

ON GEOMETRICALLY C_1 FIELDS

KONSTANTINOS KARTAS

ABSTRACT. A field k is called geometrically C_1 if every smooth projective separably rationally connected k -variety has a k -rational point. Given a henselian valued field of equal characteristic 0 with divisible value group, we show that the property of being geometrically C_1 lifts from the residue field to the valued field. We also prove that algebraically maximal valued fields with divisible value group and finite residue field are geometrically C_1 . In particular, any maximal totally ramified extension of a local field is geometrically C_1 .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Ultralimits of RC varieties	5
3. Variations on the C_1 property	8
4. Adic spaces	14
5. Transfer theorems	18
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

A field k is called C_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) if every non-constant homogeneous polynomial $f(X_0, \dots, X_n) \in k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ of degree d with $d^i \leq n$ has a non-trivial zero over k . In geometric terms, we require that every hypersurface $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$ of degree d satisfying $d^i \leq n$ has a k -rational point. Throughout the paper, we focus on C_1 fields, sometimes also referred to as quasi-algebraically closed. It has been observed that C_1 fields tend to have rational points in many more varieties than just hypersurfaces $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$ of degree $d \leq n$, especially varieties that are in some sense close to being rational. These include geometrically rational varieties, geometrically unirational varieties and more generally varieties which contain lots of rational curves, the so-called *rationally connected varieties* (see IV, §3 [Kol13]):

During this research, the author received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 101034255 and was also supported by the program GeoMod ANR-19-CE40-0022-01 (ANR-DFG).

Definition 1.0.1. A k -variety X is called rationally connected (resp. separably rationally connected) if there is a k -variety B and a morphism $F : B \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ such that the induced morphism

$$B \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X \times X : (b, t, t') \mapsto (F(b, t), F(b, t'))$$

is dominant (resp. dominant and separable).

In other words, there is an algebraic family of proper rational curves such that for almost any $(x, x') \in X \times X$, there is a curve in the family joining x and x' .

Definition 1.0.2 (Kollár). A field k is called *geometrically C_1* if every smooth projective separably rationally connected k -variety has a k -rational point.

In §3, we study systematically geometrically C_1 fields as well as other variants of C_1 fields. Each of these variants forms an elementary class which is $\forall\exists$ -axiomatizable in the language of rings, similar to C_1 fields. This fact is used extensively throughout the paper. Geometrically C_1 fields of characteristic 0 are C_1 by a theorem of Hogadi-Xu [HX09]. Conversely, the Lang-Manin conjecture (or C_1 -conjecture) predicts that every C_1 field is geometrically C_1 . It has been verified for several C_1 fields, see [Esn07, GHS03, dJS03]. We refer the reader to a recent survey article of Esnault [Esn23] on this problem.

We propose the following transfer principle which says that, for certain valued fields, the property of being “geometrically C_1 ” lifts from the residue field to the valued field (and vice versa):

Conjecture 1. *Let (K, v) be an algebraically maximal valued field with divisible value group and perfect residue field k . Then:*

$$K \text{ is geometrically } C_1 \iff k \text{ is geometrically } C_1$$

In this paper, which is the first in a series, we provide some evidence for such a statement. It is also an interesting question whether a similar transfer principle holds for C_1 (or even C_i). We note that, by the main result of [JK23], such statements imply analogous transfer principles between a perfectoid field and its tilt. This is the original motivation for our work and will be the subject of the sequel.

1.1. Main results. The situation is rather clear in equal characteristic 0:

Theorem 1.1.1. *Let (K, v) be a henselian valued field of equal characteristic 0 with divisible value group and residue field k . Then:*

$$K \text{ is geometrically } C_1 \iff k \text{ is geometrically } C_1$$

For example, if k is a geometrically C_1 field of characteristic 0, then the Puiseux series field $K = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} k((t^{1/n}))$ is geometrically C_1 . We stress however that Theorem 1.1.1 is also valid for valued fields of arbitrary (possibly infinite) rank.

In mixed and positive characteristics, we were only able to verify such a transfer principle for specific geometrically C_1 residue fields k . In this paper, we deal with the case where k is a finite field (or algebraic over a finite field):

Theorem 1.1.2. *Let (K, v) be an algebraically maximal valued field with divisible value group. Suppose that k is algebraic over a finite field. Then K is geometrically C_1 and also C_1 .*

We present two applications. Even the fact that the fields below are C_1 appears to be a new observation.

Corollary 1.1.3. *Any maximal totally ramified extension of a non-archimedean local field is geometrically C_1 and also C_1 .*

This is, in a way, complementary to Lang’s theorem [Lan52] which says that the maximal unramified extension of any non-archimedean local field is C_1 . We note that it is a prominent open problem whether \mathbb{Q}_p^{ur} is geometrically C_1 ; see [ELW15, DK17, Pie22] for partial results in this direction.

I was later informed by O. Wittenberg that he knew how to prove a weaker form of Corollary 1.1.3 by using de Jong’s alterations as in [Wit15]. Namely, he was able to show that every smooth projective rationally connected variety over a local field has a rational point in a finite totally ramified extension. In contrast, Corollary 1.1.3 implies the existence of a rational point in a finite totally ramified extension which is contained within an a priori chosen maximal totally ramified extension.

Our second application is the following:

Corollary 1.1.4. *Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field and Γ be a divisible ordered abelian group. Then the Hahn series field $\mathbb{F}_q((t^\Gamma))$ is geometrically C_1 and also C_1 .*

One cannot replace Hahn series with Puiseux series in the above statement—it is crucial to work with algebraically maximal rather than henselian fields when the residue characteristic is positive. This is somewhat reminiscent of the fact that the field of Puiseux series over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic fails to be algebraically closed due to the presence of Artin-Schreier extensions.

1.2. Elements in the proofs. The main steps in the proofs of Theorems 1.1.1 and 1.1.2 are as follows:

- (1) We first prove that, for certain valued fields (K, v) , given a K -variety X , we have $X(K) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$ for each \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathcal{X} of X . This reduces our task of showing that K is geometrically C_1 to the task of finding k -points on degenerations of rationally connected varieties.
- (2) It is a general principle that geometrically C_1 fields also tend to have rational points in degenerations of rationally connected varieties. This is true both for fields of characteristic 0 and for finite fields, which are the residue fields under consideration here.

- (3) From the above, it follows that K is geometrically C_1 . In case K has positive characteristic, some extra work is needed to show that K is C_1 .

We elaborate more on these steps below.

1.2.1. *Rational points over tame fields with divisible value group.*

Theorem 1.2.2. *Let (K, v) be an algebraically maximal valued field with divisible value group of rank 1 and perfect residue field k . Let X be a proper K -variety. Suppose that $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$, for each \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathcal{X} of X . Then $X(K) \neq \emptyset$.*

Note that this statement is clear in favorable situations, e.g., if some \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathcal{X} is smooth. We would then get that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$ by Hensel's Lemma. Unfortunately, in mixed and positive characteristics, we cannot really control the singularities of \mathcal{O}_K -schemes—except in very small dimensions. Nevertheless, there are certain results in the model theory of valued fields which are known in arbitrary characteristic and can be utilized in this situation. Proposition 1.2.2 is essentially a geometric version of an Ax-Kochen/Ershov principle for existential closedness for tame fields due to F.-V. Kuhlmann [Kuh16]. In order to deduce the above geometric version from Kuhlmann's result, we first need to shift from \mathcal{O}_K -models to valuations: The \mathcal{O}_K -models of X form an inverse system and the inverse limit—more precisely, the inverse limit of their formal completions—is isomorphic to a space of valuations on X , namely the adic space X^{ad} . By a compactness argument, this inverse limit admits a k^* -point, for any sufficiently saturated elementary extension k^* of k . This yields a scheme-theoretic point $\xi \in X$ and a valuation v_ξ on $\kappa(\xi)$ whose residue field k' embeds in k^* over k . In particular, we get that k is existentially closed in k' . Since the value group of K is divisible, it is existentially closed in the one of v_ξ , even though the latter will typically have higher rank. By Kuhlmann's Ax-Kochen/Ershov principle for existential closedness, one gets that $K \preceq_{\exists} \kappa(\xi)$. In particular, $X(K) \neq \emptyset$. We spell out this argument in more detail in §4.

1.2.3. *Degenerations of rationally connected varieties.* Over a field of characteristic 0, Hogadi-Xu [HX09] showed that a degeneration of a rationally connected variety always contains a rationally connected subvariety. It is not hard then to deduce our transfer principle in equal characteristic 0 from Theorem 1.2.2. Alternatively, at least in the case $K = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} k((t^{1/n}))$, one can use the existence of semistable models after ramified base change. We refer the reader to §5.2.

In mixed and positive characteristics, it is not known if degenerations of (separably) rationally connected varieties always contain a (separably) rationally connected subvariety, although there are statements in the literature predicting such phenomena (see Suggestions 7.9 [CT10]). Nevertheless, for several fields which were previously known to be geometrically C_1 , it has been shown that they also admit rational points in degenerations of separably rationally connected varieties.

Starr [Sta17] calls such fields *RC solving*. For instance, finite fields are RC solving by a theorem of Esnault [Esn07] and Esnault-Xu [EX09]. It is not difficult now to deduce Theorem 1.1.2. We first reduce to the case where \mathcal{O}_K is a direct limit of DVRs (in particular rank 1), using results of Kuhlmann. Then, we conclude using Theorem 1.2.2.

1.2.4. *From geometrically C_1 to C_1 .* If K is geometrically C_1 of characteristic 0, then it is also C_1 by Hogadi-Xu [HX09]. In positive characteristic, it is not known in general if geometrically C_1 fields are C_1 . Fortunately, there is a trick to prove this for fields K as in Theorem 1.1.2. First, note that we can deform any hypersurface $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^n$ of degree $d \leq n$ to a general hypersurface Y of the same degree over $K((t))$. Then Y is separably rationally connected by a result of Zhu [Zhu24]. Now the key observation is that $L = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K((t^{1/n}))$ is geometrically C_1 since it is an elementary extension of K by yet another application of Kuhlmann's theory. It follows that Y has an L -point. This, in turn, specializes to a K -point of X by the valuative criterion of properness. We conclude that K is C_1 .

2. ULTRALIMITS OF RC VARIETIES

We will need a fact due to Duesler-Knecht, which roughly says that (separable) rational connectedness behaves well with ultralimits. This statement appears in the first arXiv version [DK09] but not in the published version [DK17]. We present a slightly stronger version and recall some useful terminology.

2.1. **Varieties over ultraproducts.** By convention, a *variety* over a field k is a reduced, separated k -scheme of finite type.

Let I be an index set, U be an ultrafilter on I and $k = \prod_{i \in I} k_i / U$ be an ultraproduct of fields.

Notation 2.1.1 (§2 [DK17]). Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$ be a closed subscheme with vanishing homogeneous ideal $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ where each $f_j(X_0, \dots, X_n) \in k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ is a homogeneous polynomial. For each $i \in I$, let $f_k^{(i)}(X_0, \dots, X_n) \in k_i[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ be such that $\text{ulim } f_k^{(i)} = f_k$ and let $X_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{k_i}^n$ be the closed subscheme defined by $I^{(i)}$. We write $\text{ulim } X_i = X$ and call X the ultralimit of the X_i .

A similar definition works also for quasi-projective k -schemes. We introduce an analogous notation for morphisms:

Notation 2.1.2. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^m$ be projective schemes and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. This gives rise to a (non-unique) sequence $(f_i)_{i \in I}$, where $f_i : \mathbb{P}_{k_i}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k_i}^m$ is a morphism and $f_i(X_i) \subseteq Y_i$ for almost all i . We write $f = \text{ulim } f_i$.

A typical phenomenon with ultralimits is that a given geometric property holds for X if and only if it holds for X_i for *almost all* $i \in I$ (in the sense of the ultrafilter):

Lemma 2.1.3. Let I be an index set, U be an ultrafilter on I and $k = \prod_{i \in I} k_i / U$. Let X be a quasi-projective k -scheme and suppose that $X = \text{ulim } X_i$. Then:

- (i) X is reduced (resp. irreducible) if and only if X_i is reduced (resp. irreducible) for almost all $i \in I$.
- (ii) X is smooth if and only if X_i is smooth for almost all $i \in I$.

Proof. For part (i), see §2.4.10 [Sch10]. Part (ii) follows from the Jacobian criterion for smoothness and Loś' theorem. \square

Similarly, if $f = \text{ulim } f_i$, then typically a given geometric property will hold for f if and only if it holds for f_i for *almost all* $i \in I$:

Lemma 2.1.4. Let I be an index set, U be an ultrafilter on I and $k = \prod_{i \in I} k_i / U$. Let X and Y be quasi-projective k -schemes and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. Let $X = \text{ulim } X_i$ and $Y = \text{ulim } Y_i$ and $f = \text{ulim } f_i$. Then:

- (i) f is dominant if and only if f_i is dominant for almost all $i \in I$.
- (ii) Let K_i be a field extension of k_i and $x_i \in X(K_i)$. Let $K = \prod_{i \in I} K_i / U$ and $x = \text{ulim } x_i \in X(K)$. Then f is smooth at x if and only if f_i is smooth at x_i for almost all $i \in I$.
- (iii) Assume that X and Y are integral k -varieties and f is dominant. Then f is separable if and only if f_i is separable for almost all $i \in I$.

Proof. (i) This is essentially a corollary of Johnson's uniform definability of Zariski closures in ACF (see §10 [Joh16]). Working in ACF, there is a definable family X_z (resp. Y_z) of subsets of \mathbb{P}^n (resp. \mathbb{P}^m), a definable family of maps $F_z : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ and parameters $a_i \in k_i^r$ and $a \in k^r$ such that $X_a = X$ and $X_{a_i} = X_i$ (resp. $Y_a = Y$ and $Y_{a_i} = Y_i$) and $F_a = f$ (resp. $F_{a_i} = f_i$). Strictly speaking, an "equality" such as $X_a = X$ should be interpreted as saying that $X_a(K) = X(K)$ for any algebraically closed field K containing k (similarly for the other equalities). Note that $F_z(X_z)$ is a definable family of subsets of \mathbb{P}^m . The same is true for the family of Zariski closures $\overline{F_z(X_z)}$ by Corollary 10.1.6 [Joh16]. Therefore, the set

$$D = \{z : \overline{F_z(X_z)} = Y_z\}$$

is definable. By Loś' theorem, we get that $a \in D$ if and only if $a_i \in D$ for almost all $i \in I$. We conclude that f is dominant if and only if f_i is dominant for almost all $i \in I$.

(ii) We use the infinitesimal lifting criterion for smoothness (see Lemma 02H6 [Sta]). Suppose that $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ is smooth for almost all i . Suppose we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(K) & \xrightarrow{x} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec}(K[t]/t^2) & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

For almost all i , this gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(K_i) & \xrightarrow{x_i} & X_i \\ \downarrow & \searrow^{\exists P_i} & \downarrow f_i \\ \mathrm{Spec}(K_i[t]/t^2) & \xrightarrow{g_i} & Y_i \end{array}$$

Since f_i is smooth at x_i , there is $P_i : \mathrm{Spec}(K_i[t]/t^2) \rightarrow X_i$ lifting g_i as above. Now $P = \mathrm{ulim} P_i$ gives a well-defined morphism $P : \mathrm{Spec}(K[t]/t^2) \rightarrow X$ lifting g .

Conversely, suppose that f is smooth at x and that f_i is not smooth at x_i for almost all i . Then there exists $g_i : \mathrm{Spec}(K_i[t]/t^2) \rightarrow Y_i$ which does not lift to X_i . Note that $g = \mathrm{ulim} g_i$ gives a well-defined morphism. Since f is smooth, we get that g lifts to $P : \mathrm{Spec}(K[t]/t^2) \rightarrow X$. Write $P = \mathrm{ulim} P_i$ and note that P_i gives a lift of g_i for almost all i , a contradiction.

(iii) For almost all i , X_i and Y_i are integral varieties by Lemma 2.1.3(i) and f_i is dominant for almost all i by (i). Recall that a dominant morphism of integral varieties is separable if and only if it is generically smooth. For almost all i , let $K_i = k_i(X_i)$ and $K = \prod_{i \in I} K_i/U$. Let $x_i \in X_i(K_i)$ be the generic point of X_i . We claim that $x = \mathrm{ulim} x_i \in X(K)$ is above the generic point of X . Indeed, let $U \subseteq X$ is any nonempty Zariski open subset. We can write $U = \mathrm{ulim} U_i$, where $U_i \subseteq X_i$ is a Zariski open subset which is nonempty for almost all i . Since $x_i \in U_i(K_i)$, we get that $x \in U(K)$. It follows that x is above the generic point of X . By (ii), we have that f is smooth at x if and only if f_i is smooth at x_i for almost all i . The conclusion follows. \square

2.2. Rational connectedness and ultralimits. Part (i) below is stated in Proposition 17 [DK09] only in the smooth projective case. Moreover, while it is not explicitly stated in Proposition 17 *ibid*, it is assumed in the proof that almost all the X_i have the same Hilbert polynomial. Part (ii) is as in [DK09] and is only stated for completeness, it will not be used anywhere in the paper.

Proposition 2.2.1 (cf. Proposition 17 [DK09]). *Let I be an index set, U be an ultrafilter on I and k_i be a field for each $i \in I$. Let $k = \prod_{i \in I} k_i/U$ and X be a quasi-projective separably rationally connected k -variety. Let $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ be a sequence of k_i -schemes with $\mathrm{ulim} X_i = X$. Then:*

- (i) *If X is separably rationally connected, then X_i is separably rationally connected for almost all i .*
- (ii) *If almost all X_i have the same Hilbert polynomial and are smooth projective and separably rationally connected, then the same is true for X .*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.1.3, X_i is a variety for almost all $i \in I$. By assumption, there exists a k -variety B and a map $F : B \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ such that

$$G : B \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X \times X : (b, t, t') \mapsto (F(b, t), F(b, t'))$$

is dominant and separable. After replacing B with an open subscheme, if needed, we can assume that B is a quasi-projective variety. Write $B = \text{ulim } B_i$ and $F = \text{ulim } F_i$. Then, we have $G = \text{ulim } G_i$, where

$$G_i : B_i \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X_i \times X_i : (b, t, t') \mapsto (F_i(b, t), F_i(b, t'))$$

is well-defined for almost all $i \in I$. By Lemma 2.1.4, we get that G_i is dominant and separable for almost all i . We conclude that X_i is separably rationally connected for almost all $i \in I$.

(ii) In Proposition 17 [DK09], Duesler-Knecht prove that X is separably rationally connected by showing the existence of a very free rational curve on X . Alternatively, one can use the argument in the proof of Theorem 11 [DK17]. Although the authors assume characteristic 0, their argument ultimately relies on IV, Theorem 3.11 [Kol13] which is valid for separable rational connectedness and in arbitrary characteristic. \square

3. VARIATIONS ON THE C_1 PROPERTY

A class \mathcal{C} of L -structures is called an *elementary class* if it is the class of models of some L -theory T . The class of C_1 fields is clearly an elementary class which is $\forall\exists$ -axiomatizable in L_{rings} . We prove that the same is true for the classes of geometrically C_1 fields and RC solving fields.

3.1. Geometrically C_1 fields. Following a suggestion of Kollár, Hogadi-Xu define geometrically C_1 fields in characteristic 0 (see Definition 1.4 [HX09]). Their definition extends naturally in arbitrary characteristic:

Definition 3.1.1. A field k is called *geometrically C_1* if every smooth projective separably rationally connected k -variety has a k -rational point.

Remark 3.1.2. (i) Hogadi-Xu use proper varieties rather than projective varieties but this does not make a difference in view of Chow's lemma.

(ii) If k is geometrically C_1 and large of characteristic 0, then every rationally connected k -variety (not necessarily smooth or proper) has a k -point (see Lemma 3.2 [Pie22]).

Lemma 3.1.3. Let I be an index set and U be an ultrafilter on I .

- (i) Let k_i be a field which is geometrically C_1 , for almost all $i \in I$. Then $\prod_{i \in I} k_i / U$ is geometrically C_1 .
- (ii) Let k be a field. Then, k is geometrically C_1 if and only if k_U is geometrically C_1 .
- (iii) Suppose that $k \equiv l$. Then k is geometrically C_1 if and only if l is geometrically C_1 .

Proof. (i) Let $k = \prod_{i \in I} k_i / U$ and $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$ be a smooth projective separably rationally connected k -variety. Let $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ be a sequence of projective k_i -schemes

with $\text{ulim } X_i = X$. By Lemma 2.1.3 and Proposition 2.2.1, for almost all i , the scheme X_i is a smooth projective separably rationally connected k_i -variety. By assumption, we get that $X_i(k_i) \neq \emptyset$ for almost all i . By Łoś, it follows that $X(k) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) The “only if” follows from (i). For the converse, let X be a smooth projective separably rationally connected k -variety. By assumption, we get that $X(k_U) \neq \emptyset$. Since $k \preceq_{\exists} k_U$ in the language of rings, it follows that $X(k) \neq \emptyset$.

(iii) By the Keisler-Shelah theorem, we have $k_U \cong l_U$ for some ultrafilter U . We conclude from (ii). \square

- Lemma 3.1.4.** (i) Let I be a directed system and k_i be a geometrically C_1 field for each $i \in I$. Then $\varinjlim k_i$ is geometrically C_1 .
- (ii) Let k be a geometrically C_1 field and l/k be a separable algebraic extension. Then l is geometrically C_1 .
- (iii) Let (K, v) be a valued field of equal characteristic with residue field k . If K is geometrically C_1 , then k is geometrically C_1 .

Proof. (i) Let X be a smooth projective separably rationally connected k -variety. Then there is $i \in I$ and a k_i -scheme X_i such that $X = X_i \times_{k_i} k$. Moreover, X_i is a smooth projective separably rationally connected k_i -variety. By assumption, we have $X_i(k_i) \neq \emptyset$, hence $X(k) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) By part (i), it suffices to prove the statement when l/k is a finite separable extension. Let X be a smooth projective separably rationally connected l -variety. Then, the Weil restriction $\text{Res}_{l/k}(X)$ is a smooth, projective separably rationally connected k -variety. Indeed, the base change $\text{Res}_{l/k}(X) \times_k l$ is a finite product of copies of X and, as such, it is smooth, projective and separably rationally connected (cf. §3.2 [GHS03]). By assumption, we have that $\text{Res}_{l/k}(X)(k) \neq \emptyset$, hence $X(l) \neq \emptyset$.

(iii) By part (ii), the henselization K^h of K is also geometrically C_1 , so we can assume that K is henselian. Now let X be a smooth projective separably rationally connected k -variety. Let $k_0 \subseteq k$ be the field of definition of X , which is finitely generated over its prime subfield. Then, there exists a lift $\iota : k_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$, namely a ring homomorphism such that $\text{res}(\iota(x)) = x$ for all $x \in k_0$. One proves the existence of such a map by first lifting a separable transcendence basis of k_0 over its prime subfield and then applying Hensel’s Lemma (cf. §2.4 [vdD12]). Using ι , we obtain a flat, projective \mathcal{O}_K -scheme \mathcal{X} with special fiber X , namely the trivial deformation over \mathcal{O}_K . The generic fiber is equal to $X \times_{k_0} K$ and hence is a smooth, projective separably rationally connected K -variety. Since K is geometrically C_1 , there exists $P_K \in \mathcal{X}(K)$. Since \mathcal{X} is proper, this extends to an integral point $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. By reduction modulo \mathfrak{m} , we get that $P_k \in X(k)$. \square

Proposition 3.1.5. *The class of geometrically C_1 fields is elementary in L_{rings} . Moreover, it is $\forall\exists$ -axiomatizable.*

Proof. The first part follows from Lemma 3.1.3(i), (iii) and Theorem 4.1.12 [CK90]. The moreover part follows from Lemma 3.1.4(i) and Corollary 3.1.9 [TZ12]. \square

3.2. RC solving fields. Following Starr §3 [Sta17], we also consider fields which admit rational points on *degenerations* of rationally connected varieties. In order to make some of the proofs work, Starr needs to assume that the base DVR of the degeneration is a *prime regular* DVR (defined below). This assumption is only needed for mixed characteristic DVRs.

Definition 3.2.1. A DVR $(\Lambda, \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)$ is called *prime finite* if the residue field $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda$ is a finite extension of its prime subfield.

Definition 3.2.2. Let $\phi : (\Lambda, \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda) \rightarrow (R, \mathfrak{m}_R)$ be a morphism of DVRs. We say that ϕ is *regular* if the following conditions hold:

- (i) We have $\mathfrak{m}_R = \phi(\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)R$, i.e., ϕ is weakly unramified.
- (ii) The extension k/ℓ is separable, where $k = R/\mathfrak{m}_R$ and $\ell = \Lambda/\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda$.
- (iii) The extension K/L is separable, where $K = \text{Frac}(R)$ and $L = \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$.

Definition 3.2.3. A DVR (R, \mathfrak{m}_R) is called *prime regular* if there exists a prime finite DVR $(\Lambda, \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)$ and a regular morphism $\phi : (\Lambda, \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda) \rightarrow (R, \mathfrak{m}_R)$.

Definition 3.2.4. Let k be a field.

- (i) We say that k is *RC solving* if for every prime regular DVR (R, \mathfrak{m}_R) with $R/\mathfrak{m}_R \subseteq k$ and $K = \text{Frac}(R)$ and for every flat, projective R -scheme \mathcal{X} with \mathcal{X}_K smooth and separably rationally connected, we have that $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$.
- (ii) We say that k is *equal characteristic RC solving* if the above condition holds for DVRs of equal characteristic.

We note that the terminology “equal characteristic RC solving” is not used in [Sta17].

Lemma 3.2.5. Let k be an equal characteristic RC solving field. Then:

- (i) k is geometrically C_1 .
- (ii) k is C_1 .

Proof. (i) Let X be a smooth projective separably rationally connected k -variety and consider the trivial deformation $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ of X over $R = k[[t]]$. Since k is equal characteristic RC solving, we get that $\mathcal{X}_k(k) \neq \emptyset$ and hence $X(k) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$ be a hypersurface of degree $d \leq n$. Let M be the parameter space of hypersurfaces of degree $d \leq n$ in \mathbb{P}^n and $\mathcal{X}_M \rightarrow M$ be the universal family. Recall that M is a smooth \mathbb{Z} -scheme isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^N$ with $N = \binom{n+d-1}{n-1}$. Let $\zeta_k : \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow M$ be such that $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ is the pullback of $\mathcal{X}_M \rightarrow M$ via ζ_k . Since M is a smooth \mathbb{Z} -scheme, there is $\zeta : \text{Spec}(k[[t]]) \rightarrow M$ extending ζ_k and such that the image of the generic point lies in any specified Zariski open subset

of M . Therefore, there exists a $k[[t]]$ -scheme \mathcal{X} such that $\mathcal{X}_k = X$ and such that the generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_{k((t))}$ is a general hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_{k((t))}^n$ of degree $d \leq n$. Then, $\mathcal{X}_{k((t))}$ is separably rationally connected by [Zhu24]. Since k is equal characteristic RC solving, we conclude that $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Lemma 3.2.6 (Corollary 1.5 [HX09]). Any geometrically C_1 field of characteristic 0 is RC solving. In particular, it is C_1 .

Proof. Although Corollary 1.5 [HX09] refers only to the C_1 property, its proof directly generalizes: Let k be a geometrically C_1 field of characteristic 0. Let R be a DVR with residue field k and $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. Let \mathcal{X} be a flat, projective R -scheme \mathcal{X} with \mathcal{X}_K smooth and rationally connected. By Theorem 1.2 [HX09], there is a k -subvariety $Z \subseteq \mathcal{X}_k$ which is rationally connected. Then, Z has a k -rational point since its resolution Z' is smooth, projective and rationally connected and has a k -rational point by our assumption on k . It follows that $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$ and hence k is RC solving. \square

Corollary 3.2.7. *Let k be a field of characteristic 0. Then k is geometrically C_1 field if and only if k is RC solving.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.2.6 and Lemma 3.2.5. \square

Lemma 3.2.8. Let I be an index set and U be an ultrafilter on I .

- (i) Let k_i be a field which is RC solving, for almost all $i \in I$. Then $\prod_{i \in I} k_i / U$ is RC solving.
- (ii) Let k be a field. Then, k is RC solving if and only if k_U is RC solving.
- (iii) Suppose that $k \equiv l$. Then, k is RC solving if and only if l is RC solving.

Proof. (i) Set $k = \prod_{i \in I} k_i / U$ and let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a prime regular DVR with $R/\mathfrak{m} \subseteq k$ and $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. Let \mathcal{X} be a flat, projective R -scheme with \mathcal{X}_K smooth and separably rationally connected. Let $(\Lambda, \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)$ be a prime finite DVR such that $(\Lambda, \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda) \rightarrow (R, \mathfrak{m}_R)$ is regular. By Lemma 3.2 [Sta17], there exists a smooth parameter space M over $S = \text{Spec}(\Lambda)$, a finite type, flat, projective M -scheme \mathcal{X}_M and a dominant S -morphism $\zeta : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow M$ such that we have a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec}(R) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & M \end{array}$$

Let $M_\eta = M \times_{\text{Spec}(\Lambda)} \text{Spec}(\text{Frac}(\Lambda))$ be the generic fiber. By IV, Theorem 3.11 [Kol13], there is a Zariski open subset $V \subseteq M_\eta$ containing $\zeta(\text{Spec}(K))$ such that \mathcal{X}_u is a smooth projective separably rationally connected variety for all $u \in V$. Since ζ is dominant, the subset V is Zariski dense in M_η .

Let $x = \zeta(\mathfrak{m}_R) \in M(k)$. In order to get k_i -points in M , we need a “spreading out” argument for the case where $\text{char}(k) = 0$ and almost all k_i ’s have positive characteristic: Since M is a finite type Λ -scheme, it is defined over a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -algebra $A \subseteq \Lambda$. We henceforth view M as an A -scheme. Since M is of finite type over A (hence over \mathbb{Z}), we can apply Loś’ theorem to get a sequence of points $x_i \in M(k_i)$ (unique up to U -equivalence). We note that $\mathcal{X}_M \times_M \text{Spec}(k) = \text{ulim } \mathcal{X}_M \times_M \text{Spec}(k_i)$.

Let (R_i, \mathfrak{m}_i) be a henselian prime regular DVR with $R_i/\mathfrak{m}_i = k_i$ and $K_i = \text{Frac}(R_i)$. By the implicit function theorem for henselian fields (see Theorem 9.2 [GPR95]), there is $\zeta_i : \text{Spec}(R_i) \rightarrow M$ which specializes to x_i such that $\zeta_i(\text{Spec}(K_i)) \in V$. Therefore, $\mathcal{X}_M \times_M \text{Spec}(K_i)$ is a smooth projective separably rationally connected K_i -variety. Since k_i is RC solving, we get that $\mathcal{X}_M \times_M \text{Spec}(k_i)$ has a k_i -rational point. Since $\mathcal{X}_M \times_M \text{Spec}(k) = \text{ulim } \mathcal{X}_M \times_M \text{Spec}(k_i)$, we get that $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) The “only if” follows from (i). For the converse, let (R, \mathfrak{m}_R) be a prime regular DVR with $R/\mathfrak{m}_R \subseteq k$ and $K = \text{Frac}(R)$ and \mathcal{X} be a flat, projective R -scheme with \mathcal{X}_K smooth and separably rationally connected. Since k_U is RC solving, we get that $\mathcal{X}(k_U) \neq \emptyset$. Since $k \preceq_{\exists} k_U$, we conclude that $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$.

(iii) As in Lemma 3.1.3(iii). □

Lemma 3.2.9. (i) Let I be a directed system and k_i be a RC solving field for each $i \in I$. Then $\varinjlim k_i$ is RC solving.

(ii) Let (K, v) be a valued field of equal characteristic with perfect residue field k . If K is geometrically C_1 , then k is equal characteristic RC solving.

Proof. (i) Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a prime regular DVR with $R/\mathfrak{m} = k$ and $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. Let \mathcal{X} be a flat, projective R -scheme with \mathcal{X}_K smooth and separably rationally connected. We proceed as in Lemma 3.2.8(i). Let M be a smooth parameter space over $S = \text{Spec}(\Lambda)$ and $\zeta : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow M$ be such that $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ is the pullback of $\mathcal{X}_M \rightarrow M$ via ζ . Let $V \subseteq M_{\eta}$ be a Zariski dense open subset containing $\zeta(\text{Spec}(K))$ such that \mathcal{X}_u is smooth and separably rationally connected for all $u \in V$. Since M is a finite type Λ -scheme, the morphism $\zeta_0 : \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow M$ factors as

$$\text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k_i) \xrightarrow{\zeta_{0,i}} M$$

for some $i \in I$. Let R_i be a complete prime regular DVR with residue field k_i and $K_i = \text{Frac}(R_i)$ of the same characteristic as K . Since M is smooth, there exists $\zeta_i : \text{Spec}(R_i) \rightarrow M$ extending $\zeta_{0,i}$ such that $\zeta_i(K_i) \in V$. Therefore, $\mathcal{X}_M \times_M \text{Spec}(K_i)$ is smooth and separably rationally connected. Since k_i is RC solving, we get that $\mathcal{X}_M \times_M \text{Spec}(k_i)$ has a k_i -rational point. In particular, $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) By Lemma 3.1.4(ii), we may replace (K, v) with any separable algebraic extension with the same residue field. We can therefore assume that (K, v) is

algebraically maximal with divisible value group. Let (S, \mathfrak{m}_S) be an equal characteristic DVR with $S/\mathfrak{m}_S = k$ and $L = \text{Frac}(S)$. Let \mathcal{X} be a flat, projective S -scheme with \mathcal{X}_L smooth and separably rationally connected. Arguing as above, there is an extension K' of L which is algebraically maximal with divisible value group and residue field k . By Theorem 1.4 [Kuh16], we get that $K' \equiv K$ in L_{rings} . Since K is geometrically C_1 , the same is true for K' by Proposition 3.1.5. Therefore, $\mathcal{X}(K') \neq \emptyset$. By the valuative criterion of properness, we get $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{K'}) \neq \emptyset$ and hence $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Proposition 3.2.10. *The class of RC solving fields is elementary in L_{rings} . Moreover, it is $\forall\exists$ -axiomatizable.*

Proof. As in Proposition 3.1.5, using Lemmas 3.2.8 and 3.2.9. \square

Lemma 3.2.11. Let k be a geometrically C_1 field such that $k \equiv_{\forall\exists} k((t^\Gamma))$ in L_{rings} for some ordered abelian group Γ . Then k is equal characteristic RC solving. In particular, k is C_1 .

Proof. By Proposition 3.1.5, the field $k((t^\Gamma))$ is geometrically C_1 . By Lemma 3.2.9(ii), it follows that k is equal characteristic RC solving. \square

Corollary 3.2.12. *Let (K, v) be a nontrivially valued tame field with divisible value group. Suppose that K is geometrically C_1 . Then K is equal characteristic RC solving. In particular, K is geometrically C_1 and also C_1 .*

Proof. By model-completeness of the theory of divisible ordered abelian groups, we get that $\Gamma \preceq \Gamma \oplus_{\text{lex}} \mathbb{Q}$. By Theorem 1.4 [Kuh16], it follows that $(K, v) \preceq (K((t^\mathbb{Q})), v_t \circ v)$. In particular, $K \equiv K((t^\mathbb{Q}))$ in L_{rings} . Finally, apply Lemma 3.2.11 to the valued field $(K((t^\mathbb{Q})), v_t)$ to conclude that K is equal characteristic RC solving. The last statement follows from Lemma 3.2.5. \square

Remark 3.2.13. It is not known in general if geometrically C_1 fields of positive characteristic are C_1 . Notably, perfect PAC fields of positive characteristic are clearly geometrically C_1 but it is not known whether they are also C_1 .

Fact 3.2.14 (Theorem 1.1 [Esn07], Theorem 1.1 [EX09]). *Every finite field is RC solving.*

Corollary 3.2.15. *Algebraic extensions of finite fields and pseudofinite fields are RC solving.*

Proof. From Fact 3.2.14 and Proposition 3.2.10. \square

Fact 3.2.16 (Theorem 3.10 [Sta17]). *Function fields of curves over algebraically closed fields are RC solving.*

3.3. Strongly RC solving fields. We also consider a stronger variant of “RC solving” in which we drop the prime regular assumption on the DVR:

Definition 3.3.1. A field k is *strongly RC solving* if for every DVR (R, \mathfrak{m}_R) with $R/\mathfrak{m}_R \subseteq k$ and $K = \text{Frac}(R)$ and for every flat, projective R -scheme \mathcal{X} with \mathcal{X}_K smooth and separably rationally connected, we have that $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$.

- Remark 3.3.2.*
- (i) By Lemma 3.4 [Sta17], any DVR of equal characteristic is prime regular. Therefore, the above definition only makes a difference (if any) in the mixed characteristic case.
 - (ii) Note that a DVR with finite residue field is automatically prime regular, hence algebraic extensions of finite fields are automatically strongly RC solving.
 - (iii) We do not know if function fields of curves over algebraically closed fields are strongly RC solving.
 - (iv) We do not know if strongly RC solving fields form an elementary class.

4. ADIC SPACES

Let (K, v) be a complete valued field of rank 1 and X be a K -variety. One can associate to X a space of valuations X^{ad} , called its adic space. This space admits an alternative description as the projective limit of all formal \mathcal{O}_K -models of X . These two descriptions will allow us to shift from \mathcal{O}_K -models to valuations. When (K, v) is tame with divisible value group, we deduce from Kuhlmann’s theory that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$ provided that $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$ for every \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathcal{X} .

4.1. Integral models. We briefly recall some background but refer the reader to §3 [Con08] and §8 [Bos14] for details. Let (K, v) be a complete valued field of rank 1 (not necessarily discrete) with valuation ring \mathcal{O}_K and residue field k .

Definition 4.1.1. Let X be a proper, separated K -scheme of finite type.

- (i) An \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathcal{X} of X is a flat, proper, separated \mathcal{O}_K -scheme of finite presentation together with an isomorphism of K -schemes $\iota : \mathcal{X}_K \rightarrow X$.
- (ii) A morphism of \mathcal{O}_K -models $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ is a morphism of \mathcal{O}_K -schemes such that $f_K : \mathcal{X}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_K$ is an isomorphism compatible with the isomorphisms $\iota : \mathcal{X}_K \rightarrow X$ and $\iota' : \mathcal{X}'_K \rightarrow X$.

Let X^{rig} be the rigid-analytic space over K associated to X . Then, we have the notion of a formal \mathcal{O}_K -model of X^{rig} . More generally:

Definition 4.1.2. Let X be a rigid-analytic space over K .

- (i) A formal \mathcal{O}_K -model of X is an admissible formal \mathcal{O}_K -scheme \mathfrak{X} together with a K -isomorphism $\iota : \mathfrak{X}_K \rightarrow X$.
- (ii) A morphism of formal \mathcal{O}_K -models $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}'$ is a morphism of formal \mathcal{O}_K -schemes such that $f_K : \mathfrak{X}_K \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}'_K$ is an isomorphism compatible with the isomorphisms $\iota : \mathfrak{X}_K \rightarrow X$ and $\iota' : \mathfrak{X}'_K \rightarrow X$.

We write \mathcal{M}_X for the category of admissible formal \mathcal{O}_K -models of X^{rig} . The category \mathcal{M}_X of admissible formal \mathcal{O}_K -models of X^{rig} is filtered:

Lemma 4.1.3 (§8.4, Lemma 4 [Bos14]). Let X be a proper, separated K -scheme of finite type.

- (i) Given two formal \mathcal{O}_K -models \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{X}' , there exists at most one morphism of formal \mathcal{O}_K -models $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}'$.
- (ii) Given two formal \mathcal{O}_K -models \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{X}' , there exists a formal \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathfrak{X}'' and morphisms of formal \mathcal{O}_K -models $\mathfrak{X}'' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{X}'' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}'$.

Given an \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathcal{X} of X , we naturally obtain a formal \mathcal{O}_K -model of X^{rig} , namely its *formal completion* $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = \varprojlim \mathcal{X}_n$, where $\mathcal{X}_n = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K/\varpi^n$.

Definition 4.1.4. A formal \mathcal{O}_K -scheme \mathfrak{X} is *algebraizable* if there is a finitely presented \mathcal{O}_K -scheme \mathcal{X} and an isomorphism of formal \mathcal{O}_K -schemes $f : \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$.

We note that the algebraizable formal \mathcal{O}_K -models are cofinal in \mathcal{M}_X :

Fact 4.1.5. For each formal \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathfrak{X} of X^{rig} , there exists an \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathcal{X} of X and a morphism of formal \mathcal{O}_K -schemes $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$.

Proof. By §8.4, Proposition 6 [Bos14], the formal blowups are algebraizable and are cofinal in \mathcal{M}_X by §8.4, Lemma 4 [Bos14]. \square

Recall that X^{ad} comes equipped with two presheaves $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{ad}}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{ad}}}^+$ (see §2 [Sch12]). Given $x \in X^{\text{ad}}$, we write $\kappa(x)$ for the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{ad}},x}$ and $\kappa(x)^+ \subseteq \kappa(x)$ for the image of $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{ad}},x}^+$ in $\kappa(x)$, which is a valuation ring of $\kappa(x)$ extending \mathcal{O}_K .

Fact 4.1.6 (Raynaud). Let X be a separated K -scheme of finite type. Then, there is a homeomorphism

$$X^{\text{ad}} \cong \varprojlim_{\mathfrak{X} \in \mathcal{M}_X} |\mathfrak{X}_i|$$

extending to an isomorphism of locally ringed topological spaces

$$(X^{\text{ad}}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{ad}}}^+) \cong \varprojlim_{\mathfrak{X}_i} (\mathfrak{X}_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_i})$$

where the right hand side is the inverse limit in the category of locally ringed spaces.

Proof. See Theorem 3 [Bos14] or Theorem 2.22 [Sch12]. \square

4.2. Compactness and inverse limits. Let $(X_i, f_{ij})_{i \in I}$ be an inverse system of finite type k -schemes and $X = \varprojlim X_i$. We will typically be in a situation where we know that $X_i(k) \neq \emptyset$ for each i but we would really like to have that $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. A standard compactness argument shows that this is possible, except that we may need to replace k by an elementary extension. More generally:

Lemma 4.2.1. Let R be a commutative ring, (I, \leq) be a directed set, $(X_i, f_{ij})_{i \in I}$ be an inverse system of finitely presented R -schemes and $X = \varprojlim X_i$. Let A be an R -algebra such that $X_i(A) \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in I$. Then, for every $(|I| + |R|)^+$ -saturated elementary extension $A \preceq A^*$, we have that $X(A^*) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. For simplicity, assume that each $X_i = \text{Spec}(B_i)$ is affine, where B_i is a finitely presented R -algebra, say with n_i generators. The general case only involves some additional bookkeeping. For each $i \in I$, we introduce a formal n_i -tuple of variables $x_i = (x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n_i})$. Consider the set of formulas $p(x_i : i \in I)$ in $L_{\text{rings}}(R)$ in the variables x_i , saying that $x_i \in X_i(A)$ and that $f_{ij}(x_i) = x_j$. Given a finite subset $S \subseteq p(x_i : i \in I)$, there is a finite subset $I_0 \subseteq I$ such that S refers only to variables x_i with $i \in I_0$. Since (X_i, f_{ij}) is an inverse system, there is $i_0 \in I$ such that X_{i_0} dominates all X_i with $i \in I_0$. By assumption, there is $a_0 \in X_{i_0}(A)$. This gives compatible k -rational points $a_i \in X_i(k)$ for $i \in I_0$, namely $a_i = f_{i_0 i}(a_0)$. It follows that $p(x_i : i \in I)$ is a partial type and can be realized in A^* by $(|I| + |R|)^+$ -saturation. We conclude that $X(A^*) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Remark 4.2.2. We sketch a different proof for the case where A is a finite ring and $(I, \leq) = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$, which is sufficient for our results in §5.3. In that case, the conclusion is that $X(A) \neq \emptyset$ (a finite structure has no proper elementary extensions). We prove this using König's Lemma: Consider the graph G whose set of vertices is given by

$$V(G) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i(A)$$

We draw an edge from $a_i \in X_i(A)$ to $a_j \in X_j(A)$ if $i \geq j$ and $f_{ij}(a_i) = a_j$. Consider $G \cup \{*\}$, where $*$ is a dummy element which connects precisely to the elements of $X_0(A)$. One sees that $G \cup \{*\}$ is a connected, locally finite, infinite graph and therefore has an infinite ray. Such a ray corresponds to a sequence of points $a_i \in X_i(A)$ such that $f_{ij}(a_i) = a_j$ for $i \geq j$, i.e., to an element $(a_i) \in X(A)$.

Proposition 4.2.3. *Let (K, v) be a complete valued field of rank 1 with residue field k . Let X be a K -variety and suppose that $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$ for each \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathcal{X} of X . Then there exists $x \in X^{ad}$ such that k is existentially closed in the residue field of $\kappa(x)^+$.*

Proof. To apply Lemma 4.2.1, we first need to restrict to an inverse system which is cofinal in \mathcal{M}_X and is indexed by a set (not a proper class). For instance, consider the admissible blowups of a fixed formal model. This collection is indexed by a set of size $|K|$.

Let k^* be a $|K|^+$ -saturated elementary extension of k . By Lemma 4.2.1, we have that $\varprojlim \mathcal{X}_i(k^*) \neq \emptyset$, where the inverse limit is taken over all \mathcal{O}_K -models of X . By Fact 4.1.5, each formal \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathfrak{X} is dominated by an algebraizable one,

hence $\varprojlim \mathfrak{X}_i(k^*) \neq \emptyset$. This gives rise to a sequence of points

$$(x_i) \in \varprojlim_{\mathfrak{X}_i \in \mathcal{M}_X} \mathfrak{X}_i$$

By Fact 4.1.6, the sequence (x_i) corresponds to some $x \in X^{\text{ad}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{ad}},x}^+ \cong \varinjlim \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_i,x_i}$$

In particular, the residue field k' of $\kappa(x)^+$ is equal to $\varinjlim \kappa(x_i)$. Now each $\kappa(x_i)$ embeds into k^* and these embeddings are compatible with the maps of the directed system of the $\kappa(x_i)$'s. This allows us to identify k' with a subfield of k^* . Since $k \subseteq k' \subseteq k^*$ and $k \preceq k^*$, it follows that $k \preceq_{\exists} k'$. \square

Corollary 4.2.4. *Let (K, v) be a valued field of rank 1 with residue field k . Let X be a K -variety and suppose that $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$ for each \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathcal{X} of X . Then there exists $\xi \in X$ and a valuation v_ξ on $\kappa(\xi)$ whose residue field k' is such that $k \preceq_{\exists} k'$.*

Proof. It is harmless to replace (K, v) with its completion, hence we assume that (K, v) is complete. Let $x \in X^{\text{ad}}$ be as in Proposition 4.2.3. We have a natural analytification map of locally ringed spaces

$$i : (X^{\text{ad}}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{ad}}}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

Let $\xi = i(x)$ and $\kappa(\xi) \subseteq \kappa(x)$ be the induced inclusion. We let v_ξ be the restriction of v_x to $\kappa(\xi)$ and note that it satisfies the desired properties. \square

4.3. Rational points over tame fields. We now apply our previous results to the case of tame fields. We refer the reader to the original article by F.-V. Kuhlmann [Kuh16] for relevant definitions and facts.

Definition 4.3.1. A valued field (K, v) is *tame* if it is algebraically maximal, with perfect residue field and p -divisible value group, where p is the characteristic exponent of the residue field.

The following is an Ax-Kochen/Ershov principle for existential closedness:

Fact 4.3.2 (Theorem 1.4 [Kuh16]). *Let (K, v) be a tame valued field and let $(K', v')/(K, v)$ be a valued field extension. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(K, v) \preceq_{\exists} (K', v')$ in L_{val} .
- (ii) $k \preceq_{\exists} k'$ in L_{rings} and $\Gamma \preceq_{\exists} \Gamma'$ in L_{oag} .

Remark 4.3.3. If in addition Γ is divisible and nontrivial, then the condition $\Gamma \preceq_{\exists} \Gamma'$ holds automatically since nontrivial divisible ordered abelian groups are existentially closed.

Theorem 4.3.4. *Let (K, v) be a tame valued field with divisible value group of rank 1 and X be a proper K -variety. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $X(K) \neq \emptyset$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$, for each \mathcal{O}_K -model \mathcal{X} of X .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): This follows directly from the valuative criterion of properness and does not require any special assumptions on (K, v) .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): By Corollary 4.2.4, there exists a scheme-theoretic point $\xi \in X$ and a valuation v_ξ on $\kappa(\xi)$ whose residue field k' is such that $k \preceq_\exists k'$. By Fact 5.1.1, we get that $(K, v) \preceq_\exists (\kappa(\xi), v_\xi)$ in L_{val} . In particular, we get that $K \preceq_\exists \kappa(\xi)$ in L_{rings} . Equivalently, the Zariski closure $\overline{\{\xi\}} \subseteq X$ has Zariski dense many K -rational points. We conclude that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$. \square

5. TRANSFER THEOREMS

We prove a transfer principle for “geometrically C_1 ” in equal characteristic 0. We also prove that tame fields with divisible value group and finite residue field are geometrically C_1 . Both of these statements are special cases of a general transfer principle in arbitrary characteristic.

5.1. A general transfer principle.

Fact 5.1.1 (Theorem 1.4 [Kuh16]). *Let $(K', v')/(K, v)$ be an extension of tame fields. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(K, v) \preceq (K', v')$ in L_{val} .
- (ii) $k \preceq k'$ in L_{rings} and $\Gamma \preceq \Gamma'$ in L_{oag} .

Remark 5.1.2. If in addition Γ and Γ' are divisible, then $\Gamma \preceq \Gamma'$ holds automatically by model-completeness of the theory of divisible ordered abelian groups.

Lemma 5.1.3. Let (K, v) be a nontrivially valued tame field. Then, there exists a valued subfield $(K', v') \subseteq (K, v)$ such that:

- (i) (K', v') is a tame field.
- (ii) Γ/Γ' is torsion-free and $k = k'$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is a direct limit of DVRs.

If in addition Γ is divisible, then $(K', v') \preceq (K, v)$ in L_{val} .

Proof. We first construct a discrete valued subfield $(K_1, v_1) \subseteq (K, v)$ with residue field k_1 such that k/k_1 is separable algebraic. Consider the prime subfield K_0 of K , equipped with the restriction v_0 of v . Choose a separating transcendence basis $\tau = \{t_i : i \in I\}$ for k/k_0 . Let T_i be a lift of t_i in K and $T = \{T_i : i \in I\}$. We now let $K_1 = K_0(T)$ in case (K, v) is of mixed characteristic, and $K_1 = K_0(T \cup \{t\})$ in case (K, v) is of equal characteristic, where t is any element in the maximal ideal of K . In each case, we endow K_1 with the restriction v_1 of v . By Lemma 2.2 [Kuh16], we get that (K_1, v_1) is a discrete valued field with residue field $k_0(\tau)$.

Let $K' \subseteq K$ be the relative algebraic closure of K_1 in K . We endow K' with the restriction v' of v . By Lemma 3.7 [Kuh16], we get that (K', v') satisfies

(i) and (ii). It is clear that $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is a direct limit of DVRs. If in addition Γ is divisible, then Γ' must also be divisible by (ii). We then have that $\Gamma' \preceq \Gamma$ by model-completeness of DOAG. By Fact 5.1.1, we conclude that $(K', v') \preceq (K, v)$ in L_{val} . \square

Theorem 5.1.4. *Let (K, v) be a tame valued field with divisible value group and residue field k .*

- (i) *Assume that k is strongly RC solving. Then K is geometrically C_1 and also C_1 .*
- (ii) *Assume that $\text{char}(K) = \text{char}(k)$ and k is RC solving. Then K is geometrically C_1 and also C_1 .*

Proof. (i) If v is the trivial valuation, the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.2.5. We can therefore assume that v is non-trivial. By Proposition 5.1.3, there exists $(K', v') \preceq (K, v)$ with $k' = k$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is a direct limit of DVRs. By Proposition 3.1.5, it suffices to show that K' is geometrically C_1 . Therefore, upon replacing K with K' , we can assume that \mathcal{O}_K is a direct limit of DVRs, say $\mathcal{O}_K = \varinjlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}_{K_i}$. Let X be a smooth projective separably rationally connected K -variety and \mathcal{X} be an \mathcal{O}_K -model of X . Then there exists $i \in I$ and a flat, proper \mathcal{O}_{K_i} -scheme \mathcal{X}_i such that $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_i \times_{\mathcal{O}_{K_i}} \mathcal{O}_K$. Since k is strongly RC solving, we get that $\mathcal{X}(k) \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 4.3.4, we get that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that K is geometrically C_1 . By Lemma 3.2.12, we get that K is C_1 .

(ii) By Lemma 3.4 [Sta17], any DVR of equal characteristic is prime regular. One then proceeds as in part (i). \square

5.2. Equal characteristic 0.

Theorem 5.2.1. *Let (K, v) be a henselian valued field of equal characteristic 0 with divisible value group. Then:*

$$K \text{ is geometrically } C_1 \iff k \text{ is geometrically } C_1$$

In particular, if k is geometrically C_1 , then K is C_1 .

First proof. If K is geometrically C_1 , then k is geometrically C_1 by Lemma 3.1.4(iii). The converse follows from Lemma 3.2.6 and Theorem 5.1.4. \square

Second proof. The conclusion is clear when v is the trivial valuation, so assume that v is non-trivial. By Ax-Kochen/Ershov in equal characteristic 0, we get that $K \equiv \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} k((t^{1/n}))$ in L_{rings} . By Proposition 3.1.5, being geometrically C_1 is an elementary property and we can therefore assume that $K = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} k((t^{1/n}))$. The rest of the argument follows closely the proof of Lemme 7.5 [Wit15].

Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected K -variety. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, write $R_n = k[[t^{1/n}]]$ and $K_n = k((t^{1/n}))$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that X is defined over K_1 . By semistable reduction in equal characteristic 0 (see Lemme 7.5 [Wit15]), there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X \times_K K_n$ admits a strict semistable

R_n -model \mathcal{X} . By Lemma 3.2.6, the field k is RC solving and hence $\mathcal{X}_k(k) \neq \emptyset$. Alternatively, by Remark 5.2.4 [BF19], there is an irreducible component of \mathcal{X}_k which is rationally connected and hence has a k -rational point.

Let $x \in \mathcal{X}_k(k)$ and Y_1, \dots, Y_k be the irreducible components of \mathcal{X}_k passing through x . By the étale local description of strict semistable models (see Proposition 2.1.5 [Nic13]), there is a Zariski neighborhood $U \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ containing x such that the structure morphism $U \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ factors through an étale map of the form

$$U \xrightarrow{\text{ét}} \mathcal{Y} = \text{Spec}(R_n[T_1, \dots, T_m]/(T_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T_k - t^{1/n}))$$

for some $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq n$. Moreover, this map sends x to the origin of $\mathbb{A}_{R_n}^m$. Note that \mathcal{Y} has an R_{kn} -integral point specializing to the origin, e.g.,

$$T_1 = T_2 = \dots = T_m = t^{1/kn}$$

Since $U \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is étale at x , this lifts to an R_{kn} -point of U specializing to x by Hensel's Lemma. We then get that $X(K_{kn}) \neq \emptyset$. In particular, $X(K) \neq \emptyset$. \square

This raises the following question, which is also implicit in [Wit15]:

Problem 5.2.2. *Let k be a C_1 field of characteristic 0 and $K = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} k((t^{1/n}))$ be the Puiseux series field over k . Is K also C_1 ?*

5.3. Finite residue field.

Theorem 5.3.1. *Let (K, v) be an algebraically maximal valued field with divisible value group and residue field k which is algebraic over a finite field. Then, K is geometrically C_1 and also C_1 .*

Proof. By Fact 3.2.14, we have that k is strongly RC solving. We conclude from Theorem 5.1.4. \square

Corollary 5.3.2. *Let Γ be a divisible value group and \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field. Then the Hahn series field $\mathbb{F}_q((t^\Gamma))$ is geometrically C_1 and also C_1 .*

Proof. Recall that Hahn series fields are maximal (see Corollary 4.13 [vdD12]). We conclude from Theorem 5.3.1. \square

Corollary 5.3.3. *Any maximal totally ramified extension K of a non-archimedean local field is geometrically C_1 and also C_1 .*

Proof. Let K be a maximal totally ramified extension of a non-archimedean local field L . Recall that K is a field-theoretic complement of L^{ur} and hence every finite extension of K comes from a separable extension of the residue field. Equivalently, by Ostrowski's Lemma, K is henselian defectless with divisible value group. We conclude from Theorem 5.3.1. \square

Remark 5.3.4. (i) Let K be a non-maximal totally ramified extension of a non-archimedean local field. We claim that $\text{cd}(G_K) \geq 2$, so in particular K is not C_1 (see Corollary, pg. 80 [Ser79]). Indeed, consider the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow G_{K^{ur}} \longrightarrow G_K \longrightarrow G_k \longrightarrow 1$$

Since $K^{ur} \subsetneq \overline{K}$, we have $G_{K^{ur}} \neq \{1\}$. Let ℓ be a prime dividing $|G_{K^{ur}}|$ (as a supernatural number). Let S be a Sylow ℓ -subgroup of G_K and L be the fixed field of S . It suffices to show that $\text{cd}(G_L) \geq 2$ since G_L is a subgroup of G_K . We may thus replace K with L and assume that G_K is an ℓ -group to begin with. By Proposition 22, pg. 28 [Ser79], it follows that

$$\text{cd}(G_K) = \text{cd}(G_{K^{ur}}) + \text{cd}(G_k) \geq 1 + 1 = 2$$

In fact, we have $\text{cd}(G_K) = 2$ because G_K is either a subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ or $G_{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}$, both of which have cohomological dimension 2.

- (ii) Likewise, Lang's theorem is optimal in the sense that every non-maximal unramified extension of any local field is not C_1 . Once again, this can be proved by noting that such a field has cohomological dimension 2 (see Proposition 12, pg. 85 [Ser79]).
- (iii) There are plenty of examples of algebraic extensions of local fields which are of cohomological dimension 1 but not C_1 (see [Chi22]). It would be interesting to investigate to what extent the maximal unramified extension and the maximal totally ramified extensions are the only *minimal* algebraic extensions of a local field which are C_1 , i.e., which do not contain any proper subfields which are C_1 .

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank Arno Fehm, Franz-Viktor Kuhlmann, François Loeser and Olivier Wittenberg for their comments on earlier versions of this paper. I am also grateful to Franziska Jahnke, Tom Scanlon, Michał Szachniewicz and Tingxiang Zou for several fruitful conversations, Jason Starr for pointing out his work on RC solving fields and Santai Qu for some clarifications.

REFERENCES

- [BF19] Morgan Brown and Tyler Foster. Rational connectivity and analytic contractibility. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2019(747):45–62, 2019.
- [Bos14] Siegfried Bosch. *Lectures on formal and rigid geometry*, volume 2105. Springer, 2014.
- [Chi22] Ivan D Chipchakov. Fields of dimension one algebraic over a global or local field need not be of type c_1 . *Journal of Number Theory*, 235:484–501, 2022.
- [CK90] C.C. Chang and H. Jerome Keisler. *Model Theory*. North Holland, 1990.
- [Con08] Brian Conrad. Several approaches to non-archimedean geometry. In *p-adic geometry*, pages 9–63, 2008.

- [CT10] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène. Variétés presque rationnelles, leurs points rationnels et leurs dégénérescences. *Arithmetic Geometry: Lectures given at the CIME Summer School held in Cetraro, Italy, September 10-15, 2007*, pages 1–44, 2010.
- [dJS03] A. J. de Jong and J. Starr. Every rationally connected variety over the function field of a curve has a rational point. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 125(3):567–580, 2003.
- [DK09] Bradley Duesler and Amanda Knecht. Rationally connected varieties over the maximally unramified extension of p -adic fields, 2009.
- [DK17] Bradley Duesler and Amanda Knecht. Rationally connected varieties over the maximally unramified extension of p -adic fields. *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 47(8):2605 – 2617, 2017.
- [ELW15] Hélène Esnault, Marc Levine, and Olivier Wittenberg. Index of varieties over henselian fields and euler characteristic of coherent sheaves. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 24(4):693–718, 2015.
- [Esn07] Hélène Esnault. Coniveau over p -adic fields and points over finite fields. *Comptes rendus. Mathématique*, 345(2):73–76, 2007.
- [Esn23] Hélène Esnault. Rational points over c_1 fields. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.08063*, 2023.
- [EX09] Hélène Esnault and Chenyang Xu. Congruence for rational points over finite fields and coniveau over local fields. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 361(5):2679–2688, 2009.
- [GHS03] Tom Graber, Joe Harris, and Jason Starr. Families of rationally connected varieties. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 16(1):57–67, 2003.
- [GPR95] Barry Green, Florian Pop, and Peter Roquette. On Rumely’s local-global principle. *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker Vereinigung*, 97(2):43, 1995.
- [HX09] Amit Hogadi and Chenyang Xu. Degenerations of rationally connected varieties. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 361(7):3931–3949, 2009.
- [JK23] Franziska Jahnke and Konstantinos Kartas. Beyond the Fontaine-Wintenberger theorem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.05881*, 2023.
- [Joh16] Will Johnson. Fun with fields. PhD thesis, UC Berkeley, available at <https://math.berkeley.edu/~willij/drafts/will-thesis.pdf>, 2016.
- [Kol13] János Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, volume 32. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [Kuh16] Franz-Viktor Kuhlmann. The algebra and model theory of tame valued fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 719:1–43, 2016.
- [Lan52] Serge Lang. On quasi algebraic closure. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 373–390, 1952.
- [Nic13] Johannes Nicaise. Geometric criteria for tame ramification. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 273(3-4):839–868, 2013.
- [Pie22] Marta Pieropan. On rationally connected varieties over c_1 fields of characteristic 0. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 16(8):1811–1844, 2022.
- [Sch10] Hans Schoutens. *The Use of Ultraproducts in Commutative Algebra*, volume 1999. 01 2010.
- [Sch12] Peter Scholze. Perfectoid spaces. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 116, November 2012.
- [Ser79] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Galois cohomology*. Springer, 1979.
- [Sta] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [Sta17] Jason Michael Starr. Rationally simply connected varieties and pseudo algebraically closed fields. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.02932*, 2017.

- [TZ12] Katrin Tent and Martin Ziegler. *A course in model theory*. Number 40. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [vdD12] Lou van den Dries. *Lectures on the Model Theory of Valued Fields*. Chapter 4 in the book "Model Theory in Algebra, Analysis and Arithmetic", Cetraro, Italy, 2012.
- [Wit15] Olivier Wittenberg. Sur une conjecture de Kato et Kuzumaki concernant les hypersurfaces de Fano. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 164(11):2185 – 2211, 2015.
- [Zhu24] Yi Zhu. Fano hypersurfaces in positive characteristic. *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique*, 362:107–115, 2024.