

NON-UNIQUENESS OF HÖLDER CONTINUOUS SOLUTIONS FOR STOCHASTIC EULER AND HYPODISSIPATIVE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

KUSH KINRA AND UJJWAL KOLEY

ABSTRACT. Here we construct infinitely many Hölder continuous global-in-time and stationary solutions to the stochastic Euler and hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations in the space $C(\mathbb{R}; C^\vartheta)$ for $0 < \vartheta < \frac{5}{7}\beta$, with $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$ and $0 < \beta < \min\{\frac{1-2\alpha}{3}, \frac{1}{24}\}$ respectively. A modified stochastic convex integration scheme, using Beltrami flows as building blocks and propagating inductive estimates both pathwise and in expectation, plays a pivotal role to improve the regularity of Hölder continuous solutions for the underlying equations. As a main novelty with respect to the related literature, our result produces solutions with noteworthy Hölder exponents.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Stochastic convex integration set-up and first main result for (1.1)	5
4. Convex integration scheme for (1.1)	8
5. Inductive estimates and proof of Proposition 3.6	13
6. Stationary solutions to stochastic hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations	23
7. 3D stochastic Euler equations	26
Appendix A. Proof of the key results	29
Appendix B. Beltrami waves	34
Appendix C. Estimates for transport equations	35
Appendix D. Useful lemmas	37
References	37

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The equations. In this article, we are interested in Euler and hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations perturbed by additive noise in a three-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^3$. The stochastic hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations are given by

$$\begin{cases} d\mathbf{u} + [\nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \mathbf{u} + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p]dt = dW, & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathbf{u}(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $p(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ represent the velocity field and pressure field, respectively, at time t and position x , and viscosity coefficient $\nu > 0$. Here W is a GG^* -Wiener process on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where G is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator from U to L_σ^2 for some Hilbert space U and L_σ^2 denotes the space of L^2 functions which are mean-free and divergence-free. The dissipation is fractional in the sense that it assumes the form of a fractional power $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ of the Laplacian ([38]), and hypodissipative in the sense that $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Moreover, the stochastic Euler equations are given by

$$\begin{cases} d\mathbf{u} + [\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p]dt = dW, & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^3. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Throughout this work, we focus on analytically weak solutions which satisfies stochastic hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) and stochastic Euler equations (1.2) in the following sense:

Date: July 31, 2024.

Key words and phrases. Stochastic Euler equations; Stochastic Hypo-dissipative Navier-Stokes equations; Stochastic Convex Integration; Non-uniqueness; Stationary solutions.

Definition 1.1. We say that $((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P}), \mathbf{u}, W)$ is an analytically weak solution to the stochastic hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) and stochastic Euler equations (1.2) for $\nu > 0$ and $\nu = 0$, respectively, provided

- (1) $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P})$ is a stochastic basis with a complete right continuous filtration;
- (2) W is an \mathbb{R}^3 -valued, divergence-free and spatial mean free, two-sided GG^* -Wiener process with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$;
- (3) the velocity $\mathbf{u} \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^3)$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. and is $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted;
- (4) for every $-\infty < s \leq t < \infty$, the following holds \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{u}(t), v \rangle + \int_s^t \langle \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}(r) \otimes \mathbf{u}(r)), v \rangle dr \\ = \langle \mathbf{u}(s), v \rangle - \nu \int_s^t \langle (-\Delta)^\alpha \mathbf{u}(r), v \rangle dr + \langle W(t) - W(s), v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} v = 0$.

Our first aim is to prove non-uniqueness of solutions in the class of Hölder continuous functions under suitable assumption on noise. Secondly, we prove the existence and non-uniqueness of global stationary solutions in the Hölder space. Here, stationarity is interpreted in terms of the shift invariance of laws governing solutions on the space of trajectories (see Definition 1.2 below and [22]). To be more specific, we define the joint trajectory space for the solution and the driving Wiener process as follows:

$$\mathcal{T} := C(\mathbb{R}; C^\kappa) \times C(\mathbb{R}; C^\kappa),$$

for some $\kappa > 0$. Also let S_t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a shifts on trajectories given by

$$S_t(\mathbf{u}, W)(\cdot) := (\mathbf{u}(\cdot + t), W(\cdot + t) - W(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\mathbf{u}, W) \in \mathcal{T}.$$

We observe that the shift in the second component operates differently to ensure that for a Wiener process W , the shift $S_t W$ remains a Wiener process.

Definition 1.2. We say that $((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P}), \mathbf{u}, W)$ is a stationary solution to the hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) and stochastic Euler equations (1.2) provided it satisfies (1.1) and (1.2), respectively, in the sense of Definition 1.1 and its law is shift invariant, that is,

$$\mathcal{L}[S_t(\mathbf{u}, W)] = \mathcal{L}[\mathbf{u}, W], \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

1.2. Convex integration related results. Convex integration has its beginnings, at least in the deterministic setting, in the work of Nash [33] and Kuiper [28] on C^1 -isometric embeddings of Riemannian manifolds. In the context of fluid flow models, convex integration techniques have been used successfully by De Lellis and Székelyhidi [14] to construct non-unique weak solutions for the incompressible Euler equations in the class of L^∞ functions. Since their method was based on Tartar's plane wave analysis ([39]), it was not supporting to construct continuous solutions. A significant advancement occurred when De Lellis and Székelyhidi ([15]) made use of Beltrami waves (introduced in [8] long back) as building blocks. Later, in [16], they were able to construct infinitely many weak solutions in the Hölder space $C^{\frac{1}{10}-}$ with the help of Beltrami waves, which proved to be a significant step towards well-known Onsager's conjecture ([34]). Finally, the author in [25] was able to provide a rigorous proof of Onsager's conjecture, see [3] also. A new class of construction blocks, known as Mikado flows, was taken into consideration by Daneri and Székelyhidi in [12], which led to significant advancements over the original techniques. A short while later, the authors in [4] presented an additional kind of building blocks, known as intermittent Beltrami flows, to demonstrate the non-uniqueness of weak solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations. We also refer readers to [5] for a detailed study of convex integration techniques.

Given this long list of deterministic results on non-uniqueness, one would naturally wonder if the situation is different when stochastic forces are present. In fact, it is a well-known that certain PDEs can be regularized by applying random forces to the equation under the right circumstances. This is because some ill-posed deterministic problems have well-posed stochastic counterparts. To put it briefly, the phenomenon of regularization by noise occurs when there is enough active noise, acting non-trivially in multiple directions, to push solutions away from singularities in the underlying vector field, see [1, 6, 18, 19], etc.

Given these outcomes, the ill-posedness results from convex integration techniques previously described did not exclude the possibility that their stochastic counterparts could be well-posed. But, the authors in [24] dashed widespread expectations that (pathwise) uniqueness may exist for well-known fluid dynamical stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs). More precisely, the authors in [24] introduced a stochastic version of convex integration technique and establish the non-uniqueness in law of stochastic 3D Navier-Stokes equations. Note that

the authors in [24] used stopping time arguments to produce the required result. Later, many mathematicians followed the work [24] and obtained non-uniqueness of many other stochastic models, see [10, 21, 23, 27, 30, 37, 41], and references therein. Thereafter, the authors in [10] develop a new stochastic convex integration scheme which allow us to avoid stopping time arguments, see also [22, 31], etc. More specifically, the authors in [10] were able to iterate inductive estimates in expectation in the stochastic convex integration scheme and utilized stationary Mikado flows as building blocks. Recently, the authors in [31] used Beltrami waves as building blocks and iterated inductive estimates both pathwise as well as in expectation in their inductive scheme. This simplifies the computations and enhance the regularity of the solutions.

The goal of this work is to implement the concepts of [10, 31] to stochastic hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations as well as stochastic Euler equations. In particular, inspired by the work of [10, 31], we demonstrate existence of infinitely many *global (in-time)* solutions by implementing the idea of iterating both pathwise estimates and expectation estimates in the inductive scheme. Note that convex integration techniques have also been applied to the fractional (hypodissipative as well as hyperdissipative) Navier-Stokes equations, in two and three spatial dimensions, and in both the deterministic and stochastic cases, we refer the readers to the works [7, 17, 32, 40], and references therein. Moreover, the Euler equations (deterministic and stochastic) has a rich literature in the context of convex integration, we refer readers to the works [2, 3, 5, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 30, 31], and references therein.

1.3. Scope of the paper. As already studied in the literature, one can establish existence of infinitely many global and stationary solutions to the three-dimensional stochastic Euler equations perturbed by an additive noise in L^2 ([22]) and C^ϑ for some small $\vartheta > 0$ ([31]) as well. More specifically, very recent works [30, 31] by Lü et. al. established existence of Hölder continuous solutions for the system (1.2) which is far away from the $C^{\frac{1}{3}-}$ regularity (see Remark 1.5 below). However, for deterministic Euler equations, it has been established in [3, 25] that one can construct the solutions belonging to the Hölder space $C^{\frac{1}{3}-}$. Therefore, in this context, there is a huge gap between results on deterministic and stochastic Euler equations, and our main aim is to bridge this gap. Indeed, in an attempt to reduce the gap, our first main result focuses on the non-uniqueness of the global ϑ -Hölder continuous solutions to the stochastic hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) and stochastic Euler equations (1.2) for some noteworthy Hölder exponent $\vartheta > 0$. We also mention that, convex integration solutions for stochastic hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations have been discussed in [37, 41], and these results are based on the stopping time arguments. Let us now state our first main result for (1.1) and (1.2), whereas the proofs follow from Theorems 3.8 and 7.5, respectively.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}GG^*) < \infty$ for some $\sigma \geq 1$, then there exist infinitely many analytically weak solutions to (1.1) in the sense of Definition 1.1 with $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ being the normal filtration generated by the Wiener process W , which belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}; C^\vartheta)$ for any $\vartheta \in (0, \frac{5}{7}\beta)$ with $\beta \in (0, \min\{\frac{1-2\alpha}{3}, \frac{1}{24}\})$.*

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that $\text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}GG^*) < \infty$ for some $\sigma \geq 1$, then there exist infinitely many analytically weak solutions to (1.2) in the sense of Definition 1.1 with $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ being the normal filtration generated by the Wiener process W , which belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}; C^\vartheta)$ for any $\vartheta \in (0, \frac{5}{7}\beta)$ with $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{24})$.*

Remark 1.5. *Here, we shall mention the difference between the previous works and this work for stochastic Euler equations. In the work [30] (see [31, Remark 1.3]), the author demonstrate the existence of Hölder continuous solutions to system (1.2) with $\vartheta \in (0, \min\{\frac{\sigma}{73 \cdot (62)^\sigma}, \frac{1}{7 \cdot (62)^\sigma}\})$, whereas in [31], the authors obtained similar result for $\vartheta \in (0, \min\{\frac{\sigma}{120 \cdot 7^\sigma}, \frac{1}{3 \cdot 7^\sigma}\})$. In this work, we have constructed solutions to system (1.2) for any $\vartheta \in (0, \frac{5}{7}\beta)$ with $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{24})$.*

The second result establishes the existence and non-uniqueness of stationary solutions to stochastic hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) and stochastic Euler equations (1.2) in the Hölder space. Let us now state our second main result for (1.1) and (1.2).

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose that $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}GG^*) < \infty$ for some $\sigma \geq 1$, then there exist infinitely many stationary solutions to (1.1) in the sense of Definition 1.2. Furthermore, solution \mathbf{u} belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}; C^\vartheta)$ for any $\vartheta \in (0, \frac{5}{7}\beta)$ with $\beta \in (0, \min\{\frac{1-2\alpha}{3}, \frac{1}{24}\})$ satisfying*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{C^\vartheta} \right] < \infty.$$

Theorem 1.7. *Suppose that $\text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}GG^*) < \infty$ for some $\sigma \geq 1$, then there exist infinitely many stationary solutions to (1.2) in the sense of Definition 1.2. Furthermore, solution \mathbf{u} belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}; C^\vartheta)$ for any $\vartheta \in (0, \frac{5}{7}\beta)$*

with $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{24})$ satisfying

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{C^\beta} \right] < \infty.$$

1.4. Organization of the article. The organization of the rest of the article is as follows: In Section 2, we assemble the basic notations that are utilized throughout the paper. Our proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are centered around Sections 3, 4, and 5, where stochastic convex integration is developed and employed to construct analytically weak solutions. In Section 3, we state the main Proposition 3.6 to prove our main Theorem 3.8. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the construction of stochastic convex integration with pathwise estimates, particularly, proof of iteration Proposition 3.6. In Section 6, we establish the existence of non-unique stationary solutions to the stochastic hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations (1.1), where we have used the results obtained in Section 3 and a Krylov–Bogoliubov’s argument. The existence of infinitely many global and stationary solutions to the three-dimensional stochastic Euler equations (1.2) has been demonstrated in Section 7. In Appendix A, we provide the proof of some key results which we use in the sequel. In Appendix B, we recall the construction and properties of Beltrami waves from [5]. In Appendix C, we discuss the estimates for transport equations which are heavily used in the proof of Proposition 3.6. In Appendix D, we provide some lemmas which are useful in the sequel.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In the sequel, we use the notation $a \lesssim b$ if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $a \leq cb$.

2.1. Function spaces. Given a Banach space \mathbb{X} with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote $C_t \mathbb{X} := C([t, t+1]; \mathbb{X})$ as the space of continuous functions from $[t, t+1]$ to \mathbb{X} , equipped with the supremum norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C_t \mathbb{X}} := \sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{\mathbb{X}}.$$

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we use $C_t^\alpha \mathbb{X}$ to denote the space of α -Hölder continuous functions from $[t, t+1]$ to \mathbb{X} , endowed with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C_t^\alpha \mathbb{X}} := \sup_{s, r \in [t, t+1], s \neq r} \frac{\|\mathbf{u}(s) - \mathbf{u}(r)\|_{\mathbb{X}}}{|s - r|^\alpha} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{C_t \mathbb{X}}.$$

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we also write $C^\alpha := C^\alpha(\mathbb{T}^3)$ as the space of α -Hölder continuous functions from \mathbb{T}^3 to \mathbb{R}^3 endowed with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C^\alpha} := \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{T}^3, x \neq y} \frac{|\mathbf{u}(x) - \mathbf{u}(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^3} |\mathbf{u}(x)|.$$

We denote L^p as the set of standard L^p -integrable functions from \mathbb{T}^3 to \mathbb{R}^3 . For $s > 0$ and $p > 1$, the Sobolev space

$$\mathbb{W}^{s,p} := \{\mathbf{u} \in L^p; \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{W}^{s,p}} := \|(\text{Id} - \Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^p} < \infty\}.$$

We set

$$L_\sigma^2 := \{\mathbf{u} \in L^2; \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \mathbf{u}(x) dx = \mathbf{0}, \text{div} \mathbf{u} = 0\}.$$

For $s > 0$, we also denote $\mathbb{H}^s := \mathbb{W}^{s,2} \cap L_\sigma^2$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}$, we denote the space of C^N -functions from $[t, t+1] \times \mathbb{T}^3$ and $D \times \mathbb{T}^3$ to \mathbb{R}^3 , respectively, by $C_{t,x}^N$ and $C_{D,x}^N$, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The spaces are equipped with the norms

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C_{t,x}^N} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n + |\alpha| \leq N \\ n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3}} \|\partial_t^n D^\alpha \mathbf{u}\|_{L_{[t,t+1]}^\infty L^\infty}, \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|_{C_{D,x}^N} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n + |\alpha| \leq N \\ n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3}} \sup_{t \in D} \|\partial_t^n D^\alpha \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Remark 2.1. We use $\hat{\otimes}$ to denote the trace-free part of the tensor product. For a tensor T , we denote its trace-free part by $\hat{T} := T - \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}(T) \text{Id}$.

2.2. Inverse divergence operator \mathcal{R} . Let us recall the definition of inverse divergence operator \mathcal{R} from [15, Definition 4.2]. The inverse divergence operator \mathcal{R} acts on a vector field $\mathbf{u} = (u^1, u^2, u^3)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \mathbf{u}(x) dx = \mathbf{0}$ as

$$(\mathcal{R}\mathbf{u})^{ij} = (\partial_i \Delta^{-1} u^j + \partial_j \Delta^{-1} u^i) - \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{ij} + \partial_i \partial_j \Delta^{-1}) \text{div} \Delta^{-1} \mathbf{u},$$

for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We also know that $\mathcal{R}\mathbf{u}(x)$ is a symmetric trace-free matrix for each $x \in \mathbb{T}^3$, and \mathcal{R} is a right inverse of the div operator, that is, $\text{div}(\mathcal{R}\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u}$. Interestingly, from [9, Theorem B.3], we also have for $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\|\mathcal{R}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p}. \quad (2.1)$$

2.3. Probabilistic elements. For a given probability measure \mathbb{P} , we use \mathbb{E} to denote the expectation under \mathbb{P} . Concerning the driving noise, we assume that W is \mathbb{R}^3 -valued two-sided GG^* -Wiener process with spatial mean zero and divergence-free. This process is defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where G is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator from U to L^2_σ for some Hilbert space U .

Given a Banach space $\mathbb{X} = C(\mathbb{T}^3)$ or $\mathbb{X} = C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^3)$ for some $\kappa > 0$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, we denote

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{X}, p}^p := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{\mathbb{X}}^p \right], \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \mathbb{X}, p}^p := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \mathbb{X}}^p \right].$$

The above norms denote function spaces of random variables on Ω taking values in $C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{X})$ and $C^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{X})$, respectively, with bounds in $L^p(\Omega; C(I; \mathbb{X}))$ and $L^p(\Omega; C^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}(I; \mathbb{X}))$ for bounded interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Importantly, the bounds solely depend on the length of the interval I and are independent of its location within \mathbb{R} . In addition, we define the corresponding norms with \mathbb{X} replaced by L^1 and $\mathbb{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\kappa}$ for some $\kappa > 0$. In the subsequent discussion, we refer to the property that \mathbf{u} has a uniform moment of order p locally in $C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^3)$ as $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C^0, p} < \infty$.

3. STOCHASTIC CONVEX INTEGRATION SET-UP AND FIRST MAIN RESULT FOR (1.1)

In order to avoid the stopping time arguments as discussed in the literature (see [21, 23, 24, 37, 41], etc. and references therein), the authors in [10] introduced a new version of stochastic convex integration which allow us to obtain solution on whole real line \mathbb{R} , see also [22, 31], etc. Inspired by aforementioned works, we are also interested in finding the solution on whole real line \mathbb{R} . More precisely, the authors in [10] used stationary Mikado flows as building blocks and measured the iterative estimates in expectations in stochastic convex integration scheme. Due to the quadratic nature of the nonlinear term in the equation, it must estimate higher moments at step q than the moment bounds necessary at step $q+1$. It could be inferred from this that one has to bound all finite moments at every step, which could potentially blow up during iterations. Recently, the authors in [31] used Beltrami waves as building blocks similar to the deterministic case as presented in [5], therefore they introduced pathwise as well as expectation estimates in their inductive scheme. In this work, we also use Beltrami waves as building blocks (see Appendix B) to construct the velocity perturbation. To be more precise, this concept uses the cutoff method to regulate the noise growth, which allows pathwise estimates to be introduced throughout the inductive scheme. The desired uniform estimates are derived by combining this with moment bounds. Compared to only using moment bounds in the inductive scheme in [10, 22], the advantage of this approach is that it only requires lower moments of the solutions, and avoids the requirements for higher moments. This modification helps us to enhance the regularity of the solutions also.

Next, our aim is to develop an iterative process that will enable us to prove Proposition 3.6. For this purpose, we decompose stochastic hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) into two parts, one is linear and involves the noise, whereas the second one is a random partial differential equation. In particular, we decompose $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z}$ such that \mathbf{z} solves the following stochastic linear system:

$$\begin{cases} d\mathbf{z} + [\nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z}]dt = dW \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{z} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where W is \mathbb{R}^3 -valued two-sided trace-class GG^* -Wiener process with spatial mean zero and divergence-free, and \mathbf{v} solves the following non-linear random system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div}((\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z}) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z})) - \mathbf{z} + \nabla p = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Here, \mathbf{z} is divergence-free by the assumptions on the noise W and we denote the pressure term associated to \mathbf{v} by p .

In view of the factorization method, one can obtain the regularity of \mathbf{z} on a given stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P})$ with $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ being the usual filtration. Particularly, we prove the following result for regularity of \mathbf{z} using the similar arguments as [11, Theorem 5.16]. The proof of the following proposition is presented in Appendix A.1.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $\operatorname{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-\alpha} GG^*) < \infty$ for some $\sigma > 0$. Then for any $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $p \geq 2$*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{z}\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C^\sigma}^p \right] \lesssim \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{z}\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} H^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}}^p \right] \leq (p-1)^{\frac{p}{2}} L^p, \quad (3.3)$$

where $L \geq 1$ depends on $\operatorname{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-\alpha} GG^*)$, δ and is independent of p .

3.1. Iterative proposition. Now, we apply the convex integration method to the nonlinear equation (3.2). The convex integration iteration is indexed by a parameter $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We consider an increasing sequence $\{\lambda_q\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ which diverges to ∞ , and a bounded sequence $\{\delta_q\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ which decreases to 0. We choose $a \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and let

$$\lambda_q = a^{b^q}, \quad \delta_1 = 3rL^2, \quad \delta_q = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2^{2\beta}\lambda_q^{-2\beta}, \quad q \geq 2.$$

At each step q , a pair $(\mathbf{v}_q, \mathring{R}_q)$ is constructing solving the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v}_q + \nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \mathbf{v}_q + \operatorname{div}((\mathbf{v}_q + \mathbf{z}_q) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_q + \mathbf{z}_q)) - \mathbf{z}_q + \nabla p_q = \operatorname{div} \mathring{R}_q \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_q = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

In the above, we define

$$\mathbf{z}_q(t, x) = \chi_q(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(t)\|_{C_x^0}) \tilde{\chi}_q(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(t)\|_{C_x^1}) \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(t, x), \quad (3.5)$$

where χ_q and $\tilde{\chi}_q$ are non-increasing smooth functions such that

$$\chi_q(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon}], \\ 0, & x \in (\frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}}, \infty), \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\chi}_q(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [0, \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon}], \\ 0, & x \in (\lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}}, \infty), \end{cases}$$

with their derivatives bounded by 1, which requires

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} - \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}} - \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon}} \leq 1, \quad (3.6)$$

and $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q = \mathbb{P}_{\leq f(q)} \mathbf{z}$ with $f(q) = \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Here $\mathbb{P}_{\leq f(q)}$ is the Fourier multiplier operator, which projects a function onto its Fourier frequencies $\leq f(q)$ in absolute value, and ε is another small parameter (see Subsection 4.1). \mathring{R}_q on the right hand side of (3.4) is a 3×3 matrix which is trace-free and we put the trace part into the pressure.

Remark 3.2. Notice that the cutoff function (3.5) introduced in this article is different from the cutoff function used in [31] and plays a crucial role to enhance the regularity of the solution.

Remark 3.3. By the definition of \mathbf{z}_q , we have

$$\|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^0 C_x^1} \leq \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Remark 3.4. By the Sobolev embedding $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\kappa}}$ for $\kappa > 0$ and (3.3), we observe that for any $p \geq 2$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$

$$\|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^{0,p}} \lesssim \|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q\|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+\kappa,p}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{z}\|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+\kappa,p}} \lesssim (p-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} L, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^{1,p}} \lesssim \|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q\|_{C^{1,p}} \lesssim f(q) \|\mathbf{z}\|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+\kappa,p}} \lesssim (p-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} L \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C_x^{0,p}} \lesssim \|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C_x^{1,2p}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C_x^{0,2p}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} H^{\frac{3}{2}+\kappa,2p}}^2 \lesssim (2p-1) L^2 \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C_x^{1,p}} \lesssim \|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C_x^{1,2p}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C_x^{1,2p}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} H^{\frac{3}{2}+\kappa,2p}}^2 \lesssim (2p-1) L^2 \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}}. \quad (3.11)$$

The above estimates (3.8)-(3.11) will be used in the sequel. Let us also give an estimate on $\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q$, which will be used in the sequel. The proof of the following lemma is given in Appendix A.2.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose that $\operatorname{Tr} \left((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-\alpha} G G^* \right) < \infty$ for some $\sigma \geq 1$. For any $p \geq 2$, we have for $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{3}$,

$$\|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^{0,p}} \lesssim p L^2 \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)}. \quad (3.12)$$

Under the above assumptions, we present the following iteration proposition which plays a crucial role to prove the main results of this work. The proof of the following proposition is provided in Sections 4 and 5.

Proposition 3.6. Suppose that $\operatorname{Tr} \left((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-\alpha} G G^* \right) < \infty$ for some $\sigma \geq 1$ and let $r > 1$ be fixed. Then, for any $\beta \in (0, \min\{\frac{1-2\alpha}{3}, \frac{1}{24}\})$, there exists $a_0 > 1$ such that for any $a > a_0$ the following holds: Let $(\mathbf{v}_q, \mathring{R}_q)$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be an $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted solution to the system (3.4) satisfying

$$\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^1} \leq \lambda_q^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} \leq 1 - \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C^{0,r}} \leq \delta_{q+1}. \quad (3.17)$$

There exists an $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted process $(\mathbf{v}_{q+1}, \mathring{R}_{q+1})$ which solves the system (3.4), obeys (3.13)-(3.17) at the level $q+1$ and satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} \leq \bar{M} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.18)$$

where \bar{M} is a universal constant which will be fixed throughout the iteration.

Proposition 3.6 helps us to prove the existence of an analytically weak solution in the sense of Definition 1.1, while the next proposition would help us to prove that such solutions are infinitely many. For that purpose, we will need the following convention:

- Given an interval $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and a function f on $\mathcal{I} \times \mathbb{T}^3$, $\text{supp}_t(f)$ will denote its temporal support, namely
$$\text{supp}_t(f) := \{t : \text{there exists } x \text{ with } f(x) \neq 0\}.$$
- Given an interval $\mathcal{I} = [a, b]$, $|\mathcal{I}|$ will denote its length $(b - a)$ and $\mathcal{I} + c$ will denote the concentric enlarged interval $(a - c, b + c)$.

The proof of the following proposition is provided in Appendix A.3 which is based on the idea given in [13].

Proposition 3.7 (Bifurcating inductive proposition). *Let $(\mathbf{v}_q, \mathring{R}_q)$ be as in the statement of Proposition 3.6. For any interval $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $|\mathcal{I}| \geq 3m_q$ (where m_q is defined in (4.6)), we can produce a first pair $(\mathbf{v}_{q+1}, \mathring{R}_{q+1})$ and a second pair $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}, \tilde{\mathring{R}}_{q+1})$ which share the same initial data, satisfy the same conclusions of Proposition 3.6 and additionally*

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}\|_{L_x^{2,2}} \geq \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{supp}_t(\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}) \subset \mathcal{I}. \quad (3.19)$$

Moreover, if we are given two pairs $(\mathbf{v}_q, \mathring{R}_q)$ and $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_q, \tilde{\mathring{R}}_q)$ satisfying (3.13)-(3.17) and

$$\text{supp}_t(\mathbf{v}_q - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_q, \mathring{R}_q - \tilde{\mathring{R}}_q) \subset \mathcal{J},$$

for some interval $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{R}$, we can exhibit corrected counterparts $(\mathbf{v}_{q+1}, \mathring{R}_{q+1})$ and $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}, \tilde{\mathring{R}}_{q+1})$ again satisfying the same conclusion of Proposition 3.6 together with the following control on the support of their difference:

$$\text{supp}_t(\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}, \mathring{R}_{q+1} - \tilde{\mathring{R}}_{q+1}) \subset \mathcal{J} + \lambda_q^{-\frac{8}{5}}.$$

The iteration starts at $\mathbf{v}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathring{R}_0 = -\mathcal{R}z_0 + z_0 \otimes z_0$, where \mathcal{R} denotes the inverse-divergence operator. It implies that (3.13)-(3.15) are satisfied at the level $q = 0$ immediately. From (3.7), we have

$$\|\mathring{R}_0\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \|z_0\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^2 + \|z_0\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \frac{1}{4} \lambda_0^{\frac{2}{3}} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_0^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq \lambda_0^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

and from (3.8), we get

$$\|\mathring{R}_0\|_{C^{0,r}} \leq \|z_0\|_{C^{0,2r}}^2 + \|z_0\|_{C^{0,r}} \leq 2rL^2 + rL \leq \delta_1.$$

Hence (3.16) and (3.17) are satisfied at the level $q = 0$.

3.2. Main result. We have just established the first iteration. Therefore, in view of Proposition 3.6, we demonstrate the following result for the system (1.1):

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose that $\text{Tr}\left((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2} + \sigma - \alpha} GG^*\right) < \infty$ for some $\sigma \geq 1$ and let $r > 1$ be fixed. Then, for any $\beta \in (0, \min\{\frac{1-2\alpha}{3}, \frac{1}{24}\})$, there exist infinitely many $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted process $\mathbf{u}(\cdot)$ which belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}; C^\vartheta)$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. for $\vartheta \in (0, \frac{5}{7}\beta)$ and is an analytically weak solution to (1.1) in the sense of Definition 1.1. Moreover, the solutions satisfies*

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C^\vartheta, 2r} < \infty. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. As we know the existence of starting pair $(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathring{R}_0)$, we can obtain a sequence of solutions $(\mathbf{v}_q, \mathring{R}_q)$ in view of Proposition 3.6. By (3.14), (3.18) and interpolation inequality, we establish that for any $\vartheta \in (0, \frac{5}{7}\beta)$, the following series is summable

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \geq 0} \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^\vartheta, 2r} &\leq \sum_{q \geq 0} \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^0, 2r}^{1-\vartheta} \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^1, 2r}^\vartheta \\ &\lesssim \sum_{q \geq 0} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1-\vartheta}{2}} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{7\vartheta}{5}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{\vartheta}{2}} \leq \sqrt{3r} L a^{\frac{7}{5}b\vartheta} + \lambda_2^\beta \sum_{q \geq 1} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{7}{5}\vartheta - \beta} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists an limiting function $\mathbf{v} = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{v}_q$ which lies in $L^{2r}(\Omega; C(\mathbb{R}; C^\vartheta))$. Since \mathbf{v}_q is $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted for every $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the limit \mathbf{v} is $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted as well. Now, combing (3.9), (3.12) and interpolation inequality, we demonstrate that for the same ϑ as above and any $p \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \geq 0} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^\vartheta, p} &\leq \sum_{q \geq 0} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^0, p}^{1-\vartheta} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^1, p}^\vartheta \\ &\lesssim \sum_{q \geq 0} \left[pL^2 \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)} \right]^{1-\vartheta} \left[(p-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} L \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} \right]^\vartheta = [pL^2]^{1-\vartheta} \left[(p-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} L \right]^\vartheta \sum_{q \geq 0} \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)(1-\vartheta) + \frac{2\vartheta}{3}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $\vartheta < \frac{\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}$. Then we obtain $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{z}_q = \mathbf{z}$ in $L^p(\Omega; C(\mathbb{R}; C^\vartheta))$ for any $p \geq 2$. Furthermore, it also follows from (3.17) that $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathring{R}_q = 0$ in $L^1(\Omega; C(\mathbb{R}; C^0))$. Thus \mathbf{v} is an analytically weak solution to (3.2). Hence $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z}$ is an $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted analytically weak solution to (1.1) and the estimate (3.20) holds for \mathbf{u} .

On the other hand, fix $\bar{q} \in \mathbb{N}$. At the \bar{q} -th step using Proposition 3.7, we can produce two distinct pairs, one which we keep denoting as above and the other which we denote by $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\bar{q}}, \tilde{\mathring{R}}_{\bar{q}})$ and satisfies (3.19), namely

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{q}} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\bar{q}}\|_{L_x^2, 2} \geq \delta_{\bar{q}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{supp}_t(\mathbf{v}_{\bar{q}} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\bar{q}}) \subset \mathcal{I},$$

with $\mathcal{I} = (10, 10+3m_{\bar{q}-1})$. Applying now the Proposition (3.6), we can build a new sequence $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_q, \tilde{\mathring{R}}_q)$ of approximate solutions which satisfy (3.13)-(3.17) and (3.18), inductively. Analogously as above, this second sequence converges to an analytically weak solution (in the sense of Definition 1.1) $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ to system (1.1). We also remark that for any $q \geq \bar{q}$,

$$\text{supp}_t(\mathbf{v}_q - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_q) \subset \mathcal{I} + \sum_{q=\bar{q}}^{\infty} \lambda_q^{-\frac{8}{5}} \subset [9, \infty),$$

where we choose a to be even larger than chosen above, if necessary, and hence $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_q$ shares initial data with \mathbf{v}_q for all q . Consequently, two solutions $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_q$ and \mathbf{v}_q have the same initial data. However, the new solution $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ differs from \mathbf{v} because

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}\|_{L_x^2, 2} &\geq \|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{q}} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\bar{q}}\|_{L_x^2, 2} - \sum_{q=\bar{q}+1}^{\infty} \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q - (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_q)\|_{L_x^2, 2} \\ &\geq \|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{q}} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\bar{q}}\|_{L_x^2, 2} - (2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{q=\bar{q}+1}^{\infty} (\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^0, 2} + \|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_q\|_{C^0, 2}) \geq \delta_{\bar{q}}^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{M} \sum_{q=\bar{q}+1}^{\infty} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we choose a sufficiently large such that $\delta_{\bar{q}}^{\frac{1}{2}} > 2(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{M} \sum_{q=\bar{q}+1}^{\infty} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By changing the choice of time interval \mathcal{I} and the choice of \bar{q} , we can easily generate infinitely many solutions. This completes the proof. \square

4. CONVEX INTEGRATION SCHEME FOR (1.1)

The main aim of this section is to give the proof of Proposition 3.6, that is, for a given pair $(\mathbf{v}_q, \mathring{R}_q)$ satisfying the iterations (3.13)-(3.17), there exists a pair $(\mathbf{v}_{q+1}, \mathring{R}_{q+1})$ satisfying the iterations (3.13)-(3.17) at the level $q+1$ and (3.18). First of all, we fix the parameters during the construction and continue with a mollification step. The construction of required pair is based on the work [31] where the authors adapted the ideas developed in the articles [5] and [22]. In order to define new iteration \mathbf{v}_{q+1} , we define new perturbation ω_{q+1} such that $\mathbf{v}_{q+1} = \mathbf{v}_q + \omega_{q+1}$. More precisely, the construction of new amplitude functions a_ζ is an modification of the amplitude functions considered in [22] (see (4.11) and (4.12) below), meanwhile, we incorporate the solutions for transport equations into Beltrami waves to obtain an acceptable transport error similar to [5]. As we require pathwise positive lower bounds of the solutions to the transport equations, we introduce a cutoff function (3.5) to control the growth of

noise. Moreover, we also add pathwise estimates of the velocity field \mathbf{v}_q in inductive iteration in Proposition 3.6. Finally, we construct new stress \mathring{R}_{q+1} with the help of \mathbf{v}_q and ω_{q+1} .

4.1. Choice of parameters. We fix $b = 2$ and choose any $0 < \beta < \min\{\frac{1-2\alpha}{3}, \frac{1}{24}\}$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{24} - \beta$. The parameter $a \in 2^{3\mathbb{N}}$ is chosen sufficiently large such that $a^{\frac{1}{3}} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $224 < a^\varepsilon$. The choice of a ensures $f(q) \in \mathbb{N}$ and both the inequalities in (3.6) hold. The above requirements for parameters will be used in the following sections. In the sequel, we increase a in order to absorb various implicit and universal constants.

4.2. Mollification. In order to guarantee smoothness throughout the construction, we replace \mathbf{v}_q by a mollified velocity field \mathbf{v}_ℓ . For this purpose, we choose a small parameter

$$\ell = \lambda_q^{-\frac{8}{5}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Let $\{\psi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ be a family of mollifiers on \mathbb{R}^3 , and $\{\psi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ be a family of mollifiers with support in $(0, 1)$. Note that we have considered one-sided mollifiers to reserve the adaptedness. Let us define a mollification of \mathbf{v}_q , \mathring{R}_q and \mathbf{z}_q in space and time by convolution as follows:

$$\mathbf{v}_\ell := (\mathbf{v}_q *_x \psi_\ell) *_t \psi_\ell, \quad \mathring{R}_\ell := (\mathring{R}_q *_x \psi_\ell) *_t \psi_\ell, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{z}_\ell := (\mathbf{z}_q *_x \psi_\ell) *_t \psi_\ell,$$

where

$$\psi_\ell(\cdot) := \ell^{-3} \psi\left(\frac{\cdot}{\ell}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_\ell(\cdot) := \ell^{-1} \psi\left(\frac{\cdot}{\ell}\right). \quad (4.2)$$

By the definition, it is immediate to see that \mathbf{v}_ℓ , \mathring{R}_ℓ and \mathbf{z}_ℓ are $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted. From system (3.4), we find that $(\mathbf{v}_\ell, \mathring{R}_\ell)$ satisfy the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v}_\ell + \nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \mathbf{v}_\ell + \operatorname{div}((\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)) + \nabla p_\ell - \mathbf{z}_\ell = \operatorname{div}(\mathring{R}_\ell + R_{com1}) \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_\ell = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

where the commutator stress

$$R_{com1} := (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) - ((\mathbf{v}_q + \mathbf{z}_q) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_q + \mathbf{z}_q)) *_x \psi_\ell *_t \psi_\ell, \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$p_\ell := (p_q *_x \psi_\ell) *_t \psi_\ell - \frac{1}{3} (|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell|^2 - (|\mathbf{v}_q + \mathbf{z}_q|^2 *_x \psi_\ell) *_t \psi_\ell). \quad (4.5)$$

4.3. Velocity perturbation. It is well known from the literature of convex integration methods that one needs to guarantee of smoothness of new velocity throughout the construction. Therefore, the new velocity field \mathbf{v}_{q+1} will be constructed as a perturbation of \mathbf{v}_ℓ :

$$\mathbf{v}_{q+1} = \mathbf{v}_\ell + \omega_{q+1},$$

where the perturbation ω_{q+1} will be constructed by following the work [5]. Next, we discuss transport equations and time cutoffs which will be helpful in the sequel to construct ω_{q+1} .

4.3.1. Flow maps and cutoffs. In order to estimate the new stress \mathring{R}_{q+1} , one needs to estimate the transport error, that is, the perturbation ω_{q+1} is transported by the flow of the vector field $\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla$. The process of estimating the transport error has been discussed in [5] and [31] for deterministic and stochastic cases, respectively. As discussed in [5, 31], to obtain the appropriate estimate, we require to replace the linear phase $\zeta \cdot x$ in the definition of Beltrami wave $\mathbb{W}_{\zeta, \lambda}$ (see Appendix B) by the nonlinear phase $\zeta \cdot \Phi(t, x)$, where Φ is transported by the aforementioned vector field. In compare to the work [5], the authors in [31] expanded the definition of Φ for whole real line with respect to the time variable and we are also following the same.

Let us fix

$$m_q = \lambda_{q+1}^{-\frac{3}{4}} \lambda_q^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{q+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_q^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (4.6)$$

For any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we subdivide $[k, k+1]$ into time intervals of size m_q and solve transport equations on these intervals. For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, [m_q^{-1}]\}$, we define the adapted map $\Phi_{k,j} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times [k + (j-1)m_q, k + (j+1)m_q] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ as the \mathbb{T}^3 -periodic solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \Phi_{k,j} = 0, \\ \Phi_{k,j}(k + (j-1)m_q, x) = x. \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

We give the detailed proof of the estimates of $\Phi_{k,j}$ in Appendix C. The main two estimates for $\Phi_{k,j}$ are as follows:

$$\sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j}(t) - \operatorname{Id}\|_{C_x^0} \leq \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}} \ll 1, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j}(t)\|_{C_x^0} \leq 2. \quad (4.9)$$

Remark 4.1. In order to get the estimate (4.8), one needs pointwise bounds of both \mathbf{v}_q and \mathbf{z}_q . Therefore, we introduce a cutoff function \mathbf{z}_q (see (3.5)) and inductive estimate (3.14). This approach is different from the work [22] as the authors in [22] considered only moments bounds in inductive estimates.

Remark 4.2. As we will use the stationary phase lemma (see Lemma D.1) later repeatedly. For that purpose, we require positive lower and upper bounds for $\nabla \Phi_{k,j}$, and the estimate (4.9) completes that requirement.

We also let η be a non-negative bump function supported in $(-1, 1)$, which is 1 on $(-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$ and such that the square of the shifted bump functions

$$\eta_j(t) = \eta(m_q^{-1}t - j)$$

form a partition of unity

$$\sum_j \eta_j^2(t) = 1,$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. We then extend the definition of η to \mathbb{R} . More precisely, let $\eta^{(k)}(t) = \eta(t - k)$, then we know $\text{supp} \eta^{(k)} \subset (k - 1, k + 1)$. Similarly, the shifted bump functions

$$\eta_{j,k}(t) = \eta(m_q^{-1}(t - k) - j)$$

form a partition of unity

$$\sum_j \eta_{j,k}^2(t) = 1, \quad (4.10)$$

for all $t \in [k, k + 1]$.

4.3.2. Amplitudes. We will now start the process to construct the velocity perturbation ω_{q+1} . Since we use moment bounds of \mathring{R}_q to the iterative estimates in Proposition 3.6, we have to adjust the amplitude functions a_ζ such that we can apply Lemma B.3 in our setting. More precisely, we first define ϱ as follows

$$\varrho(t, x) := \sqrt{\ell^2 + (|\mathring{R}_\ell(t, x)| + \delta_{q+1})^2}. \quad (4.11)$$

Now, we define the amplitude function

$$a_\zeta(t, x) := a_{q+1,j,\zeta}(t, x) := c_*^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \eta_{j,k}(t) \cdot \Gamma_\zeta^{(j)} \left(\text{Id} - \frac{c_* \mathring{R}_\ell}{\varrho} \right), \quad \zeta \in \Lambda_j \text{ and } t \in [k, k + 1], \quad (4.12)$$

where $\Gamma_\zeta^{(j)}$ is introduced in Appendix B. By the definition of ϱ , we have

$$\left\| \text{Id} - \left(\text{Id} - \frac{c_* \mathring{R}_\ell(t, x)}{\varrho} \right) \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq c_*.$$

Consequently, $\text{Id} - \frac{c_* \mathring{R}_\ell}{\varrho}$ lies in the domain of the function $\Gamma_\zeta^{(j)}$ and we obtain from (4.10) and Lemma B.3 that

$$c_*^{-1} \varrho \text{Id} - \mathring{R}_\ell = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} a_\zeta^2 (\text{Id} - \zeta \otimes \zeta), \quad (4.13)$$

holds pointwise, where $\Lambda_j \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \cap \mathbb{Q}^3$ is finite subset. Moreover, it is sufficiently to consider index sets Λ_0 and Λ_1 to have 12 elements, and for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote $\Lambda_j = \Lambda_{j \bmod 2}$.

4.3.3. Construction of velocity perturbation ω_{q+1} . Firstly, we define the principal part $\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}$ of the velocity perturbation ω_{q+1} using (4.12). For this purpose, we make use of Beltrami wave as presented in [5] (see [31] also), which we have recalled in Appendix B. More precisely, for $t \in [k, k + 1]$, we define

$$\omega_\zeta(t, x) := a_{q+1,j,\zeta}(t, x) B_\zeta e^{i\lambda_{q+1}\zeta \cdot \Phi_{k,j}(t, x)},$$

and

$$\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}(t, x) := \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} a_{q+1,j,\zeta}(t, x) B_\zeta e^{i\lambda_{q+1}\zeta \cdot \Phi_{k,j}(t, x)}$$

$$= \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} c_*^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \cdot \eta_{j,k}(t) \cdot \Gamma_\zeta^{(j)} \left(\text{Id} - c_* \frac{\mathring{R}_\ell(t, x)}{\varrho(t)} \right) \cdot B_\zeta e^{i\lambda_{q+1}\zeta \cdot \Phi_{k,j}(t, x)}, \quad (4.14)$$

where B_ζ is suitable vector defined in Appendix B. Since the coefficients a_ζ and $\Phi_{k,j}(t, x)$ are $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted, we deduce that $\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}$ is $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted.

Next, we define the incompressible corrector $\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}$. We aim to add a corrector to $\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}$ such that the resulting function is perfect curl, making it thus divergence-free. To this end, it is useful to introduce the following scalar phase function

$$\phi_\zeta(t, x) := e^{i\lambda_{q+1}\zeta \cdot (\Phi_{k,j}(t, x) - x)}, \quad t \in [k, k+1].$$

Next we define

$$\mathbb{W}_\zeta(x) := B_\zeta e^{i\lambda_{q+1}\zeta \cdot x}.$$

Since $\text{curl} \mathbb{W}_\zeta = \lambda_{q+1} \mathbb{W}_\zeta$ (see Appendix B), and ϕ_ζ and a_ζ are scalar functions, we have

$$a_\zeta \phi_\zeta \mathbb{W}_\zeta = \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \text{curl}(a_\zeta \phi_\zeta \mathbb{W}_\zeta) - \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \nabla(a_\zeta \phi_\zeta) \times \mathbb{W}_\zeta.$$

We therefore define

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\zeta^{(c)}(t, x) &:= \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \nabla(a_\zeta \phi_\zeta) \times B_\zeta e^{i\lambda_{q+1}\zeta \cdot x}, \\ &= \left(\frac{\nabla a_\zeta}{\lambda_{q+1}} + ia_\zeta (\nabla \Phi_{k,j}(t, x) - \text{Id}) \zeta \right) \mathbb{W}_\zeta(\Phi_{k,j}(t, x)), \quad t \in [k, k+1]. \end{aligned}$$

The incompressibility corrector $\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}$ of perturbation is defined by

$$\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}(t, x) := \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \omega_\zeta^{(c)}(t, x) \quad (4.15)$$

for all $t \in [k, k+1]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the coefficients a_ζ and $\Phi_{k,j}(t, x)$ are $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted, we deduce that $\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}$ is $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted.

Finally, in view of (4.14) and (4.15), the new velocity perturbation is defined as

$$\omega_{q+1} := \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} + \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \text{curl}(a_\zeta \phi_\zeta \mathbb{W}_\zeta), \quad (4.16)$$

and so clearly ω_{q+1} is mean zero, divergence-free and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted. At last, we define the new velocity field \mathbf{v}_{q+1} as

$$\mathbf{v}_{q+1} := \mathbf{v}_\ell + \omega_{q+1}.$$

Thus, by the previous discussion, it is also $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted.

4.4. New Reynolds stress \mathring{R}_{q+1} . We have the system (3.4) at the level $q+1$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \text{div}((\mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \mathbf{z}_{q+1}) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \mathbf{z}_{q+1})) - \mathbf{z}_{q+1} + \nabla p_{q+1} = \text{div} \mathring{R}_{q+1} \\ \text{div} \mathbf{v}_{q+1} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

Subtracting the system (4.3) from the system (4.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{div} \mathring{R}_{q+1} - \nabla p_{q+1} \\ &= \partial_t \mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \text{div}((\mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \mathbf{z}_{q+1}) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \mathbf{z}_{q+1})) - \mathbf{z}_{q+1} \\ &= \partial_t (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \omega_{q+1}) + \nu(-\Delta)^\alpha (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \omega_{q+1}) + \text{div}((\mathbf{v}_\ell + \omega_{q+1} + \mathbf{z}_{q+1}) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \omega_{q+1} + \mathbf{z}_{q+1})) \\ &\quad - \mathbf{z}_{q+1} \\ &= -\nabla p_\ell + \text{div}(\mathring{R}_\ell + R_{\text{com}1}) + (\mathbf{z}_\ell - \mathbf{z}_{q+1}) + \partial_t \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} + \partial_t \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} + \nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \omega_{q+1} \\ &\quad + \text{div}(\mathbf{v}_\ell \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} + \mathbf{v}_\ell \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} + \omega_{q+1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_\ell + \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} + \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} + \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \\ &\quad + \text{div}(\mathbf{v}_{q+1} \otimes (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) + \omega_{q+1} \otimes \mathbf{z}_\ell + (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \mathbf{z}_\ell \otimes \omega_{q+1}) \\ &\quad + \text{div}((\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) \otimes \mathbf{z}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_{q+1} \otimes (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \underbrace{\nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \omega_{q+1}}_{\text{div}R_{lin}} + \underbrace{(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(p)}}_{\text{div}R_{trans}} + \underbrace{(\omega_{q+1} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)}_{\text{div}R_{Nash}} + \underbrace{\text{div}(\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} + \mathring{R}_\ell)}_{\text{div}R_{osc} + \nabla p_{osc}} \\
&+ \underbrace{(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} + \text{div}(\omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \otimes \omega_{q+1} + \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(c)})}_{\text{div}R_{corr} + \nabla p_{corr}} \\
&+ \underbrace{\text{div}(\mathbf{v}_{q+1} \otimes (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) + (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \mathbf{z}_{q+1} \otimes \mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell \otimes \mathbf{z}_\ell) - (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell)}_{\text{div}R_{com2} + \nabla p_{com2}} \\
&+ \text{div}R_{com1} - \nabla p_\ell.
\end{aligned}$$

Here R_{com1} and p_ℓ are defined in (4.4) and (4.5), respectively, and by using the inverse divergence operator \mathcal{R} discussed in Subsection 2.2, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{lin} &:= \mathcal{R} \left[\nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \omega_{q+1} \right], \\
R_{trans} &:= \mathcal{R} \left[(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \right], \\
R_{Nash} &:= \mathcal{R} \left[(\omega_{q+1} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \right], \\
R_{corr} &:= \mathcal{R} \left[(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \right] + \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \mathring{\otimes} \omega_{q+1} + \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \mathring{\otimes} \omega_{q+1}^{(c)}, \\
R_{com2} &:= \mathbf{v}_{q+1} \mathring{\otimes} (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) + (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) \mathring{\otimes} \mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \mathbf{z}_{q+1} \mathring{\otimes} \mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell \mathring{\otimes} \mathbf{z}_\ell - \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell), \\
p_{corr} &:= \frac{1}{3} \left(2\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \cdot \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} + |\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}|^2 \right), \\
p_{com2} &:= \frac{1}{3} (\mathbf{v}_{q+1} \cdot (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) + (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \mathbf{v}_{q+1} + |\mathbf{z}_{q+1}|^2 - |\mathbf{z}_\ell|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to define R_{osc} and p_{osc} , first note that for j, j' such that $|j - j'| \geq 2$, we have $\eta_{j,k}(t)\eta_{j',k}(t) = 0$. Second, we have $\Lambda_j \cap \Lambda_{j'} = \emptyset$ for $|j - j'| = 1$, and by Lemma B.1 we have

$$\text{div}(\mathbb{W}_\zeta \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'} + \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'} \otimes \mathbb{W}_\zeta) = \nabla(\mathbb{W}_\zeta \cdot \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'})$$

(using $(A \cdot \nabla)B + (B \cdot \nabla)A = \nabla(A \cdot B) - A \times \nabla \times B - B \times \nabla \times A$). Therefore, we may use (4.13) and Lemma B.3 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{div}(\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} + \mathring{R}_\ell) \\
&= \text{div} \left(\sum_{j,j',\zeta,\zeta'} \omega_\zeta \otimes \omega_{\zeta'} + \mathring{R}_\ell \right) \\
&= \text{div} \left(\sum_{j,\zeta} c_*^{-1} \varrho \cdot \eta_{j,k}^2 \{ \Gamma_\zeta^{(j)} (\text{Id} - c_* \varrho^{-1} \mathring{R}_\ell) \}^2 B_\zeta \otimes B_{-\zeta} + \mathring{R}_\ell \right) + \text{div} \left(\sum_{j,j',\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \mathbb{W}_\zeta(\Phi_{k,j}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}(\Phi_{k,j'}) \right) \\
&= \text{div} \left(c_*^{-1} \varrho (\text{Id} - c_* \varrho^{-1} \mathring{R}_\ell) + \mathring{R}_\ell \right) + \text{div} \left(\sum_{j,j',\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \phi_\zeta \phi_{\zeta'} \mathbb{W}_\zeta \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'} \right) \\
&= \nabla(c_*^{-1} \varrho) + \sum_{j,j',\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} \mathbb{W}_\zeta \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'} \nabla(a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \phi_\zeta \phi_{\zeta'}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,j',\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \phi_\zeta \phi_{\zeta'} \nabla(\mathbb{W}_\zeta \cdot \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}) \\
&= \nabla(c_*^{-1} \varrho) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \left(\sum_{j,j',\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \phi_\zeta \phi_{\zeta'} (\mathbb{W}_\zeta \cdot \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}) \right) + \sum_{j,j',\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} \left\{ \mathbb{W}_\zeta \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'} - \frac{\mathbb{W}_\zeta \cdot \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}}{2} \text{Id} \right\} \nabla(a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \phi_\zeta \phi_{\zeta'}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{osc} &:= \sum_{j,j',\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} \mathcal{R} \left[\left\{ \mathbb{W}_\zeta \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'} - \frac{\mathbb{W}_\zeta \cdot \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}}{2} \text{Id} \right\} \nabla(a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \phi_\zeta \phi_{\zeta'}) \right], \\
p_{osc} &:= c_*^{-1} \varrho + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,j',\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \phi_\zeta \phi_{\zeta'} (\mathbb{W}_\zeta \cdot \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\mathring{R}_{q+1} = R_{lin} + R_{trans} + R_{Nash} + R_{osc} + R_{Corr} + R_{com1} + R_{com2}, \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$p_{q+1} = p_\ell - p_{osc} - p_{corr} - p_{com2}.$$

Note that $\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}, \omega_{q+1}^{(c)}, \mathbf{v}_\ell, \mathbf{z}_\ell, \mathbf{v}_{q+1}$ and \mathbf{z}_{q+1} are $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted, therefore R_{q+1} and p_{q+1} are also $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted.

5. INDUCTIVE ESTIMATES AND PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.6

The main aim of this section is to demonstrate the proof of Proposition 3.6 by proving the all necessary inductive estimates for \mathbf{v}_{q+1} and \mathring{R}_{q+1} . In the next proposition, we estimate the $C_{t,x}^N$ -norm of the amplitude function a_ζ defined in Subsection 4.3 (see (4.12) above).

Proposition 5.1. *Let a_ζ be given by (4.12). Then, we have for any $N \geq 0$*

$$\|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^N} \lesssim \ell^{-N} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad (5.1)$$

where the implicit constant is independent of q .

Proof. First note that we have $\beta < \frac{1}{24}$ which gives $\ell \leq \delta_{q+1}$. Let $\Psi_1(y) = \sqrt{\ell^2 + y^2}$. We have that $|D^m \Psi_1(y)| \lesssim |y|^{-m+1}$, for $m \geq 1$. Using Lemma D.2, we obtain for any $N \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varrho\|_{C_{t,x}^N} &\lesssim \left\| \sqrt{\ell^2 + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^2} \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|D\Psi_1\|_{C^0} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^N} + \delta_{q+1}) + \|D\Psi_1\|_{C^{N-1}} \|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^N} \\ &\lesssim \ell + \|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1} + \ell^{-N} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1}) + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-N+1} \ell^{-N} \|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^N} \\ &\lesssim \ell^{-N} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Let $\Psi_2(y) = y^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We have $|D^m \Psi_2(y)| \lesssim |y|^{\frac{1}{2}-m}$, for $m = 1, \dots, N$, and use Lemma D.2, (5.2) and $\varrho \geq (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})$ to derive for $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{C_{t,x}^m} &\lesssim \|\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\varrho\|_{C_{t,x}^m} + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{\frac{1}{2}-m} \|\varrho\|_{C_{t,x}^m} \\ &\lesssim \ell^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \ell^{-m} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \ell^{-m} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $m = 0$

$$\|\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \lesssim \ell^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, for $m \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\|\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{C_{t,x}^m} \lesssim \ell^{-m} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.3)$$

Let $\Psi_3(y) = \frac{1}{y}$, for $y \geq (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})$. We have $|D^m \Psi_3(y)| \lesssim (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-(m+1)}$, for $m \geq 0$. We estimate

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\varrho} \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \lesssim (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-1}, \quad (5.4)$$

and for $m \geq 1$, using Lemma D.2 and (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{\varrho} \right\|_{C_{t,x}^m} &\lesssim \left\| \frac{1}{\varrho} \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-2} \|\varrho\|_{C_{t,x}^m} + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-(m+1)} \|\varrho\|_{C_{t,x}^m} \\ &\lesssim (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-1} + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-2} \ell^{-m} \|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-(m+1)} \ell^{-m} \|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \\ &\lesssim \ell^{-m} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

For $m \geq 1$, using chain rule and (5.4)-(5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\mathring{R}_\ell}{\varrho} \right\|_{C_{t,x}^m} &\lesssim \|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^m} \left\| \frac{1}{\varrho} \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^k} \left\| \frac{1}{\varrho} \right\|_{C_{t,x}^{m-k}} \\ &\lesssim \ell^{-m} \|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \ell^{-k} \|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \ell^{-(m-k)} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-1} \lesssim \ell^{-m}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

For $m \geq 1$, using Lemma D.2, (B.6) and (5.6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Gamma_\zeta^{(j)} \left(\text{Id} - \frac{\mathring{R}_\ell}{\varrho} \right) \right\|_{C_{t,x}^m} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \frac{\mathring{R}_\ell}{\varrho} \right\|_{C_{t,x}^m} + \left\| \frac{\nabla_{t,x} \mathring{R}_\ell}{\varrho} \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^m + \left\| \frac{\mathring{R}_\ell}{\varrho^2} \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^m \|\varrho\|_{C_{t,x}^1}^m \\ & \lesssim \ell^{-m} + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-m} \ell^{-m} \|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^m + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{-m} \ell^{-m} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^m \lesssim \ell^{-m}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

and for $m = 0$, using (B.6), we find

$$\left\| \Gamma_\zeta^{(j)} \left(\text{Id} - \frac{\mathring{R}_\ell}{\varrho} \right) \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \lesssim 1. \quad (5.8)$$

Again by chain rule, and using (5.3) and (5.7)-(5.8), we have for $N \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^N} & \lesssim \sum_{m=0}^N \|\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{C_{t,x}^m} \left\| \Gamma_\zeta^{(j)} \left(\text{Id} - \frac{\mathring{R}_\ell}{\varrho} \right) \right\|_{C_{t,x}^{N-m}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{m=0}^N \ell^{-m} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \ell^{-(N-m)} \lesssim \ell^{-N} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \ell^{-N} (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

5.1. Inductive estimates for v_{q+1} . In this subsection, we will verify the inductive estimates (3.13)-(3.15) at the level $q+1$ as well as the inequality (3.18). Let us recall the definition of $\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}$ as follows:

$$\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}(t, x) := \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} a_{q+1, j, \zeta}(t, x) B_\zeta e^{i\lambda_{q+1} \zeta \cdot \Phi_{k, j}(t, x)}.$$

By the definition of $\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}$, we find (using (5.3) and (3.16))

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} & \lesssim 2|\Lambda_j| c_*^{-\frac{1}{2}} M \|\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \\ & \lesssim 2|\Lambda_j| c_*^{-\frac{1}{2}} M \left[\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \lesssim 2|\Lambda_j| c_*^{-\frac{1}{2}} M \left[\lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant in the above two inequality. Moreover, we also have (using (5.3) and (3.17))

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}\|_{C^{0, 2r}} & \lesssim 2|\Lambda_j| c_*^{-\frac{1}{2}} M \|\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{C^{0, 2r}} \\ & \lesssim 2|\Lambda_j| c_*^{-\frac{1}{2}} M \left[\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C^{0, r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \lesssim 2|\Lambda_j| c_*^{-\frac{1}{2}} M \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \bar{M} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where \bar{M} is a universal constant satisfying $9|\Lambda_j| c_*^{-\frac{1}{2}} M < \bar{M}$ and we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Using (3.16), (5.1), (C.6), (C.8) and $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}\|_{C_{t,x}^1} & \lesssim \sup_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \left[\|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^1} + \|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \cdot \lambda_{q+1} \left(\|\partial_t \Phi_{k, j}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\nabla \Phi_{k, j}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \right) \right] \\ & \lesssim \sup_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \left[\ell^{-1} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cdot \lambda_{q+1} \left(\lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + 1 \right) \right] \lesssim \left[\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}} \cdot \lambda_q^2 \right] \leq \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

where we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Let us recall the definition of $\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}$ as follows:

$$\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}(t, x) := \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \left(\frac{\nabla a_\zeta}{\lambda_{q+1}} + i a_\zeta (\nabla \Phi_{k, j}(t, x) - \text{Id}) \zeta \right) B_\zeta e^{i\lambda_{q+1} \zeta \cdot \Phi_{k, j}(t, x)}.$$

Using (5.1), (3.16) and (C.5), we obtain

$$\|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \lesssim \sup_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \left(\frac{\|\nabla a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^0}}{\lambda_{q+1}} + \|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \|\nabla \Phi_{k, j}(t, x) - \text{Id}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \right)$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\ell^{-1}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\lambda_{q+1}} + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})\lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}} \quad (5.12)$$

$$\lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \lambda_q^{-2} + \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{-\frac{1}{15}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (5.13)$$

Taking expectation of (5.12), we obtain

$$\|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C^{0,2r}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{-\frac{2}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C^{0,r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (\|\mathring{R}_\ell\|_{C^{0,r}}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})\lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{-\frac{2}{5}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \bar{M} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (5.14)$$

where we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant in (5.14). Using (3.16), (5.13), (5.1), (C.5)-(C.9) and $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C_{t,x}^1} &\lesssim \lambda_{q+1} \|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \sup_j \left(\|\partial_t \Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \right) \\ &+ \sup_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \left[\frac{\|\nabla a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^1}}{\lambda_{q+1}} + \|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^1} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j}(t,x) - \text{Id}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^0} (\|\nabla^2 \Phi_{k,j}(t,x)\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\partial_t \nabla \Phi_{k,j}(t,x)\|_{C_{t,x}^0}) \right] \\ &\lesssim \lambda_q^2 \lambda_q^{-\frac{1}{15}} (\lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + 1) + \ell^{-2} \lambda_{q+1}^{-1} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + \ell^{-1} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} (\lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{7}{5}}) \\ &\lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{34}{15}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{23}{15}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{17}{15}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{26}{15}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{34}{15}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

where we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Now, in view of mollification estimates and (3.14), we find for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$

$$\|(\mathbf{v}_q - \mathbf{v}_\ell)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \ell \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^1} \leq \lambda_q^{-\frac{8}{5}} \lambda_q^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \bar{M} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (5.16)$$

where we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Taking expectation of (5.16), we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{v}_\ell - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \bar{M} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.17)$$

Making use of (5.10), (5.14) and (5.17), we reach at

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} \leq \|\mathbf{v}_\ell - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} + \|\omega_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,2r}} \leq \bar{M} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which completes the proof of (3.18). Combining (3.13), (5.9) and (5.13), we arrive at

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \|\mathbf{v}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (5.18)$$

which completes the proof of (3.13). In view of (3.14), (5.11) and (5.15), we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C_{t,x}^1} \leq \|\mathbf{v}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^1} + \|\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}\|_{C_{t,x}^1} + \|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C_{t,x}^1} \leq \lambda_q^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (5.19)$$

which completes the proof of (3.14). Combining (3.15), (5.9) and (5.13), we find

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,2r}} \leq \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} + \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} \leq 1 - \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \bar{M} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1 - \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (5.20)$$

for sufficiently large a , which completes the proof of (3.15).

5.2. Inductive estimates for \mathring{R}_{q+1} . In this subsection, we will verify the inductive estimates (3.16) and (3.17) at the level $q+1$. For this subsection, we choose two parameters $\varpi > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < \varpi < \min\{1 - 2\alpha - 3\beta, \frac{1}{5} - 3\beta\}$ and $m > 9$. Next, we will estimate each term on the right hand side of (4.18) separately.

5.2.1. *Estimate on R_{lin} .* Let us recall the definition of R_{lin} as follows:

$$R_{lin} := \mathcal{R} \left[\nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \omega_{q+1} \right].$$

We know by Lemma D.3 that

$$\left\| \mathcal{R} \left[\nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \omega_{q+1} \right] \right\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{2\alpha}} \lesssim \left\| \mathcal{R} \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \right\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{2\alpha+\varpi}} + \left\| \mathcal{R} \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \right\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{2\alpha+\varpi}}. \quad (5.21)$$

We have by Lemma D.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{R}\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{2\alpha+\varpi}} &\lesssim \sup_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \left[\frac{\|a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} + \frac{\|a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m,2\alpha+\varpi}} + \|a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0} \|\nabla \Phi\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m,2\alpha+\varpi}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-2\alpha-\varpi}} \right] \\
&\lesssim \sup_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \left[\frac{\|a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} + \frac{\|a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m+1}} + \|a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0} \|\nabla \Phi\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m+1}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-2\alpha-\varpi}} \right] \\
&\gtrsim \frac{(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} + \frac{\ell^{-m-1}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})\lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-2\alpha-\varpi}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}m+\frac{8}{5}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right]. \tag{5.22}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have also used (5.1) and (C.7).

Now, for all $N \geq 0$, we estimate (using (4.1), (5.1), (C.5) and (C.7))

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a_\zeta(\nabla \Phi_{k,j} - \text{Id})\|_{C_t^0 C_x^N} &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^N \|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^k} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j} - \text{Id}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{N-k}} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^N \ell^{-k} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \ell^{-N+k} \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}} \lesssim \ell^{-N} \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2N-1)} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{5.23}
\end{aligned}$$

Again, we have by Lemma D.1 and (5.23) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{R}\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{2\alpha+\varpi}} \\
&\lesssim \sup_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \left[\frac{\|\nabla a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} + \frac{\|\nabla a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m,2\alpha+\varpi}} + \|\nabla a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m,2\alpha+\varpi}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-2\alpha-\varpi}} \right] \\
&\quad + \sup_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \left[\frac{\|a_\zeta(\nabla \Phi_{k,j}(t,x) - \text{Id})\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\|a_\zeta(\nabla \Phi_{k,j}(t,x) - \text{Id})\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m,2\alpha+\varpi}} + \|a_\zeta(\nabla \Phi_{k,j}(t,x) - \text{Id})\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m,2\alpha+\varpi}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-2\alpha-\varpi}} \right] \\
&\lesssim \sup_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \left[\frac{\|\nabla a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} + \frac{\|\nabla a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m+1}} + \|\nabla a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m+1}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-2\alpha-\varpi}} \right] \\
&\quad + \sup_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \left[\frac{\|a_\zeta(\nabla \Phi_{k,j}(t,x) - \text{Id})\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\|a_\zeta(\nabla \Phi_{k,j}(t,x) - \text{Id})\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m+1}} + \|a_\zeta(\nabla \Phi_{k,j}(t,x) - \text{Id})\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m+1}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-2\alpha-\varpi}} \right] \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \left[\frac{\ell^{-1}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} + \frac{\ell^{-m-2}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \ell^{-1}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})\lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-2\alpha-\varpi}} \right] \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{\lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2m+1)}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})\lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-2\alpha-\varpi}} \right] \\
&\lesssim \frac{\ell^{-1}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{2-2\alpha-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] + \frac{\lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \\
&\lesssim \frac{(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}m+\frac{8}{5}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right]. \tag{5.24}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have also used (5.1) and (C.7). Combining (5.21), (5.22) and (5.24), we get

$$\|R_{lin}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \lesssim \frac{(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}m+\frac{8}{5}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \quad (5.25)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{7} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}}. \quad (5.26)$$

where we have also used $0 < \varpi < 1 - 2\alpha - 3\beta$ and $m > 9$, and we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Taking expectation of (5.25), we obtain for $\beta < \frac{1-2\alpha}{3}$

$$\|R_{lin}\|_{C^{0,r}} \lesssim \frac{\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-2\alpha-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}m+\frac{8}{5}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7} \delta_{q+2}, \quad (5.27)$$

where we have used (3.17), $0 < \varpi < 1 - 2\alpha - 3\beta$ and $m > 9$, and we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant.

5.2.2. *Estimate on R_{trans} .* Let us recall the definition of R_{trans} as follows:

$$R_{trans} := \mathcal{R} \left[(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \right].$$

We know by the definition of $\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}$ that for $t \in [k, k+1]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} = \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} (\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) a_\zeta B_\zeta e^{i\lambda_{q+1}\zeta \cdot \Phi_{k,j}}. \quad (5.28)$$

Again by chain rule and (5.1), we have for $N \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^N} &\lesssim \|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^{N+1}} + \sum_{k=0}^N \|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_t^0 C_x^k} \|\nabla a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^{N-k}} \\ &\lesssim \ell^{-N-1} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sum_{k=0}^N \ell^{-k} \|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \ell^{-N+k-1} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &\lesssim \ell^{-N-1} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \left[1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

Making use of Lemma D.1, (5.28)-(5.29), (3.13), (3.16) and (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{trans}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} &\lesssim \|R_{trans}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^\varpi} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\|(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m,\varpi}} + \|(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m,\varpi}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\|(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m+1}} + \|(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m+1}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\ell^{-1} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \left[1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \right]}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\ell^{-m-2} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \left[1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \right]}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\ell^{-1} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \left[1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \right] \lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\ell^{-1}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \left[1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \right]}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \quad (5.30)$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\ell^{-1} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[1 + \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \right]}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{34}{15}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (5.31)$$

where we have also used $\varpi < \frac{1}{5} - 3\beta < \frac{8}{15}$ and $m > 9$, and we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Taking expectation of (5.30) and using Hölder's inequality, we obtain for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$

$$\|R_{trans}\|_{C^0,r} \lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7} \delta_{q+2}, \quad (5.32)$$

where we have used (3.15), (3.8), (3.17), $\varpi < \frac{1}{5} - 3\beta$ and $m > 9$, and we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant.

5.2.3. *Estimate on R_{Nash} .* Let us recall the definition of R_{Nash} as follows:

$$R_{Nash} := \mathcal{R}[(\omega_{q+1} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)].$$

We write

$$(\omega_{q+1} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) = (\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) + (\omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell). \quad (5.33)$$

For the term corresponding to the principle part $\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}$, we have for $t \in [k, k+1]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) = \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} a_\zeta(B_\zeta \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) e^{i\lambda_{q+1}\zeta \cdot \Phi_{k,j}}. \quad (5.34)$$

Let us now estimate $\|a_\zeta(B_\zeta \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_{t,x}^N}$. For $N \geq 0$, using (4.1) and (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|a_\zeta(B_\zeta \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_{t,x}^N} \leq \|a_\zeta \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_{t,x}^N} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^N \|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^k} \|\nabla(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_{t,x}^{N-k}} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^N \ell^{-k} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \ell^{-N+k-1} (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}) \\ & \lesssim \ell^{-N-1} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}) = \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(N+1)} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

Therefore, using Lemma D.1 and (5.34)-(5.35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathcal{R} \left((\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \right) \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \\ & \leq \left\| \mathcal{R} \left((\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \right) \right\|_{C_t^0 C_x^\varpi} \\ & \lesssim \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \frac{\|a_\zeta(B_\zeta \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \\ & \quad + \frac{\|a_\zeta(B_\zeta \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m,\varpi}} + \|a_\zeta(B_\zeta \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m,\varpi}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \frac{\|a_\zeta(B_\zeta \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \\ & \quad + \frac{\|a_\zeta(B_\zeta \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m+1}} + \|a_\zeta(B_\zeta \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^0} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{m+1}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\ell^{-1} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} + \frac{\ell^{-m-2} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\ & \quad + \frac{\ell^{-1} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}) \lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right]. \quad (5.36)$$

For the term corresponding to the corrector part $\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}$, we have for $t \in [k, k+1]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \left(\left(\left(\frac{\nabla a_\zeta}{\lambda_{q+1}} + i a_\zeta (\nabla \Phi_{k,j} - \text{Id}) \zeta \right) \times B_\zeta \right) \cdot \nabla \right) (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) e^{i\lambda_{q+1}\zeta \cdot \Phi_{k,j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate $\left\| \left(\frac{\nabla a_\zeta}{\lambda_{q+1}} \cdot \nabla \right) (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \right\|_{C_{t,x}^N}$. For $N \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\frac{\nabla a_\zeta}{\lambda_{q+1}} \cdot \nabla \right) (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \right\|_{C_{t,x}^N} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \sum_{k=0}^N \|\nabla a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^k} \|\nabla(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_{t,x}^{N-k}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \sum_{k=0}^N \ell^{-k-1} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \ell^{-N+k-1} (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}) \\ &\lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(N+2)-2} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

Let us estimate $\|a_\zeta (\nabla \Phi_{k,j} - \text{Id}) \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^N}$ for $N \geq 0$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_\zeta (\nabla \Phi_{k,j} - \text{Id}) \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^N} &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^N \|a_\zeta \nabla(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^k} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j} - \text{Id}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{N-k}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^N \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(k+1)} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}) \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(N-k)-\frac{4}{5}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2N+1)} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

Therefore, using Lemma D.1 and (5.37)-(5.38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathcal{R} \left((\omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \right) \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \left\| \mathcal{R} \left((\omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \right) \right\|_{C_t^0 C_x^\varpi} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{16}{5}-2} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+3)-2} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\ & + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{16}{5}-2} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}) \lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \\ & + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2m+3)} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}) \lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{6}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \\ & + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \\ & \lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

Combining (5.33), (5.36) and (5.39), we obtain

$$\|R_{Nash}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \lesssim \left\| \mathcal{R} \left((\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \right) \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \left\| \mathcal{R} \left((\omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \right) \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})(\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \quad (5.40)$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} (\lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{34}{15}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (5.41)$$

where we have also used (3.13), (3.16), (3.7), $\varpi < \frac{1}{5} - 3\beta < \frac{8}{15}$ and $m > 9$, and we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Taking the expectation of (5.40) and using Hölder's inequality, we get for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$

$$\|R_{Nash}\|_{C^{0,r}} \lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7} \delta_{q+2}, \quad (5.42)$$

where we have used (3.8), (3.15), (3.17), $\varpi < \frac{1}{5} - 3\beta$ and $m > 9$, and we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant.

5.2.4. *Estimate on R_{osc} .* Let us recall the definition of R_{osc} as follows:

$$R_{osc} := \sum_{j,j',\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} \mathcal{R} \left[\left\{ \mathbb{W}_\zeta \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'} - \frac{\mathbb{W}_\zeta \cdot \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}}{2} \text{Id} \right\} \nabla(a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \phi_\zeta \phi_{\zeta'}) \right].$$

In order to apply Lemma D.1, let us rewrite oscillation error as follows, for $t \in [k, k+1]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$R_{osc} := \sum_{j,j',\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} \mathcal{R} \left[\left\{ B_\zeta \otimes B_{\zeta'} - \frac{B_\zeta \cdot B_{\zeta'}}{2} \text{Id} \right\} e^{i\lambda_{q+1}\{\zeta \cdot \Phi_{k,j} + \zeta' \cdot \Phi_{k,j'}\}} \right. \\ \left. \times (\nabla(a_\zeta a_{\zeta'}) + i\lambda_{q+1} a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} ((\nabla \Phi_{k,j} - \text{Id}) \cdot \zeta + (\nabla \Phi_{k,j'} - \text{Id}) \cdot \zeta')) \right].$$

We have for $N \geq 0$ (using (4.1) and (5.1))

$$\|\nabla(a_\zeta a_{\zeta'})\|_{C_{t,x}^N} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} \|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^k} \|a_{\zeta'}\|_{C_{t,x}^{N+1-k}} \\ \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} \ell^{-k} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \ell^{-N-1+k} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(N+1)} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1}) \quad (5.43)$$

Using (5.43) and (C.7), we have for $N \geq 0$

$$\lambda_{q+1} \|a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} ((\nabla \Phi_{k,j} - \text{Id}) \cdot \zeta + (\nabla \Phi_{k,j'} - \text{Id}) \cdot \zeta')\|_{C_t^0 C_x^N} \\ \lesssim \lambda_{q+1} \sum_{k=0}^N \|a_\zeta a_{\zeta'}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^k} \|(\nabla \Phi_{k,j} - \text{Id}) \cdot \zeta + (\nabla \Phi_{k,j'} - \text{Id}) \cdot \zeta'\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{N-k}} \\ \lesssim \lambda_{q+1} \sum_{k=0}^N \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}k} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1}) \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(N-k) - \frac{4}{5}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}N + \frac{6}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1}). \quad (5.44)$$

Applying Lemma D.1 and using (5.43)-(5.44), we get

$$\|R_{osc}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \\ \lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+2)} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1}) + \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1}) \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1) - \frac{4}{5}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\ + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{6}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1) + \frac{6}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1}) + \lambda_q^{\frac{6}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1}) \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1) - \frac{4}{5}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\ \lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \quad (5.45)$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{34}{15}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (5.46)$$

where we have also used (3.16), $\varpi < \frac{1}{5} - 3\beta < \frac{8}{15}$ and $m > 9$, and we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Taking expectation of (5.45), we obtain for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$

$$\|R_{osc}\|_{C^0, r} \lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}} \delta_{q+1}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7} \delta_{q+2}, \quad (5.47)$$

where we have used (3.17), $\varpi < \frac{1}{5} - 3\beta < 2(\frac{1}{10} - \beta)$ and $m > 9$, and we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant.

5.2.5. *Estimate on R_{corr} .* Let us recall the definition of R_{corr} as follows:

$$R_{corr} := \mathcal{R} \left[(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \right] + \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \overset{\circ}{\otimes} \omega_{q+1} + \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \overset{\circ}{\otimes} \omega_{q+1}^{(c)}.$$

By (5.9) and (5.13), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \overset{\circ}{\otimes} \omega_{q+1} + \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \overset{\circ}{\otimes} \omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} &\leq 2 \|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \|\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \lambda_q^{-\frac{1}{15}} + \lambda_q^{-\frac{2}{15}} \leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 2} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.48)$$

and by Hölder's inequality, (5.10), (5.14) and (5.14), we estimate for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \overset{\circ}{\otimes} \omega_{q+1} + \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \overset{\circ}{\otimes} \omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C^0, r} &\leq 2 \|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C^0, 2r} \|\omega_{q+1}^{(p)}\|_{C^0, 2r} + \|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C^0, 2r}^2 \\ &\lesssim \lambda_q^{-\frac{2}{5}} \delta_{q+1} + \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}} \delta_{q+1} \leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 2} \delta_{q+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.49)$$

Let us now find the estimates for the error $\mathcal{R} \left[(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \right]$. We rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} &(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_j} \left((\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \left(\frac{\nabla a_\zeta}{\lambda_{q+1}} + i a_\zeta (\nabla \Phi_{k,j} - \text{Id}) \zeta \right) \right) \times B_\zeta e^{i \lambda_{q+1} \zeta \cdot \Phi_{k,j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \frac{\nabla a_\zeta}{\lambda_{q+1}}\|_{C_{t,x}^N} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^{N+2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \sum_{k=0}^N \|a_\zeta\|_{C_{t,x}^{k+2}} \|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^{N-k}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \ell^{-(N+2)} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}} \sum_{k=0}^N \ell^{-(k+2)} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \ell^{-N+k} \|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \\ &\lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(N+2)-2} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.50)$$

Using (5.29) and (C.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) (a_\zeta (\nabla \Phi_{k,j} - \text{Id}))\|_{C_{t,x}^N} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^N \|(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) a_\zeta\|_{C_t^0 C_x^k} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j} - \text{Id}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^{N-k}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^N \ell^{-k-1} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \left(1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \right) \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(N-k)-\frac{4}{5}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(N+1)-\frac{4}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \left(1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.51)$$

In view of Lemma D.1 and using (5.50)-(5.51), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{R} \left[(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \right]\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{6}{5}} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) (1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)+\frac{6}{5}}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})(1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{6}{5}}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})(1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)-\frac{4}{5}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}-\frac{4}{5}}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})(1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+2)-\frac{4}{5}}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})(1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}-\frac{4}{5}}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})(1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)-\frac{4}{5}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-\varpi}} \\
\lesssim & \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{6}{5}}(\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})(1 + \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0})}{\lambda_{q+1}^{1-\varpi}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \\
\lesssim & \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}+2\varpi} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(1 + \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 2} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

$$\lesssim \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}+2\varpi} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(1 + \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 2} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}}, \tag{5.53}$$

where we have used (3.7), (3.13), (C.7), $\varpi < \frac{1}{5} - 3\beta < \frac{11}{15}$ and $m > 9$, and we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Taking expectation of (5.52) and using Hölder's inequality, (3.8), (3.15) and (3.17), we estimate for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$

$$\|\mathcal{R}\left[(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla)\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\right]\|_{C^{0,r}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}+2\varpi} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}(m+1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{m-1}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 2} \delta_{q+2}, \tag{5.54}$$

where we have used (3.8), (3.15), (3.17), $\varpi < \frac{1}{5} - 3\beta < \frac{2}{5} - 3\beta$ and $m > 9$, and we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Combining (5.48)-(5.49) and (5.53)-(5.54), we arrive at

$$\|R_{corr}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \lesssim \left\| \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \otimes \omega_{q+1} + \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \right\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \|\mathcal{R}\left[(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla)\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\right]\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \frac{1}{7} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}}, \tag{5.55}$$

and

$$\|R_{corr}\|_{C^{0,r}} \lesssim \left\| \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \otimes \omega_{q+1} + \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \right\|_{C^{0,r}} + \|\mathcal{R}\left[(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla)\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\right]\|_{C^{0,r}} \leq \frac{1}{7} \delta_{q+2}, \tag{5.56}$$

for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$.

5.2.6. *Estimate on R_{com1} .* Let us recall the definition of R_{com1} as follows:

$$R_{com1} := (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) - \left(((\mathbf{v}_q + \mathbf{z}_q) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_q + \mathbf{z}_q)) *_x \phi_\ell \right) *_t \varphi_\ell.$$

Using (3.7) and (3.13), we estimate

$$\|R_{com1}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq 2\|\mathbf{v}_q + \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^2 \leq 4(\lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}}) \leq \frac{1}{7} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}}, \tag{5.57}$$

where we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Using the mollification estimates, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{12})$, we estimate

$$\|R_{com1}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \ell^2 \|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^1}^2 + \ell^2 \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^0 C_x^1}^2 + \ell^{1-2\delta} \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C_x^0}^2. \tag{5.58}$$

Taking expectation of (5.58) and using (3.14), (3.8)-(3.9) and (3.10), we find for $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{12}$ and $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_{com1}\|_{C^{0,r}} & \lesssim \left(\ell^2 \lambda_q^{\frac{14}{5}} \delta_q + \ell^2 \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^{1,2r}}^2 + \ell^{1-2\delta} \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C_{x,2r}^0}^2 \right) \\
& \lesssim \left(\ell^2 \lambda_q^{\frac{14}{5}} \delta_q + \ell^2 \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} (2rL)^2 + \ell^{1-2\delta} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} (2rL^2)^2 \right) \lesssim \lambda_q^{-\frac{2}{5}} \delta_q + \lambda_q^{\frac{16}{5}\delta - \frac{8}{5}} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{-\frac{2}{5}} \delta_q + \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{3}} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq \frac{1}{7} \delta_{q+2},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.59}$$

where we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant.

5.2.7. *Estimate on R_{com2} .* Let us recall the definition of R_{com2} as follows:

$$R_{com2} := \mathbf{v}_{q+1} \dot{\otimes} (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) + (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \mathbf{z}_{q+1} \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{z}_\ell - \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell).$$

Using (5.18), (3.7) and (2.1), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} \dot{\otimes} (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) + (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} &\leq 2\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2} \left[\lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}} + \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.60)$$

$$\|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1}\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^2 + \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0}^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{(7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2)^2} \left[\lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (5.61)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \left[\lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}}. \quad (5.62)$$

where we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Combining (5.60)-(5.62), we get

$$\|R_{com2}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \frac{1}{7} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}}. \quad (5.63)$$

Let us now do the expectation estimates for R_{com2} . Using Hölder's inequality, (3.12) and (5.20), we estimate

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} \dot{\otimes} (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q) + (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q) \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,r}} \leq \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,2r}} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} \lesssim (1 - \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)} \leq \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)}.$$

Using Hölder's inequality, (3.8) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} \dot{\otimes} (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q)\|_{C^{0,r}} \leq \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,2r}} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)}.$$

Using a standard mollification estimate, we obtain for any $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{12})$

$$\|\mathbf{z}_\ell(t) - \mathbf{z}_q(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \ell \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^0 C_x^1} + \ell^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C_x^0},$$

which gives (using (3.9) and (3.10))

$$\|\mathbf{z}_\ell - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^{0,r}} \lesssim \ell \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^0 C_x^1}^r \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \ell^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C_x^0}^r \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \ell \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + \ell^{\frac{5}{12}} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (5.64)$$

In view of Hölder's inequality, (3.8), (3.12), (5.20) and (5.64), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\|R_{com2}\|_{C^{0,r}} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} \dot{\otimes} (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C^{0,r}} + \|(\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell) \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,r}} + \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C^{0,r}} + \|\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C^{0,r}} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} \dot{\otimes} (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q)\|_{C^{0,r}} + \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} \dot{\otimes} (\mathbf{z}_q - \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C^{0,r}} + \|(\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q) \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,r}} \\ &\quad + \|(\mathbf{z}_q - \mathbf{z}_\ell) \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,r}} + \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} \dot{\otimes} (\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q)\|_{C^{0,r}} + \|(\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q) \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{z}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,r}} \\ &\quad + \|(\mathbf{z}_q - \mathbf{z}_\ell) \dot{\otimes} \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^{0,r}} + \|\mathbf{z}_\ell \dot{\otimes} (\mathbf{z}_q - \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C^{0,r}} + \|\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q)\|_{C^{0,r}} + \|\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}_q - \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C^{0,r}} \\ &\leq 2\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,2r}} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} + 2\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,2r}} \|\mathbf{z}_q - \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C^{0,2r}} + 2\|\mathbf{z}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,2r}} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} \\ &\quad + 2\|\mathbf{z}_q - \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C^{0,2r}} \|\mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} + \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^{0,r}} + \|\mathbf{z}_q - \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C^{0,r}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)} + \lambda_q^{-\frac{1}{3}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{7} \delta_{q+2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.65)$$

where we have used $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{24} - \beta$ and we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant.

Combining (5.26)-(5.27), (5.31)-(5.32), (5.41)-(5.42), (5.46)-(5.47), (5.55)-(5.56), (5.57)-(5.59) and (5.63)-(5.65), we obtain

$$\|\hat{R}_{q+1}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\hat{R}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,r}} \leq \delta_{q+2}.$$

Hence the inductive estimates (3.16) and (3.17) hold true at the level $q+1$.

6. STATIONARY SOLUTIONS TO STOCHASTIC HYPODISSIPATIVE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

We consider that the trajectory space is $\mathcal{T} = C(\mathbb{R}; C^\kappa) \times C(\mathbb{R}; C^\kappa)$ and we take $\kappa = \frac{\vartheta}{2}$ in this section. The corresponding shifts S_t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, on trajectories are given by

$$S_t(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{W})(\cdot) = (\mathbf{u}(\cdot + t), \mathbf{W}(\cdot + t) - \mathbf{W}(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{W}) \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Recall the notion of stationary solution introduced in Definition 1.2. Our main result of this section is existence of stationary solutions which are constructed as limits of ergodic averages of solutions from Theorem 3.8. This in particular implies their non-uniqueness.

Theorem 6.1. *Let \mathbf{u} be a solution of system (1.1) obtained in Theorem 3.8 and satisfying (3.20). Then there exists a sequence $T_n \rightarrow \infty$ and a stationary solution $\left((\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}), \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{W}} \right)$ to (1.1) such that*

$$\frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} \mathcal{L}[S_t(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{W})] dt \rightarrow \mathcal{L}[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{W}}],$$

weakly in the sense of probability measures on \mathcal{T} as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. First, we claim that there exists $\beta', \beta'' > 0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot + s)\|_{C^{\beta'}([-N, N]; C_x^{\beta''})}^{2r} \right] \lesssim N.$$

Indeed, reviewing the proof of Theorem 3.8, and by interpolation inequality, we deduce for some $\beta' \in (0, \frac{\vartheta}{2})$ and $\beta'' \in (\frac{\vartheta}{2}, \vartheta)$ satisfying $\beta' + \beta'' < \vartheta < \frac{5}{7}\beta$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \geq 0} \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_t^{\beta'} C_x^{\beta''}, 2r} \lesssim \sum_{q \geq 0} \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^{\vartheta}, 2r} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{q \geq 0} \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^{0, 2r}}^{1-\frac{\vartheta}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^1, 2r}^{\frac{\vartheta}{2}} \lesssim \sum_{q \geq 0} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1-\vartheta}{2}} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{7\vartheta}{5}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{\vartheta}{2}} \leq \sqrt{3r} L a^{\frac{7}{5}b\vartheta} + \lambda_2^\beta \sum_{q \geq 1} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{7}{5}\vartheta - \beta} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that $\mathbf{v} = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{v}_q$ exists and belongs to the space $L^{2r}(\Omega; C^{\beta'}(\mathbb{R}; C^{\beta''}))$. Similarly, using (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) and interpolation inequality, we deduce for same $\beta' \in (0, \frac{\vartheta}{2})$ and $\beta'' \in (\frac{\vartheta}{2}, \vartheta)$ satisfying $\beta' + \beta'' < \vartheta < \frac{5}{7}\beta$ and any $p \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \geq 0} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^{\beta'} C_x^{\beta''}, p} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{q \geq 0} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0, p}^{(\frac{1}{4}-\beta')(1-\beta'')} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^0 C_x^1, p}^{(\frac{1}{4}-\beta')\beta''} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{4}} C_x^0, p}^{\beta'(1-\beta'')} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{4}} C_x^1, p}^{\beta''} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{q \geq 0} \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)(\frac{1}{4}-\beta')(1-\beta'')} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{4}-\beta')\beta''} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}\beta'(1-\beta'')} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}\beta''} \\ & = \sum_{q \geq 0} \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)(\frac{1}{4}-\beta')(1-\beta'') + \frac{2}{3}(\beta' + \frac{1}{4}\beta'')} \lesssim \sum_{q \geq 0} \lambda_q^{-\frac{1}{6}(\frac{1}{4}-\beta')(1-\beta'') + \frac{2}{3}(\beta' + \frac{1}{4}\beta'')} \lesssim \sum_{q \geq 0} \lambda_q^{-\frac{5}{6}[\frac{1}{20} - (\beta' + \frac{1}{4}\beta'')]} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{24} - \beta$ and $\beta' + \frac{\beta''}{4} < \frac{1}{20}$. Hence, we get $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{z}_q = \mathbf{z}$ in $L^p(\Omega; C^{\beta'}(\mathbb{R}; C^{\beta''}))$, for any $p \geq 2$.

Then letting $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z}$, we get an $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted analytically weak solution \mathbf{u} to (1.1) and

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C_t^{\beta'} C_x^{\beta''}, 2r} < \infty.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot + s)\|_{C^{\beta'}([-N, N]; C_x^{\beta''})}^{2r} \right] \leq \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot + s)\|_{C^{\beta'}([i, i+1]; C_x^{\beta''})}^{2r} \right] \leq 2N \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C^{\beta'}([t, t+1]; C_x^{\beta''})}^{2r} \right] \lesssim N.$$

Now, we define the ergodic averages of the solution (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{W}) as the probability measures on the trajectory space \mathcal{T}

$$\mu_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}[S_t(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{W})] dt, \quad T > 0.$$

More precisely, the time average is defined as a narrow limit of Riemann sums, that is, for every $\Psi \in C_b(\mathcal{T})$ we have for a sequence of equidistant partitions $\{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T\}$,

$$\left[\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}[S_t(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{W})] dt \right] (\Psi) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{L}[S_{t_i}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{W})] (\Psi) \right].$$

For $R_N > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, by Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem, we have that the set

$$K_M := \bigcap_{N=M}^{\infty} \left\{ g; \|g\|_{C^{\beta'}([-N, N]; C_x^{\beta''})} \leq R_N \right\},$$

is relatively compact in $C(\mathbb{R}; C^{\frac{\beta}{2}})$, since $\frac{\beta}{2} < \beta''$. Consequently, we deduce that the time shifts $S_t \mathbf{u}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, are tight on $C(\mathbb{R}; C^{\frac{\beta}{2}})$. Since $S_t W$ is a Wiener process for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the law of $S_t W$ does not change with $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and is tight. Accordingly, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K_ϵ in \mathcal{T} such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(S_t(\mathbf{u}, W) \in K_\epsilon^c) < \epsilon.$$

This implies

$$\mu_T(K_\epsilon^c) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}(S_t(\mathbf{u}, W) \in K_\epsilon^c) dt < \epsilon,$$

and therefore there is a weakly converging subsequence of the probability measures μ_T for $T \geq 0$, that is, there is a subsequence $T_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})$ such that $\mu_{T_n} \rightarrow \mu$ weakly in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})$ (cf. The Krylov–Bogoliubov existence theorem, [11, Theorem 11.7]). Next, define a set

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \left\{ (\mathbf{u}, W) \in \mathcal{T} : \langle \mathbf{u}(t), v \rangle + \nu \int_s^t \langle (-\Delta)^\alpha \mathbf{u}(r), v \rangle dr + \int_s^t \langle \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}(r) \otimes \mathbf{u}(r)), v \rangle dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. = \langle \mathbf{u}(s), v \rangle + \langle W(t) - W(s), v \rangle, \text{ for all } v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3), \operatorname{div} v = 0, t \geq s \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since (\mathbf{u}, W) in the statement of the theorem satisfies the equation, we have for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{L}[S_t(\mathbf{u}, W)](A) = 1.$$

Hence, also $\mu_{T_n}(A) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Jakubowski–Skorokhod representation theorem ([26]), there is a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ with a sequence of random variables $(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^n, \tilde{W}^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\mathcal{L}[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^n, \tilde{W}^n] = \mu_{T_n}$ and $(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^n, \tilde{W}^n)$ satisfy equation (1.1) on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, there is a random variable $(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{W})$ having the law $\mathcal{L}[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{W}] = \mu$ so that

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^n, \tilde{W}^n) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{W}), \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.s. in } \mathcal{T}.$$

Thus we can pass to the limit in the equation to deduce that μ is a law of a solution of (1.1) on \mathbb{R} .

Next, we claim that

$$\frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} \mathcal{L}[S_{t+\tau}(\mathbf{u}, W)] dt - \frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} \mathcal{L}[S_t(\mathbf{u}, W)] dt \rightarrow 0, \quad (6.1)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\Psi \in C_b(\mathcal{T})$. Using the continuity of the time shifts S_t (for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$) on \mathcal{T} , we have $\Psi \circ S_t \in C_b(\mathcal{T})$ and it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} \mathcal{L}[S_{t+\tau}(\mathbf{u}, W)](\Psi) dt &= \frac{1}{T_n} \int_\tau^{T_n+\tau} \mathcal{L}[S_s(\mathbf{u}, W)](\Psi) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} \mathcal{L}[S_s(\mathbf{u}, W)](\Psi) ds - \frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^\tau \mathcal{L}[S_s(\mathbf{u}, W)](\Psi) ds + \frac{1}{T_n} \int_{T_n}^{T_n+\tau} \mathcal{L}[S_s(\mathbf{u}, W)](\Psi) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the boundedness of Ψ , the above expression has the same limit as

$$\frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} \mathcal{L}[S_s(\mathbf{u}, W)](\Psi) ds,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, this completes the proof of convergence (6.1). Let us now define

$$\mu_{\tau, T} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}[S_{t+\tau}(\mathbf{u}, W)] dt, \quad T > 0.$$

In view of (6.1), we have that $\mu_{\tau, T_n} \rightarrow \mu$ weakly in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, for every $\Psi \in C_b(\mathcal{T})$ and every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mu(\Psi \circ S_\tau) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{0, T_n}(\Psi \circ S_\tau) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{-\tau, T_n}(\Psi) = \mu(\Psi),$$

which completes the proof. \square

7. 3D STOCHASTIC EULER EQUATIONS

In this section, our aim is to prove the first main result for system (1.2), that is, we will provide the proof of Theorem 1.4. The steps of the proof of the main result for system (1.2) is similar to the system (1.1). We shall provide a quick proof based on the calculations given in the previous sections.

Let us first decompose stochastic Euler equations (1.2) into two parts, one is linear and involves the noise, whereas the second one is a random partial differential equation. In particular, we decompose $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} + \mathfrak{z}$ such that \mathfrak{z} solves the following stochastic linear system:

$$\begin{cases} d\mathfrak{z} + \mathfrak{z}dt = dW \\ \operatorname{div} \mathfrak{z} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

where W is same as given in Definition 1.1, and \mathbf{v} solves the following non-linear random system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div}((\mathbf{v} + \mathfrak{z}) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \mathfrak{z})) - \mathfrak{z} + \nabla p = \mathbf{0} \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (7.2)$$

Here, \mathfrak{z} is divergence-free by the assumptions on the noise W . Let us now provide the regularity results for \mathfrak{z} in the following Proposition:

Proposition 7.1 ([31, Proposition 3.1]). *Suppose that $\operatorname{Tr}\left((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}GG^*\right) < \infty$ for some $\sigma > 0$. Then for any $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $p \geq 2$*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathfrak{z}\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C^{\sigma}}^p \right] \lesssim \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathfrak{z}\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} H^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}}^p \right] \leq (p-1)^{\frac{p}{2}} L^p,$$

where $L \geq 1$ depends on $\operatorname{Tr}\left((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}GG^*\right)$, δ and is independent of p .

7.1. Iterative proposition. Now, we apply the convex integration method to the nonlinear equation (7.2), see Sections 3, 4 and 5 for more details. The convex integration iteration is indexed by a parameter $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In this section, we consider λ_q , δ_q and ℓ same as in the previous sections. At each step q , a pair $(\mathbf{v}_q, \mathring{N}_q)$ is constructing solving the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v}_q + \operatorname{div}((\mathbf{v}_q + \mathfrak{z}_q) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_q + \mathfrak{z}_q)) - \mathfrak{z}_q + \nabla \pi_q = \operatorname{div} \mathring{N}_q \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_q = 0. \end{cases} \quad (7.3)$$

In the above, we define

$$\mathfrak{z}_q(t, x) = \chi_q(\|\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_q(t)\|_{C_x^0}) \tilde{\chi}_q(\|\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_q(t)\|_{C_x^1}) \tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_q(t, x),$$

and $\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_q = \mathbb{P}_{\leq f(q)} \mathfrak{z}$, where χ_q , $\tilde{\chi}_q$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\leq f(q)}$ are same as given in Subsection 3.1. \mathring{N}_q on the right hand side of (7.3) is a 3×3 matrix which is trace-free and we put the trace part into the pressure. Note that \mathfrak{z}_q satisfies the similar estimates as \mathfrak{z}_q , for example $\|\mathfrak{z}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}}$, $\|\mathfrak{z}_q\|_{C_t^0 C_x^1} \leq \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}}$, $\|\mathfrak{z}_q\|_{C^{0,p}} \leq (p-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} L$, etc. (see Remarks 3.3 and 3.4). The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 7.2. *Suppose that $\operatorname{Tr}\left((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}GG^*\right) < \infty$ for some $\sigma \geq 1$. For any $p \geq 2$, we have for $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{3}$,*

$$\|\mathfrak{z}_{q+1} - \mathfrak{z}_q\|_{C^{0,p}} \lesssim pL^2 \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)}.$$

Under the above assumptions, we present the following iteration proposition:

Proposition 7.3. *Suppose that $\operatorname{Tr}\left((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}GG^*\right) < \infty$ for some $\sigma \geq 1$ and let $r > 1$ be fixed. Then, for any $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{24})$, there exists a choice of parameter α such that following holds true:*

Let $(\mathbf{v}_q, \mathring{N}_q)$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be an $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted solution to the system (7.3) satisfying

$$\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (7.4)$$

$$\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^1} \leq \lambda_q^{\frac{7}{3}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.5)$$

$$\|\mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^{0,2r}} \leq 1 - \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.6)$$

$$\|\mathring{N}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (7.7)$$

$$\|\mathring{N}_q\|_{C^{0,r}} \leq \delta_{q+1}. \quad (7.8)$$

There exists an $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted process $(\mathbf{v}_{q+1}, \dot{N}_{q+1})$ which solves the system (7.3), obeys (7.4)-(7.8) at the level $q+1$ and satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \mathbf{v}_q\|_{C^0, 2r} \leq \bar{M} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.9)$$

where \bar{M} is same as in (3.18).

Proof. The iteration starts at $\mathbf{v}_0 = \mathbf{0}$, $\dot{N}_0 = -\mathcal{R}\mathfrak{z}_0 + \mathfrak{z}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{z}_0$, where \mathcal{R} denotes the reverse-divergence operator. It implies that (3.13)-(3.15) are satisfied at the level $q=0$ immediately. From the definition of \mathfrak{z}_q , we have

$$\|\dot{N}_0\|_{C^0_{t,x}} \leq \|\mathfrak{z}_0\|_{C^0_{t,x}}^2 + \|\mathfrak{z}_0\|_{C^0_{t,x}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \lambda_0^{\frac{2}{3}} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_0^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq \lambda_0^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

and, we also obtain

$$\|\dot{N}_0\|_{C^0, r} \leq \|\mathfrak{z}_0\|_{C^0, 2r}^2 + \|\mathfrak{z}_0\|_{C^0, r} \leq 2rL^2 + rL \leq \delta_1.$$

Hence (7.7) and (7.8) are satisfied at the level $q=0$. Let us now assume that there exists a pair $(\mathbf{v}_q, \dot{N}_q)$ which satisfies (7.4)-(7.8). Our next aim is to show the existence of a pair $(\mathbf{v}_{q+1}, \dot{N}_{q+1})$ which satisfies (7.4)-(7.8) at level $q+1$.

Mollification: Let us define a mollification of \mathbf{v}_q, \dot{N}_q and \mathfrak{z}_q in space and time by convolution as follows:

$$\mathbf{v}_\ell := (\mathbf{v}_q * \psi_\ell) * \psi_\ell, \quad \dot{N}_\ell := (\dot{N}_q * \psi_\ell) * \psi_\ell, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{z}_\ell := (\mathfrak{z}_q * \psi_\ell) * \psi_\ell,$$

where ψ_ℓ and ψ_ℓ are given by (4.2). By the definition, it is immediate to see that \mathbf{v}_ℓ, N_ℓ and \mathfrak{z}_ℓ are $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted. From system (7.3), we find that $(\mathbf{v}_\ell, \dot{N}_\ell)$ satisfy the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v}_\ell + \operatorname{div}((\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathfrak{z}_\ell) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathfrak{z}_\ell)) + \nabla \pi_\ell - \mathbf{v}_\ell = \operatorname{div}(N_\ell + N_{com1}) \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_\ell = 0, \end{cases} \quad (7.10)$$

where the commutator stress

$$N_{com1} := (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathfrak{z}_\ell) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathfrak{z}_\ell) - ((\mathbf{v}_q + \mathfrak{z}_q) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_q + \mathfrak{z}_q)) * \psi_\ell * \psi_\ell, \quad (7.11)$$

and

$$\pi_\ell := (\pi_q * \psi_\ell) * \psi_\ell - \frac{1}{3} (|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathfrak{z}_\ell|^2 - (|\mathbf{v}_q + \mathfrak{z}_q|^2 * \psi_\ell) * \psi_\ell). \quad (7.12)$$

New velocity iteration \mathbf{v}_{q+1} : Let us define

$$\mathbf{v}_{q+1} := \mathbf{v}_\ell + \omega_{q+1} = \mathbf{v}_q - (\mathbf{v}_q - \mathbf{v}_\ell) + \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} + \omega_{q+1}^{(c)},$$

where ω_{q+1} is given by (4.16). It follows by the similar arguments as for (5.18), (5.19) and (5.20) that

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C^0_{t,x}} \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C^1_{t,x}} \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{v}_{q+1}\|_{C^0, 2r} \leq 1 - \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$.

New Reynolds stress \dot{N}_{q+1} : We infer from (7.10) and the system (7.3) at the level $q+1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{div} \dot{N}_{q+1} - \nabla \pi_{q+1} \\ &= \underbrace{(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathfrak{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(p)}}_{\operatorname{div} N_{trans}} + \underbrace{(\omega_{q+1} \cdot \nabla) (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathfrak{z}_\ell)}_{\operatorname{div} N_{Nash}} + \underbrace{\operatorname{div} (\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} + \dot{N}_\ell)}_{\operatorname{div} N_{osc} + \nabla \pi_{osc}} \\ &+ \underbrace{(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathfrak{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} + \operatorname{div} (\omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \otimes \omega_{q+1} + \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(c)})}_{\operatorname{div} N_{corr} + \nabla \pi_{corr}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\operatorname{div} (\mathbf{v}_{q+1} \otimes (\mathfrak{z}_{q+1} - \mathfrak{z}_\ell) + (\mathfrak{z}_{q+1} - \mathfrak{z}_\ell) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \mathfrak{z}_{q+1} \otimes \mathfrak{z}_{q+1} - \mathfrak{z}_\ell \otimes \mathfrak{z}_\ell + (\mathfrak{z}_{q+1} - \mathfrak{z}_\ell))}_{\operatorname{div} N_{com2} + \nabla \pi_{com2}} \\ &+ \operatorname{div} N_{com1} - \nabla \pi_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Here N_{com1} and π_ℓ are as defined in (7.11) and (7.12), respectively, and by using the inverse divergence operator \mathcal{R} discussed in Subsection 2.2, we define

$$N_{trans} := \mathcal{R} \left[(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathfrak{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \right],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{Nash} &:= \mathcal{R}[(\omega_{q+1} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathfrak{z}_\ell)], \\
N_{osc} &:= \sum_{j,j',\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} \mathcal{R} \left[\left\{ \mathbb{W}_\zeta \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'} - \frac{\mathbb{W}_\zeta \cdot \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}}{2} \text{Id} \right\} \nabla(a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \phi_\zeta \phi_{\zeta'}) \right], \\
N_{corr} &:= \mathcal{R} \left[(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathfrak{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla) \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \right] + \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} \otimes \omega_{q+1} + \omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \otimes \omega_{q+1}^{(c)}, \\
N_{com2} &:= \mathbf{v}_{q+1} \otimes (\mathfrak{z}_{q+1} - \mathfrak{z}_\ell) + (\mathfrak{z}_{q+1} - \mathfrak{z}_\ell) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{q+1} + \mathfrak{z}_{q+1} \otimes \mathfrak{z}_{q+1} - \mathfrak{z}_\ell \otimes \mathfrak{z}_\ell + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_\ell), \\
\pi_{osc} &:= c_*^{-1} \varrho + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,j',\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \phi_\zeta \phi_{\zeta'} (\mathbb{W}_\zeta \cdot \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}), \\
\pi_{corr} &:= \frac{1}{3} \left(2\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} \cdot \omega_{q+1}^{(c)} + |\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}|^2 \right), \\
\pi_{com2} &:= \frac{1}{3} (\mathbf{v}_{q+1} \cdot (\mathfrak{z}_{q+1} - \mathfrak{z}_\ell) + (\mathfrak{z}_{q+1} - \mathfrak{z}_\ell) \cdot \mathfrak{z}_{q+1} + |\mathfrak{z}_{q+1}|^2 - |\mathfrak{z}_\ell|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\dot{N}_{q+1} = N_{trans} + N_{Nash} + N_{osc} + N_{corr} + N_{com1} + N_{com2},$$

and

$$\pi_{q+1} = \pi_\ell - \pi_{osc} - \pi_{corr} - \pi_{com2}.$$

We infer by the similar arguments as for (5.31)-(5.32), (5.41)-(5.42), (5.46)-(5.47), (5.55)-(5.56), (5.57)-(5.59) and (5.63)-(5.65) that

$$\|\dot{N}_{q+1}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\dot{N}_{q+1}\|_{C^{0,r}} \leq \delta_{q+2},$$

for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$. This completes the proof. \square

The following proposition can be proved by following the similar arguments used in the proof of Proposition 3.7.

Proposition 7.4 (Bifurcating inductive proposition). *Let $(\mathbf{v}_q, \dot{N}_q)$ be as in the statement of Proposition 7.3. For any interval $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $|\mathcal{I}| \geq 3m_q$ (where m_q is defined in (4.6)), we can produce a first pair $(\mathbf{v}_{q+1}, \dot{N}_{q+1})$ and a second pair $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}, \tilde{N}_{q+1})$ which share the same initial data, satisfy the same conclusions of Proposition 7.3 and additionally*

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}\|_{L_x^{2,2}} \geq \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{supp}_t(\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}) \subset \mathcal{I}.$$

Moreover, if we are given two pairs $(\mathbf{v}_q, \dot{N}_q)$ and $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_q, \tilde{N}_q)$ satisfying (7.4)-(7.8) and

$$\text{supp}_t(\mathbf{v}_q - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_q, \dot{N}_q - \tilde{N}_q) \subset \mathcal{J},$$

for some interval $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{R}$, we can exhibit corrected counterparts $(\mathbf{v}_{q+1}, \dot{N}_{q+1})$ and $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}, \tilde{N}_{q+1})$ again satisfying the same conclusion of Proposition 7.3 together with the following control on the support of their difference:

$$\text{supp}_t(\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}, \dot{N}_{q+1} - \tilde{N}_{q+1}) \subset \mathcal{J} + \lambda_q^{-\frac{8}{5}}.$$

7.2. Main results. We have just established the iteration proposition. In view of Propositions 7.3 and 7.4, we provide the following result for the system (1.2) which can be proved by following the similar arguments used in the proof of Theorem 3.8.

Theorem 7.5. *Suppose that $\text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma} GG^*) < \infty$ for some $\sigma \geq 1$ and let $r > 1$ be fixed. Then, for any $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{24})$, there exist infinitely many $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -adapted process $\mathbf{u}(\cdot)$ which belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}; C^\vartheta)$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. for $\vartheta \in (0, \frac{5}{7}\beta)$ and is an analytically weak solution to (1.2) in the sense of Definition 1.1. Moreover, the solutions satisfies*

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C^\vartheta, 2r} < \infty. \tag{7.13}$$

Our next result is the existence of stationary solutions which are constructed as limits of ergodic averages of solutions from Theorem 7.5. This in particular implies their non-uniqueness. The proof of the following theorem can be done by following the similar arguments used in the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 7.6. *Let \mathbf{u} be a solution of system (1.2) obtained in Theorem 7.5 and satisfying (7.13). Then there exists a sequence $T_n \rightarrow \infty$ and a stationary solution $\left((\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}), \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{W}} \right)$ to (1.2) such that*

$$\frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} \mathcal{L}[S_t(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{W})] dt \rightarrow \mathcal{L}[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{W}}],$$

weakly in the sense of probability measures on \mathcal{T} as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where \mathcal{T} is same as defined in Section 6.

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF THE KEY RESULTS

The purpose of this section is to provide the proof of some key results which are used to prove the main results of this article. In particular, we will provide the proof of Proposition 3.1, Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.7, respectively.

A.1. Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let us recall that the unique stationary solution to (3.1) has the explicit form

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-(t-r)} S(t-r) d\mathbf{W}(r),$$

where $S(t) = e^{-At}$ denotes an analytic semigroup generated by the operator $A = (-\Delta)^\alpha$. By [29, Section 2.1], the Wiener process \mathbf{W} can be written as $\mathbf{W} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sqrt{c_k} \beta_k \mathbf{e}_k$ for an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{e}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of L^2_σ consisting of eigenfunctions of GG^* with corresponding eigenvalues c_k , and the coefficient satisfy $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} c_k < \infty$, where $\{\beta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of mutually independent standard two-sided real-valued Brownian motions. Also, in view of our assumption, we have (see [11, Appendix C])

$$\text{Tr}\left((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2} + \sigma - \alpha} GG^* \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2} + \sigma) - \alpha} \mathbf{e}_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 < \infty.$$

Then it holds for $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $t \geq s$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[\|\mathbf{z}(t) - \mathbf{z}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left\| \int_s^t e^{-(t-r)} S(t-r) d\mathbf{W}(r) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left\| \int_{-\infty}^s \left[e^{-(t-r)} S(t-r) - e^{-(s-r)} S(s-r) \right] d\mathbf{W}(r) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_s^t e^{-2(t-r)} \|S(t-r) \mathbf{e}_k\|_{L^2}^2 dr + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{-\infty}^s \left\| \left[e^{-(t-r)} S(t-r) - e^{-(s-r)} S(s-r) \right] \mathbf{e}_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 dr \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_s^t e^{-2(t-r)} \|S(t-r) \mathbf{e}_k\|_{L^2}^2 dr + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{-\infty}^s \left[e^{-(t-r)} - e^{-(s-r)} \right]^2 \|S(t-r) \mathbf{e}_k\|_{L^2}^2 dr \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(s-r)} \| [S(t-r) - S(s-r)] \mathbf{e}_k \|_{L^2}^2 dr \\ &\lesssim \text{Tr}(GG^*) \int_s^t e^{-2(t-r)} dr + \text{Tr}(GG^*) \int_{-\infty}^s \left[e^{-(t-r)} - e^{-(s-r)} \right]^2 dr + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(s-r)} \| [S(t-r) - S(s-r)] \mathbf{e}_k \|_{L^2}^2 dr \\ &\leq \text{Tr}(GG^*) (t-s)^{2\gamma} + 2 \text{Tr}(GG^*) (t-s)^{2\gamma} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(s-r)} \left\| \left(\int_{s-r}^{t-r} A S(\tau) d\tau \right) \mathbf{e}_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 dr \\ &\lesssim \text{Tr}(GG^*) (t-s)^{2\gamma} + \text{Tr}(GG^*) \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(s-r)} \left| \int_{s-r}^{t-r} \frac{1}{\tau} d\tau \right|^2 dr \\ &\lesssim \text{Tr}(GG^*) (t-s)^{2\gamma} + \text{Tr}(GG^*) \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(s-r)} (s-r)^{-2\gamma} \left| \int_{s-r}^{t-r} \tau^{\gamma-1} d\tau \right|^2 dr \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Tr}(GG^*)(t-s)^{2\gamma} + \frac{\text{Tr}(GG^*)}{\gamma^2} \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(s-r)}(s-r)^{-2\gamma} [(t-r)^\gamma - (s-r)^\gamma]^2 dr \\
&\lesssim \text{Tr}(GG^*)(t-s)^{2\gamma} + \frac{\text{Tr}(GG^*)}{\gamma^2} (t-s)^{2\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(s-r)}(s-r)^{-2\gamma} dr \\
&= \text{Tr}(GG^*)(t-s)^{2\gamma} + \frac{\text{Tr}(GG^*)}{\gamma^2} \frac{\Gamma(1-2\gamma)}{2^{1-2\gamma}} (t-s)^{2\gamma} \lesssim \text{Tr}(GG^*)(t-s)^{2\gamma},
\end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant depends only on the semigroup $S(\cdot)$ and γ but it is independent of time. Again, it holds for $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $\xi \in (\gamma, \frac{1}{2})$ and $t \geq s$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)} \mathbf{z}(t) - (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)} \mathbf{z}(s) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \int_s^t e^{-(t-r)} (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)} S(t-r) dW(r) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \int_{-\infty}^s \left[e^{-(t-r)} (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)} S(t-r) - e^{-(s-r)} (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)} S(s-r) \right] dW(r) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_s^t e^{-2(t-r)} \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)} S(t-r) \mathbf{e}_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 dr \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{-\infty}^s \left\| \left[e^{-(t-r)} (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)} S(t-r) - e^{-(s-r)} (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)} S(s-r) \right] \mathbf{e}_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 dr \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_s^t e^{-2(t-r)} \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)-\alpha\gamma} (-A)^\gamma S(t-r) \mathbf{e}_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 dr \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(t-r)} \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)-\alpha\gamma} (-A)^\gamma [S(t-r) - S(s-r)] \mathbf{e}_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 dr \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{-\infty}^s \left[e^{-(t-r)} - e^{-(s-r)} \right]^2 \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)-\alpha\gamma} (-A)^\gamma S(s-r) \mathbf{e}_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 dr \\
&\lesssim \text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma} GG^*) \int_s^t e^{-2(t-r)} (t-r)^{-2\gamma} dr + \text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma} GG^*) \int_{-\infty}^s \left[e^{-(t-r)} - e^{-(s-r)} \right]^2 (s-r)^{-2\gamma} dr \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(t-r)} \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)-\alpha\gamma} (-A)^\gamma \left(\int_{s-r}^{t-r} \frac{d}{d\tau} S(\tau) d\tau \right) \mathbf{e}_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 dr \\
&\leq \text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma} GG^*) \frac{\Gamma(1-2\xi)}{2^{1-2\xi}} (t-s)^{2(\xi-\gamma)} + \text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma} GG^*) \frac{\Gamma(1-2\gamma)}{2^{-2\gamma}} (t-s)^{2(\xi-\gamma)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(t-r)} \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}+\sigma)-\alpha\gamma} (-A)^\gamma \left(\int_{s-r}^{t-r} AS(\tau) d\tau \right) \mathbf{e}_k \right\|_{L^2}^2 dr \\
&\lesssim \text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma} GG^*) \left[\frac{(1-2\xi)}{2^{1-2\xi}} + \frac{(1-2\gamma)}{2^{-2\gamma}} \right] (t-s)^{2(\xi-\gamma)} \\
&\quad + \text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma} GG^*) \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(t-r)} \left| \int_{s-r}^{t-r} \tau^{-1-\gamma} d\tau \right|^2 dr
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma}GG^*) \left[\frac{(1-2\xi)}{2^{1-2\xi}} + \frac{(1-2\gamma)}{2^{-2\gamma}} \right] (t-s)^{2(\xi-\gamma)} \\
&\quad + \text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma}GG^*) \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(t-r)}(s-r)^{-2\xi} \left| \int_{s-r}^{t-r} \tau^{\xi-1-\gamma} d\tau \right|^2 dr \\
&= \text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma}GG^*) \left[\frac{(1-2\xi)}{2^{1-2\xi}} + \frac{(1-2\gamma)}{2^{-2\gamma}} \right] (t-s)^{2(\xi-\gamma)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma}GG^*)}{(\xi-\gamma)^2} \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(t-r)}(s-r)^{-2\xi} [(t-r)^{\xi-\gamma} - (s-r)^{\xi-\gamma}]^2 dr \\
&\lesssim \text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma}GG^*) \left[\frac{(1-2\xi)}{2^{1-2\xi}} + \frac{(1-2\gamma)}{2^{-2\gamma}} \right] (t-s)^{2(\xi-\gamma)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma}GG^*)}{(\xi-\gamma)^2} (t-s)^{2(\xi-\gamma)} \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-2(t-r)}(s-r)^{-2\xi} dr \\
&\leq \text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma}GG^*) \left[\frac{(1-2\xi)}{2^{1-2\xi}} + \frac{(1-2\gamma)}{2^{-2\gamma}} \right] (t-s)^{2(\xi-\gamma)} + \frac{\text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma}GG^*)}{(\xi-\gamma)^2} \frac{\Gamma(1-2\xi)}{2^{1-2\xi}} (t-s)^{2(\xi-\gamma)} \\
&\lesssim \text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-2\alpha\gamma}GG^*) (t-s)^{2(\xi-\gamma)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant depends only on the semigroup $S(\cdot)$, γ and ξ but it is independent of time, and we have also used the properties of semigroup $S(\cdot)$ from [36, Theorems 5.2 and 6.13]. Using Gaussianity (see for e.g. [35, Subsection 5.4]), we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{z}(t) - \mathbf{z}(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}}^p \right] \leq (p-1)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{z}(t) - \mathbf{z}(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}}^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

By Kolmogorov's continuity criterion and fundamental Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma} \hookrightarrow C^\sigma$ for $\sigma > 0$, we obtain for $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{z}\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} C^\sigma}^p \right] \lesssim \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{z}\|_{C_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \mathbb{H}^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}}^p \right] \leq (p-1)^{\frac{p}{2}} L^p,$$

where $L \geq 1$ depends on $\text{Tr}((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}+\sigma-\alpha}GG^*)$, δ and is independent of p .

A.2. Proof of Lemma 3.5. By the definition of \mathbf{z}_q , we deduce for any $p \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{z}_{q+1} - \mathbf{z}_q\|_{C^0, p}^p &= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\mathbf{z}_{q+1}(s) - \mathbf{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^p \right] \\
&= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\chi_{q+1}(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^0}) \tilde{\chi}_{q+1}(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^1}) \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{q+1}(s) - \chi_q(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}) \tilde{\chi}_q(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(s)\|_{C_x^1}) \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^p \right] \\
&\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{q+1}(s) - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^p \cdot \chi_{q+1}^p(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^0}) \cdot \tilde{\chi}_{q+1}^p(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^1}) \right] \\
&\quad + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^p \cdot |\chi_{q+1}(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^0}) - \chi_q(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0})|^p \cdot \tilde{\chi}_q^p(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(s)\|_{C_x^1}) \right] \\
&\quad + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^p \cdot \chi_{q+1}^p(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^0}) \cdot |\tilde{\chi}_{q+1}(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^1}) - \tilde{\chi}_q(\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(s)\|_{C_x^1})|^p \right] \\
&:= \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{II} + \mathbf{III}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Estimate for I. By the definition of $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{q+1}(t, x) - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_q(t, x) = \sum_{\lambda_q^{\frac{1}{2}} < |k| \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{ik \cdot x} \hat{\mathbf{z}}(t, k),$$

where \hat{z} is the Fourier transform of z , and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$. Then using Hölder's inequality, we deduce for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(t) - \tilde{z}_q(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \sum_{\lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} < |k| \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}} |\hat{z}(t, k)| \\ &= \sum_{\lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} < |k| \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}} (1 + |k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2} + \sigma)} |\hat{z}(t, k)| (1 + |k|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2} + \sigma)} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} < |k| \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}} (1 + |k|^2)^{\frac{3}{2} + \sigma} |\hat{z}(t, k)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\sum_{\lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} < |k| \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}} (1 + |k|^2)^{-(\frac{3}{2} + \sigma)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{-\frac{1}{3}\sigma} \|z(t)\|_{H^{\frac{3}{2} + \sigma}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Taking expectation of (A.2) and using (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I} &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s) - \tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^p \right] \\ &\leq \lambda_q^{-\frac{1}{3}\sigma p} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|z(t)\|_{H^{\frac{3}{2} + \sigma}}^p \right] \leq \lambda_q^{-\frac{1}{3}\sigma p} \|z\|_{H^{\frac{3}{2} + \sigma}, p}^p \leq (\lambda_q^{-\frac{1}{3}\sigma} \sqrt{pL})^p. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Estimate for II. By the definitions of χ_q and χ_{q+1} (see Subsection 3.1), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\chi_{q+1}(\|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^0}) - \chi_q(\|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0})| \\ &\leq \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0} \leq \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon}, \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^0} > \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0} > \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\}} \\ &\leq \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^0} > \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0} > \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Using (A.4) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{II} &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^p \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^0} > \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\}} \right] + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^p \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0} > \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\}} \right] \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^{2p} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\mathbb{P} \left\{ \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} > \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^{2p} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\mathbb{P} \left\{ \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_{t,x}^0} > \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

By Chebyshev's inequality and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{P} \left\{ \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_{t,x}^0} > \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq (7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2)^p \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon)p} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^{2p} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2)^p \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon)p} (\sqrt{2pL})^p, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{P} \left\{ \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_{t,x}^0} > \frac{1}{7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq (7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2)^p \lambda_{q+1}^{-(\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon)p} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^0}^{2p} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2)^p \lambda_{q+1}^{-(\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon)p} (\sqrt{2pL})^p. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Therefore, using (A.5)-(A.7) and (3.8), we write

$$\mathbf{II} \leq \|\tilde{z}_q\|_{C^{0,2p}}^p (7 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \sqrt{2pL})^p (\lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon)p} + \lambda_{q+1}^{-(\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon)p}) \lesssim (7 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 2pL^2)^p \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon)p}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Estimate for III. By the definitions of $\tilde{\chi}_q$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{q+1}$ (see Subsection 3.1), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\tilde{\chi}_{q+1}(\|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^1}) - \tilde{\chi}_q(\|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^1})| \leq \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^1} \leq \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3} - \varepsilon}, \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^1} > \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^1} > \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\}} \\ &\leq \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^1} > \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^1} > \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3} - \varepsilon} \right\}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Using (A.9) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{III} &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^p \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^1} > \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon}\}} \right] + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^p \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^1} > \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon}\}} \right] \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^{2p} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\mathbb{P} \left\{ \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^1} > \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon} \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^0}^{2p} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\mathbb{P} \left\{ \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^1} > \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon} \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

By Chebyshev's inequality and (3.9), we have

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{P} \left\{ \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^1} > \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon} \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda_q^{-(\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon)p} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_q(s)\|_{C_x^1}^{2p} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda_q^{-(\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon)p} \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}p} (\sqrt{2pL})^p, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{P} \left\{ \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_t^0 C_x^1} > \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon} \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{-(\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon)p} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+1} \|\tilde{z}_{q+1}(s)\|_{C_x^1}^{2p} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{-(\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon)p} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{3}p} (\sqrt{2pL})^p. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Therefore, using (A.10)-(A.12) and (3.8), we write

$$\mathbf{III} \leq \|\tilde{z}_q\|_{C^{0,2p}}^p (\sqrt{2pL})^p (\lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)p} + \lambda_{q+1}^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)p}) \lesssim (2pL^2)^p \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)p}. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Hence, in view of (A.1), (A.3), (A.8) and (A.13), we have

$$\|z_{q+1} - z_q\|_{C^{0,p}} \lesssim (\mathbf{I})^{1/p} + (\mathbf{II})^{1/p} + (\mathbf{III})^{1/p} \lesssim \lambda_q^{-\frac{1}{3}\sigma} \sqrt{pL} + pL^2 \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)} \lesssim pL^2 (\lambda_q^{-\frac{1}{3}\sigma} + \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)}) \lesssim pL^2 \lambda_q^{-(\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon)},$$

where we have used $\sigma \geq 1$. This completes the proof.

A.3. Proof of Proposition 3.7. Let us choose and fix an interval $\mathcal{I} \subset [k, k+1]$ with $|\mathcal{I}| \geq 3m_q$. Then we can always find j_0 such that

$$\text{supp}_t(\eta_{j_0, k}(\cdot)) = \text{supp}_t(\eta(m_q^{-1}(\cdot - k) - j_0)) \subset \mathcal{I}.$$

For $j = j_0$, we replace $\Gamma_\zeta^{(j)}$ in ω_{q+1} by $\tilde{\Gamma}_\zeta^{(j)} = -\Gamma_\zeta^{(j)}$. In other words, we replace a_ζ by $\tilde{a}_\zeta = -a_\zeta$. Otherwise, we keep the same $\Gamma_\zeta^{(j)}$. Note that $\tilde{\Gamma}_\zeta^{(j)}$ still solves (B.5) and hence \tilde{a}_ζ satisfies (4.13). Let us denote the velocity perturbation, principle part of velocity perturbation, corrector part of velocity perturbation, new velocity and new stress tensor corresponding to \tilde{a}_ζ by $\tilde{\omega}_{q+1}$, $\tilde{\omega}_{q+1}^{(p)}$, $\tilde{\omega}_{q+1}^{(c)}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}$ and \tilde{R}_{q+1} . Observe that \tilde{a}_ζ also satisfies the same bound as a_ζ in (5.1). As a result, the pair $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}, \tilde{R}_{q+1})$ satisfies (3.13)-(3.17) at level $q+1$ and (3.18) as desired.

On the other hand, by the construction, $\tilde{\omega}_{q+1}$ differs from ω_{q+1} on the support of $\text{supp}_t(\eta_{j_0, k}(\cdot))$. Therefore, we can easily see

$$\text{supp}_t(\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}) = \text{supp}_t(\omega_{q+1} - \tilde{\omega}_{q+1}) \subset \mathcal{I}.$$

We have

$$\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} - \tilde{\omega}_{q+1}^{(p)} = 2 \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_{j_0}} c_*^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \eta_{j_0, k} \cdot \Gamma_\zeta^{(j_0)} \left(\text{Id} - c_* \frac{\mathring{R}_\ell}{\varrho} \right) \cdot B_\zeta e^{i\lambda_{q+1}\zeta \cdot \Phi_{k, j_0}}, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} &(\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} - \tilde{\omega}_{q+1}^{(p)}) \otimes (\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} - \tilde{\omega}_{q+1}^{(p)}) \\ &= 4 \sum_{\zeta} c_*^{-1} \varrho \cdot \eta_{j_0, k}^2 \cdot \left[\Gamma_\zeta^{(j_0)} \left(\text{Id} - c_* \frac{\mathring{R}_\ell}{\varrho} \right) \right]^2 \cdot B_\zeta \otimes B_{\zeta'} + 4 \sum_{\zeta + \zeta' \neq 0} a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \mathbb{W}_\zeta(\Phi_{k, j_0}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}(\Phi_{k, j_0}) \\ &= 4\eta_{j_0, k}^2 \left(c_*^{-1} \varrho \text{Id} - \mathring{R}_\ell \right) + \sum_{\zeta + \zeta' \neq 0} a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \mathbb{W}_\zeta(\Phi_{k, j_0}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}(\Phi_{k, j_0}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

which gives

$$|\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} - \tilde{\omega}_{q+1}^{(p)}|^2 = 12\eta_{j_0, k}^2 c_*^{-1} \varrho \text{Id} + \sum_{\zeta + \zeta' \neq 0} \text{Tr}(a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \mathbb{W}_\zeta(\Phi_{k, j_0}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}(\Phi_{k, j_0})). \quad (\text{A.16})$$

In view of Lemma D.1, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \text{Tr}(a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \mathbb{W}_\zeta(\Phi_{k,j_0}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}(\Phi_{k,j_0})) dx \right] \right| \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \text{Tr}(a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} \mathbb{W}_\zeta(\Phi_{k,j_0}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\zeta'}(\Phi_{k,j_0})) dx \right| \right] \\
& \lesssim \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} a_\zeta a_{\zeta'} B_\zeta \otimes B_{-\zeta} e^{i\lambda_{q+1}(\zeta+\zeta') \cdot \Phi_{k,j_0}} dx \right| \right] \\
& \lesssim \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{\zeta+\zeta' \neq 0} \frac{\|a_\zeta a_{\zeta'}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^m} + \|a_\zeta a_{\zeta'}\|_{C_{t,x}^0} \|\nabla \Phi_{k,j_0}\|_{C_t^0 C_x^m}}{\lambda_{q+1}^m} \right] \\
& \lesssim \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\lambda_q^{\frac{8}{5}m} (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1}) + (\|\mathring{R}_q\|_{C_{t,x}^0} + \delta_{q+1}) \lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2N-1)}}{\lambda_{q+1}^m} \right] \lesssim \lambda_q^{-\frac{2}{5}m} \delta_{q+1} \leq \delta_{q+1}, \tag{A.17}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.43), (C.7) and $m > 9$, and a is chosen sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Making use of (A.16), (4.11), (3.17) and (A.17), we estimate

$$\|\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} - \tilde{\omega}_{q+1}^{(p)}\|_{L_{x,2}^2}^2 \geq 12(2\pi)^3 c_*^{-1} \delta_{q+1} - \delta_{q+1} \geq 4\delta_{q+1}. \tag{A.18}$$

Therefore, using (A.18) and (5.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}\|_{L_{x,2}^2} &= \|\omega_{q+1} - \tilde{\omega}_{q+1}\|_{L_{x,2}^2} \\
&\geq \|\omega_{q+1}^{(p)} - \tilde{\omega}_{q+1}^{(p)}\|_{L_{x,2}^2} - (2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} (\|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C^0,2} + \|\tilde{\omega}_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C^0,2}) \geq 2\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{A.19}
\end{aligned}$$

where a is chosen sufficiently large to absorb the constant in the inequality

$$\|\omega_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C^0,2r} + \|\tilde{\omega}_{q+1}^{(c)}\|_{C^0,2r} \lesssim 2\lambda_q^{-\frac{2}{5}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Lastly, we suppose that a pair $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_q, \tilde{R}_q)$ (satisfying system (3.4)) satisfies (3.13)-(3.17) and

$$\text{supp}_t(\mathbf{v}_q - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_q, \mathring{R}_q - \tilde{R}_q) \subset \mathcal{J}$$

for some time interval \mathcal{J} . Proceed to construct the regularized flow, \tilde{R}_ℓ as we did for \mathring{R}_ℓ and note that they differ only in $\mathcal{J} + \ell = \mathcal{J} + \lambda_q^{-\frac{8}{5}}$. As a result, ω_{q+1} differ from $\tilde{\omega}_{q+1}$ only in $\mathcal{J} + \lambda_q^{-\frac{8}{5}}$ and hence the pairs $(\mathbf{v}_{q+1}, \mathring{R}_{q+1})$ and $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}, \tilde{R}_{q+1})$ satisfy

$$\text{supp}_t(\mathbf{v}_{q+1} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{q+1}, \mathring{R}_{q+1} - \tilde{R}_{q+1}) \subset \mathcal{J} + \lambda_q^{-\frac{8}{5}}.$$

This completes the proof.

APPENDIX B. BELTRAMI WAVES

In this section, we recall the Beltrami waves from [5, Section 5.4] which is adapted to the convex integration technique in Proposition 3.6. Note that the construction discussed below is independent of sample points ω , that is, it is purely deterministic. First of all we recall the definition of Beltrami waves.

Given $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^2 \cap \mathbb{Q}^3$, let $A_\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^2 \cap \mathbb{Q}^3$ satisfy $A_\zeta \cdot \zeta = 0$ and $A_{-\zeta} = A_\zeta$. Furthermore, we also define a complex vector

$$B_\zeta := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A_\zeta + i\zeta \times A_\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^3. \tag{B.1}$$

It is immediate from the definition of B_ζ that it satisfies

$$|B_\zeta| = 1, \quad B_\zeta \cdot \zeta = 0, \quad i\zeta \times B_\zeta = B_\zeta, \quad B_{-\zeta} = \overline{B_\zeta}.$$

With the above preparation, we infer that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $\lambda\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, the function

$$\mathbb{W}_\zeta := \mathbb{W}_{\zeta,\lambda}(x) := B_\zeta e^{i\lambda\zeta \cdot x},$$

is \mathbb{T}^3 -periodic, divergence-free, and is an eigenfunction of the curl operator with eigenvalue λ . That is, \mathbb{W}_ζ is a complex Beltrami plane wave. Next, we discuss a useful property of linear combinations of complex Beltrami plane waves.

Lemma B.1 ([5, Proposition 5.5]). *Let Λ be a given finite subset of $\mathbb{S}^2 \cap \mathbb{Q}^3$ such that $-\Lambda = \Lambda$, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\lambda\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Then for any choice of coefficients $a_\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\overline{a_\zeta} = a_{-\zeta}$ the vector field*

$$\mathbb{W}(x) = \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda} a_\zeta B_\zeta e^{i\lambda\zeta \cdot x}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

is a real-valued, divergence-free Beltrami vector field $\text{curl}\mathbb{W} = \lambda\mathbb{W}$, and satisfies stationary Euler equations

$$\text{div}(\mathbb{W} \otimes \mathbb{W}) = \nabla \frac{|\mathbb{W}|^2}{2}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Furthermore, we also have

$$\langle \mathbb{W} \otimes \mathbb{W} \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \mathbb{W}(x) \otimes \mathbb{W}(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda} |a_\zeta|^2 (\text{Id} - \zeta \otimes \zeta). \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Remark B.2. *The key point of the construction is that the abundance of Beltrami flows allows to find several flows v such that*

$$\langle v \otimes v \rangle(t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (v \otimes v)(t, x) \, dx = R,$$

for some prescribed symmetric matrix R . It is true that we must choose these flows carefully such that they rely smoothly on the matrix R , at least when R belongs to a neighborhood of the identity matrix. In view of (B.4), such selection is possible (see Lemma B.3 below).

The following lemma can be found in [5] (see [5, Proposition 5.6]).

Lemma B.3 (Geometric Lemma). *There exists a constant (sufficient small) $0 < c_* \leq 1$ with the following property. Let $B_{c_*}(\text{Id})$ denote the closed ball of symmetric 3×3 matrices, centered at Id , of radius c_* . Then, there exists pairwise disjoint subsets*

$$\Lambda_\alpha \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \cap \mathbb{Q}^3, \quad \alpha \in \{0, 1\},$$

and smooth positive functions

$$\Gamma_\zeta^{(\alpha)} \in C^\infty(B_{c_*}(\text{Id})), \quad \alpha \in \{0, 1\}, \zeta \in \Lambda_\alpha,$$

such that the following hold:

For every $\zeta \in \Lambda_\alpha$, we have $-\zeta \in \Lambda_\alpha$ and $\Gamma_\zeta^{(\alpha)} = \Gamma_{-\zeta}^{(\alpha)}$. For each $R \in B_{c_}(\text{Id})$, we have the identity*

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_\alpha} \left[\Gamma_\zeta^{(\alpha)}(R) \right]^2 (\text{Id} - \zeta \otimes \zeta). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Remark B.4. *It has been noticed (see [5]) that it is sufficient to consider index sets Λ_0 and Λ_1 in Lemma B.3 to have 12 elements. Moreover, by abuse of notation, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote $\Lambda_j = \Lambda_{j \bmod 2}$. Hence in Subsection 4.3, we also write $\Gamma_\zeta^{(\alpha)}$ as $\Gamma_\zeta^{(j)}$. Also it is convenient to denote M geometric constant such that*

$$\sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_\alpha} \|\Gamma_\zeta^{(\alpha)}\|_{C^n(B_{c_*}(\text{Id}))} \leq M, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

holds for n large enough, $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\zeta \in \Lambda_\alpha$. This parameter is universal.

APPENDIX C. ESTIMATES FOR TRANSPORT EQUATIONS

In this section, we present a detailed estimates of the solutions to the transport equation (4.7) which have been frequently used in this work. Let us consider the following transport equation on $[t_0, T]$, $t_0 \geq 0$:

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{f}(t_0, x) = \mathbf{f}_0. \end{cases}$$

We have the following estimates for \mathbf{f} (see [2, Proposition D.1, (133), (134)]):

$$\|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{C_x^1} \leq \|\mathbf{f}_0\|_{C_x^1} e^{(t-t_0)\|\mathbf{m}\|_{C_{[t_0, T]}^0} C_x^1} + \int_{t_0}^t e^{(t-\tau)\|\mathbf{m}\|_{C_{[t_0, T]}^0} C_x^1} \|\mathbf{g}(\tau)\|_{C_x^1} \, d\tau, \quad (\text{C.1})$$

and more generally, for any $N \geq 2$, there exists a constant $C = C_N$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{C_x^N} \leq \left(\|\mathbf{f}_0\|_{C_x^N} + C(t-t_0)\|\mathbf{m}\|_{C_{[t_0, T]}^0} C_x^N \|\mathbf{f}_0\|_{C_x^1} \right) e^{C(t-t_0)\|\mathbf{m}\|_{C_{[t_0, T]}^0} C_x^1}$$

$$+ \int_{t_0}^t e^{C(t-\tau)\|\mathbf{m}\|_{C_{[t_0, T]}^0 C_x^1}} \left(\|\mathbf{g}(\tau)\|_{C_x^N} + C(t-\tau)\|\mathbf{m}\|_{C_{[t_0, t]}^0 C_x^N} \|\mathbf{g}(\tau)\|_{C_x^1} \right) d\tau. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Consider the following case:

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla)\Phi = 0 \\ \Phi(t_0, x) = x. \end{cases}$$

Now let $\Psi(s, x) = \Phi(s, x) - x$, then Ψ satisfies the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla)\Psi = -\mathbf{m} \\ \Psi(t_0, x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

From (C.1), we have

$$\|\nabla\Phi(t) - \text{Id}\|_{C_x^0} = \|\Psi(t)\|_{C_x^1} \leq \int_{t_0}^t e^{(t-\tau)\|\mathbf{m}\|_{C_{[t_0, T]}^0 C_x^1}} \|\mathbf{m}\|_{C_{[t_0, T]}^0 C_x^1} d\tau = e^{(t-t_0)\|\mathbf{m}\|_{C_{[t_0, T]}^0 C_x^1}} - 1. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Now let us find the similar estimates for the solution $\Phi_{k,j}$ of (4.7), for $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, [m_q^{-1}]\}$, where m_q is given by (4.6). In view of (3.7), (3.14) and (4.6), we obtain for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{24}$

$$\begin{aligned} m_q \|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_{[k, k+1]}^0 C_x^1} &\leq m_q \left(\lambda_q^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{5}} \right) \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{-\frac{3}{4}} \lambda_q^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{q+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\lambda_q^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{5}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \lambda_{q+1}^{-\frac{3}{4}} \lambda_q^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{q+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \lambda_q^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \lambda_{q+1}^{-\frac{3}{4}} \lambda_q^{\frac{13}{20}} \delta_{q+1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}} = \lambda_q^{-(\frac{3}{2}-\beta)+\frac{1}{2}(\frac{13}{10}-\beta)} \leq \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}} \ll 1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.4})$$

where we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Since $e^x - 1 \leq 2x$ for $x \in [0, 1]$, we obtain for (C.3) and (C.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\nabla\Phi_{k,j}(t) - \text{Id}\|_{C_x^0} &\leq e^{2m_q \|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_{[k, k+1]}^0 C_x^1}} - 1 \\ &\lesssim m_q \|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_{[k, k+1]}^0 C_x^1} \leq \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}} \ll 1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

where we choose a sufficiently large to absorb the constant. Furthermore, we also have from (C.5)

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\nabla\Phi_{k,j}(t)\|_{C_x^0} \leq \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\nabla\Phi_{k,j}(t) - \text{Id}\|_{C_x^0} + 1 \leq 2, \\ \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\nabla\Phi_{k,j}(t)\|_{C_x^0} \geq 1 - \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\nabla\Phi_{k,j}(t) - \text{Id}\|_{C_x^0} \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.6})$$

Similarly, by (C.2) and (C.4), we get for $N \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\nabla\Phi_{k,j}(t)\|_{C_x^N} &\lesssim m_q \|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_{[k, k+1]}^0 C_x^{N+1}} e^{2m_q \|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_{[k, k+1]}^0 C_x^1}} \\ &\lesssim \ell^{-N} m_q \|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_{[k, k+1]}^0 C_x^1} \lesssim \ell^{-N} \lambda_q^{-\frac{4}{5}} = \lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}(2N-1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

where we have also used (4.1). Now, using (4.7), (C.6), (3.13) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\partial_t \Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_x^0} \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|((\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell) \cdot \nabla)\Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_x^0} \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_x^0} \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\nabla\Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_x^0} \lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.8})$$

Differentiating both side of the first equations of (4.7), and using (C.6), (C.7), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.7), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\partial_t \nabla\Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_x^0} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\nabla(\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell)\|_{C_x^0} \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\nabla\Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_x^0} \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\mathbf{v}_\ell + \mathbf{z}_\ell\|_{C_x^0} \sup_{t \in [k+(j-1)m_q, k+(j+1)m_q]} \|\nabla^2\Phi_{k,j}\|_{C_x^0} \\ &\lesssim (\lambda_q^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{5}}) + (\lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{1}{3}}) \lambda_q^{\frac{4}{5}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{7}{5}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{2}{5}} + \lambda_q^{\frac{17}{15}} \lesssim \lambda_q^{\frac{7}{5}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.9})$$

The estimates (C.6)-(C.9) have been used in Subsections 5.1 and 5.2 to estimate the $C_{t,x}^1$ -norm of ω_{q+1} and (3.16)-(3.17) at the level of $q + 1$.

APPENDIX D. USEFUL LEMMAS

Let us first introduce the following lemma which makes rigorous the fact that \mathcal{R} obeys the same elliptic regularity estimates as $|\nabla|^{-1}$. We recall the following stationary phase lemma (see for example [5, Lemma 5.7] and [12, Lemma 2.2]), adapted to our setting.

Lemma D.1 (Stationary phase Lemma). *Given $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^2 \cap \mathbb{Q}^3$, let $\lambda\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Assume that $a \in C^{m,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and $\Phi \in C^{m,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ are smooth functions such that the phase function Φ obeys*

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq |\nabla\Phi| \leq C,$$

on \mathbb{T}^3 , for some constant $C \geq 1$. Then, with the inverse divergence operator \mathcal{R} defined in Subsection 2.2, we have for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left\| \mathcal{R}\left(a(x)e^{i\lambda\zeta \cdot \Phi(x)}\right) \right\|_{C^\alpha} \lesssim \frac{\|a\|_{C^0}}{\lambda^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{\|a\|_{C^{m,\alpha}} + \|a\|_{C^0} \|\nabla\Phi\|_{C^{m,\alpha}}}{\lambda^{m-\alpha}},$$

where the implicit constant depends on C , α and m (in particular, not on the frequency λ).

Lemma D.2 ([2, Proposition C.1]). *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be two smooth functions, with $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a constant $C = C(n, m, N) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi \circ f]_N &\leq C([\Phi]_1[f]_N + \|D\Phi\|_{N-1}\|f\|_0^{N-1}[f]_N), \\ [\Phi \circ f]_N &\leq C([\Phi]_1[f]_N + \|D\Phi\|_{N-1}[f]_1^N) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma D.3 ([38, Theorem 1.4], [17, Theorem B.1]). *Let $\gamma, \epsilon > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$ such that $2\gamma + \beta + \epsilon \leq 1$, and let $f(t) : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. If $f \in C_x^{2\gamma+\beta+\epsilon}$, then $(-\Delta)^\gamma f \in C_x^\beta$, and there exists a constant $C = C(\epsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$\|(-\Delta)^\gamma f\|_{C_t^0 C_x^\beta} \leq C(\epsilon)[f]_{C_t^0 C_x^{2\gamma+\beta+\epsilon}}.$$

Acknowledgments: The first author would like to thank the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, for financial assistance and the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - Centre for Applicable Mathematics (TIFR-CAM) for providing a stimulating scientific environment and resources. The second author acknowledges the support of the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, under project no.12-R&D-TFR-5.01-0520, and DST-SERB SJF grant DST/SJF/MS/2021/44.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bagnara, M. Maurelli and F. Xu, No blow-up by nonlinear Itô noise for the Euler equations, [arXiv:2305.09852](#) (2023). [1.2](#)
- [2] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, P. Isett and L. Székelyhidi, Jr., Anomalous dissipation for 1/5-Hölder Euler flows, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **182**(1) (2015), 127–172. [1.2](#), [C](#), [D.2](#)
- [3] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, L. Székelyhidi Jr., and V. Vicol, Onsager’s conjecture for admissible weak solutions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **72**(2) (2019), 229–274. [1.2](#), [1.3](#)
- [4] T. Buckmaster and V. Vicol, Nonuniqueness of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equation, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **189**(1) (2019), 101–144. [1.2](#)
- [5] T. Buckmaster and V. Vicol, Convex integration and phenomenologies in turbulence, *EMS Surv. Math. Sci.*, **6**(1–2) (2019), 173–263. [1.2](#), [1.4](#), [3](#), [4](#), [4.3](#), [4.3.1](#), [4.3.3](#), [B](#), [B.1](#), [B](#), [B.4](#), [D](#)
- [6] M. Coghi and M. Maurelli, Existence and uniqueness by Kraichnan noise for 2D Euler equations with unbounded vorticity, [arXiv:2308.03216](#) (2023). [1.2](#)
- [7] M. Colombo, C. De Lellis and L. De Rosa, Ill-posedness of Leray solutions for the hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **362**(2) (2018), 659–688. [1.2](#)
- [8] P. Constantin and A. Majda, The Beltrami spectrum for incompressible fluid flows, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **115**(3) (1988), 435–456. [1.2](#)
- [9] A. Cheskidov and X. Luo, Sharp nonuniqueness for the Navier-Stokes equations, *Invent. Math.*, **229**(3) (2022), 987–1054. [2.2](#)
- [10] W. Chen, Z. Dong and X. Zhu, Sharp nonuniqueness of solutions to stochastic Navier-Stokes equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **56**(2) (2024), 2248–2285. [1.2](#), [3](#)
- [11] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions 2nd ed.*, Cambridge University Press, 2014. [3](#), [6](#), [A.1](#)
- [12] S. Daneri and L. Székelyhidi, Jr., Non-uniqueness and h -Principle for Hölder-Continuous Weak Solutions of the Euler Equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **224**(2) (2017), 471–514. [1.2](#), [D](#)
- [13] C. De Lellis and H. Kwon, On nonuniqueness of Hölder continuous globally dissipative Euler flows, *Anal. PDE*, **15**(8) (2022), 2003–2059. [1.2](#), [3.1](#)
- [14] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr., The Euler equations as a differential inclusion, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **170**(3) (2009), 1417–1436. [1.2](#)

- [15] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr., Dissipative continuous Euler flows, *Invent. Math.*, **193**(2) (2013), 377–407. [1.2](#), [2.2](#)
- [16] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr., Dissipative Euler flows and Onsager’s conjecture, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, **16**(7) (2014), 1467–1505. [1.2](#)
- [17] L. De Rosa, Infinitely many Leray-Hopf solutions for the fractional Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **44**(4) (2019), 335–365. [1.2](#), [D.3](#)
- [18] F. Flandoli, M. Gubinelli and E. Priola, Well-posedness of the transport equation by stochastic perturbation, *Invent. Math.*, **180**(1) (2010), 1–53. [1.2](#)
- [19] F. Flandoli and D. Luo, High mode transport noise improves vorticity blow-up control in 3D Navier-Stokes equations, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, **180**(1–2) (2021), 309–363. [1.2](#)
- [20] V. Giri and H. Kwon, On non-uniqueness of continuous entropy solutions to the isentropic compressible Euler equations, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **245**(2) (2022), 1213–1283. [1.2](#)
- [21] M. Hofmanová, R. Zhu and X. Zhu, On ill- and well-posedness of dissipative martingale solutions to stochastic 3d Euler equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **75**(11) (2022), 2446–2510. [1.2](#), [3](#)
- [22] M. Hofmanová, R. Zhu and X. Zhu, Non-unique ergodicity for deterministic and stochastic 3D Navier-Stokes equations and Euler equations, [arXiv:2208.08290](#) (2022). [1.1](#), [1.2](#), [1.3](#), [3](#), [4](#), [4.1](#)
- [23] M. Hofmanová, R. Zhu and X. Zhu, Global-in-time probabilistically strong and Markov solutions to stochastic 3D Navier-Stokes equations: Existence and nonuniqueness, *Ann. Probab.*, **51**(2) (2023), 524–579. [1.2](#), [3](#)
- [24] M. Hofmanová, R. Zhu and X. Zhu, Nonuniqueness in law of stochastic 3D Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, **26**(1) (2024), 163–260. [1.2](#), [3](#)
- [25] P. Isett, A proof of Onsager’s conjecture, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **188**(3) (2018), 871–963. [1.2](#), [1.3](#)
- [26] A. Jakubowski, The almost sure Skorokhod representation for subsequences in nonmetric spaces, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **42**(1) (1997), 167–174. [6](#)
- [27] U. Koley and K. Yamazaki, Non-uniqueness in law of transport-diffusion equation forced by random noise, [arXiv:2203.13456](#) (2022). [1.2](#)
- [28] N.H. Kuiper, On C^1 -isometric imbeddings. I, II. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **58**, *Indag. Math.* **17** (1955), 545–556, 683–689. [1.2](#)
- [29] W. Liu and M. Röckner, *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: An Introduction*, Springer, Berlin, 2015. [A.1](#)
- [30] L. Lü, Hölder continuous solutions to stochastic 3D Euler equations via stochastic convex integration, *Preprint*, 2024. [1.2](#), [1.3](#), [1.5](#)
- [31] L. Lü and R. Zhu, Stationary solutions to stochastic 3D Euler equations in Hölder space, [arXiv:2401.09894](#) (2024). [1.2](#), [1.3](#), [1.5](#), [3](#), [3.2](#), [4](#), [4.3.1](#), [4.3.3](#), [7.1](#)
- [32] T. Luo and E.S. Titi, Non-uniqueness of weak solutions to hyperviscous Navier-Stokes equations: On sharpness of J.-L. Lions exponent, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **59**(3) (2020), Paper No. 92. [1.2](#)
- [33] J. Nash, C^1 isometric imbeddings, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **60** (1954), 383–396. [1.2](#)
- [34] L. Onsager, Statistical hydrodynamics, *Nuovo Cimento*, **6**(2) (1949), 279–287. [1.2](#)
- [35] A. Papoulis, *Probability, random variables, and stochastic processes*, Second edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1984. [A.1](#)
- [36] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 44, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. [A.1](#)
- [37] M. Rehmeier and A. Schenke, Nonuniqueness in law for stochastic hypodissipative Navier-Stokes equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **227** (2023), Paper No. 113179, 37 pp. [1.2](#), [1.3](#), [3](#)
- [38] L. Roncal and P.R. Stinga, Fractional Laplacian on the torus, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **18**(3) (2016), 1550033, 26 pp. [1.1](#), [D.3](#)
- [39] L. Tartar, Compensated compactness and applications to partial differential equations, *Nonlinear analysis and mechanics: Heriot-Watt Symposium, Vol. IV*, pp. 136–212, Res. Notes in Math., 39, Pitman, Boston, Mass.-London, 1979. [1.2](#)
- [40] K. Yamazaki, Nonuniqueness in law for two-dimensional Navier–Stokes equations with diffusion weaker than a full Laplacian, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **54**(4) (2022), 3997–4042. [1.2](#)
- [41] K. Yamazaki, Non-uniqueness in law of three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations diffused via a fractional Laplacian with power less than one half, *Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput.*, **12**(1) (2024), 794–855. [1.2](#), [1.3](#), [3](#)

CENTRE FOR APPLICABLE MATHEMATICS (CAM), TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, PO Box 6503, GKVK POST OFFICE, BANGALORE 560065, INDIA

Email address: kushkinra@gmail.com

CENTRE FOR APPLICABLE MATHEMATICS (CAM), TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, PO Box 6503, GKVK POST OFFICE, BANGALORE 560065, INDIA

Email address: ujjwal@math.tifrbng.res.in