

$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -ANALOGUES OF SOME PROPERTIES OF  
 $n$ -CYCLES IN  $\mathfrak{S}_n$

JOEL BREWSTER LEWIS

ABSTRACT. We give analogues in the finite general linear group of two elementary results concerning long cycles and transpositions in the symmetric group: first, that the long cycles are precisely the elements whose minimum-length factorizations into transpositions yield a generating set, and second, that a long cycle together with an appropriate transposition generates the whole symmetric group.

The goal of this paper is to give analogues in the finite general linear group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of the following well known theorems about the behavior of transpositions and  $n$ -cycles in the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .

**Theorem.** (1) *A permutation  $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  is an  $n$ -cycle if and only if the factors in every minimum-length factorization of  $w$  as a product of transpositions form a generating set for  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .*  
(2) *If  $c$  is an  $n$ -cycle and  $t = (a\ b)$  is a transposition that exchanges two adjacent entries of  $c$ , then  $\langle c, t \rangle = \mathfrak{S}_n$ .*

Following [LW22], we call the equivalent property in the first part of the theorem the **strong quasi-Coxeter property**. The second half of the theorem can easily be generalized to the case that the cyclic distance between  $a$  and  $b$  in the cycle  $c$  is relatively prime to  $n$ ; it is occasionally mis-stated (e.g., in [Led49, Ch. III, ex. (8)]) to assert that *any* transposition will do, but it is easy to see (for example) that the transposition  $(1\ 3)$  normalizes the subgroup generated by the 4-cycle  $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$ , and consequently that these two elements generate a group of order 8 rather than the whole symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_4$ .

In formulating our  $q$ -analogues, we follow the perspective of [RSW04, RSW06, LRS14, LM16, HLR17]): first, we view the group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  as a real reflection group, acting on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by permutation of coordinates. From this perspective, the transpositions are precisely the **reflections**, i.e., those elements that fix a **hyperplane** (a subspace of codimension 1) pointwise.<sup>1</sup> In  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , we extend this definition verbatim and define a reflection to be any element that fixes a hyperplane pointwise. Then

---

<sup>1</sup>It is conventional but not necessary to specify that real reflections be orthogonal, or that they have order 2. For a finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , these properties

$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is also generated by its subset of reflections. Second, we take the analogue of an  $n$ -cycle to be a **Singer cycle** for  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . These elements can be characterized in many ways; we have that  $c \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a Singer cycle if any of the following equivalent statements hold:

- $c$  is **irreducible** (i.e., it stabilizes no nontrivial subspace of  $V := \mathbb{F}_q^n$ ) of maximum possible multiplicative order;
- $c$  has multiplicative order  $q^n - 1$ ;
- $c$  acts transitively on  $V \setminus \{0\}$ ; and
- $c$  has as eigenvalue over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  one of the cyclic generators for  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times$ .

Our main theorem is as follows.

**Main Theorem.** (1) *An element  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a Singer cycle if and only if the factors in every minimum-length factorization of  $g$  as a product of reflections form a generating set for  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .*  
 (2) *Suppose that  $c \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a Singer cycle and  $t \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a reflection that does not normalize the cyclic subgroup  $\langle c \rangle$ ; then  $\langle c, t \rangle = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .*

The structure of the paper is as follows. We begin with some background on finite fields, Singer cycles, and the group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . We then prove part (2) of the main theorem as Theorem 7. As part of the proof, we give a concrete description of the reflections  $t$  that normalize a Singer cycle (Proposition 6). In particular, such reflections exist only when  $n = 2$ , so that for  $n \geq 3$  we have that any Singer cycle and any reflection together generate  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . We then use part (2) to prove part (1) of the main theorem as Theorem 8. We end with a few open questions and remarks.

## 1. BACKGROUND

We begin with some basic facts about finite fields (as found in, e.g., [Hou18, Chs. 1.1 & 2.1]), Singer cycles, and irreducible elements.

Fix a prime power  $q$  and let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  denote the field of cardinality  $q$ . For any  $n > 1$  and any irreducible polynomial  $f = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , the quotient  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(f)$  of the polynomial ring by the ideal generated by  $f$  is the (unique up to isomorphism) finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  of order  $q^n$ , generated as a ring over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  by the equivalence class of  $x$ . This field is the splitting field of the irreducible polynomial  $f$ , and  $f$  is always separable (i.e., it has  $n$  distinct roots in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ ). The unique isomorphic copy of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  inside  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  may be identified as the fixed set of

---

are implied (after a standard averaging argument) by the seemingly more general definition here.

the **Frobenius automorphism**

$$\begin{aligned} F: \mathbb{F}_{q^n} &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \\ \alpha &\mapsto \alpha^q \end{aligned}$$

If  $f$  is an irreducible polynomial of degree  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is a root of  $f$ , then the complete set of roots of  $f$  is the Frobenius orbit  $\{\alpha, F(\alpha) = \alpha^q, F^2(\alpha) = \alpha^{q^2}, \dots, F^{n-1}(\alpha) = \alpha^{q^{n-1}}\}$  of  $\alpha$ .

The embedding  $\mathbb{F}_q \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  endows the latter field with the structure of a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For a fixed element  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , multiplication by  $\alpha$  is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear, and, consequently, if we choose any ordered basis  $B$  for  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , we get an injection

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

from the multiplicative subgroup  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times$  into the group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of invertible  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The minimal polynomial of an element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is equal to the minimal polynomial of its embedded image in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ; in particular, if  $\alpha$  is a field generator for  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , its image in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  has irreducible characteristic polynomial. Such elements of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  may be characterized in many ways.

**Proposition 1** ([LRS14, Prop. 4.4]). *For an element  $g$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- for some choice of basis  $B$  for  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and some generator  $\alpha$  for  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $g$  is the image of  $\alpha$  under the map (1.1);
- the characteristic polynomial of  $g$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ ; and
- $g$  stabilizes no nontrivial proper subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ .

The multiplicative subgroup  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times$  is a cyclic group. The cyclic generators for  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times$  are called **primitive elements**; each primitive element is obviously a field generator for  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The minimal polynomial of a primitive element over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (necessarily irreducible of degree  $n$ ) is called a **primitive polynomial**. The images of primitive elements under any of the maps in Equation (1.1) are called **Singer cycles**. These elements of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  may also be characterized in many ways.

**Proposition 2** (see [Bro14, §2.1] and [Gil16, Lem. 3]). *For an element  $g$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- for some choice of basis  $B$  for  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and some primitive element  $\zeta$  for  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ ,  $g$  is the image of  $\zeta$  under the map (1.1);
- $g$  is irreducible and has maximum multiplicative order among the irreducible elements;
- $g$  has multiplicative order  $q^n - 1$ ;
- the characteristic polynomial of  $g$  is primitive in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ ;

- $g$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\}$ ; and
- $g$  has as an eigenvalue over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  a primitive element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ .

Our main result makes reference to the minimum number  $k$  such that a given element  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  can be written as a product  $g = t_1 \cdots t_k$  of  $k$  reflections. This number (called the **reflection length** of  $g$ ) has a simple intrinsic formula.

**Proposition 3** ([Die55], [HLR17, Prop. 2.16]). *Consider  $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space, and  $g$  an element of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ . Then the minimum length of any factorization of  $g$  as a product of reflections is  $\dim(V) - \dim \mathrm{fix}(g)$ .*

**Remark 4.** Since  $\mathrm{fix}(t \cdot u) \supseteq \mathrm{fix}(t) \cap \mathrm{fix}(u)$ , in any factorization  $g = t_1 \cdots t_k$  of  $g$  as a product of  $k := \dim(V) - \dim \mathrm{fix}(g)$  reflections, we have the equality  $\mathrm{fix}(g) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathrm{fix}(t_i)$ , and so in particular each factor  $t_i$  fixes every vector fixed by  $g$ .

Given a monic polynomial  $f = x^n + \dots + a_1x + a_0$  over some field  $\mathbb{F}$ , its **companion matrix**  $C_f$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix

$$C_f := \begin{bmatrix} & & & -a_0 \\ 1 & & & -a_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & -a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

over  $\mathbb{F}$ . It is easy to see that  $f$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $C_f$ . In the case that  $f$  is irreducible, it follows that  $C_f$  is the rational canonical form of any matrix with characteristic polynomial  $f$ , and so in particular that every irreducible matrix is similar to the companion matrix of its characteristic polynomial.

We will make use of the following corrected version of [Gil16, Thm. 2].

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  be distinct monic polynomials of degree  $n$  such that  $f$  is primitive and the constant term of  $g$  is nonzero. Then the group  $\langle C_f, C_g \rangle$  generated by the companion matrices  $C_f, C_g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  is equal to  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  unless  $n = 2$  and  $C_g$  belongs to the normalizer of  $\langle C_f \rangle$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .*

For a discussion of how and why Theorem 5 differs from [Gil16, Thm. 2], see Section 3.3 below.

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Our strategy is to prove part (2) first, then use it to prove (1). We begin with a concrete example in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of a situation in which

a Singer cycle and reflection fail to generate the whole group; it is perhaps analogous to the example of  $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$  and  $(1\ 3)$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_4$ .

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $c$  be a Singer cycle in  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and let  $\zeta$  be one of its eigenvalues over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ .*

- (a) *There is a basis for  $\mathbb{F}_q^2$  in which  $c$  has matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\zeta^{q+1} \\ 1 & \zeta + \zeta^q \end{bmatrix}$ . In this basis,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\zeta^{-1} - \zeta^{-q} & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  is the matrix of a reflection  $t$  such that  $t^2 = 1$  and  $tct = c^q$ .*
- (b) *With  $c, t$  as in (a),  $\langle t, c \rangle$  is a proper subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  for any  $q > 2$ .*
- (c) *With  $c, t$  as in (a), if  $t'$  is a reflection that normalizes  $\langle c \rangle$ , then  $t' = c^k t c^{-k}$  for some integer  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $c$  has irreducible characteristic polynomial, the second eigenvalue of  $c$  is  $\zeta^q$ , and so the characteristic polynomial of  $c$  is  $f := x^2 - (\zeta + \zeta^q)x + \zeta^{q+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ . Since  $c$  is irreducible, there is a basis for  $\mathbb{F}_q^2$  in which  $c$  has as matrix the companion matrix  $C_f$  of this polynomial. Thus the requested choice of basis in (a) exists, and the linear transformation  $t$  is well defined. The given matrix for  $t$  has characteristic polynomial  $(t - 1)(t + 1)$ , so has eigenvalues 1 and  $-1$ . When  $q$  is odd, it follows immediately that  $t$  is a reflection and that  $t^2 = 1$ . When  $q$  is a power of 2, we still must rule out the possibility that  $t$  is the identity; observe that  $\zeta$  has order  $q^2 - 1 > q - 1$ , so  $\zeta^{-1} \neq \zeta^{-q}$ , and consequently the given matrix for  $t$  is not the identity matrix. By a direct computation, we have that the matrix of  $tct$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} \zeta + \zeta^q & \zeta^{q+1} \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . To see that this is the matrix of  $c^q$ , it is enough to check that, extending scalars to  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , the eigenvectors of  $c$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^q$  are respectively  $\begin{bmatrix} -\zeta^q \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} -\zeta \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , while these two vectors are eigenvectors for  $tct$  with respective eigenvalues  $\zeta^q$  and  $\zeta = (\zeta^q)^q$ . This proves part (a).

Choose an element  $g$  of  $\langle t, c \rangle$ . Since  $t$  and  $c$  are of finite order,  $g$  can be written as a product of positive integer powers of  $t$  and  $c$ . By repeatedly applying the relation  $ct = tc^q$  from (a), we can convert this to an expression of the form  $g = t^i c^j$  for some  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Since there are only  $2(q^2 - 1)$  distinct expressions of this form, the group  $\langle t, c \rangle$  has at most  $2(q^2 - 1)$  elements. For  $q > 2$ , we have  $2(q^2 - 1) < (q^2 - q)(q^2 - 1) = |GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ , and consequently  $\langle t, c \rangle \subsetneq GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . This proves (b).

Since  $t$  normalizes  $\langle c \rangle$  (by part (a)), so too do all of its conjugates  $c^k t c^{-k}$ . If  $t'$  is any reflection that normalizes  $\langle c \rangle$ , it must either preserve the two eigenspaces of  $c$  or exchange them. The first is not possible because the fixed space of  $t'$  is an eigenspace of  $t'$  that is distinct from the two eigenspaces of  $c$  (it is defined by an equation over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ), and a linear transformation on a two-dimensional space can only have three distinct eigenlines if it is a scalar transformation. If  $t'$  exchanges the two eigenspaces of  $c$ , then its matrix in the eigenbasis of  $c$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \beta & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . It is easy to check that such a matrix can be a reflection if and only if  $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$ . After a computation, we see that in this case, the fixed space of  $t'$  is spanned by the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} -\alpha\zeta - \zeta^q \\ \alpha + 1 \end{bmatrix}$  (in the standard basis). The span of this vector must be defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ; hence, there are exactly  $q + 1$  possible values of  $\alpha$  (either  $\alpha = -1$  or  $\alpha$  is the solution to  $-\alpha\zeta - \zeta^q = a(\alpha + 1)$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ). But we already have  $q + 1$  distinct reflections that normalize  $\langle c \rangle$ , namely,  $c^k t c^{-k}$  for  $k = 0, \dots, q$ . Thus  $t'$  must be one of these, as claimed.  $\square$

The next result shows that there are no “bad” reflections other than those that appear in Proposition 6.

**Theorem 7.** *Fix a Singer cycle  $c$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . If  $t$  is a reflection in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  that is not covered by Proposition 6 (in particular, for any reflection  $t$  if  $n \geq 3$ ), then  $\langle c, t \rangle = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a prime power  $q$  and an  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $q$ . Let  $c$  be a Singer cycle in  $G = \mathrm{GL}(V)$ , and choose a basis in which the matrix of  $c$  is the companion matrix of its characteristic polynomial  $f = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$  (which is necessarily primitive):

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} & & & -a_0 \\ & & & -a_1 \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & -a_{n-1} \\ 1 & & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $t$  be any reflection in  $G$ , with fixed plane  $H$ . Since  $c$  acts transitively on the hyperplanes in  $V$ , there is some number  $k$  so that  $c^k H$  is given by the coordinate equation  $x_n = 0$ . In this case, the element  $t' = c^k t c^{-k}$  is a reflection with fixed space  $x_n = 0$ , so in coordinates we have

$$t' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & b_0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & b_{n-2} \\ & & & b_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

for some  $(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , with  $b_{n-1} \neq 0$ . By matrix multiplication, we have

$$c \cdot t' = \begin{bmatrix} & & c_0 \\ 1 & & c_1 \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & c_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

for some  $(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , with  $c_0 = -a_0 b_{n-1} \neq 0$ . Thus  $c \cdot t'$  is the companion matrix of an degree- $n$  polynomial with nonzero constant term. And, of course,  $t'$  is not the identity and so  $c \cdot t' \neq c$ . Thus, by Theorem 5, we have two possibilities: either

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) = \langle c, c \cdot t' \rangle = \langle c, c^{k+1} t c^{-k} \rangle = \langle c, t \rangle,$$

as desired, or  $n = 2$  and  $c \cdot t'$  belongs to the normalizer of  $\langle c \rangle$ . In the latter case,  $t = c^{-k} t' c^k$  also belongs to the normalizer of  $\langle c \rangle$ ; these reflections are completely described by Proposition 6.  $\square$

Having proved part (2) of the Main Theorem, we now complete the other half.

**Theorem 8.** *An element  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a Singer cycle if and only if  $g$  is strongly quasi-Coxeter, i.e., if and only if in every minimum-length reflection factorization of  $g$ , the set of factors generates  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .*

*Proof.* First, we show that every Singer cycle is strongly quasi-Coxeter. Let  $c$  be a Singer cycle in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . If  $n \geq 3$ , consider any minimum-length factorization  $c = t_1 \cdots t_n$  into reflections. (The length of the factorization is  $n$  by Proposition 3.) Obviously  $\langle t_1, c \rangle = \langle t_1, t_1 \cdots t_n \rangle \subseteq \langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . But by Theorem 7,  $\langle t_1, c \rangle = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , so the previous containment is equality. We now consider smaller  $n$ .

When  $n = 1$ , the Singer cycle  $c$  is a reflection (as is every non-identity element of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ ), so the unique minimum-length reflection factorization of  $c$  is the trivial factorization  $c = c$ , and indeed  $\langle c \rangle = \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$  in this case. When  $n = 2$  and  $q = 2$ , we have  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$  permutes the three nonzero vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^2$ , the Singer cycles are the 3-cycles, and the reflections are the transpositions, so the statement is a particular case of the theorem mentioned in the introduction. When  $n = 2$  and  $q > 2$ , we proceed as in the case  $n \geq 3$ , but we must rule out the possibility that  $t_1$  (the first factor in a minimum-length reflection factorization  $c = t_1 \cdot t_2$ ) is one of the  $q+1$  reflections that normalize  $c$ . If this were the case, then  $t_2 = t_1^{-1} c$  would also belong to the normalizer. By Proposition 6, it would follow that  $\det(t_1) = \det(t_2) = -1$  and so that  $\det(c) = 1$ ; but this contradicts the fact that the determinant of  $c$  is always a cyclic generator of  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ .

We now consider the converse. Let  $g$  be an element of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  that is not a Singer cycle; we must show that  $g$  is not strongly quasi-Coxeter.

First, suppose that  $g$  is not irreducible; then there is some nontrivial subspace  $W$  of  $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$  stabilized by  $g$ . We now construct a minimum-length reflection factorization of  $g$  whose factors all stabilize  $W$ . Let  $U$  be any complementary subspace to  $W$ , so that  $U \oplus W = V$ , and consider the restriction  $g|_W$  of  $g$  to  $W$ . Choose a minimum-length reflection factorization  $g|_W = t_1 \cdots t_k$  of  $g|_W$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(W)$ ; by Proposition 3, its length is  $k := \dim(W) - \dim \mathrm{fix}(g|_W)$ . Each of the reflections  $t_i$  may be extended to a reflection in  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  by defining  $t_i(u) = u$  for all  $u \in U$  and extending by linearity. Each of these (extended) reflections stabilizes  $W$ . Now consider the element  $g' := t_k^{-1} \cdots t_1^{-1}g$ . By construction, this element fixes  $W$  pointwise and has fixed space dimension  $\dim \mathrm{fix}(g') = \dim \mathrm{fix}(g) + k$ . Therefore, by the strengthening of Proposition 3 in Remark 4,  $g'$  can be written as a product  $g' = t_{k+1} \cdots t_{k+m}$  of  $m := \dim(V) - \dim \mathrm{fix}(g') = \dim(V) - \dim \mathrm{fix}(g) - k$  reflections, each of which fixes  $\mathrm{fix}(g')$  (so in particular  $W$ ) pointwise. Then  $t_1 \cdots t_{k+m}$  is a factorization of  $g$  into  $k + m = \dim(V) - \dim \mathrm{fix}(g)$  reflections, each of which stabilizes  $W$ . By Proposition 3, this is a minimum-length reflection factorization of  $g$ . Since each factor stabilizes  $W$ , the same is true of every element of the group generated by these factors; and since  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  does *not* stabilize  $W$ , it follows that  $g$  is not strongly quasi-Coxeter.

Second, suppose that  $g$  is irreducible but its determinant  $d$  is not a cyclic generator for  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ . Since  $g$  is irreducible, it has trivial fixed space, and so by Proposition 3 it can be written as a product of  $n$  reflections in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  but no fewer. Let  $X \subsetneq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$  be the proper subgroup of generated by  $d$ , and let  $G := \{w \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) : \det(w) \in X\}$  be the proper subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  consisting of all linear transformations on  $V$  whose determinants belong to  $X$ . As shown in [LV24], there exists a factorization of  $g$  (in fact, precisely  $|g|^{n-1}$  factorizations) as a product of  $n$  reflections *in the proper subgroup*  $G$ . The subgroup generated by such a factorization is a subgroup of  $G$ , hence a proper subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ; it follows that  $g$  is not strongly quasi-Coxeter in this case, either.  $\square$

### 3. FURTHER REMARKS

**3.1. Other groups.** Suppose that  $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a reflection group that contains irreducible elements.<sup>2</sup> Then it is natural to define a

<sup>2</sup>For the *classical groups*, these were classified by Huppert [Hup70]. They include the unitary groups  $\mathrm{GU}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$  of odd dimension, the symplectic groups, and



**Singer cycle** in  $G$  to be an irreducible element of maximum order. The following questions arise naturally from our work.

**Question 9.** Are Singer cycles strongly quasi-Coxeter in other finite reflection groups over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ?

**Question 10.** Under what conditions do a Singer cycle  $c$  and a reflection  $t$  generate the whole group  $G$ ?

**3.2. The weak and strong quasi-Coxeter property.** Let  $(G, T)$  be a generated group. Above, following [LW22], we established the terminology that an element  $g \in G$  is *strongly quasi-Coxeter* if for every shortest  $T$ -factorization  $(t_1, \dots, t_k)$  of  $g$ , one has  $\langle t_1, \dots, t_k \rangle = G$ . Correspondingly, we have that  $g$  is **weakly quasi-Coxeter** if there exists at least one such shortest  $T$ -factorization of  $g$ . These properties arise in the study of real reflection groups (i.e., finite Coxeter groups) in multiple independent ways; for a detailed discussion of their history and significance, see [DLM24, §3].

When  $G$  is a finite Coxeter group and  $T$  is its set of reflections, [BGRW17] showed that every weakly quasi-Coxeter element is in fact strongly quasi-Coxeter. And [LW22] showed that this extends to the complex reflection groups in the case of elements of reflection length  $\text{rank } G$ . (Every weakly quasi-Coxeter element must have reflection length at least  $\text{rank } G$ ; in real groups, there are no elements of reflection length  $> \text{rank } G$ , while in some complex groups there exist weakly-but-not-strongly quasi-Coxeter elements of reflection length  $> \text{rank } G$ .) In  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , the corresponding statement is false: for example, in  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ , the element  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$  is not strongly quasi-Coxeter (it has an obvious factorization as a product of two diagonal reflections that together generate an abelian group of order 8) but it is weakly quasi-Coxeter: one has

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

the two factors are reflections (the first fixing the span of  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  pointwise,

the second doing the same for  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ), and it is a straightforward computer check that they generate  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ .

---

certain orthogonal groups; [Ber00, Table 1] gives a convenient summary. At least in principle, one can extract a full list of reflection groups over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  that contain a Singer cycle by combining Huppert's theorem with the classification of irreducible reflection groups over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (as summarized, e.g., in [KM97]), since any group that contains an irreducible element must itself be irreducible.

**3.3. A correction.** In [Gil16, Thm. 2], Theorem 5 is claimed without the exceptional case for  $n = 2$ . However, the statement is not true in this case. Indeed, one can reverse the argument in the proof of Theorem 7 to produce an exceptional companion matrix from an exceptional reflection, as illustrated by the following example: in  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , let

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

be the companion matrix of the primitive polynomial  $x^2 + x - 1$ , hence a Singer cycle, and let

$$t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

be a reflection that interchanges the two eigenspaces of  $c$ , so that

$$t^{-1}ct = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = c^3.$$

One has that

$$t' := c^5tc^{-5} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

fixes the subspace  $y = 0$ , hence

$$c \cdot t' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a companion matrix (of the degree-2 polynomial  $x^2 + 1$ , with nonzero constant term). Then one can check that the subgroup

$$S = \langle c, c \cdot t' \rangle$$

is the normalizer of  $\langle c \rangle$ , with order  $16 < 48 = |\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)|$ . In a private communication, Gill has identified the error in the proof of [Gil16, Thm. 2]; we describe it (and the correction) now.

In the application of [Gil16, Lem. 4], which asserts that a *field extension subgroup* of  $\mathrm{GL}_{ad}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of degree  $d$  and dimension  $a$  contains no non-trivial elements with fixed space dimension  $> a(d-1)$ , to prove [Gil16, Cor. 5], which asserts that no field extension subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) contains two companion matrices of monic polynomials of degree  $n$ , the implication

$$ad = n \implies a(d-1) < n-1$$

is implicitly invoked for positive integers  $a, d, n$ . However, this inequality fails when  $a = 1$ . In the context of [Gil16, Lem. 4], this precisely corresponds to omitting consideration of the possibility that when  $c = C_f$  is a Singer cycle, the normalizer  $N$  of  $S := \langle c \rangle$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  contains the companion matrix of some other polynomial. In order to establish

a correct version of [Gil16, Cor. 5], and consequently Theorem 5, it remains to show that this (another companion matrix in  $N$ ) can only occur when  $n = 2$ . So suppose we have such a second companion matrix in  $y = C_g \in N$ . Observe that  $\dim \text{fix}(cy^{-1}) = n - 1$  (since the first  $n - 1$  columns of  $c$  and  $y$  are the same).

We consider two cases. If  $y$  is also in  $S$ , then  $cy^{-1}$  is a power of  $c$ , with fixed space dimension  $n - 1 > 0$ . But the only power of  $c$  with eigenvalue 1 is the identity matrix, so  $y = c$ , a contradiction. Alternatively, if  $y$  is not in  $S$ , then the proof of [Gil16, Lem. 4] shows that, in fact,  $\dim \text{fix}(cy^{-1})$  is at most  $m$  where  $m$  is a proper divisor of  $n$ . Thus  $n - 1$  is a proper divisor of  $n$  and we have  $n = 2$ . The analysis of the case  $n = 2$  is a trivial modification of Proposition 6.

Using the corrected version of [Gil16, Cor. 5] leads to the corrected version of [Gil16, Thm. 2] given as Theorem 5 above.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Nick Gill for the discussions described in Section 3.3, and for allowing us to include them here. This research was supported in part by the Simons Foundation Travel Support for Mathematicians program.

#### REFERENCES

- [Ber00] Áron Bereczky. Maximal overgroups of Singer elements in classical groups. *J. Algebra*, 234(1):187–206, 2000.
- [BGRW17] Barbara Baumeister, Thomas Gobet, Kieran Roberts, and Patrick Wegener. On the Hurwitz action in finite Coxeter groups. *J. Group Theory*, 20(1):103–131, 2017.
- [Bro14] Tom Brookfield. *Overgroups of a linear Singer cycle in classical groups*. PhD thesis, University of Birmingham, 2014.
- [Die55] Jean Dieudonné. Sur les générateurs des groupes classiques. *Summa Brasil. Math.*, 3:149–179, 1955.
- [DLM24] Theo Douvropoulos, Joel Brewster Lewis, and Alejandro H. Morales. Hurwitz numbers for reflection groups II: Parabolic quasi-Coxeter elements. *J. Algebra*, 641:648–715, 2024.
- [Gil16] Nick Gill. On a conjecture of Degos. *Cah. Topol. Géom. Différ. Catég.*, 57(3):229–237, 2016.
- [HLR17] Jia Huang, Joel Brewster Lewis, and Victor Reiner. Absolute order in general linear groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 95(1):223–247, 2017.
- [Hou18] Xiang-dong Hou. *Lectures on finite fields*, volume 190 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
- [Hup70] Bertram Huppert. Singer-Zyklen in klassischen Gruppen. *Math. Z.*, 117:141–150, 1970.

- [KM97] G. Kemper and G. Malle. The finite irreducible linear groups with polynomial ring of invariants. *Transform. Groups*, 2(1):57–89, 1997.
- [Led49] Walter Ledermann. *Introduction to the Theory of Finite Groups*. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh-London; Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1949.
- [LM16] J. B. Lewis and A. Morales.  $GL_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -analogues of factorization problems in the symmetric group. *European J. Combin.*, 58:75–95, 2016.
- [LRS14] J. B. Lewis, V. Reiner, and D. Stanton. Reflection factorizations of Singer cycles. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 40(3):663–691, 2014.
- [LV24] Joel Brewster Lewis and C. Ryan Vinroot. Counting reflection factorizations of Singer cycles in finite linear and unitary groups, 2024+. In preparation.
- [LW22] Joel Brewster Lewis and Jiayuan Wang. The Hurwitz action in complex reflection groups. *Comb. Theory*, 2(1):Paper No. 12, 34, 2022.
- [RSW04] V. Reiner, D. Stanton, and D. White. The cyclic sieving phenomenon. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 108(1):17–50, 2004.
- [RSW06] V. Reiner, D. Stanton, and P. Webb. Springer’s regular elements over arbitrary fields. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 141(2):209–229, 2006.