

Stability of Mixed Type Additive-Cubic Jensen Functional Equation in Non-Archimedean (n, β) Normed Spaces

Koushika Dhevi Sankar ^a and Sangeetha Sampath ^{a*}

^{a)} Department of Mathematics, College of Engineering and Technology, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, India-603203.

*Corresponding author

E-mail: sangeets@srmist.edu.in

Received ???, in final form ???; Published online ???

https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.202*.***

Abstract. In this paper, we discuss the Hyers-Ulam stability of mixed-type additive-cubic Jensen functional equation

$$2\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{2u+v}{2}\right) + 2\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{2u-v}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4}[\mathcal{F}(u+v) + \mathcal{F}(u-v)] + 3\mathcal{F}(u)$$

in non-Archimedean (n, β) normed spaces.

Key words: Hyers-Ulam stability; additive-cubic Jensen mapping; non-Archimedean (n, β) normed spaces

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B82; 39B72; 12J25

1 Introduction

The stability of the functional equation defines the nature of a function that satisfies the equation when the function is subject to slight variation. The stability investigation for the functional equation begins with Ulam in 1940 [8]. His query is “Given a group $(K, *)$, a metric group (K', \cdot, d) with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ and a mapping $g : K \rightarrow K'$ does there exist $\delta > 0$ such that if

$$d(g(x * y), g(x) \cdot g(y)) \leq \delta$$

for all $x, y \in K$, then there is a homomorphism $h : K \rightarrow K'$ such that

$$d(g(x), h(x)) \leq \epsilon$$

for all $x \in K$ ”.

In 1941, Hyers [4] gave the first response on the Banach spaces, and his method is called the direct method that has been used to explore the stability of functional equations. He provided a great solution to Ulam’s classic question about the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces. Later, Xu et al.[11] obtained the stability of a general mixed additive-cubic functional equation in non-Archimedean fuzzy normed spaces in 2010.

In 2012, Ebadian and Zolfaghari [3] discussed the stability of mixed additive-cubic functional equation with several variables in non-Archimedean spaces and Xu [10] proved the stability problem of multi-Jensen mappings in non-Archimedean normed space. Stability of mixed additive-quadratic Jensen type functional equation in non-Archimedean fuzzy normed spaces given by

Abolfathi and Rasoul Aghalary [1] in 2014. Thereafter, Ji et al.[5] investigated the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Jensen-cubic functional equation in real vector spaces.

In 2019, Liu et al.[6] studied the stability of an AQCQ functional equation in non-Archimedean (n, β) normed spaces. Recently, Ramachandran and Sangeetha [7] investigated the stability of Jensen-type cubic and quartic functional equations over non-Archimedean normed space in 2024. At present, in this paper, we study the Hyers-Ulam stability of the mixed-type additive-cubic Jensen functional equation

$$2\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{2u+v}{2}\right) + 2\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{2u-v}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4}[\mathcal{F}(u+v) + \mathcal{F}(u-v)] + 3\mathcal{F}(u) \quad (1.1)$$

in non-Archimedean (n, β) normed spaces.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 1. [2, 9] Let $|\cdot| : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function said to non-Archimedean valuation for any field \mathbb{K} , satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $|r| \geq 0$ when $r \neq 0$
- (ii) $|r| = 0$, when $r = 0$
- (iii) $|rs| = |r||s|$
- (iv) $|r+s| \leq \max\{|r|, |s|\}$.

Definition 2. [2] Consider p be a prime and y be a rational number, which can be written as $y = p^\gamma \cdot \frac{e}{f}$, where e, f, γ are integers in such a way that e and f are not divisible by p . Then, p -adic valuation can be defined as

$$|y|_p = \frac{1}{p^\gamma} \quad \text{if } u \neq 0$$

$$|y|_p = 0 \quad \text{if } u = 0.$$

Example 1. Consider $y = \frac{1450}{7}$,

$$y = 25 \cdot \frac{58}{7} = 5^2 \cdot \frac{58}{7}$$

which means $|y|_5 = \frac{1}{5^2}$

Definition 3. [2, 9] Let a function $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a non-Archimedean norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\|r\| = 0$ iff $r = 0$ for all $r \in X$
- (ii) $\|\alpha r\| = |\alpha| \|r\|$ for all $r \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$
- (iii) $\|r+s\| \leq \max\{\|r\|, \|s\|\}$ for all $r, s \in X$ where X be a vector space over a field \mathbb{K} .

Definition 4. [6] For a real vector space X with $\dim X \geq n$ (a positive integer) over the field \mathbb{K} and a constant β , $0 < \beta \leq 1$, the function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\beta : X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a (n, β) -norm, if it satisfies the following condition:

- (i) $\|a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_\beta = 0$ if and only if a_1, \dots, a_n are linearly dependent;
- (ii) $\|a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_\beta$ is invariant under permutations of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n ;
- (iii) $\|\gamma a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_\beta = |\gamma|^\beta \|a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_\beta$;
- (iv) $\|\gamma a_0 + a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_\beta \leq \max\{\|a_0, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_\beta, \|a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\|_\beta\}$; for all $\gamma \in K$ and $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in X$. Also, $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\beta)$ is called a non Archimedean (n, β) normed space.

Definition 5. [6] A sequence $\{x_m\}$ in a non Archimedean (n, β) normed space X is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\{x_{m+1} - x_m\}$ converges to zero.

Throughout this paper, let S and T be non-Archimedean normed spaces and complete non-Archimedean (n, β) normed spaces respectively.

Let

$$D_{AC}(u, v) = 2\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{2u+v}{2}\right) + 2\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{2u-v}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}[\mathcal{F}(u+v) + \mathcal{F}(u-v)] - 3\mathcal{F}(u). \quad (2.1)$$

3 Main results

Theorem 1. Let $\sigma : S \times S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |2|^{j\beta} \bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{j+1}}\right) = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |2|^{j\beta} \sigma\left(\frac{u}{2^j}, \frac{v}{2^j}\right) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

for each $u, v \in S$, and let $\xi : T^{n-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^{l\beta} \bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}}\right) : 0 \leq l < j \right\} \quad (3.3)$$

for each $u \in S$, denoted by $\hat{\sigma}_A(u)$ exists. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} : S \rightarrow T$ is a mapping satisfying and $\mathcal{F}(0) = 0$ such that

$$\|D_{AC}(u, v), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_{\beta} \leq \sigma(u, v)\xi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}) \quad (3.4)$$

then there is an additive mapping $A : S \rightarrow T$ so that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(2u) - 8\mathcal{F}(u) - A(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_{\beta} \leq \frac{1}{|2|^{\beta}} \hat{\sigma}_A(u)\psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}) \quad (3.5)$$

for each $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1} \in T$.

Moreover, if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^{(l+1)\beta} \bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}}\right) : m \leq l < j + m \right\} = 0$$

then A is unique.

Proof. Replacing (u, v) as $(2u, 2v)$ in (3.4), we have

$$\|4\mathcal{F}(3u) + 4\mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{F}(4u) - 6\mathcal{F}(2u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_{\beta} \leq 2\sigma(2u, 2v)\psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}). \quad (3.6)$$

Replacing (u, v) as $(u, 2u)$ in (3.4), we have

$$\|16\mathcal{F}(2u) - 4\mathcal{F}(3u) - 20\mathcal{F}(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_{\beta} \leq 8\sigma(u, 2u)\psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}). \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}(4u) - 10\mathcal{F}(2u) + 16\mathcal{F}(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_{\beta} \leq \max\{8\sigma(u, 2u), 2\sigma(2u, 2v)\} \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}). \quad (3.8)$$

Let $K : S \rightarrow T$ be a function defined by $K(u) = \mathcal{F}(2u) - 8\mathcal{F}(u)$, we get

$$\|K(2u) - 2K(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \bar{\sigma}(u)\psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}) \quad (3.9)$$

where $\bar{\sigma}(u) = \max\{8\sigma(u, 2u), 2\sigma(2u, 2u)\}$.

Replacing u by $\frac{u}{2^{j+1}}$ and multiplying 2^j on both sides in (3.9), we have

$$\|2^{j+1}K\left(\frac{u}{2^{j+1}}\right) - 2^jK\left(\frac{u}{2^j}\right), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq 2^j\bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{j+1}}\right)\psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}). \quad (3.10)$$

Hence, $\{2^jK\left(\frac{u}{2^j}\right)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Define,

$$A = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 2^jK\left(\frac{u}{2^j}\right). \quad (3.11)$$

By induction,

$$\|2^jK\left(\frac{u}{2^j}\right) - K(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|2|} \max\left\{|2|^{l+1}\bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}}\right) : 0 \leq l < j\right\} \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}). \quad (3.12)$$

By taking limit $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.12), we get (3.5)

To prove A is additive,

$$\|A(2u) - 2A(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq |2| \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|2^{j-1}K\left(\frac{u}{2^{j-1}}\right) - 2^jK\left(\frac{u}{2^j}\right)\| \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}).$$

Replacing u and v by $2^j u$ and $2^j v$ in (3.4), we have

$$\|D_A(u, v), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |2|^j \max\left\{\sigma\left(\frac{u}{2^{j-1}}, \frac{v}{2^{j-1}}\right), |8|\sigma\left(\frac{u}{2^j}, \frac{v}{2^j}\right)\right\} \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

Hence A satisfies (2.1). Let A' be another additive function

$$\|A(u) - A'(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|2|} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max\left\{|2|^{b+1}\bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{b+1}}\right) : m \leq l < j + m\right\} \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}).$$

Hence the proof is complete. ■

Corollary 1. *Let $\rho, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and $x + y > 1$. If a mapping $\mathcal{F} : S \rightarrow T$ is an mapping satisfying $\mathcal{F}(0) = 0$ and*

$$\|D_{AC}(u, v), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \rho(\|u\|^{x+y} + \|v\|^{x+y} + \|u\|^x \|v\|^y) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

then there is a unique additive mapping $A : S \rightarrow T$ so that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(2u) - 8\mathcal{F}(u) - A(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|2|^\beta} \hat{\sigma}_A(u) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

where,

$$\hat{\sigma}_A(u) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max\left\{|2|^{l\beta}\bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}}\right) : 0 \leq l < j\right\}$$

$$\bar{\sigma}(u) = 4\|u\|^{x+y} \max\{2(1 + |2|^{x+y} + |2|^y), 2 + |2|^{x+y}\}$$

Let $x + y = 1$, we have the following counter-example,

Example 2. Let $S = T = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and define $\mathcal{F}(u) = u^2$. Let $|2|_p^t = 1, \rho > 0, x + y = 1, p > 2$, where p is a prime $\mathcal{F}(0) = 0$ and

$$\|D_{AC}(u, v), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta = \left| \frac{5u^2}{2} \right| \leq \rho(\|u\|^{x+y} + \|v\|^{x+y} + \|u\|^x \|v\|^y) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

and

$$\|2^{j+1}K\left(\frac{u}{2^{j+1}}\right) - 2^jK\left(\frac{u}{2^j}\right), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \neq 0.$$

Hence, $\{2^jK\left(\frac{u}{2^j}\right)\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence.

Theorem 2. Let $\sigma : S \times S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |8|^{j\beta} \bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{j+1}}\right) = 0 \quad (3.13)$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |8|^{j\beta} \sigma\left(\frac{u}{2^j}, \frac{v}{2^j}\right) = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

for each $u, v \in S$, and let $\xi : T^{n-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |8|^{l\beta} \bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}}\right) : 0 \leq l < j \right\} \quad (3.15)$$

for each $u \in S$, denoted by $\hat{\sigma}_C(u)$ exists. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} : S \rightarrow T$ is a mapping satisfying and $\mathcal{F}(0) = 0$ such that

$$\|D_{AC}(u, v), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \sigma(u, v) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}) \quad (3.16)$$

then there is a cubic mapping $C : S \rightarrow T$ so that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(2u) - 2\mathcal{F}(u) - C(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|8|^\beta} \hat{\sigma}_C(u) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}), \quad (3.17)$$

for each $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1} \in T$.

Moreover, if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^{(l+1)\beta} \bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}}\right) : m \leq l < j + m \right\} = 0$$

then A is unique.

Proof. From Theorem 1, we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}(4u) - 10\mathcal{F}(2u) + 16\mathcal{F}(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \max\{8\sigma(u, 2u), 2\sigma(2u, 2u)\} \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}) \quad (3.18)$$

Let $N : S \rightarrow T$ be a function defined by $N(u) = \mathcal{F}(2u) - 2\mathcal{F}(u)$, we get

$$\|N(2u) - 8N(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \bar{\sigma}(u) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}) \quad (3.19)$$

where $\bar{\sigma}(u) = \max\{8\sigma(u, 2u), 2\sigma(2u, 2u)\}$.

Replacing u by $\frac{u}{2^{j+1}}$ and multiplying 8^j on both sides in (3.19), we have

$$\|8^{j+1}N\left(\frac{u}{2^{j+1}}\right) - 8^jN\left(\frac{u}{2^j}\right), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq 2^j \bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{j+1}}\right) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}). \quad (3.20)$$

Hence, $\{8^j N(\frac{u}{2^j})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Define

$$C = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 8^j N\left(\frac{u}{2^j}\right) \quad (3.21)$$

By induction,

$$\|8^j N\left(\frac{u}{2^j}\right) - N(u, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|8|} \max \left\{ |8|^{l+1} \bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}}\right) : 0 \leq l < j \right\} \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}) \quad (3.22)$$

By taking limit $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.22), we get (3.17)

To prove C is cubic

$$\|C(2u) - 8C(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq |8| \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|8^{j-1} N\left(\frac{u}{2^{j-1}}\right) - 2^j N\left(\frac{u}{2^j}\right)\|_\beta \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

Hence C satisfies (2.1).

Replacing u and v by $2^j u$ and $2^j v$ in (3.16)

$$\|D_C(u, v), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |8|^j \max \left\{ \sigma\left(\frac{u}{2^{j-1}}, \frac{v}{2^{j-1}}\right), |2|\sigma\left(\frac{u}{2^j}, \frac{v}{2^j}\right) \right\} \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

Let C' be another cubic function

$$\|C(u) - C'(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|8|} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |8|^{l+1} \sigma\left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}}\right) : m \leq l < j + m \right\} \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}).$$

Hence the proof is complete. ■

Corollary 2. Let $\rho, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and $x + y > 3$. If a mapping $\mathcal{F} : S \rightarrow T$ is an mapping satisfying $\mathcal{F}(0) = 0$ and

$$\|D_{AC}(u, v), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \rho(\|u\|^{x+y} + \|v\|^{x+y} + \|u\|^x \|v\|^y) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

then there is a unique cubic mapping $C : S \rightarrow T$ so that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(2u) - 2\mathcal{F}(u) - C(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|8|^\beta} \hat{\sigma}_C(u) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_C(u) &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |8|^{l\beta} \bar{\sigma}\left(\frac{u}{2^{j+1}}\right) : 0 \leq l < j \right\} \\ \bar{\sigma}(u) &= 4\|u\|^{x+y} \max\{2(1 + |2|^{x+y} + |2|^y), 2 + |2|^{x+y}\} \end{aligned}$$

Let $x + y = 3$, we have the following counter-example,

Example 3. Let $S = T = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and define $\mathcal{F}(u) = u^2$. Let $|2|_p^t = 1, \rho > 0, x + y = 3, p > 2$, where p is a prime $\mathcal{F}(0) = 0$ and

$$\|D_{AC}(u, v), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta = \left| \frac{5u^2}{2} \right| \leq \rho(\|u\|^{x+y} + \|v\|^{x+y} + \|u\|^x \|v\|^y) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

and

$$\|8^{j+1} N\left(\frac{u}{2^{j+1}}\right) - 8^j N\left(\frac{u}{2^j}\right), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \neq 0.$$

Hence, $\{8^j N(\frac{u}{2^j})\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence.

Theorem 3. Let $\sigma : S \times S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |8|^{j\beta} \bar{\sigma} \left(\frac{u}{2^{j+1}} \right) = 0 \quad (3.23)$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |8|^{j\beta} \sigma \left(\frac{u}{2^j}, \frac{v}{2^j} \right) = 0 \quad (3.24)$$

for each $u, v \in S$, and let $\xi : T^{n-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^{l\beta} \bar{\sigma} \left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}} \right) : 0 \leq l < j \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad (3.25)$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |8|^{l\beta} \bar{\sigma} \left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}} \right) : 0 \leq l < j \right\} \quad (3.26)$$

for each $u \in S$, denoted by $\hat{\sigma}_A(u)$ and $\hat{\sigma}_C(u)$ exist. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} : S \rightarrow T$ is a mapping satisfying and $j(0) = 0$ such that

$$\|D_{AC}(u, v), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \sigma(u, v) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}) \quad (3.27)$$

then there is an additive mapping $A : S \rightarrow T$ and a cubic mapping $C : S \rightarrow T$ so that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(u) - A(u) - C(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|12|^\beta} \max \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_A(u), \frac{1}{|4|^\beta} \hat{\sigma}_C(u) \right\} \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}) \quad (3.28)$$

for each $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1} \in T$. Moreover, if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^{(l+1)\beta} \bar{\sigma} \left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}} \right) : m \leq l < j + m \right\} = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |8|^{(l+1)\beta} \bar{\sigma} \left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}} \right) : m \leq l < j + m \right\} = 0$$

then A and C are unique.

Proof. By the theorem 1 and theorem 2, there exists an additive function $\hat{A} : S \rightarrow T$ and cubic function $\hat{C} : S \rightarrow T$ so that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(2u) - 8\mathcal{F}(u) - \hat{A}(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|2|} \bar{\sigma}_A(u) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}), \quad (3.29)$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}(2u) - 2\mathcal{F}(u) - \hat{C}(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|8|} \bar{\sigma}_C(u) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}). \quad (3.30)$$

From (3.29) and (3.30), we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}(u) - A(u) - C(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|12|^\beta} \max \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_A(u), \frac{1}{|4|^\beta} \hat{\sigma}_C(u) \right\} \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}),$$

where, $A(u) = \frac{-1}{|6|} \hat{A}(u)$ and $C(u) = \frac{1}{|6|} \hat{C}(u)$.

To prove the uniqueness property.

Let $\tilde{A}(u) = A(u) - \bar{A}(u)$, $\tilde{C}(u) = C(u) - \bar{C}(u)$,

$$\|\tilde{A}(u) + \tilde{C}(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|12|^\beta} \max \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_A(u), \frac{1}{|4|^\beta} \hat{\sigma}_C(u) \right\} \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

for all $u \in S$. Since,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^{(l+1)\beta} \bar{\sigma} \left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}} \right) : m \leq l < j + m \right\} = 0$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |8|^{(l+1)\beta} \bar{\sigma} \left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}} \right) : m \leq l < j + m \right\} = 0$$

for all $u \in S$. So,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |8|^\beta \|\tilde{A}(2^j u) + \tilde{C}(2^j u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta = 0$$

Therefore, we get, $\tilde{A}(u) = 0$, then $\tilde{C}(u) = 0$.

Hence the proof is complete. ■

Corollary 3. Let $\rho, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and $x + y > 1$. If a mapping $\mathcal{F} : S \rightarrow T$ is an mapping satisfying $\mathcal{F}(0) = 0$ and

$$\|D_{AC}(u, v), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \rho(\|u\|^{x+y} + \|v\|^{x+y} + \|u\|^x \|v\|^y) \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

then there is a unique additive mapping $A : S \rightarrow T$ and there is a unique cubic mapping $C : S \rightarrow T$ so that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(u) - A(u) - C(u), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}\|_\beta \leq \frac{1}{|2|^\beta} \max\{\hat{\sigma}_A(u), \hat{\sigma}_C(u)\} \psi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

where,

$$\hat{\sigma}_A(u) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^{l\beta} \bar{\sigma} \left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}} \right) : 0 \leq l < j \right\}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_C(u) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |8|^{l\beta} \bar{\sigma} \left(\frac{u}{2^{l+1}} \right) : 0 \leq l < j \right\}$$

$$\bar{\sigma}(u) = 4\|u\|^{x+y} \max\{2(1 + |2|^{x+y} + |2|^y), 2 + |2|^{x+y}\}.$$

References

- [1] Abolfathi M. A. and Rasoul Aghalary A. E., Stability of mixed additive-quadratic Jensen type functional equation in non-Archimedean l -fuzzy normed spaces, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara*, **60** (2014), 307–319.
- [2] Bachman G., Introduction to p -Adic numbers and valuation theory, Brooklyn, Newyork, 1964.
- [3] Ebadian A., Zolfaghari S., Stability of a mixed additive and cubic functional equation in several variables in non-Archimedean spaces, *Ann. Univ Ferrara*, **58** (2012), 291–306.
- [4] Hyers D.H., On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [5] Ji P.S., Zhou S.J., Xue H.Y., On a Jensen-cubic functional equation and its Hyers–Ulam stability, *Acta Math. Sin.*, **31**(12) (2015), 1929–1940.
- [6] Liu Y., Yang X., Liu G., Stability of an AQCQ functional equation in non-Archimedean (n, β) -normed spaces, *Demonstr. Math.* **52** (2019), 130–146.
- [7] Ramachandran A., Sangeetha S., Stability of a Jensen type cubic and quartic functional equations over non-Archimedean normed space, *IAENG Int J. Appl. Math.*, **54**(2) (2024).
- [8] Ulam S.M., Problems in modern mathematical, *Science Ed.*, Wiley, Newyork, 1940.
- [9] Van Rooij A.C.M., Non-Archimedean functional analysis, Marcel Dekker, New York, (1978).
- [10] Xu T.Z., Stability of multi-Jensen mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces, *J. Math. Phy.*, **53**(2) (2012).
- [11] Xu T.Z., Rassias J.M., Xu W., Stability of general mixed additive-cubic functional equation in non-Archimedean fuzzy normed space, *J. Math. Phy.*, **51** (2010).