

DISCONTINUITY OF LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS FOR $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -VALUED COCYCLESEDHIN MAMANI¹ AND RAQUEL SARAIVA²

ABSTRACT. We exhibit an example of a discontinuity point for the Lyapunov exponents as a function of the cocycle in the Hölder topology. The linear cocycle taking values in $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is locally constant and defined over a Bernoulli shift. Our example extends Bocker-Viana's and Butler's results.

1. Introduction

The definition of Lyapunov exponents was introduced in stability theory for differential equations by A. M. Lyapunov. In the field of ergodic theory, the existence of Lyapunov exponents was guaranteed by results of Furstenberg-Kesten [5] and Oseledets [6]. Since then, this topic has been an active area of dynamic systems.

Focusing in the theory of Lyapunov exponents for linear cocycles an interesting question is how the Lyapunov exponents vary as functions of the cocycle. For our discussion, we are concerned to the case of cocycles taking values in $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. In this context, Bochi in [2] showed that a continuous cocycle over a fixed ergodic invertible dynamical system on a compact space is a C^0 -continuity point for Lyapunov exponents if it is either uniformly hyperbolic or has zero Lyapunov exponents. Thus, discontinuity of Lyapunov exponents is typical. Considering higher regularity, Backes, Brown and Butler [1] proved that when restricted to the set of Hölder continuous $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -valued cocycles satisfying the fiber bunching condition over hyperbolic systems, Lyapunov exponents vary continuously.

Bocker and Viana [3] constructed examples of locally constant cocycles with non-zero Lyapunov exponents, very far from being fiber-bunched that are discontinuity points for Lyapunov exponents in the Hölder topology. These cocycles can be approximated by Hölder continuous cocycles with vanishing Lyapunov exponents. Improving the techniques used in this counter-example, Butler [4] obtained non-fiber bunched cocycles which are arbitrarily close to being it and they are approximated in the Hölder topology by cocycles with small Lyapunov exponents. Then, in this setting, Butler asked [4] whether it is possible to constructed approximate cocycles with zero Lyapunov exponents. In this paper, we give a partial answer to this question. We approximated a class of cocycles contained in Butler's result by cocycles with vanishing Lyapunov exponents in the Hölder topology. In particular, our result extends Bocker-Viana's result.

2. Preliminaries

Let $M = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the space of bi-infinite sequences of two symbols, endowed with the distance d , defined by

$$(2.1) \quad d(x, y) = 2^{-N(x,y)} \quad \text{for } x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, y = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in M$$

where

$$N(x, y) = \sup\{N \geq 0 : x_n = y_n \text{ for every } |n| < N\}$$

and $f : M \rightarrow M$ the left shift map on M , $f((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Let $A : M \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a continuous map. The linear cocycle defined by A over f is the skew-product $F_A : M \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^2$, $F(x, v) = (f(x), A(x)v)$.

Since the base map f is fixed, we denote the linear cocycle F_A by A itself. We define

$$(2.2) \quad A^n(x) = \begin{cases} A(f^{n-1}(x)) \cdots A(x) & \text{if } n > 0 \\ Id & \text{if } n = 0 \\ A^{-n}(x) = A(f^{-n}(x))^{-1} \cdots A(f^{-1}(x))^{-1} & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}$$

¹ Partially supported by FAPEMIG and CNPq.

² Supported by FAPEMIG.

Let $C^\alpha(M, \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ be the space of all α -Hölder continuous cocycles $A : M \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ over f , endowed with the α -Hölder norm defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \|A\|_\alpha = \sup_{x \in M} \|A(x)\| + \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{\|A(x) - A(y)\|}{d(x, y)^\alpha}$$

$\|\cdot\|$ is taken to be the standard Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^2 along with the associated operator norm on $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. For $\alpha > 0$, a cocycle $A \in C^\alpha(M, \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ is α -fiber-bunched if there is an $N > 0$ such that for every $x \in M$

$$\|A^N(x)\| \|(A^N(x))^{-1}\| < 2^{\alpha N}$$

The theorem of Furstenberg-Kesten [5] guarantees for any f -invariant probability measure ν that the numbers

$$\lambda_+(A, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A^n(x)\|$$

and

$$\lambda_-(A, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A^n(x)^{-1}\|^{-1}$$

exist for ν -almost every $x \in M$.

We call these limits as extremal Lyapunov exponents of the cocycle A at the point $x \in M$. They are f -invariant maps, then if ν is ergodic, the Lyapunov exponents are constants in a full measure set. We denote them by $\lambda_+(A, \nu)$ and $\lambda_-(A, \nu)$, in that case.

Since A is $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -valued, the following relation holds $\lambda_+(A, \nu) + \lambda_-(A, \nu) = 0$.

Fix $\eta, \sigma > 1$ with $\eta < \sigma$. Consider the cocycle associated to the function $A_{\sigma\eta} : M \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$(2.4) \quad A_{\sigma\eta}(x) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \eta \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } x_0 = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^{-1} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } x_0 = 1 \end{cases}$$

In particular, this cocycle is locally constant and thus α -Hölder continuous for every $\alpha > 0$.

Let μ_p be the product measure on M , $\mu_p = \nu_p^{\mathbb{Z}}$ where ν_p is the probability measure on $\{0, 1\}$ defined by $\nu_p = p\delta_1 + (1-p)\delta_0$. We consider $p \notin \{0, 1\}$ and $\frac{p}{1-p} \neq \frac{\log \eta}{\log \sigma}$.

By Birkhoff ergodic theorem, the Lyapunov exponents of the cocycle $A_{\sigma\eta}$ are

$$\lambda_\pm(A_{\sigma\eta}, \mu_p) = \pm |(1-p) \log \eta - p \log \sigma|$$

The main theorem is the following,

Theorem A. *For any $\alpha > 0$ and $\eta < \sigma$ such that*

$$2^{3\alpha} < \eta^2$$

there exist α -Hölder continuous cocycles $B : M \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with vanishing Lyapunov exponents which are arbitrarily close to $A_{\sigma\eta}$ in the α -Hölder norm. Therefore, $A_{\sigma\eta}$ is a discontinuity point for Lyapunov exponents in $C^\alpha(M, \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$.

Remark 2.1. *If we consider $\sigma < \eta$ the theorem is still true but we must ask that $2^{3\alpha} < \frac{\sigma^3}{\eta}$. Note that this condition implies that $2^{3\alpha} < \eta^2$.*

Remark 2.2. *If $2^{3\alpha} = \eta^2$, $A_{\sigma\eta}$ is still a discontinuity point for Lyapunov exponents relative to the C^α topology. Indeed, let \mathcal{U} be an open neighborhood of $A_{\sigma\eta}$ in $C^\alpha(M, \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ and let $r > 0$ small enough such that $\eta + r < \sigma$ and $A_{\sigma(\eta+r)} \in \mathcal{U}$. Note that $(\eta + r)^2 > 2^{3\alpha}$. Using Theorem A, we obtain a locally constant cocycle $B_m \in \mathcal{U}$, for some $m > 0$, with $\lambda_+(B_m, \mu_p) = 0$.*

Observe that the cocycle $A_{\sigma\eta}$ is fiber-bunched if and only if $\sigma^2 < 2^\alpha$. In this case, by [1], $A_{\sigma\eta}$ is a continuity point for Lyapunov exponents in $C^\alpha(M, \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$. However, in [3], provided that $\sigma^2 > 2^{4\alpha}$ and $\sigma = \eta$, Bocker and Viana show that A_σ is a discontinuity point for Lyapunov exponents in $C^\alpha(M, \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$

with respect to μ_p with $p \notin \{0, 1/2, 1\}$. In their construction, they approximated the cocycle A_σ by cocycles with vanishing Lyapunov exponents in the α -Hölder topology.

The technique construct by Butler in [4] allow him to improve the hypothesis to $\sigma^2 \geq 2^{2\alpha}$ but restricting $p \in (3/4, 1)$. Also, the same result holds if $\sigma \geq 2^{3\alpha}$ and $p \in (2/3, 1)$. He constructs locally constant cocycles arbitrarily close to A_σ with small Lyapunov exponents.

In the case that $\eta < \sigma$, $A_{\sigma\eta}$ is a discontinuity point for Lyapunov exponents in $C^\alpha(M, \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ with respect μ_p provided that

$$(2.5) \quad \eta^2 > 2^{4\alpha} \text{ and } p \notin \{0, 1\}$$


or

$$(2.6) \quad \eta^2 \geq 2^{3\alpha} \text{ and } p \in (2/3, 1)$$

or

$$(2.7) \quad \eta^2 \geq 2^{2\alpha} \text{ and } p \in (3/4, 1)$$

Theorem A improves the condition (2.5). Although the condition about η and α in (2.7) is better than ours, we generalize (2.6) in the sense that $A_{\sigma\eta}$ is a discontinuity point with respect to μ_p for more values of p . In particular, we are able to approximate the original cocycle in Hölder topology by cocycles with zero Lyapunov exponents.



3. Construction

In this section, we prove the theorem A. The proof is an adaptation of construction of Bocker and Viana [3].

First, observe that the Lyapunov exponents of $A_{\sigma\eta}$ are nonzero since $p \neq \frac{\log \eta}{\log \sigma \eta}$. In this case, by Oseledets theorem [6], the space \mathbb{R}^2 admits a direct sum decomposition of invariant subspaces, called the Oseledets subspaces. These subspaces coincide with the vertical and horizontal line bundles $V_x = \mathbb{R}(0, 1)$ and $H_x = \mathbb{R}(1, 0)$ almost everywhere, because the cocycle $A_{\sigma\eta}$ is defined by diagonal matrices.

In order to prove the theorem, we construct a perturbation of $A_{\sigma\eta}$ that, in a specific cylinder, interchanges the expanding and contracting directions of the original cocycle. This technique gives us zero Lyapunov exponents.

Proof of Theorem A. Let $\gamma \in [1, 2)$ and $n = 2k + 1$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us define the cylinder $Z_n = [0; 0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1]$ where the symbol 0 appears k times and the symbol 1, $k + 1$ times. By definition of Z_n , $f^i(Z_n) \cap f^j(Z_n) = \emptyset$ for $0 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$.

Let

$$(3.1) \quad \epsilon_{\gamma, k} = \eta^{-\gamma k} \quad , \quad \delta_{\gamma, k} = \eta^{k(\gamma-2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{\gamma, k} = \eta^{k(2-\gamma)} \sigma^{-2k} = \delta_{\gamma, k}^{-1} \sigma^{-2k}$$

Define $R_{\gamma,n}(x) : M \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(3.2) \quad R_{\gamma,n}(x) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \epsilon_{\gamma,k} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } x \in Z_n \\ c \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\delta_{\gamma,k} \\ \delta_{\gamma,k} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } x \in f^k(Z_n) \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \beta_{\gamma,k} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } x \in f^{2k}(Z_n) \\ Id & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\delta_{\gamma,k}^2}}$. And $B_n(x) = A_{\sigma\eta}(x)R_{\gamma,n}(x)$.

Since, for every $x \in Z_n$,

$$(3.3) \quad B_n^n(x) = c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\eta^k \sigma^{k+1} \delta_{\gamma,k} \\ \eta^k \sigma^{-k-1} (\eta^{-2k} \delta_{\gamma,k} + \epsilon_{\gamma,k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain that

$$(3.4) \quad B_n^n(x)H_x = V_{f^n(x)} \text{ and } B_n^n(x)V_x = H_{f^n(x)} \text{ for every } x \in Z_n$$

Lemma 3.1. *For every $n \geq 1$, $\|A_{\sigma\eta} - B_n\|_\alpha$ is arbitrarily close to zero.*

Proof. Clearly, $\|A_{\sigma\eta} - B_n\|_\infty \leq \sigma \max\{\beta_{\gamma,k}, \delta_{\gamma,k}, \epsilon_{\gamma,k}\}$ and this decays to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

For the second term in the definition (2.3), we consider two cases: if x and y are not in the same cylinder $[0; a]$, with $a \in \{0, 1\}$, then $d(x, y) = 1$. Thus,

$$\frac{\|A_{\sigma\eta}(x) - B_n(x) - A_{\sigma\eta}(y) + B_n(y)\|}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \leq 2\|A_{\sigma\eta} - B_n\|_\infty$$

In the second case, we suppose that x and y belong to the same cylinder. Then, $A_{\sigma\eta}(x) = A_{\sigma\eta}(y)$. If x and y belong to the same $f^i(Z_n)$ with $i \in \{0, k, 2k\}$ or if neither x nor y belong to $Z_n \cup f^k(Z_n) \cup f^{2k}(Z_n)$ then $R_{\gamma,n}(x) = R_{\gamma,n}(y)$ and, consequently, $\|B_n(x) - B_n(y)\| = 0$.

The last possibility occurs when one of the point belongs to some $f^i(Z_n)$ with $i \in \{0, k, 2k\}$ and the other does not. Then we want to estimate

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\|B_n(x) - B_n(y)\|}{d(x, y)^\alpha}$$

in the following cases:

- $x \in Z_n$ and $y \notin Z_n$. In this situation, $N(x, y) \leq 2k$ and $d(x, y)^{-\alpha} \leq 2^{2k\alpha}$. Then,

$$\frac{\|B_n(x) - B_n(y)\|}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \leq \eta \left(\frac{2^{2\alpha}}{\eta^\gamma} \right)^k$$

- $x \in f^{2k}(Z_n)$ and $y \notin f^k(Z_n) \cup f^{2k}(Z_n)$. In this case, once more $N(x, y) \leq 2k$ and, so

$$\frac{\|B_n(x) - B_n(y)\|}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \leq \sigma \left(\frac{2^{2\alpha}\eta^{(2-\gamma)}}{\sigma^2} \right)^k$$

- $x \in f^k(Z_n)$ and $y \in f^{2k}(Z_n)$. Notice that $N(x, y) = 1$ and hence $d(x, y)^\alpha = 2^{-\alpha}$. Thus, (3.5) is bounded by $2^\alpha \sigma(\beta_{\gamma,k} + \delta_{\gamma,k})$. This sum decays to zero when $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- $x \in f^k(Z_n)$ and $y \notin f^k(Z_n) \cup f^{2k}(Z_n)$. By definition of the cylinders, $N(x, y) \leq k$ and $d(x, y)^{-\alpha} \leq 2^{k\alpha}$. Then we obtain

$$\frac{\|B_n(x) - B_n(y)\|}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \leq \sigma \left(\frac{2^\alpha}{\eta^{(2-\gamma)}} \right)^k$$

The claim follows if the next three relations are true:

$$(3.6) \quad 2^{2\alpha} < \eta^\gamma$$

$$(3.7) \quad 2^{2\alpha} < \frac{\sigma^2}{\eta^{(2-\gamma)}}$$

$$(3.8) \quad 2^{2\alpha} < \eta^{2(2-\gamma)}$$

First, observe that (3.6) implies (3.7). Indeed, since $1 \leq \gamma < 2$ and $\eta < \sigma$, then $\eta^{(2-\gamma)} \leq \eta \leq \eta^\gamma < \eta^2 < \sigma^2$. Dividing this by $\eta^{(2-\gamma)}$, we obtain $\eta^{(\gamma-1)} \leq \eta^{2(\gamma-1)} < \eta^\gamma < \frac{\sigma^2}{\eta^{(2-\gamma)}}$.

In this way, we only need that (3.6) and (3.8) are satisfied.

Choosing $\gamma = \frac{4}{3}$, we ask that $2^{3\alpha} < \eta^2$ for these inequalities occur. (Noting that $2(2-\gamma)$ is a decreasing function while γ is an increasing function, we conclude that $\gamma = \frac{4}{3}$ give the best condition about η and α using this construction).

In our method, when $\gamma = 1$ and $\sigma = \eta$ we obtain the Bocker-Viana construction. In that case, we ask that $2^{2\alpha} < \sigma$ [3]. \square

In order to conclude the proof, we want show that $\lambda_+(B_n, \mu_p) = 0$ for every n . The proof of this fact is the same as in [3] and we introduce it in here.

Claim: $\lambda_\pm(B_n, \mu_p) = 0$ for every n .

Proof. Consider μ_n the normalized restriction of μ_p to Z_n and $g_n : Z_n \rightarrow Z_n$ be the first return map (defined on a full measure subset).

Let $w = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 1)$ be a finite word where the first k symbols is 0 and the last $k+1$ symbols is 1, and Ω be the set of all finite words $b = (b_1, \dots, b_s)$ not having w as a subword. Then using the Poincaré Recurrence's theorem:

$$Z_n = \bigsqcup_{b \in \Omega} [0; w, b, w] \quad (\text{up to a zero measure subset})$$

$$g_n | [0; w, b, w] = f^{n+s} | [0; w, b, w] \quad \text{for each } b \in \Omega.$$

Thus, (g_n, μ_n) is an ergodic system. Let $\hat{B}_n : Z_n \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be the cocycle over g_n induced by B_n , that is,

$$\hat{B}_n | [0; w, b, w] = B_n^{n+s} | [0; w, b, w] \quad \text{for each } b \in \Omega.$$

In our setting, we have that for a full measure subset in Z_n (see Proposition 4.18 in [7] for instance)

$$\lambda_\pm(\hat{B}_n, \mu_n) = \frac{1}{\mu_p(Z_n)} \lambda_\pm(B_n, \mu_p)$$

Therefore, we will prove that $\lambda_\pm(\hat{B}_n, \mu_n) = 0$ for every n .

Suppose that $\lambda_\pm(\hat{B}_n, \mu_n) \neq 0$. Then, there is an Oseledets decomposition $\mathbb{R}^2 = E_x^s \oplus E_x^u$, defined almost everywhere in Z_n .

As a direct consequence from (3.4), for all $x \in Z_n$

$$(3.9) \quad \hat{B}_n(x)H_x = V_{g_n(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B}_n(x)V_x = H_{g_n(x)}$$

Let m_n be the probability measure defined on $Z_n \times \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$m_n(X) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_n(\{x \in Z_n : (x, [V_x]) \in X\}) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_n(\{x \in Z_n : (x, [H_x]) \in X\}).$$

In other words, m_n projects down to μ_n and its disintegration is given by

$$x \mapsto \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{H_x} + \delta_{V_x})$$

From (3.9) and using that μ_n is g_n -invariant, the measure m_n is $\mathbb{P}\hat{B}_n$ -invariant, where $\mathbb{P}\hat{B}_n$ is the projective cocycle

$$\mathbb{P}\hat{B}_n : Z_n \times \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow Z_n \times \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}^2, \quad \mathbb{P}\hat{B}_n(x, [v]) = (g_n(x), [\hat{B}_n(x)v])$$

Also, m_n may be written as a linear convex combination $m_n = am_n^s + bm_n^u$, with $a, b \geq 0$ and $a + b = 1$ where m_n^s and m_n^u are probability measures defined on $Z_n \times \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} m_n^s(X) &= \mu_n(\{x \in Z_n : (x, [E_x^s]) \in X\}) \\ m_n^u(X) &= \mu_n(\{x \in Z_n : (x, [E_x^u]) \in X\}). \end{aligned}$$

(see Lemma 5.24 in [7] for instance). Notice that m_n^s and m_n^u are $\mathbb{P}\hat{B}_n$ -invariant since μ_n is g_n -invariant and $\hat{B}_n(x)E_x^s = E_{g_n(x)}^s$, $\hat{B}_n(x)E_x^u = E_{g_n(x)}^u$.

The next step is to prove that m_n is ergodic. This fact implies that m_n must coincide with m_n^s or m_n^u . And it is a contradiction in any case, because the conditional probabilities of m_n are supported on exactly two points on each fiber, whereas the conditional probabilities of both m_n^u and m_n^s are supported on a single point. With this contradiction, we prove the theorem.

Claim: The probability measure m_n is ergodic.

Proof. Suppose that there is an invariant set $Y \subset M \times \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}^2$ with $0 < m_n(Y) < 1$. Let Y_0 be the set of $x \in Z_n$ whose fiber $Y \cap (\{x\} \times \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}^2)$ contains neither H_x nor V_x , that is

$$Y_0 = \{x \in Z_n; (x, H_x) \notin Y \text{ and } (x, V_x) \notin Y\}$$

In view of (3.9), Y_0 is a (g_n, μ_n) -invariant set and so $\mu_n(Y_0) \in \{0, 1\}$. Since $m_n(Y) > 0$, then $\mu_n(\{x \in Z_n : V_x \in Y\}) > 0$ and/or $\mu_n(\{x \in Z_n : H_x \in Y\}) > 0$. Therefore we have $\mu_n(Y_0) = 0$.

Also, consider Y_2 the set of $x \in Z_n$ whose fiber contains both H_x and V_x , that is,

$$Y_2 = \{x \in Z_n; (x, H_x) \in Y \text{ and } (x, V_x) \in Y\}$$

Similarily, $m_n(Y) < 1$ implies that $m_n(Y_2) = 0$. Now let

$$Y_H = \{x \in Z_n; (x, H_x) \in Y \text{ but } (x, V_x) \notin Y\}$$

and

$$Y_V = \{x \in Z_n; (x, V_x) \in Y \text{ but } (x, H_x) \notin Y\}$$

The previous observations show that $\mu_n(Y_H \cup Y_V) = 1$ and it follows from (3.9) that

$$g_n(Y_H) = Y_V \quad \text{and} \quad g_n(Y_V) = Y_H.$$

up to a measure set.

Thus, since m_n is g_n -invariant, $\mu_n(Y_H) = 1/2 = \mu_n(Y_V)$ and $g_n^2(Y_H) = Y_H$ and $g_n^2(Y_V) = Y_V$. This implies that g_n^2 is not ergodic, contradicting the fact that g_n is conjugated with a Bernoulli shift and, in particular, the second iterate g_n^2 must be ergodic. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Backes, A. Brown and C. Butler. Continuity of Lyapunov exponents for cocycles with invariant holonomies. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 12:223-260, 2018.
- [2] J. Bochi. Genericity of zero Lyapunov exponents. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 22(6):1667-1696, 2002.
- [3] C. Bocker-Neto and M. Viana. Continuity of Lyapunov exponents for random two-dimensional matrices. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 37(5):1413-1442, 2017.
- [4] C. Butler. Discontinuity of Lyapunov exponents near fiber bunched cocycles. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 38(2):523-539, 2018.
- [5] H. Furstenberg and H. Kesten. Products of random matrices. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 31(2):457-469, 1960.
- [6] V. I. Oseledets. A multiplicative ergodic theorem, Lyapunov characteristic numbers for dynamical systems *Transactions of the Moscow Mathematical Society*, 19:197-231, 1968.
- [7] M. Viana. Lectures on Lyapunov exponents, volume 145 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.

ICEX, UFMG, AVENIDA ANTONIO CARLOS 6627, 31123-970, BELO HORIZONTE, BRAZIL
Email address: emamani@ufmg.br

ICEX, UFMG, AVENIDA ANTONIO CARLOS 6627, 31123-970, BELO HORIZONTE, BRAZIL
Email address: rss07@ufmg.br