

P_d polynomials and Variants of Chinburg's Conjectures

Marie-José Bertin
Mahya Mehrabdollahei

Abstract

This article provides some solutions to Chinburg's conjectures by studying a sequence of multivariate polynomials. These conjectures assert that for every odd quadratic Dirichlet Character of conductor f , $\chi_{-f} = \left(\frac{-f}{\cdot}\right)$, there exists a bivariate polynomial (or a rational function in the weak version) whose Mahler measure is a rational multiple of $L'(\chi_{-f}, -1)$. To obtain such solutions for the conjectures we investigate a polynomial family denoted by $P_d(x, y)$, whose Mahler measure has been extensively studied in [34], and [14]. We demonstrate that the Mahler measure of P_d can be expressed as a linear combination of Dirichlet L -functions, which has the potential to generate solutions to the conjectures. Specifically, we prove that this family provides solutions for conductors $f = 3, 4, 8, 15, 20$, and 24 . Notably, P_d polynomials provide intriguing examples where the Mahler measures are linked to $L'(\chi, -1)$ with χ being an odd non-real primitive Dirichlet character. These examples inspired us to generalize Chinburg's conjectures from real primitive odd Dirichlet characters to all primitive odd characters. For the generalized version of Chinburg's conjecture, P_d polynomials provide solutions for conductors $5, 7$, and 9 .

1 Introduction

The Mahler measure is a height function that quantifies the complexity of (Laurent) polynomials.

Definition 1.1 (K. Mahler, [32]) Let $P \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n] \setminus \{0\}$. The logarithmic Mahler measure, $m(P)$, is defined by:

$$m(P) := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \left(\int \cdots \int_{|z_1|=\dots=|z_n|=1} \log|P(z_1, \dots, z_n)| \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \cdots \frac{dz_n}{z_n} \right), \quad (1)$$

and the Mahler measure of P is defined by $M(P) := \exp(m(P))$.

Mahler proved that the above integral always exists. For univariate cases, Jensen's equality [23] gives a closed formula for the Mahler measure. However, in multivariate cases, there is no general closed formula for computing the Mahler measure. Even an error-controlled approximation may be impossible. "However, these challenging computations are interesting for various reasons such as the links between Mahler measures and special values of L-functions. Historically, the first such explicit formulas were obtained by C.J. Smyth [40]

$$m(1 + x + y) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} L(\chi_{-3}, 2), \quad (2)$$

and

$$m(1 + x + y + z) = \frac{7}{2\pi^2} \zeta(3), \quad (3)$$

where $\chi_{-3}(n) = \left(\frac{-3}{n}\right)$ is the odd quadratic Dirichlet character of conductor 3 and ζ is the Riemann Zeta function. For other such interesting relations regarding multivariate Mahler measure computations, we refer to Bertin [6, 3, 4, 5], Boyd [10, 9, 11], Boyd and Rodriguez-Villegas [13, 12], Rodriguez-Villegas [38], Smyth [39, 41], Bertin and Zudilin [8, 7], Lalín [28, 27, 25, 26, 18]. Boyd [9] investigated sequences of multivariate Mahler measures and their limits to address Lehmer's

famous conjecture, [30], regarding a universal (positive) lower bound for the logarithmic Mahler measures of integral polynomials. Although this approach did not yield a proof of Lehmer’s conjecture, Boyd proposed a new conjecture that, if proven true, would imply Lehmer’s conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2 (Boyd’s Conjecture [9]) $L^\sharp := \{M(P) | P \in \mathbb{Z}[z_1, \dots, z_n] \setminus \{0\}, n \geq 1\}$ is closed with respect to the Euclidean topology.

Since multivariate computations are challenging, we do not have that much evidence for this conjecture. One of the instances where Boyd’s conjecture is seen to hold is for the sequence of the Mahler measure of a family of polynomials called P_d , introduced as follows;

Definition 1.3 Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. The following polynomial family is denoted by P_d and is defined by:

$$P_d(x, y) := \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq d} x^i y^j.$$

According to [34] and the computation of the Mahler measure of the polynomial $P_\infty := (1 - x)(1 - y) - (1 - z)(1 - w)$, done by D’Andrea and Lalin in [18], we have

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} m(P_d) = m(P_\infty) = \frac{9}{2\pi^2} \zeta(3).$$

This gives a confirmation example for Boyd’s conjecture. This is one of the reasons why this family is important. Moreover, this is the first family of polynomials whose degree and genus (of the underlying algebraic curve) can be unbounded, for which a closed formula for the Mahler measure exists. Another key reason this family is significant is its connection to the special values of Dirichlet L -functions, which is the primary focus of our investigation in this paper. In particular, we prove the following theorem, which forms the basis for other results obtained in this paper.

Main Theorem 1.4 Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and let ϕ be the Euler’s totient function. For every primitive odd Dirichlet character χ of conductor k , such that k divides $(d + 1)(d + 2)$, there exists a coefficient $C_{k,\chi} \in \mathbb{Q} \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(k)}} \right) \subset \mathbb{Q} \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi((d+1)(d+2))}} \right)$ such that:

$$m(P_d) = \sum_{k|(d+1)(d+2)} \sum_{\chi \text{ primitive odd mod } k} C_{k,\chi} L'(\chi, -1).$$

The above theorem indicates that the P_d family holds the key to addressing recent conjectures by T. Chinburg, which explore the connections between Mahler measures and Dirichlet L -functions. These conjectures were inspired by Smyth’s computation, mentioned in Equation (2).

Conjecture 1.5 (Strong Chinburg’s conjecture [16]) For every odd quadratic character $\chi_{-f} := \left(\frac{-f}{\cdot} \right)$, there exists a non-zero polynomial $P_f \in \mathbb{Z}[z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}]$ and a rational number r_f for which

$$r_f m(P_f) = L'(\chi_{-f}, -n).$$

We recall that $\left(\frac{-f}{\cdot} \right)$ is the Kronecker symbol. For more information on this symbol, we refer to [17, Definition 2.2.5]. Chinburg [16] mentioned a weak version of the above conjecture as well.

Conjecture 1.6 (Weak Chinburg’s conjecture [16]) For every odd quadratic character χ_{-f} , there exists a non-zero rational function $P_f \in \mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1})$ and a rational number r_f for which

$$r_f m(P_f) = L'(\chi_{-f}, -n).$$

In [16] there is proof for the weak version, for the case $n = 1$, but it is not correctly argued, therefore both weak and strong versions of Chinburg’s problem remain open. These conjectures have been explored exclusively for the case $n = 1$ and resolved for just 18 specific conductor values. In this paper, we also focus solely on the case $n = 1$. In all existing solutions to Chinburg’s conjectures, the critical connection between Mahler measures and Dirichlet L -functions are provided by

the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm function (see Definition 2.3). More precisely, Grayson [21] in his computations (suggested by Bloch) proved that $L'(\chi_{-f}, -1)$ or equivalently $L(\chi_{-f}, 2)$ can be expressed directly in terms of the dilogarithm evaluated at certain roots of unity (see Proposition 1.7). For some bivariate polynomials, the Mahler measures can be expressed in terms of the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm function evaluated at roots of unity. This connects the Mahler measure of these polynomials to special values of Dirichlet L -functions. Let us first recall this link which is important for our further investigations;

Proposition 1.7 [21] Let $-f$ be a fundamental discriminant and $\chi_{-f} := \left(\frac{-f}{\cdot}\right)$ be the odd quadratic Dirichlet character of conductor f . Let ζ_f be an f -th root of unity. The following equalities are valid:

$$\frac{f^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4\pi} L(\chi_{-f}, 2) = L'(\chi_{-f}, -1) = \frac{f}{4\pi} \sum_{n=1}^f \chi_{-f}(n) D(\zeta_f^n),$$

where $D(z)$ is the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm function which is introduced in Definition 2.3.

We use the following notation introduced by Boyd.

Notation 1.8 Let χ_{-f} be the odd quadratic Dirichlet character of conductor f . Boyd, [10], introduced the following notation:

$$d_f := \frac{f}{4\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{f-1} \chi_{-f}(m) D(\zeta_f^m).$$

According to the above notation and Smyth's computation, Equation (2), we have $d_3 = m(x+y+1)$. Ray [37] constructed polynomials answering to conjecture 1.5, for $f = 3, 4, 7, 8, 20$, and 24 . The following table shows these polynomials and the constants r_f are explicitly computed.

$d_3 = m(x + y + 1)$
$d_4 = \frac{1}{2}m((x + 1)^2y^2 + (x - 1)^2)$
$d_7 = \frac{8}{7}m((x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)y^2 - (2x^6 + 2x^5 - 5x^4 - 12x^3 - 5x^2 + 2x + 2)y + (x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1))$
$d_8 = m((x^4 + 1)y^2 - 2(x^4 - 4x^2 + 1)y + (x^4 + 1))$
$d_{20} = \frac{5}{2}m((x^8 - x^6 + x^4 - x^2 + 1)y^2 - 2(x^8 - 11x^6 + 21x^4 - 11x^2 + 1)y + (x^8 - x^6 + x^4 - x^2 + 1))$
$d_{24} = 3m((x^8 - x^4 + 1)y^2 - 2(x^8 - 12x^6 + 23x^4 - 12x^2 + 1)y + (x^8 - x^4 + 1))$

Table 1: Table of the answers to Chinburg's conjecture computed in [37].

His proof for $f = 7$ is more complex, involving linear relations among twisted L -series. The method he applied doesn't work for other characters. There is another solution for the strong Chinburg's conjecture for conductor 7 with an explicit constant proven by Bertin in [2] as follows:

$$d_7 = 3m((x + 1)^2y + x^2 + x + 1).$$

“There is also some unpublished work of Bertin regarding conductor 15 that is announced in conferences in 2008 and 2015, as follows:

$$12m((x^2 + x + 1)y + x^2 + 1) = d_{15},$$

$$m((x^2 + x + 1)(y^2 + x^2) - (x^4 - x^3 - 6x^2 - x + 1)y) = \frac{1}{3}d_7 + \frac{1}{6}d_{15}.$$

In the proofs of the above equalities, Bertin used the methodology of Zagier and Gangl [43]. Boyd and Rodriguez-Villegas, in [12] and [13] provided solutions for the strong Chinburg's conjecture, for $f = 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15, 19, 20, 24, 35, 39, 40, 55, 84$, and 120. Their method involves proving that the Mahler measure of a specific family of polynomials of the form $p(x)y - q(x)$, where $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are cyclotomic polynomials, is an element of the Bloch group of a certain quadratic imaginary field. Then, due to a rank restriction, they must be a multiple of certain d_f , where f is related to the polynomial's discriminant. The rational constant r_f in their method is approximated using computer programs. For this reason, we use the notation $d_f \sim r_f m(P_f)$ in Table 2. Liu and Qin in [31] studied more than 500 families of reciprocal polynomials defining genus 2 and genus 3 curves. They numerically find relations between the Mahler measures of these polynomials with special values of L -functions. They gave solutions to the strong Chinburg's conjecture for $f = 23, 303$, and 755. The following table summarizes these examples with numerically approximated constants.

$d_{11} \sim \frac{3}{2}m((x+1)^2(x^2+x+1)y - (x^2-x+1)^2)$
$d_{15} \sim 6m((x+1)^2y - (x^2-x+1))$
$d_{19} \sim \frac{5}{2}m((x^5+x^4+x^3+x^2+x)y^2 - (x^6+6x^5+2x^4-8x^3+2x^2+6x+1)y + (x^5+x^4+x^3+x^2+x))$
$d_{23} \sim 6m((x^4-x^2+1)y^2 + (x^6-6x^5+12x^3-6x+1)y + (x^4-x^2+1)x^2)$
$d_{35} \sim 12m((x^2+x+1)^3y - (x^2+1)(x^2-x+1))$
$d_{39} \sim 18m((x+1)^4y - (x^2+x+1)(x^2-x+1))$
$d_{40} \sim 6m((x^2-x+1)(x^2+1)y^2 + x(14x^2-32x+14)y + (x^2-x+1)(x^2+1))$
$d_{55} \sim 30m((x+1)^2(x^2+x+1)y - (x^4-x^3+x^2-x+1))$
$d_{84} \sim 36m((x+1)^4y - (x^2+1)(x^2-x+1))$
$d_{120} \sim 36m((x^2+1)(x^2+x+1)y^2 + 2(x^2-3x+1)(x^2+4x+1)y + (x^3+1)(x^2+x+1))$
$d_{303} \sim 132m((x^8+x^7+x^6+x^2+x+1)(y^2+1) + (2x^8+2x^7-98x^4+2x^3-49x^2+2x+2)y)$
$d_{755} \sim 410m((x^8+x^6+x^4+x^2+1)(y^2+1) + (2x^8-37x^6+5x^5+70x^4+5x^3-37x^2+2)y)$

Table 2: Table of solutions to Chinburg's conjecture with approximated constants [12, 13, 31].

Let us outline the organization of the paper as follows; Section 2 is devoted to the proof of Main Theorem 1.4. In Section 3 we propose polynomials or rational functions generated by P_d family, with $d \leq 16$, that address Chinburg's conjectures (see Table 3). Moreover, some of our results on $m(P_d)$ involved complex primitive characters that suggest a generalization of Chinburg's conjectures to all primitive odd characters, Conjecture 1.9. In Section 4, we have assembled all the key tables that provide a clearer visualization of the results presented in Section 3. Section 5 contains additional insights and potential results concerning various versions of Chinburg's conjecture by using the P_d family.

We present the solutions to the weak or strong forms of Chinburg's conjecture generated by P_d in the following table together with the explicit constant r_f .

$d_3 = m(P_1)$
$d_4 = \frac{1}{2}m(P_1P_2^2)$
$d_8 = \frac{1}{12}m\left(\frac{P_1^{33} P_5^{21} P_6^{28}}{P_2^{30}}\right)$
$d_{15} = \frac{1}{45}m\left(\frac{P_{13}^{210} P_{12}^{182} P_{11}^{156} P_8^{135} P_7^{108} P_6^{84} P_5^{63} P_4^{900} P_2^{252}}{P_1^{2394}}\right)$
$d_{20} = \frac{1}{30}m\left(\frac{P_{18}^{190} P_{17}^{171} P_{16}^{153} P_{15}^{136} P_{14}^{120} P_{13}^{105} P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78} P_1^{168}}{P_8^{225} P_7^{180} P_6^{140} P_5^{105} P_2^{24} P_4^{60}}\right)$
$d_{24} = \frac{1}{36}m\left(\frac{P_{22}^{276} P_{21}^{253} P_{20}^{231} P_{19}^{210} P_{18}^{190} P_{17}^{171} P_{16}^{153} P_{15}^{136} P_{14}^{120} P_{13}^{105} P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78}}{P_6^{252} P_5^{189} P_2^{234} P_1^{1269}}\right)$

Table 3: Table of constructed examples for Chinburg's conjectures using P_d family.

The case $f = 3$ in Table 3 was first done by Smyth, but we provide another method to prove it in Proposition 3.3. We also present further results regarding expressing $m(P_d)$ as a linear combination of d_f , for certain values of d and f that you can find in Table 8 in Section 4. As we mentioned, the P_d family provides interesting examples involving the L -functions of complex primitive characters that suggest generalizing Chinburg's conjecture to all primitive odd characters as follows;

Conjecture 1.9 [Generalisation of Chinburg's Conjecture to all primitive odd characters] For every primitive odd Dirichlet character χ of conductor f , there exists a non-zero (rational) function $P_{\{\chi, \bar{\chi}\}} \in \mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1})$ and a rational number $r_{\{\chi, \bar{\chi}\}}$ for which

$$r_{\{\chi, \bar{\chi}\}} m(P_{\{\chi, \bar{\chi}\}}) = L'(\chi, -n) + L'(\bar{\chi}, -n). \quad (4)$$

We note that the Mahler measure is a real number, so generalizing Chinburg's conjectures to complex characters obliged us to involve the L -functions associated with χ and its conjugate $\bar{\chi}$. Moreover, let χ be fixed and a rational function $P_{\{\chi, \bar{\chi}\}}$ answers Conjecture 1.9 for the fixed χ . Since Equation (4) is symmetric with respect to χ , and $\bar{\chi}$, the same function answers the conjecture for $\bar{\chi}$. This is the reason we used the set index $\{\chi, \bar{\chi}\}$. If in the above conjecture, we let χ be a real primitive character (i.e. quadratic), then $\bar{\chi} = \chi$ and $\{\chi, \bar{\chi}\} = \{\chi\}$. In this case, we recover the actual version of the weak Chinburg's conjecture (Conjecture 1.6). The following table lists the solutions to Conjecture 1.9, generated mainly by the P_d family. The polynomial Q in the following table is introduced in Equation (30) and for the definition of the explicit values of complex characters, we refer to Section 4.3.1.

$m\left(\frac{P_1^{720}}{P_8^{45} P_7^{36} P_6^{28} P_5^{21} P_4^{240}}\right) = 30 (L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1))$
$m\left(\frac{P_1^{429} P_5^{315}}{P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78} P_2^{126} Q_{11}}\right) = 14 (L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) + L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1))$
$m\left(\frac{P_7^{360} P_6^{280} P_5^{210} P_1^{369}}{P_{16}^{153} P_{15}^{136} P_{14}^{120} P_{13}^{105} P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78} P_2^{126}}\right) = 36 (L'(\chi_9(2, \cdot), -1) + L'(\chi_9(5, \cdot), -1))$

Table 4: Table of solutions to Conjecture 1.9, using P_d family.

2 The Mahler measure of P_d family and links with L -functions

As we explained in the introduction, we aim to provide solutions to Chinburg's conjectures using the polynomial family P_d . Part of the work of section 2 and section 3 is done in the doctoral thesis of the second author, [33]. To explain why this family is fruitful for Chinburg's conjectures we first demonstrate that $m(P_d)$ can be expressed as linear combinations of L -functions. This is the main result of this chapter, stated in Main Theorem 1.4 in the introduction. Before delving into the proof of the theorem, we provide a concise introduction to the P_d family, emphasizing its most significant properties and distinctive features.

2.1 A brief introduction to P_d family

The polynomial family $P_d = \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq d} x^i y^j$, introduced in Definition 1.3 is regular exact. For the notion of regular exact, we refer to [22]. Thus, one can utilize the closed formula for the Mahler measure of regular exact polynomials, as computed in [22], to determine $m(P_d)$. To present the closed formula of $m(P_d)$ we first introduce some conventions and recall the definition of the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm function.

Convention 2.1 *In this article, $\log z = \ln z$, $\text{Im}(z)$, and $\text{Re}(z)$ are respectively the real part and imaginary part of the complex number z .*

Convention 2.2 *The notation Arg is used for the principal value of the argument that lies within the interval $(-\pi, \pi]$.*

Definition 2.3 The **Bloch-Wigner** dilogarithm function $D(z)$ is defined by:

$$D(z) = \text{Im}(Li_2(z)) + \text{Arg}(1-z) \log |z|,$$

where $Li_2(z)$ is the following function:

$$Li_2(z) = - \int_0^z \log(1-u) \frac{du}{u} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [1, \infty).$$

Convention 2.4 *In this paper, we exclusively address the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm. Therefore, any reference to the term "dilogarithm" should be understood as pertaining specifically to the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm. Furthermore, the Mahler measure should be considered exclusively as the logarithmic Mahler measure and is denoted by $m(\cdot)$ in this paper.*

In the following facts, we summarise the important properties of the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm. For more details, we refer to [42].

Fact 2.5 The function $D(z)$ is real analytic on \mathbb{C} except at the two points 0 and 1, where it is continuous but not differentiable. Moreover, we have:

1. $D(\bar{z}) = -D(z)$.
2. If $|z| = 1$, $D(z) = D(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{n^2}$, in particular $D(e^{k\pi i}) = 0$.
3. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and ζ_n a primitive n -th root of unity, the following relation holds:

$$D(z^n) = n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} D(\zeta_n^j z).$$

The last property above is called the distribution relation for dilogarithm and is mentioned in [12].

The closed formula for the Mahler measure of P_d is computed in [33, 34] and is as follows:

$$2\pi m(P_d) = \frac{1}{d+1}S_{d+2} - \frac{1}{d+2}S_{d+1}, \quad \text{with} \quad S_d := 3 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} (d-2k)D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{d}}\right). \quad (5)$$

To obtain the above formula one can use the closed formula for the Mahler measure of bivariate regular exact polynomials obtained in [22]. In fact, for $d = 1$ the polynomial P_1 is the famous example of Smyth, $P_1 = x + y + 1$ introduced in Equation (2) whose Mahler measure is indeed a special value of a Dirichlet L -function. Thus, one can consider P_d as a generalization of P_1 to a polynomial family that is unbounded in both degree and genus. As mentioned $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} m(P_d) = \frac{9}{2\pi^2}\zeta(3) = m(P_\infty)$ where $P_\infty := (1-x)(1-y) - (1-z)(1-w)$, and $m(P_\infty)$ was studied by D'Andrea and Lalin in [18]. A straightforward proof for $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} m(P_d) = \frac{9}{2\pi^2}\zeta(3)$ can be deduced by applying the generalization of the theorem of Boyd-Lawton for which we refer to [14]. The convergence of the sequence of $m(P_d)$ to $m(P_\infty)$ is of the order $O\left(\frac{\log d}{d^2}\right)$ and the full asymptotic expansion of $m(P_d) - m(P_\infty)$ according to [14, Theorem 5.1] is as follows:

$$m(P_d) - m(P_\infty) = \frac{1}{(d+1)(d+2)} \left[-\frac{\log(d)}{2} + \sum_{j=0}^{2m-3} \frac{\alpha_j}{d^j} \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{d^{2m-1}}\right) \quad \text{for all } m \geq 2, \quad (6)$$

where the coefficients $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$ are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &:= 6(\zeta'(-1) - \zeta'(-2)) + \frac{\log(2\pi)}{2} - 1, \\ \alpha_j &:= \frac{12 \cdot (-1)^j}{j(j+1)} \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} \binom{j+1}{2t} \cdot \frac{(2^{j+1-2t} - 1)(2t-1)}{(2t+1)(2t+2)} \cdot B_{2t+2} \cdot \zeta(2t) \quad (j \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Here, B_n denotes the n -th Bernoulli number. For more details of the above computation we refer to Theorem V.4.1 in [33].

2.2 Representation of $m(P_d)$ in terms of L -functions

The closed formula for the Mahler measure of P_d family, Equation (5), is a linear combination of the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm function evaluated at roots of unity. Furthermore, there is a link between L -functions of odd quadratic characters and Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm functions evaluated at roots of unity as mentioned in Proposition 1.7. As Smyth computed $m(P_1) = L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)$, one may ask about the possibility of writing $m(P_d)$, for $d \geq 2$ as a multiple of $L'(-1, \chi_{-f})$, for some f or even as a linear combination of $L'(-1, \chi_{-f})$ for some values of f , where χ_{-f} is the odd quadratic character of conductor f . In this section, we prove that $m(P_d)$ can be written as a linear combination of L -functions of primitive odd characters (not necessarily quadratic). This result was stated in Main Theorem 1.4 in the introduction. In the rest of this section, we provide the proof of the theorem. We begin by recalling the following definition:

Definition 2.6 Let χ be a primitive character of modulus k and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The Gauss sums associated to χ are defined as follows:

$$\tau_n(\chi) := \sum_{1 \leq a \leq k} \chi(a) e^{\frac{2\pi i n a}{k}}.$$

Moreover, when $n = 1$, the usual notation is $\tau(\chi)$;

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{1 \leq a \leq k} \chi(a) e^{\frac{2\pi i a}{k}}.$$

It is a classical fact that for the primitive Dirichlet character χ of conductor k we have

$$|\tau(\chi)|^2 = k.$$

We refer to [29, Page 84] for a proof of this fact. Moreover, under the same condition, we have the correspondence between $\tau(\chi)$ and $\tau_n(\chi)$, proved in [20, Equation 5]:

Lemma 2.7 Let χ be a primitive character of modulus k and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, The following equation holds:

$$\tau_n(\chi) = \bar{\chi}(n)\tau(\chi).$$

The first step in finding a link between $m(P_d)$ and L -functions is the following result, announced in [12, Equation 11]¹, which we recall here and provide a proof for:

Proposition 2.8 Let χ be a complex primitive odd Dirichlet character of conductor k . We have:

$$L(\chi, 2) = i\tau(\bar{\chi})^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq k} \overline{\chi(a)} D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right). \quad (7)$$

Proof The general relation between the polylogarithm $Li_m(z)$ and $L(\chi, m)$ [43, Page 9]; For a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor k we have:

$$L(\chi, m) = \tau(\bar{\chi})^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq k} \overline{\chi(a)} Li_m\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right).$$

Since $\log\left|e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right| = 0$ we use the definition of the Bloch Wigner dilogarithm, [42], $D(z) = \text{Im}(Li_2(z)) + \arg(1-z)\log|z|$ and we have:

$$D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right) = \text{Im}\left(Li_2\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right)\right) = \frac{Li_2\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right) - \overline{Li_2\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right)}}{2i}.$$

We can now compute the right-hand side of Equation (7) for $m = 2$ and χ a primitive odd Dirichlet character of conductor k :

$$\begin{aligned} i\tau(\bar{\chi})^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq k} \overline{\chi(a)} D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right) &= i\tau(\bar{\chi})^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq k} \overline{\chi(a)} \left(\frac{Li_2\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right) - \overline{Li_2\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right)}}{2i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\tau(\bar{\chi})^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq k} \overline{\chi(a)} Li_2\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right) - \tau(\bar{\chi})^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq k} \overline{\chi(a)} \overline{Li_2\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right)} \right) \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \frac{1}{2} \left(\tau(\bar{\chi})^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq k} \overline{\chi(a)} Li_2\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right) + \overline{\tau(\chi)^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq k} \chi(a) Li_2\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}\right)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(L(\chi, 2) + \overline{L(\bar{\chi}, 2)} \right) \stackrel{[2]}{=} L(\chi, 2). \end{aligned}$$

In [1] we used the fact that $\tau(\bar{\chi}) = \chi(-1)\overline{\tau(\chi)}$ which can be verified as follows:

$$\tau(\bar{\chi}) = \sum_{a \bmod k} \bar{\chi}(a) e^{\frac{2\pi ia}{k}} = \overline{\sum_{a \bmod k} \chi(a) e^{-\frac{2\pi ia}{k}}} \stackrel{[3]}{=} \overline{\tau(\chi)\chi(-1)}.$$

In [3], we used Lemma 2.7, for $n = -1$, and since χ is an odd Dirichlet character, so we have $\chi(-1) = -1$. For [2] we used that $\overline{L(\chi, s)} = L(\bar{\chi}, s)$, since s is real.

In the following, we recall the generalization of the Proposition 1.7 to all primitive Dirichlet characters.

¹There is a typographical error in [12, Equation 11]: since the character is odd, there should be a coefficient i .

Corollary 2.9 ([37], Page 697) For a primitive odd (non-principal) Dirichlet character of conductor k , we have:

$$L'(\chi, -1) = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \chi(m) D(\zeta_k^m) = \frac{-ik\tau(\chi)}{4\pi} L(\bar{\chi}, 2).$$

As we mentioned in Notation 1.8, for χ_{-f} the odd quadratic Dirichlet character of conductor f , Boyd [10] introduced the notation d_f for the summation $\frac{f}{4\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{f-1} \chi(m) D(\zeta_f^m)$. We extend Boyd's notation to all primitive characters by taking Remark 2.11 into account;

Notation 2.10 Let χ be an odd Dirichlet character of modulus k . We define the following notation:

$$d_\chi := \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \chi(m) D(\zeta_k^m).$$

According to Corollary 2.9, if χ is a primitive odd character, then d_χ is $L'(\chi, -1)$. Indeed, if $k = f$, where $-f$ is a fundamental discriminant, then $\chi(m) = \chi_{-f}(m) = \left(\frac{-f}{m}\right)$ is the odd quadratic Dirichlet character of conductor f . In this case, d_f and d_χ are the same.

Remark 2.11 We need to emphasize that the link between d_χ and L -functions for primitive characters χ mentioned in Corollary 2.9 is not valid for imprimitive characters. In Proposition 2.16, d_χ for an imprimitive character χ , induced by the primitive character χ^* , is explicitly written in terms of d_{χ^*} , $L'(\chi^*, -1)$, and $L(\bar{\chi}, 2)$.

Let us first recall a classical fact regarding Dirichlet characters.

Proposition 2.12 ([36], Page 91) Let χ be a Dirichlet character modulus k with conductor c . Then there exists a unique primitive character χ^* of conductor c that induces χ . Moreover, χ is odd if and only if χ^* is odd.

To find a link between d_χ and d_{χ^*} , we first recall a classical property of the Möbius function ([1, Theorem 2.1]). This property plays an important role in linking d_χ and d_{χ^*} in Lemma 2.14.

Fact 2.13 Let μ be the Möbius function. The following equality holds:

$$\sum_{d|j, d|q} \mu(d) = \sum_{d|\gcd(j,q)} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gcd(j, q) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \gcd(j, q) > 1. \end{cases}$$

In the following lemma, we prove a linear relation between d_χ and d_{χ^*} with a coefficient in a cyclotomic field depending on the conductor of χ^* .

Lemma 2.14 Let χ be a character of modulus k and conductor c , induced by the primitive Dirichlet character χ^* . Let $k = cq$, with $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and μ be the Möbius function. Define $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q} \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(c)}} \right)$ as follows:

$$\gamma := \sum_{d|q} d \mu(d) \chi^*(d). \quad (8)$$

The following equation is valid:

$$d_\chi = \gamma d_{\chi^*}.$$

Proof Since $k = cq$, if $\gcd(j, q) = 1$, then $\gcd(j, c) = \gcd(j, k)$ and by the definition of induced character we have $\chi^*(j) = \chi(j)$. Otherwise if $\gcd(j, q) > 1$, then we have $\chi(j) = 0$. Therefore, we can replace χ by χ^* in the definition of d_χ :

$$d_\chi = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{j=0}^k \chi(j) D(\zeta_k^j) = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ \gcd(j,q)=1}}^k \chi^*(j) D(\zeta_k^j).$$

Note that, according to Fact 2.5, $D(1) = 0$, so we are allowed to change the index of the series in the definition of d_χ , introduced in Notation 2.10, from $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ to $0 \leq j \leq k$. To remove the gcd condition in the index of the series, we use the Möbius function property mentioned in Fact 2.13 and we have:

$$d_\chi = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ \gcd(j,q)=1}}^k \chi^*(j) D(\zeta_k^j) = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\sum_{d|j, d|q} \mu(d) \right) \chi^*(j) D(\zeta_k^j).$$

By interchanging the summations, and writing $j = td$, since $d|j$, we obtain:

$$d_\chi = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \left(\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{k}{d}} \chi^*(td) D(\zeta_k^{td}) \right).$$

Note that since $d|q$ and $q|k$, we can write the index $\frac{k}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for the second summation. We use now the multiplicativity of χ^* and we have:

$$d_\chi = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \chi^*(d) \left(\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{k}{d}} \chi^*(t) D(\zeta_k^{td}) \right). \quad (9)$$

In the rest of the proof, we focus on the inner sum and prove that it is a suitable multiple of d_{χ^*} .

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{k}{d}} \chi^*(t) D(\zeta_k^{td}) = \sum_{t=1}^{\frac{c}{d}} \chi^*(t) D(\zeta_k^{td}) = \sum_{l=0}^c \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{q}{d}} \chi^*(l+mc) D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i(l+mc)d}{qc}}\right).$$

Let us denote $\frac{q}{d}$ by h . We use now the fact that the conductor of χ^* is c , hence $\chi^*(l+mc) = \chi^*(l)$.

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{k}{d}} \chi^*(t) D(\zeta_k^{td}) = \sum_{l=0}^c \chi^*(l) \sum_{m=0}^h D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi il}{hc}} e^{\frac{2\pi im}{h}}\right). \quad (10)$$

We use now the distribution relation of the dilogarithm, so we have:

$$\sum_{m=0}^h D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi im}{h}} e^{\frac{2\pi il}{hc}}\right) = \frac{D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi il}{c}}\right)}{h}.$$

We replace the above information inside Equation (10) and we have:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{k}{d}} \chi^*(t) D(\zeta_k^{td}) = \sum_{l=0}^c \chi^*(l) \frac{D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi il}{c}}\right)}{h} = \frac{4\pi d_{\chi^*}}{ch}. \quad (11)$$

This finishes the proof, since by replacing Equation (11) in Equation (9) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\chi &= \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \chi^*(d) \left(\sum_{t=1}^{\frac{k}{d}} \chi^*(t) D(\zeta_k^{td}) \right) = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \chi^*(d) \left(\frac{4\pi d_{\chi^*}}{ch} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{d|q} d \mu(d) \chi^*(d) \right) d_{\chi^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Note that in the last equality, we replaced h again with its initial value $\frac{q}{d}$. Moreover, the values of the final series, $\sum_{d|q} d \mu(d) \chi^*(d)$, belong to the field of the values of the Dirichlet character χ^* .

Hence it belongs to the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(c)}}\right)$.

We note that if the conductor of χ^* and the modulus of χ share the same primes then the constant γ in Lemma 2.14 is simply one. This is because we have the equality $\chi(l+mc) = \chi^*(l)\chi_0(l+mc)$, where χ_0 is the principal character modulo k (i.e. $\chi_0(j) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \gcd(j, k) = 1$). We announce this in the following corollary.

Corollary 2.15 Let χ be a character of modulus k and conductor c , induced by the primitive Dirichlet character χ^* . Moreover, suppose that k and c share the same primes in their factorization to primes. Then, we have:

$$d_{\chi^*} = d_{\chi}.$$

In the following proposition, we generalize Corollary 2.9 to all characters, providing us with the main tool to prove Main Theorem 1.4.

Proposition 2.16 Let χ be a character of modulus k and conductor c , where $k = q.c$. Let χ be induced by the primitive Dirichlet character χ^* . The following equalities are valid:

$$d_{\chi} = \gamma L'(\chi^*, -1) = \beta L(\bar{\chi}, 2),$$

where the constant γ is as introduced in Equation (8) and β is defined as follows:

$$\gamma = \sum_{d|q} d\mu(d)\chi^*(d), \quad \beta := \frac{-i\gamma c\tau(\chi^*)}{4\pi \prod_{p|k} \left(1 - \frac{\chi^*(p)}{p^2}\right)}.$$

Proof The first equality is the direct application of Lemma 2.14 and Corollary 2.9. For the second equality, we first apply Lemma 2.14. Then we use the link between $L(\chi, -1)$, and $L(\chi^*, -1)$ which is an application of the Euler product (see [35, eq.(10.20)]) and is as follows:

$$L(\chi, s) = L(\chi^*, s) \prod_{p|k} \left(1 - \frac{\chi^*(p)}{p^s}\right). \quad (13)$$

Hence we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\chi} &= \gamma L'(\chi^*, -1) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \gamma \frac{-ic\tau(\chi^*)}{4\pi} L(\bar{\chi}^*, 2) \stackrel{[2]}{=} \gamma \frac{-ic\tau(\chi^*)}{4\pi} \overline{L(\chi^*, 2)} \\ &\stackrel{[3]}{=} \gamma \frac{-ic\tau(\chi^*)}{4\pi} \frac{\overline{L(\chi, 2)}}{\prod_{p|k} \left(1 - \frac{\chi^*(p)}{p^2}\right)} \stackrel{[4]}{=} \gamma \frac{-ic\tau(\chi^*)}{4\pi} \frac{L(\bar{\chi}, 2)}{\prod_{p|k} \left(1 - \frac{\chi^*(p)}{p^2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

In [1] we applied the functional equations of L -functions for the primitive character χ^* , mentioned in Corollary 2.9. In [2] and [4] we used that $\overline{L(\chi, s)} = L(\bar{\chi}, s)$, since $s = 2$ is real. In [3] we used the identity (13).

We now proceed with studying $m(P_d)$ and its potential connection to Dirichlet L -functions. In the rest of this section, instead of considering Dirichlet characters of modulus d as a function over $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d\mathbb{Z}}$, we consider them as functions over the set of d -th roots of unity. We explain this process more precisely in Conventional Definition 2.19, but first, we summarize the important notations and definitions that will be used regularly as follows:

Notation 2.17 Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and set the following notations:

- The set of the d -th roots of unity is denoted by $U_d = \{e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{d}} \mid 0 \leq k \leq d-1\}$.
- The set of primitive d -th roots of unity is denoted by:

$$V_d = \{e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{d}} \mid 1 \leq k \leq d-1, \gcd(k, d) = 1\}.$$

- $\mathbb{Z}_d := \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d\mathbb{Z}} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d-1\}$.
- The group of invertible elements of the ring \mathbb{Z}_d is denoted by:

$$\mathbb{Z}_d^* = \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{d\mathbb{Z}} \right)^* = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \leq k \leq d-1, \gcd(k, d) = 1\}. \quad (14)$$

- The \mathbb{C} -vector space of the functions from \mathbb{Z}_d^* to \mathbb{C} is denoted by $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}_d^*}$.

It is known [24, Chapter 10] that the set of Dirichlet characters of modulus d form a basis for the \mathbb{C} -vector space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}_d^*}$. Consequently, the set of odd Dirichlet characters of modulus d generates the set of functions $f : \mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the two following properties:

- 1) $f(k) = 0 \Leftrightarrow k \in \mathbb{Z}_d \setminus \mathbb{Z}_d^*$,
- 2) $f(d-x) = -f(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}_d$.

Let us introduce a customary terminology regarding the second property above.

Definition 2.18 Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the property $f(d-k) = -f(k)$, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_d$. Then f is called an odd function.

A Dirichlet character χ of modulus d can be considered as a function $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with some extra properties. The bijection $\phi_d : \mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow U_d$, defined by $\phi_d(k) = e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{d}}$ sends \mathbb{Z}_d^* to the set of primitive d -th roots of unity, V_d . Instead of considering Dirichlet characters over the set \mathbb{Z}_d we consider them over $\phi_d(\mathbb{Z}_d)$ which is U_d . We explain our conventional definition more precisely in the following:

Conventional Definition 2.19 For $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ and a Dirichlet character χ of modulus d we consider the following conventional definition of the Dirichlet character $\chi^\circ : U_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by:

$$\chi^\circ \left(\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} \right)^k \right) := \chi \circ \phi_d^{-1} \left(\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} \right)^k \right) = \chi(k).$$

Note that the right-hand side of the above equation, $\chi(k)$ is the ordinary definition of Dirichlet characters on \mathbb{Z}_d , but the left-hand side $\chi^\circ \left(\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} \right)^k \right)$ is the one we consider in the sequel, defined over U_d . In the following, we state some of the important properties of Dirichlet characters in the new setting which will be needed for the proof of Main Theorem 1.4:

- Let $\chi^\circ : U_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have:

$$\chi^\circ(z) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow z \in V_d.$$

- Let χ be an odd Dirichlet character of modulus d , defined over \mathbb{Z}_d . For the character χ° the following properties hold for every $0 \leq k \leq d-1$:

$$\chi^\circ \left(e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{d}} \right) = -\chi^\circ \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i(d-k)}{d}} \right).$$

- Thanks to the bijection $\phi_d : \mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow U_d$ we conclude that the set of Dirichlet characters of modulus d over U_d forms a basis for the \mathbb{C} -vector space \mathbb{C}^{V_d} . Furthermore, the set of odd Dirichlet characters of modulus d over U_d generates the set of functions $f : U_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the two following properties:

- 1) $f(z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow z \in U_d \setminus V_d$,
- 2) $f(\bar{z}) = -f(z)$ for every $z \in U_d$.

Analogous to Definition 2.18 we can consider the notion of odd, for functions over U_d .

Definition 2.20 A function $f : U_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called odd if it satisfies $f(\bar{z}) = -f(z)$ for every z in U_d .

Using the above terminology, the set of odd Dirichlet characters of modulus d over U_d generates the set of odd functions whose support is V_d .

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and k be a divisor of d . In the following lemma, we construct an odd function on U_k with support V_k from an odd function defined on U_d .

Proposition 2.21 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and k be a divisor of d . Let $f_d : U_d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be an odd function and let 1_{V_k} be the characteristic function of V_k . Let $\hat{f}_{k,d} : U_d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by $\hat{f}_{k,d} := 1_{V_k} f_d$. Let $f_{k,d}$ be the restriction of $\hat{f}_{k,d}$ to U_k . Then $f_{k,d}$ is an odd function and is written uniquely in terms of odd Dirichlet characters of modulus k over U_k .

Proof The characteristic function 1_{V_k} is even and f_d is odd, therefore the product is odd. Thus it is written uniquely in terms of odd Dirichlet characters of modulus k over U_k .

We now come back to our problem of writing $m(P_d)$ in terms of L -functions. According to [34] $2\pi m(P_d) = \frac{1}{d+1}S_{d+2} - \frac{1}{d+2}S_{d+1}$, where $S_d := 3 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} (d-2k)D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{d}}\right)$. Let us prove the following proposition concerning S_d :

Proposition 2.22 Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and $S_d = 3 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} (d-2k)D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{d}}\right)$. Then, for every primitive odd Dirichlet character χ of conductor k , such that $k|d$, there exists a coefficient $C_{k,\chi}$ in $\mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(d)}}\right)$ such that:

$$S_d = \sum_{k|d} \sum_{\chi \text{ primitive odd mod } k} C_{k,\chi} L'(\chi, -1).$$

Proof We consider S_d as an inner product of two vectors in \mathbb{C}^{d-1} as follows:

$$S_d = 3 \left\langle [d-2, \dots, 2-d], \left[D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}}\right), \dots, D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i(d-1)}{d}}\right) \right] \right\rangle.$$

In Corollary 2.9 and Proposition 2.16 we have seen the link between d_χ and L -functions for primitive odd and imprimitive odd characters, respectively. Thus, writing S_d in terms of a linear combination of d_χ , for some odd χ leads to a representation of S_d in terms of L -functions. We write d_χ as follows:

$$d_\chi = \frac{d}{4\pi} \left\langle [\chi(1), \dots, \chi(d-1)], \left[D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}}\right), \dots, D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i(d-1)}{d}}\right) \right] \right\rangle.$$

Thanks to the linearity of the inner product, it suffices to write the coefficient vector

$$C_d := [d-2, \dots, 2-d].$$

as a linear combination of character vectors, which are vectors of the form

$$\chi_d := [\chi(1), \dots, \chi(d-1)],$$

for some odd Dirichlet character χ . By using the bijection $\phi_d : \mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow U_d$, we write character vectors in the following form:

$$\chi_d^\circ := \left[\chi^\circ\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}}\right), \dots, \chi^\circ\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i(d-1)}{d}}\right) \right],$$

for the odd χ° , constructed from χ using Conventional Definition 2.19. To use linear algebra techniques we defined the following function:

$$\begin{aligned} f_d : U_d &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{d}} &\longmapsto d-2k, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Using the above function, the question of writing C_d as a linear combination of vectors χ_d° is equivalent to writing the function f_d as a linear combination of odd Dirichlet characters over U_d . We note that f_d is an odd function, because for any $z = e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d}} \in U_d$ the following holds:

$$f_d(\bar{z}) = f_d\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i(d-k)}{d}}\right) = d - 2(d-k) = 2k - d = -f_d\left(e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{d}}\right) = -f_d(z).$$

However, f_d does not satisfy the first condition of Equation (15). In other words have $f_d(z) \neq 0$ even for $z \in U_d \setminus V_d$. Thus we can not write f_d only as a linear combination of odd Dirichlet characters of modulus d . To solve this problem, we write $f_d = \sum_{k|d} \hat{f}_{d,k}$, with $\hat{f}_{d,k} = 1_{V_k} f_d$, as before. We notice that $U_d = \bigsqcup_{k|d} V_k$. One can restrict each $\hat{f}_{d,k}$ to U_k by considering $f_{d,k} : U_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in the same way as introduced in Proposition 2.21. Therefore, thanks to Proposition 2.21 for each $k|d$, $f_{d,k}$ can be represented uniquely as a linear combination of odd Dirichlet characters χ° of modulus k over U_k . Finally, using the bijection $\phi_k^{-1} : U_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$ we can write $S_d = \sum_{k|d} \sum_{\chi \text{ odd of mod } k} C_{k,\chi} d_\chi$. To explain that $C_{k,\chi} \in \mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(d)}}\right)$, we recall that the vector $[d-2, \dots, 2-d] \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is written uniquely as a linear combination of Dirichlet characters of modulus k , with $k|d$. On the other hand, the values of Dirichlet characters of modulus k belong to the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(k)}}\right)$. Thanks to the multiplicativity of Euler's function (see [19, Page 13]), for any divisor k of d we have $\phi(k)|\phi(d)$, so $\mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(k)}}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(d)}}\right)$. Using the fact that Dirichlet characters of modulus d construct a basis for \mathbb{C}^{V_d} we conclude that all $C_{k,\chi}$ belonging to $\mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(d)}}\right)$. Using Proposition 2.16, for a character χ of modulus k and conductor c induced by χ^* we can write $d_\chi = \gamma_\chi L'(\chi^*, -1)$, where γ_χ is constructed from χ according to Equation (8) and we have:

$$\gamma_\chi \in \mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(c)}}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(k)}}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(d)}}\right).$$

This implies that S_d can be written as a linear combination of L -functions with coefficients in the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi(d)}}\right)$.

The above proposition is the key to proving Main Theorem 1.4.

Proof Proof of Main Theorem 1.4: We have $2\pi m(P_d) = \frac{1}{d+1} S_{d+2} - \frac{1}{d+2} S_{d+1}$, and according to Proposition 2.22 we can write S_{d+1} and S_{d+2} in terms of L -functions associated with primitive odd characters of conductor k where $k|d+1$ or $k|d+2$, respectively. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.23 We notice that the representation of $m(P_d)$ in terms of L -functions whose existence is guaranteed by Main Theorem 1.4 is not unique. One can uniquely express the coefficient vector $C_d = [d-2, \dots, 2-d]$ as a linear combination of primitive odd Dirichlet characters. However, in the formula of S_d we compute the inner product of C_d with the dilogarithm vector

$$D_d := \left[D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}}\right), \dots, D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i(d-1)}{d}}\right) \right],$$

which changes the situation. More precisely, for any vector $V \in D_d^\perp$, perpendicular to the vector D_d , we have $S_d = 3\langle C_d + V, D_d \rangle = 3\langle C_d, D_d \rangle$.

3 Solutions to Chinburg's conjectures using P_d family

As we have seen in the previous section, Main Theorem 1.4 revealed the links between $m(P_d)$ and L -functions of primitive odd Dirichlet characters. We are interested in polynomials whose Mahler

measures are rational multiples of L -values of odd quadratic characters to tackle Chinburg's conjectures (Conjecture 1.5 and Conjecture 1.6). In this section, we prove that P_d family generates solutions to the conjectures for conductors 3, 4, 8, 15, 20 and 24 as listed in Table 8. One key reason that P_d family provides solutions for these cases is that each of these conductors has only one primitive odd Dirichlet character, namely the quadratic χ_{-f} . To be more precise, the Mahler measure of P_d is computed using the formula $\frac{1}{d+1}S_{d+2} - \frac{1}{d+2}S_{d+1}$, where $S_d = 3 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} (d-2k) D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{d}}\right)$. According to Proposition 2.22, for a fixed $t \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ we can express S_t in terms of L -functions of primitive characters of conductor f , where $f|t$. Furthermore, an inductive process can express S_t as a linear combination of the Mahler measure of P_d polynomials, with $d \leq t$. If there exists only one primitive odd Dirichlet character of conductor f , namely χ_{-f} , then by considering a suitable linear combination of S_d , where $d \leq t$, we can express $L'(\chi_{-f}, -1)$ in terms of a linear combination of the Mahler measures of P_d polynomials with $d \leq t$. Thanks to the property of the Mahler measure that $m(PQ) = m(P) + m(Q)$ and $m(P/Q) = m(P) - m(Q)$, for $Q \neq 0$, a linear combination of Mahler measures of P_d polynomials is itself the Mahler measure of a rational function involving product and division of P_d polynomials, with $d \leq t$. This is the general argument in this section, but the detailed computation of S_d and therefore $m(P_d)$ in terms of L -functions for $d \leq 16$ clarifies this constructive procedure for finding solutions to Chinburg's conjectures for the mentioned conductors. Another interesting aspect of such computations is to obtain examples that link the Mahler measure of P_d -family to L -functions of primitive odd complex Dirichlet characters. This suggests to us that we should generalize the weak version of Chinburg's conjecture to all primitive odd characters. This generalization is already mentioned in Conjecture 1.9 and is interesting for studying the L -values of odd primitive Dirichlet characters. Moreover, in some cases, the example produced for the generalized version can leverage the computation to obtain a solution for the weak or strong Chinburg's conjectures. Some solutions that P_d -family produces for the generalized version of Chinburg's conjecture are listed in Table 9.

We believe that P_d can offer more solutions to various versions of Chinburg's conjecture. However, at this moment, we are unable to prove it due to the complexity of direct computations. The extent to which P_d can be fruitful is an ongoing project of the authors, which will be discussed in Section 5.

Let us begin this section by outlining the convention that we use throughout this paper.

Convention 3.1 *In this paper, an odd quadratic Dirichlet character of conductor f is denoted by χ_{-f} . However, for an odd non-real primitive character of conductor f , we use a notation of the form $\chi_{-f}(k, \cdot)$, where $k < f$ is the label that is used in the LMFDB Dirichlet character database.*

To access the values of the Dirichlet characters, their parity, and their conductor one can use the LMFDB Dirichlet character database. However, we re-introduce all the odd Dirichlet characters used in this paper in Section 4.3. If the character is primitive, it is introduced in Section 4.3.1, and if it is imprimitive is introduced in Section 4.3.2. In the sequel, we avoid reintroducing these characters elsewhere.

Remark 3.2 *For the computation of this chapter, we use certain important properties of Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm functions which are summarized in Fact 2.5. Moreover, we provide a detailed explanation of the computation for certain S_d , where new techniques are required.*

Smyth's computation, Equation (2), revealed that $m(P_1)$ is linked to $L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)$. The next proposition recovers this result using the closed formula for $m(P_1)$.

Proposition 3.3 The following equality holds:

$$m(P_1) = L'(\chi_{-3}, -1). \quad (16)$$

Proof By applying the formula of $m(P_d)$ introduced in Equation (5), for $d = 1$ we have $m(P_1) = \frac{1}{\pi} D\left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}\right)$. Applying Proposition 1.7, for χ_{-3} and using the fact that $D(z) = -D(\bar{z})$ we have:

$$L'(\chi_{-3}, -1) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left(\chi_{-3}(1) D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\right) + \chi_{-3}(2) D\left(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}\right) \right) = \frac{3}{2\pi} D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\right).$$

To complete the proof, we use the distribution relation for dilogarithm introduced in Fact 2.5;

$$D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\right) = 2D\left(-e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}\right) + 2D\left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}\right) = -2D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\right) + 2D\left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}\right).$$

The above implies the following equality that completes the proof:

$$D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\right) = \frac{2}{3}D\left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}\right). \quad (17)$$

We can proceed with our analysis with P_2 .

Proposition 3.4 The following equality holds:

$$m(P_2) = L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) - \frac{L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)}{2}. \quad (18)$$

Proof By applying the formula for $m(P_d)$, for $d = 2$, we have $m(P_2) = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{S_4}{2\pi}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{S_3}{2\pi}\right)$, with $\frac{S_3}{2\pi} = \frac{3}{\pi}D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\right)$, $\frac{S_4}{2\pi} = \frac{6}{\pi}D(i)$. According to Proposition 3.3 we have $\frac{S_3}{2\pi} = 2L'(\chi_{\chi_{-3}}, -1)$. According to Proposition 1.7 we have the following equality:

$$L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) = \frac{4}{4\pi}\left(\chi_{-4}(1)D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{4}}\right) + \chi_{-4}(2)D\left(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{4}}\right) + \chi_{-4}(3)D\left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{4}}\right)\right) = \frac{2}{\pi}D(i). \quad (19)$$

This implies the following that completes the proof.

$$\frac{S_4}{2\pi} = 3L'(\chi_{\chi_{-4}}, -1). \quad (20)$$

Using the notation of Boyd, Notation 1.8, we conclude the following:

Corollary 3.5 Equation (18) is equivalent to the following equality:

$$m(P_2) = d_4 - \frac{d_3}{2} = L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) - \frac{L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)}{2}.$$

As mentioned, Ray in [21, Page 699] gave solutions to the conjecture for $f = 3, 4, 7, 8, 20$ and 24 . We introduce another solution to the strong Chinburg's conjecture, for $f = 4$ only by using P_d -family.

Corollary 3.6 The polynomial $P_2^2 P_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ verifies the following identity:

$$m(P_2^2 P_1) = 2L'(\chi_{-4}, -1).$$

Proof The proof only used Equation (16), Equation (18), and the property of the Mahler measure that $m(PQ) = m(P) + m(Q)$.

To find further results regarding Chinburg's conjectures, we continue our analysis of the P_d family. We note that in contrast to $m(P_1)$ and $m(P_2)$, for $d \geq 3$, $m(P_d)$ may not be written only in terms of L -functions of quadratic characters. In other words, the formulas of $m(P_d)$ are indeed involved with L -functions of non-real primitive characters.

Proposition 3.7 The following equality holds:

$$m(P_3) = \frac{9-3i}{20}L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{9+3i}{20}L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1) - \frac{3}{5}L'(\chi_{-4}, -1). \quad (21)$$

Proof According to Equation (5) we have:

$$m(P_3) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\frac{1}{4}S_5 - \frac{1}{5}S_4\right) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(9D\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}}\right) + 3D\left(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}}\right)\right) + \frac{-6}{5\pi}D(i).$$

Using Proposition 3.4, we have $\frac{-3}{5}L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) = \frac{-6}{5\pi}D(i)$. The primitive odd characters of conductor 5 are $\chi_5(2, \cdot)$ and $\chi_5(3, \cdot)$. Applying Corollary 2.9 implies:

$$\overline{d_{\chi_5(3, \cdot)}} = d_{\chi_5(2, \cdot)} = \frac{5}{2\pi} \left(D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}} \right) + iD \left(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}} \right) \right).$$

We note that Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm is a real-valued function and $\chi_5(3, \cdot)$ and $\chi_5(2, \cdot)$ are complex conjugate characters, so we have $d_{\chi_5(3, \cdot)} = \overline{d_{\chi_5(2, \cdot)}}$. We search for C_1 and C_2 , where $C_1 d_{\chi_5(2, \cdot)} + C_2 d_{\chi_5(3, \cdot)} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(9D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}} \right) + 3D \left(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}} \right) \right)$. The unique solution to the system is $C_1 = \frac{9-3i}{20}$ and $C_2 = \frac{9+3i}{20}$. By applying Corollary 2.9 we have the following equation which together with Equation (20) complete the proof:

$$\frac{S_5}{2\pi} = \frac{9-3i}{5}L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{9+3i}{5}L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1). \quad (22)$$

With similar computations, the following proposition is automated;

Proposition 3.8 The following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_6}{2\pi} &= 16L'(\chi_{-3}, -1), \\ \frac{S_7}{2\pi} &= 2L'(\chi_{-7}, -1) + \frac{8-4\sqrt{3}i}{7}L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{8+4\sqrt{3}i}{7}L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1), \\ \frac{S_8}{2\pi} &= \frac{15}{2}L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) + 3L'(\chi_{-8}, -1). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the above equalities imply the following identities;

$$\begin{aligned} m(P_4) &= \frac{-3+i}{10}L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{-3-i}{10}L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{16}{5}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1), \\ m(P_5) &= \frac{1}{3}L'(\chi_{-7}, -1) + \frac{4-2\sqrt{3}i}{21}L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{4+2\sqrt{3}i}{21}L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1) - \frac{16}{7}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1), \\ m(P_6) &= \frac{3}{7}L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) - \frac{1}{4}L'(\chi_{-7}, -1) + \frac{-2+\sqrt{3}i}{14}L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{-2-\sqrt{3}i}{14}L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1) \\ &\quad + \frac{15}{14}L'(\chi_{-4}, -1). \end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately the existence of d_χ associated with primitive odd complex Dirichlet characters of conductor 7 in addition to the quadratic one in $m(P_5)$ and $m(P_6)$ does not allow us to give a solution to Chinburg's Conjecture for $f = 7$ using our prior method. It is probable that after computing $m(P_d)$ for large enough d we will be able to find a solution for the case $f = 7$. On the other hand, the existence of such L -functions associated with complex characters in $m(P_d)$ could be fruitful in providing solutions to the generalized Chinburg's conjecture, Conjecture 1.9. We will discuss this further in the sequel (see Corollary 3.13).

Corollary 3.9 The following identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} m(P_3^2 P_4^3) &= \frac{48}{5}d_3 - \frac{6}{5}d_4 = \frac{48}{5}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1) - \frac{6}{5}L'(\chi_{-4}, -1). \\ m(P_5^3 P_6^4) &= \frac{6}{7}(2d_8 + 5d_4 - 8d_3) = \frac{6}{7}(2L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) + 5L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) - 8L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)). \end{aligned}$$

The above suggests that appropriate polynomials or rational functions involving products or divisions of P_d could be linked to d_f or potentially provide answers to Chinburg's conjectures. For instance, from Corollaries 3.5 and 3.9, we deduce a solution to the weak form of Chinburg's conjecture.

Corollary 3.10 The following equality holds:

$$m \left(\frac{P_1^{33} P_5^{21} P_6^{28}}{P_2^{30}} \right) = 12L'(\chi_{-8}, -1).$$

Proposition 3.11 The following formula is verified:

$$\begin{aligned} m(P_7) &= \frac{3 - \sqrt{3}i}{12} L'(\chi_9(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{3 + \sqrt{3}i}{12} L'(\chi_9(5, \cdot), -1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3} L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) - \frac{5}{6} L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) + \frac{5}{6} L'(\chi_{-3}, -1). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Proof We compute $\frac{S_9}{2\pi} = \frac{3}{2\pi} \left(14D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{9}} \right) + 10D \left(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{9}} \right) + 6D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{9}} \right) + 2D \left(e^{\frac{8\pi i}{9}} \right) \right)$ in terms of L -functions. According to Corollary 2.9 we have the following:

$$\overline{d_{\chi_9(5, \cdot)}} = d_{\chi_9(2, \cdot)} = \frac{9}{2\pi} \left(D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{9}} \right) + e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}} D \left(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{9}} \right) + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} D \left(e^{\frac{8\pi i}{9}} \right) \right).$$

We have $\frac{9}{\pi} D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{9}} \right) = 6L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)$. To write S_9 in terms of $L'(\chi, -1)$, associated with $\chi_9(2, \cdot)$ and $\chi_9(5, \cdot)$ we solve a linear system, but it has no solutions. Thus, we need to consider also imprimitive odd characters of modulus 9. There is only one imprimitive odd Dirichlet character of modulus 9, namely $\chi_9(8, \cdot)$, induced by χ_{-3} . We notice that the modulus of $\chi_9(8, \cdot)$ and the conductor of χ_{-3} (which are 9 and 3, respectively) share the same prime factors, so according to Corollary 2.15, we have $d_{\chi_9(8, \cdot)} = d_{\chi_{-3}} = L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)$. Thus we get:

$$d_{\chi_9(8, \cdot)} = \frac{9}{2\pi} \left(D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{9}} \right) - D \left(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{9}} \right) + D \left(e^{\frac{8\pi i}{9}} \right) \right).$$

Again we have a new system of equations that has a unique solution. Therefore, we have the following equation that together with Proposition 3.8 completes the proof:

$$\frac{S_9}{2\pi} = \left(2 - \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}i \right) L'(\chi_9(2, \cdot), -1) + \left(2 + \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}i \right) L'(\chi_9(5, \cdot), -1) + \frac{20}{3} L'(\chi_{-3}, -1). \quad (24)$$

With similar computation as before we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.12 The following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_{10}}{2\pi} &= \frac{42 - 24i}{5} L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{42 + 24i}{5} L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1), \\ \frac{S_{11}}{2\pi} &= c_1 L'(\chi_{11}(2, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_1} L'(\chi_{11}(6, \cdot), -1) + c_2 L'(\chi_{11}(7, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_2} L'(\chi_{11}(8, \cdot), -1) \\ &\quad + \frac{6}{5} L'(\chi_{-11}, -1), \\ \frac{S_{12}}{2\pi} &= 21L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) + 38L'(\chi_{-3}, -1). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Moreover, Equations (24) and (25) imply the following identities;

$$\begin{aligned}
m(P_8) &= \frac{-3 + \sqrt{3}i}{15} L'(\chi_9(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{-3 - \sqrt{3}i}{15} L'(\chi_9(5, \cdot), -1) \\
&\quad + \frac{14 - 8i}{15} L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{14 + 8i}{15} L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1) - \frac{2}{3} L'(\chi_{-3}, -1). \\
m(P_9) &= \frac{1}{10} (c_1 L'(\chi_{11}(2, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_1} L'(\chi_{11}(6, \cdot), -1) + c_2 L'(\chi_{11}(7, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_2} L'(\chi_{11}(8, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{25} L'(\chi_{-11}, -1) - \frac{42 - 24i}{55} L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) - \frac{42 + 24i}{55} L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1), \\
m(P_{10}) &= -\frac{1}{12} (c_1 L'(\chi_{11}(2, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_1} L'(\chi_{11}(6, \cdot), -1) + c_2 L'(\chi_{11}(7, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_2} L'(\chi_{11}(8, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{10} L'(\chi_{-11}, -1) + \frac{1}{11} (21 L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) + 38 L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients c_i , for $i = 1, 2$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= \frac{51 + 21\sqrt{5}}{55} + i \frac{(3\sqrt{5} - 21)\sqrt{10 - 2\sqrt{5}}}{110}, \\
c_2 &= \frac{51 - 21\sqrt{5}}{55} + i \frac{(9 + 6\sqrt{5})\sqrt{10 - 2\sqrt{5}}}{55}. \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the case of conductor 7, only by using $m(P_9)$ and $m(P_{10})$ we can not offer any solution to Chinburg's Conjecture for conductor 11. However, our computations reveal an intriguing connection between the L -functions of primitive odd complex Dirichlet characters with conductor 5 and the Mahler measure of $m(P_d)$.

Corollary 3.13 The following identity holds:

$$m\left(\frac{P_1^{720}}{P_8^{45} P_7^{36} P_6^{28} P_5^{21} P_4^{240}}\right) = 30 (L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1)).$$

There is no odd quadratic character of conductor 5, but $\chi_5(2, \cdot)$ and $\chi_5(3, \cdot)$ are the primitive odd complex Dirichlet characters of conductor 5. The above result motivated us to generalize the conjectures of Chinburg to all primitive characters in a natural way as we stated in Conjecture 1.9 in the introduction. Hence the above equation gives a solution for the general version of Chinburg's conjecture for the case $n = 1$ and characters $\{\chi_5(2, \cdot), \chi_5(3, \cdot)\}$.

Proposition 3.14 The following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{S_{13}}{2\pi} &= c_3 L'(\chi_{13}(5, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_3} L'(\chi_{13}(8, \cdot), -1) + c_4 L'(\chi_{13}(2, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_4} L'(\chi_{13}(7, \cdot), -1) \\
&\quad + c_5 L'(\chi_{13}(6, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_5} L'(\chi_{13}(11, \cdot), -1), \\
\frac{S_{14}}{2\pi} &= \frac{46 - 30\sqrt{3}i}{7} L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{46 + 30\sqrt{3}i}{7} L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1) + 4L'(\chi_{-7}, -1). \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the above equations together with Equation (25) lead to the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
m(P_{11}) &= \frac{1}{12} (c_3 L'(\chi_{13}(5, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_3} L'(\chi_{13}(8, \cdot), -1) + c_4 L'(\chi_{13}(2, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_4} L'(\chi_{13}(7, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{12} (c_5 L'(\chi_{13}(6, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_5} L'(\chi_{13}(11, \cdot), -1)) - \frac{1}{13} (21L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) + 38L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)), \\
m(P_{12}) &= -\frac{1}{14} (c_3 L'(\chi_{13}(5, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_3} L'(\chi_{13}(8, \cdot), -1) + c_4 L'(\chi_{13}(2, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_4} L'(\chi_{13}(7, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{14} (c_5 L'(\chi_{13}(6, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_5} L'(\chi_{13}(11, \cdot), -1)) + \frac{46 - 30\sqrt{3}i}{91} L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) \\
&\quad + \frac{46 + 30\sqrt{3}i}{91} L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1) + \frac{4}{13} L'(\chi_{-7}, -1). \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients c_i , for $3 \leq i \leq 5$ are as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}
c_3 &= 1 + i, \\
c_4 &= \frac{10 + 4\sqrt{3}}{13} - i \frac{2 + 6\sqrt{3}}{13}, \\
c_5 &= \frac{10 - 4\sqrt{3}}{13} + i \frac{6\sqrt{3} - 2}{13}. \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the proof of the above proposition employs techniques similar to those used in Proposition 3.11, we do not provide a detailed explanation here. By using our previous results and the multiplicative property of the Mahler measure we have:

$$m \left(\frac{P_1^{429} P_5^{315}}{P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78} P_2^{126}} \right) = 14(L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) + L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1)) + 77d_7.$$

Following Ray, let

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(x, y) &= (x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)y^2 - (2x^6 + 2x^5 - 5x^4 - 12x^3 - 5x^2 + 2x + 2)y \\
&\quad + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1. \tag{30}
\end{aligned}$$

Ray [37] proved that $7d_7 = m(Q^8)$. In the next result, using the polynomial, $Q(x, y)$, suggested by Ray, and the P_d -family, we present a solution to the general version of Chinburg's conjectures for $\{\chi_7(3, \cdot), \chi_7(5, \cdot)\}$.

Corollary 3.15 Let $Q(x, y)$ be the polynomial introduced in Equation (30). The following identity holds:

$$m \left(\frac{P_1^{429} P_5^{315}}{P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78} P_2^{126} Q_{11}} \right) = 14 (L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) + L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1)).$$

We continue studying $m(P_d)$ to obtain solutions for conductors 15, 20 and 24 for the weak Chinburg's conjecture.

Proposition 3.16 The following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{S_{15}}{2\pi} &= \frac{3}{\pi} \left(16D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}} \right) + 2D \left(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}} \right) \right) + \frac{15}{\pi} \left(D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{15}} \right) + D \left(e^{\frac{8\pi i}{15}} \right) \right) + \frac{15}{\pi} \left(D \left(e^{\frac{10\pi i}{15}} \right) \right), \\
&\quad + \frac{15}{\pi} \left(D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{15}} \right) + D \left(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{15}} \right) + D \left(e^{\frac{8\pi i}{15}} \right) - D \left(e^{\frac{14\pi i}{15}} \right) \right), \\
\frac{S_{16}}{2\pi} &= \frac{3 + 3i}{2} L'(\chi_{16}(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{3 - 3i}{2} L'(\chi_{16}(11, \cdot), -1) + \frac{15}{2} L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) + \frac{63}{4} L'(\chi_{-4}, -1). \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, thanks to Equations (27) and (31) the following equalities deduced;

$$\begin{aligned}
m(P_{13}) &= \frac{3}{14}L'(\chi_{-15}, -1) + \frac{-46 + 30\sqrt{3}i}{105}L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{-46 - 30\sqrt{3}i}{105}L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1) \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{15}L'(\chi_{-7}, -1) + \frac{24 - 3i}{35}L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{24 + 3i}{35}L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{8}{7}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1), \\
m(P_{14}) &= \frac{1+i}{10}L'(\chi_{16}(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{1-i}{10}L'(\chi_{16}(11, \cdot), -1) - \frac{3}{16}L'(\chi_{-15}, -1) + \frac{1}{2}L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) \\
&\quad - \frac{24 - 3i}{40}L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) - \frac{24 + 3i}{40}L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{21}{20}L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) - L'(\chi_{-3}, -1).
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The proof of the above proposition utilizes techniques similar to those employed in Proposition 3.11. We can now propose a solution to the weak Chinburg's conjecture for conductor 15. Boyd and Rodriguez-Villegas,[12], already proposed an example for $f = 15$ for the strong Chinburg's conjecture for which the coefficient r_f was numerically approximated. In the following corollary, the coefficient r_f is exact, but our rational function answers only the weak version of the conjecture.

Corollary 3.17 The following identity holds:

$$m\left(\frac{P_{13}^{210} P_{12}^{182} P_{11}^{156} P_8^{135} P_7^{108} P_6^{84} P_5^{63} P_4^{900} P_2^{252}}{P_1^{2394}}\right) = 45L'(\chi_{-15}, -1).$$

Proposition 3.18 The following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{S_{17}}{2\pi} &= c_6L'(\chi_{17}(3, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_6}L'(\chi_{17}(6, \cdot), -1) + c_7L'(\chi_{17}(5, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_7}L'(\chi_{17}(7, \cdot), -1) \\
&\quad + c_8L'(\chi_{17}(10, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_8}L'(\chi_{17}(11, \cdot), -1) + c_9L'(\chi_{17}(12, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_9}L'(\chi_{17}(14, \cdot), -1), \\
\frac{S_{18}}{2\pi} &= \frac{18 - 10\sqrt{3}i}{3}L'(\chi_9(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{18 + 10\sqrt{3}i}{3}L'(\chi_9(5, \cdot), -1) + \frac{160}{3}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1).
\end{aligned}$$

The above equalities together with Equation (31) imply the following identities.

$$\begin{aligned}
m(P_{15}) &= \frac{1}{16}(c_6L'(\chi_{17}(3, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_6}L'(\chi_{17}(6, \cdot), -1) + c_7L'(\chi_{17}(5, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_7}L'(\chi_{17}(7, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{16}(c_8L'(\chi_{17}(10, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_8}L'(\chi_{17}(11, \cdot), -1) + c_9L'(\chi_{17}(12, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_9}L'(\chi_{17}(14, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad - \frac{3 + 3i}{34}L'(\chi_{16}(3, \cdot), -1) - \frac{3 - 3i}{34}L'(\chi_{16}(11, \cdot), -1) - \frac{15}{34}L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) - \frac{63}{68}L'(\chi_{-4}, -1), \\
m(P_{16}) &= -\frac{1}{18}(c_6L'(\chi_{17}(3, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_6}L'(\chi_{17}(6, \cdot), -1) + c_7L'(\chi_{17}(5, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_7}L'(\chi_{17}(7, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{18}(c_8L'(\chi_{17}(10, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_8}L'(\chi_{17}(11, \cdot), -1) + c_9L'(\chi_{17}(12, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_9}L'(\chi_{17}(14, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad + \frac{18 - 10\sqrt{3}i}{51}L'(\chi_9(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{18 + 10\sqrt{3}i}{51}L'(\chi_9(5, \cdot), -1) + \frac{160}{51}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1),
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where the coefficients c_i , for $i = 6, 7, 8, 9$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_6 &= \frac{45 + 18\sqrt{2} - (15\sqrt{2} - 39)\sqrt{\sqrt{2} + 2}}{68} + i \frac{27 + 21\sqrt{2} - (6\sqrt{2} + 15)\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}}{68}, \\
c_7 &= \frac{45 - 18\sqrt{2} - (24\sqrt{2} - 9)\sqrt{\sqrt{2} + 2}}{68} + i \frac{27 - 21\sqrt{2} - (9\sqrt{2} + 3)\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}}{68}, \\
c_8 &= \frac{45 - 18\sqrt{2} + (24\sqrt{2} - 9)\sqrt{\sqrt{2} + 2}}{68} + i \frac{-27 + 21\sqrt{2} - (9\sqrt{2} + 3)\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}}{68}, \\
c_9 &= \frac{45 + 18\sqrt{2} + (15\sqrt{2} - 39)\sqrt{\sqrt{2} + 2}}{68} + i \frac{-27 - 21\sqrt{2} - (6\sqrt{2} + 15)\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}}{68}. \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

We can now provide a solution to the general version of Chinburg's conjecture for characters $\{\chi_9(2, \cdot), \chi_9(5, \cdot)\}$.

Corollary 3.19 The following identity holds:

$$m \left(\frac{P_7^{360} P_6^{280} P_5^{210} P_1^{369}}{P_{16}^{153} P_{15}^{136} P_{14}^{120} P_{13}^{105} P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78} P_2^{126}} \right) = 36 (L'(\chi_9(2, \cdot), -1) + L'(\chi_9(5, \cdot), -1)).$$

In this section's sequel, we aim to obtain solutions to the weak version of Chinburg's conjecture for conductors 20 and 24. Expressing S_d in terms of L -function can be facilitated by using the equations for S_k where k divides d . Therefore, the S_k -values that we may need to represent S_{20} and S_{24} correspond to $k = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 20$, and 24. We only need to compute S_{20} and S_{24} in terms of L -function, as the others have already been calculated.

Proposition 3.20 The following equations hold:

$$\frac{S_{20}}{2\pi} = 3L'(\chi_{20}, -1) + \frac{96 - 57i}{5} L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{96 + 57i}{5} L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1) + 15L'(\chi_{-4}, -1), \quad (35)$$

$$\frac{S_{24}}{2\pi} = 3L'(\chi_{-24}, -1) + 9L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) + \frac{105}{2} L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) + 79L'(\chi_{-3}, -1). \quad (36)$$

Proof We only prove the first equality regarding S_{20} and the second one can be done similarly:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{S_{20}}{2\pi} &= \frac{3}{\pi} \left(18D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{20}} \right) + 16D \left(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{20}} \right) + 14D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{20}} \right) + 12D \left(e^{\frac{8\pi i}{20}} \right) \right) + \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{\pi} \left(10D \left(e^{\frac{10\pi i}{20}} \right) + 8D \left(e^{\frac{12\pi i}{20}} \right) + 6D \left(e^{\frac{14\pi i}{20}} \right) + 4D \left(e^{\frac{16\pi i}{20}} \right) + 2D \left(e^{\frac{18\pi i}{20}} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

There is only one primitive odd character mod 20, the quadratic one. We write S_{20} in terms of $d_4, d_{\chi_5(2, \cdot)}, d_{\chi_5(3, \cdot)}$ and d_{20} . To do so we first re-write it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{S_{20}}{2\pi} &= \frac{36}{\pi} D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}} \right) + \frac{12}{\pi} D \left(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}} \right) + \frac{30}{\pi} D(i) \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{\pi} \left(16D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{10}} \right) + 8D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{10}} \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{\pi} \left(18D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{20}} \right) + 14D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{20}} \right) + 6D \left(e^{\frac{14\pi i}{20}} \right) + 2D \left(e^{\frac{18\pi i}{20}} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Proposition 3.7 and Equation (19) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{S_{20}}{2\pi} &= \frac{36}{5} \left(d_{\chi_5(2, \cdot)} + d_{\chi_5(3, \cdot)} \right) - \frac{12i}{5} \left(d_{\chi_5(2, \cdot)} - d_{\chi_5(3, \cdot)} \right) + 15d_4 \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{\pi} \left(16D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{10}} \right) + 8D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{10}} \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{\pi} \left(18D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{20}} \right) + 14D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{20}} \right) + 6D \left(e^{\frac{14\pi i}{20}} \right) + 2D \left(e^{\frac{18\pi i}{20}} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

To write $\frac{3}{\pi} \left(16D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{10}} \right) + 8D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{10}} \right) \right)$ in terms of d_χ , we use all the odd characters of modulus 10 which are the imprimitive characters $\chi_{10}(3, \cdot)$ and $\chi_{10}(7, \cdot)$. They are respectively induced by $\chi_5(3, \cdot)$ and $\chi_5(2, \cdot)$:

$$d_{\chi_{10}(7, \cdot)} = \overline{d_{\chi_{10}(3, \cdot)}} = \frac{5}{\pi} \left(D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{10}} \right) - iD \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{10}} \right) \right).$$

Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{\pi} \left(16D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{10}} \right) + 8D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{10}} \right) \right) &= \frac{48 - 24i}{10} d_{\chi_{10}(3, \cdot)} + \frac{48 + 24i}{20} d_{\chi_{10}(7, \cdot)} \\ &= \frac{48 + 36i}{5} d_{\chi_5(3, \cdot)} + \frac{48 - 36i}{5} d_{\chi_5(2, \cdot)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we use Lemma 2.14 and we have $d_{\chi_{10}(3, \cdot)} = (1 + 2i)d_{\chi_5(3, \cdot)}$, and $d_{\chi_{10}(7, \cdot)} = (1 - 2i)d_{\chi_5(2, \cdot)}$. We write $\frac{3}{\pi} \left(18D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{20}} \right) + 14D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{20}} \right) + 6D \left(e^{\frac{14\pi i}{20}} \right) + 2D \left(e^{\frac{18\pi i}{20}} \right) \right)$ in terms of d_χ associated with all the odd characters of modulus 20 and then we replace them with the primitive one using Lemma 2.14. The odd characters of modulus 20 are χ_{-20} which is primitive and $\chi_{20}(11, \cdot)$, $\chi_{20}(13, \cdot)$, and $\chi_{20}(17, \cdot)$ which are induced by χ_{-4} , $\chi_5(3, \cdot)$ and $\chi_5(2, \cdot)$, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{20} &= \frac{10}{\pi} \left(D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{20}} \right) + D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{20}} \right) + D \left(e^{\frac{14\pi i}{20}} \right) + D \left(e^{\frac{18\pi i}{20}} \right) \right), \\ d_{\chi_{20}(13, \cdot)} &= \overline{d_{\chi_{20}(17, \cdot)}} = \frac{10}{\pi} \left(D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{20}} \right) + iD \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{20}} \right) - iD \left(e^{\frac{14\pi i}{20}} \right) - D \left(e^{\frac{18\pi i}{20}} \right) \right), \\ d_{\chi_{20}(11, \cdot)} &= \frac{10}{\pi} \left(D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{20}} \right) - D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{20}} \right) - D \left(e^{\frac{14\pi i}{20}} \right) + D \left(e^{\frac{18\pi i}{20}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By solving a system of linear equations we have:

$$\frac{3}{\pi} \left(18D \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{20}} \right) + 14D \left(e^{\frac{6\pi i}{20}} \right) + 6D \left(e^{\frac{14\pi i}{20}} \right) + 2D \left(e^{\frac{18\pi i}{20}} \right) \right) = 3d_{20} + \frac{6 - 3i}{5} d_{\chi_{20}(13, \cdot)} + \frac{6 + 3i}{5} d_{\chi_{20}(17, \cdot)}.$$

We compute $d_{\chi_{20}(13, \cdot)}$ and $d_{\chi_{20}(17, \cdot)}$ in terms of primitive characters by Lemma 2.14 and we have $d_{\chi_{20}(13, \cdot)} = (1 + 2i)d_{\chi_5(3, \cdot)}$, and $d_{\chi_{20}(17, \cdot)} = (1 - 2i)d_{\chi_5(2, \cdot)}$. Finally, after a simplification, we have Equation (35).

Equations (35) and (36) lead to a solution to the weak Chinburg's conjecture for conductor 20 and 24.

Corollary 3.21 The following identities are valid:

$$\begin{aligned} m \left(\frac{P_{18}^{190} P_{17}^{171} P_{16}^{153} P_{15}^{136} P_{14}^{120} P_{13}^{105} P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78} P_1^{168}}{P_8^{225} P_7^{180} P_6^{140} P_5^{105} P_2^{24} P_4^{60}} \right) &= 30L'(\chi_{-20}, -1), \\ m \left(\frac{P_{22}^{276} P_{21}^{253} P_{20}^{231} P_{19}^{210} P_{18}^{190} P_{17}^{171} P_{16}^{153} P_{15}^{136} P_{14}^{120} P_{13}^{105} P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78}}{P_6^{252} P_5^{189} P_2^{234} P_1^{1269}} \right) &= 36L'(\chi_{-24}, -1). \end{aligned}$$

4 Tables

This section provides essential tables to help readers visualize the paper's main results.

4.1 Table of representations of $m(P_d)$

As we demonstrated in Main Theorem 1.4, $m(P_d)$, can be expressed as a linear combination of Dirichlet L -functions. However, this representation is not unique as noted in Remark 2.23. In Tables 8 and 9, we provide a table with a selected representation for $m(P_d)$, for $d \leq 16$. Our results related to Chinburg's conjectures in these sections are based on these representations. The constants c_i (for $1 \leq i \leq 9$) appearing in Tables 8 and 9 are introduced in Table 5.

$$c_1 = \frac{51 + 21\sqrt{5}}{55} + i \frac{(3\sqrt{5} - 21)\sqrt{10 - 2\sqrt{5}}}{110}$$

$$c_2 = \frac{51 - 21\sqrt{5}}{55} + i \frac{(6\sqrt{5} + 9)\sqrt{10 - 2\sqrt{5}}}{55}$$

$$c_3 = 1 + i$$

$$c_4 = \frac{10 + 4\sqrt{3}}{13} - i \frac{6\sqrt{3} + 2}{13}$$

$$c_5 = \frac{10 - 4\sqrt{3}}{13} + i \frac{6\sqrt{3} - 2}{13}$$

$$c_6 = \frac{45 + 18\sqrt{2} - (15\sqrt{2} - 39)\sqrt{\sqrt{2} + 2}}{68} + i \frac{27 + 21\sqrt{2} - (6\sqrt{2} + 15)\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}}{68}$$

$$c_7 = \frac{45 - 18\sqrt{2} - (24\sqrt{2} - 9)\sqrt{\sqrt{2} + 2}}{68} + i \frac{27 - 21\sqrt{2} - (9\sqrt{2} + 3)\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}}{68}$$

$$c_8 = \frac{45 - 18\sqrt{2} + (24\sqrt{2} - 9)\sqrt{\sqrt{2} + 2}}{68} + i \frac{-27 + 21\sqrt{2} - (9\sqrt{2} + 3)\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}}{68}$$

$$c_9 = \frac{45 + 18\sqrt{2} + (15\sqrt{2} - 39)\sqrt{\sqrt{2} + 2}}{68} + i \frac{-27 - 21\sqrt{2} - (6\sqrt{2} + 15)\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}}{68}$$

Table 5: Table of the constants appeared in Table 6 and Table 7.

Remark 4.1 *The equalities in Tables 6 to 8 are all proven and have been checked numerically using SageMath. For the explicit values of the Dirichlet characters appearing in the following tables, we refer to Section 4.3.*

$$m(P_1) = L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)$$

$$m(P_2) = L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) - \frac{L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)}{2}$$

$$m(P_3) = \frac{9-3i}{20}L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{9+3i}{20}L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1) - \frac{3}{5}L'(\chi_{-4}, -1)$$

$$m(P_4) = \frac{-3+i}{10}L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{-3-i}{10}L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{16}{5}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)$$

$$m(P_5) = \frac{1}{3}L'(\chi_{-7}, -1) + \frac{4-2\sqrt{3}i}{21}L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{4+2\sqrt{3}i}{21}L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1) - \frac{16}{7}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)$$

$$m(P_6) = \frac{3}{7}L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) - \frac{1}{4}L'(\chi_{-7}, -1) + \frac{-2+\sqrt{3}i}{14}L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{-2-\sqrt{3}i}{14}L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1) + \frac{15}{14}L'(\chi_{-4}, -1)$$

$$m(P_7) = \frac{3-\sqrt{3}i}{12}L'(\chi_9(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{3+\sqrt{3}i}{12}L'(\chi_9(5, \cdot), -1) - \frac{1}{3}L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) - \frac{5}{6}L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) + \frac{5}{6}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)$$

$$m(P_8) = \frac{-3+\sqrt{3}i}{15}L'(\chi_9(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{-3-\sqrt{3}i}{15}L'(\chi_9(5, \cdot), -1) + \frac{14-8i}{15}L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{14+8i}{15}L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1) - \frac{2}{3}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)$$

$$m(P_9) = \frac{1}{10} (c_1L'(\chi_{11}(2, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_1}L'(\chi_{11}(6, \cdot), -1) + c_2L'(\chi_{11}(7, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_2}L'(\chi_{11}(8, \cdot), -1)) + \frac{3}{25}L'(\chi_{-11}, -1) - \frac{42-24i}{55}L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) - \frac{42+24i}{55}L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1)$$

$$m(P_{10}) = -\frac{1}{12} (c_1L'(\chi_{11}(2, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_1}L'(\chi_{11}(6, \cdot), -1) + c_2L'(\chi_{11}(7, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_2}L'(\chi_{11}(8, \cdot), -1)) - \frac{1}{10}L'(\chi_{-11}, -1) + \frac{1}{11} (21L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) + 38L'(\chi_{-3}, -1))$$

$$m(P_{11}) = \frac{1}{12} (c_3L'(\chi_{13}(5, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_3}L'(\chi_{13}(8, \cdot), -1) + c_4L'(\chi_{13}(2, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_4}L'(\chi_{13}(7, \cdot), -1)) + \frac{1}{12} (c_5L'(\chi_{13}(6, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c_5}L'(\chi_{13}(11, \cdot), -1)) - \frac{1}{13} (21L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) + 38L'(\chi_{-3}, -1))$$

Table 6: Table of the representation of $m(P_d)$ in terms of L -functions.

$$\begin{aligned}
m(P_{12}) &= -\frac{1}{14} (c_3 L'(\chi_{13}(5, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c}_3 L'(\chi_{13}(8, \cdot), -1) + c_4 L'(\chi_{13}(2, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c}_4 L'(\chi_{13}(7, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{14} (c_5 L'(\chi_{13}(6, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c}_5 L'(\chi_{13}(11, \cdot), -1)) + \frac{46 - 30\sqrt{3}i}{91} L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) \\
&\quad + \frac{46 + 30\sqrt{3}i}{91} L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1) + \frac{4}{13} L'(\chi_{-7}, -1) \\
m(P_{13}) &= \frac{3}{14} L'(\chi_{-15}, -1) + \frac{-46 + 30\sqrt{3}i}{105} L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{-46 - 30\sqrt{3}i}{105} L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1) \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{15} L'(\chi_{-7}, -1) + \frac{24 - 3i}{35} L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{24 + 3i}{35} L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{8}{7} L'(\chi_{-3}, -1) \\
m(P_{14}) &= \frac{1+i}{10} L'(\chi_{16}(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{1-i}{10} L'(\chi_{16}(11, \cdot), -1) - \frac{3}{16} L'(\chi_{-15}, -1) + \frac{1}{2} L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) \\
&\quad - \frac{24 - 3i}{40} L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) - \frac{24 + 3i}{40} L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1) + \frac{21}{20} L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) - L'(\chi_{-3}, -1). \\
m(P_{15}) &= \frac{1}{16} (c_6 L'(\chi_{17}(3, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c}_6 L'(\chi_{17}(6, \cdot), -1) + c_7 L'(\chi_{17}(5, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c}_7 L'(\chi_{17}(7, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{16} (c_8 L'(\chi_{17}(10, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c}_8 L'(\chi_{17}(11, \cdot), -1) + c_9 L'(\chi_{17}(12, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c}_9 L'(\chi_{17}(14, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad - \frac{3 + 3i}{34} L'(\chi_{16}(3, \cdot), -1) - \frac{3 - 3i}{34} L'(\chi_{16}(11, \cdot), -1) - \frac{15}{34} L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) - \frac{63}{68} L'(\chi_{-4}, -1). \\
m(P_{16}) &= -\frac{1}{18} (c_6 L'(\chi_{17}(3, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c}_6 L'(\chi_{17}(6, \cdot), -1) + c_7 L'(\chi_{17}(5, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c}_7 L'(\chi_{17}(7, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{18} (c_8 L'(\chi_{17}(10, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c}_8 L'(\chi_{17}(11, \cdot), -1) + c_9 L'(\chi_{17}(12, \cdot), -1) + \overline{c}_9 L'(\chi_{17}(14, \cdot), -1)) \\
&\quad + \frac{18 - 10\sqrt{3}i}{51} L'(\chi_9(2, \cdot), -1) + \frac{18 + 10\sqrt{3}i}{51} L'(\chi_9(5, \cdot), -1) + \frac{160}{51} L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)
\end{aligned}$$

Table 7: Table of the representation of $m(P_d)$ in terms of L -functions.

4.2 Tables of solutions using P_d for various versions of Chinburg's conjectures

In this section, we listed all the polynomials or rational functions involved with P_d obtained in this paper for d up to 16, addressing a version of Chinburg's conjectures. We recall that there were already two versions of Chinburg's conjecture, the weak and the strong versions that are respectively mentioned in Conjecture 1.6 and Conjecture 1.5. We also stated a general version of this conjecture in Conjecture 1.9.

$m(P_1) = L'(\chi_{-3}, -1) = d_3$
$m(P_2) = L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) - \frac{L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)}{2} = d_4 - \frac{d_3}{2}$
$m(P_1 P_2^2) = 2L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) = 2d_4$
$m(P_3^2 P_4^3) = \frac{48}{5}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1) - \frac{6}{5}L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) = \frac{48}{5}d_3 - \frac{6}{5}d_4$
$m(P_5^3 P_6^4) = \frac{-48}{7}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1) + \frac{30}{7}L'(\chi_{-4}, -1) + \frac{12}{7}L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) = \frac{-48}{7}d_3 + \frac{30}{7}d_4 + \frac{12}{7}d_8$
$m\left(\frac{P_1^{33} P_5^{21} P_6^{28}}{P_2^{30}}\right) = 12L'(\chi_{-8}, -1) = 12d_8$
$m\left(\frac{P_{13}^{210} P_{12}^{182} P_{11}^{156} P_8^{135} P_7^{108} P_6^{84} P_5^{63} P_4^{900} P_2^{252}}{P_1^{2394}}\right) = 45L'(\chi_{-15}, -1) = 45d_{15}$
$m\left(\frac{P_{18}^{190} P_{17}^{171} P_{16}^{153} P_{15}^{136} P_{14}^{120} P_{13}^{105} P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78} P_1^{168}}{P_8^{225} P_7^{180} P_6^{140} P_5^{105} P_2^{24} P_4^{60}}\right) = 30L'(\chi_{-20}, -1) = 30d_{20}$
$m\left(\frac{P_{22}^{276} P_{21}^{253} P_{20}^{231} P_{19}^{210} P_{18}^{190} P_{17}^{171} P_{16}^{153} P_{15}^{136} P_{14}^{120} P_{13}^{105} P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78}}{P_6^{252} P_5^{189} P_2^{234} P_1^{1269}}\right) = 36L'(\chi_{-24}, -1) = 36d_{24}$

Table 8: Table of d_f -type relations and solutions to Chinburg's conjectures using P_d polynomials.

The following table lists the solutions to Conjecture 1.9, generalized Chinburg's conjectures, generated mainly by the P_d family. The polynomial Q in the following table is introduced in Equation (30);

$m\left(\frac{P_1^{720}}{P_8^{45} P_7^{36} P_6^{28} P_5^{21} P_4^{240}}\right) = 30(L'(\chi_5(2, \cdot), -1) + L'(\chi_5(3, \cdot), -1))$
$m\left(\frac{P_1^{429} P_5^{315}}{P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78} P_2^{126} Q^{11}}\right) = 14(L'(\chi_7(3, \cdot), -1) + L'(\chi_7(5, \cdot), -1))$
$m\left(\frac{P_7^{360} P_6^{280} P_5^{210} P_1^{369}}{P_{16}^{153} P_{15}^{136} P_{14}^{120} P_{13}^{105} P_{12}^{91} P_{11}^{78} P_2^{126}}\right) = 36(L'(\chi_9(2, \cdot), -1) + L'(\chi_9(5, \cdot), -1))$

Table 9: Table of solutions to Conjecture 1.9, using P_d polynomials.

4.3 Tables related to odd Dirichlet characters

In this section, we listed the explicit values of all the odd Dirichlet characters used in this paper. As explained, the quadratic character of conductor f is denoted by χ_{-f} , and its values are computed

by the Kronecker symbol $\left(\frac{-f}{\cdot}\right)$. The notations used for non-quadratic characters are based on the LMFDB Dirichlet characters database conventions.

In the representations of $m(P_d)$ in terms of L -functions according to Main Theorem 1.4 we only need primitive characters (real and complex). These characters up to conductor 24 are listed in the first part of this section, Primitive characters. However, to obtain such representation we occasionally used some imprimitive odd characters. The few imprimitive odd characters, used in some of our proofs are listed in the second part of this section, namely imprimitive characters.

4.3.1 Primitive odd characters

In this section, you find the list of the primitive characters needed in the paper up to conductor 24.

n	1	2
χ_{-3}	1	-1

Table 10: Table of the primitive odd characters of conductor 3.

n	1	3
χ_{-4}	1	-1

Table 11: Table of the primitive odd characters of conductor 4.

n	1	2	3	4
$\chi_5(2, n)$	1	i	$-i$	-1
$\chi_5(3, n)$	1	$-i$	i	-1

Table 12: Table of the primitive odd characters of conductor 5.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\chi_{-7}(n)$	1	1	-1	1	-1	-1
$\chi_7(3, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$	-1
$\chi_7(5, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}$	-1

Table 13: Table of the primitive odd characters of conductor 7.

n	1	2	4	5	7	8
$\chi_9(2, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$	-1
$\chi_9(5, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$	-1

Table 14: Table of the primitive odd characters of conductor 9.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\chi_{11}(2, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{8\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{9\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{6\pi i}{5}}$	-1
$\chi_{11}(6, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{9\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{8\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{6\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}}$	-1
$\chi_{11}(7, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{6\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{8\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{9\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}}$	-1
$\chi_{11}(8, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{6\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{9\pi i}{5}}$	$e^{\frac{8\pi i}{5}}$	-1
$\chi_{-11}(n)$	1	-1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1

Table 15: Table of the primitive odd characters of conductor 11.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$\chi_{13}(2, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}$	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}$	-i	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{6}}$	$e^{\frac{11\pi i}{6}}$	i	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{6}}$	-1
$\chi_{13}(6, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{6}}$	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$	-i	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{6}}$	i	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{11\pi i}{6}}$	-1
$\chi_{13}(7, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{11\pi i}{6}}$	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$	i	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{6}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}$	-i	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{6}}$	-1
$\chi_{13}(11, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{6}}$	$e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}$	i	$e^{\frac{11\pi i}{6}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{6}}$	-i	$e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}$	-1
$\chi_{13}(5, n)$	1	-i	1	-1	-i	-i	i	i	1	-1	i	-1
$\chi_{13}(8, n)$	1	i	1	-1	i	i	-i	-i	1	-1	-i	-1

Table 16: Table of the primitive odd characters of conductor 13.

n	1	2	4	7	8	11	13	14
$\chi_{-15}(n)$	1	1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1

Table 17: Table of the primitive odd characters of conductor 15.

n	1	3	5	7	9	11	13	15
$\chi_{16}(3, n)$	1	-i	-i	1	-1	i	i	-1
$\chi_{16}(11, n)$	1	i	i	1	-1	-i	-i	-1

Table 18: Table of the primitive odd characters of conductor 16.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\chi_{17}(3, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}}$	$-i$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{15\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{11\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{13\pi i}{8}}$	i	$e^{\frac{9\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}$	-1
$\chi_{17}(6, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{15\pi i}{8}}$	i	$e^{\frac{11\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{13\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{9\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}}$	$-i$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}$	-1
$\chi_{17}(5, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{8}}$	$-i$	$e^{\frac{9\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{11\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{15\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}}$	i	$e^{\frac{13\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{4}}$	-1
$\chi_{17}(7, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{11\pi i}{8}}$	i	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{9\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{13\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{15\pi i}{8}}$	$-i$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$	-1
$\chi_{17}(10, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}}$	i	$e^{\frac{15\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{13\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{9\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{8}}$	$-i$	$e^{\frac{11\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$	-1
$\chi_{17}(12, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{13\pi i}{8}}$	$-i$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{15\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{11\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{9\pi i}{8}}$	i	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{4}}$	-1
$\chi_{17}(11, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{8}}$	i	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{9\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{13\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{11\pi i}{8}}$	$-i$	$e^{\frac{15\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}$	-1
$\chi_{17}(14, n)$	1	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{9\pi i}{8}}$	$-i$	$e^{\frac{13\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{7\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}$	$e^{\frac{11\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{15\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{5\pi i}{8}}$	i	$e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}}$	$e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}$	-1

Table 19: Table of the primitive odd characters of conductor 17.

n	1	3	7	9	11	13	17	19
$\chi_{-20}(n)$	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1

Table 20: Table of the primitive odd characters of conductor 20.

n	1	5	7	11	13	17	19	23
$\chi_{-24}(n)$	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1

Table 21: Table of the primitive odd characters of conductor 24.

4.3.2 Imprimitive odd characters

In this section, we listed a few imprimitive characters needed for our demonstrations. The last column for each character expresses the primitive character that induced the imprimitive character.

n	1	2	4	5	7	8	Induced from
$\chi_9(8, n)$	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	χ_{-3}

Table 22: Table of the imprimitive odd characters of modulus 9.

n	1	3	7	9	Induced from
$\chi_{10}(7, n)$	1	$-i$	i	-1	$\chi_5(2, n)$
$\chi_{10}(3, n)$	1	i	$-i$	-1	$\chi_5(3, n)$

Table 23: Table of the imprimitive odd characters of modulus 10.

n	1	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	Induced from
$\chi_{16}(7, n)$	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	χ_{-8}
$\chi_{16}(15, n)$	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	χ_{-4}

Table 24: Table of the imprimitive odd characters of modulus 16.

n	1	3	7	9	11	13	17	19	Induced from
$\chi_{20}(17, n)$	1	$-i$	i	-1	1	$-i$	i	-1	$\chi_5(2, n)$
$\chi_{20}(13, n)$	1	i	$-i$	-1	1	i	$-i$	-1	$\chi_5(3, n)$
$\chi_{20}(11, n)$	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1	χ_{-4}

Table 25: Table of the imprimitive odd characters of modulus 20.

n	1	5	7	11	13	17	19	23	Induced from
$\chi_{24}(17, n)$	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	χ_{-3}
$\chi_{24}(19, n)$	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1	χ_{-8}
$\chi_{24}(7, n)$	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	χ_{-4}

Table 26: Table of the imprimitive odd characters of modulus 24.

5 Perspective

The Tables 8 and 9 convince us that P_d has the potential to produce further solutions to various versions of Chinburg's conjectures. The direct computation of $m(P_d)$ has become harder when d increases. Moreover, the representation is not unique as we explained in Remark 2.23. Hence, one important future project is implementing an algorithm that generates these solutions for different versions of Chinburg's conjectures for the case $n = 1$.

The key property of P_d that makes the bridge between $m(P_d)$ and L -functions is that $m(P_d)$ is written in terms of the dilogarithm function evaluated at roots of unity which allows us to apply Proposition 1.7 or Corollary 2.9. This is thanks to the exactness of P_d and that its toric points are roots of unity (see [34] for more details). Hence, one can construct other families of exact polynomials with this property and maybe combine the families to produce even more solutions to Chinburg's conjectures. Other such families already have been constructed by Ray[37], Boyd, and Rodriguez-Villegas[12] and have been indeed applied fruitfully to Chinburg's conjecture as mentioned in the introduction. The upcoming preprint of the authors as well as the second author in collaboration with D. Hokken and B. Ringeling provides more insight into Chinburg's conjectures by considering the mentioned perspectives.

There is a notion of successive exactness, which generalizes the concept of 2-variable exactness to more than two variables. We refer to [15, Chapter 8] for more information in this regard. We could consider a natural generalization of P_d to more than two variables as

$$P_d(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n \leq d} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n}.$$

One can verify if this generalization is exact, in the sense of successive exactness, and investigate whether it could provide solutions to Chinburg’s conjectures for $n \geq 3$.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank Antonin Guilloux, Fabrice Rouillier, and François Brunault for their insightful discussions, which enriched the quality of this work. Furthermore, we extend our deepest gratitude to David Hokken, Matilde Lalin, James McKee, Boaz Moerman, Riccardo Pengo, Berend Ringeling, and Wadim Zudilin for their fruitful comments, which enhanced the presentation and clarity of this paper.

References

- [1] APOSTOL, T. M. *Introduction to analytic number theory*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.
- [2] BERTIN, M. J. Mahler measure of multivariable polynomials, 1991. <https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/marie-jose.bertin/cours3.pdf>.
- [3] BERTIN, M. J. Une mesure de mahler explicit. *Comptes Rendus de l’Académie des Sciences. Série I. Mathématique* 333 (07 2001), 1–3.
- [4] BERTIN, M. J. Mesure de Mahler d’une famille de polynômes. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik* 569 (2004), 175–188.
- [5] BERTIN, M. J. Mesure de Mahler et régulateur elliptique: preuve de deux relations “exotiques”. In *Number theory*, vol. 36 of *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 1–12.
- [6] BERTIN, M. J. Mesure de Mahler d’hypersurfaces $K3$. *Journal of Number Theory* 128, 11 (2008), 2890–2913.
- [7] BERTIN, M. J., AND ZUDILIN, W. On the Mahler measure of a family of genus 2 curves. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 283, 3-4 (2016), 1185–1193.
- [8] BERTIN, M. J., AND ZUDILIN, W. On the Mahler measure of hyperelliptic families. *Annales Mathématiques du Québec* 41, 1 (2017), 199–211.
- [9] BOYD, D. W. Speculations concerning the range of Mahler’s measure. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* 24, 4 (1981), 453–469.
- [10] BOYD, D. W. Mahler’s measure and special values of L -functions. *Experimental Mathematics* 7, 1 (1998), 37–82.
- [11] BOYD, D. W. Mahler’s measure and invariants of hyperbolic manifolds. *Number Theory for the Millennium* (01 2002).
- [12] BOYD, D. W., AND RODRIGUEZ-VILLEGAS, F. Mahler’s measure and the dilogarithm (I). *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* 54, 3 (2002), 468–492.
- [13] BOYD, D. W., RODRIGUEZ-VILLEGAS, F., AND DUNFIELD, N. M. Mahler’s measure and the dilogarithm (II), 2003. arXiv:math/0308041 [math.NT].

- [14] BRUNAUT, F., GUILLOUX, A., MEHRABDOLLAHEI, M., AND PENGO, R. Limits of Mahler measures in multiple variables. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier* (2024).
- [15] BRUNAUT, F., AND ZUDILIN, W. *Many Variations of Mahler Measures: A Lasting Symphony*. Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [16] CHINBURG, T. Mahler measures and derivatives of L-functions at non- positive integers. In *unpublished work*. 1984.
- [17] COHEN, H. *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory*. Graduate texts in mathematics. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 1993.
- [18] D'ANDREA, C., AND LALÍN, M. N. On the Mahler measure of resultants in small dimensions. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 209, 2 (2007), 393–410.
- [19] DEZA, E. *Mersenne Numbers And Fermat Numbers*. Selected Chapters Of Number Theory: Special Numbers. World Scientific Publishing Company, 2021.
- [20] ELKIES, N. Math 259: Introduction to analytic number theory, $L(s, \chi)$ as an entire function: Gauss sums. <http://abel.math.harvard.edu/~elkies/M259.02/1sx.pdf>.
- [21] GRAYSON, D. R. Dilogarithm computations for K3. In *Algebraic K-Theory Evanston 1980* (Berlin, Heidelberg, 1981), E. M. Friedlander and M. R. Stein, Eds., Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 68–178.
- [22] GUILLOUX, A., AND MARCHÉ, J. Volume function and Mahler measure of exact polynomials. *Compositio Mathematica* 157, 4 (2021), 809–834.
- [23] JENSEN, J. L. W. V. Sur un nouvel et important théorème de la théorie des fonctions. *Acta Mathematica* 22 (1900), 359 – 364.
- [24] KOUKOULOPOULOS, D. *The Distribution of Prime Numbers*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2019.
- [25] LALÍN, M. N. On certain combination of colored multizeta values. *Journal of the Ramanujan Mathematical Society* 21, 1 (2006), 115–127.
- [26] LALÍN, M. N. An algebraic integration for Mahler measure. *Duke Mathematical Journal* 138, 3 (2007), 391–422.
- [27] LALÍN, M. N. Some examples of Mahler measures as multiple polylogarithms. *Journal of Number Theory* 103, 1 (nov 2003), 85–108.
- [28] LALÍN, M. N. Mahler measure of some n -variable polynomial families. *Journal of Number Theory* 116 (01 2006), 102–139.
- [29] LANG, S. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2013.
- [30] LEHMER, D. H. Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions. *Annals of Mathematics* 34 (1933), 461.
- [31] LIU, H., AND QIN, H. Mahler measure of families of polynomials defining genus 2 and 3 curves. *Experimental Mathematics* 32, 2 (2023), 321–336.
- [32] MAHLER, K. On some inequalities for polynomials in several variables. *The Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 37 (1962), 341–344.
- [33] MEHRABDOLLAHEI, M. *The Mahler measure of a family of exact polynomials*. Theses, Sorbonne Université, July 2022.

- [34] MEHRABDOLLAHEI, M. Mahler measure of P_d polynomials. In *Journal de theorie des nombres de Bordeaux*, vol. 35. Société Arithmétique de Bordeaux, 2023, pp. 795–817.
- [35] MONTGOMERY, H., AND DAVENPORT, H. *Multiplicative Number Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2000.
- [36] OVERHOLT, M. *A Course in Analytic Number Theory*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2014.
- [37] RAY, G. Relations between Mahler’s measure and values of L -series. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* 39 (1987), 694–732.
- [38] RODRIGUEZ-VILLEGAS, F. Modular Mahler measures I. In *Topics in Number Theory: In Honor of B. Gordon and S. Chowla*, S. Ahlgren, G. Andrews, and K. Ono, Eds. Springer US, Boston, MA, 1999, pp. 17–48.
- [39] SMYTH, C. On measures of polynomials in several variables. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* 23, 1 (1981), 49–63.
- [40] SMYTH, C. J. A Kronecker-type theorem for complex polynomials in several variables. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* 24, 4 (1981), 447–452.
- [41] SMYTH, C. J. An explicit formula for the Mahler measure of a family of 3-variable polynomials. *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux* 14 (01 2002).
- [42] ZAGIER, D. The dilogarithm function. In *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry. II*. Springer, Berlin, 2007, pp. 3–65.
- [43] ZAGIER, D., AND GANGL, H. Classical and elliptic polylogarithms and special values of L -series. In *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998)*, vol. 548 of *NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.* Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000, pp. 561–615.

Marie José Bertin, Department of Mathematics at IMJ-PRG (Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche), Sorbonne University, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, CEDEX 5, France.

Email address: marie-jose.bertin@imj-prg.fr

ORCID Number: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9331-2737>

Mahya Mehrabdollahei, Department of Mathematics, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Bunsenstrasse 3-5, D-37073 Göttingen, Germany.

Email address: mahya.mehrabdollahei@mathematik.uni-goettingen.de

ORCID Number: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0323-0405>