

GEOMETRY OF HYPERCONVEX REPRESENTATIONS OF SURFACE GROUPS

JAMES FARRE, BEATRICE POZZETTI, AND GABRIELE VIAGGI

ABSTRACT. We study the geometry of hyperconvex representations of surface groups in $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ and their deformation spaces: We produce a natural holomorphic extension of the classical Ahlfors–Bers map to a product of Teichmüller spaces of a canonical Riemann surface lamination and prove that the limit set of a hyperconvex representation in the full flag space has Hausdorff dimension 1 if and only if the representation is conjugate in $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Riemann surface laminations	6
3. Teichmüller spaces of Riemann surface laminations	11
4. Laminated quasi-Fuchsian theory	19
5. Hyperconvex representations	32
6. Laminated Ahlfors–Bers maps, proof of Theorem D	35
7. Fully hyperconvex representations, proof of Theorem E	43
8. Hausdorff dimension	51
Appendix A. Harmonic fillings	59
Appendix B. Entropy and orbital growth rates	63
References	68

1. INTRODUCTION

A quasi-Fuchsian representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ of a (closed, oriented) surface is a discrete and faithful representation $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ that preserves a Jordan curve $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ on the Riemann sphere. These objects lie at the crossroad of several different areas of mathematics such as complex dynamics, Teichmüller theory, and 3-dimensional hyperbolic geometry. They have been fruitfully studied from all these perspectives displaying a very rich structure.

From a dynamical point of view, an important invariant associated with a quasi-Fuchsian representation is the Hausdorff dimension of the invariant Jordan curve

Λ . It is elementary to show that this number is always at least 1 and at most 2. One expects that generically Λ is a complicated non-rectifiable fractal curve. A celebrated result of Bowen [Bow79] shows that this is indeed the case: The Hausdorff dimension is 1 if and only if the quasi-Fuchsian representation is Fuchsian, that is, it is conjugate in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Our first contribution is to show that this phenomenon persists in a suitable form in the much larger class of (fully) hyperconvex representations of surface groups in $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ with $d \geq 2$ arbitrary.

Let us briefly introduce these objects: The prototype of a hyperconvex curve in \mathbb{CP}^{d-1} is the image $\nu^1(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ of the Veronese embedding $\nu^1 : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{d-1}$. This curve comes together with an osculating frame $\nu^1(p) \subset \cdots \subset \nu^{d-1}(p)$, where $\nu^k(p) \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is the unique k -dimensional plane whose projectivization is tangent to the Veronese curve at $\nu^1(p)$ with order $k-1$. These planes satisfy the following transversality property: For every $p \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ and $k \leq d-2$ we have that the projection

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{p\} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\nu^{k+1}(p)/\nu^{k-1}(p)) \\ q & \mapsto & [\nu^{d-k}(q) \cap \nu^{k+1}(p)] \end{array}$$

is injective. Mimicking this picture, we say that a representation $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ is k -hyperconvex if it admits an equivariant dynamics preserving boundary map $\xi_\rho : \partial\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{k-1, k+1, d-k}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ in the partial flag manifold of \mathbb{C}^d consisting of subspaces of dimensions $\{k-1, k+1, d-k\}$ satisfying the transversality property (1) (see also §5.2). Here $\partial\pi_1(\Sigma) \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ denotes the Gromov boundary of the word-hyperbolic group $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. We furthermore say that a representation is fully hyperconvex if it is k -hyperconvex for all $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, in this case its boundary map naturally has image in the full flag manifold $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^d)$. The image $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^d)$, called the limit set, is a ρ -invariant topological circle. Generalizing Bowen's result, we prove:

Theorem A. *Let $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be a fully hyperconvex representation with limit set $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^d)$. Then $\mathrm{Hdim}(\Lambda) = 1$ if and only if ρ is conjugate into $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$.*

The fact that the limit set $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of hyperconvex representations in $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ has always Hausdorff dimension 1 comes from work of Pozzetti-Sambarino-Wienhard [PSW21]. A local rigidity statement for the Hausdorff dimension of hyperconvex representations in $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ near the Hitchin locus was proven by Bridgeman-Pozzetti-Sambarino-Wienhard [BPSW22] with completely different techniques. Our result is instead of global nature.

Special examples of fully hyperconvex representations are given by any (small perturbation of a) Hitchin homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R}) < \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$. Recall that these are arbitrary continuous deformations of the composition of a Fuchsian representation $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with the irreducible embedding $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$. By work of Labourie [Lab06] and Guichard [Gui08], Hitchin representations are characterized by more refined hyperconvexity assumptions, which are implied by our hyperconvexity assumptions if $d = 3$. This implies that in this low

dimension Theorem B can be strengthened to say that $\text{Hdim}(\Lambda) = 1$ if and only if ρ is a Hitchin homomorphism.

One of the main novelties of our approach is the fact that we bring back tools from 2-dimensional quasi-conformal analysis and 3-dimensional hyperbolic geometry to the realm of Anosov representations contributing to the problem raised in [Wie19, Section 13]. Key to the proof is the notion of a *hyperbolic surface lamination* which is a generalization of a hyperbolic surface. The relevance of hyperbolic surface laminations in complex dynamics and Anosov representations of surface groups has been highlighted by the pioneering work of Sullivan [Sul93] and Tholozan [Tho19].

Roughly speaking, a hyperbolic surface lamination is a compact space with a local product structure $U \times X$ where U is a subset of \mathbb{H}^2 and X is a transverse space. A basic example is the following: choose a torsion-free cocompact Fuchsian realization $\Gamma < \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. Γ acts on $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ by homeomorphism preserving the product structure and isometrically with respect to the slices $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\}$. The quotient $M_\Gamma = \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 / \Gamma$ is a hyperbolic surface lamination whose leaves, the projections of the slices $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\}$, can either be isometric copies of \mathbb{H}^2 or annuli $L_{[\gamma]} = \mathbb{H}^2 / \langle \gamma \rangle \times \{\gamma^+\}$ corresponding to conjugacy classes $[\gamma]$ of primitive elements of Γ (here γ^+ is the attracting fixed point of γ on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$).

We briefly outline the proof of Theorem A. By [PSW21] and [PS23], we can interpret the Hausdorff dimension of Λ as

$$\text{Hdim}(\Lambda) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} \{h^k(\rho)\}$$

where

$$h^k(\rho) := \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\{[\gamma] \text{ conjugacy class of } \pi_1(\Sigma) \mid \log |L_\rho^k(\gamma)| \leq R\}|}{R}$$

is the k -th root entropy of the representation ρ , defined as follow. Every element $\rho(\gamma)$ is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^d \in \mathbb{C}$ ordered in decreasing order according to their modulus, and we denote by $L_\rho^k(\gamma) = \lambda^k(\rho(\gamma)) / \lambda^{k+1}(\rho(\gamma))$ the k -th eigenvalue gap.

Theorem B. *Let $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be k -hyperconvex. If $h^k(\rho) = 1$ then the k -th root spectrum of ρ is real.*

Thus, if $h^k(\rho) = 1$ for every k , the k -th root spectrum of ρ is real for every k . We show (Theorem 7.11) that this implies that ρ must be conjugate into $\text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$.

The proof of Theorem B is inspired by work of Deroin-Tholozan [DT16]. Indeed, we show that unless the k -th root spectrum of ρ is real, we can find a hyperbolic surface lamination E (topologically isomorphic to M_Γ) and a number $\kappa > 1$ such that we have the following strict domination

$$\ell_E(\cdot) \geq \kappa \cdot \log |L_\rho^k(\cdot)|.$$

The conclusion then follows from the fact that the entropy of a hyperbolic Riemann surface lamination is bounded below by one, a result that was proven by Tholozan in [Tho19] and of which we give an independent elementary proof.

Theorem C. *Let E be a hyperbolic surface lamination topologically isomorphic to M_Γ . Then*

$$h(E) := \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\{L_{[\gamma]} \text{ annular leaf } | \ell_E(\gamma) \leq R\}|}{R} \geq 1.$$

Hence, directly from the definitions, we get $h^k(\rho) \geq \kappa \cdot h(E) \geq \kappa > 1$.

The main observation behind our proof is that the hyperbolic surface lamination E has a natural leaf-preserving flow which exponentially expands the Lebesgue measure on the leaves. Using this property, we can estimate the topological entropy (which coincides with the exponential growth rate of the closed orbits) using simple ideas from Manning [Man79].

We construct the hyperbolic Riemann surface lamination E by doing foliated hyperbolic geometry extracting effective estimates from the work of Benoist–Hulin [BH17] on the existence of harmonic maps at bounded distance from isometries. More specifically, we first construct, using hyperconvexity, an action of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\pi_1(\Sigma)$ with the following properties:

- Every element γ preserves $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{\gamma^+\}$ (here $\gamma^+ \in \partial\pi_1(\Sigma)$ is the attracting fixed point for γ) on which it acts as a loxodromic transformation with dilation $\lambda^k/\lambda^{k+1}(\rho(\gamma))$.
- There is an equivariant family of uniform marked quasicircles $\xi_t : \partial\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Lambda_t \subset \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{t\}$, one for every $t \in \partial\pi_1(\Sigma)$.

Then, using work of Benoist–Hulin [BH17], we produce an equivariant family of harmonic fillings $f_t : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ of ξ_t . Pulling back the metric of \mathbb{H}^3 via f_t we produce an invariant metric on $\tilde{\Sigma} \times \partial\pi_1(\Sigma)$. By construction, such a metric 1-dominates λ^k/λ^{k+1} . We conclude by showing that the Riemann uniformization strictly dominates the metric.

Our second main contribution connects hyperconvex representations to Teichmüller theory providing a natural holomorphic extension of the Ahlfors–Bers map. Recall that every quasi-Fuchsian representation leaves invariant a Jordan curve $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ whose complement $\Omega \sqcup \Omega' = \mathbb{CP}^1 - \Lambda$ is a union of two topological disks. The action on Ω, Ω' is properly discontinuous, free, and biholomorphic so that the quotients $E = \Omega/\rho(\pi_1(\Sigma))$ and $F = \Omega'/\rho(\pi_1(\Sigma))$ are two Riemann surfaces that come equipped with an isomorphism between their fundamental groups and $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. The classical Ahlfors–Bers map is the map $AB : \rho \rightarrow (E, F) \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma})$. Bers' Simultaneous Uniformization [Ber60] establishes that AB is a biholomorphism between the space of quasi-Fuchsian representations up to conjugacy and $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma})$.

In the context of hyperconvex representations we consider the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ of abstract marked hyperbolic surface laminations $h : M_\Gamma \rightarrow E$ (h is a homeomorphism sending leaves to leaves; see §2.1) up to the Teichmüller equivalence relation that identifies E and F when there exists a homeomorphism $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ sending leaves to leaves isometrically and such that $h\phi$ is homotopic to h' (through maps that send leaves to leaves). The classical Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma)$ canonically embeds in $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$. The following structural result is due to Sullivan.

Theorem ([Sul93]). *The space $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ has a natural structure of complex Banach manifold, realized as a bounded domain in a complex Banach space.*

Theorem D. *Let $\Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ be the space of k -hyperconvex representations. For every $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, there is a canonical k -th Ahlfors–Bers map*

$$\mathrm{AB}^k : \Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma).$$

with the following properties:

- (1) AB^k is holomorphic.
- (2) If $\mathrm{AB}^k(\rho) = (E_\rho^k, F_\rho^k)$, then $2 \min\{\ell_{E_\rho^k}(\cdot), \ell_{F_\rho^k}(\cdot)\} \geq \log |L_\rho^k(\cdot)|$.
- (3) When ρ is the composition $\rho = \iota_d \circ \sigma$ of a quasi-Fuchsian representation $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ with the irreducible embedding $\iota_d : \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$, the Ahlfors–Bers parameters $\mathrm{AB}^k(\rho)$ coincide with the classical ones of σ .

Property (2) generalizes the classical Ahlfors’ Lemma (see [Ota96, Lemma 5.1.1]) which is important to control the geometry of the deformations of quasi-Fuchsian representations. As above, $L_\rho^k(\cdot)$ is the k -th root length spectrum of ρ .

When a representation is *fully hyperconvex*, namely k -hyperconvex for all $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, combining all the Ahlfors–Bers parameters AB^k we get a map

$$\mathrm{AB} = (\mathrm{AB}^1, \dots, \mathrm{AB}^{d-1}).$$

Theorem E. *Let $\Xi^{\mathrm{hyp}}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ be the space of fully hyperconvex representations. Then*

$$\mathrm{AB} := (\mathrm{AB}^1, \dots, \mathrm{AB}^{d-1}) : \Xi^{\mathrm{hyp}}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma))^{d-1}$$

is holomorphic, injective, and closed. Moreover

$$\mathrm{AB}^{-1}(\Delta \times \dots \times \Delta) = \Xi^{\mathrm{hyp}}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R}))$$

where $\Delta \subset \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$ is the (diagonal) set of pairs which are mirror images of one another.

Let us briefly sketch the main steps of the proof, beginning with injectivity. It follows from our construction that the Ahlfors–Bers parameter $\mathrm{AB}^j(\rho)$ captures the j -th root gap λ^j/λ^{j+1} of the matrices $\rho(\gamma) \in \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$. Given this input, the rest of the argument is mostly algebraic: we show that the collection of all such gaps distinguishes points in $\Xi^{\mathrm{hyp}}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ (see Theorem 7.7). This rests on the fact that the trace functions distinguish points on the character variety $\Xi(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$.

As for closedness, we actually show a stronger property. The space $(\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma))^{d-1}$ is endowed with a natural (product) Teichmüller metric, also introduced by Sullivan [Sul93]. We prove that the pre-image under AB of a bounded set in such a metric is pre-compact in $\Xi^{\mathrm{hyp}}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$. This property implies closedness.

Lastly, we discuss the relation between the diagonal and real hyperconvex representations. Again, it follows from our construction that if $\mathrm{AB}^j(\rho) \in \Delta$, then the j -th root gap λ^j/λ^{j+1} is real for all matrices $\rho(\gamma) \in \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$. Once we observe

this, again the rest of the proof is mostly algebraic. We prove that such a representation must be conjugate into $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ (Theorem 7.11). We use a result of Acosta [Aco19] that if all the trace functions of a representation in $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ are real then the representation is conjugate in $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}(d/2, \mathbf{H})$ (where \mathbf{H} are the real quaternions).

We conclude with a couple of words on the complex geometry of $\Xi^{\mathrm{hyp}}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$. As bounded domains in complex Banach spaces (such as $(\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma))^{d-1}$, thanks to Sullivan's work) are well-studied objects, Theorems D and E allow us to deduce that the space of hyperconvex representations has many good properties from the point of view of its complex geometry. For example, it is Kobayashi hyperbolic and the Kobayashi metric is complete.

Acknowledgements. We thank Yves Benoist, Dominique Hulin, Peter Smillie, and Nicolas Tholozan for useful discussions. This work was funded through the DFG Emmy Noether project 427903332 of Beatrice Pozzetti. Beatrice Pozzetti acknowledges additional support by the DFG under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC-2181/1-390900948. James Farre acknowledges support from DFG – Project-ID 281071066 – TRR 191. Gabriele Viaggi acknowledges the support of the funding RM123188D816D4A5 of the Sapienza University of Rome.

2. RIEMANN SURFACE LAMINATIONS

In this section, we introduce smooth hyperbolic and Riemann surface laminations. We discuss a Riemann surface lamination structure M_Γ on T^1S whose leaves are the weakly unstable leaves for the geodesic flow associated to \mathbb{H}^2/Γ , a hyperbolic surface homeomorphic to a closed surface S .

The main result of this section is Theorem 2.11: the growth rate of closed orbits of a certain (geodesic) flow defined on a smooth (hyperbolic) Riemann surface lamination smoothly equivalent to M_Γ is bounded below by 1. Theorem 2.11 follows from a geometric bound on the topological entropy of this flow (Theorem 2.9) after a technical excursion into thermodynamical formalism, relegated to Appendix B.

Theorem 2.11 was established in unpublished notes of Tholozan [Tho19, Theorem 0.4] using different but related techniques. Our proof supplies a geometric alternative to some of the more sophisticated dynamical arguments given in [Tho19].

2.1. Surface laminations. A surface lamination is a topological space that locally looks like the product of a topological surface and a transversal metric space. We begin by discussing the basic definitions of surface laminations with additional structure, mostly following Candel [Can93, Section 1].

Definition 2.1 (Surface lamination). A (*Riemann* or *hyperbolic*) *surface lamination* is a topological space M together with an atlas of charts

$$\mathcal{A} = \{u_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow D_\alpha \times T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$$

which are homeomorphisms from open subsets $U_\alpha \subset M$ to the product of an open subset $D_\alpha \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ of the hyperbolic plane and an open subset T_α of a compact metric space whose change of charts have expression, choosing coordinates (z, t) on $D_\alpha \times T_\alpha$,

$$u_\beta \circ u_\alpha^{-1}(z, t) = (f_{\alpha\beta}(z, t), g_{\alpha\beta}(t))$$

where:

- The component $f_{\alpha\beta}$ is a (*holomorphic* or *isometric*) *diffeomorphism* for every $t \in T_\alpha$.
- In the transverse direction we have that $t \mapsto \frac{\partial^n f_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x^j \partial y^{n-j}}(x + iy, t)$ is continuous for all $n \geq 0$ and $z = x + iy$.

By the structure of the change of charts, the fibers $D_\alpha \times \{t\} \subset D_\alpha \times T_\alpha$ glue together in a unique way to form a decomposition of M into a disjoint union of connected (Riemann or hyperbolic) surfaces, the *leaves* of the lamination.

Definition 2.2 (Morphisms of laminations). A *morphism* of surface laminations is a continuous map $f : M \rightarrow M'$ that respects the lamination structure, that is, if $u : U \subset M \rightarrow D \times T$ and $u' : U' \subset M' \rightarrow D' \times T'$ are lamination charts, then $u' \circ f \circ u^{-1}(z, t) = (h(z, t), q(t))$. Furthermore, we say that:

- It is *smooth* if for every $t \in T$ the map $h(\cdot, t) : D \rightarrow D'$ is smooth and its derivatives vary continuously in $t \in T$.
- If M, M' are Riemann surface laminations then f is *holomorphic* if for every $t \in T$ the map $h(\cdot, t) : D \rightarrow D'$ is holomorphic and its derivatives vary continuously in $t \in T$.
- If M, M' are hyperbolic surface laminations then f is *locally isometric* if for every $t \in T$ the map $h(\cdot, t) : D \rightarrow D'$ is locally isometric and its derivatives vary continuously in $t \in T$.

An *isomorphism* between (Riemann or hyperbolic) surface laminations is a smooth (holomorphic or locally isometric) morphism with a smooth (holomorphic or locally isometric) inverse.

A Riemannian metric g on a smooth surface lamination is a Riemannian metric on the leaves that varies smoothly in the transversal direction. A Riemannian metric g on a Riemann surface lamination is *conformal* if the conformal structure induced by g on the leaves coincides with the leafwise complex structure. The following theorem of Candel is an analog of the classical uniformization theorem in the smooth, laminated setting.

Theorem 2.3 ([Can93]). *Every Riemann surface lamination has a conformal Riemannian metric g with constant curvature.*

2.2. Laminations from hyperbolic surfaces. We will be interested in a special type of Riemann surface laminations coming from hyperbolic surfaces.

Definition 2.4 (Hyperbolic surface). A closed orientable *hyperbolic surface* is a quotient \mathbb{H}^2/Γ of the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 by a discrete, torsion free, cocompact subgroup $\Gamma < \text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^2) = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

The diagonal action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ is free and properly discontinuous as it is so on the first factor. Since it preserves the product structure, the quotient

$$M_\Gamma := \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 / \Gamma$$

is a Riemann surface lamination whose leaves, the projection to M_Γ of the sets $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\}$, are of two types:

- If $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ is the fixed point of some hyperbolic element $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\}$ is stabilized by the cyclic group $\langle \gamma \rangle$. The image of the projection in M_Γ is the hyperbolic annulus $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\} / \langle \gamma \rangle$ whose core geodesic has length $\ell(\gamma)$.
- If $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ is not one of the (countably) many fixed points of primitive hyperbolic elements of Γ , then $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\}$ has trivial stabilizer and the projection to M_Γ is injective so that the corresponding leaf is a copy of \mathbb{H}^2 .

Every leaf of M_Γ is dense since Γ acts *minimally* on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$, namely with dense orbits.

Definition 2.5 (Associated lamination). We call M_Γ the *Riemann surface lamination associated with the hyperbolic surface* \mathbb{H}^2 / Γ .

Note that the unit tangent bundle $T^1\mathbb{H}^2 / \Gamma$ has a *weakly unstable* foliation for the geodesic flow, where the leaf passing through the point (p, v) consists of the points (q, w) whose backward geodesic trajectories are asymptotic. There is a smooth map

$$\Phi : T^1\mathbb{H}^2 / \Gamma \rightarrow M_\Gamma$$

defined by $\Phi(p, v) = [(\tilde{p}, t)]$, where $\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{H}^2$ is a lift of p and $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ is the point at infinity of the corresponding lift of the geodesic ray $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2 / \Gamma$ starting at p with velocity $-v$. Then Φ is an isomorphism of Riemann surface laminations that identifies the leaf of the unstable foliation for the geodesic flow corresponding to t with $[\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\}] \subset M_\Gamma$.

Definition 2.6 (Marking). Let W be a Riemann surface lamination. A smooth lamination equivalence $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$ that is leafwise orientation preserving is called a *marking* or *marked Riemann surface lamination*.

2.3. Entropy. Let $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$ be a marked Riemann surface lamination. Using Candel's Theorem 2.3, W has a conformal Riemannian metric g_W (in the category of laminations) with constant curvature equal to -1 , which we call the Poincaré metric.

Consider the covers $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ and \widetilde{W} corresponding to Γ and $f_*\Gamma$, respectively. Then f lifts to a smooth lamination map \tilde{f} , which is equivariant with respect to the covering actions by conformal lamination automorphisms. Topologically, \widetilde{W} is a disk bundle ζ over $\partial\Gamma$, and g_W gives each disk fiber its Poincaré metric.

Lemma 2.7. *The map \tilde{f} is uniformly bi-Lipschitz on leaves. The ideal boundary of $\zeta^{-1}(s)$ is canonically identified with $\partial\Gamma$, and is pointed by $s \in \partial\Gamma$.*

Proof. Since f is smooth, it is bi-Lipschitz on compact subsets of leaves. Every leaf of M_Γ is dense and the holomorphic structure on the leaves is transversely constant.

Compactness implies that f is uniformly bi-Lipschitz on every leaf. Thus the map \tilde{f} on leaves extends continuously to a bi-Hölder map between ideal boundaries of leaves, and the ideal boundary of every leaf of M_Γ is canonically identified with $\partial\Gamma$. \square

We define a continuous, leaf-preserving flow $\psi = \{\psi_t\}$ on W using the Poincaré metric g_W as follows. The leaf $\zeta^{-1}(s)$ is a copy of the hyperbolic plane, foliated by oriented geodesics emanating from s on its ideal boundary. The leaves of W are similarly foliated by oriented complete g_W -geodesics. We define $\psi_t(z, s)$ as the point in the same fiber obtained by traveling distance t from z in the future along the relevant geodesic line.

Definition 2.8 (Entropy). The *entropy* $H(W)$ of the marked hyperbolic surface lamination $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$ is the topological entropy of the flow ψ defined above.

The topological entropy $H(\phi)$ of a continuous flow ϕ on a compact metric space (X, d) can be computed as follows: A (t, δ) -separated set $Q \subset X$ is a finite subset such that for every $x, x' \in Q$ there exists $s \in [0, t]$ such that $d(\phi_s(x), \phi_s(x')) \geq \delta$. Denote by $N(t, \delta)$ the maximal cardinality of a (t, δ) -separated set. The topological entropy is computed by

$$(2) \quad H(\phi) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N(t, \delta)}{t}.$$

The topological entropy of a flow does not depend on the choice of metric on X inducing the topology (see [Man79, §3] or [VO16, §9]).

The following theorem states, in our setting, that the entropy is bounded from below by 1. Manning used a similar argument to show that the topological entropy of the geodesic flow of a compact Riemannian manifold is bounded below by the *volume entropy*, i.e., the exponential growth rate of metric balls in the universal cover of the manifold [Man79, Theorem 1].

Theorem 2.9. *For every marked hyperbolic surface lamination $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$ we have $H(W) \geq 1$.*

Proof. The hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{H}^2/Γ induces a natural *Sasaki Riemannian metric* h_Γ on $M_\Gamma \cong T^1\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$. The Sasaki metric has the property that the restriction to leaves of the unstable foliation is a Riemannian metric g_Γ in the category of laminations and the tangent projection $M_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$ is a locally isometric covering on each leaf. In other words, g_Γ is the laminated Poincaré metric on M_Γ .

We can compute entropy with respect to any distance on W that induces its topology, e.g., for the distance d associated with f_*h_Γ . Denote by $B(z, r)$ the d -ball of radius r about $z \in W$.

By Lemma 2.7, the restriction d_L of d to a leaf L of W is (uniformly) C -bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the Poincaré metric g_W on L . Denote by $B_L(q, r)$ be the d_L -disk of radius r around $q \in L$. Note that d_L is a hyperbolic metric and has a naturally associated notion of area coming from f_*h_Γ (equivalently f_*g_Γ).

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and find a positive δ much smaller than the injectivity radius of (W, d) such that

$$H(W) \geq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |N(t, \delta)|}{t} - \epsilon$$

holds.

Now we work in the cover \widetilde{W} corresponding to $f_*\Gamma$ with metric \tilde{d} and flow $\tilde{\psi}$. Choose $L \subset \widetilde{W}$, a leaf with distinguished point ξ on the ideal boundary, and assume that L maps injectively into W under the covering projection. We identify L with the upper half plane \mathbb{H}^2 using g_W and place ξ at infinity. In these coordinates, we have $\tilde{\psi}_t(x + iy) = x + ie^{-t}y \in L$.

Consider a box $\tau = \{x + iy : x \in [0, 1] \text{ and } y \in [1, 2]\} \subset L$ so that

$$\tilde{\psi}_t(\tau) = \{x + iy : x \in [0, 1] \text{ and } y \in [e^{-t}, 2e^{-t}]\}.$$

A computation shows that the g_W -area of $\tilde{\psi}_t(\tau)$ is $e^t/2$, so the d_L -area (coming from f_*h_Γ or equivalently f_*g_Γ) satisfies

$$\frac{e^t}{2C^2} \leq \text{Area}(\tilde{\psi}_t(\tau)) \leq \frac{C^2 e^t}{2}.$$

Let $Q'_t \subset \tilde{\psi}_t(\tau)$ be a maximal set of points such that $\tilde{d}(q_i, q_j) \geq \delta$ for all $q_i \neq q_j \in Q'_t$. Then

$$\bigcup_{q_i \in Q'_t} B(q_i, \delta) \subset \tilde{\psi}_t(\tau) \subset \bigcup_{q_i \in Q'_t} B(q_i, 2\delta).$$

The inclusion of L into \widetilde{W} is 1-Lipschitz, so we have

$$B(q, r) \cap L \supset B_L(q, r)$$

for all $q \in L$. By continuity of g_W and compactness of W , there is a $\delta' \geq 2\delta$ such that $d(p, q) < 2\delta$ implies that $d_L(p, q) < \delta'$ for all $p, q \in L$. In particular, we have

$$B(q, 2\delta) \cap L \subset B_L(q, \delta')$$

for all $q \in L$, and hence

$$\tilde{\psi}_t(\tau) \subset \bigcup_{q_i \in Q'_t} B_L(q_i, \delta').$$

Thus

$$\sum \text{Area}(B_L(q_i, \delta')) \geq \text{Area} \tilde{\psi}_t(\tau).$$

Since the area of a hyperbolic disk (in the d_L metric) of radius δ' is $\pi \sinh(\delta')$, we obtain

$$(3) \quad |Q'_t| \geq \frac{e^t}{2C^2 \pi \sinh(\delta')}.$$

Let Q_t be the projection of $\psi_{-t}(Q'_t)$ to W , and note that $|Q'_t| = |Q_t|$. We claim that Q_t is (t, δ) separated for ψ . Indeed, suppose points q_i and $q_j \in Q_t$ satisfy $d(\psi_s(q_i), \psi_s(q_j)) < \delta$ for all $s \in [0, t]$. Since δ is smaller than the injectivity radius of W , the corresponding paths $s \in [0, t] \mapsto \tilde{\psi}_s(\tilde{q}_i)$ and $s \in [0, t] \mapsto \tilde{\psi}_s(\tilde{q}_j)$ stay δ close

in L . Since pairs of points in Q'_t are δ -separated and $\tilde{\psi}_t(\tilde{q}_i), \tilde{\psi}_t(\tilde{q}_j) \in Q'_t$, it follows then that $q_i = q_j$, which proves the claim.

Equation (3) then gives a bound $N(\delta, t) \geq |Q_t| \geq e^t/2C^2\pi \sinh(\delta')$. In conclusion, we have

$$H(W) = H(\psi) \geq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |Q_t|}{t} \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

As ϵ is arbitrary, the theorem follows. \square

2.4. Length spectrum and orbital growth rate. Every marked hyperbolic surface lamination $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$ has an associated length spectrum. Denote by $[\Gamma]$ the set of conjugacy classes of elements in Γ .

Definition 2.10 (Length spectrum). The *length spectrum* of $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$ is the function $\ell_W : [\Gamma] - \{1\} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ that associates to an element $[\gamma] \in [\Gamma] - \{1\}$ the length of the closed geodesic of the leaf $f([\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{\gamma^+\}/\langle\gamma\rangle])$ where $\gamma^+ \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ is the *attracting* fixed point of γ .

By definition, the periods of the closed orbits of ψ correspond exactly to the (marked) length spectrum. Note that $\ell_W(\gamma)$ can be different from $\ell_W(\gamma^{-1})$, and that $\ell_W(\gamma)$ can be read as the logarithm of the ratio of the eigenvalues of any matrix representing the projective action of γ on the leaf of W corresponding to $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{\gamma^+\}$.

We will deduce the following theorem from Theorem 2.9 by observing that the topological entropy $H(W)$ coincides with the exponential growth rate of closed orbits for the flow ψ defined in the previous subsection.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$ be a marked hyperbolic surface lamination. Then*

$$h(W) := \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\{[\gamma] \in [\Gamma] \mid \ell_W(\gamma) \leq R\}|}{R} \geq 1.$$

The proof of the theorem is somewhat technical, borrowing some tools from thermodynamical formalism. It is carried out in Appendix B.

Here is an outline of the argument: The first step is to observe that ψ is conjugate to a reparameterization of the geodesic flow ϕ on $M_\Gamma \cong T^1\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$ (Lemmas B.2 and B.3).

Classical results of Bowen and Pollicott imply that the orbital growth rate h and the topological entropy H coincide when ψ is a Hölder reparameterization of ϕ . We show that h and H are continuous in the reparameterization potential (§B.2) and conclude the proof by density of Hölder reparameterizations in continuous reparameterizations.

3. TEICHMÜLLER SPACES OF RIEMANN SURFACE LAMINATIONS

In this section we discuss Sullivan's construction [Sul93] of the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ of the Riemann surface lamination M_Γ . We review some features and tools

from classical Teichmüller theory, and spend some time discussing (smooth) quasi-conformal maps, Beltrami differentials, and the Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem 3.9. We conclude by explaining how a foliated analog of Bers' embedding (due to Sullivan) gives $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ a natural complex structure that makes it biholomorphic to a bounded domain in a complex Banach space.

3.1. Teichmüller equivalence. We fix a closed hyperbolic surface $\Sigma := \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$ and consider the associated Riemann surface lamination M_Γ (Definition 2.5).

Recall from Definition 2.6 that a marking $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$ of a Riemann surface lamination W is a leafwise orientation preserving smooth lamination equivalence.

Definition 3.1 (Teichmüller equivalence relation). We say that two marked Riemann surface laminations $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$ and $f' : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W'$ are *Teichmüller equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism of Riemann surface laminations $\phi : W \rightarrow W'$ such that $\phi \circ f$ is *leafwise homotopic* to f' . This means that there is a continuous map $H : M_\Gamma \times [0, 1] \rightarrow W'$ such that:

- $H(\cdot, 0) = \phi \circ f$ and $H(\cdot, 1) = f'$.
- For every $t \in [0, 1]$, the map $H(\cdot, t) : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W'$ is a morphism of surface laminations.

Denote by $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ the Teichmüller set of equivalence classes of marked Riemann surface laminations $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$.

The *classical* Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ consists of equivalence classes of *marked Riemann surfaces*, i.e., orientation preserving diffeomorphisms $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ where Σ' is a Riemann surface.¹ Two diffeomorphisms $f_i : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_i$, $i = 1, 2$ are *Teichmüller equivalent* if there is a biholomorphism $\phi : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ such that $\phi \circ f_1$ is homotopic to f_2 .

Proposition 3.2. *There is an inclusion $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ be a marked Riemann surface. Then $\Sigma' \cong \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma'$ for a discrete group $\Gamma' = f_*\Gamma \leq \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Choose a lift

$$\tilde{f} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2.$$

Then \tilde{f} is smooth and bi-Lipschitz, hence extends to a (Γ, Γ') -equivariant homeomorphism

$$\partial\tilde{f} : \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^2.$$

The map

$$(\tilde{f}, \partial\tilde{f}) : \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$$

is a (Γ, Γ') -equivariant equivalence of smooth surface laminations. Since Γ and Γ' act by Riemann surface automorphisms on $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, we obtain a smooth lamination equivalence

$$F : M_\Gamma \rightarrow M_{\Gamma'}$$

¹Since Σ is closed, any orientation preserving diffeomorphism is quasi-conformal.

on the orbit spaces.

To see that this assignment respects the Teichmüller equivalence relations, suppose that $\phi : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ is a bi-holomorphism and $f_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_1$ and $f_2 : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ are markings such that $\phi \circ f_1 \sim f_2$. As in the first paragraph, we obtain a corresponding maps

$$F_i : M_\Gamma \rightarrow M_{\Gamma_i},$$

where $\Sigma_i = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. By a similar argument, we obtain a (leafwise conformal) Riemann surface lamination equivalence

$$\Phi : M_{\Gamma'} \rightarrow M_{\Gamma'},$$

and our claim is that $\Phi \circ F_1$ and F_2 are homotopic as lamination maps. The proof is an exercise in covering space theory and is left to the reader. \square

The symmetry group of M_Γ as a smooth surface lamination defines an action on the Teichmüller set.

Definition 3.3 (Mapping class group of M_Γ). We define $\text{Mod}(M_\Gamma)$ as the group of leaf-preserving homotopy classes of orientation preserving smooth lamination equivalences $M_\Gamma \rightarrow M_\Gamma$.

The group $\text{Mod}(M_\Gamma)$ acts on $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ as follows. If $[\phi] \in \text{Mod}(M_\Gamma)$ and $[f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W] \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ then we set

$$[\phi] \cdot [f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W] := [f \circ \phi^{-1} : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W].$$

It is a routine check to see that the action is well-defined.

Similarly, the mapping class group $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ can be defined as the group of homotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ [FM12].

Lemma 3.4. *There is a canonical injective homomorphism $\text{Mod}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(M_\Gamma)$.*

Proof. The proof is essentially contained in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Briefly, suppose $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism. Choose a lift $\tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ with boundary extension $\partial\tilde{\phi}$. Then $\tilde{\phi} \times \partial\tilde{\phi}$ is Γ -equivariant and so descends to a smooth lamination equivalence

$$\Phi : M_\Gamma \rightarrow M_\Gamma,$$

as before. That homotopic maps ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 give rise to leafwise homotopic lamination mappings Φ_1 and Φ_2 is an exercise in covering space theory. \square

3.2. Smooth quasi-conformal maps and Teichmüller distance. For an orientation preserving smooth diffeomorphism $f : U \rightarrow V$ between domains $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, the *complex dilatation* μ_f at a point $z \in U$ is

$$(4) \quad \mu_f(z) = \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}}f(z)}{\partial_z f(z)},$$

or more compactly

$$\mu_f = \frac{f_{\bar{z}}}{f_z}.$$

The *conformal distortion* of $f : U \rightarrow V$ at $z \in U$ is defined as

$$K_f(z) = \frac{1 + |\mu_f(z)|}{1 - |\mu_f(z)|}.$$

This is the ratio between the sizes of the major and minor axes of the ellipse $f_*C \subset T_{f(z)}V$, where C is a circle in T_zU . This quantity is invariant under pre- and post-composition by conformal mappings and satisfies $1 \leq K_f(z) < \infty$.

An orientation preserving smooth homeomorphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ between Riemann surfaces is *K-quasi-conformal* if

$$K_\phi = \sup_{z \in \Sigma} K_\phi(z) \leq K.$$

Similarly, an orientation preserving smooth lamination equivalence $\phi : W \rightarrow W'$ of Riemann surface laminations is *K-quasi-conformal* if is leafwise *K-quasi-conformal*. Denote by K_ϕ the supremum of quasi-conformal distortion of ϕ over the leaves of W .

Definition 3.5 (Teichmüller distance). The *Teichmüller distance* $d_{\mathcal{T}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ is defined to be

$$d_{\mathcal{T}}([f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W], [f' : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W']) := \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ \log(K_\phi) \mid \phi : W \rightarrow W', \phi \circ f \sim f' \}$$

where the infimum is taken over all leafwise orientation preserving smooth lamination equivalences $\phi : W \rightarrow W'$ such that $\phi \circ f$ is leafwise homotopic to f' .

That $d_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a distance is immediate from basic properties of the conformal distortion, namely, that $K_\phi \equiv 1$ if and only if ϕ is conformal, $K_{f^{-1}}(f(z)) = K_f(z)$, and $K_{f \circ g}(z) \leq K_f(g(z))K_g(z)$.

Observe that the classical Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$, equipped with its Teichmüller metric, includes (Proposition 3.2) as a totally geodesic subspace of $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$.

3.3. Smooth Beltrami differentials. Suppose f and g are orientation preserving smooth maps between domains in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ on which $g \circ f$ is defined. We record here a useful formula for the complex dilatation for composite maps [Ahl06, Chapter I.C]

$$(5) \quad \mu_g \circ f \frac{\overline{f_z}}{f_z} = \frac{\mu_{g \circ f} - \mu_f}{1 - \overline{\mu_f} \mu_{g \circ f}}.$$

If g is conformal, we obtain

$$\mu_{g \circ f} = \mu_f,$$

and if f is conformal, we get

$$\mu_g \circ f \frac{\overline{f'_z}}{f'_z} = \mu_{g \circ f}.$$

In particular, if $g : U \rightarrow V$ is equivariant with respect to (discrete, torsion free) groups $G \leq \text{Aut}(U)$ and $G' \leq \text{Aut}(V)$, then for all $\gamma \in G$, we have

$$(6) \quad \mu_g \circ \gamma \frac{\overline{\gamma'_z}}{\gamma'_z} = \mu_g.$$

Thus, the expression

$$\mu_g \frac{d\bar{z}}{dz}$$

is invariant by G and defines a $(-1, 1)$ -differential form on the Riemann surface U/G .

For a smooth map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ between Riemann surfaces, there is a $(-1, 1)$ -Beltrami differential $\mu_\phi d\bar{z}/dz$ on X recording the complex dilatation of ϕ as in (4).

In a similar fashion, we can define the Beltrami differential associated with a smooth map of Riemann surface laminations. Indeed, a marked Riemann surface lamination $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$ defines a *leafwise Beltrami differential* that can be written in local coordinates as

$$(7) \quad \mu_f(\cdot, t) := \frac{\partial f / \partial \bar{z}}{\partial f / \partial z}(\cdot, t) \frac{d\bar{z}}{dz}.$$

The rules (5) apply leafwise for composite maps.

3.4. Quasi-conformal homeomorphisms. The class of smooth quasi-conformal maps is too small for our purposes. Ahlfors gives a geometric definition of quasi-conformal homeomorphisms as orientation preserving homeomorphisms that distort the conformal modulus of quadrilaterals by a bounded multiplicative factor [Ahl06]. Here is another (equivalent) formulation of quasi-conformality.

Definition 3.6 (Quasi-conformal homeomorphism). An orientation preserving homeomorphism $f : U \rightarrow V$ between domains U and $V \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ is K -quasi-conformal for some constant $K \geq 1$ if in all affine charts it satisfies the following

$$(8) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sup_{|z-w|=r} |f(z) - f(w)|}{\inf_{|z-w|=r} |f(z) - f(w)|} \leq K$$

for every z in the affine chart. If the domain $K \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ of f is instead an arbitrary subset $K \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ we say that f is K -quasi-Möbius.

A 1-quasi-conformal map is conformal [Ahl06, Chapter II.A]. The space of normalized quasi-conformal homeomorphisms of \mathbb{CP}^1 is compact: any accumulation point of a sequence of K -quasi-conformal homeomorphisms of \mathbb{CP}^1 fixing three distinct points is a K -quasi-conformal homeomorphism [Ahl06, Chapter II.C].

Definition 3.7 (Quasi-circle). A K -quasi-circle $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ is the image of \mathbb{RP}^1 under a K -quasi-conformal homeomorphism $\mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$. A *marking* of \mathcal{C} is a homeomorphism $\mathbb{RP}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

We will need the following removability criterion for quasi-conformal maps. See [LV73, §8.3].

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ are quasi-circles and*

$$f : \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \mathcal{C}_2$$

is K -quasi-conformal and extends continuously to homeomorphism $g : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$. Then g is a K -quasi-conformal homeomorphism.

An important result in the theory of quasi-conformal maps asserts that quasi-conformal solutions $f : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ to the *Beltrami differential equation*

$$(9) \quad f_{\bar{z}}\mu = f_z$$

exist, are essentially unique, and vary nicely in μ . The *Beltrami coefficient*

$$\mu : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

in (9) is only required to be defined on a full measure set, i.e., $\mu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1)$ and $\|\mu\|_\infty < 1$.

The following is known as the Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem; see [Ahl06, Chapter V].

Theorem 3.9 (Measurable Riemann Mapping). *For every essentially bounded Beltrami coefficient $\mu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1)$ with $\|\mu\|_\infty < 1$, there exists a unique quasi-conformal map $g^\mu : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ fixing 0, 1, and ∞ such that $\mu_{g^\mu} = \mu$, a.e. Furthermore, g^μ depends holomorphically² on μ .*

In particular, if $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ is a convergent sequence of Beltrami coefficients in $L^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1)$, then $g^{\mu_n} \rightarrow g^\mu$ uniformly on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ ([Ahl06, Chapter V.B]). Theorem 3.9 asserts that if $\mu : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1)$ is holomorphic with $\|\mu(t)\|_\infty \leq k < 1$ for all t , then for all $z \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$,

$$t \in \mathbb{D} \mapsto g^{\mu(t)}(z) \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$$

is holomorphic.

3.5. Bers' embedding and complex structure. Bers constructed a biholomorphism from $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ to a bounded domain in the complex vector space $Q(\overline{\Sigma})$ of holomorphic quadratic differentials on $\overline{\Sigma}$. We recall Bers' construction and explain Sullivan's observation that uniform continuity of solutions of the Beltrami equation (9) allow us to carry out a similar construction to embed $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ as a bounded domain in a complex Banach space [Sul93].

3.5.1. Holomorphic quadratic differentials. Let $Q(\Sigma)$ be the complex vector space of holomorphic quadratic differentials on Σ . Lifting to \mathbb{H}^2 , $q \in Q(\Sigma)$ is the data of a holomorphic function $\varphi : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\varphi(\gamma(z))\gamma'(z)^2 = \varphi(z)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}^2$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, i.e., q is a holomorphic $(2,0)$ -differential form on Σ .

²Holomorphic dependence of solutions g^μ on μ means the following. First, a map

$$t \in \mathbb{D} \mapsto \mu(t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1)$$

is holomorphic if for all $t \in \mathbb{D}$ and sufficiently small $s \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\mu(s+t)(z) = \mu(t)(z) + s\nu(t)(z) + s\epsilon(s,t)(z)$$

for some $\nu(t), \epsilon(s,t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1)$ with $\|\epsilon(s,t)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. In other words, for almost every $z \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, the map $t \mapsto \mu(t)(z)$ is holomorphic.

Denote by $\rho(z)|dz|^2$ the Poincaré metric on \mathbb{H}^2 . Then the ratio $|\varphi|/\rho$ is invariant by Γ , hence descends to a function on Σ . We endow $Q(\Sigma)$ with the norm³

$$\|q\|_\infty = \sup \frac{|\varphi(z)|}{\rho(z)}.$$

There is a natural analog of leafwise holomorphic quadratic differential in the category of Riemann surface laminations: An element $q \in Q(M_\Gamma)$ is a holomorphic $(2,0)$ -differential form on the leaves of M_Γ that varies transversely continuously. Note that C^0 -transverse continuity and holomorphicity guarantee that all (complex) derivatives automatically vary transversely continuously. We similarly endow the infinite dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space $Q(M_\Gamma)$ with the leafwise $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm obtained by dividing by the Poincaré metric on the leaves of M_Γ . Then $Q(M_\Gamma)$ is a complex Banach space with this norm.

3.5.2. Classical Bers' embedding. Our discussion of Bers' embedding follows [IT92, §6.1]. Throughout, we denote by $\bar{\Sigma}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{H}}^2$ the mirror images of Σ and \mathbb{H}^2 , respectively.

To an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$, we assign $\beta(f) \in Q(\bar{\Sigma})$, as follows. Choose a lift $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$, and define

$$\mu = \begin{cases} \mu_{\tilde{f}}(z), & z \in \mathbb{H}^2 \\ 0, & z \in \bar{\mathbb{H}}^2, \end{cases}$$

so that $\mu \frac{d\bar{z}}{dz}$ is Γ -invariant. The normalized solution g^μ of the Beltrami equation (9) is quasi-conformal on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ and conformal on $\bar{\mathbb{H}}^2$. Let $G^\mu : \bar{\mathbb{H}}^2 \rightarrow g^\mu(\bar{\mathbb{H}}^2)$ be the restriction of g^μ to $\bar{\mathbb{H}}^2$.

We associate to G^μ a holomorphic quadratic differential on $\bar{\Sigma}$ via the *Schwarzian derivative*, which is defined, for a conformal mapping $f : U \rightarrow V$, by

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{S}(f)(z) = \frac{f'''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2.$$

Using Γ -invariance of $\mu \frac{d\bar{z}}{dz}$, a computation verifies that

$$\mathcal{S}(G^\mu)(\gamma(z))\gamma'(z)^2 = \mathcal{S}(G^\mu)(z)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $z \in \bar{\mathbb{H}}^2$. Thus $\mathcal{S}(G^\mu)$ defines a holomorphic quadratic differential $\beta(f) \in Q(\bar{\Sigma})$.

The assignment $f \mapsto \beta(f)$ respects the Teichmüller equivalence relation. Thus β defines a continuous injection

$$\beta : \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \rightarrow Q(\bar{\Sigma}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{3g-3}$$

called *Bers' embedding*.

³Some authors appear to prefer to divide by $\rho/4$, rather than ρ ; in particular, the bound (11) and in [Sul93] differ by a factor of 4.

Classical estimates on the Schwarzian derivative for univalent functions due to Nehari and Krauss give

$$(11) \quad \|\beta([f])\|_\infty \leq 3/2,$$

for all $[f : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'] \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$; see [IT92, §6.1.4].

The complex structure on $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ may be defined by pulling back the complex structure along β : for a different basepoint Σ' and Bers' embedding $\beta' : \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \rightarrow Q(\overline{\Sigma}')$, the map $\beta' \circ \beta^{-1} : \beta(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)) \rightarrow \beta'(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma))$ is a bi-holomorphism [IT92, §6.2]

3.5.3. Laminated Bers' embedding. We conclude the section outlining Sullivan's construction of a complex structure on $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ via a laminated analog of Bers' embedding.

Let $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$ be a marked Riemann surface lamination with Beltrami differential μ_f defined as in (7). We lift μ_f to a Γ -invariant leafwise Beltrami coefficient on $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ which we still denote by μ_f . Extend μ_f to a leafwise Beltrami coefficient μ defined on the Riemann surface lamination $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ by setting it to be 0 on $\overline{\mathbb{H}^2} \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$.

Solving the Beltrami equation

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}}(\cdot, t) = \mu(\cdot, t) \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(\cdot, t)$$

leaf by leaf using Theorem 3.9 gives a quasi-conformal continuous lamination equivalence

$$g^\mu : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2.$$

The restriction G^μ of g^μ to the lower hemisphere $\overline{\mathbb{H}^2} \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ is leafwise *holomorphic* as $\mu \equiv 0$ on $\overline{\mathbb{H}^2} \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$. By continuity of solutions to the Beltrami differential equation, the leafwise Schwarzian derivative $\beta(f) := \mathcal{S}(G^\mu)$ defines a holomorphic quadratic differential on \overline{M}_Γ (the Riemann surface lamination M_Γ with the orientation of each leaf reversed). As before, $\|\beta(f)\|_\infty \leq 3/2$.

We record here some more of the properties, due to Sullivan [Sul93, §5], of the construction outlined above.

Theorem 3.10. *The assignment $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W \mapsto \beta(f) \in Q(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$ respects the Teichmüller equivalence relation, hence defines a map*

$$\beta : \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \rightarrow Q(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$$

called the Bers embedding which is continuous with respect to the topology on $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ defined by the Teichmüller distance (Definition 3.5). Moreover, β is injective with bounded image and $\beta(\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma))$ contains $\{q \in Q(\overline{M}_\Gamma) : \|q\|_\infty < 1/2\}$.

There is a Bers embedding $\beta_W : \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \rightarrow Q(\overline{W})$ associated to any point $[f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W] \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$. The following theorem provides a complex analytic structure on $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$; again see [Sul93, §5].

Theorem 3.11. *Bers' embedding β is holomorphic, i.e.,*

$$\beta_W \circ \beta^{-1} : \beta(\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)) \rightarrow \beta_W(\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma))$$

is a bi-holomorphism for any $[f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W] \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$.

Finally, we point out that every leaf $L \subset M_\Gamma$ is dense in M_Γ . The restriction map recording the conformal structure on L up to bounded homotopy defines a continuous injection $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(L)$ [Sul93, §3].

4. LAMINATED QUASI-FUCHSIAN THEORY

In this section we develop a laminated quasi-Fuchsian theory for laminated actions of a surface group Γ on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ that parallels in many aspects the classical one. Every such action comes together with a pair of laminated Ahlfors–Bers parameters that are a pair of marked Riemann surface laminations. Generalizing the classical results of quasi-Fuchsian theory, we show that any pair of parameters is realized (Theorem 4.9). We then consider the complex dilation spectrum of a laminated action and prove two properties: a generalization of Ahlfors' Lemma (Proposition 4.22) and a characterization of the preimage of the diagonal (Proposition 7.13).

4.1. Automorphisms of \mathbb{CP}^1 -laminations. We consider the Riemann surface lamination $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, which is, in particular, also a surface lamination in the smooth and topological categories.

Denote by $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)$ the group of continuous lamination automorphisms of $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$. Recall that $g \in \text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)$ is a homeomorphism

$$g : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2,$$

which has the form

$$(12) \quad g(z, t) = (h_t(z), f(t)).$$

For each t , it holds that

- $h_t : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a homeomorphism;
- the maps h_t vary continuously in t ; and
- $f : \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ is a homeomorphism.

We also consider subgroups that preserve more structure. Namely, $\text{Aut}^\infty(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)$ is the group of smooth lamination automorphisms, where in (12), for each t , we require h_t to be smooth and vary continuously in the C^∞ topology. See Definition 2.2.

Definition 4.1 (Laminated Möbius group). The subgroup $\mathcal{MG} \leq \text{Aut}^\infty(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)$ preserving the structure of $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ as a Riemann surface lamination and where the map f in (12) is Möbius is called the *laminated Möbius group*.

An element $g \in \mathcal{MG}$ is of the form $g(z, t) = (h_t(z), f(t))$, where $h_t : \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \cong \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is continuous, and $f \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The choice of the standard

$\mathbb{RP}^1 \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ fixes a diagonal action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, which induces an embedding

$$\iota : \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{MG}.$$

By fiat, $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is identified with its image in \mathcal{MG} . Summarizing, we have

$$(13) \quad \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \leq \mathcal{MG} \leq \mathrm{Aut}^\infty(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2) \leq \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2).$$

We will be interested in the space

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{MG})$$

of *laminated conformal actions*: these give rise to actions of Γ on the Riemann surface lamination $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ which are conformal when restricted to leaves. Restricting the standard embedding ι to Γ we get an example of one such action.

4.2. Quasi-conformal deformations. Let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a uniform lattice, so that \mathbb{H}^2/Γ is a closed hyperbolic surface, and let $M_\Gamma = \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$ its associated Riemann surface lamination (see Definition 2.5).

We call *standard laminated limit set* the torus

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{RP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \subset \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2,$$

which is preserved by $\iota(\Gamma)$. Then $\iota(\Gamma)$ also preserves the disconnected Riemann surface lamination $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L}$, acting by Riemann surface lamination automorphisms.

Each component of

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L}/\iota(\Gamma)$$

can be identified with M_Γ by an isomorphism of smooth surface laminations that is leafwise either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic.

We would like to consider deformations of

$$\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{MG}$$

by laminated quasi-conformal maps.

Definition 4.2 (Laminated quasi-conformal map). A map $g \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)$ is K -quasi-conformal if

- For all $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, the map $z \mapsto h_t(z)$ is K -quasi-conformal.
- If $g(z, t) = (h_t(z), f(t))$, then f is Möbius.

In order to stay inside of the category of (pairs of) smooth Riemann surface laminations, we will restrict our attention to conjugations of ι by certain special laminated quasi-conformal homeomorphisms that are smooth outside of a (quasi)-circle in each leaf.

Definition 4.3 (Quasi-conformal deformation). A homomorphism $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$ is a K -quasi-conformal deformation of $\iota : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$ if there is a K -quasi-conformal $g \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)$ such that $\rho = g\iota g^{-1}$ and the restriction

$$g : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - g(\mathcal{L})$$

is an isomorphism of *smooth* surface laminations.

While it follows from the definition that the restriction $g|_{\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L}}$ of a quasi-conformal deformation to the complement of the laminated limit set is smooth and all its derivatives depend continuously on the transversal direction, we can, in general, only expect that the restriction of g to the laminated limit set is continuous.

We will want to consider quasi-conformal deformations only up to suitable equivalence. Say that ρ_1 is *equivalent* to ρ_2 if there is a $g \in \mathcal{MG}$ satisfying $\rho_2 = g\rho_1g^{-1}$.

Definition 4.4 (Quasi-conformal deformation space). Denote by

$$\mathcal{QC}(\iota) \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{MG})/\mathcal{MG}$$

the *quasi-conformal deformation space* of ι , i.e., $[\rho] \in \mathcal{QC}(\iota)$ if ρ is a K -quasi-conformal deformation of ι for some $K \geq 1$.

Note that $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{MG})$ is equipped with the compact-open topology, $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{MG})/\mathcal{MG}$ has the quotient topology, and $\mathcal{QC}(\iota)$ is equipped with the subspace topology.

Proposition 4.5. *Let \mathcal{QF} denote the classical quasi-conformal deformation space of $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. There is a continuous embedding $\mathcal{QF} \rightarrow \mathcal{QC}(\iota)$.*

Proof. The classical quasi-Fuchsian space is realized as an open subset of the character variety $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}))//\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Let $[\rho]$ be as such; by definition, there is a quasi-conformal homeomorphism g_1 of \mathbb{CP}^1 satisfying $\rho(\Gamma) = g_1\Gamma g_1^{-1} \leq \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. We may assume that g_1 is smooth outside of \mathbb{RP}^1 .⁴

Then $\widehat{g}_1 : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ defined by $\widehat{g}_1(z, t) = (g_1(z), t)$ is a laminated quasi-conformal homeomorphism that restricts to an isomorphism of smooth surface laminations

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - g_1(\mathcal{L}).$$

In particular,

$$\widehat{\rho} := \widehat{g}_1 \iota \widehat{g}_1^{-1} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$$

is a quasi-conformal deformation of ι (in the sense of Definition 4.3), and

$$\widehat{\rho}(\gamma)(z, t) = (\rho(\gamma)z, \gamma t),$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma \leq \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

For $g_2 \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\widehat{g_2 \rho g_2^{-1}}(\gamma)(z, t) = (g_2 \rho(\gamma) g_2^{-1} z, \gamma t).$$

Thus $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ maps into \mathcal{MG} , acting trivially on the circle factor and $\widehat{\rho}$ is conjugated to $\widehat{g_2 \rho g_2^{-1}}$ by $\widehat{g}_2 \in \mathcal{MG}_0$. So the map $[\rho] \mapsto [\widehat{\rho}]$ is well-defined.

To see that this assignment is injective, we suppose that $[\widehat{\rho}_1] = [\widehat{\rho}_2]$. Then there exists $g \in \mathcal{MG}$ of the form $g(z, t) = (h_t(z), f(t))$ conjugating $\widehat{\rho}_1$ to $\widehat{\rho}_2$ where $f \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The action $\widehat{\rho}_1$ on the circle factor is $t \mapsto \gamma t$, while the $g\widehat{\rho}_2g^{-1}$ action on

⁴See also §4.3.3, where we do this in higher generality.

the circle factor is $t \mapsto f\gamma f^{-1}t$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This implies that f commutes with Γ and is hence trivial.

Then

$$g\widehat{\rho}_2 g^{-1}(\gamma)(z, t) = (h_{\gamma t} \rho_2(\gamma) h_t^{-1} z, \gamma t),$$

while

$$\widehat{\rho}_1(\gamma)(z, t) = (\rho_1(\gamma) z, \gamma t).$$

Taking $t = \gamma^+$, the attracting fixed point of γ , we see that

$$h_{\gamma^+} \rho_2(\gamma) h_{\gamma^+}^{-1} = \rho_1(\gamma)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $h_{\gamma^+} \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, we have that $\mathrm{tr}^2(\rho_1(\gamma)) = \mathrm{tr}^2(\rho_2(\gamma))$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This implies that ρ_1 and ρ_2 are conjugate in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Continuity follows immediately from the definitions. This completes the proof. \square

We will see in Section 6.1 that hyperconvex representations $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ give rise to exotic quasi-conformal deformations of ι .

Remark 4.6. Our proof of injectivity of the Ahlfors–Bers parameters associated with certain *fully hyperconvex* representations follows the same strategy of the proof given above essentially by recovering eigenvalue ratios (hence traces). See §7.2.

4.3. Ahlfors–Bers parameters and double uniformization. From a quasi-conformal deformation $\rho = g\iota g^{-1}$, we extract a pair (E_ρ, F_ρ) of marked Riemann surface laminations $M_\Gamma \rightarrow E_\rho$ and $\overline{M}_\Gamma \rightarrow F_\rho$.

Namely, $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L}$ consists of two components $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ and $\overline{\mathbb{H}}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$. By definition, the laminated quasi-conformal map g restricts to a pair of smooth lamination isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow g(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)$$

and

$$\overline{\mathbb{H}}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow g(\overline{\mathbb{H}}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2),$$

and the image of $\rho = g\iota g^{-1}$ preserves the images acting by Riemann surface lamination automorphisms.

Define Riemann surface laminations

$$E_\rho := g(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)/\rho(\Gamma)$$

and

$$F_\rho := g(\overline{\mathbb{H}}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)/\rho(\Gamma).$$

Since $g\iota(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma)g$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, g induces smooth markings

$$M_\Gamma \rightarrow E_\rho$$

and

$$\overline{M}_\Gamma \rightarrow F_\rho.$$

Thus $[M_\Gamma \rightarrow E_\rho]$ defines a point in $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ and similarly $[\overline{M}_\Gamma \rightarrow F_\rho] \in \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$.

Definition 4.7 (Ahlfors–Bers parameters). The *Ahlfors–Bers* parameters associated to $[\rho] \in \mathcal{QC}(\iota)$ are

$$\mathcal{AB}([\rho]) := ([E_\rho], [F_\rho]) \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma).$$

We must verify that our definition respects the corresponding equivalence relations.

Proposition 4.8. *The map*

$$\mathcal{AB} : \mathcal{QC}(\iota) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$$

is well-defined.

Proof. Consider two equivalent $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{MG})$. By definition $\rho_j = g_j \iota g_j^{-1}$ for $j = 1, 2$ with g_j quasi-conformal (as in Definition 4.3) and, as ρ_1 is equivalent to ρ_2 , the composition $h := g_2 g_1^{-1} : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ belongs to \mathcal{MG} . Notice that h is (ρ_1, ρ_2) -equivariant, conformal on each $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{t\}$, and restricts to an isomorphism of Riemann surface laminations

$$h : g_1(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow g_2(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L}).$$

Hence, it induces an isomorphism between $M_\Gamma \sqcup \overline{M}_\Gamma \rightarrow E_{\rho_1} \sqcup F_{\rho_1}$ and $M_\Gamma \sqcup \overline{M}_\Gamma \rightarrow E_{\rho_2} \sqcup F_{\rho_2}$ in the right homotopy class with respect to the markings induced by g_1, g_2 . \square

Generalizing Bers’ Simultaneous Uniformization [Ber60], we have the following:

Theorem 4.9. *Let \mathbb{H}^2/Γ be a closed hyperbolic surface with associated lamination M_Γ . There is a natural, i.e., mapping class group equivariant, homeomorphism*

$$\mathcal{AB} : \mathcal{QC}(\iota) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma).$$

The proof is given in §§4.3.1 - 4.3.4 as Propositions 4.14, 4.15, 4.18 and 4.20.

4.3.1. *Continuity of \mathcal{AB} .* We begin the proof with an elementary observation:

Lemma 4.10. *It is enough to check sequential continuity of \mathcal{AB} .*

Proof. The group \mathcal{MG} can be endowed with a metric inducing the compact open topology. Then $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{MG})$ is metrizable by choosing a finite generating set for Γ . Infimizing the distance over representatives in a given \mathcal{MG} -conjugacy class yields a metric on $\mathcal{QC}(\iota)$, which shows the claim. \square

Suppose then that $[\rho_n] \rightarrow [\rho_\infty] \in \mathcal{QC}(\iota) \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{MG})/\mathcal{MG}$. Up to conjugating by suitable elements in \mathcal{MG} , we may assume that $\rho_n = g_n \iota g_n^{-1}$, where g_n is a laminated quasi-conformal homeomorphism that is a smooth lamination map away from $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{RP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, g_n is identity on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$, and

$$\rho_n(\gamma) \rightarrow \rho_\infty(\gamma)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 4.11. *Under the above assumptions g_n converges pointwise to g_∞ on \mathcal{L} .*

Proof. Indeed, let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be non-trivial and choose $a \in \mathbb{RP}^1 \setminus \{\gamma^-\}$. Using equivariance, we obtain

$$\rho_n(\gamma^k)g_n(a, \gamma^-) = g_n(\gamma^k a, \gamma^k \gamma^-) \rightarrow g_n(\gamma^+, \gamma^-), \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows that $g_n(\gamma^+, \gamma^-)$ is the attracting fixed point for the Möbius action of $\rho_n(\gamma)$ on the leaf $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{\gamma^-\}$. Since $\rho_n(\gamma) \rightarrow \rho_\infty(\gamma)$, it follows that the attracting fixed points converge, i.e., $g_n(\gamma^+, \gamma^-) \rightarrow g_\infty(\gamma^+, \gamma^-)$. Using the ergodicity of the geodesic flow and the closing lemma, the set $\{(\gamma^+, \gamma^-) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is dense in \mathcal{L} . We have shown the convergence $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$ of the continuous maps on a dense subset of the compact metric space \mathcal{L} , so $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$ (uniformly) on \mathcal{L} . \square

In general the quasi-conformal maps g_n might not converge pointwise on the complement $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L}$. Our strategy will be to replace them with better behaved quasi-conformal maps $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g_n)$ extending $g_n|_{\mathcal{L}}$. To construct the maps $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g_n)$, we will use the Douady–Earle extension operator:

Theorem 4.12 ([DE86]). *There is a Douady–Earle extension operator*

$$\text{DE} : \text{Homeo}^+(\partial\mathbb{H}^2) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^+(\mathbb{H}^2)$$

with the following properties

- (1) $\text{DE}(f)$ extends continuously to f on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$.
- (2) $\text{DE}(f)$ is conformally natural, i.e., for all $\alpha, \beta \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, we have $\text{DE}(\alpha \circ f \circ \beta) = \alpha \circ \text{DE}(f) \circ \beta$.
- (3) DE is continuous with respect to the natural topologies. Concretely, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^2 \times \text{Homeo}^+(\partial\mathbb{H}^2) &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2 \\ (z, f) &\mapsto \text{DE}(f)(z) \end{aligned}$$

is continuous, as are the derivatives in z of all orders.

- (4) For every K , there is a K^* such that if $f \in \text{Homeo}^+(\partial\mathbb{H}^2)$ admits a K -quasi-conformal extension to \mathbb{H}^2 , then $\text{DE}(f)$ is K^* -quasi-conformal.

The key step in our proof of continuity is the following laminated extension of Theorem 4.12. For this, we consider the space of *laminated markings*

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{LM} = \left\{ f : \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{RP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \left| \begin{array}{l} f \text{ continuous,} \\ f(\mathbb{RP}^1 \times \{t\}) \subset \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{t\}, \\ f|_{\mathbb{RP}^1 \times \{t\}} \text{ injective } \forall t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

A laminated marking gives rise to a continuous family of marked Jordan curves.

Proposition 4.13. *There exists an extension map*

$$\widehat{\text{DE}} : \mathcal{LM} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)$$

such that, for $g \in \mathcal{LM}$, the extension $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)$ is a smooth lamination isomorphism

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - g(\mathcal{L})$$

and has the following properties

- (1) $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)|_{\mathcal{L}} = g$;
- (2) if $g_n \rightarrow g$ pointwise, then $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g_n) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{DE}}(g)$ pointwise on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$;
- (3) for every K there exists K^* such that if $g|_{\mathbb{RP}^1 \times \{t\}}$ is K -quasi-Möbius for every t , then $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)$ is K^* -quasi-conformal and smooth away from \mathcal{L} ;
- (4) if g is (ι, ρ) equivariant, namely $g\iota = \rho g$ for $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{MG})$, then $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)$ is also (ι, ρ) equivariant.

Proof. Step 1: Construction. Choose distinct points $x, y, z \in \mathbb{RP}^1$. We can and will assume, up to conjugating both g and $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)$ by an element of \mathcal{MG} covering the identity on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ that the points $x, y, z \in \mathbb{RP}^1$ are fiberwise fixed, i.e., we assume that $g(x, t) = (x, t)$, $g(y, t) = (y, t)$, and $g(z, t) = (z, t)$ for all $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$.

We write $g = (h_t, t)$ and denote by $\Lambda_t = h_t(\mathbb{RP}^1)$ and by H_t and $\overline{H}_t \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ the two connected components of $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \Lambda_t$ (recall that for every t , Λ_t is a Jordan curve). Let $u_t : H_t \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ and $\overline{u}_t : \overline{H}_t \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}^2$ be uniformizing maps. By Carathéodory's extension theorem (e.g., [GM08]), since Λ_t is a Jordan curve, u_t and \overline{u}_t have continuous extensions $\partial u_t : \Lambda_t \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ and $\partial \overline{u}_t : \Lambda_t \rightarrow \partial\overline{\mathbb{H}}^2$. We will assume, up to postcomposing u_t with an element of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, that ∂u_t fixes x, y , and z . Define

$$\zeta_t : \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \cong \mathbb{RP}^1 \xrightarrow{h_t} \Lambda_t \xrightarrow{\partial u_t} \partial\mathbb{H}^2,$$

which also fixes x, y , and z , and $\overline{\zeta}_t$ analogously.

We define $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)$ on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L}$ by $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)(z, t) = (h'_t(z), t)$, where

$$h'_t(z) = \begin{cases} u_t^{-1} \circ \text{DE}(\zeta_t)(z), & z \in \mathbb{H}^2 \\ \overline{u}_t^{-1} \circ \text{DE}(\overline{\zeta}_t)(z), & z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}^2. \end{cases}$$

We need to verify that $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)$ is indeed an element of $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)$, which induces a smooth lamination isomorphism $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - g(\mathcal{L})$. The extension is leafwise smooth outside \mathcal{L} because of Theorem 4.12. In view of Theorem 4.12 (3), order to verify the derivatives of h'_t vary continuously with $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ it is enough to verify that, for $t \rightarrow t_0$ the holomorphic maps u_t^{-1} and \overline{u}_t^{-1} converge uniformly to $u_{t_0}^{-1}$ and $\overline{u}_{t_0}^{-1}$ on compact subsets.

The last fact follows by Carathéodory's Convergence Theorem (see [Dur83, Theorem 3.1] and note that in our setup, convergence of kernels is equivalent to Hausdorff convergence of the complementary domains): since $\Lambda_t \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ are Jordan curves running through points x, y and z , and $\Lambda_t \rightarrow \Lambda_{t_0}$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$ in the Hausdorff topology, the maps $(u_t \cup \partial u_t)^{-1} : \mathbb{H}^2 \cup \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ converge uniformly to $(u_{t_0} \cup \partial u_{t_0})^{-1}$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$. Similarly, $(\overline{u}_t \cup \partial \overline{u}_t)^{-1} \rightarrow (\overline{u}_{t_0} \cup \partial \overline{u}_{t_0})^{-1}$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$.

Step 2: First properties. By construction, $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)$ extends continuously to $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ and agrees with g on \mathcal{L} (Property (1)). Property (2), namely the pointwise convergence, follows directly from the continuity property, Property (3), of the Douady-Earle extension operator DE. Continuity of DE (and the maps ζ_t and $\overline{\zeta}_t$ in t) gives that $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)$ is a K^* -quasi-conformal isomorphism of smooth laminations

on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L}$. Since $g(\mathbb{RP}^1 \times \{t\})$ is a quasi-circle for each t , $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)$ is K^* -quasi-conformal everywhere (see Proposition 3.8), this shows Property (3).

Step 3: Equivariance. Property (4) essentially boils down to conformal naturality of DE and (ι, ρ) -equivariance of g , though the computation is somewhat lengthy. We write $\rho(\gamma)(z, t) = (\rho(\gamma, t)z, \gamma t)$, where $\rho(\gamma, t) \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Since the uniformizations u_t and $u_{\gamma t}$, as well as the map $\rho(\gamma, t)$, are bi-holomorphisms on their domains, there is $\alpha(\gamma, t) \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(16) \quad u_{\gamma t} \circ \rho(\gamma, t) = \alpha(\gamma, t) \circ u_t,$$

which, using the (ι, ρ) -equivariance of g also implies

$$(17) \quad \zeta_{\gamma t} \circ \gamma = \alpha(\gamma, t) \circ \zeta_t.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \zeta_t & & \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\ \mathbb{RP}^1 & \xrightarrow{h_t} & \Lambda_t & \xrightarrow{\partial u_t} & \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \\ \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \rho(\gamma, t) & & \downarrow \alpha(\gamma, t) \\ \mathbb{RP}^1 & \xrightarrow{h_{\gamma t}} & \Lambda_{\gamma t} & \xrightarrow{\partial u_{\gamma t}} & \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \\ & & \zeta_{\gamma t} & & \end{array}$$

We compute, for $z \in \mathbb{H}^2$,

$$\rho(\gamma)\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)(z, t) = (\rho(\gamma, t)u_t^{-1} \text{DE}(\zeta_t)(z), t)$$

Using (16) and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\gamma, t)u_t^{-1} \text{DE}(\zeta_t)(z) &= u_{\gamma t}^{-1} \alpha(\gamma, t) \text{DE}(\zeta_t)(z) \\ &= u_{\gamma t}^{-1} \text{DE}(\alpha(\gamma, t)\zeta_t)(z) \\ &= u_{\gamma t}^{-1} \text{DE}(\zeta_{\gamma t})(\gamma z) \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof that $\rho(\gamma)\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)(z, t) = \widehat{\text{DE}}(g)(\gamma z, \gamma t)$, for $z \in \mathbb{H}^2$.

For $z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}^2}$, one computes analogously as above and comes to the same conclusion. Finally, $\widehat{\text{DE}}(g)$ is clearly equivariant on $\mathbb{RP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, because g was, and the two maps coincide there. This completes the proof of the claim. \square

We can now conclude the proof of the main result of the section:

Proposition 4.14. *\mathcal{AB} is continuous.*

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 4.10 it is enough to check sequential continuity. As above, we can assume that $\rho_n = g_n \iota g_n^{-1} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$ are K -quasi-conformal deformations of ι converging to $\rho_\infty = g_\infty \iota g_\infty^{-1}$, with the further assumption that g_n, g_∞ are the identity on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$. Thanks to Lemma 4.11 we then know that $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$ pointwise on \mathcal{L} , and up to further composing g_n, g_∞ with suitable elements in \mathcal{MG} we can assume that the points x, y, z are fiberwise fixed.

Since the restrictions $G_n = g_n|_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $G_\infty = g_\infty|_{\mathcal{L}}$ to \mathcal{L} induces an element of \mathcal{LM} , we can now apply the above result to replace g_n and g_∞ with $\widehat{\text{DE}}(G_n)$ and $\widehat{\text{DE}}(G_\infty)$.

The advantage here is that the hypothesis that $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$ pointwise on $\mathbb{RP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ now implies that $\widehat{\text{DE}}(G_n) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{DE}}(G_\infty)$ pointwise on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$.

By construction $\widehat{\text{DE}}(G_n)$ is ρ_n -equivariant. Since $\widehat{\text{DE}}(G_n)$ converge to $\widehat{\text{DE}}(G_\infty)$ pointwise, the lamination charts for the quotient Riemann surface laminations marked by M_Γ and \overline{M}_Γ converge also. This shows that $[E_{\rho_n}] \rightarrow [E_{\rho_\infty}] \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ and $[F_{\rho_n}] \rightarrow [F_{\rho_\infty}] \in \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and completes the proof of the proposition. \square

4.3.2. Injectivity.

Proposition 4.15. *\mathcal{AB} is injective.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{AB}([\rho]) = \mathcal{AB}([\rho'])$, where $\rho = g\rho_0g^{-1}$ and $\rho' = g'\rho_0(g')^{-1}$. Up to conjugation in \mathcal{MG} we may assume that g and g' cover the identity on the $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ factor. Denote by $\bar{g} : M_\Gamma \sqcup \overline{M}_\Gamma \rightarrow E_\rho \sqcup F_\rho$ the markings induced by g , and define \bar{g}' similarly. Note that $g' \circ g^{-1}$ restricts to a homeomorphism

$$\partial(g' \circ g^{-1}) : g(\mathbb{RP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2) \rightarrow g'(\mathbb{RP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)$$

that is (ρ, ρ') -equivariant and identity on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$.

There is a conformal equivalence of Riemann surface laminations

$$\bar{\phi} : (\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - g(\mathcal{L})) / \rho(\Gamma) \rightarrow (\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - g'(\mathcal{L})) / \rho'(\Gamma)$$

such that $\bar{\phi} \circ \bar{g}$ is leafwise homotopic to \bar{g}' . Then $\bar{\phi}$ lifts to a (ρ, ρ') -equivariant equivalence of Riemann surface laminations

$$\phi : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - g(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - g'(\mathcal{L})$$

which is the identity on the $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ -factor.

We claim that $\partial(g' \circ g^{-1})$ extends ϕ continuously. Indeed, the leafwise homotopy between $g' \circ g^{-1}$ and ϕ has uniformly bounded length trajectories in the Poincaré metric on each leaf of $g'(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)$. Thus $\partial(g' \circ g^{-1})$ induces the same map as the Carathéodory extension of ϕ on each leaf.

In summary, ϕ induces a continuous surface lamination map $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ that is trivial on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ and conformal on each leaf away from a quasicircle $g(\mathbb{RP}^1 \times \{t\})$, where it is quasi-conformal. But a quasi-conformal map that is conformal outside a quasicircle is conformal (see Proposition 3.8). Hence $\phi \in \mathcal{MG}$, and $\phi \circ \rho(\gamma) = \rho'(\gamma) \circ \phi$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence $[\rho] = [\rho']$, completing the proof of injectivity of \mathcal{AB} . \square

4.3.3. *Conformal welding: a continuous inverse to \mathcal{AB} .* Consider two marked Riemann surface laminations

$$[\hat{f} : M_\Gamma \rightarrow E], \quad [\hat{f}' : \overline{M}_\Gamma \rightarrow F];$$

abusing notation, we sometimes suppress the markings for brevity and write $[E]$ and $[F]$ for the equivalence classes of those marked laminations. Our goal is to construct a quasi-conformal deformation $\rho_{E,F}$ of ι satisfying

$$\mathcal{AB}([\rho_{E,F}]) = ([E], [F]).$$

Fix representatives $\hat{f} : M_\Gamma \rightarrow E$ and $\hat{f}' : \overline{M}_\Gamma \rightarrow F$. Consider the normal covering space $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ of M_Γ corresponding to Γ . We have equivariant smooth lamination maps

$$f : \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow U, \quad f' : \overline{\mathbb{H}}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow V$$

lifting \hat{f} and \hat{f}' , respectively, to the corresponding covers $U \rightarrow E$ and $V \rightarrow F$.

Remark 4.16. By Candel's Uniformization Theorem 2.3, E and F have smooth laminated Riemannian metrics in their conformal classes such that the metric on each fiber is complete with sectional curvature everywhere equal to -1 . So, we could identify U and V with $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ and $\overline{\mathbb{H}}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, respectively.

We define a Beltrami coefficient $\mu_{f \sqcup f'}$ leafwise on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L}$ in coordinates by

$$(18) \quad \mu_{f \sqcup f'}(z, t) := \begin{cases} \frac{\partial f / \partial \bar{z}}{\partial f / \partial z}(\cdot, t) & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{H}^2, \\ \frac{\partial f' / \partial \bar{z}}{\partial f' / \partial z}(\cdot, t) & \text{for } z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}^2. \end{cases}$$

Since f lifts $\hat{f} : M_\Gamma \rightarrow E$, we have

$$(19) \quad \mu_{f \sqcup f'}(z, t) = \mu_{f \sqcup f'}(\gamma z, \gamma t) \frac{\overline{\gamma'(z)}}{\gamma'(z)}, \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{H}^2,$$

and similarly for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}^2$, because f' lifts \hat{f}' ; see (6) and (7). Another way to express this condition is to say that

$$\mu_{f \sqcup f'} \frac{d\bar{z}}{dz} \text{ is invariant by } \iota.$$

Since \hat{f} and \hat{f}' are orientation preserving diffeomorphisms on leaves whose derivatives vary continuously transversally, $\mu_{f \sqcup f'}$ is smooth (in the lamination sense) on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L}$. The compactness of M_Γ implies that $\|\mu_{f \sqcup f'}\|_\infty < 1$. By Theorem 3.9, we can find a continuous, quasi-conformal isomorphism of surface laminations $G : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ satisfying

$$(20) \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial \bar{z}}(\cdot, t) = \mu_{f \sqcup f'}(\cdot, t) \frac{\partial G}{\partial z}(\cdot, t) \text{ a.e.}$$

Lemma 4.17. *The rule*

$$\gamma \in \Gamma \mapsto G \circ \gamma \circ G^{-1}$$

defines a representation $\rho_{E,F} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}\mathcal{G})$.

Proof. We only need to check that $\rho(\gamma)$ is leafwise conformal. This follows from the invariance property (19) and uniqueness of normalized solutions (20); see [Ahl06, Chapter VI.B]. \square

We will show that G is smooth away from \mathcal{L} , so that $\rho_{E,F}$ is a quasi-conformal deformation of ι with the desired properties:

Proposition 4.18. *Given $([E], [F]) \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$, the representation $\rho_{E,F}$ from Lemma 4.17 is a quasi-conformal deformation of ι and satisfies $\mathcal{AB}([\rho_{E,F}]) = ([E], [F])$. Furthermore, the assignment $([E], [F]) \mapsto [\rho_{E,F}] \in \mathcal{QC}(\iota)$ is continuous.*

Proof. We have an equivariant map

$$\Phi = (f \sqcup f') \circ G^{-1} : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - g(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow U \sqcup V,$$

whose leafwise Beltrami coefficient satisfies (5)

$$\mu_\Phi \circ G = \frac{\partial G / \partial z}{\partial \overline{G} / \partial \overline{z}} \cdot \frac{\mu_{f \sqcup f'} - \mu_G}{1 - \overline{\mu}_G \mu_{f \sqcup f'}}$$

in the sense of distributions. By construction, $\mu_G = \mu_{f \sqcup f'}$ a.e. and $|\overline{\mu}_G \mu_{f \sqcup f'}| < 1$, so $\mu_\Phi = 0$ a.e. This implies that Φ is leafwise conformal. Since f and f' are smooth on their domains of definition, we conclude that G is smooth away from \mathcal{L} . Furthermore, G descends to a pair of markings $M_\Gamma \rightarrow E$ and $\overline{M}_\Gamma \rightarrow F$ the homotopy classes of \hat{f} and \hat{f}' , respectively. This proves the claim that $\mathcal{AB}([\rho_{E,F}]) = ([E], [F])$.

Continuity follows directly from continuity of solutions of the Beltrami equation in the Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem 3.9. \square

Remark 4.19. Note the following features of the conformal welding construction.

- Given $([E], [F]) \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$ let $g_{E,F} : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ be the solution to the corresponding equation (18). Then $K_{g_{E,F}}$ is bounded by $\max\{K_{\hat{f}}, K_{\hat{f}'}\}$.
- When F is the mirror image of E , we can choose the markings \hat{f} and \hat{f}' to satisfy $f'(z) := \overline{f(\overline{z})}$ where \overline{f} is the complex conjugate of f . The corresponding solution $g_{E,F}$ to (18) preserves \mathcal{L} .

4.3.4. *Mapping class group equivariance.* Recall that the mapping class group $\text{Mod}(M_\Gamma)$ of the lamination is the group of smooth surface lamination automorphism up to leafwise homotopy. We briefly describe the canonical action of $\text{Mod}(M_\Gamma)$ on $\mathcal{QC}(\iota)$.

Consider $[g\iota g^{-1}] \in \mathcal{QC}(\iota)$ a quasi-conformal deformation and $[\phi] \in \text{Mod}(M_\Gamma)$ a mapping class. Let ϕ be a (any) representative of $[\phi]$. Lift it to a smooth Γ -equivariant lamination automorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$. By cocompactness of the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ every map $\Phi(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ is a K -quasi-conformal map. As the boundary extension operator $\text{QC}(\mathbb{H}^2) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\partial\mathbb{H}^2)$ is continuous with respect to the natural topologies on domain and target, we get that Φ extends continuously to the closure of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ in $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ via a homeomorphism

$$\partial\Phi : \mathbb{RP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2.$$

Define Φ^e by

$$\Phi^e := \begin{cases} \Phi^{-1} & \text{on } \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \\ \overline{\Phi}^{-1} & \text{on } \overline{\mathbb{H}^2} \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \\ \partial\Phi^{-1} & \text{on } \mathbb{RP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \end{cases}$$

where $\overline{\Phi}$ is the complex conjugate of Φ .

Note that $\Phi^e(\cdot, t)$, being continuous and quasi-conformal on $\mathbb{CP}^1 - \mathbb{RP}^1$, it is quasi-conformal everywhere (by Proposition 3.8). Thus $(g\Phi^e)\iota(g\Phi^e)^{-1}$ is a quasi-conformal deformation of ι and we can define

$$[\phi] \cdot [g\iota g^{-1}] := [(g\Phi^e)\iota(g\Phi^e)^{-1}].$$

It is straightforward to check that the action is well-defined.

We prove the following.

Proposition 4.20. *The map \mathcal{AB} is $\text{Mod}(M_\Gamma)$ -equivariant.*

Proof. Let $[g\iota g^{-1}] \in \mathcal{QC}(\iota)$ be a quasi-conformal deformation. By definition $\mathcal{AB}(\rho)$ is the marked Riemann surface lamination defined by

$$g : (\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L})/\iota(\Gamma) \rightarrow (\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - g(\mathcal{L}))/g\iota g^{-1}(\Gamma).$$

Let $[\phi] \in \text{Mod}(M_\Gamma)$ be a mapping class. By definition $[\phi] \cdot [g\iota g^{-1}] = [(g\Phi^e)\iota(g\Phi^e)^{-1}]$ where Φ^e is defined above. Hence $\mathcal{AB}([\phi] \cdot [g\iota g^{-1}])$ is the marked Riemann surface lamination defined by

$$g \circ \Phi^e : (\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathcal{L})/\iota(\Gamma) \rightarrow (\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 - g(\mathcal{L}))/g\Phi^e\iota(g\Phi^e)^{-1}(\Gamma)$$

By construction, the restrictions of $g\Phi^e$ to M_Γ and \overline{M}_Γ coincide with $g\phi^{-1}$ and $g\overline{\phi}^{-1}$. Hence $\mathcal{AB}([\phi] \cdot [g\iota g^{-1}]) = [\phi] \cdot \mathcal{AB}([g\iota g^{-1}])$ as desired. \square

4.4. Complex dilation spectrum. Given a loxodromic element $h \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ we say that its *complex dilation* $L(h)$ is the ratio $\lambda_1(h)/\lambda_2(h)$ where $\lambda_i(h)$ are the eigenvalues of any lift of h ordered so that $|\lambda_1(h)| > |\lambda_2(h)|$. Of course, this means that h can be expressed, in an appropriate chart, as the transformation $z \mapsto L(h)z$, and the translation length of h is computed by $\ell(h) = \log |L(h)|$.

Let now $\rho = g\iota g^{-1} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$ be a quasi-conformal deformation. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{1\}$ the restriction $\rho(\gamma, \gamma^+)$ of $\rho(\gamma)$ to the leaf $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{\gamma^+\}$ is loxodromic, since it fixes the distinct points $g(\gamma^+, \gamma^+)$ and $g(\gamma^-, \gamma^+)$, and has north-south dynamics.

Definition 4.21 (Complex dilation spectrum). The *complex dilation spectrum* of the quasi-conformal deformation $\rho = g\iota g^{-1}$ is the function $L_\rho : [\Gamma] - \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ that associates to $[\gamma] \in [\Gamma]$ the complex dilation of $\rho(\gamma)|_{\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{\gamma^+\}}$.

More generally if $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{MG})$ is a laminated conformal action covering the standard action of Γ on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$, we define its complex dilation spectrum L_ρ to be given by $L_\rho(\gamma) = \lambda_1(h)/\lambda_2(h)$ if $h = \rho(\gamma, \gamma^+)$ is loxodromic and equal to 1 if it is parabolic or elliptic, which coincides with the exponential of its translation length for the action on \mathbb{H}^3 .

The following is a generalization of Ahlfors' Lemma [Ota96, Lemma 5.1.1]. Recall that the length spectrum ℓ_E of a hyperbolic surface lamination E was defined in Definition 2.10, and associates to $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the length of the closed geodesic of the leaf corresponding to γ^+ .

Proposition 4.22. *Let $\rho = g\iota g^{-1} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$ be a quasi-conformal deformation with Ahlfors–Bers parameters $\mathcal{AB}(\rho) = (E_\rho, F_\rho)$. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have*

$$\log |L_\rho(\gamma)| \leq 2 \min\{\ell_{E_\rho}(\gamma), \ell_{F_\rho}(\gamma)\}.$$

Proof. We follow the proof given in [Ota96, Lemma 5.1.1]. Assume for simplicity that $\ell_{E_\rho}(\gamma) \leq \ell_{F_\rho}(\gamma)$. Let us show that $\log |L_\rho(\gamma)| \leq 2\ell_{E_\rho}(\gamma)$.

Consider $g(\mathbb{H}_+^2 \times \{\gamma^+\}) \subset \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{\gamma^+\}$. We normalize the action of $\rho(\gamma) = g\gamma g^{-1}$ on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{\gamma^+\}$ so that $\rho(\gamma)z = L_\rho(\gamma)z$. Let $u : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow g(\mathbb{H}_+^2 \times \{\gamma^+\})$ be a uniformization whose Caratheodory extension to the boundary maps 0 to $0 = g(\gamma^-, \gamma^+)$ and ∞ to $\infty = g(\gamma^+, \gamma^+)$. This way $u^{-1}\rho(\gamma)u$ acts on \mathbb{H}^2 as the hyperbolic motion $z \rightarrow e^{\ell_{E_\rho}(\gamma)}z$. By equivariance, $u(e^{\ell_{E_\rho}(\gamma)}z) = L_\rho(\gamma)u(z)$.

Now recall that Koebe 1/4 Theorem says that if $v : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an injective holomorphic map from the unit disk to the complex plane, then the image $v(\mathbb{D})$ contains the Euclidean ball of radius $|v'(0)|/4$ centered around $v(0)$. For every $z \in i(0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ (we identify \mathbb{H}^2 with the upper half-plane), we choose $v_z := u \circ w_z$ where $w_z : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ is the biholomorphism $w_z(s) = -|z|\frac{is+1}{s+i}$, which sends 0 to z with $|w'_z(0)| = 2|z|$. As 0 lies on the boundary of $v_z(\mathbb{H}^2)$, Koebe's theorem gives that $|v'_z(0)|/4 \leq |v_z(0)|$ since the ball of radius $|v'_z(0)|/4$ centered at $v_z(0)$ must be contained in the image of v . Observe that $v_z(0) = u(w_z(0)) = u(z)$ and that $v'_z(0) = u'(z)w'_z(0) = u'(z)2|z|$. Thus, for every $z \in i(0, \infty)$, we have

$$\frac{|u'(z)|}{|u(z)|} \leq \frac{2}{|z|}.$$

We are now able to conclude the proof. Consider the path $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ given by $\alpha(t) = e^{\ell_E(\gamma)t}i$. Note that, by equivariance of u , we have $u(\alpha(1)) = L_\rho(\gamma)u(\alpha(0))$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \log |L_\rho(\gamma)| &= \log \left| \frac{u(\alpha(1))}{u(\alpha(0))} \right| \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \log |u(\alpha(t))| dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{|u'(\alpha(t))|}{|u(\alpha(t))|} |\alpha'(t)| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{2}{|\alpha(t)|} |\alpha'(t)| dt = 2\ell_E(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lastly, we prove that if the Ahlfors–Bers parameters lie on the diagonal, then the complex dilation spectrum has only real values.

Proposition 4.23. *Let $\rho = g\iota g^{-1} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$ be a quasi-conformal deformation with Ahlfors–Bers parameters $\mathcal{AB}(\rho) \in \Delta \subset \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have $L_\rho(\gamma) \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. By the discussion in Remark 4.19, if $\mathcal{AB}(\rho) = (E, E)$, then ρ is equivalent to a representation that leaves invariant $\mathbb{RP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$. It follows that $\rho(\gamma)$ preserves $\mathbb{RP}^1 \times \{\gamma^+\}$ and, hence, it has real dialtion spectrum. \square

5. HYPERCONVEX REPRESENTATIONS

In this section, we introduce and discuss preliminaries on hyperconvex representations $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$.

5.1. Anosov representations. Anosov representations of hyperbolic groups were introduced by Labourie [Lab06] and Guichard-Wienhard [GW12] using dynamical properties of the lift of the geodesic flow on the flat bundle associated to the representation. We will need an equivalent characterization combining the work of Kassel-Potrie [KP22] and the work of Kapovich-Leeb-Porti [KLP17]. For a finitely generated group Γ we choose a symmetric finite generating set and we denote by $|\cdot|_\infty$ the stable length, namely $|\gamma|_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\gamma^n|}{n}$, where $|\cdot|$ is the word-length associated to our choice of finite generating set.

Definition 5.1 (Anosov representation). Let Γ be hyperbolic, $1 \leq k \leq d$. A representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ is *k-Anosov* if and only if there exist positive constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$\log |\lambda^k(\rho(\gamma))| - \log |\lambda^{k+1}(\rho(\gamma))| \geq c_1 |\gamma|_\infty - c_2.$$

Here for $g \in \mathrm{PSL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ we denote by $\lambda_1(g), \dots, \lambda_d(g)$ the eigenvalues of any lift of g to $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ ordered so that their moduli are non-decreasing.

It follows from the definition that a *k-Anosov* representation is also $(d-k)$ -Anosov. Any *k-Anosov* representation admits continuous, equivariant, transverse boundary maps $\xi^k : \partial\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^d)$, $\xi^{d-k} : \partial\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{d-k}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ [KLP17, BPS19]. The latter means that for every $x \neq y$, the sum $\xi^k(x) + \xi^{d-k}(y)$ is direct. We will sometimes just write for a point $x \in \partial\Gamma$

$$x^k := \xi^k(x) \in \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^d).$$

If ρ is k_1 and k_2 -Anosov with $k_1 < k_2$ then for every $x \in \partial\Gamma$ it holds $x^{k_1} < x^{k_2}$.

The boundary map ξ^k parametrizes the *limit set* $\Lambda_\rho^k := \xi^k(\partial\Gamma) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^d)$ of the ρ -action on $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^d)$, namely the smallest closed $\rho(\Gamma)$ -invariant set.

5.2. Hyperconvex representations. Hyperconvex representations, the main focus of this article, form a subclass of Anosov representations in $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying additional transversality properties. For the next definition we declare any representation in $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ to be both 0 and d -Anosov with the degenerate boundary maps $\xi^0(x) = \{0\}$ and $\xi^d(x) = \mathbb{C}^d$ for all $x \in \partial\Gamma$.

Definition 5.2 (*k-hyperconvex*). A representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ is *k-hyperconvex* for $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ if it is $\{k-1, k+1, d-k\}$ -Anosov, and, for all distinct triples $x, y, z \in \partial\Gamma$, we have

$$(21) \quad \left((x^{d-k} \cap z^{k+1}) + z^{k-1} \right) \cap \left((y^{d-k} \cap z^{k+1}) + z^{k-1} \right) = z^{k-1}.$$

Remark 5.3. This notion, studied in [FPV24], is the dual notion to property H_k as defined in [PSW21, Definition 6.1], requiring that the sum $(x^k \cap z^{d-k+1}) + (y^k \cap z^{d-k+1}) + z^{d-k-1}$ is direct, see [FPV24, Remark 2.5].

A useful tool to study hyperconvex representations is the tangent projection construction, which we discuss in the next proposition. They will provide a marking of the laminated limit set of the conformal action which we will associate to a hyperconvex representation (recall Equation (15) in Section 4.3.1).

Proposition 5.4 (Tangent projections). *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be $\{k-1, k+1, d-k\}$ -Anosov. Then, for each $z \in \partial\Gamma$, the function $\xi_z^k : \partial\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(z^{k+1}/z^{k-1})$*

$$\xi_z^k(x) := \begin{cases} [x^{d-k} \cap z^{k+1}] & \text{if } x \neq z, \\ [z^k] & \text{if } x = z \end{cases}$$

is well-defined. The representation ρ is k -hyperconvex if and only if for every $z \in \partial\Gamma$, ξ_z^k is injective. In this case, for every z , ξ_z is continuous.

Proof. Since the representation is Anosov, for every x, z the intersection $x^{d-k} \cap z^{k+1}$ is one dimensional and not contained in z^k , as a result $[x^{d-k} \cap z^{k+1}]$ gives a well defined point in $\mathbb{P}(z^{k+1}/z^{k-1})$ distinct from $[z^k]$. The injectivity of the maps ξ_z^k outside the point z is precisely Equation (21), from which the second claim follows. Continuity (at z) of ξ_z^k was proven in [BP21, Proposition 4.9] building on ideas from [PSW21, Proposition 6.7], see also [FPV24, Proposition 2.6]. \square

Specialize now to surface groups Γ . We denote by

$$\Lambda_z^k := \xi_z^k(\partial\Gamma) \subset \mathbb{P}(z^{k+1}/z^{k-1})$$

the image of the boundary map ξ_z , we proved in [FPV24] that the Jordan curves Λ_z^k are uniform quasi-circles.

Proposition 5.5 ([FPV24, Proposition 4.12]). *There exists K only depending on ρ such that, for every $z \in \partial\Gamma$ the Jordan curve $\xi_z : \partial\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ is K -quasi-Möbius.*

Since transversality is an open condition, and Γ acts co-compactly on $\partial\Gamma^{(3)}$, hyperconvexity is an open condition on representations [PSW21, Proposition 6.2]. We denote by

$$\Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) // \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$$

the set of conjugacy classes of k -hyperconvex representations, and by

$$\Xi^{\mathrm{hyp}}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) // \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$$

the set of conjugacy classes of *fully hyperconvex* representation, namely those representations that are k -hyperconvex for every $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, so that we have

$$\Xi^{\mathrm{hyp}}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) \subset \Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) // \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}).$$

Since the character variety $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) // \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ is a complex variety, the open subsets $\Xi^{\mathrm{hyp}}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) \subset \Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$, albeit sometimes singular, inherit a natural complex structure.

5.3. The laminated conformal action associated to a hyperconvex representation. To a k -hyperconvex representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_d(\mathbb{C})$, we can naturally associate an element $\rho^k \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{MG})/\mathcal{MG}$, constructed as follows. While most of the construction in this section works for general hyperbolic groups (and are carried out in that generality in [FPV24]), we restrict here, as customary in the paper, to a torsion-free cocompact lattice $\Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and freely identify $\partial\Gamma$ with $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$.

Analogously to [FPV24, Section 2], we consider the partial flag manifolds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{k-1,k,k+1} &= \{(U, V, W) \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{F}_k(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{F}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C}) \mid U < V < W\} \\ \mathcal{F}_{k-1,k+1} &= \{(U, W) \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{F}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C}) \mid U < W\},\end{aligned}$$

so that the continuous surjection

$$\mathcal{F}_{k-1,k,k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{k-1,k+1}$$

is a fiber bundle with fibers isomorphic to \mathbb{CP}^1 . It can be naturally identified with the canonical fiber bundle $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{k-1,k+1}$ with fiber $\mathbb{P}(W/U)$ over the pair $(U, W) \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1,k+1}$.

We use the map $t \in \partial\Gamma \mapsto (t^{k-1}, t^{k+1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1,k+1}$ to pullback a \mathbb{CP}^1 -bundle $\mathcal{B}_\rho^k \rightarrow \partial\Gamma$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $t \in \partial\Gamma$, the linear map $\rho(\gamma) \in \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ induces a projective isomorphism

$$(22) \quad \rho^k(\gamma, t) : \mathbb{P}(t^{k+1}/t^{k-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}((\gamma t)^{k+1}/(\gamma t)^{k-1}).$$

Thus ρ induces a Γ action on \mathcal{B}_ρ^k by \mathbb{CP}^1 -bundle automorphisms covering the natural action of Γ on $\partial\Gamma$.

In order to define the desired conformal action ρ^k , we choose an identification of \mathcal{B}_ρ^k with $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$: we identify $\partial\Gamma$ with $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ through our chosen hyperbolization $\Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and we trivialize the bundle $\mathcal{B}_\rho^k \rightarrow \partial\Gamma$ by finding three continuous sections in fiber-wise general position, with the aid of the tangent projections ξ^k discussed in Proposition 5.4. Specifically we fix a triple of pairwise distinct points $x, y, z \in \partial\Gamma$, and define

$$T : \mathcal{B}_\rho^k \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$$

fiber-wise as the unique bi-holomorphism with

$$(\xi_t(x), \xi_t(y), \xi_t(z)) \mapsto (0, 1, \infty)$$

in the fiber over t . Then T is a isomorphism of \mathbb{CP}^1 -bundles trivializing \mathcal{B}_ρ^k . Conjugating the Γ action (22) on \mathcal{B}_ρ^k by T defines the desired conformal action of Γ on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ still denoted ρ_k .

We will leave, from now on, implicit the trivialization T of the bundle \mathcal{B}_ρ^k and just identify it with $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$.

Proposition 5.6. *The class in $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{MG})/\mathcal{MG}$ of the laminated conformal action $\rho^k \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathcal{MG})$ associated to a k -hyperconvex representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ is independent on the choices.*

Proof. This follows readily from the fact that any two choices of sections are related by an element in \mathcal{MG} . As such, the class $[\rho^k]$ is independent of the choice of the points x, y, z . \square

Remark 5.7. We will prove in Section 6.1 that $[\rho^k] \in \mathcal{QC}(\iota)$.

Note that ρ^k also defines an action of Γ on the trivial bundle $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ which is isometric on the fibers and covers the natural action of Γ on $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$.

The laminated conformal action associated with a k -hyperconvex representation encodes the k -th eigenvalue gaps. Given a k -Anosov representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ we denote by $L_\rho^k : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ its k -th eigenvalue gap, so that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$(23) \quad L_\rho^k(\gamma) = \frac{\lambda^k(\rho(\gamma))}{\lambda^{k+1}(\rho(\gamma))}.$$

Recall from Definition 4.21 that we denote by $L_\eta : [\Gamma] - \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ the complex dilation spectrum of a laminated conformal action $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$. It follows from the construction the k -laminated conformal action $\rho^k : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$ encodes the k -th eigenvalue gap.

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be k -hyperconvex. Then*

$$L_{\rho^k} = L_\rho^k.$$

Proof. By definition, for $\gamma \in [\Gamma] - \{1\}$, $L_{\rho^k}(\gamma)$ is the ratio of the two eigenvalues of the projective action of $\rho^k(\gamma)$ on the leaf $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{\gamma^+\}$. Since such action is projectively equivalent to the action on $\mathbb{P}((\gamma^+)^{k+1}/(\gamma^+)^{k-1})$, where γ^+ acts with eigenvalues $\lambda^k(\gamma), \lambda^{k+1}(\gamma)$, the result follows. \square

6. LAMINATED AHLFORS–BERS MAPS, PROOF OF THEOREM D

Recall that we fixed a closed oriented hyperbolic surface \mathbb{H}^2/Γ , we denote by $M_\Gamma = \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$ the associated hyperbolic surface lamination and by $\Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ the space of k -hyperconvex representations.

The goal of this section is to define an Ahlfors–Bers map $\mathrm{AB}^k : \Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$ with the properties described in Theorems D from the introduction (which will be proved in the section).

6.1. Quasi-conformal conjugacy. We first prove that the laminated conformal action associated to a k -hyperconvex representation is a quasi-conformal deformation of the standard Möbius action.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ is k -hyperconvex and let ρ^k be as in §5.3. Then*

$$[\rho^k] \in \mathcal{QC}(\iota).$$

We prove Proposition 6.1 by exhibiting a quasiconformal conjugacy between the standard representation $\iota : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$ and $\rho^k : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$ using the laminated Douady–Earle extension (Proposition 4.13). Recall from Section 4.3.1 that $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{RP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ and \mathcal{LM} is a space of laminated markings $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$; see (15).

Lemma 6.2 (see [FPV24, Lemma 2.8]). *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^k : \mathcal{L} &\rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \\ (x, t) &\mapsto (\xi_t^k(x), t) \end{aligned}$$

is a homeomorphism onto its image, and thus induces an element of \mathcal{LM} .

Definition 6.3 (Laminated limit set). The *laminated limit set* $\mathcal{L}_\rho^k \subset \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ is the image of the map ξ^k from Lemma 6.2.

Proof of Proposition 6.1. Applying the laminated Douady–Earle extension operator from Proposition 4.13, we obtain a continuous lamination equivalence

$$\widehat{\text{DE}}(\xi^k) : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$$

extending ξ^k . By construction, ξ^k is (ι, ρ^k) equivariant:

$$\xi_{\gamma t}^k(\gamma z) = \rho^k(\gamma, t) \xi_t^k(z),$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and for all $t, z \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$. Then Proposition 4.13 (4) implies that $\widehat{\text{DE}}(\xi^k)$ is (ι, ρ^k) -equivariant, i.e.,

$$\rho^k(\gamma) \widehat{\text{DE}}(\xi^k) = \widehat{\text{DE}}(\xi^k) \iota(\gamma)$$

holds for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Using Proposition 5.5, we know that ξ_t^k is K -quasi-Möbius for some K independent of t . Proposition 4.13 (3) asserts that $\widehat{\text{DE}}(\xi^k)$ is K^* -quasi-conformal and smooth away from \mathcal{L} . This completes the proof that $[\rho^k] \in \mathcal{QC}(\iota)$. \square

6.2. The laminated Ahlfors–Bers map AB^k and the Ahlfors lemma. In §4.3, we defined a universal Ahlfors–Bers map. This construction applies, in particular, to $[\rho^k] \in \mathcal{QC}(\iota)$ obtained from a k -hyperconvex representation $[\rho] \in \Xi^k(\Gamma, \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$.

Definition 6.4 (k -th Ahlfors–Bers Map). Let $[\rho] \in \Xi^k(\Gamma, \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$, and define

$$\text{AB}^k([\rho]) := \mathcal{AB}([\rho^k]) \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma).$$

This is the k -th *Ahlfors–Bers map*.

We denote by E_ρ^k and F_ρ^k the Riemann surface laminations such that

$$\text{AB}^k([\rho]) = \left([h_E^k : M_\Gamma \rightarrow E_\rho^k], [h_F^k : \overline{M}_\Gamma \rightarrow F_\rho^k] \right) \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma).$$

From now on, we will often abuse notation and drop both the marking and the brackets indicating the equivalence class, e.g.,

$$\text{AB}^k(\rho) = (E_\rho^k, F_\rho^k) \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma).$$

We now prove that AB^k satisfies the properties of Theorem D, beginning with Property (2), the laminated Ahlfors Lemma.

Recall the definition of the marked length spectrum ℓ_E of a hyperbolic Riemann surface lamination (Definition 2.10) and of the k -th eigenvalue gap L_ρ^k of a k -Anosov representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ (Equation (23)).

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be k -hyperconvex. Then*

$$2 \min\{\ell_{E_\rho^k}(\cdot), \ell_{F_\rho^k}(\cdot)\} \geq \log |L_\rho^k(\cdot)|.$$

Proof. Proposition 5.8 proves that the complex dilation spectrum associated to ρ^k computes the k -th eigenvalue gap of ρ , while Proposition 4.22 establishes the desired bound for a general quasi-conformal deformation of ι . This completes the proof. \square

6.3. The Ahlfors–Bers map is holomorphic. In this section we prove that the map AB^k is holomorphic. Recall that the set $\Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ of k -hyperconvex representations forms an open subset of the character variety, which in turn is a (potentially singular) affine variety.

Denote by $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ the open unit disk. Harthog’s Theorem ([Cha85, Theorem 14.5]) asserts that a map f from $\Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ to an (infinite dimensional) complex Banach space is holomorphic if, for every holomorphic map $\delta : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$, the composition $f \circ \delta$ is holomorphic.

Theorem 6.6. *The Ahlfors–Bers map*

$$AB^k : \Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$$

is holomorphic.

Our proof of Theorem 6.6 relies on the Holomorphic Motion Theorem of Sullivan–Thurston (Theorem 6.11 below) and the characterization of the complex structure on the Teichmüller space of Riemann surface laminations recalled in §3.5. In order to apply the first result, we show that, for a holomorphic family of representations, the tangential projections vary pointwise holomorphically.

An element $g \in \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ is *s-proximal* if it admits a unique attracting fixed point in $\mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathbb{C}^d)$, or equivalently if $|\lambda^s(g)| > |\lambda^{s+1}(g)|$ where, as always, we order the eigenvalues with non-increasing moduli. By definition, if $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ is *s-Anosov*, then $\rho(\gamma)$ is *s-proximal* for every (infinite order) element $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The next statement is probably known to experts; we include a proof for the lack of a convenient reference.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic family of *s-proximal* elements, and for $w \in \mathbb{D}$, denote by $A^s(w) \in \mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathbb{C}^d)$ the attracting fixed point of $g(w)$. The function $A^s : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathbb{C}^d)$ is holomorphic.*

Proof. Since \mathbb{D} is simply connected and $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ is a covering we can lift the holomorphic map $w \rightarrow g(w)$ to a holomorphic map $w \rightarrow \hat{g}(w)$ with values in $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$.

Consider the holomorphic function $\delta : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\delta(u, w) = \det(u\mathbb{I} - \hat{g}(w)).$$

Let $\lambda_0 := \lambda^s(\hat{g}(0))$ and find $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|\lambda^{s+1}(\hat{g}(0))| < |\lambda_0| - \epsilon$. By assumption, we have:

- (1) The polynomial $\delta(u, 0)$ has s roots (counted with multiplicity) outside the disk $B(0, \lambda^0 - \epsilon/2)$.
- (2) It has $d - s$ roots (counted with multiplicity) inside $B(0, \lambda^0 - \epsilon)$.

We deduce that there exists a neighborhood W of $0 \in \mathbb{D}$ such that for every $w \in W$ the polynomial $u \rightarrow \delta(u, w)$ has s roots outside $B(0, \lambda_0 - \epsilon/2)$ and $d - s$ roots in $B(0, \lambda_0 - \epsilon)$.

Denote by P_r be the space of monic homogeneous polynomials of degree r . Let $F \subset P_s$, resp. $F' \subset P_{d-s}$, be the open subsets consisting of those polynomials whose roots lie in $\mathbb{C} - B(0, \lambda_0 - \epsilon/2)$, resp. $B(0, \lambda_0 - \epsilon)$. The product map $\psi : F \times F' \rightarrow P_d$, given by $\psi(p, q) = p \cdot q$, is injective and holomorphic, hence a biholomorphism onto its image. As a result the map

$$w \in W \mapsto \psi^{-1}(\delta(u, w)) = (p_w^s(u), p_w^{d-s}(u)) \in F \times F'$$

is holomorphic.

We claim that

$$(24) \quad A^s(w) = \ker(p_w^s(\hat{g}(w))).$$

Indeed, the characteristic polynomial $\delta(u, w) = \det(u\mathbb{I} - \hat{g}(w))$ of $\hat{g}(w)$ splits as $\delta(u, w) = p_w^s(u) \cdot p_w^{d-s}(u)$. Then $A^s(w)$ is the direct sum of the eigenspaces corresponding to the roots of the factor $p_w^s(u)$ and is thus the attracting fixed point of $g(w)$ in $\text{Gr}_s(\mathbb{C}^d)$. This concludes the proof since the right-hand side in Equation (24) depends holomorphically on w . \square

Let now $\rho : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Xi^k(\Gamma, \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ is a holomorphic family of k -hyperconvex representations. We choose a holomorphic lift $\hat{\rho} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ and, for $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $s \in \{k - 1, k + 1, d - k\}$, we denote by $(\xi^s)_w : \partial\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Gr}_s(\mathbb{C}^d)$ the s -th boundary map of the representation $\hat{\rho}_w$. It follows from Proposition 6.7 that $(\xi^s)_w$ is holomorphic.

Corollary 6.8. *Let $\hat{\rho} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ be a holomorphic family of s -Anosov representations. Then for every $z \in \partial\Gamma$,*

$$w \mapsto (\xi^s)_w(z) \in \text{Gr}_s(\mathbb{C}^d)$$

is holomorphic.

Proof. Since the Anosov boundary map is dynamics preserving, the claim follows directly from Proposition 6.7 in the case $z = \gamma^+$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let now z be generic, and choose a sequence $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_n^+ \rightarrow z$. It follows from [BPS19, Proposition 6.2] that the holomorphic maps $w \mapsto (\xi^s)_w(\gamma_n^+)$ converge uniformly to the map $w \mapsto (\xi^s)_w(z)$, which is thus holomorphic. \square

In the situation above we further denote by $(\xi_t^k)_w : \mathbb{RP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ the tangent projection associated to the representation ρ_w : For this, as in Section 5.3, we choose consistent trivializations of the bundles $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_w}^k$ depending on the choice of three fixed points $x, y, z \in \partial\Gamma$; the resulting maps don't depend on the lift $\hat{\rho}_w$.

Proposition 6.9. *Let $\rho : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ be a holomorphic family of k -hyperconvex representations. Then for every $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, and every $z \in \mathbb{RP}^1$ the function*

$$w \mapsto (\xi_t^k)_w(z) \in \mathbb{CP}^1$$

is holomorphic.

Proof. By conjugating with a holomorphic family of transformations $M_w \in \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$, we can normalize the representations $\hat{\rho}_w$ so that, for every $w \in \mathbb{D}$, the subspaces $t^{k+1} := (\xi^{k+1})_w(t)$, $t^{k-1} := (\xi^{k-1})_w(t)$ do not depend on w . Denote by $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{d-k}$ the open subset consisting of subspaces transverse to t^{k-1} and intersecting t^{k+1} in one line. Since then the map

$$\begin{aligned} I : \mathcal{O} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{d-k}(\mathbb{C}^d) &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}(t^{k+1}/t^{k-1}) \\ X &\mapsto [X \cap t^{k+1}] \end{aligned}$$

is algebraic, it follows, on the one hand, that our chosen trivializations $T_w : \mathbb{P}(t^{k+1}/t^{k-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ depend holomorphically on w , and on the other hand that the tangent projection

$$(\xi_t^k)_w(z) = T_w \circ I \circ (\xi^{d-k})_w$$

is holomorphic being a composition of holomorphic maps. \square

In other words, $(\xi_t^k)_w$ gives rise to a holomorphic motion.

Definition 6.10 (Holomorphic motion). Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ be a set. A map $\xi : \Lambda \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a *holomorphic motion* if it satisfies the following properties:

- For every $s \in \Lambda$ the map $w \mapsto \xi(s, w)$ is holomorphic.
- For every $w \in \mathbb{D}$ the map $s \mapsto \xi(s, w)$ is injective.
- For every $s \in \Lambda$ we have $\xi(s, 0) = s$.

The following is known as the Holomorphic Motion Theorem; see [GJW10] for the history and a proof.

Theorem 6.11. *Let $\xi : \Lambda \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ be a holomorphic motion. Then there is a holomorphic motion $g : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ extending ξ . Moreover,*

- g is continuous.
- For each $w \in \mathbb{D}$, $z \mapsto g(z, w)$ is a $\frac{1+|w|}{1-|w|}$ -quasi-conformal homeomorphism.
- The family of Beltrami differentials

$$\mu_g(z, w) = \frac{\partial g / \partial \bar{z}}{\partial g / \partial z}(z, w)$$

defines a holomorphic map μ_g from \mathbb{D} to the unit ball in the Banach space $L^\infty(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ of essentially bounded measurable functions.

Recall from §3.5 that for any $[f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W] \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$, the laminated Bers' embedding $\beta_W : \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \rightarrow Q(\overline{W})$ is a holomorphic injection. In the cover of \overline{W} corresponding to Γ , consider a leaf $\overline{L} \cong \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\}$. Define

$$(25) \quad q : \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\beta_W} Q(\overline{W}) \rightarrow Q(\overline{L}),$$

where the second arrow is the restriction to a holomorphic quadratic differential on \overline{L} . This map is a holomorphic embedding (see §3.5 or [Sul93, §3]).

Proof of Theorem 6.6. Let $\rho : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Xi^k(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ be a holomorphic disk. For $w \in \mathbb{D}$, denote $\mathrm{AB}^k(\rho_w) = (E_w, F_w) \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$. Note that $\mathrm{AB}^k \circ \rho$ is holomorphic if and only if $w \mapsto E_w$ and $w \mapsto F_w$ are each holomorphic. We will give the argument that the first map is holomorphic; the other is similar.

The proof is really a direct consequence of Proposition 6.9, the Holomorphic Motion Theorem 6.11, the construction of the Bers' embedding giving $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ is complex structure (Theorems 3.10 and 3.11), and holomorphic dependence on parameters in the Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem 3.9. The details are outlined below.

Consider the map q defined as in (25) for $W = E_0$ and $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ chosen so that the leaf L maps injectively into E_0 . Let $\Lambda_0 = (\xi_t^k)_0(\partial\mathbb{H}^2)$. Using Proposition 6.9, the map

$$(z, w) \in \Lambda_0 \times \mathbb{D} \mapsto (\xi_t^k)_w \circ (\xi_t^k)_0^{-1}(z) \in \mathbb{CP}^1$$

is a holomorphic motion. By Theorem 6.11, there is a holomorphic motion $g : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ extending it.

The leaf L of E_0 corresponding to t is identified with a component of $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \Lambda_0$. Let $u : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow L \subset \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \Lambda_0$ be the inverse of a uniformizing map. Denote by $h : \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ the map $h(z, w) = g(u(z), w)$. Define a family of Beltrami differentials on \mathbb{CP}^1 by the rule

$$\mu(z, w) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial h / \partial \bar{z}}{\partial h / \partial z}(z, w), & z \in \mathbb{H}^2 \\ 0, & z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}^2}. \end{cases}$$

Using the final bullet point of Theorem 6.11 and the transformation rule (5) for Beltrami coefficients under pre-composition with a holomorphic map, the map $w \in \mathbb{D} \mapsto \mu(\cdot, w) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ is holomorphic.

Let G^w be the unique normalized solution of

$$\frac{\partial G^w}{\partial z} \mu(\cdot, w) = \frac{\partial G^w}{\partial \bar{z}}.$$

given by Theorem 3.9; since $w \mapsto \mu(\cdot, w)$ is holomorphic, the maps G^w vary holomorphically in w .

By inspection of (10), we see that the Schwarzian derivative $\mathcal{S}(G^w|_{\overline{\mathbb{H}^2}}) \in Q(\overline{\mathbb{H}^2}) \cong Q(\overline{L})$ varies holomorphically in w . From the construction of Bers' embedding β_{E_0} , we see that $q(\rho_w) = \mathcal{S}(G^w|_{\overline{\mathbb{H}^2}})$. Bers' embedding (with respect to any basepoint) defines the complex structure on $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ (Theorem 3.11). This concludes the proof that AB^k is holomorphic. \square

6.4. Classical Ahlfors–Bers for the irreducible representation. We conclude the proof of Theorem D by showing the compatibility of AB^k with the classical Ahlfors–Bers map on the quasi-Fuchsian locus.

Proposition 6.12. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be the composition of a quasi-Fuchsian representation $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ with the irreducible representation $\iota_d : \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$. Then $\text{AB}^k(\rho) = \text{AB}(\eta)$ for every $1 \leq k \leq d - 1$.*

The irreducible representation $\iota_d : \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ is the natural $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -action on the projectivization $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}_{d-1}[X, Y])$ of the d -dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space consisting of degree $(d - 1)$ homogeneous polynomials in two variables. More explicitly, for a matrix $[A] = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ we have

$$[A] \cdot [Q(X, Y)] := [Q(aX + bY, cX + dY)].$$

Equivariant with respect to ι_d is the Veronese embedding in the full flag manifold

$$\begin{aligned} \nu : \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}_1[X, Y]) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}_{d-1}[X, Y]) \\ Q &\mapsto (\nu^k(Q) := Q^{d-k} \cdot \mathbb{C}_{k-1}[X, Y])_{k \leq d-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $Q^{d-k} \cdot \mathbb{C}_{k-1}[X, Y]$ is the k -dimensional vector subspace consisting of the homogeneous polynomials of degree $d - 1$ that are multiples of Q^{d-k} .

For every $Q \in \mathbb{C}_1[X, Y]$ and $1 \leq k \leq d - 1$ we have a tangent projection

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_Q^k : \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}_1[X, Y]) &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\nu^{k+1}(Q)/\nu^{k-1}(Q)) \\ P &\mapsto \begin{cases} [\nu^k(Q)] & \text{if } P = Q, \\ [\nu^{k+1}(Q) \cap \nu^{d-k}(P)] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In order to see that ν_Q^k is well-defined, note that if $P \neq Q$ then

$$(26) \quad \nu^{k+1}(Q) \cap \nu^{d-k}(P) = \langle Q^{d-1-k} P^k \rangle$$

and $Q^{d-1-k} P^k$ is contained in $\nu^{k+1}(Q)$ but not in $\nu^k(Q)$ (since Q^{d-k-1} divides it but Q^{d-k} does not) so $Q^{d-k-1} P^k + \nu^{k-1}(Q)$ has dimension k and is contained in $\nu^{k+1}(Q)$.

Lemma 6.13. *For every Q and k the map ν_Q^k is a biholomorphism.*

Proof. The crux of the proof is to check continuity, as the expression for the tangent projection given in Equation (26) is not well defined for $P = Q$, since $Q^{d-1} \in \nu^{k-1}(Q)$. Consider a sequence P_n of linear homogeneous polynomials converging to Q . We can write them as $P_n = Q + E_n$ with $E_n = \alpha_n X + \beta_n Y$ converging to 0. Using the symmetry of the following argument, we may assume without loss of generality that $|\alpha_n| \geq |\beta_n|$ (up to passing to a subsequence). We have

$$Q^{d-k-1} P_n^k = Q^{d-k-1} (Q + E_n)^k = Q^{d-k-1} E_n^k + k Q^{d-k} E_n^{k-1} + R_n$$

with $R_n \in Q^{d-k+1} \cdot \mathbb{C}_{d-k+2}[X, Y] = \nu^{k-1}(Q)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q^{d-k-1} P_n^k \rangle + \nu^{k-1}(Q) &= \langle Q^{d-k-1} E_n^k + k Q^{d-k} E_n^{k-1} \rangle + \nu^{k-1}(Q) \\ &= \left\langle \frac{1}{\alpha_n^{k-1}} (Q^{d-k-1} E_n^k + k Q^{d-k} E_n^{k-1}) \right\rangle + \nu^{k-1}(Q). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $E_n^s = (\alpha_n X + \beta_n Y)^s = \sum_{i \leq s} \binom{s}{i} \alpha_n^i \beta_n^{s-i} X^i Y^{s-i}$ so

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_n^{k-1}} E_n^s = \sum_{i \leq s} \binom{s}{i} \alpha_n^{i-k+1} \beta_n^{s-i} X^i Y^{s-i}.$$

We will show that (up to subsequences)

$$(27) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_n^{k-1}} E_n^{k-1} \rightarrow E_\infty = X^{k-1} + \dots$$

and

$$(28) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_n^{k-1}} E_n^k \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Before doing this computation, let us observe that this implies in particular that, up to subsequences, we have

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\alpha_n^{k-1}} (Q^{d-k-1} E_n^k + k Q^{d-k} E_n^{k-1}) \right\rangle + \nu^{k-1}(Q) \rightarrow \langle Q^{d-k} E_\infty \rangle + \nu^{k-1}(Q) = \nu^k(Q).$$

As the limit does not depend on the chosen subsequence, we deduce that the whole sequence converges to it. This shows continuity of the map ν_Q^k .

We now discuss the convergence of the two sequences. Consider the first term

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_n^{k-1}} E_n^{k-1} = \sum_{i \leq k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} \alpha_n^{i-k+1} \beta_n^{k-1-i} X^i Y^{k-1-i}$$

By assumption $|\alpha_n| \geq |\beta_n|$, so every term $\alpha_n^{-k+i+1} \beta_n^{k-i-1}$ has absolute value bounded above by 1. Up to subsequence we may assume that all these coefficients converge.

Consider then the second term

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_n^{k-1}} E_n^k = \sum_{i \leq k} \binom{k}{i} \alpha_n^{i-k+1} \beta_n^{k-i} X^i Y^{k-i}.$$

Note that $|\alpha_n^{i-k+1} \beta_n^{k-i}| = |(\beta_n/\alpha_n)^{k-i-1} \beta_n| \leq |\beta_n|$ as $|\alpha_n| \geq |\beta_n|$. Since $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$ we conclude that all coefficients are converging to 0 as well.

In order to conclude that ν_Q^k is biholomorphic, it is enough to check that it is injective and holomorphic on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}_1[X, Y]) - \{Q\}$. Holomorphicity is a straightforward consequence of the formula

$$\nu_Q^k(P) = [Q^{d-k} P^k] \in \mathbb{P}(\nu^{k+1}(Q)/\nu^{k-1}(Q)).$$

As for injectivity, suppose that $[Q^{d-k-1} P_1^k] = [Q^{d-k-1} P_2^k]$, or, in other words, $Q^{d-k-1}(P_1^k - P_2^k) \in \nu^{k-1}(Q)$. Then Q^{d-k+1} divides $Q^{d-k-1}(P_1^k - P_2^k)$ or, equivalently, Q^2 divides $P_1^k - P_2^k$. However, $P_1^k - P_2^k = \prod_{j=1}^k (P_1 - \zeta_k^j P_2)$, with ζ_k a primitive k -th root of unity, has only simple roots, so it cannot be a multiple of Q^2 . This proves injectivity. \square

We can now prove Proposition 6.12.

Proof of Proposition 6.12. The boundary map of the representation $\rho = \iota_d \circ \eta$ is the composition $\xi : \partial\Gamma \xrightarrow{\zeta} \mathbb{CP}^1 \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ of the boundary map ζ of η with the Veronese embedding ν . Denote by $\Lambda = \zeta(\partial\Gamma) \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$. We then have, for every $z \in \partial\Gamma = \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, $\xi_z^k(\mathbb{RP}^1) = \nu_{\zeta(z)}(\Lambda) \subset \mathbb{P}(\xi^{k+1}(z)/\xi^{k-1}(z))$.

Using Lemma 6.13, for every $z \in \partial\Gamma$

$$\nu_{\zeta(z)}^k : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{z\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\xi^{k+1}(z)/\xi^{k-1}(z))$$

is a bi-holomorphism inducing the identification of $\Lambda \times \{z\}$ and $\xi_z^k(\partial\Gamma) \subset \mathbb{P}(\xi^{k+1}(z)/\xi^{k-1}(z))$. Continuity of

$$(w, z) \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\Gamma \mapsto \nu_{\zeta(z)}^k(w) \in \mathcal{B}_\rho^k$$

follows because it is leafwise holomorphic and extends the continuous map

$$(w, z) \in \Lambda \times \partial\Gamma \mapsto \xi_z^k(\zeta^{-1}(w)).$$

Thus, ν^k is an equivalence of Riemann surface laminations and restricts to an equivariant equivalence

$$(\mathbb{CP}^1 - \Lambda) \times \partial\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\rho^k - \mathcal{L}_\rho^k$$

of Riemann surface laminations. \square

Many more $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -embeddings give rise to k -hyperconvex representations. More specifically, for integers $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_s$ such that $d_1 + \dots + d_s = d$, denote by $\iota_{d_1, \dots, d_s} : \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ the unique representation (up to conjugacy) that preserves a splitting $\mathbb{C}^d = \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{d_s}$ and acts irreducibly on each factor. The restriction of ι_{d_1, \dots, d_s} to Γ , and more generally the composition of ι_{d_1, \dots, d_s} with a quasi-Fuchsian representation $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is k -hyperconvex if and only if $d_1 > d_2 + 2k$, and in this case the s -th boundary map, for $s \leq k + 1$, is contained in $\mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathbb{C}^{d_1}) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathbb{C}^d)$. As a result, it directly follows from Proposition 6.12:

Corollary 6.14. *Let $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ be a quasi-Fuchsian representation, $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_s$ integers such that $d_1 + \dots + d_s = d$. Then for every $k < \frac{1}{2}(d_1 - d_2)$,*

$$\mathrm{AB}^k(\iota_{d_1, \dots, d_s} \circ \eta) = \mathrm{AB}(\eta).$$

7. FULLY HYPERCONVEX REPRESENTATIONS, PROOF OF THEOREM E

We now turn to fully hyperconvex representations and prove Theorem E. Holomorphicity of the full laminated Ahlfors–Bers map

$$\mathrm{AB} := (\mathrm{AB}^1, \dots, \mathrm{AB}^{d-1}) : \Xi^{\mathrm{hyp}}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma))^{d-1}$$

follows directly from Theorem 6.6 proven in the previous section, we will discuss closedness, injectivity, as well as the characterization of the preimage of the diagonal in the next three subsections. In this section, we continue our abuse of notation, dropping markings and brackets denoting equivalence classes, throughout.

7.1. Properness. We equip the Teichmüller space of Riemann surface laminations $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ with its Teichmüller distance (Definition 3.5). The goal of this subsection is to prove the following:

Theorem 7.1. *The pre-image of a bounded set under the map*

$$\text{AB} : \Xi^{\text{hyp}}(\Gamma, \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma))^{d-1}$$

is pre-compact.

We regard this as a good generalization of properness for the map AB which has values in the infinite dimensional (non-locally-compact) space $(\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma))^{d-1}$.

We claim that Theorem 7.1 implies that AB is closed, as claimed in Theorem D. Indeed, let $K \subset \Xi^{\text{hyp}}(\Gamma, \text{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ be a closed set and let $\{\rho_n\} \subset K$ be a sequence such that $\text{AB}(\rho_n)$ converges in $(\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma))^{d-1}$. This implies that $\{\text{AB}(\rho_n)\}$ is contained in a bounded set for the (product) Teichmüller metric, so that $\{\rho_n\}$ is precompact by the theorem. Then any accumulation point ρ is contained in K , and $\text{AB}(\rho_n) \rightarrow \text{AB}(\rho)$ holds by continuity. Thus $\text{AB}(K)$ is closed.

The first step of the proof of Theorem 7.1 is to show that the complex dilation spectrum of a quasi-conformal deformation whose Ahlfors–Bers parameters lie in a bounded set of $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$ is uniformly controlled. Denote by $\Sigma = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$ our reference hyperbolic surface.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $B \subset \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$ be a bounded set. Then there exists $K \geq 1$ such that, for every $\rho \in \mathcal{QC}(\iota)$ with $\text{AB}(\rho) \in B$,*

$$\frac{1}{K} \ell_\Sigma(\cdot) \leq \log |L_\rho(\cdot)| \leq K \ell_\Sigma(\cdot).$$

Proof of Proposition 7.2. As $\text{AB}(\rho) = (E_\rho, F_\rho)$ lies in the bounded set B , there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$d_{\mathcal{T}}(E_\rho, \Sigma) + d_{\mathcal{T}}(F_\rho, \Sigma) < \kappa.$$

In turn this implies that representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$ is $e^{2\kappa}$ -quasi-conformally conjugate to the fixed Fuchsian one ι (see Remark 4.19).

Recall that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the transformation

$$\rho(\gamma) : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{\gamma^+\} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{\gamma^+\}$$

is a loxodromic Möbius transformation which is conjugate to $z \mapsto L_\rho(\gamma)z$. Similarly, $\iota(\gamma)$ is conjugate to $z \mapsto e^{\ell_\Sigma(\gamma)}z$.

Let $f : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ be a $(\rho(\gamma), \iota(\gamma))$ -equivariant $e^{2\kappa}$ -quasi-conformal conjugacy. Using a theorem of Reimann [Rei85] (see Theorem 8.10), we conclude that f extends to a $K = e^{6\kappa}$ -bi-Lipschitz equivalence $\mathbb{H}^3/\langle \rho(\gamma) \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3/\langle \iota(\gamma) \rangle$. The result follows, since $\log |L_\rho(\gamma)|$ (respectively $\ell_\Sigma(\gamma)$) is the hyperbolic length of the core curve of the solid torus $\mathbb{H}^3/\langle \rho(\gamma) \rangle$ (respectively $\mathbb{H}^3/\langle \iota(\gamma) \rangle$). \square

Next, we want to show that any sequence of fully hyperconvex representations all of whose Ahlfors–Bers parameters are bounded is bounded in the character variety.

Denote by \mathcal{X} the symmetric space of $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$. Let $F \subset \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be a finite set of isometries of \mathcal{X} . For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ the *displacement of F at x* is

$$D(F, x) := \max_{s \in F} d_{\mathcal{X}}(x, sx).$$

Taking the infimum over points in \mathcal{X} , we get the *minimal joint displacement*

$$D(F) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} D(F, x).$$

The *translation length* of an element $s \in F$ is defined as $\ell(s) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d(x, s^n x)}{n}$, and does not depend on $x \in \mathcal{X}$. We define

$$\ell(F) := \max_{s \in F} \ell(s).$$

The following result says that the minimal joint displacement of F is controlled by the stable length of elements in F^k , the sets of words of length at most k in elements of F .

Proposition 7.3 (see [BF21, Proposition 1.6]). *There exist $k > 0$ and $C > 0$ depending only on d such that for every finite set $F \subset \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ we have*

$$D(F) \leq \sqrt{d}(\ell(F^k) + C).$$

We use Proposition 7.3 to obtain convergence in our setting.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $D = B_1 \times \cdots \times B_{d-1}$ be the product of the bounded sets $B_k \subset \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$. Let (ρ_n) in $\mathrm{AB}^{-1}(D) \subset \Xi^{\mathrm{hyp}}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C}))$ be a sequence of representations then (ρ_n) admits a convergent subsequence in $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) // \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Let S be a finite set of generators for Γ . In order to show convergence of ρ_n in the character variety it is enough to show that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D(\rho_n(S)) < \infty$. In fact, if this happens, we can assume, up to suitably conjugating the representations ρ_n , that there is a point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$D(\rho_n(S), x) := \max_{s \in S} \{d_{\mathcal{X}}(x, \rho_n(s)x)\}$$

is bounded independently of n . This implies that for every $s \in S$, the sequence $\rho_n(s)$ is contained in a compact subset of $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ and, hence, converges up to subsequences to an element $\rho(s)$.

Recall that the Riemannian translation distance of a loxodromic element $A \in \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ can be computed as

$$\ell(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_{\mathcal{X}}(x, A^n x)}{n} = \sqrt{\log |\lambda^1(A)|^2 + \cdots + \log |\lambda^d(A)|^2} \leq \sqrt{d} \log |\lambda^1(A)|,$$

where $\lambda^1(A), \dots, \lambda^d(A)$ denote the eigenvalues of some lift of A to $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ with $|\lambda_i| \geq |\lambda_{i+1}|$; note that their magnitudes and ratios do not depend on the choice of lift. As $L_\rho^k(\gamma) = \lambda^k(\rho(\gamma)) / \lambda^{k+1}(\rho(\gamma))$ denotes the k -th eigenvalue gap, we have

$$\lambda^{k+1}(\rho(\gamma)) = \frac{\lambda^k(\rho(\gamma))}{L_\rho^k(\gamma)} = \cdots = \frac{\lambda^1(\rho(\gamma))}{L_\rho^1(\gamma) \cdots L_\rho^k(\gamma)}.$$

Using $\lambda^1 \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda^d = 1$, we deduce

$$\lambda^1(\rho(\gamma))^d = L_\rho^1(\gamma)^{d-1} \cdot L_\rho^2(\gamma)^{d-2} \cdot \dots \cdot L_\rho^{d-1}(\gamma).$$

The boundedness assumptions on the Ahlfors–Bers parameters and Proposition 7.2 gives us a $K \geq 1$ with $\log |L_\rho^i(\gamma)| \leq K \ell_\Sigma(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. By arithmetic and the equality above, we conclude

$$\log |\lambda^1(\rho(\gamma))| \leq \frac{d-1}{2} K \ell_\Sigma(\gamma).$$

Thus for every $\rho \in D$, our bounded set, and for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\ell(\rho(\gamma))$ is bounded from above depending on $\ell_\Sigma(\gamma)$ and D . \square

Proposition 7.5. *In the situation of Proposition 7.4, the limiting representation ρ is $\{1, \dots, d-1\}$ -Anosov.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.2 and continuity, for every $k \leq d-1$, we have $\log |L_\rho^k(\gamma)| \geq \ell_\Sigma(\gamma)/K$. Since the orbit map $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ is a quasi-isometry, $\ell_\Sigma(\gamma) \geq |\gamma|/c - c$ for some constant $c > 0$, and the conclusion follows. \square

The Anosov property gives us a ρ -equivariant boundary map $\xi : \partial\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^d)$. Furthermore, by the continuous dependence of such maps from the representation [GW12, Theorem 5.13], the boundary maps $\xi_n : \partial\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ of ρ_n converges to ξ uniformly.

Recall from Proposition 5.4 that, using the Anosov boundary map ξ , we can define tangent projections $\xi_z^k : \partial\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(z^{k+1}/z^{k-1})$ for every $z \in \partial\Gamma$ and every $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. The representation is hyperconvex if and only if all the maps ξ_z^k are injective.

Proposition 7.6. *In the situation of Proposition 7.4, the limiting representation ρ is fully hyperconvex.*

Proof. Fix an integer $k \leq d-1$. Given four cyclically ordered distinct points (a, b, c, d) on $\partial\Gamma$, and a fifth point $t \in \partial\Gamma$, we can consider the tangent projections

$$\xi_t^k(a), \xi_t^k(b), \xi_t^k(c), \xi_t^k(d).$$

Since the boundary map depends continuously on the representation, we have

$$(\xi_t^k)_n(a), (\xi_t^k)_n(b), (\xi_t^k)_n(c), (\xi_t^k)_n(d) \rightarrow \xi_t^k(a), \xi_t^k(b), \xi_t^k(c), \xi_t^k(d)$$

Indeed we can assume, up to conjugating the representation with a sequence of elements in $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$, that the subspaces t_ρ^{k+1} do not depend on the representation.

Since $\mathrm{AB}^k(\rho_n)$ lies in a bounded set of $\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$, the actions ρ_n^k are uniformly quasi-conformally conjugated to any fixed Fuchsian one. That is $\rho_n^k = g_n^k \iota (g_n^k)^{-1}$ for some K -quasi-conformal automorphism $g_n^k \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2)$. In particular

$$((\xi_t^k)_n(a), (\xi_t^k)_n(b), (\xi_t^k)_n(c), (\xi_t^k)_n(d)) = (g_n^k(a, t), g_n^k(b, t), g_n^k(c, t), g_n^k(d, t)).$$

As the set of K -quasi-conformal homeomorphism of \mathbb{CP}^1 fixing 3 points (such as $0, 1, \infty$ as each $g_n^k(\cdot, t)$ does) is compact, $g_n^k(\cdot, t)$ subconverges uniformly to a quasi-conformal homeomorphism $g^k(\cdot, t)$. Hence, we have

$$(\xi_t^k(a), \xi_t^k(b), \xi_t^k(c), \xi_t^k(d)) = (g^k(a, t), g^k(b, t), g^k(c, t), g^k(d, t)).$$

As g^k is a homeomorphism, the four points $\xi_t^k(a), \xi_t^k(b), \xi_t^k(c), \xi_t^k(d)$ are distinct. \square

The proof of Theorem 7.1 is complete, because we have shown that any sequence $\rho_n \in \Xi(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}_3(\mathbb{C}))$ mapping to a bounded set under AB has a convergent subsequence and the limiting representation is fully hyperconvex.

7.2. Injectivity. The key step in the proof of injectivity of the full laminated Ahlfors–Bers map is the following result, whose proof will occupy most of the subsection. As always, Γ is assumed to be the fundamental group of a closed oriented surface.

Theorem 7.7. *Let $\rho, \rho' : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be representations such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the matrices $\rho(\gamma), \rho'(\gamma)$ are diagonalizable with eigenvalues of distinct moduli. Suppose that*

$$\frac{\lambda^j(\rho(\gamma))}{\lambda^{j+1}(\rho(\gamma))} = \frac{\lambda^j(\rho'(\gamma))}{\lambda^{j+1}(\rho'(\gamma))}$$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $j \leq d - 1$. Then ρ and ρ' are conjugate.

That Γ is a closed surface group is used in the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 7.8. *Let $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma$ be a subgroup of index a multiple of d . The restriction of every representation $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ to Γ_0 lifts to $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. There is a cohomology class $o \in H^2(\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ (corresponding to the central extension $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$) such that a representation $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ lifts to $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ if and only if $\eta^*o \in H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes (see for example [Fri17, Lemma 2.4]).

Let $i : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the inclusion. The degree of the map $\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$ is $[\Gamma : \Gamma_0] = dk$ for some $k \geq 1$, so $i^* : H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma_0, \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes identically. Thus $(\eta \circ i)^*o = i^*(\eta^*o) = 0$, from which the claim follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 7.7. For every i, j we have

$$\frac{\lambda^i(\rho(\gamma))}{\lambda^j(\rho(\gamma))} = \frac{\lambda^i(\rho'(\gamma))}{\lambda^j(\rho'(\gamma))}.$$

Fix $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma$ an auxiliary finite index subgroup of index d . Denote by $\rho_0, \rho'_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ two lifts of the restrictions of ρ, ρ' to Γ_0 (which exist by Lemma 7.8).

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(29) \quad 1 &= \prod_{j \leq d} \lambda^j(\rho_0(\gamma)) \\
&= \prod_{j \leq d} \lambda^1(\rho_0(\gamma)) \frac{\lambda^j(\rho_0(\gamma))}{\lambda^1(\rho_0(\gamma))} \\
&= \lambda^1(\rho_0(\gamma))^d \cdot \prod_{j \leq d} \frac{\lambda^j(\rho_0(\gamma))}{\lambda^1(\rho_0(\gamma))}
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for ρ'_0 . As $\frac{\lambda^j(\rho_0(\gamma))}{\lambda^1(\rho_0(\gamma))} = \frac{\lambda^j(\rho'_0(\gamma))}{\lambda^1(\rho'_0(\gamma))}$, we deduce that $\lambda^1(\rho_0(\gamma))^d = \lambda^1(\rho'_0(\gamma))^d$. Thus, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ there exists a d -th root of unity q_γ such that

$$\lambda^1(\rho_0(\gamma)) = \lambda^1(\rho'_0(\gamma))q_\gamma$$

and

$$\lambda^j(\rho_0(\gamma)) = \lambda^1(\rho_0(\gamma)) \frac{\lambda^j(\rho_0(\gamma))}{\lambda^1(\rho_0(\gamma))} = \lambda^1(\rho'_0(\gamma))q_\gamma \frac{\lambda^j(\rho'_0(\gamma))}{\lambda^1(\rho'_0(\gamma))} = \lambda^j(\rho'_0(\gamma))q_\gamma.$$

In particular, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\mathrm{tr}(\rho(\gamma)) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho'(\gamma))q_\gamma.$$

Define the algebraic subvarieties

$$V_k = \left\{ (A, B) \in \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C}) \mid \mathrm{tr}(A) = \mathrm{tr}(B)e^{2\pi ki/d} \right\}.$$

Let us now consider the representation $(\rho_0, \rho'_0) : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$. By the above discussion, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$, we have $(\rho_0(\gamma), \rho'_0(\gamma)) \in V_0 \sqcup \dots \sqcup V_{d-1}$, and thus the Zariski closure $Z < \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ of $(\rho_0, \rho'_0)(\Gamma_0)$ lies in the same union. Observe that Z is an algebraic group with finitely many connected components; we denote by Z_0 the component that contains the identity matrix. It is a subset of the subvariety $V_0 \subset \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ that contains the identity. The pre-image $\Gamma_1 = (\rho_0, \rho'_0)^{-1}(Z_0)$ is a finite index subgroup of Γ_0 .

By construction, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ we have $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_0(\gamma)) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho'_0(\gamma))$. As traces are coordinates on the $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ -character variety, we conclude that the restrictions of ρ_0, ρ'_0 to Γ_1 are conjugate. Theorem 7.7 follows then from the next proposition, which ensures that the conjugating element $M \in \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ induces a conjugacy of ρ and ρ' on the whole group Γ . \square

Proposition 7.9. *Let $\rho, \rho' : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be k -Anosov for $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Assume that $L_\rho^k(\gamma) = L_{\rho'}^k(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and all k and that there exists a finite index subgroup $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma$ and $M \in \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ such that $M\rho M^{-1} = \rho'$ on Γ_1 . Then $M\rho M^{-1} = \rho'$ on Γ .*

Proof. Fix an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By the Anosov property, both $\rho(\gamma)$ and $\rho(\gamma')$ determine a splitting of \mathbb{C}^d as a direct sum of lines, corresponding to their distinct eigenspaces

ordered by the moduli of the corresponding eigenvalues. Denote these splittings by

$$\mathbb{C}^d = L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_d = L'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L'_d.$$

Note that the splittings of $\rho(\gamma), \rho'(\gamma)$ are the same as the ones associated with $\rho(\gamma^N), \rho'(\gamma^N)$ for every $N \geq 1$. If $N = [\Gamma : \Gamma_1]$ is the index of Γ_1 in Γ , then $\gamma^{N!} \in \Gamma_1$, so that $\rho'(\gamma^{N!}) = M\rho(\gamma^{N!})M^{-1}$. In particular, $ML_j = L'_j$ for every j .

Then $M\rho(\gamma)M^{-1}$ can only differ from $\rho'(\gamma)$ by the multiplication with the projective class of a matrix D_γ which is diagonal in any basis associated with L'_j . However, we also know that

$$\frac{\lambda^i(\rho(\gamma))}{\lambda^j(\rho(\gamma))} = \frac{\lambda^i(\rho'(\gamma))}{\lambda^j(\rho'(\gamma))}$$

for every i, j . Combining this information with the above discussion, we get

$$\frac{\lambda^i(\rho(\gamma))}{\lambda^j(\rho(\gamma))} = \frac{\lambda^i(\rho'(\gamma))}{\lambda^j(\rho'(\gamma))} \frac{\lambda^i(D_\gamma)}{\lambda^j(D_\gamma)}$$

for every i, j . Thus $\lambda^i(D_\gamma) = \lambda^j(D_\gamma)$ for every i, j and D_γ is a multiple of the identity, thus trivial in $\mathrm{PSL}_d(\mathbb{C})$. As a consequence, $M\rho(\gamma)M^{-1} = \rho'(\gamma)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 7.7 we get:

Proposition 7.10. *The map $\mathrm{AB} : \Xi^{\mathrm{hyp}}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma))^{d-1}$ is injective.*

Proof. The k -th eigenvalue gap L_ρ^k of the representation ρ equals the complex dilation function L_{ρ^k} of the associated laminated conformal action ρ^k (Proposition 5.8). Since, in turn, ρ^k arises as welding of the Ahlfors–Bers parameters of $\mathrm{AB}^k(\rho)$ (the map $\mathcal{AB} : \mathcal{QC}(\iota) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$ is injective by Proposition 4.15). If $\mathrm{AB}(\rho) = \mathrm{AB}(\rho')$, then

$$L_\rho^k(\cdot) = L_{\rho'}^k(\cdot)$$

for all k . As a result, we have

$$\frac{\lambda^i(\rho(\gamma))}{\lambda^j(\rho(\gamma))} = \frac{\lambda^i(\rho'(\gamma))}{\lambda^j(\rho'(\gamma))},$$

so we can apply Theorem 7.7 and conclude the proof. \square

7.3. Real locus. We conclude the section characterizing the preimage of the diagonal $\Delta \subset \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$, thus concluding the proof of Theorem E. We will build on the following theorem, whose proof will occupy most of the subsection. While the arguments are very similar to those in the proof of Theorem 7.7 neither of the two results can be deduced from the other.

Theorem 7.11. *Let Γ be the fundamental group of a closed surface. Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be a representation such that $\rho(\gamma)$ is diagonalizable with d eigenvalues of distinct modulus for every $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{1\}$. Suppose that*

$$\frac{\lambda^j(\rho(\gamma))}{\lambda^{j+1}(\rho(\gamma))} \in \mathbb{R}$$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $j \leq d - 1$. Then ρ is conjugated to $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof of Theorem 7.11. As in the proof of Theorem 7.7, we pass to an auxiliary finite index subgroup $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma$ of index $[\Gamma : \Gamma_0] = d$ and lift the restriction ρ_0 of ρ to Γ_0 to a representation in $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ (see Lemma 7.8).

As in Equation (29) we have

$$1 = \lambda^1(\rho_0(\gamma))^d \cdot \prod_{j \leq d} \frac{\lambda^j(\rho_0(\gamma))}{\lambda^1(\rho_0(\gamma))}$$

As $\lambda^i(\rho_0(\gamma))/\lambda^j(\rho_0(\gamma)) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every i, j , we conclude that $\lambda^1(\rho_0(\gamma))^d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Therefore, $\rho_0(\gamma)$ is conjugate to a matrix of the form $q(\gamma)D(\rho_0(\gamma))$ where $D(\rho_0(\gamma))$ is a diagonal matrix with real entries and $q(\gamma)$ is a d -th root of unity (note that $q(\gamma)\mathbb{I}$ is a central element in $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$). Therefore, the trace of $\rho_0(\gamma)$ has the form $r(\gamma)q(\gamma)$ where $r(\gamma)$ is a real number and $q(\gamma)$ is a d -th root of unity.

Define the \mathbb{R} -algebraic subvarieties of $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$

$$U_j = \{A \in \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C}) \mid \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{tr}(A)) \sin(2j\pi/d) = \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{tr}(A)) \cos(2j\pi/d)\}$$

By the above discussion $\rho_0(\Gamma_0)$ is contained in the disjoint union $U_0 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup U_{d-1}$ and the same is true for the \mathbb{R} -Zariski closure G of $\rho_0(\Gamma_0)$ in $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$. As G is an algebraic group, it has finitely many connected components, and the connected component of the identity G_0 is contained in U_0 . The group $\Gamma_1 := \rho^{-1}(G_0)$ is a finite index subgroup of Γ_0 . As $\rho_0(\Gamma_1) \subset U_0$, we have $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_0(\gamma)) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$.

By [Acc19] this implies that ρ_0 is conjugate in $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}(d/2, \mathbf{H})$ where \mathbf{H} is the division algebra of quaternions over the real numbers. However, ρ_0 cannot be conjugate in $\mathrm{SL}(d/2, \mathbf{H})$ because the eigenvalues of elements in $\mathrm{SL}(d/2, \mathbf{H})$ come in conjugate pairs, while we know by assumption that the eigenvalues of $\rho_0(\gamma)$ have distinct moduli for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$.

Let $M \in \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be such that $M\rho_0(\Gamma_1)M^{-1} \subset \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$. The result is proven as soon as the next proposition is established. \square

Proposition 7.12. *In the situation above, $M\rho(\Gamma)M^{-1} \subset \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. We proceed similarly to Proposition 7.9. Let $N = [\Gamma : \Gamma_1]$ be the index of Γ_1 in Γ . For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have $\gamma^{N!} \in \Gamma_1$.

By the Anosov property, $\rho(\gamma)$ is diagonalizable and determines a splitting by eigenlines $\mathbb{C}^d = L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_d$. Since $\rho(\gamma^{N!})$ preserves the same splitting, ML_j is an eigenline of $M\rho(\gamma^{N!})M^{-1} \in \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$.

Thus ML_j intersects $\mathbb{R}^d < \mathbb{C}^d$ in a real line, and the splitting $\mathbb{C}^d = ML_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus ML_d$ admits a real basis. In each such basis the matrix representing $M\rho(\gamma)M^{-1}$ is diagonal with real entries. Its j -th diagonal entry is equal to an $N!$ -th root of the corresponding diagonal element of the (real) matrix representing $\rho(\gamma^{N!})$. Since furthermore $\lambda^i(\rho(\gamma))/\lambda^j(\rho(\gamma)) \in \mathbb{R}$, for every i, j the arguments of the complex numbers $\lambda^i(\rho(\gamma)), \lambda^j(\rho(\gamma))$ are equal or differ by π . In particular, it has the form qD_γ where D_γ is a real diagonal matrix and q is an $N!$ -th root of unity. As a consequence $M\rho(\gamma)M^{-1} \in \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, as claimed. \square

We can now conclude:

Proposition 7.13. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be a fully hyperconvex representation such that $\mathrm{AB}^k(\rho) \in \Delta$ for every $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Then ρ is conjugate into $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.23, for every k we have

$$\lambda^k(\rho(\gamma))/\lambda^{k+1}(\rho(\gamma)) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

So we can conclude by applying Theorem 7.11. \square

8. HAUSDORFF DIMENSION

In this section we prove Theorem A. Recall from the introduction that we denote by

$$h^k(\rho) := \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\{[\gamma] \text{ conjugacy class of } \pi_1(\Sigma) \mid \log |L_\rho^k(\gamma)| \leq R\}|}{R}$$

the k -th root entropy of a representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$.

The main step in the proof is the following:

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be k -hyperconvex. Then*

$$h^k(\rho) \geq 1$$

with equality if and only if $\mathrm{AB}^k(\rho)$ lies in the diagonal $\Delta \subset \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$.

Theorem 8.1 implies Theorem B via Proposition 4.23. We now give a quick proof of Theorem A assuming Theorem 8.1.

Proof of Theorem A. Recall from Section 5.1 that we denote by $\Lambda_\rho^k = \xi^k(\partial\Gamma) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^d)$ the k -th limit set. By Pozzetti–Sambarino–Wienhard [PSW21], we have

$$\mathrm{Hdim}(\Lambda_\rho^k) = h^k(\rho).$$

If we further denote by $\Lambda_\rho = \xi(\partial\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ the full limit set, it was proven in Pozzetti–Sambarino [PS23, Corollary 5.13], that

$$\mathrm{Hdim}(\Lambda_\rho) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} \{h^k(\rho)\}.$$

As Λ_ρ^k is a topological circle we always have $1 \leq \mathrm{Hdim}(\Lambda_\rho^k) = h^k(\rho)$. If $\mathrm{Hdim}(\Lambda_\rho) = 1$ then

$$1 = \mathrm{Hdim}(\Lambda_\rho) \geq h^k(\rho),$$

and we deduce $h^k(\rho) = 1$ for every $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. By Theorem 8.1, all the Ahlfors–Bers parameters $AB^k(\rho)$ lie on the diagonal $\Delta \subset \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$. By Proposition 7.13, this implies that ρ is conjugate in $\mathrm{PSL}(d, \mathbb{R})$. \square

We now move on to the proof of Theorem 8.1. Let us briefly sketch the argument: The main point is to show that if $AB^k(\rho)$ is not diagonal, then there exists a marked hyperbolic surface lamination $E \in \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma)$ and a number $\kappa > 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} \ell_E(\cdot) \geq \log |L_\rho^k(\cdot)|.$$

In view of Theorems 2.9 and 2.11, this immediately implies that

$$h^k(\rho) \geq \kappa h(E) \geq \kappa > 1.$$

In order to prove the existence of E , we prove a laminated version of a result by Deroin and Tholozan [DT16], which we now outline.

Recall that we associate to the hyperconvex representation ρ a laminated conformal action ρ^k on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ capturing the k -th root spectrum. Morally the hyperbolic surface lamination E arises as Candel’s uniformization of the harmonic filling of its laminated limit set $\mathcal{L}_\rho^k = \xi^k(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$. For every point $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, there is a unique harmonic filling $\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ of the marking $\xi_t^k : \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ provided by the work of Benoist–Hulin [BH17]. This morally induces a leafwise Riemannian structure on M_Γ whose curvature is bounded from above by -1 . The Candel uniformization of this metric would be strictly larger (see e.g. [Ahl38]), and hence its length spectrum would strictly dominate the one of the harmonic filling, which in turn weakly dominates that of ρ .

There are two main issues. First, the harmonic filling is in general singular so the induced metric is also singular, hence we cannot apply Candel’s uniformization. Instead, we apply work of Wan on the universal Teichmüller space [Wan92]. Second, in order to prove strong domination we need to show that such fillings give the structure of a (smooth) hyperbolic surface lamination, which gives us the compactness needed to adapt some arguments of Deroin–Tholozan [DT16] and deduce strict domination.

Before going into details, we need to make a small detour into harmonic maps.

8.1. Harmonic maps. We start by recalling the definition.

Definition 8.2 (Harmonic Map). Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth map between Riemannian manifolds. Denote by ∇^X, ∇^Y the Levi-Civita connections of X, Y respectively. The second fundamental form of f is given, for $x \in X$ and $u, v \in T_x X$ by

$$\mathbb{I}^f(u, v) := \nabla_{df(u)}^Y df(v) - df(\nabla_u^X v) \in T_{f(x)} Y.$$

If f is an embedding on the open set $U \subset X$, then \mathbb{I}^f is the second fundamental form of the embedded submanifold $f(U) \subset Y$, that is $\mathbb{I}^f(u, v) = \mathbb{I}_{f(U)}(df(u), df(v))$.

We say that f is *harmonic* if the trace of \mathbb{I}^f vanishes, that is, for any $x \in X$ and any orthonormal basis E_1, \dots, E_k of $T_x X$, we have

$$\tau(f)(x) := \mathbb{I}^f(E_1, E_1) + \dots + \mathbb{I}^f(E_k, E_k) = 0.$$

If f is an embedding on U and $x \in U$, then $\tau(f)(x)$ is the mean curvature of $f(U)$ at x .

The following result of Jost–Karcher will be useful to control the convergence of sequences of harmonic maps.

Theorem 8.3 ([Jos84, Theorem 4.9.2]). *Let X, Y be complete smooth Riemannian manifolds with bounded sectional curvatures*

$$-\omega^2 \leq K_X, K_Y \leq \kappa^2$$

and such that the C^k -norms of the Riemann curvature tensors are bounded by B_k . Let $B(x, R_X) \subset X$ and $B(y, R_Y) \subset Y$ be metric balls of radii

$$R_X \leq \min \left\{ \text{inj}_x(X), \frac{\pi}{2\kappa} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad R_Y \leq \min \left\{ \text{inj}_y(Y), \frac{\pi}{2\kappa} \right\}$$

(where if $\kappa = 0$ the second terms are $+\infty$). There exists

$$c = c(R_X, R_Y, k, \omega, \kappa, B_k, \dim(X), \dim(Y)) > 0$$

such that if $u : B(x, R_X) \rightarrow B(y, R_Y)$ is a harmonic map, then

$$|u|_{C^k} \leq c.$$

We will only apply this result for $X = \mathbb{H}^2$ and $Y = \mathbb{H}^2, \mathbb{H}^3$, and now specialize the discussion to the case where $X = \mathbb{H}^2$. In this case, it is convenient to take into account the complex structure $J : T^*\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{H}^2$, which determines a splitting of $T^*\mathbb{H}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ into $T_{1,0}^*\mathbb{H}^2 \oplus T_{0,1}^*\mathbb{H}^2$ (the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $i, -i$ of $J \otimes 1$). In turn, this induces a natural splitting

$$T^*\mathbb{H}^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T^*\mathbb{H}^2 = T_{2,0}^*\mathbb{H}^2 \oplus T_{1,1}^*\mathbb{H}^2 \oplus T_{0,2}^*\mathbb{H}^2.$$

We can further split the 2-dimensional complex subspace $T_{1,1}^*\mathbb{H}^2$ into

$$T_{1,1}^*\mathbb{H}^2 = \mathbb{C}g_{\mathbb{H}^2} \oplus \mathbb{C}\omega_{\mathbb{H}^2}$$

where $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{H}^2 and $\omega_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\cdot, \cdot) = g_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\cdot, J\cdot)$ is the symplectic form of \mathbb{H}^2 . In particular, every complex 2-tensor S on \mathbb{H}^2 decomposes as

$$S = S^{(2,0)} + S^{(1,1)} + S^{(0,2)}.$$

where $S^{(2,0)}, S^{(0,2)}$ are quadratic differentials. If S is real, that is, it coincides with its complex conjugate $S = \overline{S}$, then

$$\overline{S}^{(0,2)} = S^{(2,0)} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{(1,1)} = \overline{S}^{(1,1)}.$$

If additionally, S is symmetric and non-negative, then $S^{(1,1)} = \alpha g_{\mathbb{H}^2}$. This leads to the following definition:

Definition 8.4 (Hopf Differential). If $f : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map then the pull-back of the metric g_Y is a symmetric 2-tensor that admits a (unique) decomposition as

$$f^*g_Y = \alpha g_{\mathbb{H}^2} + \Phi + \overline{\Phi}$$

where $\alpha : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative smooth function and Φ is a quadratic differential, the *Hopf differential* of f . When f is harmonic, the Hopf differential is holomorphic (see for example [DW07, Section 2.2.3]).

8.1.1. *Existence and uniqueness of harmonic fillings.* Given a parametrized quasi-circle $\xi : \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^3$ we seek a harmonic map $f : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ that extends ξ . We use the following particular case of a result of Benoist–Hulin [BH17] establishing the existence and uniqueness of harmonic fillings.

Theorem 8.5 ([BH17]). *For every $c > 0$ there exists $B > 0$ such that the following holds: Let $\xi : \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ be the boundary extension of a c -quasi-isometric embedding $g : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$. Then there exists a unique harmonic map $f : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{H}^2} d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(f(x), g(x)) < B.$$

In particular, f continuously extends ξ .

The statement quantifies the distance between f, g uniformly in terms of c as opposed to the one in [BH17]. We discuss in Appendix A how to deduce it from their work.

8.1.2. *Uniformization.* Let $f : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ be harmonic. The pull-back $f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3}$ is a non-negative symmetric 2-tensor, which might be degenerate at some points. A result of Sampson [Sam78, Corollary of Theorem 3] ensures that it is non-degenerate on a dense open subset of \mathbb{H}^2 .

Recall that we can write

$$f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3} = \alpha g_{\mathbb{H}^2} + \Phi + \overline{\Phi}$$

where Φ is a holomorphic quadratic differential on \mathbb{H}^2 and α is a non-negative smooth function. We would like to find a hyperbolic metric g' on \mathbb{H}^2 of the form

$$g' = \alpha' g_{\mathbb{H}^2} + \Phi + \overline{\Phi}$$

where α' is a smooth positive function. The following result gives us a sufficient condition to be able to solve this problem:

Theorem 8.6 (Wan [Wan92]). *Let Φ be a bounded holomorphic quadratic differential on \mathbb{H}^2 . There exists a unique (up to composition with isometries) harmonic quasi-conformal diffeomorphism $u : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ with Hopf differential Φ . The pull-back $u^*g_{\mathbb{H}^2} = g_\Phi$ is a complete (hyperbolic) metric and has the form*

$$g_\Phi = \alpha_\Phi g_{\mathbb{H}^2} + \Phi + \overline{\Phi}.$$

Let us comment now about the regularity of the dependence of u on Φ .

Proposition 8.7. *For every $k \geq 1$ and compact set $D \subset \mathbb{H}^2$, if $\Phi_n \rightarrow \Phi$ uniformly on compact sets, then, up to composition with an isometry, u_n converges to u in $\mathcal{C}^k(D, \mathbb{H}^2)$.*

Proof. Up to the composition with an isometry, we can assume that $u_n(o) = o$ for a fixed basepoint $o \in \mathbb{H}^2$ and $d_o u_n \rightarrow M$ for some linear map M . As the maps u_n are all uniformly quasi-conformal (the quasi-conformal parameter is a continuous function of the Hopf differential) they are also uniformly quasi-Lipschitz (see for example [Kie70]). In particular, they map the bounded set D to a fixed ball $B(o, R)$ for some R . Hence, by Theorem 8.3, for every $k \geq 1$ the \mathcal{C}^k -norm of u_n is uniformly bounded. This implies that up to passing to subsequences we have that $u_n \rightarrow u'$ in the \mathcal{C}^k -topology on compact sets where $u' : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ is harmonic and satisfies $u'(o) = o$ and $d_o u' = M$. As the convergence is \mathcal{C}^2 , the Hopf differentials Φ_n converge to the Hopf differential of the limit, which therefore coincides with Φ . By the uniqueness part of Theorem 8.6, we conclude that u' is the unique harmonic map associated with Φ . Lastly, as the limit u' does not depend on the chosen subsequence, we conclude that the whole sequence u_n converges to it. \square

8.2. Geometry of harmonic fillings. The source of the gap between the induced and the uniformized metric mentioned in the sketch of the proof of Theorem 8.1 will rely on a strong maximum principle. The following lemmas of Deroin–Tholozan [DT16] are both consequences of the strong maximum principle. First, the induced metric has always curvature strictly smaller than -1 unless it is totally geodesic.

Lemma 8.8 (see [DT16, Lemma 2.5]). *Let $f : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ be a harmonic map and let $U \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ be the subset where $f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3}$ is non-degenerate. For all $x \in U$ the scalar curvature of $(U, f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3})$ is bounded by $\kappa(f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3}) \leq -1$. Furthermore $\kappa(f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3})(x) < -1$ unless the second fundamental form of $f(U)$ vanishes at $f(x)$.*

For the second fact, recall that

$$f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3} = \alpha g_{\mathbb{H}^2} + \Phi + \bar{\Phi}.$$

By simple computations one gets

$$\mathrm{tr}_{g_{\mathbb{H}^2}}(f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3}) = \alpha$$

and

$$\det_{g_{\mathbb{H}^2}}(f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3}) = \alpha^2 - 4|\Phi|^2.$$

As $f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3} \geq 0$, we always have $\det_{g_{\mathbb{H}^2}}(f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3}) \geq 0$ which implies that the following system has always (possibly coincident) solutions $H, L : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{cases} H + L = \alpha \\ HL = |\Phi|^2 \\ H \geq L. \end{cases}$$

Explicitly,

$$H = \frac{\mathrm{tr}_{g_{\mathbb{H}^2}}(f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3}) + \sqrt{\det_{g_{\mathbb{H}^2}}(f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3})}}{2} \geq \frac{\mathrm{tr}_{g_{\mathbb{H}^2}}(f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3}) - \sqrt{\det_{g_{\mathbb{H}^2}}(f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3})}}{2} = L.$$

Note that

$$\mathrm{tr}_{g_{\mathbb{H}^2}}(f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3}) \geq \sqrt{\det_{g_{\mathbb{H}^2}}(f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3})}$$

so both solutions H, L are non-negative.

Following [DT16], in order to compare the pull-back tensor $f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3} = \alpha g_{\mathbb{H}^2} + \Phi + \bar{\Phi}$ with the hyperbolic metric $g_{\Phi} := \alpha_{\Phi} g_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \Phi + \bar{\Phi}$, we compare the solutions of their associated systems.

Lemma 8.9 (see [DT16, Lemma 2.6]). *Let $f : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ be a harmonic map with bounded Hopf differential Φ . Let $g_{\Phi} = \alpha_{\Phi} g_{\mathbb{H}^2} + \Phi + \bar{\Phi}$ be the hyperbolic metric provided by Theorem 8.6. Consider the functions H, H', L, L' on \mathbb{H}^2 defined by the systems*

$$\begin{cases} H + L = \alpha \\ HL = |\Phi|^2 \\ H \geq L, \end{cases} \quad , \quad \begin{cases} H' + L' = \alpha_{\Phi} \\ H'L' = |\Phi|^2 \\ H' \geq L'. \end{cases} .$$

Assume that H/H' attains a maximum in \mathbb{H}^2 and that $\sup\{2(H + L')\} < \infty$ on \mathbb{H}^2 . Then either

- $H' < H$ everywhere, in which case $f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3} < g_{\Phi}$, or
- $H' = H$ everywhere, in which case $f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3} = g_{\Phi}$ and $f(\mathbb{H}^2)$ is a totally geodesic copy of \mathbb{H}^2 in \mathbb{H}^3 .

As g_{Φ} is non-degenerate, we have $H' > 0$ so the quotient H/H' is well-defined.

The fact that $H' < H \Rightarrow f^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3} < g_{\Phi}$ is purely algebraic: If $0 = HL = H'L'$, then $L = L' = 0$ and $\alpha_{\Phi} = H' < H = \alpha$. If $HL = H'L' > 0$ then

$$L = |\Phi|^2/H \text{ and } L' = |\Phi|^2/H'$$

and since $L \leq H$ and $L' \leq H'$, it holds that $L, L' \leq |\Phi|$. As the function $x \rightarrow x + |\Phi|^2/x$ is decreasing on $(0, |\Phi|)$ we deduce again that $\alpha < \alpha_{\Phi}$.

The assumptions of Lemma 8.9 are slightly different from the ones of [DT16, Lemma 2.6]: First in [DT16], the existence of a hyperbolic metric of the form $g_{\Phi} = \alpha_{\Phi} g_{\mathbb{H}^2} + \Phi + \bar{\Phi}$ is guaranteed by the results of [DT16, Section 1.2] which rely on the fact that f is equivariant under a representation of a uniform lattice of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^2)$. We instead use Theorem 8.6 and assume that the Hopf differential of f is bounded. Second in [DT16] the existence of a maximum for H/H' as well as the boundedness of $2(H + L')$ is immediate by equivariance, we instead assume both as hypotheses. With the three additional assumptions, the proof of Lemma 8.9 goes through exactly as in [DT16, Lemma 2.6].

8.3. Laminations from harmonic fillings, the proof of Theorem 8.1. The Reimann extension operator provides a natural way to extend quasi-conformal maps of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ to bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphism of \mathbb{H}^3 . Let $\mathrm{QC}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1)$ be the space of quasi-conformal homeomorphism of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence.

Theorem 8.10 (Reimann [Rei85]). *There exists a map*

$$\mathcal{R} : \text{QC}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$$

with the following properties:

- (1) \mathcal{R} is continuous;
- (2) $\mathcal{R}(g)$ continuously extends g , and if g is equivariant, so is $\mathcal{R}(g)$;
- (3) if $g : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ is K -quasi-conformal, then $\mathcal{R}(g)$ is K^3 -bi-Lipschitz.

Let $\rho^k : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$ be the laminated conformal action on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ associated to the k -hyperconvex representation ρ . Recall that, by Proposition 6.1, ρ^k is quasi-conformally conjugated to the natural embedding $\iota : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{MG}$. This is, $\rho^k = g\iota g^{-1}$ for some K -quasi-conformal $g : \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ extending the tangent projection $\xi^k : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$.

Using g and the Reimann operator \mathcal{R} , we produce a continuous equivariant family of quasi-isometric maps that extend ξ_t^k . Define

$$R_t := \mathcal{R}(g_t)|_{\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\}} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3,$$

and observe that for every $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, $\mathcal{R}(g_t)$ is K^3 -bi-Lipschitz. Thus R_t is a K^3 -quasi-isometric embedding that extends the restriction of g_t to \mathbb{RP}^1 , which is ξ_t^k . By the continuity properties of the Reimann extension operator $\mathcal{R}(\cdot)$, the family R_t varies continuously with respect to uniform convergence.

We denote by $f_t : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ the unique harmonic extension of ξ_t^k provided by Theorem 8.5, which, by construction, stays at uniformly bounded distance from R_t and define

$$F : \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$$

by $F(\cdot, t) := (f_t(\cdot), t)$.

Lemma 8.11. *F is (ι, ρ^k) -equivariant.*

Proof. The transformations $f_{\gamma t}$ and $\rho^k(\gamma, t)f_t$, being the pre- and post-compositions of a harmonic map with isometries of the domain and target are still harmonic. Furthermore, they extend to the boundary to the same map $\xi_{\gamma t}^k = \rho^k(\gamma, t)\xi_t^k$. By the uniqueness part of Theorem 8.5, we conclude that they are equal $f_{\gamma t} = \rho^k(\gamma, t)f_t$. \square

Lemma 8.12. *F is continuous. The derivatives along the leaves vary continuously.*

Proof. We want to show that for every compact domain $D \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ and $k \geq 0$ if $t \rightarrow t_0$ then $f_t \rightarrow f_{t_0}$ in the \mathcal{C}^k topology on $\mathcal{C}^k(D, \mathbb{H}^3)$.

By Theorem 8.5, the harmonic extension f_t satisfies $d(f_t, R_t) \leq B$ where B only depends on K . In particular, the image $f_t(D) \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ lies in the $2B$ -neighborhood of the image $R_{t_0}(D)$ for every t sufficiently close to t_0 . By Theorem 8.3, we deduce that for every $k \geq 1$ the \mathcal{C}^k norms of f_t are uniformly bounded.

As D and k are arbitrary, the above discussion implies that, up to subsequences, f_t converges in the \mathcal{C}^k topology on compact subsets of \mathbb{H}^2 to a harmonic function

$f' : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$. Note that, as $R_t \rightarrow R_{t_0}$ uniformly on compact sets and $d(f_t, R_t) \leq B$ for every t , we have $d(f', R_{t_0}) \leq B$. Since f' is a harmonic function at a uniformly bounded distance from the quasi-isometry R_{t_0} , we have that f' continuously extends to $\partial R_{t_0} : \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^3$. By the uniqueness part of Theorem 8.5, we can conclude that $f' = f_{t_0}$. Lastly, as the limit $f' = f_{t_0}$ does not depend on the chosen subsequence of the f_t 's, we deduce that the whole sequence f_t converges to f_{t_0} in the \mathcal{C}^k -topology on compact sets of \mathbb{H}^2 . \square

As a consequence of Lemmas 8.11 and 8.12, F determines a Γ -invariant transversely continuous symmetric 2-tensor $f_t^* g_{\mathbb{H}^3}$ on $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$. Each $f_t^* g_{\mathbb{H}^3}$ decomposes as

$$f_t^* g_{\mathbb{H}^3} = \alpha_t g_{\mathbb{H}^2} + \Phi_t + \overline{\Phi}_t$$

where α_t is a non-negative function and Φ_t is the Hopf differential of the harmonic map f_t . By Lemma 8.12, Φ_t vary continuously in t with respect to the \mathcal{C}^∞ topology. Furthermore, by invariance and cocompactness of the action, we have $|\Phi_t| \leq B$ for some B and for every $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$.

By Theorem 8.6, there exists a unique family of hyperbolic metrics of the form

$$g_{\Phi_t} = \alpha_{\Phi_t} g_{\mathbb{H}^2} + \Phi_t + \overline{\Phi}_t$$

all whose derivatives vary continuously with respect to t . Moreover, by the uniqueness part of Theorem 8.6, the family is also Γ -invariant that is

$$\gamma^* g_{\Phi_t} = g_{\Phi_{\gamma^{-1}t}}.$$

Hence, it defines a hyperbolic surface lamination structure on $M_\Gamma = \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2 / \Gamma$.

Lemma 8.13. *Either $f_t^* g_{\mathbb{H}^3} < g_{\Phi_t}$ for every t or there exists t such that $f_t(\mathbb{H}^2)$ is a totally geodesic plane in \mathbb{H}^3 .*

Proof. Let (H_t, L_t) and (H'_t, L'_t) be the functions associated to α_t, Φ_t and α_{Φ_t}, Φ_t respectively as in Lemma 8.9. By Lemmas 8.12 and 8.11, the functions $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(x, t) \rightarrow H_t(x), L_t(x), H'_t(x), L'_t(x)$$

are continuous and Γ -invariant. By cocompactness of $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\Gamma$, the function $(x, t) \rightarrow H_t(x)/H'_t(x)$ attains a maximum over $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\Gamma$ on a slice $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\}$ and the function $(x, t) \rightarrow 2(H_t(x) + L'_t(x))$ is bounded. Therefore, the harmonic map $f_t : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ satisfies all the assumptions of Lemma 8.9.

By Lemma 8.9, either the maximum $\max_{(x,t)} \{H_t(x)/H'_t(x)\}$ is smaller than one, and $f_t^* g_{\mathbb{H}^3} < g_{\Phi_t}$ everywhere or it is 1 and realized on the slice $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\}$. On this slice we have that $f_t(\mathbb{H}^2)$ is a totally geodesic plane in \mathbb{H}^3 . \square

Lemma 8.14. *If $f_t(\mathbb{H}^2)$ is a totally geodesic plane for some t then it is totally geodesic for every t . In particular $\text{AB}^k(\rho) \in \Delta \subset \mathcal{T}(M_\Gamma) \times \mathcal{T}(\overline{M}_\Gamma)$.*

Proof. By Γ -equivariance and continuity of F , the set of $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ for which $f_t(\mathbb{H}^2)$ is a totally geodesic plane is Γ -invariant and closed. Since every Γ -orbit is dense, the claim follows. \square

We can now prove Theorem 8.1.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. By Lemmas 8.13 and 8.14, either $f_t(\mathbb{H}^2)$ is a totally geodesic plane for every $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ in which case $\text{AB}^k(\rho) \in \Delta$ or $f_t^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3} < g_{\Phi_t}$ for every $t \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, in which case, by continuity, Γ -invariance, and cocompactness of the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$, there exists $\kappa < 1$ such that $f_t^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3} < \kappa g_{\Phi_t}$ for every t . In particular, by comparing length spectra, we get

$$\log |L_\rho^k(\cdot)| \leq \ell_{(M_\Gamma, f_t^*g_{\mathbb{H}^3})}(\cdot) \leq \kappa \ell_{(M_\Gamma, g_{\Phi_t})}(\cdot).$$

and, therefore, we have

$$h^k(\rho) \geq \frac{1}{\kappa} h(M_\Gamma, g_{\Phi_t}) \geq \frac{1}{\kappa}$$

where in the last inequality we used that $h(M_\Gamma, g_{\Phi_t}) \geq 1$ (Theorem 2.11). \square

APPENDIX A. HARMONIC FILLINGS

Here we deduce from the arguments in [BH17] the following strengthening of their main result. We only need the special case in which $X = \mathbb{H}^2$ and $Y = \mathbb{H}^3$, but we include a proof in the general case as it doesn't present additional difficulties.

Theorem (Benoist–Hulin [BH17]). *Let X, Y be rank one symmetric spaces. For every $c > 0$ there exists $B > 0$ such that the following holds: Let $\xi : \partial X \rightarrow \partial Y$ be the boundary extension of a c -quasi-isometric embedding $g : X \rightarrow Y$. Then there exists a unique harmonic map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\sup_{x \in X} d_Y(f(x), g(x)) < B.$$

In particular, f continuously extends ξ .

We adopt the same notations as in [BH17]. We follow the main steps of their argument. First, they show that we can and will assume that the quasi-isometric embedding g is smooth and the covariant derivatives Dg, D^2g are uniformly bounded in terms of c .

Proposition ([BH17, Proposition 3.4]). *Let X, Y be rank one symmetric spaces. For every $c > 0$ there exists $c' > 0$ (only depending on c and X, Y) such that the following holds: Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a c -quasi-isometric embedding. There exists a smooth map $g' : X \rightarrow Y$ with $d(g, g') \leq 2c$ and $\|Dg'\|, \|D^2g'\| \leq c'$.*

Fix a base-point $o \in X$ and let $B(o, R)$ be the ball of radius R centered at o . By general theory, there exists a unique harmonic map $h_R : B(o, R) \rightarrow Y$ whose restriction to the sphere $\partial B(o, R)$ coincides with g . The strategy of [BH17] is to show that the maps h_R converge to a harmonic map $h : X \rightarrow Y$ which stays at a bounded distance from $g : X \rightarrow Y$. The core of the argument is to show the following two estimates:

Proposition A.1 ([BH17, Proposition 3.8]). *Let X, Y be rank one symmetric spaces. For every $c > 0$ and every smooth map $g : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\|Dg\|, \|D^2g\| \leq c$, the unique harmonic map $h_R : B(o, R) \rightarrow Y$ agreeing with g on the sphere $\partial B(o, R)$ satisfies*

$$d(h_R(x), g(x)) \leq 8c^2 \dim(X) d(x, \partial B(o, R)).$$

This estimate is already explicit in $c > 0$. The only part of their argument with non-explicit constant is potentially [BH17, Proposition 3.6] where they prove:

Proposition ([BH17, Proposition 3.6]). *With notation as above, there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for every $R \geq 1$ one has $d(h_R, g) \leq M$.*

A priori, M might depend on the initial boundary data ξ and on the specific extension g . With some bookkeeping, the conclusion can be made stronger, that is, the constant M can be chosen to depend only on X, Y and c :

Proposition A.2 (Effective version of [BH17, Proposition 3.6]). *Let X, Y be rank one symmetric spaces. For every $c > 0$ there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that, for every smooth c -quasi-isometric embedding $g : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\|Dg\|, \|D^2g\| \leq c$, the unique harmonic map $h_R : B(o, R) \rightarrow Y$ agreeing with g on the sphere $\partial B(o, R)$ satisfies*

$$d(h_R(x), g(x)) \leq M$$

for every $R \geq 1$.

We now explain how to extract this enhanced version from their arguments. We first choose the constants wisely: we fix a (large) threshold $T > 1$ such that the following four conditions are satisfied:

(1) We impose

$$T^{1/3} \leq \frac{T}{16c^2 \dim(X)}$$

so that Inequality (4.3) of the paper

$$1 \leq r_R \leq \frac{1}{16c^2 \dim(X)} \rho_R$$

is satisfied for $r_R = \rho_R^{1/3}$ for every $\rho_R > T$.

(2) We further impose

$$\frac{1}{3c^2} - 2^{12} c T^{-1/3} \dim(X) \geq \frac{1}{4c^2} = \sigma_0.$$

The next two requirements come from the first observation of [BH17, Lemma 4.7] which says that there exists a constant ϵ_0 only depending on $\sigma_0 = 1/4c^2$ such that every subset of the standard sphere $\mathbb{S}^{\dim(X)-1}$ with Lebesgue measure (normalized to be a probability measure) at least σ_0 contains two points whose angular distance is at least ϵ_0 . For this ϵ_0 we ask the following:

- (3) For the constant A of [BH17, Lemma 2.2], only depending on X, Y , and the constant ϵ_0 mentioned above (that depends only on $\sigma_0 = 1/4c^2$),

$$\frac{(A+1)c}{\sin(\epsilon_0/2)^2} \leq T^{1/3}.$$

- (4) For every $t \geq T$

$$2 \left(4e^{c/4} e^{-t^{1/3}/8c} + \frac{8t^2}{\sinh(t)} \right) < e^{-A} \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{4} \right)^{2c}.$$

Proposition A.2, and thus Theorem 8.5, follows once Claim A.3 is established.

Claim A.3. For every $R \geq T$ we have

$$\sup_{x \in B(o, R)} \{d_Y(h_R(x), g(x))\} \leq T.$$

Proof. Set $\rho_R := \sup_{x \in B(o, R)} \{d_Y(f(x), g(x))\}$, and let $x_R \in B(o, R)$ be a point where the supremum is achieved. We proceed by contradiction and assume that $\rho_R > T$.

By Proposition A.1, we have

$$d(x_R, \partial B(o, R)) \geq \frac{\rho_R}{8\dim(X)c^2}$$

and, by choice (1), we have

$$\frac{\rho_R}{16\dim(X)c^2} \geq \rho_R^{1/3}.$$

In particular, $B(o, R-1)$ contains $B(x_R, \rho_R^{1/3})$.

As in [BH17, Definition 4.1], we write the functions g and h_R in exponential coordinates $\exp_{y_R} : T_{y_R}Y \rightarrow Y$ around $y_R := g(x_R)$

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \exp_{y_R}(\rho_g(z)v_g(z)), \\ h_R(z) &= \exp_{y_R}(\rho_h(z)v_h(z)), \\ h_R(x_R) &= \exp_{y_R}(\rho_R v_R) \end{aligned}$$

and single out on the sphere $S(x_R, \rho_R^{1/3})$ the sets

$$U_R = \{z \in S(x_R, \rho_R^{1/3}) \mid \rho_h(z) \geq \rho_R - \rho_R^{1/3}/2c\},$$

$$V_R = \{z \in S(x_R, \rho_R^{1/3}) \mid \rho_h(z_t) \geq \rho_R/2 \text{ for all } z_t \text{ on the geodesic } [x_R, z]\},$$

and define $W_R := U_R \cap V_R$.

Denote by σ the unique probability measure on the sphere $S(x_R, \rho_R^{1/3})$ invariant under the transitive action of the group of isometries of X that stabilize x_R . More explicitly, $S(x_R, \rho^{1/3})$ is isometric to a standard sphere of dimension $\dim(X) - 1$ of a certain radius and σ is the Lebesgue measure on it rescaled so that it is a probability

measure. As in [BH17, Lemma 4.4] we set $r_R := \rho_R^{1/3}$ (observe again that by our choice (1) and the fact $\rho_R \geq T$, the inequality (4.3) is satisfied) and get

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(W_R) &\geq \frac{1}{3c^2} - 2^{12}\dim(X)c\rho_R^{-1/3} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3c^2} - 2^{12}\dim(X)cT^{-1/3} \geq \frac{1}{4c^2}\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from our choice (2).

Then, by the observation above our choice (3), as $\sigma(W_R) \geq \sigma_0$, we can find two points $z_1, z_2 \in W_R$ with angular distance (denoted by $\theta(\cdot, \cdot)$) at least ϵ_0 .

Denote by $(x_1|x_2)_{x_3}$ (resp. $(y_1|y_2)_{y_3}$) the Gromov product of the points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ based at $x_3 \in X$ (resp. $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ based at $y_3 \in Y$). By [BH17, Lemma 2.1.a] we have

$$\begin{aligned}(x_R|z_1)_{z_2}, (x_R|z_2)_{z_1} &\geq d(x_R, z_j) \sin(\theta(z_1, z_2))^2 \\ &\geq \rho_R^{1/3} \sin^2(\epsilon_0/2)\end{aligned}$$

and by our choice (3) the latter is greater than

$$\rho_R^{1/3} \sin^2(\epsilon_0/2) \geq (A+1)c.$$

Thus

$$\min\{(x_R|z_1)_{z_2}, (x_R|z_2)_{z_1}\} \geq (A+1)c.$$

Therefore, by [BH17, Lemma 2.2], we deduce

$$\min\{(y_R|g(z_1))_{g(z_2)}, (y_R|g(z_2))_{g(z_1)}\} \geq 1.$$

We thus get

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(v_g(z_1), v_g(z_2)) &\geq e^{-(g(z_1)|g(z_2))_{y_R}} && \text{by [BH17, Lemma 2.1.c],} \\ &\geq e^{-A} e^{-c(z_1|z_2)_{x_R}} && \text{by [BH17, Lemma 2.2],} \\ &\geq e^{-A} \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{4}\right)^{2c} && \text{by [BH17, Lemma 2.1.b].}\end{aligned}$$

By the triangle inequality, we have

$$\theta(v_g(z_1), v_g(z_2)) \leq \theta(v_g(z_1), v_R) + \theta(v_g(z_2), v_R).$$

As $z_1, z_2 \in W_R$ and $W_R = U_R \cap V_R$ (and Inequality (4.3) in [BH17] is again satisfied by our choice (1)), we can apply both [BH17, Lemma 4.5] and [BH17, Lemma 4.6] to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(v_g(z_j), v_R) &\leq \theta(v_g(z_j), v_h(z_j)) + \theta(v_h(z_j), v_R) \\ &\leq 4e^{c/4} e^{-\rho_R^{1/3}/8c} + \frac{8\rho_R^2}{\sinh(\rho_R)}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous inequalities one gets

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-A} \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{4} \right)^{2c} &\leq \theta(v_g(z_1), v_g(z_2)) \\ &\leq \theta(v_g(z_1), v_R) + \theta(v_g(z_2), v_R) \\ &\leq 2 \left(4e^{c/4} e^{-\rho_R^{1/3}/8c} + \frac{8\rho_R^2}{\sinh(\rho_R)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As $\rho_R \geq T$, by our choice (4) we get a contradiction. \square

APPENDIX B. ENTROPY AND ORBITAL GROWTH RATES

We explain here how to deduce Theorem 2.11 from Theorem 2.9. Many of the ingredients that we require are scattered throughout the literature, though not always in a published format or in the level of generality that we require. We have tried to keep this section as self-contained as possible.

B.1. Preliminaries on reparameterizations. Denote as in §2.3 by ϕ the geodesic flow on $M_\Gamma \cong T^1\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$. We require some preliminary notions on reparameterizations of ϕ and entropy, mostly following [BCLS15, §3] and [Tho19, §1].

Let $r : M_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be a potential, i.e., a continuous positive function. We will construct a flow ϕ^r whose speed differs from that of ϕ pointwise according to r . To do so, first define

$$(30) \quad \kappa_r(x, t) = \int_0^t r(\phi_s(x)) ds.$$

Note that κ_r satisfies the following cocycle property

$$\kappa_r(x, s+t) = \kappa_r(\phi_s(x), t) + \kappa_r(x, s).$$

For each $x \in M_\Gamma$,

$$\kappa_r(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is continuous, increasing, and proper, hence has a continuous, increasing inverse

$$(31) \quad \alpha_r(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

The *reparameterization* ϕ^r of ϕ by r is given by

$$(32) \quad \phi_t^r(x) = \phi_{\alpha_r(x,t)}(x).$$

We collect some facts about ϕ^r in the following lemma; see [BCLS15, §3].

Lemma B.1. *Let $r : M_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be continuous, and let ϕ^r be the reparameterization defined as in (32).*

- (1) *A point $x \in M_\Gamma$ is ϕ -periodic with period T if and only if x is ϕ^r -periodic with period $\kappa_r(x, T)$.*

(2) *The assignment*

$$\mu \mapsto \frac{r\mu}{\int r d\mu}$$

defines a homeomorphism between spaces of ϕ -invariant and ϕ^r -invariant Borel probability measures on M_Γ .

Our goal is to assign to our marked Riemann surface lamination $f : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$ a reparameterization ϕ^r which is continuously conjugate to the flow ψ whose entropy was computed in §2.3; in particular the topological entropy and orbital growth rates of ϕ^r are the same as those of ψ . We first construct an orbit equivalence between ψ and ϕ .

Lemma B.2. *There is a continuous lamination equivalence $g : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$, leafwise homotopic to f , mapping orbits of ψ to orbits of ϕ , i.e., a continuous orbit equivalence.*

Proof. Lift f to a map \tilde{f} between the covers $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ and \widetilde{W} corresponding to Γ and $f_*\Gamma$, respectively. Every point $(x, t) \in \mathbb{H}^2 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ lies on a geodesic line $[t, y]$ directed from t to $y \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$. To the right of x on $[t, y]$, there is an orthogonal geodesic ray pointing towards $z \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$. Using the boundary extension from Lemma 2.7, the triple (t, y, z) maps to a triple of points on $\partial\tilde{f}(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\})$. Define $\tilde{g}(x, t)$ as the orthogonal projection of $\tilde{f}(z)$ to the line $[\tilde{f}(t), \tilde{f}(y)]$.

The construction is Γ -equivariant, continuous, and every $\tilde{\phi}$ -orbit is mapped homeomorphically to a $\tilde{\psi}$ -orbit so that \tilde{g} descends to a homeomorphic lamination map $g : M_\Gamma \rightarrow W$. Also, \tilde{f} and \tilde{g} are Γ -equivariantly leafwise homotopic via the leafwise straight line homotopy, so that g has all of the desired properties. \square

Denote by $g\psi : M_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M_\Gamma$ the continuous flow $(x, t) \mapsto g \circ \psi_t \circ g^{-1}(x)$, and note that

$$\phi_t(x) = g\psi_{\beta(x,t)}(x)$$

for some continuous function

$$\beta : M_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

satisfying the ϕ -cocycle condition

$$\beta(x, s+t) = \beta(x, s) + \beta(\phi_s(x), t).$$

In particular, $\beta(x, \cdot)$ is an increasing homeomorphism of \mathbb{R} for all x . More concisely, we write $\phi = g\psi_\beta$.

Since g is merely continuous, β need not be differentiable along orbits, hence may not be equal to κ_r for some potential $r : M_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, as in (30). In the proof of the next lemma, we use an averaging procedure to construct a potential r such that $g\psi_{\kappa_r}$ is conjugate to $g\psi_\beta$. The proof we present here is contained within [Tho19, §§1.2–1.4].

Lemma B.3. *There is a continuous function $r : M_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a homeomorphism $h : M_\Gamma \rightarrow M_\Gamma$ such that*

$${}_g\psi \circ h = h \circ \phi^r.$$

In particular, ψ is topologically conjugate to ϕ^r .

Proof. By continuity of β and compactness of M_Γ , there is a $T > 0$ such that $\beta(x, T) > 0$ for all $x \in M_\Gamma$. Define $r(x) = \frac{1}{T}\beta(x, T)$, and a ϕ -cocycle

$$\kappa_r(x, t) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^t r(\phi_s(x)) ds,$$

as in (30).

A computation shows that κ_r and β are *Livšic cohomologous*, i.e., there is a continuous function $G : M_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\kappa_r(x, t) - \beta(x, t) = G(\phi_t(x)) - G(x),$$

for all x and t . Indeed, one can show that

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \beta(x, s) ds.$$

Let $\alpha_r(x, \cdot)$ be the inverse to $\kappa_r(x, \cdot)$, as in (31).

We now verify that the continuous map

$$h(x) = {}_g\psi_{G(x)}(x)$$

satisfies

$${}_g\psi_s(h(x)) = h(\phi_s^r(x))$$

for all x and s , where $\phi^r = \phi_{\alpha_r}$ (see (32)). Indeed, for a given pair (x, s) , let t be such that $s = \kappa_r(x, t)$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} {}_g\psi_s(h(x)) &= {}_g\psi_{s+G(x)}(x) \\ &= {}_g\psi_{\kappa_r(x, t)+G(x)}(x) \\ &= {}_g\psi_{\beta(x, t)+G(\phi_t(x))}(x) \\ &= {}_g\psi_{G(\phi_t(x))} \circ \phi_t(x) \\ &= h(\phi_t(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $s = \kappa_r(x, t)$, we have $\alpha_r(x, s) = t$. Then $\phi_t(x) = \phi_{\alpha_r(x, s)}(x) = \phi_s^r(x)$. Together with the previous computation, this shows that ${}_g\psi \circ h = h \circ \phi^r$.

Since h^{-1} is given by $x \mapsto {}_g\psi_{-G(x)}(x)$, we have shown that ${}_g\psi$ is topologically conjugate to ϕ^r , hence that ψ is conjugate to ϕ^r , proving the lemma. \square

B.2. Entropy of reparameterizations. The following proposition states that the topological entropy of a reparameterization ϕ^r (defined as in (32)) is a continuous function of the reparameterization potential r .

Proposition B.4. *Let $r_n : M_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be a sequence of potentials converging uniformly to a potential r_∞ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\phi^{r_n}) = h(\phi^{r_\infty}).$$

Remark B.5. In the sequel we only use lower semi-continuity:

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\phi^{r_n}) \geq h(\phi^{r_\infty}).$$

Proof. The variational principle for topological entropy of a continuous flow on a compact metric space asserts, for any potential r , that

$$h(\phi^r) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{P}(M_\Gamma)^{\phi^r}} h(\phi^r, \nu),$$

where $\mathbb{P}(M_\Gamma)^{\phi^r}$ is the space of ϕ^r -invariant probability measures on M_Γ and $h(\phi^r, \nu)$ is the measure theoretic entropy of ϕ^r with respect to ν ; see [VO16, §§9-10].

Using Lemma B.1 (2), we can write

$$h(\phi^r) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{P}(M_\Gamma)^\phi} h\left(\phi^r, \frac{r\mu}{\int r d\mu}\right) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{P}(M_\Gamma)^\phi} \frac{h(\phi^r, r\mu)}{\int r d\mu}.$$

By Abramov's formula ([Abr59] or [Ito71]), we have $h(\phi^r, r\mu) = h(\phi, \mu)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{P}(M_\Gamma)^\phi$. Thus we obtain the variational formula⁵

$$(33) \quad h(\phi^r) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{P}(M_\Gamma)^\phi} \frac{h(\phi, \mu)}{\int r d\mu}.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given and find μ_ϵ such that

$$h(\phi^{r_\infty}) - \frac{h(\phi, \mu_\epsilon)}{\int r_\infty d\mu_\epsilon} < \epsilon.$$

Since we have uniform convergence $r_n \rightarrow r_\infty$ of continuous positive functions, for n large enough, we have

$$\int r_\infty d\mu_\epsilon > \int r_n d\mu_\epsilon - \epsilon > 0.$$

Then

$$h(\phi^{r_\infty}) \leq \frac{h(\phi, \mu_\epsilon)}{\int r_\infty d\mu_\epsilon} + \epsilon < \frac{h(\phi, \mu_\epsilon)}{\int r_n d\mu_\epsilon - \epsilon} + \epsilon \leq h(\phi^{r_n}) + O(\epsilon),$$

holds for n -large enough. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this proves that

$$h(\phi^{r_\infty}) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\phi^{r_n})$$

Now we show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\phi^{r_n}) \leq h(\phi^{r_\infty}).$$

⁵This is essentially [Sam14, Lemma 2.4].

Let $\min r_\infty > \epsilon > 0$ be given, and find measures $\mu_n \in \mathbb{P}(M_\Gamma)^\phi$ such that

$$h(\phi^{r_n}) \leq \frac{h(\phi, \mu_n)}{\int r_n d\mu_n} + \epsilon$$

for all n . Since $\mathbb{P}(M_\Gamma)^\phi$ is compact, we may pass to a subsequence (without renaming) and assume that $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu_\infty$.

Since ϕ is *expansive*, the function $\mu \mapsto h(\phi, \mu)$ is upper semi-continuous (e.g., [VO16, §9]). Thus, if n is large enough, we have

$$h(\phi, \mu_n) \leq h(\phi, \mu_\infty) + \epsilon.$$

Since $\|r_n - r_\infty\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ and $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu_\infty$, if n is large enough, we have

$$\int r_n d\mu_n > \int r_\infty d\mu_\infty - \epsilon > 0.$$

Thus for all n large enough, we have

$$h(\phi^{r_n}) \leq \frac{h(\phi, \mu_n)}{\int r_n d\mu_n} + \epsilon < \frac{h(\phi, \mu_\infty) + \epsilon}{\int r_\infty d\mu_\infty - \epsilon} + \epsilon \leq h(r^{r_\infty}) + O(\epsilon).$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude the proposition. \square

We are now ready to combine the above ingredients to deduce Theorem 2.11.

Proof of Theorem 2.11. By Lemma B.3, ψ is topologically conjugate to ϕ^r for some continuous potential r , so it suffices to prove the theorem for flows of this form.

Since ϕ is a topologically transitive Anosov flow on M_Γ , it can be described as the suspension of a subshift of finite type with Hölder continuous roof function, i.e., it admits a strong Markov coding [Bow72, Bow73]. This is still true if r is Hölder, and classical results give $h(\phi^r) = H(\phi^r)$ in this case [Pol87]. Otherwise, we consider a sequence of Hölder continuous r_n converging uniformly to $r = r_\infty$.

We claim that

$$(34) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\phi^{r_n}) = H(\phi^{r_\infty}).$$

Indeed, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given and find n large enough that

$$1 - \epsilon < \frac{r_\infty}{r_n} \text{ and } 1 - \epsilon < \frac{r_n}{r_\infty}$$

holds. Let γ be a periodic ϕ -orbit with period $\ell(\gamma)$ and denote by $\ell_n(\gamma)$ its period for the flow ϕ^{r_n} , for $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$. Using Lemma B.1 (1),

$$\ell_n(\gamma) = \int_0^{\ell(\gamma)} r_n(\phi_s(x)) ds.$$

Using the lower bounds on r_∞/r_n , we find that

$$\ell_\infty(\gamma) < R \Rightarrow \ell_n(\gamma) < \frac{R}{1 - \epsilon}.$$

Similarly,

$$\ell_n(\gamma) < R(1 - \epsilon) \Rightarrow \ell_\infty(\gamma) < R.$$

Thus

$$\#\{\gamma : \ell_n(\gamma) < R(1 - \epsilon)\} \leq \#\{\gamma : \ell_\infty(\gamma) < R\} \leq \#\{\gamma : \ell_n(\gamma) < R/(1 - \epsilon)\}.$$

It follows then that

$$(1 - \epsilon)H(\phi^{r_n}) \leq H(\phi^{r_\infty}) \leq \frac{H(\phi^{r_n})}{1 - \epsilon}$$

holds for all n -large enough. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude the claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\phi^{r_n}) = H(\phi^{r_\infty}) = H(\phi^r)$.

Using Proposition B.4, (34), and the fact that $H(\phi^{r_n}) = h(\phi^{r_n})$ for all n , we obtain

$$h(\phi^r) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\phi^{r_n}) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\phi^{r_n}) = H(\phi^r).$$

Combining this with Theorem 2.9, that $h(\phi^r) \geq 1$, completes the proof of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [Abr59] L. M. Abramov, *On the entropy of a flow*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **128** (1959), 873–875. MR 113985
- [Aco19] Miguel Acosta, *Character varieties for real forms*, Geom. Dedicata **203** (2019), 257–277. MR 4027594
- [Ahl38] Lars V. Ahlfors, *An extension of Schwarz’s lemma*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1938), no. 3, 359–364. MR 1501949
- [Ahl06] Lars Ahlfors, *Lectures on quasiconformal mappings*, second ed., University Lecture Series, vol. 38, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006, With supplemental chapters by C. J. Earle, I. Kra, M. Shishikura and J. H. Hubbard. MR 2241787
- [BCLS15] Martin Bridgeman, Richard Canary, François Labourie, and Andrés Sambarino, *The pressure metric for Anosov representations*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **25** (2015), no. 4, 1089–1179. MR 3385630
- [Ber60] Lipman Bers, *Simultaneous uniformization*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1960), 94–97. MR 111834
- [BF21] Emmanuel Breuillard and Koji Fujiwara, *On the joint spectral radius for isometries of non-positively curved spaces and uniform growth*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **71** (2021), no. 1, 317–391. MR 4275871
- [BH17] Yves Benoist and Dominique Hulin, *Harmonic quasi-isometric maps between rank one symmetric spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **185** (2017), no. 3, 895–917. MR 3664813
- [Bow72] Rufus Bowen, *Periodic orbits for hyperbolic flows*, Amer. J. Math. **94** (1972), 1–30. MR 298700
- [Bow73] ———, *Symbolic dynamics for hyperbolic flows*, Amer. J. Math. **95** (1973), 429–460. MR 339281
- [Bow79] ———, *Hausdorff dimension of quasicircles*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1979), no. 50, 11–25. MR 556580
- [BP21] Jonas Beyrer and Beatrice Pozzetti, *A collar lemma for partially hyperconvex surface group representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **374** (2021), no. 10, 6927–6961. MR 4315593
- [BPS19] Jairo Bochi, Rafael Potrie, and Andrés Sambarino, *Anosov representations and dominated splittings*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **21** (2019), no. 11, 3343–3414. MR 4012341
- [BPSW22] Martin Bridgeman, Beatrice Pozzetti, Andrés Sambarino, and Anna Wienhard, *Hessian of Hausdorff dimension on purely imaginary directions*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **54** (2022), no. 3, 1027–1050. MR 4453756

- [Can93] Alberto Candel, *Uniformization of surface laminations*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **26** (1993), no. 4, 489–516. MR 1235439
- [Cha85] Soo Bong Chae, *Holomorphy and calculus in normed spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 92, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1985, With an appendix by Angus E. Taylor. MR 788158
- [DE86] Adrien Douady and Clifford J. Earle, *Conformally natural extension of homeomorphisms of the circle*, Acta Math. **157** (1986), no. 1-2, 23–48. MR 857678
- [DT16] Bertrand Deroin and Nicolas Tholozan, *Dominating surface group representations by Fuchsian ones*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2016), no. 13, 4145–4166. MR 3544632
- [Dur83] Peter L. Duren, *Univalent functions*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. MR 708494
- [DW07] Georgios D. Daskalopoulos and Richard A. Wentworth, *Harmonic maps and Teichmüller theory*, Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Vol. I, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., vol. 11, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2007, pp. 33–109. MR 2349668
- [FM12] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 49, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. MR 2850125
- [FPV24] James Farre, Beatrice Pozzetti, and Gabriele Viaggi, *"Topological and geometric restrictions on hyperconvex representations"*, arXiv e-prints (2024).
- [Fri17] Roberto Frigerio, *Bounded cohomology of discrete groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 227, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017. MR 3726870
- [GJW10] Frederick P. Gardiner, Yunping Jiang, and Zhe Wang, *Holomorphic motions and related topics*, Geometry of Riemann surfaces, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 368, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010, pp. 156–193. MR 2665009
- [GM08] John B. Garnett and Donald E. Marshall, *Harmonic measure*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008, Reprint of the 2005 original. MR 2450237
- [Gui08] Olivier Guichard, *Composantes de Hitchin et représentations hyperconvexes de groupes de surface*, J. Differential Geom. **80** (2008), no. 3, 391–431. MR 2472478
- [GW12] Olivier Guichard and Anna Wienhard, *Anosov representations: domains of discontinuity and applications*, Invent. Math. **190** (2012), no. 2, 357–438. MR 2981818
- [IT92] Y. Imayoshi and M. Taniguchi, *An introduction to Teichmüller spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 1992, Translated and revised from the Japanese by the authors. MR 1215481
- [Ito71] Shunji Ito, *An elementary proof of Abramov's result on the entropy of a flow*, Nagoya Math. J. **41** (1971), 1–5. MR 274708
- [Jos84] Jürgen Jost, *Harmonic mappings between Riemannian manifolds*, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, vol. 4, Australian National University, Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Canberra, 1984. MR 756629
- [Kie70] Peter J. Kiernan, *Quasiconformal mappings and Schwarz's lemma*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (1970), 185–197. MR 255807
- [KLP17] Michael Kapovich, Bernhard Leeb, and Joan Porti, *Anosov subgroups: dynamical and geometric characterizations*, Eur. J. Math. **3** (2017), no. 4, 808–898. MR 3736790
- [KP22] Fanny Kassel and Rafael Potrie, *Eigenvalue gaps for hyperbolic groups and semigroups*, J. Mod. Dyn. **18** (2022), 161–208. MR 4446005
- [Lab06] François Labourie, *Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective space*, Invent. Math. **165** (2006), no. 1, 51–114. MR 2221137
- [LV73] O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, *Quasiconformal mappings in the plane*, second ed., Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. Band 126, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973, Translated from the German by K. W. Lucas. MR 344463
- [Man79] Anthony Manning, *Topological entropy for geodesic flows*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), no. 3, 567–573. MR 554385

- [Ota96] Jean-Pierre Otal, *Le théorème d'hyperbolisation pour les variétés fibrées de dimension 3*, Astérisque (1996), no. 235, x+159. MR 1402300
- [Pol87] Mark Pollicott, *Symbolic dynamics for Smale flows*, Amer. J. Math. **109** (1987), no. 1, 183–200. MR 878205
- [PS23] Beatrice Pozzetti and Andrés Sambarino, *Metric properties of boundary maps, Hilbert entropy and non-differentiability*, arXiv e-prints (2023), arXiv:2310.07373.
- [PSW21] Maria Beatrice Pozzetti, Andrés Sambarino, and Anna Wienhard, *Conformality for a robust class of non-conformal attractors*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **774** (2021), 1–51. MR 4250471
- [Rei85] H. M. Reimann, *Invariant extension of quasiconformal deformations*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **10** (1985), 477–492. MR 802511
- [Sam78] J. H. Sampson, *Some properties and applications of harmonic mappings*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **11** (1978), no. 2, 211–228. MR 510549
- [Sam14] Andrés Sambarino, *Quantitative properties of convex representations*, Comment. Math. Helv. **89** (2014), no. 2, 443–488. MR 3229035
- [Sul93] Dennis Sullivan, *Linking the universalities of Milnor-Thurston, Feigenbaum and Ahlfors-Bers*, Topological methods in modern mathematics (Stony Brook, NY, 1991), Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1993, pp. 543–564. MR 1215976
- [Tho19] Nicolas Tholozan, *Teichmüller geometry in the highest teichmüller space*, 2019, available online at <https://www.math.ens.fr/tholozan/Annexes/CocyclesReparametrizations2.pdf>.
- [VO16] Marcelo Viana and Krerley Oliveira, *Foundations of ergodic theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. MR 3558990
- [Wan92] Tom Yau-Heng Wan, *Constant mean curvature surface, harmonic maps, and universal Teichmüller space*, J. Differential Geom. **35** (1992), no. 3, 643–657. MR 1163452
- [Wie19] Anna Wienhard, *An invitation to higher Teichmüller theory*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (ICM 2018) (2019), 1013–1039.

James Farre, MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCIENCES, LEIPZIG

E-mail address: `james.farre@mis.mpg.de`

Beatrice Pozzetti, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, HEIDELBERG UNIVERSITY, HEIDELBERG

E-mail address: `pozzetti@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de`

Gabriele Viaggi, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SAPIENZA UNIVERSITY OF ROME, ROME

E-mail address: `gabriele.viaggi@uniroma1.it`