

A Markov representation of Perron-Frobenius eigenvector for infinite non-negative matrix and Metzler-matrix

Qian DU, Yong-Hua MAO

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education, Beijing, 100875, People's Republic of China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Perron-Frobenius eigenvector
Markov chain
non-negative matrix
Metzler-matrix

ABSTRACT

We will represent the so-called Perron-Frobenius eigenvector (if exists) for infinite non-negative matrix A and Metzler matrix by using its corresponding Markov chain with probability transition function.

1. Introduction

Assume that E is countable, let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in E}$ be a non-negative and irreducible matrix. Assume that $\sum_{j \in E} a_{ij} =: f_i < \infty, \forall i \in E$. Define the probability transition matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{i,j \in E}$ by

$$m_{ij} = a_{ij}/f_i, \quad \forall i, j \in E.$$

Then we will represent the so-called Perron-Frobenius eigenvector (if exists) for A by using the Markov chain X with probability transition matrix M . Let $\tau_j^+ = \inf\{n \geq 1 : X_n = j\}$ be the first return time to $j \in E$. Introduce some notations and definitions concerning matrix A . Let R be the convergence parameter for A :

$$R = \inf\{r \geq 0 : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n a_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty\} = \sup\{r \geq 0 : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n a_{ij}^{(n)} < \infty\}.$$

By irreducibility, R is independent of $i, j \in E$. Generally speaking, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R^n a_{ij}^{(n)}$ can be finite or infinite. A is called R -recurrent if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R^n a_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty.$$

We have the following theorem for the infinite non-negative matrix, which is a generalization of the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector in [1] for a finite primitive matrix.

Theorem 1.1. Fix some $k \in E$. Assume

- (1) A is non-negative and irreducible on E ;
- (2) $f(i) = \sum_{j \in E} a_{ij} < \infty$ for $i \in E$;
- (3) A is R -recurrent with $R > 0$.

Then

$$u_i = \mathbb{E}_k \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\tau_k^+ - 1} \left(I_{[X_n = i]} R^n \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} f(X_m) \right) \right) \in (0, \infty), \quad i \in E$$

and $u = (u_i)_{i \in E}$ satisfies

$$uA = (1/R)u.$$

✉ email: duqian@mail.bnu.edu.cn (Q. DU)

Remark 1.2. We can generalize Theorem 1.1 in some sense. Suppose there exists a positive vector $\alpha = (\alpha_i)$ such that $\sum_j a_{ij}\alpha_j = M_i < \infty$. Let $\tilde{a}_{ij} = a_{ij}\alpha_j$, $\forall i, j \in E$. Then Theorem 1.1 holds for matrix $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{ij})_{i,j \in E}$.

Next we represent u for a Metzler matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{i,j \in E} : m_{ij} > 0, i \neq j, \forall i, j \in E$. Assume that M is irreducible: \exists different $i = i_0, \dots, i_m = j \in E$ such that $m_{i_0 i_1} \cdots m_{i_{m-1} i_m} > 0$. Assume $d = \sup_{i \in E} m_{ii} < \infty$. Denote $d_i = \sum_{j \in E} m_{ij}$. Assume $d_i < \infty$ for $i \in E$. Let $q_{ij} = m_{ij} - d_i \delta_{ij}$. Then $Q = (q_{ij})_{i,j \in E}$ is conservative and irreducible Q -matrix, with corresponding minimal Q -process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Let $\lambda_d = 1/R_d - d$, where R_d is the convergence parameter of $M + dI$. We will prove that $\lambda > m_{ii}, i \in E$ in Lemma 3.1. To represent u , we need $\bar{M} = (\bar{m}_{ij})_{i,j \in E}$ similar to embedded chain

$$\bar{m}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{m_{ij}}{\lambda - m_{ii}}, & i \neq j, \\ 0, & i = j. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Let $\sigma_j^+ = \inf\{t \geq \xi_1 : X_t = j\}$ be the first return time to $j \in E$, where $\xi_1 = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \neq X_0\}$. Denote $q_i = -q_{ii}, i \in E$ by convention. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Fix $k \in E$. Assume

- (1) $M = (m_{ij})$ is irreducible Metzler matrix on E ;
- (2) $d_i = \sum_{j \in E} m_{ij} < \infty$ for $i \in E$;
- (3) $d = \sup_{i \in E} m_{ii} < \infty$.
- (4) \bar{M} is recurrent on E .

Then

$$u_i = \mathbb{E}_j \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t + \int_0^t d_{X_s} ds} I_{[X_t=j, t < \sigma_j^+]} dt, \quad i \in E$$

and $u = (u_i)_{i \in E}$ satisfies $uM = \lambda u$.

2. A Markov chain representation for non-negative matrix

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in E}$ be a non-negative matrix. Assume A is irreducible, i.e. $\forall i, j \in E, \exists n \geq 1$ such that $a_{ij}^{(n)} > 0$, where $A^n = (a_{ij}^{(n)})$. Let R be the convergence parameter for A :

$$R = \inf\{r \geq 0 : \sum_{n=0}^\infty r^n a_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty\} = \sup\{r \geq 0 : \sum_{n=0}^\infty r^n a_{ij}^{(n)} < \infty\}.$$

A is called R -recurrent if $\sum_{n=0}^\infty R^n a_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty$. We will prove that when A is R -recurrent there exists the unique R -invariant measure $x = (x_i)_{i \in E} : xA = x/R$ and R -invariant vector $y = (y_i)_{i \in E} : Ay = y/R$. Define ${}_r a_{ij}^{(1)} = a_{ij}$, and inductively

$${}_r a_{ij}^{(n)} = \sum_{l \neq r} {}_r a_{il}^{(n-1)} a_{lj} = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \neq r} a_{i i_1} a_{i_1 i_2} \cdots a_{i_{n-1} j}, \quad i, j, r \in E. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.1. Fix $k \in E$. If $R \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty R^n a_{kk}^{(n)} = \infty$, then

- (1) $\sum_{n=1}^\infty R^n a_{kk}^{(n)} = 1$, and
- (2) $\sum_{n=1}^\infty R^n a_{ki}^{(n)} \in (0, \infty)$ for $i \in E$.

Proof. (1) It can be derived from $a_{ij}^{(n)} = \sum_{m=1}^n j a_{ij}^{(m)} a_{jj}^{(n-m)}$ for $n \geq 1$, $i, j \in E$. (2) There is $m > 0$ such that ${}_k a_{ik}^{(m)} > 0$ by irreducibility of A . We also have for all $n \geq 1$,

$${}_k a_{ki}^{(n)} {}_k a_{ik}^{(m)} \leq {}_k a_{kk}^{(m+n)}.$$

Multiplying both sides by R^n and summing it over n , it follows from irreducibility and R -recurrence of A that

$$0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R^n {}_k a_{ki}^{(n)} \leq \frac{1}{{}_k a_{ik}^{(m)}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R^{m+n} {}_k a_{kk}^{(m+n)} < \frac{1}{{}_k a_{ik}^{(m)}} < \infty.$$

□

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Notice that $\forall i \neq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= \mathbb{E}_k \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\tau_k^+ - 1} \left(I_{[X_n=i]} R^n \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} f(X_m) \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R^n \mathbb{E}_k \left(I_{[X_n=i, X_m \neq k, 1 \leq m \leq n-1]} \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} f(X_m) \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \neq k} R^n f(k) f(i_1) \cdots f(i_{n-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbb{P}_k[X_1 = i_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, X_n = i] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \neq k} R^n \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} f(i_m) \mathbb{P}_k[X_{m+1} = i_{m+1} | X_m = i_m] \\ &\quad \text{(by Markov property)} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R^n {}_k a_{ki}^{(n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where we set $i_0 = k$, $i_n = i$. Combined with $u_k = 1$, it follows from (2.2) and Lemma 2.1 that $0 < u_i < \infty$ for any $i \in E$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in E} u_i a_{ij} &= \sum_{i \in E} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_k \left(I_{[\tau_k^+ > n]} R^n \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} f(X_m) f(i) \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = j | \mathcal{F}_n] I_{[X_n=i]} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \in E} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_k \left(I_{[\tau_k^+ > n]} R^n \prod_{m=0}^n f(X_m) I_{[X_n=i]} I_{[X_{n+1}=j]} \right) \\ &= (1/R) \mathbb{E}_k \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\tau_k^+} \left(I_{[X_n=j]} R^n \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} f(X_m) \right) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma\{X_m, m \leq n\}$. Then we need to verify that the last expectation of (2.3) is equal to u_j . For $j \neq k$, there is no possibility $X_0 = j$ or $X_{\tau_k^+} = j$. So we have

$$\sum_{i \in E} u_i a_{ij} = (1/R) u_j, \quad j \neq k. \tag{2.4}$$

For $j = k$, using the same method as (2.2), we have

$$\mathbb{E}_k \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\tau_k^+} \left(I_{[X_n=k]} R^n \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} f(X_m) \right) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R^n {}_k a_{kk}^{(n)} = 1. \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.1)}$$

It follows from $u_k = 1$ that

$$\sum_{i \in E} u_i a_{ik} = (1/R) \mathbb{E}_k \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\tau_k^+} \left(I_{[X_n=k]} R^n \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} f(X_m) \right) \right) = (1/R) u_k. \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we obtain

$$\sum_{i \in E} u_i a_{ij} = (1/R) u_j, \quad j \in E.$$

Similarly we can get

$$y_i = \mathbb{E}_i \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\tau_k^+} \left(I_{[X_n=k]} R^n \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} f(X_m) \right) \right) \in (0, \infty), \quad i \in E$$

and $y = (y_i)_{i \in E}$ satisfies $Ay = y/R$. \square

We note that

$$\sum_{i \in E} u_i = \mathbb{E}_k \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\tau_k^+-1} \left(R^n \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} f(X_m) \right) \right). \quad (2.6)$$

If A is a stochastic matrix with $R = 1$, then (2.6) indicates that A is positive recurrent if $\mathbb{E}_k \tau_k^+ < \infty$. But it should be noted that in the stochastic case it is possible for the chain to be R -recurrent with $R > 1$. Take the simple random walk on a line as an example.

3. Representation for Metzler matrix

This section is devoted to representing u for Metzler matrix. Recall the definition of \bar{M} .

$$\bar{m}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{m_{ij}}{\lambda - m_{ii}}, & i \neq j, \\ 0, & i = j. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $M = (m_{ij})$ is irreducible Metzler matrix on E and $d = \sup_{i \in E} m_{ii} < \infty$. Then $\lambda = 1/R_d - d > m_{ii}$, $i \in E$, where R_d is the convergence parameter of $A_d = M + dI$.*

Proof. $A_d = M + dI$ is non-negative and irreducible on E following from the irreducibility of M . It follows from [3] that there always exists positive R_d -invariant measure x such that $uA_d \leq 1/R_d u$, where R_d is the convergence parameter of A_d . That is

$$uM \leq (1/R_d - d)u.$$

Obviously $u_j m_{jj} < \sum_{i \in E} u_i m_{ii} \leq (1/R_d - d)u_j$, $j \in E$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Combined with assumption (4), it follows from (2.1) and [3, Theorem 6.2] that $x_i = \sum_{n \geq 1} j m_{ji}^{(n)}$, $i \in E$ and $x = (x_i)_{i \in E}$ satisfies

$$x \bar{M} = x. \quad (3.2)$$

According to decomposition of the last jump and Markov property, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}_j [e^{\int_0^t dX_s ds} I_{[X_t=i, \sigma_j^+ > t]}] = \sum_{k \neq j, i} q_{ki} \int_0^t e^{(d_i - q_i)(t-r)} \mathbb{E}_j [e^{\int_0^r dX_s ds} I_{[X_r=k, \sigma_j^+ > r]}] dr + \delta_{ij} e^{(d_j - q_j)t}.$$

A Markov representation of Perron-Frobenius eigenvector for infinite non-negative matrix and Metzler-matrix

Taking Laplace transform, $\mathbb{E}_j \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t + \int_0^t dX_s ds} I_{[X_t=i, \sigma_j^+ > t]} dt$, $i \in E$ is the minimal non-negative solution of

$$y_i = \sum_{k \neq j, i} y_k \frac{m_{ki}}{\lambda - m_{ii}} + \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\lambda - m_{jj}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Set

$$y_i^{(1)} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\lambda - m_{jj}}, \quad y_i^{(n+1)} = \sum_{k \neq j, i} y_k^{(n)} \frac{q_{ki}}{\lambda - m_{ii}}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Inductively, $y_i^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\lambda - m_{ii}} j \bar{m}_{ji}^{(n-1)}$. Using the second iteration method (cf. [2]),

$$y_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_i^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\lambda - m_{ii}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} j \bar{m}_{ji}^{(n)}$$

is the minimal solution of (3.3). It follows from the uniqueness of minimal non-negative solution and recurrence of $\bar{M}(\sum_{n \geq 1} j \bar{m}_{ji}^{(n)} = 1)$ that

$$\mathbb{E}_j \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t + \int_0^t dX_s ds} I_{[X_t=i, \sigma_j^+ > t]} dt = \frac{1}{\lambda - m_{ii}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} j \bar{m}_{ji}^{(n)}. \quad (3.4)$$

This completes the proof follows from (3.2) and (3.4). □

References

- [1] Cerf, R., Dalmau, J., 2017. A Markov chain representation of the normalized Perron–Frobenius eigenvector. *Electronic Communications in Probability* 22, 1–6.
- [2] Hou, Z., Guo, Q., 2012. *Homogeneous denumerable Markov processes*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [3] Seneta, E., 2006. *Non-negative Matrices and Markov chains*. Springer Science & Business Media.