

OPTIMIZATION OF THE IMPLICIT CONSTANT FOR UPPER BOUNDS FOR MOMENTS OF THE RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION

TINGYU TAO

ABSTRACT. We optimized the implicit constant for the refined upper bounds for moments of the Riemann zeta-function proved by Harper. We also computed the implicit constant for the upper bounds for moments of the Riemann zeta-function proved by Soundararajan under certain conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Computing moments of the Riemann zeta function is a well-studied subject in number theory. For $k \geq 0$, the $2k$ -th moment of the Riemann zeta function is defined as

$$I_k(T) := \int_0^T \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt.$$

Hardy and Littlewood [2] proved that $I_1(T) \sim T \log T$, and Ingham [3] proved that $I_2(T) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi^2} T (\log T)^2$, while the asymptotic formulas of the $2k$ -th moment for $k > 2$ remain unproved. It is conjectured that for any $k \geq 0$, $I_k(T) \sim c_k T (\log T)^{k^2}$ for some constant c_k that depends on k . Keating and Snaith [4], using random matrix theory, conjectured that for any $k \geq 0$, $c_k = a_k f_k$, where

$$f_k = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-k^2} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(j) \Gamma(j+2k)}{(\Gamma(j+k))^2},$$

and

$$a_k = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{k^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(m+k)}{m! \Gamma(k)} \right)^2 \frac{1}{p^m},$$

where the product is over primes, and when k is large enough, $c_k = O(e^{-k^2 \log k})$.

Although asymptotic results for the $2k$ -th moment when $k > 2$ remain open, some sharp bounds for moments have been proved. For lower bounds, Radziwiłł and Soundararajan [5] proved that $I_k(T) \gg_k T (\log T)^{k^2}$ when $k > 1$. Heap and Soundararajan [6] proved that the same lower bound also holds when $0 < k < 1$. For upper bounds, Heap, Radziwiłł and Soundararajan [8] proved that $I_k(T) \ll_k T (\log T)^{k^2}$ for $0 \leq k \leq 2$ unconditionally. Soundararajan [7] proved that $I_k(T) \ll_k T (\log T)^{k^2 + \varepsilon}$ for any $k \geq 0$ and any arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, conditionally on the Riemann Hypothesis. Later, Harper [1] improved the upper bound to $T (\log T)^{k^2}$. More precisely, Harper proved that for a fixed $k \geq 0$, when T is large enough, we have

$$\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt \leq C(k) T (\log T)^{k^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $C(k)$ is a constant depending on k . Harper also discussed in the paper that $C(k) = e^{e^{O(k)}}$. The main focus of this paper is to build on Harper's proof and obtain an explicit constant value of $C(k)$, by optimizing various steps in the proof. We will prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume the Riemann Hypothesis is true, and let $k \geq 0$ be fixed. For large T , we have*

$$\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt \ll e^{e^{18.63k}} T (\log T)^{k^2}.$$

where the implicit constant is absolute.

That is, the constant $C(k)$ in (1) satisfies $C(k) = O\left(e^{e^{18.63k}}\right)$, and the implicit constant here does not depend on k .

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Since $\int_T^{2T} \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\right|^{2k} dt = \int_T^{2T} e^{2k \log|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)|} dt$, it is very helpful if we can obtain an approximation of $\log|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$. Soundararajan [7] proved the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume the Riemann Hypothesis is true, and let T be large. For any $2 \leq x \leq T^2$, and any $T \leq t \leq 2T$, we have*

$$\log\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\right| \leq \Re\left(\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\log x} + it}} \frac{\log(x/p)}{\log x} + \sum_{p \leq \min(\sqrt{x}, \log T)} \frac{1/2}{p^{1+2it}}\right) + \frac{\log T}{\log x} + N$$

where p denotes primes, and N is an absolute constant.

The main idea of Harper's proof of (1) is to find a partition of the interval $[T, 2T]$, and compute the integral of $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k}$ for each part. In order to partition the interval $[T, 2T]$, we first introduce the following sequence (β_j) :

$$\beta_0 := 0, \quad \beta_i := \frac{c_1^{i-1}}{(\log \log T)^2} \quad \forall i \geq 1,$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant, and

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{k,T} := 1 + \max\{i : \beta_i \leq e^{-c_2 k}\},$$

where c_2 is an absolute constant. For each $1 \leq i \leq j \leq \mathcal{I}$, let

$$G_{(i,j)}(t) = G_{(i,j),T}(t) := \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + it}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log(T^{\beta_j})}.$$

The sets that form the partition are

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{k,T} := \left\{ T \leq t \leq 2T : \left| \Re \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_i \log T} + it}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_i}/p)}{\log(T^{\beta_i})} \right| \leq \beta_i^{-c_3}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{I} \right\},$$

where $0 < c_3 < 1$ is an absolute constant, and for all $0 \leq j \leq \mathcal{I} - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(j) = \mathcal{S}_{k,T}(j) := \{ & T \leq t \leq 2T : |\Re G_{i,l}(t)| \leq \beta_i^{-c_3}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq j, \forall i \leq l \leq \mathcal{I} \\ & \text{but } |\Re G_{(j+1,l)}(t)| > \beta_{j+1}^{-c_3} \text{ for some } j+1 \leq l \leq \mathcal{I} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Based on the above definition, we have

$$[T, 2T] = \mathcal{T} \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{\mathcal{I}-1} \mathcal{S}(j),$$

so we have

$$\int_T^{2T} \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\right|^{2k} dt = \int_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\right|^{2k} dt + \sum_{j=0}^{\mathcal{I}-1} \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\right|^{2k} dt. \quad (2)$$

For the integral on each individual part, we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $k \geq 1$, and $T \geq e^{e^{(10000k)^2}}$. Denote $a = c_1^{1-c_3}$. If we have*

$$\frac{ka^2}{a-1} e^{c_2 k(1-c_3)} < \frac{1}{4},$$

then

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \exp \left(2k \Re \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}}} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\mathcal{I}} \log T} + it}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}}/p)}{\log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}})} \right) dt \leq C_1 T (\log T)^{k^2},$$

where C_1 is an absolute constant.

Lemma 2.3. Let $k \geq 1$, and $T \geq e^{e^{(10000k)^2}}$. Then

$$\text{meas}(\mathcal{S}(0)) \leq MT e^{-2(\log \log T)^2/c_1}$$

for some absolute constant M . Assume

$$\log c_1 < \frac{c_1}{2}, \quad \frac{c_2}{c_1 \left(\frac{c_1}{4c_3 - 2} + 1 \right)} > 2,$$

and $\forall 1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{I} - 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{I} - j \leq \frac{1/\beta_j}{\log c_1}.$$

Let $c_4 = \frac{c_1}{4c_3 - 2} + 1$, then we have

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \exp \left(2k \Re \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + it}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log(T^{\beta_j})} \right) dt \leq C_2 e^{-\beta_{j+1}^{-1} \log(1/\beta_{j+1})/c_4} T (\log T)^{k^2},$$

where C_2 is an absolute constant.

Lemma 2.4. Let $k \geq 1$ and $T \geq e^{e^{(10000k)^2}}$. If the same assumptions in Lemma 2.2 are true, then

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \exp \left(2k \Re \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}}} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\mathcal{I}} \log T} + it}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}}/p)}{\log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}})} + \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{1/2}{p^{1+2it}} \right) dt \leq D_1(k) T (\log T)^{k^2},$$

where $D_1(k)$ is a constant depending on k .

Lemma 2.5. Let $k \geq 1$ and $T \geq e^{e^{(10000k)^2}}$. If the same assumptions in Lemma 2.3 are true, then for $1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{I} - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \exp \left(2k \Re \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + it}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log(T^{\beta_j})} + \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{1/2}{p^{1+2it}} \right) dt \\ \leq D_2(k) e^{-\beta_{j+1}^{-1} \log(1/\beta_{j+1})/c_4} T (\log T)^{k^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $D_2(k)$ is a constant depending on k .

Now we prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $x = T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}}$ in Proposition 2.1, so by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt \leq e^{2k/\beta_{\mathcal{I}} + 2kN} D_1(k) T (\log T)^{k^2}.$$

Let $x = T^{\beta_j}$ in Proposition 2.1, so by Lemma 2.5 we get, for all $1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{I} - 1$,

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt \leq e^{2k/\beta_j + 2kN} D_2(k) e^{-\beta_{j+1}^{-1} \log(1/\beta_{j+1})/c_4} T (\log T)^{k^2}.$$

By the restriction of c_4 in Lemma 2.3, using geometric series, we have for $1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{I} - 1$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{I}-1} e^{2k/\beta_j} e^{-\beta_{j+1}^{-1} \log(1/\beta_{j+1})/c_4} \leq 1.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{I}-1} \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt \leq \mathcal{I} e^{2kN} D_2(k) T (\log T)^{k^2}.$$

When $j = 0$, we have

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(0)} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt \leq \sqrt{\text{meas}(\mathcal{S}(0)) \int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{4k} dt}.$$

By Lemma 2 in [7], we know for any $k \geq 1$ and $s > 0$, there exists a constant $D_3(k, s)$ such that

$$\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt \leq D_3(k, s) T (\log T)^{k^2+s}.$$

Choose $s = 64k^2$, then by Lemma 2.3, when $c_1 < 100$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(0)} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt &\leq \sqrt{D_3(k, s) M T} \sqrt{(\log T)^{65k^2 - 2 \log \log T / c_1}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{D_3(k, 64k^2) M T} (\log T)^{k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $D_3(k) = D_3(k, 64k^2)$, then we have

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(0)} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt \leq \sqrt{D_3(k) M T} (\log T)^{k^2}.$$

Putting everything together, we have

$$\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt \leq \left(e^{2k/\beta_{\mathcal{I}} + 2kN} D_1(k) + \mathcal{I} e^{2kN} D_2(k) + \sqrt{D_3(k) M} \right) T (\log T)^{k^2}.$$

So, if we let

$$C(k) = e^{2k/\beta_{\mathcal{I}} + 2kN} D_1(k) + \mathcal{I} e^{2kN} D_2(k) + \sqrt{D_3(k) M},$$

then (1) is proved.

Harper proved in section 6 of [1] that $D_1(k)$ and $D_2(k)$ are both of size $e^{O(k)}$. We have $D_3(k) = O(k)$ by (3). From the definition of $\beta_{\mathcal{I}}$ we know that $\beta_{\mathcal{I}} \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 k} = O(e^{-c_2 k})$, hence $e^{2k/\beta_{\mathcal{I}}} = O(e^{e^{c_2 k}})$, which becomes the dominating term in $C(k)$. Hence we can conclude that $C(k) = O(e^{e^{c_2 k}})$, which means that the size of the implicit constant depends entirely on the choice of c_2 . We will determine the choice of c_2 in Section 4 to finish the proof. \square

Now we prove that $D_3 = O(k^2)$. We first introduce the following theorem from [7].

Theorem 2.6. *Assume the Riemann Hypothesis is true, let T be large, and $V \geq 3$. Define $S(T, V) := \{ T \leq t \leq 2T \mid \log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| \geq V \}$. Denote $\log \log \log T$ as $\log_3 T$. If $10\sqrt{\log \log T} \leq V \leq \log \log T$, then there exists $b_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\text{meas}(S(T, V)) \leq b_1 \cdot T \frac{V}{\sqrt{\log \log T}} \exp \left(-\frac{V^2}{\log \log T} \left(1 - \frac{4}{\log_3 T} \right) \right).$$

If $\log \log T < V \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \log T \log_3 T$, then there exists $b_2 > 0$ such that

$$\text{meas}(S(T, V)) \leq b_2 \cdot T \frac{V}{\sqrt{\log \log T}} \exp \left(-\frac{V^2}{\log \log T} \left(1 - \frac{7V}{4 \log \log T \log_3 T} \right)^2 \right).$$

If $\frac{1}{2} \log \log T \log_3 T < V$, then there exists $b_3 > 0$ such that

$$\text{meas}(S(T, V)) \leq b_3 \cdot T \exp \left(-\frac{1}{33} V \log V \right).$$

To find $D_3(k)$, firstly note that

$$\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2kV} d\text{meas}(S(T, V)) = 2k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV.$$

We can separate the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV$ into four parts depending on the size of V . For simplicity, we denote $T_1 = 10\sqrt{\log \log T}$, $T_2 = \log \log T$, $T_3 = \frac{1}{2} \log \log T \log_3 T$. Since $e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V))$ is non-negative, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV &= \int_{-\infty}^{T_1} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV \\ &\quad + \int_{T_2}^{T_3} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV + \int_{T_3}^{\infty} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV. \end{aligned}$$

For the first integral, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{T_1} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV \leq \int_{-\infty}^{T_1} e^{2kV} T dV = \frac{T}{2T_1} e^{2T_1 k}.$$

When $T \geq e^{e^{(10000k)^2}}$, $T \geq e^{e^{400}}$, so we have $10\sqrt{\log \log T} \leq \log \log T/2$, then

$$\frac{T}{2T_1} e^{2T_1 k} \leq T e^{\log \log T k} = T (\log T)^k.$$

For the second integral, by Theorem 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV &\leq b_1 \int_{T_1}^{T_2} e^{2kV} T \frac{V}{\sqrt{\log \log T}} \exp \left(-\frac{V^2}{\log \log T} \left(1 - \frac{4}{\log_3 T} \right) \right) dV \\ &= \frac{b_1 T \sqrt{\log \log T} \log_3 T}{2 \log T \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{\log_3 T}} (\log_3 T - 4)} \\ &\quad \left(-k\sqrt{\pi} \text{erf} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\log \log T} (4 + (k-1) \log_3 T)}{\sqrt{\log_3 T - 4} \sqrt{\log_3 T}} \right) (\log T)^{1 + \frac{k^2 \log_3 T}{\log_3 T - 4}} \sqrt{\log \log T} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + k\sqrt{\pi} \text{erf} \left(\frac{40 + (k\sqrt{\log \log T} - 10) \log_3 T}{\sqrt{\log_3 T - 4} \sqrt{\log_3 T}} \right) (\log T)^{1 + \frac{k^2 \log_3 T}{\log_3 T - 4}} \sqrt{\log \log T} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\exp \left(20k\sqrt{\log \log T} - 100 + \frac{400}{\log_3 T} \right) \log T - e^{100} (\log T)^{2k + \frac{4}{\log_3 T}} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{\log_3 T}} \right) \\ &\leq b_1 T \sqrt{\log \log T} \left(k\sqrt{\pi} (\log T)^{\frac{k^2 \log_3 T}{\log_3 T - 4}} \sqrt{\log \log T} + e^{20k\sqrt{\log \log T}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the above inequality, the function erf is the error function defined by

$$\text{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt.$$

which is bounded by -1 and 1 .

When $T \geq e^{e^{(10000k)^2}}$, $\log_3 T > 5$, hence $\frac{4k^2}{\log_3 T - 4} < \frac{64k^2}{2}$, $\log \log T < (\log T)^{64k^2/2}$, and $20\sqrt{\log \log T} \leq \log \log T$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV &\leq b_1 k \sqrt{\pi} T (\log T)^{65k^2} + b_1 T \sqrt{\log \log T} (\log T)^k \\ &\leq 2b_1 k \sqrt{\pi} T (\log T)^{65k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the third integral, since on $[T_2, T_3]$, $V \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \log T \log_3 T$, so $\frac{7V}{4 \log \log T \log_3 T} \leq \frac{7}{8}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{T_2}^{T_3} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV &\leq b_2 \int_{T_2}^{T_3} e^{2kV} T \frac{V}{\sqrt{\log \log T}} \exp\left(-\frac{V^2}{64 \log \log T}\right) dV \\
&= 32b_2 T \sqrt{\log \log T} \left((\log T)^{-\frac{1}{64}+2k} - (\log T)^{k \log_3 T - \frac{1}{256}(\log_3 T)^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 8k\sqrt{\pi} \left(-\text{erf}\left(\frac{1}{8}(1-64k)\sqrt{\log \log T}\right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \text{erf}\left(\frac{1}{16}\sqrt{\log \log T}(-128k + \log_3 T)\right) \right) \right) \\
&\quad (\log T)^{64k^2} \sqrt{\log \log T} \\
&\leq 256b_2 k \sqrt{\pi} T \log \log T (\log T)^{64k^2} \\
&\leq 256b_2 k \sqrt{\pi} T (\log T)^{65k^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the fourth integral, by Theorem 2.6, we have

$$\int_{T_3}^{\infty} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV \leq b_3 \int_{T_3}^{\infty} e^{2kV} T V^{-V/33} dV.$$

When $V \leq e^{33(2k+1)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{T_3}^{e^{33(2k+1)}} e^{2kV} T V^{-V/33} &\leq \frac{b_3}{132k} T (\log \log T \log_3 T)^2 (\log T)^{k \log_3 T} \\
&\leq \frac{b_3}{132k} T (\log T)^{11k^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $V \geq e^{33(2k+1)}$, we have

$$e^{2kV} T V^{-V/33} \leq e^{2kV} T \left(e^{33(2k+1)} \right)^{-V/33} = T e^{-V},$$

hence we have

$$\int_{e^{33(2k+1)}}^{\infty} e^{2kV} \text{meas}(S(T, V)) dV < b_3 T.$$

Combining the above four results, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^{2k} dt \\
&\leq 2k \left(T (\log T)^k + 2b_1 k \sqrt{\pi} T (\log T)^{65k^2} + 256b_2 k \sqrt{\pi} T (\log T)^{65k^2} + \frac{b_3}{132k} T (\log T)^{11k^2} + b_3 T \right) \\
&\leq (2 + 2b_1 \sqrt{\pi} + 512b_2 \sqrt{\pi} + 3b_3) k^2 T (\log T)^{65k^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$D_3(k) \leq \left(2 + b_1 \frac{8}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\pi} + 512b_2 \sqrt{\pi} + 3b_3 \right) k^2 = O(k^2). \quad (3)$$

3. PROOFS OF THE LEMMAS

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Denote $G_{(i, \mathcal{I})}(t)$ as $F_i(t)$, then by the definition of the set \mathcal{T} , we know that $|\Re F_i(t)| \leq \beta_i^{-c_3}$ for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$. Then

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \exp\left(2k \Re \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}}} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\mathcal{I}} \log T} + it}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}}/p)}{\log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}})}\right) dt = \int_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \exp(2k \Re F_i(t)) dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \left(1 + O\left(e^{-100k\beta_i^{-c_3}}\right)\right) \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq 100k\beta_i^{-c_3}} \frac{k\Re F_i(t)^j}{j!}\right)^2 dt \\
&\leq \left(1 + O\left(e^{-100k\beta_i^{-c_3}}\right)\right) \int_T^{2T} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq 100k\beta_i^{-c_3}} \frac{k\Re F_i(t)^j}{j!}\right)^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

For all $1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{I}$ and $T \leq t \leq 2T$, we have

$$\Re F_i(t) = \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{\cos(t \log p) \log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}}/p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\mathcal{I}} \log T}} \log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}})},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_T^{2T} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq 100k\beta_i^{-c_3}} \frac{k\Re F_i(t)^j}{j!}\right)^2 dt \\
&= \sum_{\vec{j}, \vec{l}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \frac{k^{j_i} k^{l_i}}{j_i! l_i!} \sum_{\vec{p}, \vec{q}} C(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) \int_T^{2T} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i, \\ 1 \leq s \leq l_i}} \cos(t \log p(i, r)) \cos(t \log q(i, s)) dt
\end{aligned}$$

where the outer sum is over vectors $\vec{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{\mathcal{I}})$ and $\vec{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_{\mathcal{I}})$, whose entries are between 0 and $100k\beta_i^{-\frac{3}{4}}$, and the inner sum is over vectors $\vec{p} = (p(1, 1), p(1, 2), \dots, p(1, j_1), \dots, p(\mathcal{I}, j_{\mathcal{I}}))$, $\vec{q} = (q(1, 1), \dots, q(\mathcal{I}, l_{\mathcal{I}}))$, whose entries are primes, such that for all $1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{I}$,

$$T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p(i, 1), \dots, p(i, j_i), q(i, 1), \dots, q(i, l_i) \leq T^{\beta_i},$$

and

$$C(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) := \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i, \\ 1 \leq s \leq l_i}} \frac{1}{p(i, r)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\mathcal{I}} \log T}} \log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}}/p(i, r)) \log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}})} \frac{1}{q(i, s)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\mathcal{I}} \log T}} \log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}}/q(i, s)) \log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{I}}})}.$$

Then we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i, \\ 1 \leq s \leq l_i}} p(i, r) q(i, s) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} T^{\beta_i(j_i + l_i)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} T^{2\beta_{\mathcal{I}}^{1-c_4}}. \quad (4)$$

In the assumption, we have

$$\frac{k(c_1^{1-c_3})^2}{c_1^{1-c_3} - 1} e^{-c_2 k(1-c_3)} < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Then $2\beta_{\mathcal{I}}^{1-c_4} < \frac{1}{2}$. Let $\delta = \frac{1}{2} - 2\beta_{\mathcal{I}}^{1-c_4}$, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i, \\ 1 \leq s \leq l_i}} p(i, r) q(i, s) \leq T^{\frac{1}{2} - \delta}$$

For $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$, define $f(n)$ as: if any of α_i is odd, then $f(n) = 0$, and otherwise

$$f(n) := \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2^{\alpha_i}} \frac{\alpha_i!}{(\alpha_i/2)!^2}.$$

Note that f is a multiplicative, non-negative function that is supported on squares. Then we have

$$\int_T^{2T} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i, \\ 1 \leq s \leq l_i}} \cos(t \log p(i, r)) \cos(t \log q(i, s)) dt = Tf \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i, \\ 1 \leq s \leq l_i}} p(i, r) q(i, s) \right) + O(T^{0.1}).$$

Define

$$D(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) := \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i, \\ 1 \leq s \leq l_i}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p(i, r)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q(i, s)}}$$

then $C(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \leq D(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$. Hence

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \exp(2k \Re F_i(t)) dt \ll T \sum_{\bar{j}, \bar{l}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \frac{k^{j_i} k^{l_i}}{j_i! l_i!} \sum_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}} D(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) f \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i, \\ 1 \leq s \leq l_i}} p(i, r) q(i, s) \right) \quad (5)$$

$$+ T^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \sum_{\bar{j}, \bar{l}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \frac{k^{j_i} k^{l_i}}{j_i! l_i!} \sum_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}} D(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \quad (6)$$

By counting squares, we can show that

$$T \sum_{\bar{j}, \bar{l}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \frac{k^{j_i} k^{l_i}}{j_i! l_i!} \sum_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}} D(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) f \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i, \\ 1 \leq s \leq l_i}} p(i, r) q(i, s) \right) \leq T (\log T)^{k^2},$$

and

$$T^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \sum_{\bar{j}, \bar{l}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \frac{k^{j_i} k^{l_i}}{j_i! l_i!} \sum_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}} D(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \leq T^{1-2\delta} e^{2k\mathcal{I}},$$

which is smaller than $T (\log T)^{k^2}$. Lemma 2.2 is then proved. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.3. The idea of proving Lemma 2.3 is similar to that of Lemma 2.2, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \exp \left(2k \Re \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + it}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log(T^{\beta_j})} \right) dt \\ & \ll (\mathcal{I} - j) \exp \left(k^2 \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{\beta_{j+1}^{1/2}}{c_1} \sum_{T^{\beta_j} < p \leq T^{\beta_{j+1}}} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{2/c_1 \beta_{j+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

When $j = 0$, the left-hand-side is the measure of $\mathcal{S}(0)$, so

$$\text{meas}(\mathcal{S}(0)) \ll T e^{-2(\log \log T)^2 / c_1},$$

and when $1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{I} - 1$, since

$$\mathcal{I} - j \leq \frac{\log(1/\beta_j)}{\log c_1}, \quad \sum_{T^{\beta_j} < p \leq T^{\beta_{j+1}}} \frac{1}{p} = \log c_1 + o(1) \leq \frac{c_1}{2}, \quad (7)$$

so

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \exp \left(2k \Re \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + it}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log(T^{\beta_j})} \right) dt \ll e^{-\beta_{j+1}^{-1} \log(1/\beta_{j+1})/c_4} T (\log T)^{k^2}.$$

where

$$c_4 = \frac{c_1}{4c_3 - 2} + 1$$

For simplicity, denote $b = c_1 \left(\frac{c_1}{4c_3 - 2} + 1 \right)$, then from the assumption, we have for all $1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{I} - 1$, $\frac{c_2}{b} > 2$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2k/\beta_j} e^{-\beta_{j+1}^{-1} \log(1/\beta_{j+1})/c_4} & \leq \exp(2k - \log(1/\beta_{j+1}) / (b\beta_j)) \\ & \leq \exp \left(\left(2 - \frac{c_2}{b} \right) / \beta_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

since $2 - \frac{c_2}{b} < 0$, so the sum of the above value for all $1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{I} - 1$ is bounded by an absolute constant. \square

4. OPTIMIZATION

By the previous discussion, among all the parameters, only c_1, c_2, c_3 affect the implicit constant, while c_4 can be written as an expression in terms of c_1, c_2, c_3 . In order to simplify the calculation, we set

$$a = c_1^{1-c_4}, \quad b = c_1 \left(\frac{c_1}{4c_3 - 2} + 1 \right),$$

and they need to satisfy the following relations:

$$\frac{c_2}{b} > 2,$$

$$\frac{ka^2}{a-1} e^{-ak(1-c_4)} < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Since $C(k) = O\left(e^{e^{c_2 k}}\right)$, so the objective is to make c_2 as small as possible, which, by $c_2/b > 2$, requires b is as small as possible too. Consider c_1 and c_3 as two independent variables, and then b is a function with respect to c_1 and c_3 . There is no obvious way to determine the minimum point directly, but we can find the numerical minimum by exhibiting a table of values of b with different choices of c_1 and c_3 .

By the general setting, in order to make (β_j) an increasing sequence, $c_1 > 1$. In order to make c_4 positive, $4c_3 - 2 > 0$, hence $c_3 > \frac{1}{2}$. Also from the assumption we have $c_3 < 1$. First only consider the case $c_1 < 20$. Using mathematica, the minimum of b in this case is reached when $c_1 = 1.38$ and $c_3 = 0.56$. If $c_1 \geq 20$, then

$$b = c_1 \left(\frac{c_1}{4c_3 - 2} + 1 \right) \geq c_1 \left(\frac{c_1}{2} + 1 \right) \geq 220.$$

Clearly the minimum of b cannot be reached when $c_1 \geq 20$.

So, the minimum of b is indeed reached when $c_1 \approx 1.38$ and $c_3 \approx 0.56$, which gives the value of c_2 as 18.63. This shows that the minimum of the implicit constant is $e^{e^{18.63k}}$. Hence Theorem 1.1 is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Harper, *Sharp conditional bounds for moments of the Riemann zeta functions*, preprint. Arxiv.org/abs/1305.4618v1.
- [2] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, *Contributions to the theory of the Riemann zeta-function and the theory of the distribution of primes*, Acta Math. 41 (1918), 119–196.
- [3] A. E. Ingham, *Mean-value theorems in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 27 (1926), 273–300.
- [4] J. P. Keating and N. C. Snaith, *Random matrix theory and $\zeta(1/2 + it)$* , Comm. Math. Phys. 214 (2000), 57–89.
- [5] M. Radziwiłł, K. Soundararajan, *Continuous lower bounds for moments of zeta and L-functions*, Mathematika 59 (2013), 119–128.
- [6] W. Heap, K. Soundararajan, *Lower bounds for moments of zeta and L-functions revisited*, preprint. Arxiv <http://arxiv.org/abs/2007.13154>.
- [7] K. Soundararajan, *Moments of the Riemann zeta-function*, Ann. of Math. (2) 170 (2009) 981 - 993.
- [8] Winston Heap, Maksym Radziwiłł, K Soundararajan, *Sharp upper bounds for fractional moments of the Riemann zeta function*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Volume 70, Issue 4, December 2019, Pages 1387–1396.
- [9] C. David, A. Florea, and M. Lalin, *Nonvanishing for cubic L-functions*, Forum Math. Sigma 9 (2021), Paper No. e69, 58pp.