  $\text{CH}^{g-1}(\text{Jac}(X))$  if and only if  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, \xi)$  is nontrivial in  $\text{CH}^1(X^3)$ . Thus, with some extra work, we can prove the same version of our main result in terms of the  $\Delta_{GKS}$  cycle.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $N$  be a positive integer such that there exists a weight 2 normalized newform  $f \in \mathcal{S}_2^{\text{new}}(\Gamma(N))^-$  satisfying  $L'(f, 1) \neq 0$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_1(2N) \cap \Gamma(2) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and let  $X_N = \Gamma \backslash \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  be the modular curve associated to  $\Gamma$ . Then the Gross–Kudla–Schoen modified diagonal cycle associated to  $X_N$  is of infinite order in the Chow group  $\text{CH}^1(X_N^3)$  with respect to any chosen base point.*

The construction of Chow–Heegner divisors relies on correspondences  $Z \in \text{CH}^1(X \times X)$ . When the curve  $X$  is modular, every correspondence  $Z$  is a linear combination of Hecke correspondences. In this setting, the Chow–Heegner divisor construction has been used to construct explicit points on modular Jacobians and elliptic curves in [8, 9]. As was discussed in [10, Lemma 10], for a given modular curve, we can pick a Hecke correspondence such that the divisor  $\Pi_Z$  is supported on Heegner points as defined in [17]. One would naturally hope to use the Gross–Zagier formula [17] to show that the Neron–Tate height of the divisor  $\Pi_Z$  is nonzero and use this information to deduce the nontriviality of  $\Delta_{GKS}$ . But as mentioned in [10, Page 419], it is in general hard to assert the non-triviality of  $\Pi_Z$  for  $Z$  a Hecke correspondence.

In this paper, we utilize a different perspective on this problem. We first pick a non-torsion Heegner divisor  $P_{D,r} + P_{D,-r} - 2H_D(\infty) \in \text{Jac}(X)$  where  $\infty$  is the cusp coming from  $\infty \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  and the construction of Heegner divisors is recalled in Section 4.1. The existence of such a divisor is guaranteed by the work of Gross–Zagier [17] and Gross–Kohnen–Zagier [14] whenever there exists a weight 2 normalized new form  $f \in \mathcal{S}_2^{\text{new}}(\Gamma(N))^-$  satisfying  $L'(f, 1) \neq 0$ . Then we show the existence of a linear combination of Hecke correspondences  $Z \in \text{CH}^1(X \times X)$  for which the Chow–Heegner divisor  $\Pi_Z$  exactly equals  $P_{D,r} + P_{D,-r} - 2H_D\infty$ . To show the existence of such a correspondence, we study the pullback of special divisors on  $X \times X$  via the diagonal embedding  $X \hookrightarrow X \times X$ .

Note that the existence of a non-torsion Heegner divisor  $P_{D,r} + P_{D,-r} - 2H_D\infty$  on a modular curve does not imply the non-triviality of its Ceresa or  $\Delta_{GKS}$  cycle with respect to any base point, as can be seen for the modular curve  $X_0(37)$ . This curve is of genus 2, hence hyperelliptic with trivial Ceresa cycle if taken a Weierstrass point as base point. However, the space  $\mathcal{S}_2^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(37))^-$  is of dimension 1 with an element  $f$  satisfying  $L'(f, 1) \neq 0$ , which gives rise to a non-torsion Heegner divisor  $P_{D,r} + P_{D,-r} - 2H_D\infty$  on  $X_0(37)$ . This divisor can not be realized as a Chow–Heegner divisor if the base point of the cycle  $\Delta_{GKS}$  is a Weierstrass point but can be realized as a Chow–Heegner divisor if the base point is chosen to be the cusp  $\infty$  on  $X_0(37)$ . This reflexes the Ceresa and  $\Delta_{GKS}$  cycles associated to  $X_0(37)$  is nontrivial if the base point is chosen to be a rational cusp. Such phenomenon happens when the canonical class of a hyperelliptic modular curve does not contain a divisor supported on cusps as will be discussed in Section 2.3.

There are two reasons for us to work with the modular curve  $X_N$  defined in Theorem 1.1 instead of  $X_0(N)$ . For one, the canonical class of  $X_N$  contains a divisor supported on cusps which we prove in Lemma 4.7; the second reason is we could explicitly construct special divisors on  $X_N \times X_N$  which pulls back to Heegner divisors on  $X_N$  via the diagonal embedding which we discuss in Section 3.

Although our method does not apply to modular curves  $X_0(N)$ , following the conjecture of Qiu [30, Conjecture 1.2.2], we expect the Ceresa and  $\Delta_{GKS}$  cycles associated with  $X_0(N)$  to be nontrivial when  $N$  is sufficiently large. More generally, in Section 4.5, we give a discussion on the scope of using the Chow–Heegner divisor construction to detect nontriviality of the Ceresa and  $\Delta_{GKS}$  cycles for general algebraic curves.

One more topic we address in Sections 4.3 and 4.4 is the effect of base point. We show the difference of the Abel–Jacobi images of the  $\Delta_{GKS}$  cycles with two different base points lie in a specific direct summand of the intermediate Jacobian. This explains the change of base point won’t “kill” the nontriviality of the  $\Delta_{GKS}$  cycle and studying the Abel–Jacobi images allows us to deduce nontriviality of the Ceresa cycle from the nontriviality of the  $\Delta_{GKS}$  cycle using work of Colombo–van Geemen [7].

*Acknowledgments:* The authors thank Jennifer Balakrishnan, Raymond van Bommel, Edgar Costa, Henri Darmon, William Duke, Jordan Ellenberg, Ziyang Gao, Sachi Hashimoto, Emmanuel Kowalski, Bjorn Poonen, Yunqing Tang, Boya Wen, Wei Zhang for helpful discussions and providing references throughout the work on this project.

TY visited the Morningside Center of Mathematics in Beijing and Max Planck Institute for Mathematics at Bonn while working on this project. He thanks both institutes for their hospitality and for providing excellent working environment.

MK, WL, and CQ attended the conference “The Ceresa Cycle in Arithmetic and Geometry” at ICERM while working on the project. They thank the organizers for their work and ICERM for its hospitality.

MK was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2101482. WL was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2302511. TY was partially supported by UW-Madison’s Kellet mid-career award.

## 2. THE CERESA CYCLE AND THE GROSS–KUDLA–SCHOEN CYCLE

**2.1. The Ceresa cycle and the Gross–Kudla–Schoen cycle.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve defined over a field  $K$  with a point  $o \in X(K)$ . Then associated to the data  $(X, o)$ , one can construct algebraic 1-cycles on the triple product  $X^3 := X \times X \times X$  and on the Jacobian of  $X$   $\text{Jac}(X)$ , as we now describe.

For each non-empty set  $I \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$ , let  $i_I^o : X \hookrightarrow X^3$  be the embedding sending a point  $P \in X$  to  $P$  for indices  $i \in I$  and to  $o$  for indices  $i \notin I$ . For each  $I \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we use  $X_I$  to denote the image  $i_I^o(X)$  in  $X^3$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([15, 16]). The *Gross–Kudla–Schoen modified diagonal cycle* associated to  $(X, o)$  is the algebraic 1-cycle in  $X^3$  defined by

$$\Delta_{GKS}(X, o) := X_{123} - X_{12} - X_{23} - X_{13} + X_1 + X_2 + X_3 \in Z^2(X^3).$$

The cycle  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$  gives rise to a class in  $\text{CH}^2(X^3)$  and is homologically trivial, also denoted by  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([6]). The *Ceresa cycle* associated to  $(X, o)$  is the algebraic 1-cycle in the Jacobian variety  $\text{Jac}(X)$  defined by

$$X_o^+ - X_o^- \in Z^{g-1}(\text{Jac}(X)),$$

where  $X_o^+$  is the embedding of  $X$  in  $\text{Jac}(X)$  by  $P \mapsto \text{AJ}(P - o) = (P) - (o)$ , and  $X_o^-$  is the image of  $X^+$  under the multiplication by  $-1$  map on  $\text{Jac}(X)$ .

The cycle  $X_o^+ - X_o^-$  gives rise to a class in  $\mathrm{CH}^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(X))$  and it is homologically trivial.

Although the cycles  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$  and  $X_o^+ - X_o^-$  live in different Chow groups, their cycle classes under the Abel–Jacobi maps are closely related. By that, we mean let  $\mu : X^3 \rightarrow \mathrm{Jac}(X)$  be the map given by  $(x, y, z) \mapsto x + y + z - 3o$  and it induces a map between Chow groups mapping  $\mu_*(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) \in \mathrm{CH}_0^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(X))$ . By the work of Colombo–van Geemen [7, Proposition 2.9], the Abel–Jacobi images of  $X_o^+ - X_o^-$  and  $\mu_*(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o))$  differ by a constant multiple. We will use this fact in the proof of Theorem 1.1 to deduce the nontriviality of  $X_o^+ - X_o^-$  from the nontriviality of  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$ . Moreover, by [39, Theorem 1.5.5], the class  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, \xi) \in \mathrm{CH}^2(X^3)$  is nontrivial if and only if the class  $X_\xi^+ - X_\xi^- \in \mathrm{CH}^{g-1}(\mathrm{Jac}(X))$  is nontrivial if the basepoint  $\xi$  is a degree 1 divisor on  $X$  satisfying  $(2g - 2)\xi \equiv K_X \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X)$  modulo torsion.

**2.2. The Chow–Heegner divisor.** Here we want to introduce the Chow–Heegner divisor described in [9] with a slightly different construction.

Let  $Z \subset X \times X$  be a 1-cycle; then associated to  $Z$ , one can obtain a degree 0 divisor called *the Chow–Heegner divisor*  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X)$  defined below.

For each non-empty set  $I \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$ , let  $\pi_I$  denote the projection map

$$\pi_I : X^3 \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} X_i.$$

We will use  $\pi_{I,*}$  and  $\pi_I^*$  to denote the pushforward and pullback maps on Chow groups induced by the map  $\pi_I$ .

**Definition 2.3** (Chow–Heegner divisor [9]). Let  $Z \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X \times X)$  be a correspondence between  $X$  and itself. We define a degree 0 divisor  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X)$  by

$$(2.1) \quad \Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) := \pi_{3,*}((\pi_{12}^* Z) \cdot \Delta_{GKS}(X, o))$$

where “ $\cdot$ ” denotes the intersection product in  $\mathrm{CH}^\bullet(X^3)$ .

The degree-0 divisor  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X)$  gives rise to a point in  $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ . In the case where the curve  $X$  is modular and  $Z$  is a Hecke correspondence, this point  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) \in \mathrm{Jac}(X)$  has been studied and referred to as a Chow–Heegner point in the literature including [9, 10] because it is a linear combination of Heegner points

In the next lemma, we explicitly compute the divisor  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o))$ . For each non-empty set  $J \subset \{1, 2\}$ , let  $j_J^o : X \hookrightarrow X^2$  be the embedding sending a point  $P \in X$  to  $P$  for indices  $j \in J$  and  $P \mapsto o$  for indices  $j \notin J$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $Z \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X \times X)$  be a correspondence between  $X$  and itself. Then, we have*

$$\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) = j_{12}^*(Z) - j_1^{o,*}(Z) - j_2^{o,*}(Z) - \deg(j_{12}^*(Z) - j_1^{o,*}(Z) - j_2^{o,*}(Z))o$$

in  $\mathrm{CH}^1(X)$ .

*Proof.* This lemma follows from the following direct computation. First, in  $\mathrm{CH}^3(X^3)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{12}^* Z \cdot (X_{123} - X_{12} - X_{23} - X_{13} + X_1 + X_2 + X_3) = \\ (\iota_{123})_* j_{12}^* Z - (\iota_{12}^o)_* j_{12}^* Z - (\iota_{23}^o)_* (j_2^o)^* Z - (\iota_{13}^o)_* (j_1^o)^* Z + (\iota_1^o)_* (j_1^o)^* Z + (\iota_2^o)_* (j_2^o)^* Z + 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last term is zero because  $\pi_{12}^* : \mathrm{CH}^*(X^2) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^*(X^3)$  is a ring homomorphism, and so

$$\pi_{12}^* Z \cdot X_3 = \pi_{12}^* Z \cdot \pi_{12}^* \{(o, o)\} = \pi_{12}^* (Z \cdot \{(o, o)\}) = 0$$

as  $Z \in \text{CH}^1(X^2)$  and  $\{(o, o)\} \in \text{CH}^2(X^2)$  have product in  $\text{CH}^3(X^2) = \{0\}$ . Applying  $(\pi_3)_*$  and reordering terms now gives

$$j_{12}^*Z - (j_1^o)^*Z - (j_2^o)^*Z - (\deg(j_{12}^*Z) - \deg((j_1^o)^*Z) - \deg((j_2^o)^*Z))o,$$

hence the result.  $\square$

From the construction, it is clear that if  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o) \in \text{CH}^2(X^3)$  is a trivial class then the class  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$  is torsion for any  $Z \in \text{CH}^1(X \times X)$ . We state this in contrapositive form in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** *If there exists a correspondence  $Z \in \text{CH}^1(X \times X)$  such that  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) \in \text{Jac}(X)$  is non-torsion, then  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o) \in \text{CH}^2(X^3)$  is nontrivial.*

*Moreover, if the basepoint  $o$  is a degree 1 divisor on  $X$  satisfying  $(2g - 2)o = K_X \in \text{CH}^1(X)$ , following [39, Theorem 1.5.5], the Ceresa cycle  $X_o^+ - X_o^- \in \text{CH}^{g-1}(\text{Jac}(X))$  is also nontrivial.*

This principle is applied repeatedly in sections 4.1-4.4. It is a natural and interesting problem to determine when the non-triviality of the  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$  cycle can be detected by  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o))$  for some correspondence  $Z \in \text{CH}^1(X \times X)$ , and we give some Hodge theoretic discussion on this matter in Section 4.5.

**2.3. Examples of Chow–Heegner divisors.** In this section, we give two examples of Chow–Heegner divisors which can be computed using Lemma 2.4. These examples have been computed in [9] and [8], we put them here to help our discussion.

**Example 2.6** ([9, Corollary 2.8]). Let  $Z \subset X \times X$  be the diagonal, then the Chow–Heegner divisor

$$\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) = (2g - 2)o - K_X$$

which is computed in Equation 4.7.

In particular, if the base point  $o$  does not satisfy  $(2g - 2)o = K_X \in \text{CH}^1(X)$ , then the cycle  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o) \in \text{CH}^2(X^3)$  is nontrivial. If the goal is to study the triviality/nontriviality of the cycle  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$ , then the only interesting choice is to take  $o$  to be a degree 1 divisor in  $\text{CH}^1(X)$  satisfying  $(2g - 2)o = K_X \in \text{CH}^1(X)$  as in the work of Zhang [39].

**Example 2.7** ([8, Section 5.1]). Let  $X$  be the modular curve  $X_0(37)$  and  $o = \infty$  be the rational cusp coming from  $\infty \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ . Then  $X$  has automorphism group isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  generated by the Atkin–Lehner involution  $\omega$  and the hyperelliptic involution  $S$ . (See [26] for a detailed analysis of this curve.) Let  $T$  be the involution given by  $T = S \circ \omega = \omega \circ S$  and  $Z \subset X \times X$  is the graph of  $T$ , meaning points on  $Z$  are of the form  $(P, T(P)) \in X \times X$ . Then the Chow–Heegner divisor  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o))$  is a rational multiple of any rational Heegner divisor  $P_{D,r} + P_{D,-r} - 2H_D\infty$  on  $X$ . In particular, the Chow–Heegner divisor  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o))$  is non-torsion in  $\text{Jac}(X)$ .

On the other hand, if we take  $o \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  to be a Weierstrass point, then the Chow–Heegner divisor  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o))$  is torsion in  $\text{Jac}(X)$ . This agrees with the statement [16, Proposition 4.8] that the cycle  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$  is trivial in  $\text{CH}^2(X^3)$  when  $X$  is a hyperelliptic curve and  $o$  is a Weierstrass point.

## 3. PULL-BACK OF SPECIAL DIVISORS ON MODULAR CURVES

In this section, we will study the pullback of special divisors on a modular curve  $X \hookrightarrow X \times X$  via the diagonal embedding. Although we don't prove all special divisors on  $X_0(N)$  can be obtained from the pullback of divisors on  $X_0(N) \times X_0(N)$ , we will construct a cover  $\pi_W : X_N \rightarrow X_0(N)$  such that the preimage of Heegner divisors on  $X_0(N)$  under  $\pi_W$  can be obtained from pullback via the diagonal map  $X_N \hookrightarrow X_N \times X_N$ .

## 3.1. Modular curves and product of modular curves as orthogonal Shimura varieties.

We start by recalling the definition of orthogonal Shimura varieties and restrict to the case of a modular curve  $X$  and the product  $X \times X$ . We refer to [4, Section 7.1] and [36] for this material.

Let  $(W, Q)$  be a quadratic space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of signature  $(n, 2)$  with its bilinear form denoted as  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . The Hermitian symmetric domain corresponding to  $\mathrm{GSpin}(W)$  can be realized as a space of lines

$$\mathbb{D}_W = \{z \in W(\mathbb{C}) \mid Q(z) = 0, (z, \bar{z}) < 0\} / \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

For a compact open subgroup  $K \subset \mathrm{GSpin}(W)(\mathbb{A}_f)$ , we obtain a Shimura variety

$$(3.1) \quad \mathrm{Sh}(W)_K = \mathrm{GSpin}(W)(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (\mathbb{D}_W \times \mathrm{GSpin}(W)(\mathbb{A}_f) / K).$$

The Shimura variety  $\mathrm{Sh}(W)_K$  is a quasi-projective variety of dimension  $n$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Every element of  $\mathbb{D}_W$  gives a  $\mathbb{C}$ -line over  $\mathrm{Sh}(W)_K$ . This line bundle is called *the tautological line bundle* and we will denote it by  $\mathcal{L}_W$ .

Let  $N$  be a positive integer. Define the quadratic space

$$W := \{x \in M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \mid \mathrm{tr}(x) = 0\},$$

with the quadratic form  $Q(x) = N \det(x)$ , and the corresponding bilinear form

$$(x, y) = N \cdot \mathrm{tr}(x \cdot \mathrm{adj}(y)).$$

The space  $W$  has signature  $(1, 2)$  and the group  $\mathrm{GSpin}(W) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2$  acts on  $W$  by conjugation. The space  $\mathbb{D}_W$  can be identified with the union of the upper and lower half planes  $\mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{H}^-$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{H}^- &\rightarrow \mathbb{D}_W, \\ z &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z & -z^2 \\ 1 & -z \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Under this identification, the conjugation action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2$  on  $\mathbb{D}_W$  corresponds to linear fractional transformations on  $\mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{H}^-$ .

Note that the space  $\mathbb{D}_W$  and the group  $\mathrm{GSpin}(W)$  do not depend on the integer  $N$ . The special divisors to be defined in next subsection will depend on  $N$ .

Consider the compact open subgroup  $K_0(N) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_f)$  defined as

$$K_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

Since  $K_0(N) \cap \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q}) = \Gamma_0(N)$ , the corresponding Shimura variety  $\mathrm{Sh}(W)_{K_0(N)}$  is nothing but the open modular curve  $Y_0(N) = \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ . Similarly, if we consider groups

$$K_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}, d \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \right\},$$

$$K(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) : b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}, d \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \right\},$$

we obtain modular curves  $Y_1(N) = \mathrm{Sh}(W)_{K_1(N)}$  and  $Y(N) = \mathrm{Sh}(W)_{K(N)}$ . Similarly, for any congruence subgroup  $\Gamma(N) \subset \Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , we can take the group  $K(\Gamma)$  to be the product of

- $\nu(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times)$  (where  $\nu : \mathbb{A}_f^\times \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_f)$  is given by  $\nu(a) = \mathrm{diag}(1, a)$ ), and
- the preimage of  $\Gamma/\Gamma(N)$  (under the natural map  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ ) in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ ,

then we obtain  $\mathrm{Sh}(W)_{K(\Gamma)} = Y_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ .

The modular curve  $Y_\Gamma$  is open and we can compactify it by  $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ , where  $\overline{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ . The points  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $X_\Gamma$  are called *cusps*. The tautological line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_W$  extends to  $X_\Gamma$ ; its square  $\mathcal{L}_W^2$  is the line bundle of modular forms of weight 2 on  $X_\Gamma$ , which identifies with the canonical line bundle  $\omega_W$  associated to the canonical divisor class  $K_{X_\Gamma}$ .

Next we consider the product  $Y_\Gamma \times Y_\Gamma$  as an orthogonal Shimura variety where  $Y_\Gamma = \mathrm{Sh}(W)_{K(\Gamma)}$  is a modular curve.

Define a quadratic space

$$V := \{x \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})\}$$

with quadratic form  $Q(x) = N \det(x)$ . Then  $V$  has signature  $(2, 2)$  and  $W$  is naturally a quadratic subspace of  $V$ . The group  $\mathrm{GSpin}(V)$  can be explicitly written as

$$\mathrm{GSpin}(V) = \{(b_1, b_2) : b_1, b_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}), \det b_1 = \det b_2\},$$

and  $(b_1, b_2)$  acts on  $x \in V$  as  $x \mapsto b_1 x b_2^{-1}$ . The space  $\mathbb{D}_V$  can be identified with  $\mathbb{H}^2 \cup (\mathbb{H}^-)^2$  by

$$\eta : \mathbb{H}^2 \cup (\mathbb{H}^-)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_V,$$

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -z_1 z_2 \\ 1 & -z_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under this identification, for an element  $(b_1, b_2) \in \mathrm{GSpin}(V)$ , its action on  $\mathbb{D}_V$  corresponds to the usual linear fractional transformation  $(b_1, b_2)(z_1, z_2) = (b_1 z_1, b_2 z_2)$  on  $\mathbb{H}^2 \cup (\mathbb{H}^-)^2$ .

Considering the compact open subgroup

$$\tilde{K}_0 := (K_0(N) \times K_0(N)) \cap \mathrm{GSpin}(V)(\mathbb{A}_f) \subset \mathrm{GSpin}(V)(\mathbb{A}_f),$$

we get the corresponding Shimura variety

$$\mathrm{Sh}(V)_{\tilde{K}_0} = Y_0(N) \times Y_0(N) = \Gamma_0(N) \times \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}^2.$$

Similarly, for any congruence subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , by setting  $\tilde{K}(\Gamma) = (K(\Gamma) \times K(\Gamma)) \cap \mathrm{GSpin}(V)(\mathbb{A}_f)$ , we obtain  $\mathrm{Sh}(V)_{\tilde{K}(\Gamma)} = Y_\Gamma \times Y_\Gamma = \Gamma \times \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ . We will denote the group  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$  by  $\Gamma_V$ .

Recall that the space  $W$  is naturally a quadratic subspace of  $V$  which induces a natural embedding  $Y_\Gamma \hookrightarrow Y_\Gamma \times Y_\Gamma$ . This embedding is nothing but the diagonal embedding.

Naturally we can compactify  $Y_\Gamma \times Y_\Gamma$  by considering  $X_\Gamma \times X_\Gamma = \Gamma_V \backslash \overline{\mathbb{H}}^2$ . Like the case for  $Y_\Gamma \hookrightarrow X_\Gamma$ , the tautological line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_V$  extends to  $X_\Gamma \times X_\Gamma$ , with sections given by modular



forms in 2 variables of weight  $(1, 1)$ . Its square  $\mathcal{L}_V^2$  is the line bundle of two-variable holomorphic modular forms of weight  $(2, 2)$ , which identifies with the canonical line bundle  $\omega_V$  on  $X_\Gamma \times X_\Gamma$ .

**3.2. Special divisors on modular curves and product of modular curves.** An orthogonal Shimura variety  $\text{Sh}(W)_K$  naturally comes with families of algebraic cycles arising from quadratic subspaces of  $W$ . In this section, we discuss special divisors on a modular curve  $Y_\Gamma$  and its self-product  $Y_\Gamma \times Y_\Gamma$ , coming from certain Schwartz functions on  $W(\mathbb{A}_f)$  and  $V(\mathbb{A}_f)$  respectively. We start by constructing some lattices in  $W$  and  $V$ .

Let  $L_W \subset W$  be the following lattice

$$L_W := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & -b/N \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

on which  $Q(x) = N \det(x)$  takes integral values.

Then its dual lattice with respect to the bilinear form  $(x, y) = N \cdot \text{tr}(x \cdot \text{adj}(y))$  is

$$L'_W := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a/2N & -b/N \\ c & -a/2N \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

The group  $L'_W/L_W$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$  with isomorphism given by

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow L'_W/L_W : r \mapsto \mu_r = \begin{pmatrix} r/2N & 0 \\ 0 & -r/2N \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any  $\mu \in L'_W/L_W$ , we obtain a Schwartz function on  $W(\mathbb{A}_f)$  given by

$$\phi_\mu = \text{Char}(\mu + \hat{L}_W), \quad \text{where } \hat{L}_W = L_W \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Note that the group  $K_0(N)$  action preserves  $L_W$  and  $L'_W$ . Moreover, the group  $K_0(N)$  acts trivially on  $L'_W/L_W$  by conjugation. Thus, the same holds for any subgroup  $K(\Gamma) \subset K_0(N)$  which corresponds to a congruence subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be such a group and let  $Y_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$  be the corresponding modular curve, as constructed in Section 3.1.

Given an element  $\mu_0 \in L'_W/L_W$  and a positive number  $m_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ , we can define a special divisor  $Z_{Y_\Gamma}(m_0, \mu_0)$  on the modular curve  $Y_\Gamma$  as

$$Z_{Y_\Gamma}(m_0, \mu_0) = \sum_{x \in \Gamma \backslash \Omega_{m_0}(\mathbb{Q})} \phi_{\mu_0}(x) \text{pr}(\mathbb{D}_{x,W}, 1)$$

where  $\Omega_{m_0} = \{x \in W \mid Q(x) = m_0\}$ ,  $\text{pr}$  is the natural projection from  $\mathbb{D}_W \times \text{GSpin}(W)(\mathbb{A}_f)$  to  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$  coming from Equation (3.1),  $\mathbb{D}_{x,W} = \{z \in \mathbb{D}_W \mid z \perp x\}$ , and  $\phi_{\mu_0} = \text{char}(\mu_0 + \hat{L}_W)$ .

If we let  $\pi_\Gamma : Y_\Gamma \rightarrow Y_0(N)$  denote the natural map given by  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ , then by definition

$$(3.3) \quad Z_{Y_\Gamma}(m_0, \mu_0) = \pi_\Gamma^* Z_{Y_0(N)}(m_0, \mu_0).$$

*Remark 3.1.* We can follow [4, Section 7.1] to describe elements  $\mu_0 \in L'_W/L_W$  and  $m_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  such that

$$Z_{Y_0(N)}(m_0, \mu_0) = P_{D,r} + P_{D,-r},$$

where  $D = -4Nm_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a negative discriminant,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$  satisfies  $r^2 \equiv D \pmod{4N}$ , and  $P_{D,r} + P_{D,-r}$  is a Heegner divisor on  $Y_0(N)$  as described in [14, Section IV] (and recalled in Section 4.1 below). In this case, we just need to take  $\mu_0 = \mu_r$  following Equation (3.2). We will use this construction in Section 4.

For any special divisor  $Z_{Y_\Gamma}(m_0, \mu_0)$ , we denote its Zariski closure in  $X_\Gamma$  by  $Z_{X_\Gamma}(m_0, \mu_0)$ . Such a divisor is supported on CM points, and  $Z_{X_\Gamma}(m_0, \mu_0)$  is simply the image of  $Z_{Y_\Gamma}(m_0, \mu_0)$  under the natural embedding  $Y_\Gamma \hookrightarrow X_\Gamma$ .

Now we extend the definition of  $Z_{X_\Gamma}(m_0, \mu_0)$  to the case where  $m_0 = 0$ . For any  $0 \neq x \in W$  with  $Q(x) = 0$ , there does not exist any negative 2-plane  $z \in \mathbb{D}_W$  such that  $x \perp z$ . So we can naturally define  $Z_{X_\Gamma}(0, \mu_0) = Z_{Y_\Gamma}(0, \mu_0) = 0$  for  $\mu_0 \neq 0$ . On the other hand, every point in  $\mathbb{D}_W$  is perpendicular to 0, so formally  $Z_{Y_\Gamma}(0, 0) = Y_\Gamma$ , and  $Z_{X_\Gamma}(0, 0) = X_\Gamma$  which has of course the wrong dimension. By the adjunction formula, it is natural to define  $Z_{X_\Gamma}(0, 0) = [\omega_W^{-1}] = -K_{X_\Gamma} \in \text{CH}^1(X_1)$  to be the negative of the canonical divisor (associated to the canonical line bundle on  $X_1$ ).

Next we want to construct some special divisors on  $Y_\Gamma \times Y_\Gamma$  whose pullbacks under the diagonal embedding  $Y_\Gamma \hookrightarrow Y_\Gamma \times Y_\Gamma$  yield the special divisors  $Z_{Y_\Gamma}(m_0, \mu_0)$ . We start by constructing a lattice in  $V$  which is closely related to the lattice  $L_W$ .

Recall that the space  $V$  decomposes as  $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ , where

$$W^\perp = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

Define  $P \subset W^\perp$  by

$$P = \{a = aI_2 \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z},$$

with quadratic form  $Q(a) = Na^2$ . Its dual lattice with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is  $P' = \{(a/2N)I_2 \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and  $P'/P \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$ .

Now consider the lattice  $L = L_W \oplus P \subset V$ . Explicitly,

$$L := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & -b/N \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, a \equiv d \pmod{2} \right\},$$

and its dual lattice with respect to  $(x, y) = N \cdot \text{tr}(x \cdot \text{adj}(y))$  is

$$L' := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a/2N & -b/N \\ c & d/2N \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, a \equiv d \pmod{2} \right\}.$$

Elements in  $L'/L$  can be represented by  $\begin{pmatrix} r_1 + r_2 & 0 \\ 0 & r_1 - r_2 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $r_1, r_2 \in \{0, \dots, \frac{2N-1}{2}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that  $L'/L = L'_W/L_W \oplus P'/P$  as  $\begin{pmatrix} r_1 + r_2 & 0 \\ 0 & r_1 - r_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -r_2 \end{pmatrix}$  and this decomposition is unique.

For any  $\mu \in L'/L$ , we obtain a Schwartz function on  $V(\mathbb{A}_f)$  given by

$$\phi_\mu = \text{Char}(\mu + \hat{L}), \quad \text{where } \hat{L} = L \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

*Remark 3.2.* We would like to construct special divisors of the form  $Z(m, \mu)$  on  $Y_0(N) \times Y_0(N)$  using the lattice  $L$  and a Schwartz function  $\phi_\mu$ . But unfortunately, the group  $K_0(N) \times K_0(N)$  does not act on  $L'/L$  trivially, and so the special divisors  $Z(m, \mu)$  will not be well-defined in  $Y_0(N) \times Y_0(N)$ . This is the reason why we need to pass to a cover  $Y_N \rightarrow Y_0(N)$  as described below, which will allow us to obtain special divisors  $Z_{Y_N}(m_0, \mu_0)$  via pullback by the map  $Y_N \hookrightarrow Y_N \times Y_N$ .

Define groups  $K = K_1(2N) \cap K(2)$  and  $K_V = (K \times K) \cap \text{GSpin}(V)(\mathbb{A}_f)$ . Then, explicitly we can write out

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ 2Nc & 1 + 2Nd \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid a, b, c, d \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \right\}.$$

By direct computation, one can check that *any element*  $(b_1, b_2) \in K_V$  acts on  $x \in L'/L$  trivially under the action  $b_1 x b_2^{-1}$ .

Define the group  $\Gamma_N = K \cap \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q}) = \Gamma_1(2N) \cap \Gamma(2)$  and let  $Y_N = \Gamma_N \backslash \mathbb{H}$  be the associated modular curve. Let  $\Gamma_V = K_V \cap \mathrm{GSpin}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})^+$ , where  $+$  means that the spinor norm is positive. Then  $\Gamma_V = \Gamma_N \times \Gamma_N$ , and the Shimura variety associated to  $K_V$  is  $Y_N \times Y_N = \Gamma_V \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ .

For any  $m \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ , define set  $\Omega_m = \{x \in V \mid Q(x) = m\}$ . Then by [4, Lemma 4.1], we can define a special divisor of  $Y_N \times Y_N$  by

$$Z(m, \mu) = \sum_{x \in \Gamma_V \backslash \Omega_m(\mathbb{Q})} \phi_{\mu}(x) \mathrm{pr}(\mathbb{D}_x, 1)$$

where  $\mathrm{pr}$  is the natural projection from  $\mathbb{D}_V \times \mathrm{GSpin}(V)(\mathbb{A}_f)$  to  $\Gamma_V \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$  from Equation (3.1),  $\mathbb{D}_x = \{z \in \mathbb{D}_V \mid z \perp x\}$ , and  $\phi_{\mu} = \mathrm{char}(\mu + L \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}})$  for any  $\mu \in L'/L$ . For any  $Z(m, \mu)$  in  $Y_N \times Y_N$ , let  $Z^*(m, \mu)$  be the Zariski closure of  $Z(m, \mu)$  in  $X_N \times X_N$ .

For our purposes, we want to extend the definition of  $Z^*(m, \mu)$  to the case where  $m = 0$ . For any  $x \in V$ , if  $x \neq 0$  and  $Q(x) = 0$ , then there does not exist any negative 2-plane  $z \in \mathbb{D}_V$  such that  $x \perp z$ . So, for any  $\mu \neq 0$ , we can simply extend our definition and make  $Z^*(0, \mu) = 0$ . In the case where  $\mu = 0$ , we define  $Z^*(0, 0) = [\omega_V^{-1}]$ .

In the following lemma, we show that the difference  $Z^*(m, \mu) - Z(m, \mu)$  is supported on points  $(P_1, P_2) \in X_N \times X_N$  where both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are cusps.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let the notation be as above. Then*

$$Z^*(m, \mu) - Z(m, \mu) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mu + L, Q(\gamma) = m \\ \mathrm{mod} \Gamma_V}} \Gamma_{V, \gamma} \backslash \{(\gamma P, P) : P \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})\}.$$

Here  $\Gamma_{V, \gamma}$  is the stabilizer of  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma_V$  and  $\gamma \in \mu + L \subset M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  by fractional linear transformations.

*Proof.* Recall the map  $\eta : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_V$  given by  $\eta(z_1, z_2) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -z_1 z_2 \\ 1 & -z_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

By direct calculation, we get

$$Z(m, \mu) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mu + L, Q(\gamma) = m \\ \mathrm{mod} \Gamma_V}} Y_{\gamma}$$

where

$$Y_{\gamma} = \Gamma_{V, \gamma} \backslash \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2 : \gamma \perp \eta(z_1, z_2)\}.$$

Notice that  $\gamma \perp \eta(z_1, z_2)$  if and only if  $z_1 = \gamma z_2$ , where  $\gamma$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  by fractional linear transformation. So

$$Y_{\gamma} = \Gamma_{V, \gamma} \backslash \{(\gamma z, z) : z \in \mathbb{H}\}$$

is a modular curve. Its closure in  $X_1 \times X_1$  is thus

$$Y_{\gamma} \cup \Gamma_{V, \gamma} \backslash \{(\gamma P, P) : P \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})\}.$$

This proves the lemma. □

**3.3. Pullback of special divisors by the diagonal embedding.** In Sections 3.1 and 3.2, we constructed modular curves  $X_0(N)$ ,  $X_N$  and their products  $X_0(N) \times X_0(N)$ ,  $X_N \times X_N$ . They form the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_N & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & X_N \times X_N \\ \downarrow \pi_W & & \downarrow \pi_V \\ X_0(N) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X_0(N) \times X_0(N) \end{array}$$

where  $\iota_1$  and  $\iota$  are diagonal embeddings, and the maps  $\pi_W$  and  $\pi_V$  are natural projections. The same commutative diagram holds for the open Shimura varieties with  $X$  replaced by  $Y$ . Note that  $X_N$  is connected by the definition of the group  $\Gamma_N$ .

In Section 3.2, we have constructed special divisors  $Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0) \in \text{CH}^1(X_N)$  and  $Z^*(m, \mu) \in \text{CH}^1(X_N \times X_N)$ . For a special divisor  $Z^*(m, \mu) \in \text{CH}^1(X_N \times X_N)$ , we will compute its image under the pullback map  $\iota_1^*$  and then show any divisor of the form  $Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0) \in \text{CH}^1(X_N)$  is the image of this pullback map  $\iota_1^*$  for some linear combination of  $Z^*(m, \mu)$ 's.

As was mentioned in [36, Corollary 3.3], the diagonal  $X_N \subset X_\Gamma \times X_\Gamma$  can be viewed as a special divisor of  $X_\Gamma \times X_\Gamma$ . Thus, to discuss the pullback by  $\iota^*$ , we start with this diagonal.

The adjunction formula asserts

$$(3.4) \quad i_1^* X_N = [\omega_W] - [\iota_1^* \omega_V] = [\omega_W^{-1}] = Z_{X_N}(0, 0) \in \text{CH}^1(X_N).$$

Here we use the fact that  $\iota_1^*(\omega_V)$  is the line bundle of modular forms of weight 4 and is thus isomorphic to  $\omega_W^2$ .

Now we can compute an explicit formula for the pullback  $\iota_1^* : \text{CH}^1(X_N \times X_N) \rightarrow \text{CH}^1(X_N)$  in the same fashion as [4, Equation (8.6)].

**Lemma 3.4.** *With notations as defined above, fix  $m > 0$ , and  $\mu \in L'/L$ . Recall  $L'/L = L'_W/L_W \oplus P'/P$ , and there is a unique decomposition  $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu^+$  where  $\mu_0 \in L'_W/L_W$  and  $\mu^+ \in P'/P$ . We have*

$$(3.5) \quad \iota_1^* Z^*(m, \mu) = \sum_{\substack{m = m_0 + m^+, \\ m_0, m^+ \geq 0, \\ m_0 \equiv Q(\mu_0) \pmod{1}, \\ m^+ \equiv Q(\mu^+) \pmod{1}}} Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0) a_P(m^+, \mu^+) + c(\infty) \in \text{CH}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1(X_N)$$

for some integer  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  where

$$a_P(m^+, \mu^+) = \#\{x^+ \in \mu^+ + P \mid Q(x^+) = m^+\}.$$

Here  $(\infty)$  is the cusp of  $X_N$  at  $\infty$ .

*Proof.* This identity holds in general on the open Shimura varieties at least for  $a_P(m, \mu) = 0$ . When  $a_P(m, \mu) = 0$ ,  $\iota_1(X_N)$  intersects  $Z(m, \mu)$  properly, and direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_1^* Z(m, \mu) = & \sum_{\substack{m = m_0 + m^+, \\ m_0, m^+ \geq 0, \\ m_0 \equiv Q(\mu_0) \pmod{1}, \\ m^+ \equiv Q(\mu^+) \pmod{1}}} Z_{Y_N}(m_0, \mu_0) a_P(m^+, \mu^+) \end{aligned}$$

as divisors. As  $Z^*(m, \mu)$  might intersect with boundary of  $X_N \times X_N$ , its pullback might include cusps following Lemma 3.3, and thus Equation (3.5) holds with possibly some extra  $c(\infty)$ . Here we use the well-known result of Drinfeld [11] and Manin [25] that the difference of two cusps is a torsion divisor.

When  $a_P(m, \mu) \neq 0$  (which can only happen in the case  $\mu \in P'/P$ ),  $\iota_1(X_N)$  appears in  $Z^*(m, \mu)$  with multiplicity  $a_P(m, \mu)$ . The same calculation and Equation (3.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_1^* Z^*(m, \mu) &= \sum_{\substack{m = m_0 + m^+, \\ m_0 > 0, m^+ \geq 0, \\ m_0 \equiv Q(\mu_0) \pmod{1}, \\ m^+ \equiv Q(\mu^+) \pmod{1}}} Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0) a_P(m^+, \mu^+) + c(\infty) + a_P(m, \mu) \iota_1^* X_N \\ &= \sum_{\substack{m = m_0 + m^+, \\ m_0, m^+ \geq 0, \\ m_0 \equiv Q(\mu_0) \pmod{1}, \\ m^+ \equiv Q(\mu^+) \pmod{1}}} Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0) a_P(m^+, \mu^+) + c(\infty) \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Any special divisor of the form  $Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0) \in \text{CH}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1(X_N)$  with  $\mu_0 \in L'_W/L_W$  is the pull-back of a rational linear combination of special divisors on  $X_N \times X_N$  by the map  $\iota_1$ . Here we view  $Z^*(0, 0) = [\omega_V^{-1}]$  as a special divisor on  $X_N \times X_N$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $m_0$ . The case  $m_0 = 0$  is clear following Equation (3.4). Note that  $(\infty) = a[\omega_W]$  for some rational number  $a$  for any modular curve  $X_\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma$  torsion-free (see the proof of Lemma 4.7). In particular, this holds for  $X_N$ .

For  $m_0 > 0$ , by Lemme 3.4, we have

$$Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0) = \iota_1^* Z^*(m_0, \mu_0) - \sum_{\substack{m_0 = m'_0 + m^+, \\ m_0 > m'_0 \geq 0}} Z_{X_N}(m'_0, \mu_0) a_P(m^+, 0) - c(\infty).$$

Now the proposition is clear by induction.  $\square$

#### 4. NON-TRIVIALITY OF THE CERESA CYCLE AND THE GROSS–KUDLA–SCHOEN CYCLE ASSOCIATED TO MODULAR CURVES

In this section, we use the Chow–Heegner divisor construction described in Section 2.2 and the explicit cover and pullback formula in Proposition 3.5 to deduce our main results on the nontriviality of the Ceresa and Gross–Kudla–Schoen cycles associated to the modular curves  $X_N$  with respect to any base point.

**4.1. Heegner divisor and Chow–Heegner divisor.** In this section, we use the Chow–Heegner divisor discussed in Section 2.2 and the work of Gross–Kohnen–Zagier [14] to deduce the nontriviality of the Gross–Kudla–Schoen cycle where the base point is a cusp.

We start by recalling the construction of Heegner divisors on  $X_0(N)$  following [14, Section IV].

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  be an imaginary quadratic field, where  $D < 0$  is the discriminant of an order  $\mathcal{O}_D$ . Assume  $(D, N) = 1$  and that there exists a class  $r \bmod 2N$  satisfying  $r^2 \equiv D \bmod 4N$ . Then we can express the order  $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{r+\sqrt{D}}{2}$  and  $r$  determines a primitive ideal  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{Z}N + \mathbb{Z}\frac{r+\sqrt{D}}{2} \subset \mathcal{O}_D$  of index  $N$ .

A point  $x \in Y_0(N)$  represents a degree  $N$  cyclic isogeny  $\pi : E \rightarrow E'$  between elliptic curves. Consider those  $x$  for which  $\mathfrak{n}$  annihilates  $\ker(\pi)$  and there are embeddings  $\mathcal{O}_D \hookrightarrow \text{End}(E)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_D \hookrightarrow \text{End}(E')$  which make

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & E' \\ \downarrow \mathcal{O}_D & & \downarrow \mathcal{O}_D \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & E' \end{array}$$

commute. The Heegner divisor  $P_{D,r}$  associated to the data  $D$  and  $r$  is defined as the sum of such points  $x$  with multiplicities  $1/e$ , where  $e$  is the order of  $\text{Aut}(x)/\{\pm 1\}$ . The divisor  $P_{D,r}$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ .

Let  $\omega_N$  be the  $N$ -th Atkin–Lehner involution on  $X_0(N)$ , and let  $X_0^*(N)$  denote the quotient of  $X_0(N)$  by  $\omega_N$ . Under this involution, we have  $\omega_N(P_{D,r}) = P_{D,-r}$  and we use  $P_{D,r}^*$  to denote the image of  $P_{D,r}$  under the quotient map  $X_0(N) \rightarrow X_0^*(N)$ . The degree of  $P_{D,r}$  and  $P_{D,-r}$  is equal to  $H_D$ , the Hurwitz class number.

Let  $\infty \in X_0(N)$  be the rational cusp given by the image of  $\infty \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ , and let  $\infty^*$  denote the image of  $\infty \in X_0(N)$  under the quotient map  $X_0(N) \rightarrow X_0^*(N)$ . Then  $P_{D,r}^* - H_D \infty^*$  is a degree 0 divisor on  $X_0^*(N)$  defined over the rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which gives a point  $y_{D,r}^*$  on  $J_0^*(N)$ , the Jacobian of  $X_0^*(N)$ . The point  $y_{D,r}^*$  is the image of  $y_{D,r} = P_{D,r} + P_{D,-r} - 2H_D \infty$  under the map  $J_0(N) \rightarrow J_0^*(N)$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_2^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(N))^-$  be a weight 2 normalized new form with root number (sign of functional equation)  $-1$ <sup>[2]</sup>. By the main theorem of Gross–Zagier [17, Theorem (6.3)] (also see Gross–Kohnen–Zagier [14, Page 557]), the canonical height  $h_K$  of a projection of  $y_{D,r} = P_{D,r} - H_D \infty \in J(N)$  over  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  is given by

$$L'(f, 1)L(f_D, 1) = \frac{8\pi^2(f, f)}{u^2 D^{1/2}} h_K(y_{D,r,f})$$

where  $f_D$  is the quadratic twist of  $f$  by the Dirichlet character associated to  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $(f, f)$  is the Petersen inner product,  $2u$  is the number of roots of unity in  $\mathcal{O}_D$ , and  $y_{D,r,f}$  is the projection of  $y_{D,r}$  to the  $f$ -isotypical component of  $J_0(N)$  as described in [17, Page 230]. Thus, if  $L'(f, 1) \neq 0$  and  $L(f_D, 1) \neq 0$ , then  $y_{D,r} \in J_0(N)(K)$  is a non-torsion point. In such a case,  $y_{D,r,f}$  descends to a non-torsion point in  $J_0^*(N)$ . It is well-known that there exists infinitely many  $D$  (can be assumed to be fundamental) with  $L(f_D, 1) \neq 0$ , see for example [34], [5], and [29].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose there exists  $f \in \mathcal{S}_2^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(N))^-$  satisfying  $L'(f, 1) \neq 0$ . Then there is a special divisor  $Z_{X_0(N)}(m_0, \mu_0) \subset X_0(N)$  (as described in Section 3) of degree  $=: d$ , the degree 0 divisor  $Z_{X_0(N)}(m_0, \mu_0) - d(\infty) \in J_0(N)$  is non-torsion.*

*Proof.* By [14, Theorem C], there exists a Heegner divisor  $y_{D,r}^* \in J_0^*(N)$  such that the  $f$ -isotypical component has nonzero height. Since  $2y_{D,r}^*$  is the image of  $P_{D,r} + P_{D,-r} - 2H_D \infty$  under the map  $J_0(N) \rightarrow J_0^*(N)$ , it follows that  $P_{D,r} + P_{D,-r} - 2H_D \infty \in J_0(N)$  is non-torsion.

<sup>[2]</sup>This is equivalent to  $f d\tau \in \Omega^1(X_0(N))$  being the pullback of a form in  $\Omega^1(X_0^*(N))$ .

By Remark 3.1, by taking  $D = -4Nm_0$  to be a negative discriminant of an order  $\mathcal{O}_D$  in an imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$  to satisfy  $r^2 \equiv D \pmod{4N}$ , we obtain the special divisor  $Z_{Y_0(N)}(m_0, \mu_0)$  where  $\mu_0 = \mu_r \in L'_W/L_W$ . As was discussed in [4, Section 7.1], following the definition of  $Z_{Y_0(N)}(m_0, \mu_0)$ , we have

$$Z_{X_0(N)}(m_0, \mu_0) = Z_{Y_0(N)}(m_0, \mu_0) = P_{D,r} + P_{D,-r}.$$

We conclude the statement.  $\square$

#### 4.2. Proof of nontriviality of the Gross–Kudla–Schoen cycle with a cusp as base point.

In this section, we prove the cycle  $\Delta_{GKS}(X_N, \infty)$  is nontrivial in  $\mathrm{CH}^1(X_N^3)$ . In section 4.4, we give a proof on the fact that the cusp  $\infty \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X_N)$  satisfies  $(2g-2)\infty = K_{X_N} \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X_N)$ . Thus, combined with Example 2.6, we obtain Theorem 1.3 regarding the Gross–Kudla–Schoen cycle.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If there exists a normalized weight 2 new form  $f \in \mathcal{S}_2^{\mathrm{new}}(\Gamma_0(N))^-$  satisfying  $L'(f, 1) \neq 0$ , then the cycle  $\Delta_{GKS}(X_N, \infty)$  is nontrivial in  $\mathrm{CH}^2(X_N^3)$  where  $X_N$  is the modular curve associated to the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_1(2N) \cap \Gamma(2) \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* Recall the construction of  $X_N$  and the following commutative diagram from Section 3, where the maps  $\iota$  and  $\iota_1$  are the diagonal embeddings given by the embedding of Hermitian domains  $\mathbb{D}_W \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}_V$  discussed in Section 3.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_N & \hookrightarrow & X_N & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & X_N \times X_N \\ \downarrow \pi_W & & \downarrow \pi_W & & \downarrow \pi_V \\ Y_0(N) & \hookrightarrow & X_0(N) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X_0(N) \times X_0(N) \end{array}$$

Following Lemma 4.1, with our assumption on the existence of  $f$ , there exists a special divisor  $Z_{X_0(N)}(m_0, \mu_0)$  such that the divisor  $Z_{X_0(N)}(m_0, \mu_0) - d\infty \in J_0(N)$  is non-torsion.

Moreover, by construction of  $X_N$  and the special divisors  $Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0)$ , we have  $Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0) = \pi_W^*(Z_{X_0(N)}(m_0, \mu_0))$  and thus  $\deg(\pi_W) \cdot Z_{X_0(N)}(m_0, \mu_0) = \pi_{W,*}(Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0))$ , which implies the divisor  $Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0) - d_1\infty \in \mathrm{Jac}(X_N)$  is non-torsion (where  $d_1 = \deg Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0)$  and  $\infty \in X_N$  is the cusp from  $\infty$ ).

By Proposition 3.5, the divisor  $Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0) = \iota_1^*(Z)$  for a linear combination of special divisors  $Z \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X_N \times X_N)$ .

We consider the Gross–Kudla–Schoen cycle  $\Delta_{GKS}(X_N, \infty)$  associated to the pointed curve  $(X_N, \infty)$ . By Lemma 2.4, we get the Chow–Heegner divisor

$$\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X_N, \infty)) = j_{12}^*(Z) - j_1^{\infty,*}(Z) - j_2^{\infty,*}(Z) - \deg(j_{12}^*(Z) - j_1^{\infty,*}(Z) - j_2^{\infty,*}(Z))\infty.$$

The first term satisfies  $j_{12}^*(Z) = \iota_1^*(Z) = Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0)$ . By Lemma 3.3, the next two terms  $j_1^{\infty,*}(Z)$  and  $j_2^{\infty,*}(Z)$  are supported on cusps. By the theorem of Drinfeld [11] and Manin [25], the divisor  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X_N, \infty)) = Z_{X_N}(m_0, \mu_0) - d_1\infty \in \mathrm{Jac}(X_N) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and thus is non-torsion. By Lemma 2.5, we deduce our statement.  $\square$

As mentioned in the introduction, the existence of Hecke eigenform  $f$  of weight 2 and level  $N$  with  $L'(f, 1) \neq 0$  is weak for our purpose. Indeed, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** (a) *If a positive integer  $N$  satisfies one of the following conditions, then the  $\Delta_{GKS}(X_N, \infty)$  is nontrivial in  $\mathrm{CH}^2(X_N^3)$ :*

- (1)  $N$  is divisible by a prime  $p = 37, 43, 53, 61, 67$  or  $p > 71$ ,  
(2)  $N$  is divisible by  $p^2$  for some prime  $p \geq 11$ .

(b) When  $N$  is big enough, then the  $\Delta_{GKS}(X_N, \infty)$  cycle is nontrivial in  $\text{CH}^2(X_N^3)$ . For example

$$N > 2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot \prod_{\substack{11 \leq p \leq 71 \\ p \neq 37, 43, 53, 61, 67}} p,$$

is enough.

*Proof.* First notice that if the theorem is true for  $M$ , then it is true for all multiples of  $M$ . Indeed, for  $M|N$ , let  $\pi: X_N \rightarrow X_M$  be the natural quotient map, and write  $d$  for its degree. Then  $\pi_* \Delta_{GKS}(X_N, \infty) = d \cdot \Delta_{GKS}(X_M, \infty)$ .

(a)(1): Assume first  $p|N$ , we can assume  $N = p$  by the above comment. By [1, Proposition 4.5], for primes  $p = 67, 73, 97, 103, 107, 109, 113, 127$  or  $p > 131$ , we get the genus of  $X_0^*(p)$  is at least 2. By [10, Theorem 2], there exists a normalized weight 2 new form  $f \in \mathcal{S}_2^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(p))^-$  satisfying  $L'(f, 1) \neq 0$ . The claim follows then from Theorem 4.2.

For the cases listed in [1, Proposition 4.5] where the genus of  $X_0^*(p)$  equals to 1, that is for  $p = 37, 43, 53, 61, 79, 83, 89, 101, 131$ , we checked from the database LMFDB [24] that there exists a unique normalized weight 2 new form  $f \in \mathcal{S}_2^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(p))^-$  and  $L'(f, 1) \neq 0$ . The claim is again true.

(a)(2) By (a)(1) just proved, we just need to consider the primes

$$p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71,$$

By the table in [1, Proposition 4.5],  $X_0^*(p^2)$  has genus as least 2 for  $p \geq 11$ . The results [10, Theorem 2] and Theorem 4.2 prove these cases too.

(b) The database LMFDB [24] shows that there are normalized new forms  $f$  of weight 2 and level  $N$  with  $L'(f, 1) \neq 0$  for  $N = 2^7, 3^5, 5^3, 7^3$ . Now Claim (b) is clear.  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.* Without appealing to database LMFDB [24], Theorem 4.3 (b) would follow from the following analytic result: when  $N$  is big enough (perhaps effective but not fun to calculate), there is always some new form  $f$  of weight 2 and level  $M|N$  with  $L'(f, 1) \neq 0$ . When  $N$  is restricted to prime, a much stronger theorem was proved in [22]. The method there should be easily extended to prove the above claim for general  $N$  although we did not find precise reference for this extension. On the other extreme, when  $-N$  is a fundamental discriminant of an imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ . Rohrlich constructed one or two canonical family of CM Hecke eigenforms of weight 2 and level either  $N^2$  or  $4N^2$  in [33] (see also [35] for  $4||N$ ). Montgomery and Rohrlich ([28]) proved the central  $L$ -value always non-vanishing when root number is 1, and S.D. Miller and Yang ([27]) proved that the central derivative of the  $L$ -function is always non-vanishing when the root number is  $-1$ .

**4.3. Projections and Jacobians.** Before commencing the proof that Abel-Jacobi-nontriviality of  $\Delta_{GKS}(X_N, o)$  is independent of base point  $o \in X_N(\mathbb{C})$ , we introduce some projection morphisms for the Hodge structures and intermediate Jacobians involved. These will all be defined at the level of algebraic cycles. Some tedious notational preliminaries are needed, but the resulting self-contained analysis avoids other complications in the literature and should be applicable to other kinds of Abel-Jacobi maps ( $\ell$ -adic,  $p$ -adic, etc.).



Recall throughout the paper, all Chow groups and Jacobians are taken  $\otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and thus “ $\neq 0$ ” and “nontrivial” mean in effect “is nontorsion” in the integral Chow groups. Given a rational Hodge structure  $H$  of odd weight  $2p - 1$ , we define its Jacobian to be the complex torus

$$J(H) := \text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Q}(-p), H) \simeq \frac{H_{\mathbb{C}}}{F^p H_{\mathbb{C}} + H}.$$

Note that when  $H = H^1(X)$ , the singular cohomology of a curve  $X$ , we see the classical Jacobian<sup>[3]</sup>  $J(H^1(X)) = J(X)$ , and that exact sequences of such Hodge structures produce exact sequences of Jacobians. As above, we let  $X$  be a modular curve of genus  $g > 2$ ; we write  $o, P, Q, \infty \in X(\mathbb{C})$  for points, with  $\infty$  a cusp.

Writing  $\mathfrak{l} \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$  (and  $\hat{\mathfrak{l}}$  its complement), let  $\pi_{\mathfrak{l}}: X^3 \rightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathfrak{l}} X_i$  denote the coordinate projections; so for example  $\pi_{23} = \pi_{\hat{1}}$ . Order of coordinates is always preserved. Let  $\iota_{\mathfrak{l}}^P: X \hookrightarrow X^3$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_i^P: X^2 \hookrightarrow X^3$ , and if  $\mathfrak{l} \subset \{1, 2\}$ ,  $j_{\mathfrak{l}}^P: X \hookrightarrow X^2$  denote inclusions:

- $\iota_{\mathfrak{l}}^P(x), j_{\mathfrak{l}}^P(x)$  have  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate  $x$  for  $i \in \mathfrak{l}$  and  $P$  for  $i \notin \mathfrak{l}$ ; and
- $\mathcal{I}_i^P$  inserts  $P$  in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate.

Define cycles  $X_{\mathfrak{l}}^P := (\iota_{\mathfrak{l}}^P)_* X$  (or  $(j_{\mathfrak{l}}^P)_* X$ ) in  $\text{CH}^2(X^3)$  (resp.  $\text{CH}^1(X^2)$ ), and

$$P_i := (\mathcal{I}_i^P)_*(X^2) = \pi_i^* P \in \text{CH}^1(X^3).$$

So for instance, with this notation we have  $P_1 P_2 = X_3^P$ , and

$$(4.1) \quad \Delta_{GKS}(X, P) := X_{123} - \sum_{i < j} X_{ij}^P - \sum_k X_k^P.$$

We will later specialize  $P$  to a general point  $o \in X$  or the cusp  $\infty \in X$ .

Next we introduce our main symmetrization and projection maps:

$$(4.2) \quad \text{sym} := \frac{1}{6} \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_3} g^* \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^P := \text{id} - \sum_i \pi_i^* (\mathcal{I}_i^P)^*,$$

which may be viewed as endomorphisms of  $\text{CH}^*(X^3)$ ,  $H^*(X^3)$ , or related Jacobians.

More precisely, writing  $H^1 := H^1(X)$ , the endomorphism  $\psi^P$  induces a projection<sup>[4]</sup>  $H^3(X^3) \rightarrow (H^1)^{\otimes 3} \subset H^3(X^3)$ , while  $\text{sym}$  induces  $(H^1)^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 H^1 \subset (H^1)^{\otimes 3}$ . Their composite  $\text{Sym}^P := \text{sym} \circ \psi^P$  thus projects  $H^3(X^3) \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 H^1 \subset H^3(X^3)$ . By definition, we have  $\text{sym}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, P)) = \Delta_{GKS}(X, P)$ .

Finally, we need some operations relating  $H^1$  and  $H^3(X^3)$ . Set

$$\theta := X_{12} - X_1^\infty - X_2^\infty \in \text{CH}^1(X^2)$$

and

$$\tilde{\theta} := 3 \cdot \text{sym}(\pi_{12}^* \theta) \in \text{CH}^1(X^3).$$

Then by denoting intersection product (cup-product on the level of cohomology) by “ $\cdot$ ”, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \theta_* := \psi^\infty \circ (\tilde{\theta} \cdot) \circ (\text{sym} \circ \pi_1^*)$$

which maps  $\text{CH}^1(X) \rightarrow \text{CH}^2(X^3)$  and embeds  $H^1 \hookrightarrow \bigwedge^3 H^1$ .

<sup>[3]</sup>Viewing the Jacobian variety  $\text{Jac}(X)$  as a group,  $J(X)$  means  $\text{Jac}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

<sup>[4]</sup>The meaning of “projection” includes being the identity on the target of  $\rightarrow$ .

Denote the image of this embedding by  $\theta H^1$ . For any divisor  $Z \in \text{CH}^1(X^2)$ , we get a map

$$(4.4) \quad Z^* := \pi_{3*} \circ ((\pi_{12}^*) \cdot)$$

which maps  $\text{CH}^2(X^3) \rightarrow \text{CH}^1(X)$  and  $H^3(X^3) \rightarrow H^1$ . We are especially interested in the latter as a morphism  $Z^*: \bigwedge^3 H^1 \rightarrow H^1$ .

Recall from Definition 2.3, for the cycle  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$ , we use notation  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) = Z^*(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o))$  and call this divisor the Chow–Heegner divisor.

Define the “primitive” sub-Hodge structure

$$(4.5) \quad \bigwedge_{\text{pr}}^3 := \ker(\theta^*) \subset \bigwedge^3 H^1.$$

Note that AJ is functorial with respect to correspondences, and Equations (4.1)-(4.4) are all induced by correspondences.

**Lemma 4.5.**  $\text{AJ}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, P)) \in J(\bigwedge^3 H^1) \subset J(H^3(X^3))$ .

*Proof.* On  $X^2$ , the zero-cycle  $\mathcal{B}_{P,Q} := (P, P) - (P, Q) - (Q, P) + (Q, Q)$  is Abel-Jacobi-equivalent to zero (written  $\equiv_{\text{AJ}} 0$ ), since its projections to the two copies of  $X$  are zero.

A short computation gives

$$\text{Sym}^Q(\Delta_{GKS}(X, P)) = \Delta_{GKS}(X, P) - 3 \cdot \text{sym} \pi_{12}^* \mathcal{B}_{P,Q} \equiv_{\text{AJ}} \Delta_{GKS}(X, P),$$

whence  $\text{AJ}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, P)) = \text{Sym}^Q \text{AJ}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, P))$ .

As  $\text{Sym}^Q$  maps  $J(H^3(X^3)) \rightarrow J(\bigwedge^3 H^1)$ , the result follows.  $\square$

For a divisor  $Z \in \text{CH}^1(X^2)$  as above, set  $\theta^P * Z := j_{12}^* Z - (j_1^P)^* Z - (j_2^P)^* Z$ .

Write  $|W| := \deg(W)$  for any 0-cycle  $W$ .

**Lemma 4.6.**  $Z^* \Delta_{GKS}(X, P) = \theta^P * Z - |\theta \cdot Z| P \in \text{CH}_{\text{hom}}^1(X)$ .

*Proof.* This is just a restatement of Lemma 2.4 with this new notation.  $\square$

**4.4. Independence of basepoint.** In Section 4.2, we showed that the cycle  $\Delta_{GKS}(X_N, \infty)$  is nontrivial in  $\text{CH}^1(X_N^3)$ . In this section, we will show that this nontriviality statement holds with the base point  $\infty$  replaced by any base point, which concludes Theorem 1.3. Moreover, we will show the same nontriviality statement holds for the Ceresa cycle  $X_o^+ - X_o^- \in \text{CH}^{g-1}(\text{Jac}(X))$  associated to  $(X_N, o)$  where  $o$  is any basepoint, which concludes Theorem 1.1.

In this subsection we assume that  $X = X(\Gamma)$  is modular with  $\Gamma$  *torsion-free*. (In particular, we can take  $X = X_N$ .)

Consider the diagonal  $X_{12} = j_{12}(X) \subset X^2$ , its normal bundle  $\mathcal{N}$ , and its self-intersection  $j_{12}^* X_{12} = c_1(\mathcal{N}) \in \text{CH}^1(X)$  in  $X^2$  as a cycle on  $X$ . Since  $\omega_{X^2}|_{X_{12}} \simeq \omega_X^{\otimes 2}$ , adjunction gives  $\mathcal{N} \simeq \omega_X \otimes \omega_{X^2}^{-1}|_{X_{12}} \simeq \omega_X^{-1}$ . Writing  $K_X$  for a canonical divisor, the self-intersection is thus

$$(4.6) \quad j_{12}^* X_{12} = -K_X.$$

This immediately yields  $|\theta^2| = -2g$ , and thus (by Lemma 4.6) that

$$(4.7) \quad \theta^* \Delta_{GKS}(X, P) = \theta^P * \theta - |\theta^2| P = -K_X + (2g - 2)P.$$

Recall  $\theta^* \Delta_{GKS}(X, P) = \Pi_{X_{12}}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, P))$  and the computation above shows the statement in Example 2.6.

In the following Lemma, we show that the Abel–Jacobi image of  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty)$  lies in the primitive part of the intermediate Jacobian. We first show by computation that when the base point is an element in  $\text{CH}^1(X)$  satisfying  $(2g - 2)o = K_X \in \text{CH}^1(X)$ , the Abel–Jacobi image of  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$  lies in the primitive part  $J(\Lambda_{\text{pr}}^3)$ . Then we show that for modular curves associated to a torsion-free congruence subgroup  $\Gamma$ , the cusp  $\infty$  is such an element in  $\text{CH}^1(X)$ .

**Lemma 4.7.**  $\text{AJ}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty)) \in J(\Lambda_{\text{pr}}^3)$ .

*Proof.* Setting  $P = \infty$  in (4.7) gives

$$\theta^* \text{AJ}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty)) = \text{AJ}(\theta^* \Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty)) = \text{AJ}(-K_X + (2g - 2)\infty).$$

By (4.5), it suffices to show that this is zero. Indeed, we will show that  $K_X - D$  is zero in  $\text{CH}^1(X)$  for any divisor  $D$  of degree  $2g - 2$  supported on the cusps.

Let  $Y \subset X$  be the complement of the cusps, and write  $Y \simeq \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$  with  $\Gamma \leq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . As a function on the upper half-plane, the discriminant  $\Delta$  is a constant multiple of  $g_2^3 - 27g_3^2$ , hence is nowhere vanishing. It is also an element of  $S_{12}(\Gamma)$ , so that  $\Delta(\tau)d\tau^{\otimes 6} \in H^0(Y, \omega_X^{\otimes 6}|_Y)$  is a nowhere vanishing section trivializing the restriction of  $\omega_X^{\otimes 6} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(6K_X)$  to  $Y$ . Note that if  $Y$  has elliptic points, then  $d\tau^{\otimes 6}$  has nontrivial divisor as a section of  $\omega_X^{\otimes 6}|_Y$ . So the torsion-free hypothesis on  $\Gamma$  is really needed here.

By exactness of the localization sequence

$$\text{CH}^0(X \setminus Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}^1(X) \rightarrow \text{CH}^1(Y) \rightarrow 0$$

it follows that  $6K_X \equiv_{\text{rat}} E$  for some  $E \in \text{Div}(X)$  supported on the cusps. So  $6(K - D) = 6K - 6D \equiv_{\text{rat}} E - 6D$  is of degree 0 and supported on the cusps, hence torsion (i.e. zero in the rational Chow group) by the work of Manin[25] and Drinfeld [11].  $\square$

Following from the statement that the cusp  $\infty \in \text{CH}^1(X)$  satisfies  $(2g - 2)\infty = K_X \in \text{CH}^1(X)$  and Example 2.6, we could already conclude that if the cycle  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty)$  is nontrivial in  $\text{CH}^2(X^3)$ , then the same nontriviality statement holds for any basepoint which concludes Theorem 1.3. In the following statements Lemma 4.8, Lemma 4.9, and Proposition 4.10, we give a proof of the independence of base point from a Hodge-theoretic perspective. Namely, the Abel–Jacobi images of the cycles  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, P) - \Delta_{GKS}(X, Q)$  where  $P, Q \in \text{CH}^1(X)$  are any two degree 1 divisors and  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, \frac{1}{2g-2}K_X)$  lie in different direct components of the intermediate Jacobian. Thus, the nontriviality of  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, \frac{1}{2g-2}K_X)$  would not be “killed” by changing a base point. This Hodge-theoretic argument will allow us to deduce the nontriviality of the Ceresa cycle from the nontriviality of the Gross–Kudla–Schoen cycle and conclude Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 4.8.** *We have the splitting of rational Hodge structures  $\Lambda^3 H^1 = \Lambda_{\text{pr}}^3 \oplus \theta H^1$ , and of Jacobians  $J(\Lambda^3 H^1) = J(\Lambda_{\text{pr}}^3) \oplus J(\theta H^1)$  (where  $J(\theta H^1) \simeq J(X)$ ).*

*Proof.* We must show that  $\theta^* \circ \theta_*$  induces an isomorphism. Since the two assertions in the Lemma are equivalent, and  $(\text{AJ of})$  linear combinations of zero-cycles  $P - \infty$  span  $J(X)$ , it is enough to

check that  $\theta^*\theta_*(P - \infty) \stackrel{\text{AJ}}{\equiv} \frac{2g-2}{3}(P - \infty)$  for all  $P$ . Indeed, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} 3\theta_*(P - \infty) &= \psi^\infty\{(\sum_k (P_k - \infty_k)) \cdot (\sum_{i<j} (\pi_{ij}^* X_{ij} - \infty_j - \infty_i))\} \\ &= 3\text{Sym}^\infty\{(X_{ij}^P - X_{ij}^\infty) + 2\mathcal{B}_{P,\infty}\} \stackrel{\text{AJ}}{\equiv} 3\text{Sym}^\infty(X_{ij}^P - X_{ij}^\infty) \\ &= \psi^\infty \sum_{i<j} (X_{ij}^P - X_{ij}^\infty) \\ &= \sum_{i<j} (X_{ij}^P - X_{ij}^\infty + 2\infty_i \infty_j - \infty_i o_j - o_i \infty_j) \\ &\stackrel{\text{AJ}}{\equiv} \sum_{i<j} (X_{ij}^P - X_{ij}^\infty) + \sum_k (X_i^\infty - X_i^P) = \Delta_{GKS}(X, P) - \Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

and then applying  $\theta^*$  gives  $(-K_X + (2g-2)P) - (-K_X + (2g-2)\infty) = (2g-2)(P - \infty)$  by (4.7).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.9.**  $\text{AJ}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o) - \Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty)) \in J(\theta H^1)$ .

*Proof.* By the calculation in the proof of Lemma 4.8,

$$\frac{1}{3}\text{AJ}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o) - \Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty)) = \text{AJ}(\theta_*(o - \infty)) = \theta_*(\text{AJ}(o - \infty)). \quad \square$$

**Proposition 4.10.** *If  $\text{AJ}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty)) \neq 0$ , then  $\text{AJ}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) \neq 0$  for all  $o$ .*

*Proof.* Obviously  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o) = \Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty) + (\Delta_{GKS}(X, o) - \Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty))$ , and by Lemmas 4.7-4.9, the AJ-images of these two terms belong to complementary subgroups of  $J(\Lambda^3 H^1)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of 1.1 and 1.3.* By Lemma 4.6,  $Z^*\Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty)$  recovers  $\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty))$ . The proof of Theorem 4.2 produces  $Z \in \text{CH}^1(X^2)$  such that  $Z^*\text{AJ}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty)) = \text{AJ}(\Pi_Z(\Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty))) \neq 0$ , whence  $\text{AJ}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, \infty)) \neq 0$ . Theorem 1.3 now follows from Proposition 4.10.

Fix a base point  $o$  and define  $f: X^{\times 3} \rightarrow \text{Jac}(X)$  by  $(q_1, q_2, q_3) \mapsto \text{AJ}(\sum q_i - 3o)$ . By [7, Prop. 2.9],  $f_*\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$  and  $3(X_o^+ - X_o^-)$  have the same Abel-Jacobi images after projecting to  $J_{\text{pr}} := J(H_{\text{prim}}^{2g-3}(\text{Jac}(X))(g-1))$ ; while  $f_*$  induces an isomorphism from  $J(\Lambda_{\text{pr}}^3)$  to  $J_{\text{pr}}$  under which the primitive AJ-images of  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$  and  $f_*\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$  agree. Since the primitive AJ-image of  $\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$  is nonzero and independent of  $o$  by the lemmas above, so is that of  $X_o^+ - X_o^-$ . This proves Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

**4.5. Remarks on Abel-Jacobi maps.** In the previous subsection, only the first of the three Lemmas is special to certain modular curves. For a more general curve (of genus at least 3), Proposition 4.10 generalizes to the statement that

$$(4.8) \quad \text{The projection of } \text{AJ}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) \text{ to } J(\Lambda_{\text{pr}}^3) \text{ is independent of } o,$$

where we mean the projection  $\text{pr}: J(\Lambda^3 H^1) \rightarrow J(\Lambda_{\text{pr}}^3)$  killing  $J(\theta H^1)$ . This projection is induced by the operator

$$\text{pr} := \text{id} - \frac{3}{2g-2}\theta_*\theta^* \in \text{End}(\text{CH}^3(X^3))$$

since  $\theta^*\text{pr}(\mathcal{W}) = \theta^*\mathcal{W} - \frac{3}{2g-2}\theta^*\theta_*\theta^*\mathcal{W} = \theta^*\mathcal{W} - \theta^*\mathcal{W} = 0$  for any  $\mathcal{W} \in \text{CH}^2(X^3)$ .

Recall from (4.4) that for any  $Z \in \text{CH}^1(X^2)$ ,  $Z^*$  maps  $\text{CH}^2(X^3) \rightarrow \text{CH}^1(X)$ . The induced map of Jacobians  $Z^*: J(\Lambda^3 H^1) \rightarrow J(X)$  only depends on the (Hodge) class<sup>[5]</sup>  $[Z] \in \text{Hg}^1(\Lambda^2 H^1) \in \text{Hom}_{\text{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q}(-1), \Lambda^2 H^1)$ , inducing pairings  $\text{Hg}^1(\Lambda^2 H^1) \times J(\Lambda^3 H^1) \rightarrow J(X)$  and

$$(4.9) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \frac{\text{Hg}^1(\Lambda^2 H^1)}{\langle [\theta] \rangle} \times J(\Lambda_{\text{pr}}^3) \rightarrow J(X)$$

<sup>[5]</sup>Here we take  $[Z]$  to mean the projection of the fundamental class from  $H^2(X^2) \rightarrow \Lambda^2 H^1$ .

An immediate consequence of (4.8) is then that

$$(4.10) \quad \langle \cdot, \text{AJ}(\text{pr}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o))) \rangle \in \text{Hom} \left( \text{Hg}^1(\Lambda^2 H^1) / \langle [\theta] \rangle, J(X) \right)$$

is independent of  $o$ .<sup>[6]</sup> This is precisely the invariant which we have shown to be nonzero for most modular curves. In contrast, for a *general* curve, (4.9)-(4.10) are zero since  $\text{Hg}^1(\Lambda^2 H^1)$  is generated by  $[\theta]$ ; moreover,  $J(\Lambda_{\text{pr}}^3)$  is irreducible, so cannot in any case map nontrivially to  $J(X)$ .

For one more perspective on the situation, let  $F_h^1(\Lambda^3 H^1) \subset \Lambda^3 H^1$  denote the maximal sub-Hodge structure of level 1, i.e. with complexification contained in  $F^1(\Lambda^3 H_{\mathbb{C}}^1)$ . Write  $\Lambda^3 H^1 = F_h^1(\Lambda^3 H^1) \oplus \Lambda_G^3 = \theta H^1 \oplus V(-1) \oplus \Lambda_G^3$ , where  $\Lambda_G^3$  has level 3 with no level-1 sub-HS,  $V$  is a weight-1 Hodge structure, and  $\Lambda_{\text{pr}}^3 = V(-1) \oplus \Lambda_G^3$ . (For  $X$  general, we have  $V = \{0\}$ .) Consider the restriction

$$(4.11) \quad \text{AJ}: \text{Sym}^o \text{CH}^3(X^3) \rightarrow J(\Lambda^3 H^1) = J(X) \times J(V) \times J(\Lambda_G^3)$$

of the Abel-Jacobi map to “symmetric” cycles, and write accordingly

$$\text{AJ}(\mathcal{W}) = (\text{AJ}(\mathcal{W})_J, \text{AJ}(\mathcal{W})_V, \text{AJ}(\mathcal{W})_G).$$

Then:

- $\text{AJ}(\mathcal{W})_G$  detects nontriviality of  $\mathcal{W}$  in the Griffiths group of cycles modulo *algebraic* equivalence, but is hard to compute;<sup>[7]</sup>
- $\text{AJ}(\mathcal{W})_V$  only detects nontriviality of  $\mathcal{W}$  modulo rational equivalence, but is nonzero whenever  $0 \neq Z^* \text{AJ}(\text{pr}(\mathcal{W})) \in J(X)$  for some  $Z$ ;<sup>[8]</sup> and
- for  $\mathcal{W} = \Delta_{GKS}(X, o)$ ,  $\text{AJ}(\mathcal{W})_G$  and  $\text{AJ}(\mathcal{W})_V$  are independent of basepoint  $o$ .

One can also ask about the converse of the second bullet:

$$(4.12) \quad \text{If } \text{AJ}(\mathcal{W})_V \neq 0, \text{ can this always be detected by applying some } Z^* \text{?}$$

A sufficient criterion for an affirmative answer to (4.12) is to have

$$(4.13) \quad F_h^1(\Lambda^3 H^1) = \sum_{Z \in \text{Hg}^1(\Lambda^2 H^1)} \mathbb{Q} \langle [Z] \rangle \wedge H^1,$$

since then the “test forms” for the first two factors of (4.11) are of the form  $\text{Sym}(\delta_{\pi_{12}^* Z} \wedge \pi_3^* \omega)$ , where  $\delta \dots$  denotes the current of integration and  $\omega \in \Omega^1(X)$ .

Define a level-1 Hodge structure  $H$ , and its Jacobian  $J(H)$ , to be *stably nondegenerate* if the ring of Hodge classes in  $H^*(J(H)^{\times k})$  is generated by divisor classes for every  $k \geq 1$ . It follows from the proof of the main theorem of [20] that if  $J(X)$  is stably nondegenerate, then (4.12)-(4.13) are true. Moreover, according to [19, Thm. 1.2],  $J(X)$  is stably nondegenerate for the modular curves  $X = X_1(N)$  and any curves dominated by them, such as  $X_0(N)$ . Thus we expect the basic approach via Lemma 2.5 to studying the GKS and Ceresa cycles to have broader scope than just for the curves  $X_N$  treated here.

<sup>[6]</sup>Indeed, an easy calculation gives  $Z^* \text{pr}(\Delta_{GKS}(X, o)) = \frac{-1}{2g-2} Z^* \Delta_{GKS}^{K_X}$ , where the notation  $\Delta_{GKS}^{\bullet}$  has been linearly extended to divisors on  $X$ .

<sup>[7]</sup>In particular, it is killed by  $Z^*$ , since the only morphism from  $\Lambda_G^3$  to  $H^1(-1)$  (hence from  $J(\Lambda_G^3)$  to  $J(X)$ ) is zero; otherwise  $\Lambda_G^3$  would have a nontrivial level-1 summand.

<sup>[8]</sup>Note that the “pr” here is essential.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Nikola Adžaga, Vishal Arul, Lea Beneish, Mingjie Chen, Shiva Chidambaram, Timo Keller, and Boya Wen, *Quadratic Chabauty for Atkin-Lehner quotients of modular curves of prime level and genus 4, 5, 6*, *Acta Arith.* **208** (2023), no. 1, 15–49, DOI 10.4064/aa220110-7-3. MR4616870
- [2] Arnaud Beauville and Chad Schoen, *A non-hyperelliptic curve with torsion Ceresa cycle modulo algebraic equivalence*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **5** (2023), 3671–3675, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnab344. MR4565651
- [3] Spencer Bloch, *Algebraic cycles and values of  $L$ -functions*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **350** (1984), 94–108, DOI 10.1515/crll.1984.350.94. MR0743535
- [4] Jan Hendrik Bruinier and Tonghai Yang, *Faltings heights of CM cycles and derivatives of  $L$ -functions*, *Invent. Math.* **177** (2009), no. 3, 631–681, DOI 10.1007/s00222-009-0192-8. MR2534103
- [5] Daniel Bump, Solomon Friedberg, and Jeffrey Hoffstein, *Nonvanishing theorems for  $L$ -functions of modular forms and their derivatives*, *Invent. Math.* **102** (1990), no. 3, 543–618, DOI 10.1007/BF01233440. MR1074487
- [6] G. Ceresa,  *$C$  is not algebraically equivalent to  $C^-$  in its Jacobian*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **117** (1983), no. 2, 285–291, DOI 10.2307/2007078. MR0690847
- [7] Elisabetta Colombo and Bert van Geemen, *Note on curves in a Jacobian*, *Compositio Math.* **88** (1993), no. 3, 333–353. MR1241954
- [8] Henri Darmon, Michael Daub, Sam Lichtenstein, and Victor Rotger, *Algorithms for Chow-Heegner points via iterated integrals*, *Math. Comp.* **84** (2015), no. 295, 2505–2547, DOI 10.1090/S0025-5718-2015-02927-5. MR3356037
- [9] Henri Darmon, Victor Rotger, and Ignacio Sols, *Iterated integrals, diagonal cycles and rational points on elliptic curves*, *Publications mathématiques de Besançon. Algèbre et théorie des nombres*, 2012/2, *Publ. Math. Besançon Algèbre Théorie Nr.*, vol. 2012/, Presses Univ. Franche-Comté, Besançon, 2012, pp. 19–46 (English, with English and French summaries). MR3074917
- [10] Netan Dogra and Samuel Le Fourn, *Quadratic Chabauty for modular curves and modular forms of rank one*, *Math. Ann.* **380** (2021), no. 1-2, 393–448, DOI 10.1007/s00208-020-02112-3. MR4263688
- [11] V. G. Drinfeld, *Two theorems on modular curves*, *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.* **7** (1973), no. 2, 83–84 (Russian). MR0318157
- [12] Payman Eskandari and V. Kumar Murty, *On Ceresa cycles of Fermat curves*, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **36** (2021), no. 4, 363–382. MR4355369
- [13] ———, *On the harmonic volume of Fermat curves*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **149** (2021), no. 5, 1919–1928, DOI 10.1090/proc/15332. MR4232186
- [14] B. Gross, W. Kohlen, and D. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of  $L$ -series. II*, *Math. Ann.* **278** (1987), no. 1-4, 497–562, DOI 10.1007/BF01458081.
- [15] Benedict H. Gross and Stephen S. Kudla, *Heights and the central critical values of triple product  $L$ -functions*, *Compositio Math.* **81** (1992), no. 2, 143–209. MR1145805
- [16] B. H. Gross and C. Schoen, *The modified diagonal cycle on the triple product of a pointed curve*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **45** (1995), no. 3, 649–679, DOI 10.5802/aif.1469 (English, with English and French summaries). MR1340948
- [17] Benedict H. Gross and Don B. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of  $L$ -series*, *Invent. Math.* **84** (1986), no. 2, 225–320, DOI 10.1007/BF01388809.
- [18] Bruno Harris, *Homological versus algebraic equivalence in a Jacobian*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **80** (1983), no. 4, 1157–1158, DOI 10.1073/pnas.80.4.1157. MR0689846
- [19] Fumio Hazama, *Algebraic cycles on abelian varieties with many real endomorphisms*, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **35** (1983), 303–308. MR0699932
- [20] ———, *The generalized Hodge conjecture for stably nondegenerate abelian varieties*, *Compositio Math.* **93** (1994), no. 2, 129–137. MR1287693
- [21] Stephen S. Kudla, *Algebraic cycles on Shimura varieties of orthogonal type*, *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997), no. 1, 39–78, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-97-08602-6.
- [22] E. Kowalski and P. Michel, *A lower bound for the rank of  $J_0(q)$* , *Acta Arith.* **94** (2000), no. 4, 303–343, DOI 10.4064/aa-94-4-303-343.
- [23] David T.-B. G. Lilienfeldt and Ari Shnidman, *Experiments with Ceresa classes of cyclic Fermat quotients*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **151** (2023), no. 3, 931–947, DOI 10.1090/proc/16178. MR4531629
- [24] The LMFDB Collaboration, *The  $L$ -functions and modular forms database* (2024). [Online; accessed 2 July 2024].

- [25] Ju. I. Manin, *Parabolic points and zeta functions of modular curves*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **36** (1972), 19–66 (Russian). MR0314846
- [26] B. Mazur and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Arithmetic of Weil curves*, Invent. Math. **25** (1974), 1–61, DOI 10.1007/BF01389997. MR0354674
- [27] Stephen D Miller and Tonghai Yang, *Non-vanishing of the central derivative of canonical Hecke  $L$ -functions*, Math. Res. Lett. **7** (2000), no. 2-3, 263–277, DOI 10.4310/MRL.2000.v7.n3.a2.
- [28] Hugh L. Montgomery and David E. Rohrlich, *On the  $L$ -functions of canonical Hecke characters of imaginary quadratic fields. II*, Duke Math. J. **49** (1982), no. 4, 937–942.
- [29] M. Ram Murty and V. Kumar Murty, *Mean values of derivatives of modular  $L$ -series*, Ann. of Math. (2) **133** (1991), no. 3, 447–475, DOI 10.2307/2944316. MR1109350
- [30] Congling Qiu, *Finiteness properties for Shimura curves and modified diagonal cycles*, arXiv:2310.20600.
- [31] Congling Qiu and Wei Zhang, *Vanishing results in Chow groups for the modified diagonal cycles*, arXiv:2209.09736.
- [32] ———, *Vanishing results for the modified diagonal cycles II: Shimura curves*, arXiv:2310.19707.
- [33] David E. Rohrlich, *Galois conjugacy of unramified twists of Hecke characters*, Duke Math. J. **47** (1980), no. 3, 695–703.
- [34] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Correspondances de Shimura*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Warsaw, 1983), PWN, Warsaw, 1984, pp. 525–531 (French). MR0804708
- [35] Tonghai Yang, *On CM abelian varieties over imaginary quadratic fields*, Math. Ann. **329** (2004), no. 1, 87–117, DOI 10.1007/s00208-004-0511-8.
- [36] Tonghai Yang and Hongbo Yin, *Difference of modular functions and their CM value factorization*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **371** (2019), no. 5, 3451–3482, DOI 10.1090/tran/7479. MR3896118
- [37] Xinyi Yuan, Shou-Wu Zhang, and Wei Zhang, *The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem over totally real fields*, Compos. Math. **145** (2009), no. 5, 1147–1162, DOI 10.1112/S0010437X08003734. MR2551992
- [38] ———, *The Gross-Zagier formula on Shimura curves*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 184, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2013. MR3237437
- [39] Shou-Wu Zhang, *Gross-Schoen cycles and dualising sheaves*, Invent. Math. **179** (2010), no. 1, 1–73, DOI 10.1007/s00222-009-0209-3. MR2563759