

# ON INVERSION OF ABSOLUTELY CONVERGENT WEIGHTED DIRICHLET SERIES IN TWO VARIABLES

PRAKASH A. DABHI

ABSTRACT. Let  $0 < p \leq 1$ , and let  $\omega : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  be an almost monotone weight. Let  $\mathbb{H}$  be the closed right half plane in the complex plane. Let  $\tilde{a}$  be a complex valued function on  $\mathbb{H}^2$  such that  $\tilde{a}(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m, n) m^{-s_1} n^{-s_2}$  for all  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$  with  $\sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m, n)|^p \omega(m, n) < \infty$ . If  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero on  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , then there is an almost monotone weight  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  such that  $1 \leq \nu \leq \omega$ ,  $\nu$  is constant if and only if  $\omega$  is constant,  $\nu$  is admissible if and only if  $\omega$  is admissible, the reciprocal  $\frac{1}{\tilde{a}}$  has the Dirichlet representation  $\frac{1}{\tilde{a}}(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} b(m, n) m^{-s_1} n^{-s_2}$  for all  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$  and  $\sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |b(m, n)|^p \nu(m, n) < \infty$ . If  $\varphi$  is holomorphic on a neighbourhood of the closure of range of  $\tilde{a}$ , then there is an almost monotone weight  $\xi$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  such that  $1 \leq \xi \leq \omega$ ,  $\xi$  is constant if and only if  $\omega$  is constant,  $\xi$  is admissible if and only if  $\omega$  is admissible,  $\varphi \circ \tilde{a}$  has the Dirichlet series representation  $(\varphi \circ \tilde{a})(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} c(m, n) m^{-s_1} n^{-s_2}$  ( $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$ ) and  $\sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |c(m, n)|^p \xi(m, n) < \infty$ . Let  $\omega$  be an admissible weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , and let  $\tilde{a}$  have  $p$ -th power  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. Then it is shown that the reciprocal of  $\tilde{a}$  has  $p$ -th power  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series if and only if  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero. Though proofs are given for a function of two variables, it can naturally be extended for a function of several variables.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

If  $f$  is a nowhere vanishing continuous function on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$  having absolutely convergent Fourier series, then the celebrated Wiener's theorem [12] asserts that  $\frac{1}{f}$  also has an absolutely convergent Fourier series. If  $\varphi$  is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the range of a continuous function  $f$  having absolutely convergent Fourier series, then Lévy's theorem [10] implies that  $\varphi \circ f$  has absolutely convergent Fourier series. The  $p$ -th power analogues of these theorems for  $0 < p \leq 1$  are obtained by Żelazko in [13]. A map  $\omega : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  is a *weight* if  $\omega(m+n) \leq \omega(m)\omega(n)$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then the Fourier series of  $f$  is  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent if  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(n)| \omega(n) < \infty$ , where  $\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) are the *Fourier coefficients* of  $f$ . If  $\omega$  is a Beurling-Domar weight, i.e.,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\log \omega(n)}{1+n^2} < \infty$ ,  $f$  has  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Fourier series and if  $f$  is nowhere zero on the circle  $\mathbb{T}$ , then Domar's Theorem [3] implies that  $\frac{1}{f}$  has  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Fourier series. Weighted analogues

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30B50; Secondary 30B50, 46H99, 46J05.

*Key words and phrases.* Dirichlet series, Fourier series, Wiener, Lévy and Żelazko theorems, Beurling algebra, commutative Banach algebra,  $p$ -Banach algebra.

The author is thankful to SERB, India, for the MATRICS grant no. MTR/2019/000162. The author is grateful to Professor Subhash J. Bhatt for suggesting this topic.

and  $p$ -th power weighted analogues,  $0 < p \leq 1$ , of theorems of Wiener, Lévy and Żelazko are obtained in [1, 2]. It is natural question to ask the same for Dirichlet series.

Let  $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$  be the closed right half plane. A function  $\tilde{a} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has an *absolutely convergent Dirichlet series* if  $\tilde{a}$  has the representation

$$\tilde{a}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)n^{-s} \quad (s \in \mathbb{H}),$$

and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a(n)| < \infty$ . The above series is the *Dirichlet series* of  $\tilde{a}$ . Let  $\tilde{a}$  be a complex valued function on  $\mathbb{H}$  having absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. It is shown in [8] that  $\frac{1}{\tilde{a}}$  has absolutely convergent Dirichlet series if and only if  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero on  $\mathbb{H}$ . A similar result for general Dirichlet series is obtained in [4]. An elementary proof of the same result is given in [7]. Let  $\omega$  be a weight on the multiplicative semigroup  $\mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\omega \geq 1$  and  $\omega(mn) \leq \omega(m)\omega(n)$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\omega$  is an *admissible weight* [6] if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(k^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\omega$  be an admissible weight on  $\mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\tilde{a}$  have  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. It is recently shown in [6] that  $\frac{1}{\tilde{a}}$  has  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series if and only if  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero.

We shall consider the semigroup  $\mathbb{N}^2$  equipped with pointwise multiplication, i.e.,  $(m_1, n_1)(m_2, n_2) = (m_1m_2, n_1n_2)$  for all  $(m_1, n_1), (m_2, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ . Let  $0 < p \leq 1$ , and let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , i.e.,

$$\omega((m_1, n_1)(m_2, n_2)) \leq \omega(m_1, n_1)\omega(m_2, n_2) \quad ((m_1, n_1), (m_2, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2).$$

A function  $\tilde{a} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has  $p$ -th power  $\omega$ - *absolutely convergent Dirichlet series* if  $\tilde{a}$  has the representation

$$\tilde{a}(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m, n)m^{-s_1}n^{-s_2} \quad (s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{H})$$

and

$$\sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m, n)|^p \omega(m, n) < \infty.$$

If  $p = 1$ , we say  $\tilde{a}$  has  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series.

Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , and let  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$  be the sequence of all primes arranged in increasing order. For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$\rho_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(p_i^n, 1)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \inf\{\omega(p_i^n, 1)^{\frac{1}{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\mu_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, p_i^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \inf\{\omega(1, p_i^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (2)$$

Then  $\rho_i$  and  $\mu_i$  are greater than or equal to 1 for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A weight  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  is an *admissible weight* if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(l^n, k^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$  for all  $(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ .

We call a weight  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  to be an *almost monotone* if either  $\omega$  is admissible or there is  $K > 0$  such that  $\omega(m_1, n_1) \leq K\omega(m_2, n_2)$  whenever  $(m_1, n_1), (m_2, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  and either  $m_1|m_2$  or  $n_1|n_2$ .

We prove the following Theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\tilde{a} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  have absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\frac{1}{\tilde{a}}$  has absolutely convergent Dirichlet series.
- (ii)  $(a(m, n))$  has inverse in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2)$ .
- (iii)  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero.

We use Theorem 1 to prove the following analogue of theorems of Wiener and Lévy in two variables.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\omega$  be an almost monotone weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , and let  $\tilde{a} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  have  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. Then the following statements hold.*

(I) *If  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero, then there is an almost monotone weight  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  such that*

- (i)  $\nu$  is constant if and only if  $\omega$  is constant;
- (ii)  $\nu$  is admissible if and only if  $\omega$  is admissible;
- (iii)  $1 \leq \nu \leq \omega$ ;
- (iv)  $\frac{1}{\tilde{a}}$  has  $\nu$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series.

(II) *If  $\varphi$  is holomorphic on the closure of the range of  $\tilde{a}$ , then there is an almost monotone weight  $\xi$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  such that*

- (i)  $\xi$  is constant if and only if  $\omega$  is constant;
- (ii)  $\xi$  is admissible if and only if  $\omega$  is admissible;
- (iii)  $1 \leq \xi \leq \omega$ ;
- (iv)  $\varphi \circ \tilde{a}$  has  $\xi$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We first list the symbols we are going to use:  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ ,  $\mathbb{D}^\infty$  is the countable infinite product of  $\mathbb{D}$  with itself, for  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $r > 0$ ,  $B(a, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a| < r\}$  and  $\overline{B(a, r)} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a| \leq r\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$  is the set of all primes arranged in increasing order.

Let  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a commutative Banach algebra. A nonzero linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$ ) is a *complex homomorphism* on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$  be the collection of all complex homomorphisms on  $\mathcal{B}$ . For  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ , let  $\hat{a} : \Delta(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\hat{a}(\varphi) = \varphi(a)$  ( $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$ ), be the *Gel'fand transform* of  $a$ . The weakest topology on  $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$  making each  $\hat{a}$  continuous is the *Gel'fand topology* on  $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$ . The set  $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$  with the Gel'fand topology is the *Gel'fand space* of  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $r(a) = \sup\{|\varphi(a)| : \varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})\}$  is the *spectral radius* of  $a$ . We also notice that if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a commutative unital Banach algebra and  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $a$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $\hat{a}(\varphi) = \varphi(a) \neq 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$ .

Let

$$\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2) = \{a : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \|a\| = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m, n)| < \infty\}.$$

Then  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2)$  is a commutative Banach algebra with the above norm and the convolution multiplication

$$(a \star b)(m, n) = \sum_{\substack{u_1 u_2 = m \\ v_1 v_2 = n}} a(u_1, v_1) b(u_2, v_2) \quad (a, b \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2), (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2). \quad (3)$$

We may write an element  $a$  of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2)$  as  $a = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m, n) \delta_{(m,n)}$ , where  $\delta_{(m,n)} : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by  $\delta_{(m,n)}(m, n) = 1$  and  $\delta_{(m,n)}(l, k) = 0$  if  $(l, k) \neq (m, n)$ . A *semicharacter* on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  is a nonzero map  $\chi : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $\chi((m, n)(l, k)) = \chi(m, n)\chi(l, k)$  ( $(m, n), (l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ). Let  $\widehat{\mathbb{N}^2}$  be the set of all bounded semicharacters

on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . Observe that if  $\chi$  is a semicharacter on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , then  $\chi(1,1) = 1$ . We may identify  $\widehat{\mathbb{N}^2}$  with the Gel'fand space  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2))$  of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2)$  via the map  $\chi \mapsto \varphi_\chi$ , where

$$\varphi_\chi(a) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m,n) \chi(m,n) \quad (a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)).$$

For a bounded semicharacter  $\chi$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , let  $\chi_1(m) = \chi(m,1)$  and  $\chi_2(n) = \chi(1,n)$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then both  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are bounded semicharacters on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Conversely, if  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are bounded semicharacters on  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\chi(m,n) = \chi_1(m)\chi_2(n)$  ( $(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ) is a bounded semicharacter on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . Since a semicharacter on  $\mathbb{N}$  can be uniquely determined by its values at prime numbers, a semicharacter on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  is uniquely determined by its values at the points of the form  $(p,1)$  and  $(1,p)$ , where  $p$  is prime.

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the collection of all  $\tilde{a} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\tilde{a}(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m,n) m^{-s_1} n^{-s_2} \quad (s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{H})$$

and

$$\|\tilde{a}\| = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m,n)| < \infty.$$

Then  $\mathbf{A}$  is a unital commutative Banach algebra with the norm defined above and the usual multiplication of functions. Clearly, the Banach algebras  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2)$  are isometrically isomorphic via the map  $\tilde{a} \mapsto (a(m,n))$ .

For the following discussion, we follow the notations from [8]. Let  $S = \{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$  be a sequence of reals all greater than 1 such that  $\{\log q_1, \log q_2, \dots\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the field of rationals. For  $\sigma \geq 0$ , let  $M_\sigma(S)$  be the collection of all semicharacters  $\chi$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\chi(p_i)| = q_i^{-\sigma}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $M_\infty$  consist the only semicharacter  $\chi(1) = 1$ ,  $\chi(p_i) = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $L_\sigma(S)$  be the collection of all semicharacters on  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\chi(p_j) = q_j^{-\sigma - it}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $t$  is a real number that depends on  $\chi$  but not on  $j$ . Clearly, both  $M_\sigma(S)$  and  $L_\sigma(S)$  are contained in the Gel'fand space  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}))$  of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ . We shall use the following two lemmas which are proved in [8].

**Lemma 1.** [8, Lemma 2] *Let  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N$  be real numbers all greater than 1. For  $\sigma \geq 0$ , let*

$$D_\sigma = \{(z_1, \dots, z_N, w_1, \dots, w_N) \in \mathbb{C}^{2N} : |z_i| \leq q_i^{-\sigma}, |w_i| \leq q_i^{-\sigma}, i = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

*Let  $\varphi$  be a complex polynomial in  $2N$  variables, and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $|\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_N, w_1, \dots, w_N)| < \epsilon$  for some  $(z_1, \dots, z_N, w_1, \dots, w_N) \in D_0$ , then there exists  $\sigma \geq 0$  and a point  $(z'_1, \dots, z'_N, w'_1, \dots, w'_N)$  such that  $|z'_i| = |w'_i| = q_i^{-\sigma}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$  and  $|\varphi(z'_1, \dots, z'_N, w'_1, \dots, w'_N)| < \epsilon$ .*

**Lemma 2.** [8, Lemma 3] *Let  $\sigma \geq 0$ . Then  $L_\sigma(S)$  is dense in  $M_\sigma(S)$  in the Gel'fand topology.*

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $S = \{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$  be a sequence of real numbers all greater than 1 such that  $\{\log q_1, \log q_2, \dots\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in M_\sigma(S)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exist real numbers  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  such that*

$$\left| \prod_{r=1}^N \chi_1(p_r)^{\alpha_r} \prod_{r=1}^N \chi_2(p_r)^{\beta_r} - \left( \prod_{r=1}^N q_r^{\alpha_r} \right)^{-\sigma - it_1} \left( \prod_{r=1}^N q_r^{\beta_r} \right)^{-\sigma - it_2} \right| < \epsilon \sum_{r=1}^N (\alpha_r + \beta_r)$$

whenever  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

*Proof.* As  $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in M_\sigma(S)$ , by Lemma 2, we get real numbers  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  such that  $|\chi_j(p_r) - q_r^{-\sigma-it_j}| < \epsilon$  for all  $r = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and  $j = 1, 2$ . Let  $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_N^{\alpha_N}$  and  $n = p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_N^{\beta_N}$  be in  $\mathbb{N}$ , where  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\chi_1(m)\chi_2(n) - m^{-\sigma-it_1}n^{-\sigma-it_2}| \\
&= \left| \prod_{r=1}^N \chi_1(p_r)^{\alpha_r} \prod_{r=1}^N \chi_2(p_r)^{\beta_r} - \prod_{r=1}^N (q_r^{-\sigma-it_1})^{\alpha_r} \prod_{r=1}^N (q_r^{-\sigma-it_2})^{\beta_r} \right| \\
&\leq \left| \prod_{r=1}^N \chi_1(p_r)^{\alpha_r} \prod_{r=1}^N \chi_2(p_r)^{\beta_r} - \prod_{r=1}^N (q_r^{-\sigma-it_1})^{\alpha_r} \prod_{r=1}^N \chi_2(p_r)^{\beta_r} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \prod_{r=1}^N (q_r^{-\sigma-it_1})^{\alpha_r} \prod_{r=1}^N \chi_2(p_r)^{\beta_r} - \prod_{r=1}^N (q_r^{-\sigma-it_1})^{\alpha_r} \prod_{r=1}^N (q_r^{-\sigma-it_2})^{\beta_r} \right| \\
&\leq \left| \prod_{r=1}^N \chi_1(p_r)^{\alpha_r} - \prod_{r=1}^N (q_r^{-\sigma-it_1})^{\alpha_r} \right| + \left| \prod_{r=1}^N \chi_2(p_r)^{\beta_r} - \prod_{r=1}^N (q_r^{-\sigma-it_2})^{\beta_r} \right| \\
&< (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_N)\epsilon + (\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_N)\epsilon \\
&= \epsilon \sum_{r=1}^N (\alpha_r + \beta_r).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proof of Theorem 1:** Since  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2)$  are isometrically isomorphic as Banach algebras, the statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Assume that  $\tilde{a}$  has inverse in  $\mathbf{A}$ , i.e.,  $\frac{1}{\tilde{a}}$  has absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. Then  $\widehat{\tilde{a}}(\chi) = \chi(\tilde{a}) \neq 0$  for all  $\chi \in \Delta(\mathbf{A})$ . As  $\widehat{\tilde{a}}$  is continuous and  $\Delta(\mathbf{A})$  is compact, there is  $k > 0$  such that  $|\widehat{\tilde{a}}(\chi)| \geq k$  for all  $\chi \in \Delta(\mathbf{A})$ . For  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$ , let

$$\chi_{s_1, s_2}(\tilde{b}) = \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} b(m, n) m^{-s_1} n^{-s_2} \quad (\tilde{b} \in \mathbf{A}).$$

Then  $\chi_{s_1, s_2} \in \Delta(\mathbf{A})$ . It follows that  $|\tilde{a}(s_1, s_2)| = |\widehat{\tilde{a}}(\chi_{s_1, s_2})| \geq k$  for all  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$ . So, (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is proved.

We now prove that (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). The proof is inspired by the proof of Theorem 1 in [8]. Suppose that  $\tilde{a}$  has no inverse in  $\mathbf{A}$ . Then there is a bounded semicharacter  $\chi$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  such that  $\chi(\tilde{a}) = 0$ . Since  $\chi$  is a bounded semicharacter on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , there exist bounded semicharacters  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\chi(m, n) = \chi_1(m)\chi_2(n)$  for all  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ . For  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\square_M = \{1, 2, \dots, M\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ . Take any  $\epsilon > 0$ . As  $\sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m, n)| < \infty$ , there is  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \square_M} |a(m, n)| < \epsilon$ . Since  $\chi(\tilde{a}) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m, n)\chi(m, n) = 0$  and  $|\chi(m, n)| \leq 1$  for all  $(m, n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{(m, n) \in \square_M} a(m, n)\chi(m, n) \right| &= \left| \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \square_M} a(m, n)\chi(m, n) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \square_M} |a(m, n)| < \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} a(m,n)\chi(m,n) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} a(m,n)\chi_1(m)\chi_2(n)$  is a polynomial in  $\chi_1(p_1), \dots, \chi_1(p_N), \chi_2(p_1), \dots, \chi_2(p_N)$ , say  $\varphi$ , where  $p_N$  is the largest prime less than or equal to  $M$ , i.e,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\chi_1(p_1), \dots, \chi_1(p_N), \chi_2(p_1), \dots, \chi_2(p_N)) &= \sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} a(m,n)\chi(m,n) \\ &= \sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} a(m,n)\chi_1(m)\chi_2(n). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1, with  $q_i = p_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , we get  $\sigma \geq 0$  and an element  $(z'_1, \dots, z'_N, w'_1, \dots, w'_N) \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$  such that  $|\varphi(z'_1, \dots, z'_N, w'_1, \dots, w'_N)| < \epsilon$ , where  $|z'_i| = |w'_i| = p_i^{-\sigma}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Define  $\psi_1, \psi_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\psi_1(p_i) = z'_i$ ,  $\psi_2(p_i) = w'_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and  $\psi_1(p_i) = \psi_2(p_i) = p_i^{-\sigma}$  otherwise. Then  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are bounded semicharacters on  $\mathbb{N}$  and hence  $\psi = \psi_1\psi_2$  is a bounded semicharacter on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . As  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in M_\sigma(S)$ , by Lemma 2 with  $S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ , we get real numbers  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  such that

$$\left| \prod_{r=1}^N \psi_1(p_r)^{\alpha_r} \prod_{r=1}^N \psi_2(p_r)^{\beta_r} - \left( \prod_{r=1}^N p_r^{\alpha_r} \right)^{-\sigma-it_1} \left( \prod_{r=1}^N p_r^{\beta_r} \right)^{-\sigma-it_2} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{QJ} \sum_{r=1}^N (\alpha_r + \beta_r),$$

whenever  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where  $Q$  is the degree of the polynomial  $\varphi$  and  $J = \sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} |a(m,n)|$ .

So, if  $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_N^{\alpha_N}$  and  $n = p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_N^{\beta_N}$  are less than or equal to  $M$ , where  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $|\psi(m,n) - m^{-\sigma-it_1} n^{-\sigma-it_2}| < \frac{\epsilon}{J}$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} a(m,n)\psi(m,n) - \sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} a(m,n)m^{-\sigma-it_1} n^{-\sigma-it_2} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} |a(m,n)| |\psi(m,n) - m^{-\sigma-it_1} n^{-\sigma-it_2}| \\ & < \sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} |a(m,n)| \frac{\epsilon}{J} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{a}(\sigma + it_1, \sigma + it_2)| &= \left| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m,n)m^{-\sigma-it_1} n^{-\sigma-it_2} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} a(m,n)m^{-\sigma-it_1} n^{-\sigma-it_2} \right| + \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \square_M} |a(m,n)| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} a(m,n)m^{-\sigma-it_1} n^{-\sigma-it_2} - \sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} a(m,n)\psi(m,n) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{(m,n) \in \square_M} a(m,n)\psi(m,n) \right| + \epsilon \\ &< 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\tilde{a}$  is not bounded away from zero.  $\square$

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let  $\omega : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  be a weight. Then

$$\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega) = \{a : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \|a\| = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m,n)|\omega(m,n) < \infty\}$$

is a commutative Banach algebra with the above norm and the convolution multiplication defined by (3).

Let  $\mathbf{A}_\omega$  be the collection of all  $\tilde{a} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\tilde{a}(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m,n)m^{-s_1}n^{-s_2} \quad (s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{H})$$

and

$$\|\tilde{a}\| = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m,n)|\omega(m,n) < \infty.$$

When  $\omega = 1$ , we write  $\mathbf{A}$  in place of  $\mathbf{A}_\omega$ . Then  $\mathbf{A}_\omega$  is a unital commutative Banach algebra with the norm defined above and the usual multiplication of functions and is isometrically isomorphic to  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$  via the map  $\tilde{a} \mapsto (a(m,n))$ .

A semicharacter  $\chi$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  is  $\omega$ -bounded if  $|\chi(m,n)| \leq \omega(m,n)$  ( $(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ). Let  $\widehat{\mathbb{N}}_\omega^2$  be the set of all  $\omega$ -bounded semicharacters on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . In the weighted case,  $\widehat{\mathbb{N}}_\omega^2$  can be identified with the Gel'fand space  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$  of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$  via the map  $\chi \mapsto \varphi_\chi$ , where

$$\varphi_\chi(a) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m,n)\chi(m,n) \quad (a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)).$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . Then the Gel'fand space of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$  can be identified with the collection of all pairs  $((s_i), (t_i))$  of complex sequences satisfying*

$$|s_{i_1}|^{\alpha_1} \cdots |s_{i_k}|^{\alpha_k} |t_{i_1}|^{\beta_1} \cdots |t_{i_k}|^{\beta_k} \leq \omega(p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}, p_{i_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\beta_k}), \quad (4)$$

whenever  $p_{i_1}, p_{i_2}, \dots, p_{i_k}$  are distinct primes,  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\widehat{\mathbb{N}}_\omega^2$  can be identified with the Gel'fand space  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$  of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$ , we shall identify  $\widehat{\mathbb{N}}_\omega^2$  with the collection of all pair of complex sequences satisfying (4).

Let  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{N}}_\omega^2$ . Let  $s_i = \chi(p_i, 1)$  and  $t_i = \chi(1, p_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_k}$  be distinct primes, and let  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Since  $\chi$  is  $\omega$ -bounded, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |s_{i_1}|^{\alpha_1} \cdots |s_{i_k}|^{\alpha_k} |t_{i_1}|^{\beta_1} \cdots |t_{i_k}|^{\beta_k} \\ &= |\chi(p_{i_1}, 1)|^{\alpha_1} \cdots |\chi(p_{i_k}, 1)|^{\alpha_k} |\chi(1, p_{i_1})|^{\beta_1} \cdots |\chi(1, p_{i_k})|^{\beta_k} \\ &= |\chi(p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}, p_{i_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\beta_k})| \\ &\leq \omega(p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}, p_{i_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\beta_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let  $((s_i), (t_i))$  be a pair of complex sequences satisfying (4). Define  $\chi : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\chi(1, 1) = 1$ ,  $\chi(p_i, 1) = s_i$  and  $\chi(1, p_i) = t_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and extend on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  so that it is multiplicative. Then  $\chi$  is a semicharacter on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . Let  $m = p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{i_j}^{\alpha_j}$  and  $n = p_{i_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots p_{i_j}^{\beta_j}$  be in  $\mathbb{N}$ , where  $p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_j}$  are distinct primes and  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then

$$|\chi(m, n)| = |\chi(p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{i_j}^{\alpha_j}, p_{i_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots p_{i_j}^{\beta_j})|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |\chi(p_{i_1}, 1)|^{\alpha_1} \cdots |\chi(p_{i_j}, 1)|^{\alpha_j} |\chi(1, p_{i_1})|^{\beta_1} \cdots |\chi(1, p_{i_j})|^{\beta_j} \\
&= |s_{i_1}|^{\alpha_1} \cdots |s_{i_j}|^{\alpha_j} |t_{i_1}|^{\beta_1} \cdots |t_{i_j}|^{\beta_j} \\
&\leq \omega(p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{i_j}^{\alpha_j}, p_{i_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots p_{i_j}^{\beta_j}) \\
&= \omega(m, n).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\chi$  is an  $\omega$ - bounded semicharacter on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ .  $\square$

Using the above lemma, an  $\omega$ - bounded semicharacter  $\chi$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  can be identified with  $((s_i), (t_i))$  satisfying the inequality (4). We write this  $\chi$  by  $\chi_{((s_i), (t_i))}$ . We also notice that if  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{N}}_\omega^2$ , then  $|\chi(p_i, 1)| \leq \rho_i$  and  $|\chi(1, p_i)| \leq \mu_i$  for all  $i$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , and let  $(r_i)$  and  $(s_i)$  be sequences of positive real numbers such that*

$$r_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} r_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots r_{i_k}^{\alpha_k} s_{i_1}^{\beta_1} s_{i_2}^{\beta_2} \cdots s_{i_k}^{\beta_k} \leq \omega(p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} p_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}, p_{i_1}^{\beta_1} p_{i_2}^{\beta_2} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\beta_k})$$

whenever  $p_{i_j}$  are distinct primes,  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{B(0, r_i)} \times \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{B(0, s_i)} \subset \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)) \subset \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{B(0, \rho_i)} \times \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{B(0, \mu_i)}$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . Then  $\omega$  is an admissible weight if and only if  $\rho_i = \mu_i = 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, if  $\omega$  is an admissible weight, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(p^n, 1)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, p^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$  for every prime  $p$ . So,  $\rho_i = \mu_i = 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\rho_i = \mu_i = 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we get distinct primes  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $l = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$  and  $k = p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_m^{\beta_m}$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\leq \omega(l^n, k^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \omega(p_1^{\alpha_1 n} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m n}, p_1^{\beta_1 n} \cdots p_m^{\beta_m n})^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
&\leq \omega(p_1^n, 1)^{\frac{\alpha_1}{n}} \cdots \omega(p_m^n, 1)^{\frac{\alpha_m}{n}} \omega(1, p_1^n)^{\frac{\beta_1}{n}} \cdots \omega(1, p_m^n)^{\frac{\beta_m}{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(l^n, k^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . Then  $\omega$  is an admissible weight if and only if  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)) = (\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\omega$  is admissible. Since  $\omega \geq 1$ ,  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2 \subset \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$ . Let  $\chi \in \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$ , and let  $p$  be any prime. Then  $|\chi(p, 1)| = |\chi(p^n, 1)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \omega(p^n, 1)^{\frac{1}{n}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $|\chi(p, 1)| \leq 1$ . Similarly,  $|\chi(1, p)| \leq 1$ . Therefore  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)) \subset (\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ .

Conversely, assume that  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2 = \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$ . Let  $p$  be a prime. By assumption  $|\chi(p, 1)| \leq 1$  and  $|\chi(1, p)| \leq 1$  for all  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{N}}_\omega$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(p^n, 1)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
&= r(\delta_{(p,1)}) = \sup\{|\varphi_\chi(\delta_{(p,1)})| : \chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{N}}_\omega\} \\
&= \sup\{|\chi(p, 1)| : \chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{N}}_\omega\} \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Also,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, p^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$ . By Lemma 5,  $\omega$  is an admissible weight.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\omega$  be an almost monotone weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , and let*

$$X_j = (\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D} \times \overline{B(0, \rho_j)} \times \mathbb{D} \times \cdots) \times \mathbb{D}^\infty,$$

where  $\overline{B(0, \rho_j)}$  is at the  $j$ -th place. Then  $X_j \subset \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .



*Proof.* If  $\omega$  is admissible, then  $X_j = (\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2 = \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$ . Now, assume that  $\omega$  is not admissible. As  $\omega$  is an almost monotone weight, there is  $K > 0$  such that  $\omega(m_1, n_1) \leq K\omega(m_2, n_2)$  whenever  $(m_1, n_1), (m_2, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  and  $m_1|m_2$  or  $n_1|n_2$ . Let  $((s_i), (t_i)) \in X_j$ , i.e.,  $\chi_{((s_i), (t_i))}(p_k, 1) = s_k$  and  $\chi_{((s_i), (t_i))}(1, p_k) = t_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\chi_{((s_i), (t_i))}(1, 1) = 1$ . Let  $m = p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}$  and  $n = p_{l_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots p_{l_u}^{\beta_u}$ , where  $p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_k}$  are distinct primes,  $p_{l_1}, \dots, p_{l_u}$  are distinct primes and  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $p_j$  is not equal to any of  $p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_k}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_{((s_i), (t_i))}(m, n)| &= |\chi_{((s_i), (t_i))}(p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}, p_{l_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots p_{l_u}^{\beta_u})| \\ &= |s_{i_1}|^{\alpha_1} \cdots |s_{i_k}|^{\alpha_k} |t_{l_1}|^{\beta_1} \cdots |t_{l_u}|^{\beta_u} \\ &\leq 1 \leq \omega(m, n). \end{aligned}$$

Now, assume that  $p_j$  is one of  $p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_k}$ . We may assume that  $p_j = p_{i_1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_{((s_i), (t_i))}(m, n)| &= |\chi_{((s_i), (t_i))}(p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}, p_{l_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots p_{l_u}^{\beta_u})| \\ &= \rho_j^{\alpha_1} |s_{i_2}|^{\alpha_2} \cdots |s_{i_k}|^{\alpha_k} |t_{l_1}|^{\beta_1} \cdots |t_{l_u}|^{\beta_u} \\ &\leq \rho_j^{\alpha_1} \\ &\leq \omega(p_j^{\alpha_1}, 1) \\ &\leq K\omega(p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}, p_{l_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots p_{l_u}^{\beta_u}) \\ &= K\omega(m, n). \end{aligned}$$

If  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then by using the same arguments above, we have  $|\chi_{((s_i), (t_i))}(m, n)|^k = |\chi_{((s_i), (t_i))}(m^k, n^k)| \leq K\omega(m^k, n^k) \leq K\omega(m, n)^k$ . This gives  $|\chi_{((s_i), (t_i))}(m, n)| \leq \omega(m, n)$ . Thus  $X_j \subset \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}, \omega))$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $(R_i)$  and  $(S_i)$  be sequences of real numbers, all greater than or equal to 1. Define  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  as  $\nu(1, 1) = 1$ ,  $\nu(p_i, 1) = R_i$  and  $\nu(1, p_i) = S_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and extend it on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  so that it is multiplicative. Then  $\nu$  is an almost monotone weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  and  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \nu)) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{B(0, R_i)} \times \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{B(0, S_i)}$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\nu$  is an almost monotone weight. Let  $P = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{B(0, R_i)} \times \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{B(0, S_i)}$ . Let  $((\delta_i), (\eta_i)) \in P$ . Then  $|\delta_i| \leq R_i$  and  $|\eta_i| \leq S_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\chi : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\chi(1, 1) = 1$ ,  $\chi(p_i, 1) = \delta_i$  and  $\chi(1, p_i) = \eta_i$  for all  $i$  and extend it on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  so that it is multiplicative. Then  $\chi$  is a semicharacter on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . Let  $m = p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} p_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}$  and  $n = p_{i_1}^{\beta_1} p_{i_2}^{\beta_2} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\beta_k}$  be elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ , where  $p_{i_j}$  are distinct primes and  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi(m, n)| &= |\chi(p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} p_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}, p_{i_1}^{\beta_1} p_{i_2}^{\beta_2} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\beta_k})| \\ &= |\chi(p_{i_1}, 1)|^{\alpha_1} \cdots |\chi(p_{i_k}, 1)|^{\alpha_k} |\chi(1, p_{i_1})|^{\beta_1} \cdots |\chi(1, p_{i_k})|^{\beta_k} \\ &= |\delta_{i_1}|^{\alpha_1} |\delta_{i_2}|^{\alpha_2} \cdots |\delta_{i_k}|^{\alpha_k} |\eta_{i_1}|^{\beta_1} |\eta_{i_2}|^{\beta_2} \cdots |\eta_{i_k}|^{\beta_k} \\ &\leq R_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} R_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots R_{i_k}^{\alpha_k} S_{i_1}^{\beta_1} S_{i_2}^{\beta_2} \cdots S_{i_k}^{\beta_k} \\ &= \nu(p_{i_1}, 1)^{\alpha_1} \nu(p_{i_2}, 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots \nu(p_{i_k}, 1)^{\alpha_k} \nu(1, p_{i_1})^{\beta_1} \nu(1, p_{i_2})^{\beta_2} \cdots \nu(1, p_{i_k})^{\beta_k} \\ &= \nu(p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} p_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}, p_{i_1}^{\beta_1} p_{i_2}^{\beta_2} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\beta_k}) \\ &= \nu(m, n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\chi$  is a  $\nu$ -bounded semicharacter on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . This proves that  $P \subset \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \nu))$ .

Let  $\chi \in \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \nu))$ . Then  $\chi(1, 1) = 1$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\delta_i = \chi(p_i, 1)$  and  $\eta_i = \chi(1, p_i)$ . Then  $|\delta_i| = |\chi(p_i, 1)| \leq \nu(p_i, 1) = R_i$  and  $|\eta_i| = |\chi(1, p_i)| \leq \nu(1, p_i) = S_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . So,  $\chi \in P$ . Therefore  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \nu)) \subset P$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . Then the product topology on  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$  is the relative Gel'fand topology on  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$  as a subspace of  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$ .*

*Proof.* As  $\omega \geq 1$ ,  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2 \subset \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$ . We first show that the product topology on  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$  is weaker than the relative Gel'fand topology on it. Take a subbasis element of the form  $V = (B(a, \epsilon) \times \mathbb{D} \times \cdots) \times \mathbb{D}^\infty$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Here  $B(a, \epsilon)$  is the open ball with centre  $a$  and radius  $\epsilon$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\chi : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be defined by  $\chi(1, 1) = 1$ ,  $\chi(p_1, 1) = a$ ,  $\chi(p_i, 1) = 1$  for all  $i \geq 2$  and  $\chi(1, p_i) = 1$  for all  $i$ . Let  $w = ((a, 1, 1, \dots), (1, 1, 1, \dots))$ . Then  $\chi = \chi_w$  is an  $\omega$ -bounded semicharacter on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , i.e.,  $\chi_w \in \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$ . The set

$U(\chi_w, \delta_{(p_1, 1)}, \epsilon) = \{\chi_{((z_i), (\eta_i))} \in \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)) : |\chi_{((z_i), (\eta_i))}(\delta_{(p_1, 1)}) - \chi_w(\delta_{(p_1, 1)})| < \epsilon\}$  is open in the Gel'fand topology on  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$ . But  $U(\chi_w, \delta_{(p_1, 1)}, \epsilon) = \{\chi_{((z_i), (\eta_i))} \in \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)) : |z_1 - a| < \epsilon\}$ . Therefore  $V = U(\chi_w, \delta_{(p_1, 1)}, \epsilon) \cap (\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$  and hence the product topology on  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$  is weaker than the relative Gel'fand topology on it.

We now show that  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$  is closed in  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$ . Let  $\chi_{((z_i), (\eta_i))} \in \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)) \setminus (\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ . Then  $|z_i| > 1$  or  $|\eta_i| > 1$  for some  $i$ . We may assume that  $|z_1| > 1$ . Then there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(z_1, \epsilon) \cap \mathbb{D} = \emptyset$ . Note that  $U(\chi_{((z_i), (\eta_i))}, \delta_{(p_1, 1)}, \epsilon)$  is an open subset of  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$  and it contains  $\chi_{((z_i), (\eta_i))}$ . Suppose that  $\chi_{((\alpha_i), (\beta_i))} \in U(\chi_{((z_i), (\eta_i))}, \delta_{(p_1, 1)}, \epsilon) \cap (\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ . Then  $|z_1 - \alpha_1| < \epsilon$ , so,  $\alpha_1 \notin \mathbb{D}$ . On the other hand, since  $\chi_{((\alpha_i), (\beta_i))} \in (\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ ,  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{D}$ . This is a contradiction. Thus we have shown that  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$  is closed in  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$ .

As  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$  is compact and Hausdorff in both the product topology and the relative Gel'fand topology and the product topology is weaker than the relative Gel'fand topology, these topologies are equal.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . If  $X = \overline{(B(0, r) \times \mathbb{D} \times \cdots)} \times \mathbb{D}^\infty$  is contained in  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega))$ , then the product topology and relative Gel'fand topology on  $X$  are equal.*

Before giving a proof of Theorem 2 we shall require the following two lemmas. Proofs of these lemmas may be known. For completeness we shall include them.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $X$  be a connected topological space, and let  $Y$  be a closed connected proper subset of  $X$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous and nowhere zero on  $Y$ . Then there an open subset  $U$  of  $X$  which properly contains  $Y$  and  $f(u) \neq 0$  for any  $u \in U$ .*

*Proof.* Take any  $y \in Y$ . Then there is a neighbourhood  $U_y$  of  $y$  such that  $f(w) \neq 0$  for any  $w \in U_y$ . Take  $U = \bigcup_{y \in Y} U_y$ . Then  $U$  is open in  $X$  and  $f(u) \neq 0$  for any  $u \in U$ . As  $X$  is connected,  $Y \subsetneq U$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $R > 1$ , and let  $X = \overline{(B(0, R) \times \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \times \cdots)} \times \mathbb{D}^\infty$ . Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  containing  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ . Then there is  $1 < r \leq R$  such that  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2 \subset \overline{(B(0, r) \times \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \times \cdots)} \times \mathbb{D}^\infty \subset U$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there is no  $\eta > 0$  such that  $A_\eta = \overline{(B(0, 1 + \eta) \times \mathbb{D} \times \cdots)} \times \mathbb{D}^\infty$  is a subset of  $U$ . Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an element  $Z_n = ((z_1^n, z_2^n, \dots), (w_1^n, w_2^n, \dots))$  which is in  $A_{\frac{1}{n}}$  but not in  $U$  and hence not in  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ . Since  $(Z_n)$  is a sequence in a

compact metric space  $X$ , it has a convergent subsequence. So, we may assume that  $(Z_n)$  is convergent. Let  $Z = ((z_1, z_2, \dots), (w_1, w_2, \dots))$  be the limit of  $(Z_n)$ . Since  $Z_n \rightarrow Z$ ,  $z_i^n \rightarrow z_i$  and  $w_i^n \rightarrow w_i$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Notice that  $z_i^n \in \mathbb{D}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  and  $w_i^n \in \mathbb{D}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so,  $z_i \in \mathbb{D}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  and  $w_i \in \mathbb{D}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $(Z_n)$  is a sequence in  $X \setminus U$  and  $U$  is open in  $X$ ,  $Z \in X \setminus U$ . As  $Z \notin U$  and hence not in  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ ,  $z_1 \notin \mathbb{D}$ . Since  $|z_1^n| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n$ ,  $|z_1| \leq 1$  and hence  $z_1 \in \mathbb{D}$ . This is a contradiction. Thus there is  $\eta > 0$  such that  $A_\eta \subset U$ . Clearly,  $A_\eta$  contains  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ .  $\square$

The following result will be useful in proving Theorem 1. It is an analogue of Theorem 1 of [8].

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\omega$  be an admissible weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , and let  $\tilde{a} \in \mathbf{A}_\omega$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $(a(m, n))$  has inverse in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$ .
- (ii)  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero.
- (iii)  $\tilde{a}$  has inverse in  $\mathbf{A}_\omega$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\omega$  is an admissible weight,  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)) = \Delta(\mathbf{A}) = \Delta(\mathbf{A}_\omega) = (\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ , by Corollary 2. Clearly, the statements (i) and (iii) are equivalent.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Since  $\mathbf{A}_\omega \subset \mathbf{A}$ , by Theorem 1,  $\tilde{a}$  has inverse in  $\mathbf{A}$ . But then  $\chi(\tilde{a}) \neq 0$  for all  $\chi \in \Delta(\mathbf{A}) = \Delta(\mathbf{A}_\omega)$ . This implies that  $\tilde{a}^{-1} \in \mathbf{A}_\omega$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Since  $\tilde{a}$  has inverse in  $\mathbf{A}_\omega$ ,  $\chi(\tilde{a}) \neq 0$  for any  $\chi \in \Delta(\mathbf{A}_\omega)$ . As  $\Delta(\mathbf{A}_\omega)$  is compact, there is  $k > 0$  such that  $|\chi(\tilde{a})| \geq k$  for all  $\chi \in \Delta(\mathbf{A}_\omega)$ . For  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$ , let  $\chi_{s_1, s_2}(\tilde{b}) = \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} b(m, n) m^{-s_1} n^{-s_2}$  for all  $\tilde{b} \in \mathbf{A}_\omega$ . Then  $\chi_{s_1, s_2} \in \Delta(\mathbf{A}_\omega)$ . It follows that  $|\chi_{s_1, s_2}(\tilde{a})| = |\tilde{a}(s_1, s_2)| \geq k$  for all  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$ . Therefore  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero.  $\square$

A weight  $\omega : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  is a *non-quasianalytic weight* or a *Beurling-Domar weight* [3] if  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\log(\omega(l^n, k^n))}{1+n^2} < \infty$  for all  $(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ . Note that if  $\omega$  is a Beurling-Domar weight, then it is an admissible weight.

The following gives an analogue of Domar's Theorem [3] in the case of Dirichlet series.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $\omega$  be a Beurling-Domar weight. Let  $\tilde{a} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  have  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. Then  $\tilde{a}$  has inverse in  $\mathbf{A}_\omega$  if and only if  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero.*

**Proof of Theorem 2:** First assume that  $\omega$  is admissible. Take  $\nu = \omega$  in this case. Then Proposition 2 implies that  $\frac{1}{a}$  has  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series.

Now, assume that  $\omega$  is not admissible. Then, by Lemma 5,  $\rho_i > 1$  or  $\mu_i > 1$  for some  $i$ . We may assume that  $\rho_1 > 1$ . Since  $\omega \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_\omega \subset \mathbf{A}$ . As  $|\tilde{a}(s_1, s_2)| \geq k > 0$  for all  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$ , by Theorem 1,  $\frac{1}{a}$  has the representation  $\frac{1}{a}(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} b(m, n) m^{-s_1} n^{-s_2}$  for all  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$  and  $\sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |b(m, n)| < \infty$ . Since  $\tilde{a}$  is invertible in  $\mathbf{A}$ , the Banach algebra of all absolutely convergent Dirichlet series,  $\widehat{\tilde{a}}(\chi) \neq 0$  for every bounded semicharacter  $\chi$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . We know that the Gel'fand space  $\Delta(\mathbf{A})$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  is homeomorphic to  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ . As  $\omega$  is an almost monotone weight, by Corollary 3, the Gel'fand space of  $\mathbf{A}_\omega$  contains  $X$ , where  $X = (\overline{B(0, \rho_1)} \times \mathbb{D} \cdots) \times \mathbb{D}^\infty$  and, by Corollary 4, the product topology on  $X$  is

the relative Gel'fand topology. Since  $X$  contains  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ ,  $\widehat{a}$  is continuous on  $X$  and  $\widehat{a}$  is nowhere zero on  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$ , by Lemma 7, there is open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$  such that  $\widehat{a}$  is nowhere zero on  $U$ . By Lemma 8, we get  $r > 1$  such that  $(\overline{B(0, r)} \times \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \times \cdots) \times \mathbb{D}^\infty$  contains  $(\mathbb{D}^\infty)^2$  and is contained in  $U$ . Define  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  by  $\nu(1, 1) = 1$ ,  $\nu(p_1, 1) = r$ ,  $\nu(p_j, 1) = 1$  if  $j \neq 1$  and  $\nu(1, p_i) = 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and extend  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  so that it is multiplicative. Then  $\nu$  is an almost monotone weight,  $1 \leq \nu \leq \omega$  and the Gel'fand space of  $\mathbf{A}_\nu$  is  $(\overline{B(0, r)} \times \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \times \cdots) \times \mathbb{D}^\infty$ , by Lemma 6. The construction  $\nu$  shows that  $\nu$  is constant if and only if  $\omega$  is constant and that  $\nu$  is admissible if and only if  $\omega$  is so. Since  $\widehat{a}$  is nowhere zero on the Gel'fand space of  $\mathbf{A}_\nu$ ,  $\frac{1}{\widehat{a}}$  is in  $\mathbf{A}_\nu$  and hence  $\frac{1}{\widehat{a}}$  has  $\nu$ -absolutely convergent Dirichlet series.

(II) The proof is inspired by [2]. Let  $K$  be the closure of the range of  $\tilde{a}$ . Let  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{a}(s_1, s_2)| &= \left| \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m,n) m^{-s_1} n^{-s_2} \right| \leq \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m,n)| \\ &\leq \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m,n)| \omega(m,n) = \|\tilde{a}\| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be holomorphic on a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $K$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a closed rectifiable Jordan contour in the open set  $U$  such that  $K$  is interior to  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\lambda \notin K$ . Since  $K$  is compact,  $\lambda - \tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero. Also,  $\lambda - \tilde{a} \in \mathbf{A}_\omega$ . By part (I), we get a weight  $\nu_\lambda$ , which is constant if and only if  $\omega$  is constant, such that  $1 \leq \nu_\lambda \leq \omega$  and  $(\lambda - \tilde{a})^{-1} \in \mathbf{A}_{\nu_\lambda}$ . Take  $P_\lambda = (\lambda - \tilde{a})^{-1}$ . Then  $\|(\lambda - \tilde{a})^{-1}\|_{\nu_\lambda} > 0$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_{\nu_\lambda}$  is the norm on  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \nu_\lambda)$ . Let  $V(\lambda) = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu - \lambda| < \|P_\lambda\|_{\nu_\lambda}^{-1}\}$ . Then  $V(\lambda)$  is a neighbourhood of  $\lambda$  and if  $\mu \in V(\lambda)$ , then  $\mu - \tilde{a}$  is invertible in  $\mathbf{A}_{\nu_\lambda}$ . For if  $\mu \in V(\lambda)$ , then  $\mu - \tilde{a} = (\lambda - \tilde{a})(1 + (\mu - \lambda)P_\lambda)$  and both the elements  $\lambda - \tilde{a}$  and  $1 + (\mu - \lambda)P_\lambda$  are invertible in  $\mathbf{A}_{\nu_\lambda}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is compact, we get  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  in  $\Gamma$  and weights  $\nu_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \nu_{\lambda_n}$  such that  $\Gamma \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(\lambda_i)$  and if  $\mu$  is in  $\Gamma$ , then  $\mu - \tilde{a}$  has inverse in  $\mathbf{A}_{\nu_{\lambda_i}}$  for some  $i$ . If  $\omega$  is an admissible weight, then take each of  $\nu_{\lambda_i} = \omega$  and take  $\xi = \omega$ . In the case, when  $\omega$  is not admissible, as above we may assume that  $\rho_1 > 1$ . Therefore by part (I) we get  $r_i > 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that  $\nu_{\lambda_i}(p_1, 1) = r_i$ ,  $\nu_{\lambda_i}(p_j, 1) = 1$  if  $j \neq 1$  and  $\nu_{\lambda_i}(1, p_j) = 1$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $r = \min\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$ . Define  $\xi$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  as  $\xi(p_1, 1) = r$ ,  $\xi(p_j, 1) = 1$  if  $j \neq 1$  and  $\xi(1, p_j) = 1$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and extend it on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  so that it is multiplicative, i.e.,  $\xi = \min\{\nu_{\lambda_1}, \nu_{\lambda_2}, \dots, \nu_{\lambda_n}\}$ . Then  $\xi$  is an almost monotone weight,  $\xi$  is nonconstant and  $1 \leq \xi \leq \omega$ . Observe that  $\mathbf{A}_\omega \subset \mathbf{A}_{\nu_{\lambda_i}} \subset \mathbf{A}_\xi$  for all  $i$ . Also, notice that if  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ , then the inverse of  $\lambda - \tilde{a}$  is in  $\mathbf{A}_\xi$ . Define a map  $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_\xi$  by  $\psi(\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda)P_\lambda$ . Then  $\psi$  is continuous. It follows from functional calculus that  $\varphi(\tilde{a}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \varphi(\lambda)P_\lambda d\lambda \in \mathbf{A}_\xi$ . Therefore  $\varphi \circ \tilde{a}$  has  $\xi$ -absolutely convergent Dirichlet series.  $\square$

### Remarks.

(1) The following gives  $p$ -th power analogue of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $0 < p \leq 1$ . Let  $\omega$  be an almost monotone weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , and let  $\tilde{a} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  have  $p$ -th power  $\omega$ -absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. Then the following statements hold.*

(I) If  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero, then there is an almost monotone weight  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  such that

- (i)  $\nu$  is constant if and only if  $\omega$  is constant;
- (ii)  $\nu$  is admissible if and only if  $\omega$  is admissible;
- (iii)  $1 \leq \nu \leq \omega$ ;
- (iv)  $\frac{1}{a}$  has  $p$ -th power  $\nu$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series.

(II) If  $\varphi$  is holomorphic on the closure of the range of  $\tilde{a}$ , then there is an almost monotone weight  $\xi$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  such that

- (i)  $\xi$  is constant if and only if  $\omega$  is constant;
- (ii)  $\xi$  is admissible if and only if  $\omega$  is admissible;
- (iii)  $1 \leq \xi \leq \omega$ ;
- (iv)  $\varphi \circ \tilde{a}$  has  $p$ -th power  $\xi$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series.

To prove Theorem 3 we shall require the following definitions and results (Lemma 9, Proposition 3 and Corollary 6).

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a complex algebra, and let  $0 < p \leq 1$ . A map  $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $p$ -norm on  $\mathcal{B}$  if  $\|x\| \geq 0$ ,  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ ,  $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha|^p \|x\|$  and  $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is complete in the  $p$ -norm, then  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$  is a  $p$ -Banach algebra [13].

Let  $0 < p \leq 1$ , and let  $\mathbf{A}_{p\omega}$  be the collection of all  $\tilde{a} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\tilde{a}(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m, n) m^{-s_1} n^{-s_2} \quad ((s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2),$$

and

$$\|\tilde{a}\| = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m, n)|^p \omega(m, n) < \infty.$$

Then  $\mathbf{A}_{p\omega}$  is a unital commutative  $p$ - Banach algebra and it is isometrically isomorphic to the  $p$ - Banach algebra

$$\ell^p(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega) = \{a : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \|a\| = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m, n)|^p \omega(m, n) < \infty\}$$

via the map  $\tilde{a} \mapsto a = (a(m, n))$ . When  $\omega = 1$ , we shall write  $\mathbf{A}_p$  for  $\mathbf{A}_{p\omega}$ .

We shall use the Gel'fand theory of commutative  $p$ - Banach algebras developed by Żelazko in [13].

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $0 < p \leq 1$ , and let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a complex homomorphism on  $\mathbf{A}_{p\omega}$  if and only if  $\varphi = \varphi_\chi$  for some  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{N}^2}_\omega$ , where*

$$\varphi_\chi(\tilde{b}) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} b(m, n) \chi(m, n) \quad (\tilde{b} \in \mathbf{A}_{p\omega}).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{A}_{p\omega}$  and  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$  are isometrically isomorphic, it is sufficient to characterize complex homomorphism on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a complex homomorphism on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$ . Since complex homomorphisms on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$  are continuous,  $\varphi(a) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m, n) \chi(\delta_{(m,n)})$  for all  $a = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m, n) \delta_{(m,n)}$  in  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$ . Define  $\chi : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\chi(m, n) = \varphi(\delta_{(m,n)})$  ( $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ). Then  $\chi$  is a semicharacter. Also,  $|\chi(m, n)| = |\varphi(\delta_{(m,n)})| \leq \|\delta_{(m,n)}\| = \omega(m, n)$  for all  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ . Therefore  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{N}^2}_\omega$  and  $\varphi = \varphi_\chi$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\varphi = \varphi_\chi$  for some  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{N}^2}$ . Let  $a = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m,n)\delta_{(m,n)}$  be in  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$ . Since

$$\sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m,n)|^p \leq \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a(m,n)|^p \omega(m,n) < \infty,$$

$a(m,n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m,n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore there is a finite subset  $F$  of  $\mathbb{N}^2$  such that  $|a(m,n)| \leq 1$  for all  $(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus F$ . This implies that  $|a(m,n)| \leq |a(m,n)|^p$  for all  $(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus F$ . As a consequence

$$\sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus F} |a(m,n)| |\chi(m,n)| \leq \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus F} |a(m,n)|^p \omega(m,n)$$

and hence the series  $\sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a(m,n)\chi(m,n)$  converges absolutely. Therefore  $\varphi_\chi$  is well defined and in fact it is a complex homomorphism on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$ .  $\square$

The following is an analogue of Proposition 2 and has a similar proof.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $0 < p \leq 1$ . Let  $\omega$  be an admissible weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , and let  $\tilde{a} \in \mathbf{A}_{p\omega}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $(a(m,n))$  has inverse in  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$ .
- (ii)  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero.
- (iii)  $\tilde{a}$  has inverse in  $\mathbf{A}_{p\omega}$ .

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $\omega$  be a Beurling-Domar weight on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , and let  $0 < p \leq 1$ . Let  $\tilde{a} : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  have  $p$ -th power  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. Then  $\tilde{a}$  has inverse in  $\mathbf{A}_{p\omega}$  if and only if  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero.*

**Proof of Theorem 3** is almost same as the proof of Theorem 2 with minor modifications.

(2) Let  $\tilde{a} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  have an  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series and  $\tilde{a}$  be bounded away from zero. One would like to know whether  $\frac{1}{\tilde{a}}$  has  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. The answer is no. Define

$$\tilde{a}(s) = 2 + 2^{-s} \quad (s \in \mathbb{H}).$$

We define a weight  $\omega$  as follows. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then it has unique prime factorization  $n = 2^k p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_l^{k_l}$ , where  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p_i$ 's are distinct primes and  $p_i \neq 2$  for any  $i$ . Define  $\omega(n) = 2^{k+1}$ . Clearly,  $\tilde{a}$  has  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. We now show that  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero. Let  $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{H}$ . Then  $\sigma \geq 0$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{a}(s)|^2 &= |2 + 2^{-s}|^2 = |2 + 2^{-\sigma} e^{-it \log 2}|^2 \\ &= (2 + 2^{-\sigma} \cos(t \log 2))^2 + \sin^2(t \log 2) \\ &= 4 + 4 \cdot 2^{-\sigma} \cos(t \log 2) + 2^{-2\sigma} \\ &\geq 4 - 4 \cdot 2^{-\sigma} + 2^{-2\sigma} = (2 - 2^{-\sigma})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma \geq 0$ ,  $2^{-\sigma} \leq 1$ . Therefore  $|\tilde{a}(s)| \geq 1$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{H}$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{a}$  is bounded away from zero. An easy computation shows that

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{a}}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{n+1}} (2^n)^{-s} \quad (s \in \mathbb{H}).$$

Clearly,  $\frac{1}{a}$  does not have  $\omega$ - absolutely convergent Dirichlet series.

(3) A proof for a function of  $d$  variables can naturally be given using some modifications as below. A weight  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{N}^d$  is almost monotone if either  $\omega$  is admissible, i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(m_1^n, \dots, m_d^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$  ( $(m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ ), or there is  $K > 0$  such that  $\omega(m_1, \dots, m_d) \leq \omega(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  whenever  $(m_1, \dots, m_d), (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  and  $m_i | n_i$  for some  $i$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, d$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$\rho_i^j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, 1, \dots, 1, p_i^n, 1, \dots, 1)^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where  $p_i$  is at the  $j$ -th place. Now, proofs for a function of  $d$ - variables will be simple modifications of the proofs given here.

(4) We do not know whether there is a weight  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  or  $\mathbb{N}$  which is not an almost monotone weight.

(5) The Gel'fand space of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$ , for an arbitrary weight  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{N}^2$ , is not known to us. Here, we have used a part of it since it sufficient for our purpose. It seems interesting to identify the Gel'fand space of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^2, \omega)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. J. Bhatt, P. A. Dabhi and H. V. Dedania, *Beurling algebra analogues of theorems of Wiener - Lévy - Żelazko and Żelazko*, Studia Mathematica, **195**(3)(2009), 219 – 225.
- [2] S. J. Bhatt and H. V. Dedania, *Beurling algebra analogues of the classical theorems of Wiener and Lévy on absolutely convergent Fourier series*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), **113**(2)(2003), 179 – 182.
- [3] Y. Domar, *Harmonic analysis based on certain commutative Banach algebras*, Acta Math., **96**(1956), 1 – 66.
- [4] D. A. Edwards, *On absolutely convergent Dirichlet series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **8**(1957), 1067 – 1074.
- [5] I. M. Gel'fand, D. Raĭkov, G. E. Šilov, *Commutative normed rings*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1964.
- [6] Helge Glöckner and Lutz G. Lucht, *Weighted inversion of general Dirichlet series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **366**(6)(2014), 3275 – 3293.
- [7] Arthur Goodman and D. J. Newman, *A Wiener type theorem for Dirichlet series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **92**(4)(1984), 521 – 527.
- [8] Edwin Hewitt and J. H. Williamson, *Note on absolutely convergent Dirichlet series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **8**(1957), 863 – 868.
- [9] Edwin Hewitt and H. S. Zuckermann, *The  $\ell_1$ -algebra of a commutative semigroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **83**(1)(1956), 70 – 97.
- [10] P. Lévy, *Sur la convergence absolue des séries de Fourier*, Composito Mathematica, **1**(1935), 1 – 14.
- [11] C. E. Rickart, *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, D. Van Nostrand Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1960.
- [12] N. Wiener, Tauberian theorems, *Annals of Mathematics*, **33**(1932), 1 – 100.
- [13] W. Żelazko, *Selected topics in topological algebras*, Aarhus Universitet, Lecture Notes Series No. 31, 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE OF INFRASTRUCTURE TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND MANAGEMENT(IITRAM), MANINAGAR (EAST), AHMEDABAD - 380026, GUJARAT, INDIA  
 Email address: lightatinfinite@gmail.com, prakashdabhi@iitram.ac.in