NON-ALGEBRAIZABLE NEIGHBORHOODS OF CURVES

MAYCOL FALLA LUZA, FRANK LORAY, AND PAULO SAD

ABSTRACT. We provide several families of compact complex curves embedded in smooth complex surfaces such that no neighborhood of the curve can be embedded in an algebraic surface. Different constructions are proposed, by patching neighborhoods of curves in projective surfaces, and blowing down exceptional curves. These constructions generalize examples recently given by S. Lvovski. One of our non algebraic argument is based on an extension theorem of S. Ivashkovich.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Examples in the positive case	2
3. The field of meromorphic functions	3
3.1. Transcendance degree 2	4
3.2. Transcendance degree 1	4
3.3. Transcendance degree 0	4
4. The Lvovski Construction	4
5. Neeman's Construction	6
6. Examples in the zero case	6
7. Comparing non algebraizable neighborhoods	7
References	8

1. INTRODUCTION

This note is a complement to a recent nice paper [6] of S. Lvovski, where examples of positive embeddings of \mathbb{P}^1 in non algebraic surfaces are presented. A little earlier, a class of such examples appeared in [3]: for each integer $m \ge 1$, an embedding of $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ into some smooth surface is constructed, in such a way as to have the self-intersection number $C \cdot C = m$, and the field of meromorphic functions of the surface is equal to \mathbb{C} ; in particular, these surfaces are not algebraic. Then, in [6], special embeddings of \mathbb{P}^1 in non algebraic surfaces are also constructed, but this time, the field of meromorphic functions has degree of transcendence 2 over \mathbb{C} . We generalize here this construction by exhibiting examples of compact curves, possibly singular, embedded in non-algebraizable surfaces with positive self-intersection numbers.

We use the same terminology as in [6]: a smooth holomorphic surface S is said *algebraizable* when there exists a holomorphic embedding of S into a smooth projective surface \hat{S} ; otherwise we say it is a non-algebraizable surface.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state our first main theorem, which describes how a neighborhood of a curve of the projective plane looses his algebraic character after a glueing with a neighborhood of a compact curve contained in some surface.

Our main tool is a remarkable Levi's extention type theorem due to S. Ivashkovich [5]. Then, in Section 3, we analyse several examples in order to understand the field of meromorphic functions of the resulting surfaces, we state here our main result:

Theorem 1.1. Let C be a curve of degree $d \ge 2$ in \mathbb{P}^2 . Then there exists a non algebraizable surface S containing a copy of C with $C \cdot C = d^2 + k$ for any k > 0. Moreover, the surface can be constructed in order to have field of meromorphic functions $\mathbb{C}(S)$ with transcendence degree 0, 1 or 2.

In Section 4, we generalize a construction of [6]. It arises naturally a phenomenon of non-separability of points by meromorphic functions, which seems to be a source of new examples not covered by the arguments of Section 2. Finally, in Sections 5 and 6, we construct other examples in the same spirit by starting with curves of zero self-intersection numbers contained in \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles, and we finish by comparing in Section 7 this construction with the one presented in Section 2

2. EXAMPLES IN THE POSITIVE CASE

Let S be a smooth complex surface with the following features:

- (1) S contains two compact, connected, holomorphic curves C and D which cross each other transversely at only one point P, such that D is smooth,
- (2) C is biholomorphic to an algebraic curve C₀ ⊂ P² and has a neighborhood V ⊂ S biholomorphic to a tubular neighborhood V₀ of C₀ in P²; moreover, the biholomorphism from V to V₀ takes C to C₀.

Theorem 2.1. Let S, be a surface satisfying (1) and (2) as above. Assume $\deg(C_0) \ge 2$. Then, the surface S is not algebraizable.

First observe that such a surface S can be easily constructed by standard gluing process. We first construct a surface S using a standard glueing process. Let V_0 be a tubular neighborhood of the (connected) curve C_0 in \mathbb{P}^2 . We also take a smooth compact curve D_0 contained in some surface, and consider again a tubular neighborhood W_0 . We select points $p_0 \in C_0$ and $q_0 \in D_0$, and pick a local biholomorphism $\Psi : (V_0, p_0) \to (W_0, q_0)$ sending C_0 transversally to D_0 . By glueing V_0 and W_0 by means of Ψ , we obtain a smooth complex surface S, which contains copies (V, C) and (W, D) of (V_0, C_0) and (W_0, D_0) respectively. The curve C crosses D transversally at a point P, which corresponds to the identification of p_0 with q_0 via Ψ .

Proof. We proceed by contradiction. Assuming that S is algebraizable, we may assume that S is contained in some projective surface \hat{S} ; furthermore, we have an holomorphic embedding $i_0 : V_0 \to S$ which takes V_0 to V and C_0 to C. Since $U_0 := \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C_0$ is a Stein surface, $K_0 := U_0 \setminus V_0$ has connected complement V_0 , and \hat{S} is projective, we may apply Ivashkovich's theorem [5] and deduce that the map i_0 has a meromorphic extension $I_0 : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \hat{S}$ (mind that i_0 is already defined along C_0). Let us take in V_0 the component (branch) b of $i_0^{-1}(D \cap V)$ which passes through $p_0 = i_0^{-1}(P)$. Since $I_0^{-1}(D)$ is an algebraic curve of \mathbb{P}^2 , it contains a component $B_0 \supset b$.

If deg $C_0 \ge 2$, then B_0 necessarily crosses C_0 at another point $p'_0 \ne p_0$, but $I_0|_{V_0}$ is a bijection between V_0 and V which satisfies $I_0(p'_0) \in B \cap C = \{P\} = I_0(0)$, a contradiction.

As an application we have



FIGURE 1. Glueing Neighborhoods

Corollary 2.2. Let $C_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be an algebraic curve of degree $d \geq 2$ and let $k > d^2$ be a natural number. Then, there exist a non algebraizable surface S and a holomorphic embedding C of C_0 into S with self-intersection k.

Proof. We repeat the construction of Theorem 2.1 with D_0 a (-1)-rational curve, i.e. a smooth rational curve having self-intersection (-1) in W_0 . This provides a non-algebraizable surface S with a curve $C \cup D$ where D is a (-1)-rational curve, C is a copy of C_0 with self-intersection $C.C = d^2$, and C.D = 1 (they intersect transversally at a single point P). Then, after blowing-down the curve D to a point P', we get a new surface S' with a copy C' of C (or C_0) having now self-intersection $C'.C' = d^2 + 1$. Obviously S' cannot be contained in an algebraic surface \hat{S}' , otherwise the blow-up $S \to S'$ would be contained in the algebraic surface obtained by blowing-up P', contradiction. The pair (S', C') is the pair (S, C) of the statement for $k = d^2 + 1$. For greater k, we just repeat the operation of gluing (-1)-rational curves and contracting them, as many times as necessary.

3. The field of meromorphic functions

Let us consider the pair (S, C) constructed in Corollary 2.2, and let us denote by $\mathbb{C}(S)$ the field of global meromorphic functions on S. It obviously contains the field \mathbb{C} as the subfield of constant functions. The transcendance degree of $\mathbb{C}(S)$ over \mathbb{C} can be either 0, 1 or 2 (it is bounded by the dimension of S). We show in this section that we can choose the gluing maps Ψ in the construction of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 so as to choose the transcendance degree among 0, 1 or 2.

First of all, in the proof of Corollary 2.2, we note that $\mathbb{C}(S) = \mathbb{C}(S')$ since a meromorphic function is transformed into a meromorphic function under blow-up and blow-down. On the other hand, a meromorphic function on the surface S of Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to the data of meromorphic functions $g: V_0 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $h: W_0 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ that coincide through the gluing map $\Psi: (V_0, p_0) \to (W_0, q_0)$, namely: $g = h \circ \Psi$.

Now, we note that $\mathbb{C}(V) = \mathbb{C}(V_0)$ identifies with the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ as any meromorphic function on the neighborhood V_0 of C_0 extends meromorphically on \mathbb{P}^2 (see [8, Theorem 3.1]). The problem is now to understand which rational function g on V_0 extends through Ψ as a meromorphic function on W_0 .

3.1. **Transcendance degree 2.** We can first choose D_0 as to be the exceptional divisor of the one-point-blow-up $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ of the projective plane. Then, we can choose Ψ to be birational map $\Psi : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{P}}^2$ that localizes as a local biholomorphism $(V_0, p_0) \to (W_0, q_0)$. Then, for any rational function g on \mathbb{P}^2 , then $g \circ \Psi^{-1}$ is rational on $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^2$ and restricts as a meromorphic function on W_0 . We have just proved that $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{C}(V) = \mathbb{C}(\underbrace{V \cup W}_S)$. We can repeat this patching at several points of C and get examples with

transcendance degree is 2 with arbitrary self-intersection number $k > d^2$.

Remark 3.1. When d = 2 this is the construction in [6, Section 4].

3.2. **Transcendance degree 1.** In order to give examples with lower transcendance degree, we can modify the previous construction as follows. First of all, consider a systems of affine coordinates (x, y) in \mathbb{P}^2 such that p_0 is given by (x, y) = (0, 0) and C_0 is tangent to y = 0 at p_0 . Then, consider usual affine charts on $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^2$ with coordinates (r, t), (u, v) on W_0 , related by $u = t^{-1}, v = rt$. Again, we assume q_0 corresponds to (r, t) = (0, 0), and D_0 to r = 0. Then, we can define Ψ in coordinates: $\Psi(x, y) = (r, t)$. The transversality condition is that $\Psi(x, 0)$ must be transversal to r = 0.

For $\Psi(x,y) = (x,y)$, or more generally Ψ birational, we get transcendance degree is 2 as explained before. Now, let us consider $\Psi(x,y) = (xe^y, y)$. Then, a meromorphic function on S is given by a rational function g on V_0 such that the meromorporphic function $g \circ \Psi^{-1} = g(re^{-t}, t)$ extends as a meromorphic function h on W_0 ; in particular, in the other chart, $h(u,v) := g(uve^{-1/u}, \frac{1}{u})$ must be meromorphic at (u,v) = (0,0), which is possible if, and only if, g does not depend on the first variable. Indeed, otherwise, the equality $g(uve^{-1/u}, \frac{1}{u}) = h(u, v)$ would say that $e^{-1/u}$ is solution of an implicit analytic equation, impossible. Therefore, $\mathbb{C}(S) = \mathbb{C}(y)$ in that case, and has transcendance degree 1.

In order to produce examples with arbitrary self-intersection number $k > d^2$, one can patch (and contract) other (-1)-rational curves with birational gluing, so that we get no obstruction to further extend any g(y) on neighborhood of other (-1)-rational curves.

3.3. **Transcendance degree 0.** Finally consider $(x, y) = \Psi^{-1}(r, t) = (re^r, te^t)$. Then $h(u, v) = g(uve^{uv}, u^{-1}e^{u^{-1}})$ is meromorphic only when g is constant. It follows that $\mathbb{C}(S)$ has degree of transcendence 0. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 3.2. The construction shows that, in Theorem 1.1, smaller neighborhoods of C are still non algebraizable: S contains no algebraizable neighborhood of C. We may therefore use the terminology *non algebraizable germ of surface containing* C.

4. THE LVOVSKI CONSTRUCTION

We present now a generalisation of the construction in [6]. Let $C_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane curve of degree $d = deg(C_0) \geq 2$ and take $D_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ a line transversal to C_0 . The curves C_0 and D_0 cross each other in points P_1, \dots, P_d . We choose small tubular neighborhoods V_0 and W_0 of C_0 and D_0 respectively, in such a way that their intersection $V_0 \cap W_0$ splits into d connected component $U_i \geq P_i$. Denote $S_0 := V_0 \cup W_0$.

We now consider copies (V, C) and (W, D) of (V_0, C_0) and (W_0, D_0) , and denote by p_j and q_j the respective lifts of P_j . Denote also by $V_j \subset V$ and $W_j \subset W$ the respective copies of U_j , and $\Psi_j : V_j \to W_j$ defined by their identification to U_j .

We glue V with W through the map $\Psi_1 : V_1 \to W_1$, and denote by S the surface obtained by this way. It is equipped with an immersion $\pi : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ coming from the two

embeddings $V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ and $W \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$: we have $\pi \circ \Psi_j = \pi$. The two curves $C, D \subset S$ now intersect into a single point $P = \pi^{-1}(P_1)$ while $\pi^{-1}(P_j) = \{p_j, q_j\}$ for $j \ge 2$.



FIGURE 2. Separation of points

Proposition 4.1. The surface S is not algebraizable.

Proof. We first claim that the map $\tau : \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}(S)$ given by $f_0 \mapsto f_0 \circ \pi$ defines an isomorphism. Indeed, given a meromorphic function $f \in \mathbb{C}(S)$, its restriction to Vis given by $f|_V = f_0 \circ \pi$ for a meromorphic function $f_0 \in \mathbb{C}(V_0)$. But this latter one automatically extends as a rational function \hat{f}_0 on \mathbb{P}^2 (see [8, Theorem 3.1]). Then, the meromorphic function $\hat{f}_0 \circ \pi$ on S coincides with f on V, and therefore everywhere.

As a consequence, any meromorphic function $f \in \mathbb{C}(S)$ which is well-defined at p_j , will be also well-defined at q_j and will take the same value $f(p_j) = f(q_j) := f_0(P_j)$. On the other hand, rational functions separe points on projective surfaces. Indeed, assume by contradiction that S is contained in a projective surface \hat{S} . Then, for any $j \ge 2$, one might be able to find $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{C}(\hat{S})$ such that $\hat{f}(p_j) \neq \hat{f}(q_j)$. By restricting \hat{f} to S, we get a contradiction. Therefore, S is not algebraizable.

Now let us consider two points q_{d+1}, q_{d+2} distinct from q_1, \ldots, q_d on D, and consider the blow-up $\hat{S} \to S$ of these points. Then, restricting to a tubular neighborhood $S' = V \cup W'$ of the strict transform $C \cup D'$, we get an immersion $\pi' : S' \to \mathbb{P}^2$; the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 shows that $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2) \simeq \mathbb{C}(S')$ and S' is not agebraizable for the same reason.

Finally, we observe that D' is now a (-1)-rational curve that can be contracted: the blow-down $(S', C \cup D') \rightarrow (S'', C)$ provides a non algebraizable surface with $\mathbb{C}(S'') \simeq \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ that contains the curve C with self-intersection $d^2 + 1$. This provides an alternate contruction and proof for Theorem 1.1 in the case of transcendance degree 2.

Remark 4.2. The method of taking such étale open set $\pi : S = V \sqcup_{\Psi} W \to S_0 = V_0 \cup W_0$ separating local branches of $C_0 \cup D_0$ at P_2, \ldots, P_d has already been considered by two of the authors in [4].

Remark 4.3. In [6] the case d = 2 is treated with a different argument to prove non algebraizability.

When d = 1, we can adapt and take D as a smooth conic of \mathbb{P}^2 , then blow-up 5 times and contract. This will provide non algebraizable neighborhoods of a smooth rational curve C with self-intersection $C \cdot C \ge 2$, and improve a bit Theorem 1.1. We can find in [2, Section 5.3] another contruction for a rational curve C with self-intersection $C \cdot C = 1$.

5. NEEMAN'S CONSTRUCTION

We recall here a construction by Neeman ([7]) which generalises Serre's example, we will also use this reference for the definition of Ueda Type.

Let us consider a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a compact smooth curve C of genus $g \ge 1$, whose changes of coordinates between trivializations (z_i, u_i) are of the form

$$u_i = \frac{u_j}{1 + a_{ij}(z_j)u_j}$$

We remark that C is a section $(u_i = 0)$ and its normal bundle is trivial, in particular $C \cdot C = 0$. The collection $\{a_{ij}(z_j)\}$ is an element of $H^1(C, \mathcal{O})$ and the bundle is trivial if this cocycle is zero in $H^1(C, \mathcal{O})$.

When the functions $a_{ij}(z_j) = a_{ij}$ are constants, $\{a_{ij}\} \in H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$, the foliation given by $du_i = 0$ is well defined and C is a leaf without singularities.

We intend now to give examples where the complement of C in the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle is a Stein surface. First of all, we need to find non trivial \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles over C. Let us take the following short exact sequence of sheaves over C

$$0 \to \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{O} \to \Omega^1 \to 0$$

which gives rise to the exact sequence in cohomology

$$\cdots \to H^0(C, \Omega^1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(C, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^1(C, \mathcal{O}) \to \cdots$$

It is enough to show that β is not identically zero. Now ker $(\beta) = \text{Im}(\alpha)$; since $h^0(C, \Omega^1) = g$ and $h^1(C, \mathbb{C}) = 2g$ (it is isomorphic to the first deRham cohomology group of C), it follows that $H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$ is different from ker (β) . For a constant cocycle $\{a_{ij}\} \in H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$ which is not in the kernel ker (β) , the corresponding \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle is non trivial.

From now on, we consider a non trivial \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle given by a constant cocycle which has C as a section. Since the normal bundle is trivial, the Ueda type of C is finite. Proceeding as in [7, Proposition 5.3 and Remark 7.9], we conclude that the complement of C is a Stein surface; the main point is that there exists a strictly plurisubharmonic function in a neighborhood of C (see [9]), which implies that $M \setminus C$ is holomorphically convex.

6. EXAMPLES IN THE ZERO CASE

We use now the examples of the last section to construct new non algebraizable surfaces. We proceed as follows:

(1) We take, as in the previous section, a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle M over the curve C such that C is a section, $C \cdot C = 0$ and $M \setminus C$ is a Stein surface and take V_1 a tubular neighborhood of C. Let D be a compact irreducible curve in M which is transversal to C at some point p_1 . Since M is a compact projective surface, we may take D containing some point $r \in C$ different from p_1 .

(2) Let B be a holomorphic curve contained in some surface W and W_1 be a tubular neighborhood; we select a point $q \in B$.

(3) We glue V_1 to W_1 using a local biholomorphism that sends p_1 to q and sends a neighborhood of p_1 in D into a neighborhood of q in B.

The resulting surface S is not algebraizable by a reason analogous to the one in Theorem 2.1. Suppose by contradiction that S is contained in some compact projective surface \hat{S} . The holomorphic embedding i of V_1 into S extends to a meromorphic map I from $M \setminus C$ to \hat{S} . Now $I^{-1}(B)$ is a holomorphic curve which contains D, so it must contain also r, which is a contradiction.

As a consequence we have

Theorem 6.1. Let C be a smooth compact curve of genus $g \ge 1$. Then there exists a non algebraizable surface S containing a copy of C with $C \cdot C = k$ for any k > 0.

Proof. We may use B as a rational (-1) curve, and replace k - 1 fibers by (-1) rational curves. We get a non algebraizable surface, and after k blow-down's we arrive to a non algebraizable surface containing C as a curve satisfting $C \cdot C = k$ (in fact, a non algebraizable germ of surface containing C).

7. COMPARING NON ALGEBRAIZABLE NEIGHBORHOODS

Let us compare two non algebraizable neighborhoods of curves built from the processes we decribed before.

We start taking a neighborhood in \mathbb{P}^2 of a smooth plane curve C of degree $d \ge 3$ (therefore its genus is ≥ 1). As in Section 2 we glue a (-1) rational curve at a point $Q \in C$ and blow it down in order to get a surface S_1 containing a copy C_1 of C whose self-intersection number is $l = d^2 + 1$.

The next step comes from Section 6: we take a non trivial \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over C with a foliation around C given by $\{du_i = 0\}$ (containing C as a leaf) and glue l (-1) rational curves at points $P_1, \ldots P_l$. We may take foliations around the (-1) curves which become radial singularities after blow-down's; moreover, these foliations glue with the foliation given by $\{du_i = 0\}$ in the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. After blowing down these curves we have another copy C_2 of C with self-intersection number l inside a surface S_2 . We have also a foliation \mathcal{F}_2 on S_2 for which C is an invariant curve with l radial singularities.

Proposition 7.1. Surfaces S_1 and S_2 are not biholomorphically equivalent.

Proof. Suppose that $\phi : S_2 \to S_1$ is a biholomorphism; we call $\mathcal{F}_1 = \phi(\mathcal{F}_2)$ and $Q_j = \phi(P_j)$. Two possibilities arise.

(a) $Q \notin \{Q_1 \dots Q_l\}$. The blow-up of \mathcal{F}_1 at Q leads to a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$ defined in a neighborhood of $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ (and a fortiori in \mathbb{P}^2) with l radial singularities above $Q_1 \dots Q_l$ and an extra singularity which is a zero of order 1 of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$ along C (locally over Q we have a local expression tx = c for $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$).

It follows from Brunella's formula ([1, Chapter 2, Proposition 3])

$$(deg(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1) + 2).d = d^2 + 1 + d^2$$

which is a contradiction.

(b) we suppose now that $Q \in \{Q_1, \ldots, Q_l\}$, say $Q = Q_1$. The foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$ has C as a invariant curve that contains d^2 radial singularities (the blow-up at Q_1 produces a regular foliation transverse to the (-1) rational curve). In homogeneous coordinates $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$ is defined

by a 1-form Ω and C by a homogeneous polynomial F = 0 related by

$$\Omega = GdF + F\eta$$

where η is also a holomorphic 1-form and G is a homogeneous polynomial of the same degree as F. Clearly G = 0 exactly at the singularities above Q_2, \ldots, Q_l . The level curves of $\frac{G}{F}$, after blow-down, become a family of compact curves in S_1 passing transversely through all the points Q_1, \ldots, Q_l . This family can be transported to S_2 and blown-up to the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle, producing an infinite number of sections 2 by 2 disjoints; this is a contradiction since the bundle is not trivial.

We proceed now to compare two non algebraizable surfaces constructed as in Section 2 when C is smooth. Let us repeat the construction: we have a plane algebraic curve C of degree greater or equal to 2. At some point $Q \in C$ we glue a rational (-1) curve D transverse to C_0 getting a surface \hat{S} . The surface S we are interested in is the blow down of D to a point Q(D). Suppose now that we have another surface S' obtained from the same procedure: we glue a (-1) rational curve D' to C_0 at a point Q' in order to get a surface \hat{S}' and blow down D' to a point $Q'(D') \in S'$.

Proposition 7.2. Any biholomorphic equivalence between S and S' that preserves C takes Q(D) to Q'(D').

Proof. We take a fibration over C in a neighborhood of this curve in \hat{S} ; evidently there exists a finite number of points of tangency between the fibration and C (we may assume that the tangency order is 1 at those points). We may also suppose that the fiber through Q is transverse to D and C. The existence of this fibration follows, for example, by taking a linear pencil in \mathbb{P}^2 with base point not in C. We look now the images of the fibers in S; there is a special feature as an infinite number of them pass thouroug Q(D).

A biholomorphis between S and S' can be lifted to a biholomorphism between neighborhoods of C in \hat{S} and \hat{S}' , and takes a fibration as above over C in \hat{S} to a fibration over C in \hat{S}' with the same properties (in fact, we need that the fiber over Q' is also transverse to C and D', but this can be arranged by changing the pencil).

Finally, since Q(D) and Q'(D') are the only points contained in an infinite number of images of the fibers, we conclude that one is sent to the another one by the biholomorphism.

As an application, in the case deg $C \ge 3$ we see that there exists a 1-parameter family of different non algebraizable surfaces (up to biholomophisms). It is enough to observe that there exists only a finite number of possible images of Q(D) under the group of automorphisms of C (this group is finite by Hurwitz's Theorem).

The above discussion applies to the non algebraizable surface that appear in Section 5 (this time the fibrations have no tangency points). The result is the same as before.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BRUNELLA, Birational geometry of foliations. Springer, New York (2015).
- [2] M. FALLA LUZA AND F. LORAY, Projective Structures, Neighborhoods of Rational Curves and Painlevé equations. Moscow Mathematical Journal, volume 23, issue 1, 2023, pp. 59-95.
- [3] M. FALLA LUZA AND F. LORAY, Neighborhoods of rational curves without functions. Mathematische Annalen volume 382, pages 1047–1058 (2022).
- [4] M. FALLA LUZA, P. SAD, Positive neighborhoods of curves. Publications Matemàtiques, 2020, Vol. 64, Num. 1, p. 333-351.

- [5] S.IVASHKOVICH, Extension properties of meromorphic mappings with values in non-Kahler complex manifolds. Annals of Mathematics, 160 (2004).
- [6] S. LVOVSKI, On algebraic and non-algebraic neighborhoods of rational curves. arXiv:2301.10447.
- [7] A. NEEMAN Ueda Theory: theorems and problems. Memoirs of the AMS 415.
- [8] H. ROSSI Continuation of subvarieties of projective varieties. Amer. J. Math. 91 (1969), 565-575.
- [9] T. UEDA On the neighborhood of a compact complex curve with topologically trivial normal bundle. Jour. Math. Kyoto University 22-4, 583-607, (1983).

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Brazilian-French Network in Mathematics and CAPES/COFECUB Project Ma 932/19 "Feuilletages holomorphes et intéractions avec la géométrie". Falla Luza acknowledges support from CNPq (Grant number 402936/2021-3). Loray is supported by CNRS and The Centre Henri Lebesgue, program ANR-11-LABX-0020-0.

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE, BRAZIL. *Email address*: hfalla@id.uff.br

UNIV RENNES, CNRS, IRMAR, UMR 6625, F-35000 RENNES, FRANCE. *Email address*: frank.loray@univ-rennes.fr

INSTITUTO DE MATEMATICA PURA E APLICADA, BRAZIL. *Email address*: sad@impa.br