

Rigidity and classification results for large-type Artin groups

Jingyin Huang, Damian Osajda and Nicolas Vaskou

Abstract

We compute the automorphism group of the intersection graph of many large-type Artin groups. This graph is an analogue of the curve graph of mapping class groups but in the context of Artin groups. As an application, we deduce a number of rigidity and classification results for these groups, including computation of outer automorphism groups, commensurability classification, quasi-isometric rigidity, measure equivalence rigidity, orbit equivalence rigidity, rigidity of lattice embedding, and rigidity of cross-product von Neumann algebra.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification.* 20F36, 20F65, 20F28, 20E36, 37A20, 46L36.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Background and motivation	2
1.2	Main result: automorphism group of intersection graph	3
1.3	Applications to automorphisms and isomorphism classification	4
1.4	Applications to QI rigidity and classification	5
1.5	Applications to ME/OE rigidity and classification	7
1.6	Application to lattice envelope	8
1.7	Application to W^* -rigidity	8
1.8	Further results	9
1.9	Discussion of proofs	10
2	Preliminaries	12
2.1	General things about Artin groups	12
2.2	Exotic dihedral Artin subgroups and Centralisers	14
2.3	The intersection graph.	15
3	On the intersection graph of the $(3, 3, 3)$-Artin group	20
3.1	Small cycles in the curve graph of 5-punctured sphere	20
3.2	The $(3, 3, 3)$ Artin group and $\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$	21
3.3	Intersection graph and curve graph	23
4	Reducing to automorphisms of I_Γ^{TD}	23
4.1	Pieces and 5-cycles	23
4.2	Characterising vertices of type E	25
5	$\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ versus $\text{Aut}(D_\Gamma)$	29
5.1	Preserving T and D	30
5.2	Fundamental subgraphs	35
5.3	Comparison homomorphisms	39

arXiv:2407.19940v1 [math.GR] 29 Jul 2024

6	Proof of main results and applications to rigidity	41
6.1	QI rigidity results	41
6.2	On Conjecture 1.3	45
6.3	ME and OE rigidity	46
6.4	Rigidity of cross-product von Neumann algebra	47
6.5	Classification results	48

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and motivation

Let Γ be a finite simplicial graph, with each edge labelled by an integer which is ≥ 2 . The *Artin group with defining graph* Γ , denoted A_Γ , is the group defined by the following presentation: its generators are the vertices of Γ , and its relators are given by

$$\underbrace{aba \cdots}_m = \underbrace{bab \cdots}_m$$

whenever a and b span an edge with label m . Some well-known subclasses of Artin groups include braid groups, and *right-angled* Artin groups, where all label of edges are 2 (hence all relators are commutators).

We are motivated by taking the class of Artin groups as a playground of understanding different forms of rigidity properties of groups (as mentioned in the abstract); and looking for new rigidity phenomenon. Many earlier works in this direction are on right-angled Artin groups [BKS08, BN08, HK18, Hua18, Mar20, HH22]. It was understood that several rigidity properties of more classical classes of groups, like higher rank lattices and mapping class groups of surfaces, either break down for right-angled Artin groups, or they still hold, but for a different reason. From the viewpoint of quasi-isometric rigidity and measure equivalence rigidity, right-angled Artin groups are actually quite “flexible”, and known examples that demonstrate the “flexibility” of right-angled Artin groups crucially exploit the commuting relations of these Artin groups [BKS08, Section 11], [Hua17, Example 4.19], [HH22, Section 4]. This makes it also interesting to the study of Artin groups on the other extreme - *large-type* Artin groups, where all edges have label ≥ 3 (hence there are no commuting relations).

Some classes of large-type Artin groups indeed satisfy much stronger rigidity properties compared to right-angled Artin groups, and there are even examples of large-type Artin groups satisfying form of rigidity which are still open for higher rank lattices and mapping class groups of surfaces [HH20, Section 11]. However, a general picture of rigidity and classification of large-type Artin groups is still missing, as these results relies on a foundational work of Crisp [Cri05], which requires the defining graph to be *triangle free* (i.e. Γ does not contain 3-cycles). As Crisp already explained in his article, his method breaks down outside the triangle free situation. Currently, we do not even know in general what could be the generating set of the automorphism group of a large-type Artin group. Very recently, the third author initiated an in-depth study of several fundamental properties of large-type Artin groups whose defining graphs allow 3-cycles inside [Vas23a].

Several aspects in the study of large-type Artin groups, including commensurability classification and computation of automorphism groups, as well as understanding advanced form of rigidity like quasi-isometric rigidity and measure equivalence rigidity, all reduce to the study of a single object, namely the *intersection graphs* of large-type Artin groups, by previous works [HO17, HH20]. More precisely, we will study the Main Questions in Section 1.2.

1.2 Main result: automorphism group of intersection graph

Definition 1.1. Suppose A_Γ is a large-type Artin group. The *intersection graph* of A_Γ , denoted by I_Γ , is a simplicial graph whose vertices are in 1-1 correspondence with \mathbb{Z} -subgroups of A_Γ whose centraliser is not virtually abelian, and two vertices are adjacent if the associated \mathbb{Z} -subgroups commute.

Remark 1.2. The intersection graph is an analogue of the curve graph of mapping class groups in the setting of large-type Artin groups. In a few sporadic cases when the Artin groups are commensurable to some mapping class groups, the intersection graphs and the curve graphs are isomorphic. Intersection graphs were first introduced by Crisp [Cri05], under the name of Θ -graph, in the special case of triangle free large-type Artin groups. Crisp's definition requires an adjustment for studying more general large-type Artin groups, and this is done in [HO17], where the intersection graph is actually defined for all 2-dimensional Artin groups, which contain large-type Artin groups as a subclass. It is worth mentioning that for studying right-angled Artin groups, there is also an analogue of curve graph, called the extension graph, introduced by Kim and Koberda [KK13].

The graph automorphism group of I_Γ , denoted by $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$, is endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence, which makes it a Polish group. Note that I_Γ is locally infinite except for a few more or less trivial cases, so a priori $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ could possibly be a huge Polish group. Nevertheless, we ask the following.

Main Question. Suppose A_Γ is of large-type. When is the Polish group $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ locally compact? When is $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ discrete?

The graph I_Γ is a common invariant for studying all the forms of rigidity/classification aspects mentioned in the abstract, which motivates the main question. Very roughly speaking, the “smaller” $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is, the more “rigid” A_Γ is.

There is an obstruction to $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ being locally compact, which has its trace in the outer automorphism group of A_Γ . Recall that if a group G admits a splitting $G = A *_C B$, for an element $z \in A$ that centralises C , the *twist* by z near A is defined as the automorphism of G which is the identity on A and is the conjugation by z on B (see [Lev05] for more general definition of twists). The most obvious twist for a large-type Artin group A_Γ happens when Γ has a separating vertex or a separating edge and consider a splitting of A_Γ induced by this separating vertex or edge. Hence we will say Γ is *twistless* if Γ does not contain separating vertex or edge.

Conjecture 1.3. Suppose A_Γ is of large-type. Then

1. $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is locally compact if and only if Γ is connected and twistless, moreover, in this case, $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to the cellular automorphism of the Cayley complex of A_Γ ;
2. $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is discrete if and only if Γ is connected, twistless and star rigid, moreover, in this case the natural action of A_Γ on I_Γ gives an embedding $A_\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ with finite index image.

Recall that Γ is *star rigid* if any label-preserving automorphism of Γ fixing the star of a vertex pointwise must be identity. The only if the direction of the conjecture is not hard, proved in Proposition 6.8. The other direction is much more interesting, which we manage to prove in the following case.

Definition 1.4. An *admissible decomposition* of Γ is made of a pair of full subgraphs Γ_1 and Γ_2 of Γ such that $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$. This decomposition is *twistless* if $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ is not the empty set, or a vertex, or an edge. We say Γ has a *hierarchy* terminating in a class of graphs \mathcal{C} , if it is possible to start with Γ and keep performing admissible decomposition for finitely many times until we end up with a collection of graphs in \mathcal{C} .

To see why such hierarchy is a natural thing to consider, note that any graph has a hierarchy terminating in complete graphs, which corresponds to any Artin groups being an iterated amalgamated product starting from Artin groups with complete defining graphs. This is a standard consideration in the study of Artin groups.

The hierarchy is *twistless* if each step of the decomposition is twistless. We say Γ is a *twistless star*, if Γ is the star of a vertex $v \in \Gamma$, and Γ is twistless. Note that every complete graph is a twistless star. We will be considering graphs admitting hierarchies terminating on twistless stars, instead of graphs admitting hierarchies terminating on complete graphs.

Theorem 1.5. (=Theorem 6.12) *Suppose A_Γ is of large-type. If Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars, then Conjecture 1.3 holds.*

As an example, if Γ is the 1-skeleton of a triangulation of a closed n -manifold with $n \geq 2$, then Γ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.5, hence $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is locally compact, see Remark 5.12.

By contrast, the analogue object in the world of right-angled Artin groups, namely the extension graph, never has locally compact automorphism group.

Theorem 1.5 relies on a more general (and more technical) theorem converting Conjecture 1.3 to a property of the modified Deligne complexes associated with the Artin groups, see Corollary 6.10 for a precise statement, which we believe could be a useful tool towards fully resolve Conjecture 1.3.

While we prove that this property holds true in the case where Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars, the authors of [BMV24] prove that provided A_Γ is of XXXL-type (i.e. all coefficient are ≥ 6), this property holds true whenever Γ is twistless, which provide another strong evidence for Conjecture 1.3.

In particular, from Corollary 6.10, we also deduce the following.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose that A_Γ is of XXXL-type and Γ is connected and twistless. Then Conjecture 1.3 holds.*

In the following sections (Section 1.3 to Section 1.7), we discuss a variety of applications of the main result. And in Section 1.8, we discuss further results on a purely combinatorial criterion on how to generalize all the applications we have. We will discuss most applications under the assumption of twistless hierarchy, then discuss how to adjust them for XXXL case, as well as some more general criterion which could potentially give the optimal results.

1.3 Applications to automorphisms and isomorphism classification

The question of determining the full automorphism group of an Artin group is wide open in general, although it has been solved in specific cases, such as for right-angled Artin groups [Ser89]. In the world of large-type Artin groups, a generating set has been found for so-called CLTF Artin groups ([Cri05]), and more recently, a presentation has been given for large-type free-of-infinity Artin groups ([Vas23a]).

For A_Γ , we let $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(A_\Gamma)$ be the subgroup of the automorphism group of A_Γ generated by inner automorphisms, the global inversion that sends every generator to its inverse,

and automorphisms induced by label-preserving automorphisms of Γ . This subgroup was extensively studied and showed to have a very natural interpretation in [JV24].

While the general case of large-type Artin groups remains open, we extend the result of [Vas23a] and compute $\text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ for many new Artin groups:

Theorem 1.7. (*=Corollary 6.7*) *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type Artin group such that Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Then $\text{Aut}(A_\Gamma) = \text{Aut}_\Gamma(A_\Gamma)$. In particular, the outer automorphism group of A_Γ is finite, and it is generated by the global inversion and label-preserving automorphisms of the defining graph Γ .*

The isomorphism problem, that of determining whether two presentation graphs Γ and Γ' give rise to isomorphic Artin groups, is also open in general. A conjecture from [BMMN02] is that A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are isomorphic if and only if Γ' can be obtained from Γ by a series of diagram twists. This conjecture has been proved true for all large-type Artin groups (see [Vas23b]). In [MV23], it was further proved true while only assuming that one of the two Artin groups is large-type.

Another natural question is to ask when two Artin groups A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ can be commensurable (i.e. they have isomorphic finite index subgroups). The only results concerning large-type Artin groups is that of Crisp ([Cri05]) for some triangle-free Artin groups.

In this paper, we recover a solution to the isomorphism problem for many large-type Artin groups, and we provide a solution to the question of commensurability for many new Artin groups:

Theorem 1.8. (*=Corollary 6.22*) *Suppose A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are two large-type Artin groups such that Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are isomorphic;
2. A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are commensurable;
3. there is a label-preserving isomorphism between Γ and Γ' .

1.4 Applications to QI rigidity and classification

We say two groups are *virtually isomorphic*, if they are isomorphic up to quotienting by finite normal subgroups and passing to finite index subgroups.

Let Δ_{333} be a triangle such that each edge is labelled by 3. For the study of quasi-isometric rigidity of large-type Artin groups A_Γ , it is only interesting to consider the case when $\Gamma \neq \Delta_{333}$, as when $\Gamma = \Delta_{333}$, A_Γ is a finite index subgroup of the mapping class group of the 5-punctured sphere, whose quasi-isometric rigidity is already known [Ham05, BN08].

Theorem 1.9. (*=Corollary 6.7*) *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type Artin group with $\Gamma \neq \Delta_{333}$ such that Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Then*

1. any self quasi-isometry of A_Γ is at bounded distance from an automorphism of the Cayley complex C_Γ ;
2. the quasi-isometry group of A_Γ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$;
3. any finitely generated group quasi-isometric to A_Γ is virtually isomorphic to a uniform lattice in the locally compact topological group $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$.

If in addition Γ is star rigid, then

1. any self quasi-isometry of A_Γ is at bounded distance from an automorphism of A_Γ ;
2. the quasi-isometry group of A_Γ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(A_\Gamma)$;
3. any finitely generated group quasi-isometric to A_Γ is virtually isomorphic to A_Γ .

Quasi-isometric rigidity of some triangle-free large-type Artin groups were proved in [HO17]. The simplest examples which are covered here, but not in [HO17], is that Γ being the 1-skeleton of a triangulation of some closed n -manifold, with $n \geq 2$.

Note that there are two forms of quasi-isometric rigidity in Theorem 1.9, corresponding the two scenarios in Conjecture 1.3. The first form of rigidity is that any self quasi-isometry of the group G is at bounded distance from an isometry of a canonical space X associated with this group, hence we can “uniformize” the class of all groups quasi-isometric to G in the sense that they can be put virtually as lattices in the same canonical locally compact topological group, which is the isometry group of X . However, isometry group of X could be rather large. This form of rigidity is quite similar to the quasi-isometric rigidity of uniform higher rank lattices [KL97, EF97].

The second form of rigidity, which is stronger, is that the quasi-isometry group of G has a finite index subgroup such that any self quasi-isometry of G inside this subgroup is at bounded distance from a left translation of G , hence any finitely generated group quasi-isometric to G is virtually isomorphic to G . This form of rigidity is quite similar to the quasi-isometric rigidity of mapping class groups of surfaces [Ham05, BKMM12].

It is natural to ask how far away are we from a complete understanding of these two forms of rigidity in the class of large-type Artin groups. To this end, we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.10. Suppose A_Γ is a large-type Artin groups with $\Gamma \neq \Delta_{333}$. Then

1. any self quasi-isometry of A_Γ is at bounded distance from an automorphism of the Cayley complex C_Γ if and only if Γ is connected and twistless;
2. any self quasi-isometry of A_Γ is at bounded distance from an automorphism of A_Γ if and only if Γ is connected, twistless and star rigid.

We have reduced Conjecture 1.10 to a purely combinatorial statement about the modified Deligne complex of a twistless large-type Artin group, see Theorem 6.13. Again, the only if direction is not hard, and the if direction is more interesting. Conjecture 1.3 and Conjecture 1.10 are closely related in the sense that they are reduced to the same combinatorial statement about modified Deligne complexes.

By contract, right-angled Artin groups never satisfy any of the forms of quasi-isometric rigidity mentioned in Conjecture 1.10.

We also have a classification result.

Theorem 1.11. (=Corollary 6.22) Suppose A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are two large-type Artin groups such that Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Then A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are quasi-isometric if and only if there is a label-preserving isomorphism between Γ and Γ' .

1.5 Applications to ME/OE rigidity and classification

Recall that two countable groups Γ_1, Γ_2 are *measure equivalent* (a notion introduced by Gromov [Gro93] as a measurable analogue of quasi-isometry) if there exists a standard measure space Σ , equipped with an action of the product $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ by measure-preserving Borel automorphisms, such that for every $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the action of the factor Γ_i on Σ is free and has a fundamental domain of finite measure. An important example is that any two lattices in the same locally compact second countable group G are always measure equivalent, through their left/right action by multiplication on G , preserving the Haar measure. Another example to have in mind, is that if two countable groups are virtually isomorphic, then they are measure equivalent. Some notable measure equivalence rigidity results are proved for higher rank lattices [Fur99], mapping class groups of surfaces [Kid10] and $Out(F_n)$ [GH21]. See [Fur09] for a nice survey.

We can obtain measure equivalence rigidity results under almost the same assumption as Theorem 1.9, with an extra requirement as follows. Recall that an Artin group is of *hyperbolic-type*, if its associated Coxeter group is word hyperbolic. hyperbolic-type Artin groups are never word-hyperbolic unless they are free groups. A large-type Artin group A_Γ is of hyperbolic-type, if Γ does not contain a triangle with all of its edges labelled by 3.

Theorem 1.12. (=Corollary 6.16) *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type Artin group of hyperbolic-type and suppose that Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Then any countable group measure equivalent to A_Γ is virtually a lattice in the locally compact topological group $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$.*

If in addition the graph Γ is star rigid, then any countable group measure equivalent to A_Γ is virtually isomorphic to A_Γ .

Again, similar to the situation of quasi-isometric rigidity, here we see two different forms of measure equivalence rigidity, corresponding to the two scenarios in Conjecture 1.3. The first form of rigidity is closer to what happens to higher rank lattices [Fur99], and the second form of rigidity is closer to what happens to mapping class groups of surfaces [Kid10].

Measure-equivalence rigidity of some triangle-free large-type Artin groups were proved in [HH20]. The simplest examples which are covered here, but not in [HH20], is that Γ being the 1-skeleton of a triangulation of some closed n -manifold, with $n \geq 2$.

Next we recall the notion of *stably orbit equivalence*, which is closely related to measure equivalence. Let G_1 and G_2 be two countable groups, and for every $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let X_i be a standard probability space equipped with an essentially free measure-preserving action of G_i by Borel automorphisms. The actions $G_1 \curvearrowright X_1$ and $G_2 \curvearrowright X_2$ are *stably orbit equivalent* if there exist Borel subsets $Y_1 \subseteq X_1$ and $Y_2 \subseteq X_2$ of positive measure and a measure-scaling isomorphism $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ such that for almost every $y \in Y_1$, one has

$$f((G_1 \cdot y) \cap Y_1) = (G_2 \cdot f(y)) \cap Y_2.$$

The actions $G_1 \curvearrowright X_1$ and $G_2 \curvearrowright X_2$ are *virtually conjugate* if there exist short exact sequences $1 \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow G_i \rightarrow Q_i \rightarrow 1$ with F_i finite for every $i \in \{1, 2\}$, finite-index subgroups $Q_1^0 \subseteq Q_1$ and $Q_2^0 \subseteq Q_2$, and conjugate actions $Q_1^0 \curvearrowright X_1'$ and $Q_2^0 \curvearrowright X_2'$ such that for every $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the Q_i -action on X_i/F_i is induced from the Q_i^0 -action on X_i' . Virtually conjugate actions are always stably orbit equivalent.

It turns out that two countable groups are measure equivalent if and only if they admit essentially free ergodic measure-preserving actions by Borel automorphisms on standard probability spaces which are stably orbit equivalent (see e.g. [Fur09, Theorem 2.5]).

Theorem 1.13. (=Corollary 6.16) *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type Artin group such that it is also of hyperbolic-type and Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Also suppose that Γ is star-rigid.*

Then for any ergodic measure-preserving essentially free action $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ on a probability measure space X , and any countable group G' with an ergodic measure-preserving essentially free G' -action on a standard probability space X' , as long as actions $G \curvearrowright X$ and $G' \curvearrowright X'$ are stably orbit equivalent, then they are virtually conjugate.

We also obtain classification results as follows.

Theorem 1.14. (=Corollary 6.23) *Suppose A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are two large-type and hyperbolic-type Artin groups such that Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are measure-equivalent;
2. A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ admit essentially free ergodic measure-preserving actions by Borel automorphisms on standard probability spaces which are stably orbit equivalent;
3. there is a label-preserving isomorphism between Γ and Γ' .

1.6 Application to lattice envelope

Recall a *lattice envelope* of a discrete group G is a locally compact second countable topological group where G embeds as a lattice. The study of lattice envelope was initiated in [BFS20]. Using the measure-equivalence rigidity results and [HH20], we characterise all lattice envelopes of certain large-type Artin groups, as well as their finite index subgroups.

Theorem 1.15. (=Theorem 6.17) *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type Artin group of hyperbolic-type and suppose that Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Suppose G' is a finite index subgroup of A_Γ which embeds into a locally compact second countable group H as a lattice via $f : G' \rightarrow H$.*

Then there exists a continuous homomorphism $\psi : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ with compact kernel such that $\psi \circ f$ coincides with the natural map $G' \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$.

If we assume in addition that Γ is star rigid, then the lattice envelope of A_Γ is more or less trivial.

Theorem 1.16. (=Theorem 6.18) *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type Artin group of hyperbolic-type and suppose that Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Also suppose that Γ is star-rigid. Then there exists G_0 which is virtually isomorphic to G such that the following holds true.*

Suppose A_Γ or one of its finite index subgroup embeds into a locally compact second countable group H as a lattice. Then there exists a continuous homomorphism $g : H \rightarrow G_0$ with compact kernel.

1.7 Application to W^* -rigidity

Given a countable group G and a standard probability space (X, μ) equipped with an ergodic measure-preserving free G -action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ by Borel automorphisms, a celebrated construction of Murray and von Neumann [MvN36] associates a cross-product von Neumann algebra $L(G \curvearrowright X)$ to the G -action on X . The actions $G \curvearrowright X$ and $H \curvearrowright Y$ are W^* -equivalent if $L(G \curvearrowright X)$ and $L(H \curvearrowright Y)$ are isomorphic: this is a weaker notion than

orbit equivalence. They are *stably* W^* -equivalent if the associated von Neumann Algebras have isomorphic amplifications.

As a consequence of previous discussion and [HH20], we can obtain W^* -rigidity results under almost the same assumption as Theorem 1.13, with an extra requirement that Γ is not a complete graph.

Theorem 1.17. (=Corollary 6.20) *Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group of hyperbolic-type. Suppose that*

1. Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars.
2. Γ is star rigid, and Γ is not a complete graph.

Let H be a countable group, and let $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $H \curvearrowright Y$ be free, ergodic, measure-preserving actions by Borel automorphisms on standard probability spaces.

If these two actions are stably W^ -equivalence, then they are virtually conjugate (in particular A_Γ and H are virtually isomorphic).*

Popa’s deformation/rigidity theory has led to many examples of W^* -superrigid actions, starting with the works of Peterson [Pet10] and Popa and Vaes [PV10]; but knowing that all actions of a given group are W^* -superrigid is a phenomenon that has only been established in very few cases so far [HPV13, CIK15, HH20, HH22]. Our W^* -superrigidity theorems are obtained as a consequence of orbit equivalence rigidity and of the uniqueness of $L^\infty(X)$ as a Cartan subalgebra of $L(A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$, up to unitary conjugacy. The latter is obtained from the existence of decompositions of A_Γ as an amalgamated free product, using a theorem of Ioana [Ioa15b]. The extra assumption that Γ is not a complete graph is used to produce splittings of A_Γ that satisfy the assumptions in Ioana’s theorem.

Note that many previous rigidity results (quasi-isometric, measure equivalent etc.) were already known in the context of more classical objects, like higher rank lattices or mapping class groups of surfaces. However, the form of rigidity in Theorem 1.17 (i.e. W^* -rigidity) is still open for higher rank lattices or mapping class groups of surfaces.

We also have a classification result.

Theorem 1.18. *Suppose A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are two large-type and hyperbolic-type Artin groups such that Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. If in addition both Γ and Γ' are not complete graphs, then the following are equivalent.*

1. *there is a label-preserving isomorphism between Γ and Γ' ;*
2. *the cross product von-Neumann algebras $L(A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ and $L(A_{\Gamma'} \curvearrowright Y)$ have isomorphic amplifications, for some free, ergodic, measure-preserving actions by Borel automorphisms on standard probability spaces $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $A_{\Gamma'} \curvearrowright Y$.*

1.8 Further results

As previously mentioned, Theorem 1.5 and all the applications can be reduced to a combinatorial property concerning the intersection pattern of some families of standard trees in the modified Deligne complex. The presentation graphs Γ satisfying that property are called “fundamental” (see Definition 5.10). Basically, a graph Γ is fundamental if every subgraph G of I_Γ that is isomorphic to the barycentric subdivision of Γ corresponds to a unique fundamental domain in the Deligne complex D_Γ (equivalently, see Definition 5.15).

The following follows from our work:

Theorem 1.19. *Let \mathcal{C} be a class of presentation graphs that correspond to large-type Artin groups. If every graph in \mathcal{C} is fundamental, then we recover the results of Theorems 1.7, 1.8, 1.9 and 1.11 if we replace the condition “ Γ (resp. Γ and Γ') admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars” with the condition “ Γ (resp. Γ and Γ') belongs to \mathcal{C} ”.*

Moreover, if the graphs in \mathcal{C} are also of hyperbolic-type, then the above also works for Theorems 1.12, 1.13, 1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17 and 1.18.

This theorem is a combination of Proposition 6.6, Proposition 6.14, Proposition 6.15, Proposition 6.19 and Lemma 6.21.

In this paper, we prove that any large-type graph Γ that admit a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars is fundamental (see Proposition 5.11).

In [BMV24], the authors also study the property of being fundamental, albeit not under that name. They show that every graph Γ that is connected, twistless, and whose labels are at least 6, is fundamental. In particular, the following holds.

Theorem 1.20. *Theorems 1.7, 1.8, 1.9, 1.11, 1.12, 1.13, 1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17 and 1.18 hold if we replace the condition “ Γ (resp. Γ and Γ') admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars” with the condition “ Γ (resp. Γ and Γ') is XXXL, connected, and twistless”.*

We believe that the following conjecture holds true:

Conjecture 1.21. Let A_Γ be any large-type Artin group. If Γ is connected and twistless, then it is fundamental.

1.9 Discussion of proofs

We will only discuss the proof of the main theorem Theorem 1.5 and some of its generalizations, as the passage from the main theorem to all the applications in rigidity and classification is standard.

Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group. Our goal is to compute the automorphism group of the associated intersection of graph I_Γ , eventually prove that under appropriate assumptions, the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ of I_Γ is isomorphic to the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(D_\Gamma)$ of the associated modified Deligne complex D_Γ . Then we relate $\text{Aut}(D_\Gamma)$ to the automorphism group of the Cayley complexes or automorphism group of A_Γ . We will only discuss how we relate $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ and $\text{Aut}(D_\Gamma)$, which is the most interesting part of the proof.

We recall that the vertices of I_Γ are in 1 – 1 correspondence with \mathbb{Z} -subgroups whose centraliser is not virtually abelian. Despite the description of the vertices of I_Γ looking uniform, our first step is show the automorphism group of I_Γ cannot act transitively on the vertex set of I_Γ , except when $\Gamma = \Delta_{333}$ is a triangle with all edges labelled by 3. In the special case $\Gamma = \Delta_{333}$, the corresponding Artin group A_Γ injects with finite index in the mapping class group $\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$ of the 5-punctured sphere. In particular, the intersection graph I_Γ is isomorphic to the curve graph \mathcal{C}_5 of the 5-punctured sphere (see Section 3.3). As such, it is vertex-transitive, and the vertices are indistinguishable from one another.

In contrast to what happens in curve graphs, transitivity fails whenever $\Gamma \neq \Delta_{333}$. This lack of symmetry is actually a crucial point for us. It turns out that the graph I_Γ contains three naturally different types of vertices, that we call vertices of type T , of type D and of type E . These different types of vertices correspond to the different types of non-virtually abelian centralisers appearing in Proposition 2.16. It is possible to build

connection between these vertices with certain convex subcomplexes of D_Γ . The simplest case is vertices of type D in I_Γ , which actually corresponds to certain type of vertices in D_Γ (we call them *type 2 vertices*). Vertices of type T in I_Γ corresponding to certain collections of trees in D_Γ (we call them *standard trees*); and vertices of type E are the most complicated ones, corresponding to more intricate subcomplexes of D_Γ .

First we try to distinguish vertices of type E in the intersection graph I_Γ . To this end, we carefully add certain 2-cells to I_Γ such that automorphisms of I_Γ also permutes these 2-cells. Now vertices of type E in I_Γ can be distinguished from T and D using the local structure of this 2-complex. This is done in Section 4, where we prove the following.

Proposition 1.22. (*=Corollary 4.18*) *Let A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ be two large-type Artin groups where both Γ and Γ' are connected, and $\Gamma \neq \Delta_{333} \neq \Gamma'$. Then every isomorphism $\psi : I_\Gamma \rightarrow I_{\Gamma'}$ sends vertices of type E to vertices of type E .*

The above proposition shows that every automorphism of I_Γ reduces to an automorphism of the subgraph I_Γ^{TD} spanned by the vertices of type T and D .

In Section 5.1 we strengthen the previous result provided Γ is not a tree. Our arguments rely on understanding intersections of standard trees in the Deligne complex. We prove the following:

Proposition 1.23. (*=Proposition 5.1*) *Let A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ be two large-type Artin groups where both Γ and Γ' are connected but not trees, and $\Gamma \neq \Delta_{333} \neq \Gamma'$. Then every isomorphism $\psi : I_\Gamma \rightarrow I_{\Gamma'}$ preserves the type of the vertices (T , D or E).*

A consequence of this proposition is that an automorphism $\psi \in \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ naturally sends (infinite) standard trees of the Deligne complex D_Γ to other (infinite) standard trees, and similarly, it sends type 2 vertices of D_Γ to other type 2 vertices. This is the kind of information we need to be able to construct a simplicial automorphism of the Deligne complex from ψ .

To extract the key property of constructing a simplicial automorphism of D_Γ from an automorphism of I_Γ , we then introduce the notion of fundamental subgraphs, and we prove the following general consequence of being fundamental:

Theorem 1.24. (*=Theorem 5.28*) *Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group such that Γ is connected and does not have leaf vertices. If Γ is fundamental, then $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma^{TD})$ is isomorphic to the group $\text{Aut}(D_\Gamma)$ of simplicial automorphisms of the Deligne complex.*

We also show in Section 5.2 that the following class of graphs Γ are fundamental.

Theorem 1.25. (*=Proposition 5.11*) *Suppose Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Then Γ is fundamental.*

Other examples of graphs that are fundamental are given [BMV24], see Section 1.8. Obtaining various rigidity results from Theorem 1.24 is done throughout Section 6.

Acknowledgements

The third author is supported by the Postdoc Mobility #P500PT_210985 of the Swiss National Science Foundation. The first author is partially supported by a Sloan fellowship and NSF DMS-2305411. The first author thanks Camille Horbez for several helpful discussions.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall several known facts about Artin groups. We also introduce objects central to this paper, such as the Deligne complex or the intersection graph. Finally, we prove such basic results about the intersection graph of a large-type Artin group.

2.1 General things about Artin groups

It is a common fact about Artin groups (see [vdL83]) that for any Artin group A_Γ and any full subgraph $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ the natural morphism $A_{\Gamma'} \hookrightarrow A_\Gamma$ is an injection. Consequently, we will write $A_{\Gamma'}$ to refer to both the Artin group over Γ' and the subgroup of A_Γ spanned by the standard generators associated with the vertices of Γ' . If Γ' is a single edge connecting a and b , we will often write A_{ab} instead of $A_{\Gamma'}$.

The subgroups of A_Γ of the form $A_{\Gamma'}$ are called *standard parabolic subgroups*, and their conjugates are called *parabolic subgroups*. Parabolic subgroups play a central role in the study of Artin groups. One key feature of their combinatorics is the construction of the celebrated (modified) Deligne complex introduced in [CD95].

Thereafter we define this complex in the context of *2-dimensional* Artin groups, which are Artin groups in which for every triangle $T = T(a, b, c)$ in Γ we have $\frac{1}{m_{ab}} + \frac{1}{m_{ac}} + \frac{1}{m_{bc}} \leq 1$.

Definition 2.1 (Modified Deligne complex). Let A_Γ be a 2-dimensional Artin group with defining graph Γ . The *(modified) Deligne complex* D_Γ is the geometric realization of the poset (ordered by inclusion) of all cosets of A_Γ of the form $gA_{\Gamma'}$, where Γ' is either the empty subgraph (in which case $A_{\Gamma'}$ is the trivial subgroup), a vertex of Γ , or an edge of Γ . The Artin group A_Γ act on D_Γ by left-multiplication, and we denote by K_Γ the fundamental domain of this action. A vertex of D_Γ is of type i if it corresponds to $gA_{\Gamma'}$ such that Γ' has i vertices.

The Deligne complex is generally well-understood for 2-dimensional Artin groups, thanks to the following:

Theorem 2.2. [CD95] *The Deligne complex D_Γ associated with any 2-dimensional Artin group A_Γ is 2-dimensional, and it is CAT(0) when given the Moussong metric.*

We define the Moussong metric thereafter:

Notation 2.3. In the Deligne complex D_Γ , we will sometimes denote the vertices $\{1\}$, $\langle a \rangle$ and A_{ab} by v_\emptyset , v_a and v_{ab} respectively. This will be useful to avoid confusion between vertices of D_Γ and subgroups of A_Γ .

Definition 2.4. Let A_Γ be a 2-dimensional Artin group. The *Moussong metric* is the piecewise-Euclidean metric d defined as follows. Let T_{ab} be the base triangle joining v_\emptyset , v_a and v_{ab} . Then we set

$$d(v_\emptyset, v_a) := 1, \quad \angle_{v_a}(v_\emptyset, v_{ab}) := \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \angle_{v_{ab}}(v_\emptyset, v_a) := \frac{\pi}{2m_{ab}},$$

where m_{ab} is the coefficient of the edge $e^{ab} \in V(\Gamma)$. Note that the above information entirely determines the isometry type of T_{ab} as a Euclidean triangle. Then, d is defined on K_Γ and further on D_Γ as a piecewise extension of the metrics on the base triangles.

Remark 2.5. One can easily show from Definition 2.4 that the fundamental domain K_Γ is always convex.

Large-type Artin groups are known to have well-behaved parabolic subgroups, in the following sense:

Theorem 2.6. [CMV22, Theorem A] *Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group. Then the intersection of any family of parabolic subgroups of A_Γ is a parabolic subgroup of A_Γ .*

Definition 2.7. [CMV22, Definition 35] *Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group, and let $g \in A_\Gamma$. Then there exists a parabolic subgroup P_g that is minimal with respect to the inclusion amongst the parabolic subgroups that contain g . P_g is called the *parabolic closure* of g .*

Definition 2.8. *The *type* of a parabolic subgroup $gA_\Gamma g^{-1}$ of A_Γ is the cardinality of the set $|V(\Gamma')|$, that is, the rank of $A_{\Gamma'}$. The *type* of an element $g \in A_\Gamma$ is the type of its parabolic closure P_g .*

As D_Γ is a simplicial complex with finitely many shapes, the action $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright D_\Gamma$ is semi-simple, meaning that every element $g \in A_\Gamma$ either acts elliptically, with a fixed set $\text{Fix}(g)$, or it acts hyperbolically, with a minimal set $\text{min}(g)$. The following lemma describes the behaviour of elliptic elements:

Lemma 2.9. [Cri05, Lemma 8] *Let A_Γ be a 2-dimensional Artin group, and let $g \in A_\Gamma \setminus \{1\}$ act elliptically on D_Γ . Then exactly one of the following happens:*

- *type*(g) = 1. *Equivalently, $g \in h\langle a \rangle h^{-1}$ for some $a \in V(\Gamma)$ and $h \in A_\Gamma$. In this case, $\text{Fix}(g) = h\text{Fix}(a)$ is a tree. We will call such trees *standard trees*.*
- *type*(g) = 2. *Equivalently, $g \in hA_{ab}h^{-1}$ for some $a, b \in V(\Gamma)$ satisfying $m_{ab} < \infty$ and some $h \in A_\Gamma$. In this case, $\text{Fix}(g)$ is the single vertex corresponding to the coset hA_{ab} .*

Remark 2.10. (1) Standard trees are convex.

(2) Note that while every element of type 1 is elliptic, elements of type 2 are elliptic if and only if their parabolic closure $hA_{ab}h^{-1}$ satisfies $m_{ab} < \infty$.

(3) It was showed in [Vas23b, Remark 2.17] that the standard tree $\text{Fix}(a)$ is infinite if and only if a is not an isolated vertex, and does not lie at the tip of an even-labelled leaf in Γ .

Lemma 2.11. [Vas23b, Lemmas 2.20 & 2.21] *Let $g, h \in A_\Gamma$ be such that g is elliptic when acting on D_Γ . If g and h commute, then h stabilises $\text{Fix}(g)$.*

Finally, we briefly prove the following Corollary to Theorem 2.6, that will be used several times throughout the paper:

Corollary 2.12. *Let P and P' be two parabolic subgroups of A_Γ such that none contains the other, and suppose that $\text{type}(P) = n$ and $\text{type}(P') = m$. Then $\text{type}(P \cap P') < \min\{n, m\}$.*

Proof. If $n = m = 1$, the statement follows from the fact that there is no non-trivial element that fixes two distinct standard trees pointwise.

So we suppose without loss of generality that $n \geq 2$. The proof then essentially follows from [CMV22]. In their work, the authors study a simplicial complex called the Artin complex \mathcal{A}_Γ , and show that every parabolic subgroup P of A_Γ of type n is the pointwise stabiliser of a simplex Δ_P of codimension n in \mathcal{A}_Γ (see [CMV22, Lemma 12]). We now consider the subgroup $Q := P \cap P'$, which is a parabolic subgroup of A_Γ by Theorem 2.6. By [CMV22, Lemma 20], there is a unique maximal simplex Δ of \mathcal{A}_Γ such that Q fixes Δ pointwise. By the above, there are two simplices Δ_P and $\Delta_{P'}$ of codimension n and m

respectively, such that Q fixes both simplices pointwise. By [CMV22, Lemma 12] again, it can't be that either of these simplices contains the other, or we would have $P \subseteq P'$ or $P' \subseteq P$, contradicting our hypotheses. This means that Q fixes strictly more than just Δ_P and just $\Delta_{P'}$. It follows that Δ must have codimension at most $\min\{n, m\} - 1$. By [CMV22, Lemma 12] again, this means that Q is a parabolic subgroup of type at most $\min\{n, m\} - 1$. The result follows. \square

2.2 Exotic dihedral Artin subgroups and Centralisers

We first recall a few things regarding dihedral Artin subgroups of exotic type.

Definition 2.13. [Vas23b] A subgroup H of A_Γ is called a *dihedral Artin subgroup* if it is abstractly isomorphic to a (non-abelian) dihedral Artin group. The subgroup H is said to be an *exotic* dihedral Artin subgroup if it is not contained in a dihedral Artin parabolic subgroup of A_Γ . Finally, a dihedral Artin subgroup H of A_Γ is said to be *maximal* if it is not strictly contained in another dihedral Artin subgroup of A_Γ .

Theorem 2.14. [Vas23b, Theorem D] *Let H be a maximal exotic dihedral Artin subgroup of a large-type Artin group A_Γ . Then there are three standard generators $a, b, c \in V(\Gamma)$ satisfying $m_{ab} = m_{ac} = m_{bc} = 3$ such that up to conjugation, H takes the form*

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \langle s, t \mid stst = tstst \rangle, \\ s &:= b^{-1}, \quad t := babc, \\ z &:= stst = tstst = abcabc, \end{aligned}$$

where z generates the centre of H . Note that $H = \langle b, abc \rangle$.

The centralisers of elements in large-type Artin groups were completely described in [MV23]: every non-trivial element $g \in A_\Gamma$ has a centraliser that is virtually $\langle g \rangle \times F$ where F is a possibly abelian free group. The non-virtually-abelian centralisers are at the heart of our strategy. We start with the following definition:

Definition 2.15. We say that a subgroup is $\mathbb{Z} \times F_{\geq 2}$ if it is isomorphic to a direct product $\mathbb{Z} \times F$ where F is a free group of rank at least 2.

We are particularly interested in subgroups that are virtually $\mathbb{Z} \times F_{\geq 2}$, because some of them appear as quasi-isometric invariants for studying large-type Artin groups (see [HO17]).

We recall the reader that a (non-abelian) dihedral Artin group always contains a finite index subgroup that is $\mathbb{Z} \times F_{\geq 2}$ ([CHR20]). In particular, it is always virtually $\mathbb{Z} \times F_{\geq 2}$.

Proposition 2.16. ([MV23, Remark 3.6], [JV24, Proposition 4.2]) *Suppose that A_Γ is large-type. Then up to conjugation and permutation of the generators, there are only up to three kinds of centralisers that are virtually $\mathbb{Z} \times F_{\geq 2}$:*

1. *The centralisers of the form $C(a)$ where a is a standard generator that is not an isolated vertex, nor the tip of an even-labelled leaf. In this case, $C(a) \cong \langle a \rangle \times F_{\geq 2}$.*
2. *The centralisers of the form $C(z_{ab})$ where z_{ab} generates the centre of a dihedral Artin parabolic subgroup A_{ab} . In this case, $C(z_{ab})$ is precisely A_{ab} .*
3. *The centralisers of the form $C(abcabc)$ where $a, b, c \in V(\Gamma)$ satisfy $m_{ab} = m_{ac} = m_{bc} = 3$. In this case, $C(abcabc)$ is an exotic dihedral Artin subgroup. It is isomorphic to the abstract dihedral Artin group $\langle x, y \mid xyxy = yxyx \rangle$.*

All other non-trivial centralisers are isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Remark 2.17. (1) When Γ is connected and without even-labelled leaves, then every standard generator has a centraliser that is $\mathbb{Z} \times F_{\geq 2}$.

(2) The dihedral Artin subgroup in Proposition 2.16.3 occur if and only if the large-type Artin group A_Γ is not of hyperbolic-type, i.e. if and only if Γ contains the subgraph Δ_{333} ([Vas23b, Theorem D]).

2.3 The intersection graph.

Throughout this section we let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group. The goal is to define the intersection graph associated with A_Γ , which is a quasi-isometric invariant for large-type Artin groups. The original definition of intersection [HO17, Definition 10.13 and Definition 10.7] was in terms of certain types of subcomplexes in the Cayley complex of A_Γ . Here we will introduce a group-theoretical reformulation of this definition, which is easier to work with, and explain how it corresponds to the old definition.

Recall that two subgroups G_1 and G_2 of A_Γ are *commensurable* if $G_1 \cap G_2$ is finite index in both G_1 and G_2 . A standard generator a is called *large* if its centraliser is large, i.e. it is not virtually abelian. By Proposition 2.16, a is large if and only if it is not isolated, nor the tip of an even-labelled leaf.

We say an element in A_Γ is *stable* if g is either conjugated to a large standard generator, or g generates the centre of a dihedral Artin parabolic subgroup, or g generates the centre of an exotic maximal dihedral Artin subgroup. In the last case, by Theorem 2.14, there exists $a, b, c \in V(\Gamma)$ with $m_{ab} = m_{bc} = m_{ac} = 3$ such that $g = h^{-1}(abcabc)^{\pm 1}h$ for some $h \in A_\Gamma$. In the first case, we say the stable element is of *type T*, in the second case, the element is of *type D*, and in the last case, the element is of *type E*. A *stable* \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of A_Γ is a subgroup generated by a stable element. The *type* of stable subgroups are defined as the type of the element generating them.

Remark 2.18. One should not mix the above notion of type with the notion of type defined in Definition 2.8, although stable elements of type *T* always have type 1, stable elements of type *D* have type 2, and stable elements of type *E* have type 3.

Corollary 2.19. *The centraliser of each stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of A_Γ is not virtually abelian. Conversely, each \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of A_Γ whose centraliser is not virtually abelian is contained in a stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of A_Γ .*

Proof. For any \mathbb{Z} -subgroup $H = \langle h \rangle$ of A_Γ we have $C(H) = C(h)$. Thus:

- If H is stable, then h is a stable element and $C(h)$ is not virtually abelian by Proposition 2.16. Thus so is $C(H)$.
- If $C(H)$ is not virtually abelian then $C(h)$ is not virtually abelian, hence h is a non-trivial power of a stable element h_0 , by Proposition 2.16. In particular, H is contained in the stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup $\langle h_0 \rangle$. □

Lemma 2.20. *If two stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroups of A_Γ are commensurable, then they are equal.*

Proof. First of all, it is easy to see that two stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of different types amongst *T*, *D* or *E* are never commensurable. This directly follows from Remark 2.18. Let now H, H' be two commensurable stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroups. By the above, they must have the same type. Now:

(1) If they are both of type T , then $G = \langle g \rangle$ and $H = \langle h \rangle$, where g, h are conjugates of standard generators. The fixed sets $\text{Fix}(g)$ and $\text{Fix}(h)$ are two standard trees, and for any $n \neq 0$ we have $\text{Fix}(g^n) = \text{Fix}(g)$ and $\text{Fix}(h^n) = \text{Fix}(h)$ (this follows from Lemma 2.9). By hypothesis, there are some $n, m \neq 0$ such that $g^n = h^m$. Using the above, this gives $\text{Fix}(g) = \text{Fix}(h)$, which yields $G = H$.

(2) If they are both of type D , then $G = \langle g \rangle$ and $H = \langle h \rangle$, where g, h each generate the centre of a dihedral Artin parabolic subgroup of A_Γ . The fixed-sets $\text{Fix}(g)$ and $\text{Fix}(h)$ are two type 2 vertices of D_Γ , and for any $n \neq 0$ we have $\text{Fix}(g^n) = \text{Fix}(g)$ and $\text{Fix}(h^n) = \text{Fix}(h)$ (this also follows from Lemma 2.9). As above, commensurability implies $G = H$.

(3) If they are both of type E , then $G = \langle g \rangle$ and $H = \langle h \rangle$, where g, h each generate the centre of an exotic Artin parabolic subgroup of A_Γ . The minimal sets $\text{Min}(g)$ and $\text{Min}(h)$ are two subcomplexes of X_Γ , and for any $n \neq 0$, we have $\text{Min}(g^n) = \text{Min}(g)$ and $\text{Min}(h^n) = \text{Min}(h)$ (see [Vas23b, Remark 3.31]). As before, commensurability implies that $\text{Min}(g) = \text{Min}(h)$. In particular, g and h are hyperbolic elements that act by translations along the same axes. They are both translation of minimal length by maximality of G and H , so it must be that $g = h^{\pm 1}$. It follows that $G = H$. \square

Let P_Γ be the presentation complex of A_Γ such that each edge of P_Γ is labelled by a standard generator of A_Γ , and we choose an orientation for each edge of P_Γ . Let X_Γ be the Cayley complex of A_Γ , i.e. X_Γ is the universal cover of P_Γ , with induced labelling and orientation of edges. In [HO17], we introduce three types of connected subcomplexes of the Cayley complex X_Γ (while these subcomplexes are called “lines”, they are not exactly homomorphic to \mathbb{R} , but they are quasi-isometric to \mathbb{R}):

1. the first type of subcomplexes are called *diamond lines*, see [HO17, Definition 5.1 and Figure 14]; it follows from the definition that for each diamond line $L \subset X_\Gamma$, there is a stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of A_Γ of type D which stabilizes L and acts cocompactly on L ; conversely, each stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of A_Γ of type D stabilizes a diamond line and acts cocompactly on it;
2. the second type are called *plain lines*, see [HO17, Definition 5.4]; it follows from the definition that each diamond line $L \subset X_\Gamma$ is stabilized by a stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of type T which acts cocompactly on L ; conversely, each stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of type T stabilizes a plain line and acts cocompactly on it;
3. the third type are *Coxeter lines*, see [HO17, Definition 5.3 and Figure 15]; each Coxeter line $L \subset X_\Gamma$ is stabilized by a stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of type E which acts cocompactly on L ; conversely, each stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of type E stabilizes a Coxeter line and acts cocompactly on it.

A *singular line* in X_Γ is either a diamond line, or a plain line, or a Coxeter line. Two singular lines are *parallel* if they have finite Hausdorff distance from each other. It follows from [MSW11, Corollary 2.4] that if two singular lines are parallel, and Z_1 and Z_2 are stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroups of A_Γ stabilizing each of them, then Z_1 and Z_2 are commensurable. By Lemma 2.20, $Z_1 = Z_2$. This together with the above discussion imply there is a 1-1 correspondence between the collection of parallel classes of singular lines in X_Γ , and the collection of stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroups of A_Γ . This allows us to reformulate [HO17, Definition 10.13] in the large-type case as follows.

Definition 2.21. [Intersection graph: definition I] Suppose A_Γ is a large-type Artin group. The *intersection graph* of A_Γ , denoted I_Γ , is defined to be the graph whose vertices are the stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroups of A_Γ , and two vertices are adjacent, if the associated \mathbb{Z} -subgroups commute.

One can actually define the edges in the intersection graph I_Γ in Definition 2.21 with a seemingly looser yet equivalent condition (see Lemma 2.23).

Lemma 2.22. *Let $g \in A_\Gamma$ be a stable element. Then for any $n \neq 0$, we have $C(g) = C(g^n)$.*

Proof. The result is standard if g is of type T or of type D (for the reader, it can be proved easily from Proposition 2.16). If g is of type E , this is [Vas23b, Remark 3.31]. \square

Lemma 2.23. *Two stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroups commute if and only if they have finite index subgroups that commute.*

Proof. The “only if” is trivial, so we prove the “if”. We call the stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroups G and H . Recall that there are two elements $g, h \in A_\Gamma$ such that $G = \langle g \rangle$ and $H = \langle h \rangle$. By hypothesis, there are subgroups $\langle g^n \rangle$ and $\langle h^m \rangle$ that commute, for some $n, m \neq 0$. In particular, $h^m \in C(g^n)$. By Lemma 2.22, we have $C(g) = C(g^n)$, so h^m actually commutes with g . By Lemma 2.22 again, we have $C(h) = C(h^m)$, so g and h must commute. \square

Lemma 2.24. *If G and H are two distinct stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroups, then $G \cap H = \{1\}$. If additionally G and H commute, then $\langle G, H \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$.*

Proof. If $G \cap H$ is strictly bigger than $\{1\}$, then it has finite index in both G and H . In particular, both subgroups are commensurable, and hence equal by Lemma 2.20. The second point is clear. \square

Definition 2.25. [Intersection graph: definition II] The *intersection graph* I_Γ of A_Γ is the graph whose vertices are the centralisers $C(g)$ that are virtually $\mathbb{Z} \times F_{\geq 2}$, and two vertices $C(g)$ and $C(h)$ are adjacent if $C(g) \cap C(h) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Lemma 2.26. *The two definitions of the intersection graph I_Γ coincide.*

Proof. For the purpose of this proof, we call I_Γ the intersection graph (definition I) and J_Γ the intersection graph (definition II). The isomorphism $\Lambda : I_\Gamma \rightarrow J_\Gamma$ is given by

$$\Lambda : G \mapsto C(G), \quad \Lambda^{-1} : C(g) \mapsto \langle g \rangle$$

We first show that Λ and Λ^{-1} are indeed inverse of each other's. Let $G \in I_\Gamma$. Then there is a stable element g such that $G = \langle g \rangle$. We obtain

$$\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(G)) = \Lambda^{-1}(C(G)) = \Lambda^{-1}(C(\langle g \rangle)) \stackrel{2.22}{=} \Lambda^{-1}(C(g)) = \langle g \rangle = G.$$

Conversely,

$$\Lambda(\Lambda^{-1}(C(g))) = \Lambda(\langle g \rangle) = C(\langle g \rangle) \stackrel{2.22}{=} C(g).$$

Now suppose that $G, H \in I_\Gamma$ are adjacent. Then G and H are distinct yet commute. In particular, $C(G)$ and $C(H)$ both contain $\langle G, H \rangle$, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^2 by Lemma 2.24. We want to show that $C(G) \cap C(H)$ is exactly \mathbb{Z}^2 .

By Proposition 2.16, we know that each of $C(G)$ or $C(H)$ is virtually $\mathbb{Z} \times F_{\geq 2}$. Notice that $C(G) \cap C(H) = C_{C(G)}(C(H)) = C_{C(G)}(C(h))$, where $H = \langle h \rangle$.

If one of $C(G)$ or $C(H)$, say $C(G)$ is abstractly isomorphic to a dihedral Artin group, then we can use the classification of centralisers in dihedral Artin groups (see [MV23, Remark 3.6] or [JV24, Proposition 4.2]) to deduce that $C_{C(G)}(C(h))$ is isomorphic to one of \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Z}^2 or $C(G)$ itself. The first and the third case cannot happen as it would require G and H to intersect non-trivially. Thus $C(G) \cap C(H) = C_{C(G)}(C(h)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$.

If none of $C(G)$ or $C(H)$ is a dihedral Artin group, then both take the form $\mathbb{Z} \times F_{\geq 2}$. Since $h \in C(G) = G \times F$, we can write $h = (h_1, h_2)$ with $h_1 \in G$ and $h_2 \in F$. Let now $k \in C(G) \cap C(H)$. Because $k \in C(G)$, we can write $k = (k_1, k_2)$ with $k_1 \in G$ and $k_2 \in F$. Because $k \in C(H)$, we know that $hk = kh$, so in particular, $h_2 k_2 = k_2 h_2$. This forces h_2 and k_2 to belong to a common maximal \mathbb{Z} -subgroup K of F . This shows that $C(G) \cap C(H)$ is contained in $G \times K \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, as wanted.

We conclude that $\Lambda(G)$ and $\Lambda(H)$ are adjacent in J_Γ .

Conversely, if $C(g), C(h) \in J_\Gamma$ are adjacent, then $C(g) \cap C(h) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. Note that any \mathbb{Z}^2 -subgroup of a centraliser that is non-virtually abelian is always such that one of its two factors is contained in the centre of the centraliser, because such centralisers always split as a product $\mathbb{Z} \times F$ where the first factor is precisely their centre. In particular, $C(g) \cap C(h)$ contains both $\langle g^n \rangle$ and $\langle h^m \rangle$ for some $n, m \neq 0$. This means g^n commute with h^m , and consequently, g commute with h (use Lemma 2.23). Finally, $G = \langle g \rangle$ and $H = \langle h \rangle$ are adjacent in I_Γ . \square

Lemma 2.27. *Let T_g and T_h be two standard trees in D_Γ , with respective local groups $G = \langle g \rangle$ and $H = \langle h \rangle$. If $T_g \cap T_h = \emptyset$, then g and h do not commute.*

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in T_g \times T_h$ be a couple of points minimising the distance between T_g and T_h . We suppose that g and h commute, so that h stabilises T_g by Lemma 2.11. Consequently, the point $h \cdot x$ also belongs to T_g . Note that $h \cdot y = y$ by construction. By [Mar24, Lemma 3.8], the angle that the geodesics $[x, y]$ and $[y, g \cdot x]$ make at y is exactly π . It follows that the union $[x, y] \cup [y, g \cdot x]$ is a geodesic of D_Γ . As it connects two points of T_g and T_g is convex (Remark 2.10.(1)), it must be that the whole geodesic is contained in T_g . This contradicts $y \notin T_g$, which shows that g and h in fact cannot commute. \square

Lemma 2.28. *The only possible edges in I_Γ are of the form $T - D$, $T - E$ or $E - E$.*

Proof. We need to show that there can't be edges of the form $T - T$, $D - D$ or $D - E$.

Suppose that $G, H \in I_\Gamma$ are both of type T yet adjacent vertices, and write $G = \langle g \rangle$, $H = \langle h \rangle$. By hypothesis, G and H commute (and thus, so do g and h). In particular, g stabilises the standard tree $Fix(H)$ by Lemma 2.11. We now exhibit a contradiction. Indeed, it can't be that $Fix(G)$ and $Fix(H)$ are disjoint as g and h commute (use Lemma 2.27), but it also can't be that $Fix(G)$ and $Fix(H)$ intersect, as [BMV24, Lemma 2.7] would then prove that we can't have $\langle G, H \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Suppose that $G, H \in I_\Gamma$ are both of type D yet adjacent vertices, and write $G = \langle g \rangle$, $H = \langle h \rangle$. As before, since g and h commute, then g stabilises the type 2 vertex $Fix(H)$ by Lemma 2.11. This forces $Fix(G) = Fix(H)$, which then forces $G = H$, giving a contradiction.

Suppose that $G, H \in I_\Gamma$ are such that G is type D and H is type E , and write $G = \langle g \rangle$, $H = \langle h \rangle$. Once again, g and h commute, which gives a contradiction as elements of type 2 such as g cannot commute with hyperbolic elements such as h (see [Vas23b, Lemma 2.29]). \square

Definition 2.29. We define I_Γ^{TD} to be the subgraph of I_Γ spanned by the vertices of type T and D .

We already know that I_Γ^{TD} can be defined in two equivalent ways (see Definition 2.21, Definition 2.25 and Lemma 2.26). Thereafter we give yet an equivalent definition of I_Γ^{TD} , that will be used extensively in Section 5. The following definition is actually equivalent to Crisp's Θ -graph [Cri05]. This is because Crisp considered large-type triangle-free Artin groups, which have no exotic dihedral Artin subgroups. In particular, their intersection graphs have no vertices of type E , in which case $I_\Gamma^{TD} = I_\Gamma$.

Definition 2.30. [Intersection subgraph I_Γ^{TD} : definition III] The graph I_Γ^{TD} is the graph whose vertices are the set \mathcal{T} of unbounded standard trees in D_Γ and the set \mathcal{D} of type 2 vertices in D_Γ , and there is an edge between $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if $v \in t$ in D_Γ .

Lemma 2.31. *The three definitions of the intersection subgraph I_Γ^{TD} coincide.*

Proof. We already know that the first two definitions coincide by Lemma 2.26. The rest of the argument is standard. \square

Before finishing this section, we say a few words regarding loops in I_Γ^{TD} and in I_Γ .

Definition 2.32. The *girth* of a graph is the infimum of the length of embedded cycles.

Corollary 2.33. I_Γ^{TD} is a bipartite graph. In particular, its girth is even and at least 6.

Proof. By Lemma 2.28, the only possible edges in I_Γ^{TD} are of the form $T - D$. This means I_Γ^{TD} is bipartite, and its girth is even.

Suppose that I_Γ^{TD} contains a 4-cycle, which necessarily takes the form $(T - D - T - D)$. Geometrically, this means there is two distinct type 2 vertices in D_Γ with two distinct standard trees connecting them. But standard trees are convex and D_Γ is CAT(0) hence uniquely geodesic (see 2.10.(1) and Theorem 2.2), so this yields a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.34. *Let H be a stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of type E . We know that up to conjugation, $H = \langle h \rangle$ where $h = abcabc$ and $a, b, c \in V(\Gamma)$ are such that $m_{ab} = m_{ac} = m_{bc} = 3$. Then H , seen as a vertex of I_Γ , is contained in the 5-cycle described in Figure 1.*

It follows that every vertex of type E is contained in a 5-cycle, and every vertex of type E has a neighbour of type T and a neighbour of type E .

Proof. The first paragraph of the lemma directly follows from Theorem 2.14. Let z_{ab} be the element $ababab$ generating the centre of A_{ab} . We exhibit a 5-cycle containing H :




Figure 1: A 5-cycle of I_Γ containing H .

We need to check that the edges from Figure 1 actually exist. Note that:

- The elements a and b commute with z_{ab} as the latter is central in A_{ab} .
- One can easily see using the relations that the elements $abcabc$ and b commute. The same goes for $bacbac$ and a . See [Vas23b, Lemma 4.2] for details.
- The elements $abcabc$ and $bacbac$ commute because they act as pure translations on a common Euclidean plane of D_Γ . See [Vas23b, Proof of Lemma 4.12 & Figure 17] for details.

□

In Section 3, we will prove that the 5-cycle described in Figure 1 is induced.

3 On the intersection graph of the $(3, 3, 3)$ -Artin group

In this section we establish several properties of the intersection graph of the $(3, 3, 3)$ -Artin group for later uses, via the topological interpretation of this graph as the curve graph of 5-punctured sphere.

3.1 Small cycles in the curve graph of 5-punctured sphere

Let Σ be a finite type surface, with possibly punctures and boundary components. The *extended mapping class group* of Σ , denoted by $\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma)$, is made of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms of Σ that is identity on $\partial\Sigma$, and the isotopy is taken within such classes of homeomorphisms. The *mapping class group* $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ is defined to be the finite index subgroup corresponding to orientation-preserving homeomorphisms. A simple closed curve on Σ is *essential* if it is not null-homotopic, and it is not homotopic to a puncture or a boundary component. Let $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ be the collection of homotopy classes of essential simple closed curves in Σ . There is a natural action $\text{Mod}(\Sigma) \curvearrowright \mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$. Let $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$. Take any two (oriented) transverse simple closed curves representing α and β . Then the *algebraic intersection number* of α and β is the sum of the indices of intersection points, where index is $+1$ when the orientation of the intersection coincides with a given orientation of Σ and is -1 otherwise. The algebraic intersection number does not depend on the choice of the two representatives. The *geometric intersection number* of α and β , denoted by $I(\alpha, \beta)$, is defined to be the minimal possible cardinality of $a \cap b$, with $a \in \alpha$ and $b \in \beta$. We write $\alpha \cap \beta = \emptyset$ if $I(\alpha, \beta) = 0$. We write $\alpha \perp_0 \beta$ if $I(\alpha, \beta) = 2$ and their algebraic intersection number is 0.

The *curve graph* for Σ , is the graph whose vertices are elements in $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$, and two vertices are adjacent if the associated two classes can be represented by disjoint curves.

For an positive integer n , let Σ_n be the n -punctured sphere. We will be especially interested in Σ_5 . We denote the curve graph of Σ_5 by \mathcal{C}_5 .

Let $P\text{Mod}(\Sigma_5)$ be the finite index subgroup of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_5)$ fixing each puncture of Σ_5 . An essential simple closed curve b in Σ_5 *encloses* two punctures p and p' of Σ_5 if they are contained in the same connected component C of $\Sigma_5 \setminus b$ and C does not contain any other punctures of Σ_5 . In that case we also say that the class of b in $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_5)$ *encloses* p and p' .

Lemma 3.1. *The action of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_5)$ on \mathcal{C}_5 is vertex transitive and edge transitive. Moreover, given two punctures p and p' of Σ_5 , the group $P\text{Mod}(\Sigma_5)$ acts transitively on the set of elements in $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_5)$ that enclose p and p' .*

Proof. For each essential simple curve $b \in \Sigma_5$, we know $\Sigma_5 \setminus b$ has two components, one homeomorphic to Σ_3 and one homeomorphic to Σ_2 . Thus by the change of coordinate principle (see e.g. [FM11, Chapter 1.3]), the action $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_5) \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}_5$ is vertex transitive. Other parts of the lemma can be proved by a similar argument. \square

We recall several basic facts about \mathcal{C}_5 .

Lemma 3.2. *The girth of \mathcal{C}_5 is 5.*

Proof. This is a well-known fact. We sketch a proof for the convenience of the reader. It is not hard to see \mathcal{C}_5 does contain an embedded 5-cycle. 3-cycles of \mathcal{C}_5 can be ruled out as the associated mapping class group does not contain a subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^3 . Now we rule out embedded 4-cycles. Suppose $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^4$ are consecutive vertices of an embedded 4-cycle. Take representatives $b_i \in \beta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$ such that $b_i \cap b_{i+1} = \emptyset$ with the index i understood as modulo 4. Let D be the closure of the component of $\Sigma_5 \setminus b_1$ that contains three punctures. Then $b_i \subset D$ for $i = 2, 4$. Note that the subgroup of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_5)$ generated by Dehn twists along β_2, β_4 contain an element g which is pseudo-Anosov on D . As the Dehn twist along β_3 commute with g , it can be represented by a homeomorphism whose support is outside D . This implies that $\beta_1 = \beta_3$, contradicting that the 4-cycle is embedded. \square

The following is a consequence of a work of Feng Luo [Luo00].

Lemma 3.3. *Let ω be an embedded 5-cycle in \mathcal{C}_5 with consecutive vertices corresponding to the homotopy classes $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}}$. Then there exists a labelling of the 5 punctures $\{p_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}}$ by elements in $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ (this labelling depends on ω) and five simple arcs $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}}$ with each γ_i connecting p_i and p_{i+1} such that*

1. *the concatenation of $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}}$ gives a simple closed curve on the sphere;*
2. *for each i , the boundary of a small enough neighborhood of γ_i gives a simple closed curve representing one of $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^5$.*

Proof. We take representative $b_i \in \beta_i$ for each i such that the cardinality of $b_i \cap b_j$ is minimized among all representatives whenever $i \neq j$ (this is possible by putting a finite volume complete hyperbolic metric on Σ_5 and choosing b_i to be the unique geodesic representative in the homotopy class). We claim $\beta_i \cap \beta_{i+2} \neq \emptyset$. Note that $\Sigma_5 \setminus b_i$ has two components C_1 and C_2 with C_1 homeomorphic to the sphere with 4 punctures Σ_4 and C_2 homeomorphic to Σ_3 . Then b_{i+1}, b_{i+2} are contained in C_1 and $b_{i+1} \cap b_{i+2} = \emptyset$. As $C_1 \setminus b_{i+1}$ is a union of two components, each of which is homeomorphic to Σ_3 , we must have $b_{i+1} \cap b_{i+2} \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Thus the claim is proved. Now it follows from [Luo00, Lemma 4.2] that $\beta_i \perp_0 \beta_{i+2}$ for each i .

For each β_i , let a_i be a simple arc in the Σ_3 -component of $\Sigma_5 \setminus b_i$ connecting the two punctures in this component. This definition determines a_i up to isotopy rel endpoints. Note that $a_i \cap a_{i+1} = \emptyset$ as $b_1 \cap b_{i+1} = \emptyset$. Moreover we can arrange $a_i \cap a_{i+2} = \emptyset$ except at endpoints for each i as $\beta_i \perp_0 \beta_{i+2}$. Let $\gamma_1 = a_1, \gamma_2 = a_3, \gamma_3 = a_5, \gamma_4 = a_4$ and $\gamma_5 = a_2$. Then the lemma follows. \square

3.2 The (3, 3, 3) Artin group and $\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$

Throughout this section we let A_Γ be the Artin group where Γ is a triangle with coefficients (3, 3, 3).

Notation 3.4. We let the north pole N and the south pole S be two of the punctures of Σ_5 . The three other punctures of Σ_5 will be supposed to lie on the equator and be denoted by X, Y and Z . The set of punctures is called \mathcal{P} . The equator is cut by X, Y and Z into three segments $\gamma_{X,Y}, \gamma_{Y,Z}$ and $\gamma_{Z,X}$. Let T_{XY} be the half-twist permuting X and Y such that T_{XY} is supported in a small neighbourhood of $\gamma_{X,Y}$. Similarly we define T_{YZ} and T_{ZX} .

Let Γ be the presentation graph consisting of a triangle with labels $(3, 3, 3)$. The following is proved in [CC05] - see [CC05, Figure 3] and the discussion before that figure. Note that the definition of mapping class group in [CC05] corresponds to our extended mapping class group.

Proposition 3.5. *There exists a natural injection $F : A_\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$ of the $(3, 3, 3)$ -Artin group $A_\Gamma = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ into the mapping class group of the 5-punctured sphere Σ_5 , defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} F(a) &= T_{XY}, \\ F(b) &= T_{YZ}, \\ F(c) &= T_{ZX}. \end{aligned}$$

The image of this injection has index 120 in $\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$.

We recall the following result about automorphisms of the $(3, 3, 3)$ Artin group A_Γ :

Theorem 3.6. *[Vas23a, Theorem A] Let A_Γ be the $(3, 3, 3)$ Artin group. Then $\text{Aut}(A_\Gamma) = \text{Aut}_\Gamma(A_\Gamma)$, i.e. the automorphism group of A_Γ is generated by the conjugations, the graph automorphisms, and the global inversion.*

Following the previous theorem, the homomorphism map $F : A_\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$ extends to an injective homomorphism $\overline{F} : \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$ as follows:

- If φ_g is the conjugation by g , then $\overline{F}(\varphi_g) := F(g)$;
- If σ is a graph automorphism of order 2, then $\overline{F}(\sigma)$ is a rotation of Σ_5 of angle π . E.g. if $\sigma : a \mapsto a, b \mapsto c, c \mapsto b$, then it is the rotation along the axis between Z and the midpoint of X and Y ;
- If σ is a graph automorphism of order 3, then $\overline{F}(\sigma)$ is a rotation of Σ_5 around the axis (N, S) . E.g. if $\sigma : a \mapsto b, b \mapsto c, c \mapsto a$, then it is a rotation of angle $(2\pi/3)$;
- If ι is the global inversion, then ι is the reflection of Σ_5 along the plane that contains X, Y, Z .

Lemma 3.7. *Let $H \leq \text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$ be the subgroup consisting of the homeomorphisms that preserve the two sets of punctures $\{X, Y, Z\}$ and $\{N, S\}$. Then $\overline{F}(\text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)) = H$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $[\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5) : H] = 10$ because $|S_5|/(|S_3| \cdot |S_2|) = 120/(6 \cdot 2) = 10$. By definition, $\overline{F}(\text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)) \subset H$. We have an inclusion of subgroups:

$$F(A_\Gamma) \stackrel{12}{\leq} \overline{F}(\text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)) \stackrel{n}{\leq} H \stackrel{10}{\leq} \text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5),$$

where the indices of each inclusion are written accordingly. Since $[\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5) : F(A_\Gamma)] = 120$, we obtain $n = 1$, thus the lemma follows. \square

3.3 Intersection graph and curve graph

Let Γ be a triangle with labels $(3, 3, 3)$. Let I_Γ be the associated intersection graph (Definition 2.21). Recall that two subgroups G_1 and G_2 of A_Γ are *commensurable*, if $G_1 \cap G_2$ is finite index in both G_1 and G_2 .

By Corollary 2.19, the intersection graph I_Γ can also be characterised as follows: vertices of I_Γ are in 1-1 correspondence with commensurability classes of \mathbb{Z} subgroups of A_Γ whose centralisers are not virtually abelian, and two vertices are adjacent if the associated commensurability classes commute, which means that each class has a representative such that the two representatives commute.

Lemma 3.8. *Vertices of \mathcal{C}_5 are in 1-1 correspondence with commensurability classes of \mathbb{Z} subgroups of $\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$ whose centralisers are not virtually abelian, and two vertices are adjacent if the associated classes commute.*

Proof. By a criterion of Ivanov [Iva02, Theorem 7.5B], there is an appropriate finite index subgroup G of $\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$ such that an element $g \in G$ is a non-trivial power of some Dehn twist along an element in $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_5)$ if and only if the centre of the centraliser of g in G is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} and is not equal to the centraliser of g in G . Given a \mathbb{Z} subgroup L of $\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$ with its centraliser not virtually abelian, then the centraliser of $L \cap G$ in G is not virtually abelian. Recall that G and A_Γ share isomorphic finite index subgroups (Proposition 3.5), and we know that if a \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of A_Γ has its centraliser in A_Γ being not virtually abelian, then its centraliser has a finite index subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times F_k$ with $k \geq 2$ (see Proposition 2.16).

Thus the centraliser of $L \cap G$ in G has a finite index subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times F_k$ with $k \geq 2$. By Ivanov's criterion as before, L is commensurable to a \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of $\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$ generated by a Dehn twist along an element $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma_5)$. Thus each \mathbb{Z} subgroup of $\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$ with non-virtually-abelian centraliser gives rise to an element in $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma_5)$. Conversely, each element in $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_5)$ gives a Dehn twist which generates a \mathbb{Z} -subgroup whose centraliser is not virtually abelian. Thus the lemma follows. \square

Definition 3.9. Let $F : A_\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$ be the injective homomorphism with finite index image as in Proposition 3.5. Then F induces a 1-1 correspondence between \mathbb{Z} -subgroups of A_Γ with non-virtually-abelian centralisers and \mathbb{Z} -subgroups of $\text{Mod}^\pm(\Sigma_5)$ with non-virtually-abelian centralisers. Thus by the previous discussion and Lemma 3.8, F induces an isomorphism $F_* : I_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_5$.

4 Reducing to automorphisms of I_Γ^{TD}

Throughout this section we let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group of rank at least 3.

4.1 Pieces and 5-cycles

Let $A_{\Gamma'}$ be a (standard) parabolic subgroup of A_Γ . A stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of $A_{\Gamma'}$ is inherently a stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of A_Γ . Conversely, a stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ of A_Γ is a stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of $A_{\Gamma'}$ if and only if $\langle g \rangle$ (or equivalently g) is contained in $A_{\Gamma'}$.

Additionally, two stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of $A_{\Gamma'}$ commute in $A_{\Gamma'}$ if and only if they commute in A_Γ , given that the natural map $A_{\Gamma'} \hookrightarrow A_\Gamma$ is injective by [vdL83].

Consequently, the above means that the intersection graph $I_{\Gamma'}$ associated with $A_{\Gamma'}$ naturally injects in the intersection graph I_Γ associated with A_Γ . We shall then see $I_{\Gamma'}$ as a subgraph of I_Γ . A corollary of this discussion is the following:

Corollary 4.1. *A stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup $H = \langle h \rangle$ is a vertex of $I_{\Gamma'}$ if and only if H (equivalently h) is contained in $A_{\Gamma'}$.*

If $gA_{\Gamma'}g^{-1}$ is a parabolic subgroup of A_{Γ} , we denote by $gI_{\Gamma'}g^{-1}$ the associated intersection subgraph of I_{Γ} . Note that $I_{\Gamma'}$ and $gI_{\Gamma'}g^{-1}$ are naturally isomorphic.

Definition 4.2. A subgraph of I_{Γ} of the form $gI_{\Gamma'}g^{-1}$ for some $g \in A_{\Gamma}$, where Γ' is a 3-cycle subgraph of Γ is called a *piece* of I_{Γ} . We say that $gI_{\Gamma'}g^{-1}$ is a *k-piece* if it is a piece and its girth as a graph is k .

Our next goal is to prove Lemma 4.6 below. We start with the following:

Lemma 4.3. *Every 5-cycle in I_{Γ} contains a vertex of type E .*

Proof. Let γ be a cycle of I_{Γ} that does not contain a vertex of type E . Then γ is contained in I_{Γ}^{TD} . In particular, γ has an even number of edges by Corollary 2.33. \square

Lemma 4.4. *A vertex of type E is contained in a single 5-piece.*

Proof. Existence was proved in Lemma 2.34, so we only prove unicity. Let v_e be our vertex, and let $gI_{\Gamma'}g^{-1}$ and $hI_{\Gamma''}h^{-1}$ be two 5-pieces containing v_e . Up to conjugation, v_e corresponds to a stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup of the form $\langle abcabc \rangle$ for appropriate $a, b, c \in V(\Gamma)$. By Corollary 4.1, the element $abcabc$ is both contained in $gA_{\Gamma'}g^{-1}$ and in $hA_{\Gamma''}h^{-1}$. Since $abcabc$ has type 3, the intersection $gA_{\Gamma'}g^{-1} \cap hA_{\Gamma''}h^{-1}$ has type at least 3. But $gA_{\Gamma'}g^{-1}$ and $hA_{\Gamma''}h^{-1}$ both have type 3, so by Corollary 2.12 it must be that one of the two parabolic subgroup contains the other. Since they have the same type, we obtain $gA_{\Gamma'}g^{-1} = hA_{\Gamma''}h^{-1}$. Using Corollary 4.1 again, this proves that $gI_{\Gamma'}g^{-1} = hI_{\Gamma''}h^{-1}$, as wanted. \square

Lemma 4.5. *If a vertex $v \in I_{\Gamma}$ of type D or E belongs to a piece then every neighbour v' of v belongs to that piece.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.28, the only possible edges in I_{Γ} are of type $T - D$, of type $T - E$ or of type $E - E$.

If v is of type E , then up to conjugating it corresponds to the stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup $\langle abcabc \rangle$ for some appropriate generators $a, b, c \in V(\Gamma)$. By Corollary 4.1, v belongs to $I_{\Gamma'}$ thus $abcabc \in A_{\Gamma'}$. As v and v' are adjacent, v' corresponds to a stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup $\langle h \rangle$ and $h \in C(abcabc)$. By Theorem 2.14, we have $C(abcabc) = \langle b, abc \rangle \subseteq A_{\Gamma'} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. Thus $h \in A_{\Gamma'}$. By Corollary 4.1, this means that $v' \in I_{\Gamma'}$.

If v is of type D , we can proceed as above. Since v' must be of type T , we obtain $h \in C(z_{ab}) = A_{ab} \subseteq A_{\Gamma'}$, so we must also have $h \in A_{\Gamma'}$, i.e. $v' \in I_{\Gamma'}$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Each 5-cycle in I_{Γ} is contained in a single 5-piece.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that each 5-cycle in I_{Γ} is contained in some 5-piece, as unicity then follows from the fact that each 5-cycle contains a vertex of type E (Lemma 2.34) and that each vertex of type E is contained in a single 5-piece (Lemma 4.4).

Let γ be a 5-cycle of I_{Γ} . By Lemma 4.3, we know that γ contains a vertex v_e of type E . By Lemma 2.28, we also know that the only possible edges in I_{Γ} are of type $T - D$, of type $T - E$ or of type $E - E$. We label the other vertices of γ by v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 , and we proceed case by case, according to the following picture:




Figure 2: From left to right: The general case, Case 1, Case 2, and Case 3.

Note that the neighbours v_1 and v_2 of v_e are always contained in $I_{\Gamma'}$ by Lemma 4.5. We will use Lemma 4.5 extensively.

Case 1: v_1 and v_2 are of type E . By Lemma 4.5 the vertices v_3 and v_4 also belong to $I_{\Gamma'}$, so we are done.

Case 2: v_1 is of type T and v_2 are of type E . By Lemma 4.5 we know that v_4 belongs to $I_{\Gamma'}$. We also know by Lemma 2.28 that v_3 is either of type D or E , and that v_4 is either of type T or E . If v_4 is of type E then we can use Lemma 4.5 to obtain that v_3 also belongs to $I_{\Gamma'}$, and we are done.

The last case to consider is when v_4 is of type T , and we want to show that v_3 also belongs to $I_{\Gamma'}$. So we now assume that v_4 is of type T , and let $\langle g \rangle$ be the stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup corresponding to v_3 . If $g \in A_{\Gamma'}$, then $v_3 \in I_{\Gamma'}$ by Corollary 4.1, and we are done. So we suppose that $g \notin A_{\Gamma'}$, and we consider the subgroup $P := P_g \cap A_{\Gamma'}$. Note that P is a parabolic subgroup by Theorem 2.6. Note that none of P_g or $A_{\Gamma'}$ contains the other, thus we can use Corollary 2.12: $type(P) < \min\{type(g), 3\} = type(g)$. There are two cases:

- If v_3 is of type D , then $type(P) < 2$ hence P has rank at most 1. In particular, it is cyclic. This contradicts the fact that $C(g)$ contains the two distinct stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroups associated with v_1 and v_4 , because both vertices are adjacent to v_3 .
- If v_3 is of type E , then P has rank at most 2. It cannot be that P has rank at most 1, by the same reason as above. So P has rank 2, and in particular, there is a type D vertex v_d in I_{Γ} that corresponds to P (i.e. the corresponding stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup is the centre of P). Call H_1 and H_4 the two stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroups corresponding to v_1 and v_4 respectively. On one hand, $H_1, H_4 \subseteq P_g$ because v_1 and v_4 are attached to v_3 . On the other hand, we know that $H_1, H_4 \subseteq A_{\Gamma'}$ as $v_1, v_4 \in I_{\Gamma'}$ (see Corollary 4.1). This means we actually have $H_1, H_4 \subseteq P$. Altogether, the string (v_1, v_3, v_4, v_d) forms a 4-cycle in the 5-piece associated with P_g , which contradicts Definition 3.9 and Lemma 3.2.

Case 3: v_1 and v_2 are of type T . By Lemma 2.28, v_3 and v_4 can only be of type D or E . But by the same Lemma, there is no $D - D$ or $D - E$ edges in I_{Γ} . This forces v_3 and v_4 to both be of type E . We are now in the same situation as in Case 2, but with v_3 playing the role of v_e . So we conclude similarly. \square

4.2 Characterising vertices of type E

Definition 4.7. Let v be a vertex of I_{Γ} . We define the *modified link* of v as the graph $\tilde{lk}(v)$ defined as follows:

- The vertices of $\tilde{lk}(v)$ are the vertices of $lk(v)$ that are contained in a 5-cycle of I_{Γ} ;
- We put an edge between two vertices w and w' if there is an embedded 5-cycle in I_{Γ} that contain w, v and w' as consecutive vertices.

If G is a subgraph of I_Γ , we write $\tilde{lk}_G(v)$ to denote the modified link of v in G (where we require both vertices and 5-cycles to be contained in G).

The following lemma directly follows from the previous definition:

Lemma 4.8. *Let $v \in I_\Gamma$. If v is not contained in any 5-cycle, then $\tilde{lk}(v)$ is empty.*

Lemma 4.9. *Let v_e be a vertex of type E , and up to conjugation let $I_{\Gamma'}$ be the unique 5-piece containing it (see Lemma 4.4). Then $\tilde{lk}(v) = \tilde{lk}_{I_{\Gamma'}}(v)$.*

Proof. First of all, by Lemma 4.5, every neighbour v or v_e also belongs to $I_{\Gamma'}$. Let us now consider an edge in $\tilde{lk}(v)$. By definition, this edge corresponds to a 5-cycle γ containing the three vertices v, v_e and v' , where v and v' are two neighbours of v_e . On the one hand, Lemma 4.6 implies that γ is contained in a single 5-piece. On the second hand, this piece has to be $I_{\Gamma'}$ because it is the unique one that contains v_e (see Lemma 4.4). This proves our edge already belonged to $\tilde{lk}_{I_{\Gamma'}}(v)$. \square

Recall that the *Farey graph* is a simplicial graph whose vertices correspond to rational numbers in $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$. Given two vertices $x = a/c$ and $y = b/d$ written in irreducible forms, i.e. $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\gcd(a, c) = \gcd(b, d) = 1$ (the irreducible forms of ∞ is defined to be $1/0$ and $-1/0$), we define x and y are adjacent if $ad - bc = \pm 1$. See below for a picture of Farey graph, which we take from [Luo00].




Figure 3: Farey graph.

Note that the Farey graph can also be viewed as the 1-skeleton of tessellation of the hyperbolic plane by ideal triangles, (the vertices of the Farey graph are contained in the boundary at infinity). It follows from this description that the link of each vertex in Farey graph is a bi-infinite line, which is a fact we will use several times later.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $I_{\Gamma'}$ be a 5-piece. For every vertex $v \in I_{\Gamma'}$, the modified link $\tilde{lk}(v, I_{\Gamma'})$ is isomorphic to the Farey graph.*

Proof. By Definition 3.9, $I_{\Gamma'}$ and the curve graph \mathcal{C}_5 of the 5-punctured sphere are isomorphic, so it suffices to prove the same statement for \mathcal{C}_5 . Let α be the isotopy class of simple closed curves corresponding to v . Take a representative $a \in \alpha$, and let \mathcal{D}_3 be the disk with three punctures in Σ_5 bounded by a . As vertices in $lk_{\mathcal{C}_5}(v)$ can be represented by simple closed curves disjoint from a , and these representatives must be contained in \mathcal{D}_3 , we know vertices in $lk_{\mathcal{C}_5}(v)$ are in 1-1 correspondence with isotopy classes of essential

simple closed curves in \mathcal{D}_3 . Let $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}_3), \perp_0)$ be the graph whose vertices are isotopy classes of essential simple closed curves in \mathcal{D}_3 , and edges correspond to the relation \perp_0 defined in the beginning of Section 3.1. Given v_1, v_2 adjacent to v in \mathcal{C}_5 represented by classes α_1 and α_2 , viewed as vertices in $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}_3), \perp_0)$. If $\alpha_1 \perp_0 \alpha_2$, then v_1, v, v_2 are contained in an embedded 5-cycle in \mathcal{C}_5 . Conversely, by the first paragraph of the proof of Lemma 3.3, if v_1, v, v_2 are consecutive vertices in an embedded 5-cycle in \mathcal{C}_5 , then $\alpha_1 \perp_0 \alpha_2$. Thus $\tilde{\text{lk}}_{I_{\Gamma'}}(v)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}_3), \perp_0)$. Note that $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}_3), \perp_0)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_4), \perp_0)$ with Σ_4 being the sphere with 4 punctures, and it is a classical observation due to Max Dehn that $(\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_4), \perp_0)$ is isomorphic to the Farey graph. Hence the lemma follows. \square

Remark 4.11. Let $I_{\Gamma'}$ be a 5-piece. Because $I_{\Gamma'}$ is vertex transitive by Lemma 3.1, then for every $v \in I_{\Gamma'}$ the modified link $\tilde{\text{lk}}_{I_{\Gamma'}}(v)$ is the same. Using Lemma 4.10, $\tilde{\text{lk}}_{I_{\Gamma'}}(v)$ is actually isomorphic to the Farey graph.

Definition 4.12. Let G be a graph. For a vertex $v \in G$, the *star* $st(v)$ is the full subgraph of G spanned by v and all the vertices that are adjacent to v .

Lemma 4.13. *Let us consider two distinct pieces of I_{Γ} , with no assumption on their girth. Up to conjugation, these pieces take the form $I_{\Gamma'}$ and $gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ for some $g \in A_{\Gamma}$ and some (non-necessarily distinct) triangular subgraphs Γ', Γ'' of Γ . Then exactly one of the following happens:*

1. I_{Γ} and $gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ do not intersect ;
2. $I_{\Gamma} \cap gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ is a single vertex v_t of type T ;
3. $I_{\Gamma} \cap gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ is the star $st(v_d)$ of a vertex v_d of type D .

Proof. We consider the parabolic subgroups $A_{\Gamma'}$ and $gA_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ associated with $I_{\Gamma'}$ and $gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ respectively. By Corollary 4.1, a vertex v of I_{Γ} belongs to $Q := I_{\Gamma'} \cap gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ if and only if its corresponding stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup $H = \langle h \rangle$ is such that $h \in P := A_{\Gamma'} \cap gA_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$. By Theorem 2.6, the subgroup P is also parabolic. The parabolic subgroups $A_{\Gamma'}$ and $gA_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ are distinct and both have type 3. Consequently, none contains the other one and we can use Corollary 2.12: the rank of P is at most 2. There are two possibilities:

- If P has rank 1, then h is an element of type T by Remark 2.18, and the corresponding stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup H is the unique vertex in Q .
- If P has rank 2, then h is an element of type T or D , by Remark 2.18. The subgraph Q contains a single vertex v_d of type D : the one that corresponds to the centre of P . Note that $H \subseteq P$, so either $H = P$ corresponds to v_d , or H corresponds to a vertex of type T that's adjacent to v_d . \square

Lemma 4.14. *If $v_d \in I_{\Gamma'}$ is a vertex of type D in a 5-piece, then $\tilde{\text{lk}}(v_d)$ is isomorphic to the Farey graph.*

Proof. Up to conjugation, let $I_{\Gamma'}$ be any 5-piece containing v_d . By Remark 4.11 we already know that $\tilde{\text{lk}}_{I_{\Gamma'}}(v_d)$ is isomorphic to the Farey graph.

Let v be any vertex in $\tilde{\text{lk}}(v_d)$. By Lemma 2.28, v must be of type T . Note that v also belongs to $I_{\Gamma'}$ by Lemma 4.5.

Let now $e = (v, v')$ be any edge in $\tilde{\text{lk}}(v_d)$. We claim that e already existed in $\tilde{\text{lk}}_{I_{\Gamma'}}(v_d)$. This edge corresponds to a 5-cycle γ in I_{Γ} that contains the vertices v, v_d and v' . By Lemma 4.6, γ is contained in a single 5-piece $gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$. By Lemma 4.13, $I_{\Gamma'} \cap gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1} = st(v_d)$. In what follows, we will construct a graph isomorphism $\varphi' : I_{\Gamma'} \rightarrow gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ such

that $\varphi'|_{st(v_d)}$ is the identity map (though we do not require φ' extends to an automorphism of I_Γ). This will imply the claim, hence finishes the proof of the lemma.

The standard parabolic subgroup $A_{\Gamma'}$ is generated by three standard generators $a, b, c \in V(\Gamma)$. Up to further conjugating by an element of $A_{\Gamma'}$, we can assume that v_d corresponds to the dihedral standard parabolic subgroup $A_{ab} := \langle a, b \rangle$. The parabolic subgroup $gA_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ is generated by the elements gdg^{-1} , geg^{-1} and gfg^{-1} for some standard generators $d, e, f \in V(\Gamma)$ satisfying $m_{de} = m_{df} = m_{ef} = 3$.

As $v_d \in gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$, then using Corollary 4.1 we know that the parabolic subgroup A_{ab} is contained in the parabolic subgroup $gA_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$. By [BP23, Theorem 1.1], it follows that A_{ab} is also a parabolic subgroup of $gA_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$. In particular, there exists some $h \in gA_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ such that $a = h(gdg^{-1})h^{-1}$ and $b = h(geg^{-1})h^{-1}$.

To construct the graph isomorphism φ' as above, by Definition 2.25, it is enough to exhibit an isomorphism $\varphi : A_{\Gamma'} \rightarrow gA_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ that restricts to the identity on A_{ab} . The isomorphism is given by

$$\varphi(a) = a, \quad \varphi(b) = b, \quad \varphi(c) = (hg)f(hg)^{-1}.$$

□

Lemma 4.15. *We assume that Γ is connected, and does not simply consist of a $(3, 3, 3)$ cycle. If $v_t \in I_{\Gamma'}$ is vertex of type T in a 5-piece, then $\tilde{lk}(v_t)$ is a graph that strictly contains a subgraph isomorphic to the Farey graph (in particular, it is not isomorphic to the Farey graph).*

Proof. Let $I_{\Gamma'}$ be any 5-piece containing v_t . Once again by Remark 4.11 we know that $\tilde{lk}_{I_{\Gamma'}}(v_t)$ is isomorphic to the Farey graph. We want to show that $\tilde{lk}(v_t)$ is not isomorphic to a Farey graph plus some discrete set of points. We start with the following:

Claim: v_t is contained in infinitely many 5-pieces.

Proof of the claim: By hypothesis Γ contains a $(3, 3, 3)$ -cycle Γ' , and we name the associated standard generators a, b and c . Up to conjugation, we suppose that v_t corresponds to the stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup $\langle a \rangle$. By hypothesis again, Γ is not just a $(3, 3, 3)$ triangle. Together with Γ being connected, this means there is a fourth standard generator d that is attached to either a, b or c .

If d is attached to a , we let z_{ad} be the element generating the centre of A_{ad} . Then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the pieces $z_{ad}^k I_{\Gamma'} z_{ad}^{-k}$ respectively associated with the parabolic subgroups $z_{ad}^k A_{\Gamma'} z_{ad}^{-k}$ are all distinct, and they all contain v_t , as z_{ad}^k commutes with a .

If d is attached to b , we let Δ_{ab} be the Garside element corresponding to a and b , and we let z_{bd} be the element generating the centre of A_{bd} . Let $Z := \Delta_{ab} z_{bd} \Delta_{ab}^{-1}$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the pieces $Z^k I_{\Gamma'} Z^{-k}$ respectively associated with the parabolic subgroups $Z^k A_{\Gamma'} Z^{-k}$ are all distinct, and they all contain v_t , as Z commutes with a . The case where d is attached to c is similar. This finishes the proof of the claim.

We now consider two pieces $I_{\Gamma'}$ and $gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ containing v_t , for some appropriate $g \in A_{\Gamma'}$. By Lemma 4.13, there are two possibilities:

- Either $I_{\Gamma'} \cap gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ is exactly v_t . Then $\tilde{lk}_{I_{\Gamma'}}(v_t)$ and $\tilde{lk}_{gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}}(v_t)$ are disjoint. This means that $\tilde{lk}(v_t)$ contains two copies of a Farey graph. In particular, it cannot be that $\tilde{lk}(v_t)$ is a Farey graph, as a Farey graph does not strictly contain a copy of itself - this is a consequence of the fact that each edge in the Farey graph is contained in exactly two 3-cycles of the Farey graph.

• Or $I_{\Gamma'} \cap gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}$ is the star of a vertex v_d of type D . If $\tilde{l}k(v_t)$ was a Farey graph, then for every vertex v adjacent to v_t the link $lk_{\tilde{l}k(v_t)}(v)$ would be an bi-infinite line. But the links $lk_{\tilde{l}k_{I_{\Gamma'}}(v_t)}(v_d)$ and $lk_{\tilde{l}k_{gI_{\Gamma''}g^{-1}}(v_t)}(v_d)$ are two disjoint components of $lk_{\tilde{l}k(v_t)}(v_d)$ and they both are infinite line. This means that $lk_{\tilde{l}k(v_t)}(v_d)$ is strictly more than a single infinite line, and thus $\tilde{l}k(v_t)$ is not a Farey graph. \square

Corollary 4.16. *We assume that Γ is connected, and does not simply consist of a $(3, 3, 3)$ -cycle. Let v be any vertex of I_{Γ} . Then:*

1. *If v is of type T , then $\tilde{l}k(v)$ strictly contains a Farey graph if v belongs to a 5-piece, and it is empty otherwise.*
2. *If v is of type D , then $\tilde{l}k(v)$ is a Farey graph if v belongs to a 5-piece, and it is empty otherwise.*
3. *If v is of type E , then $\tilde{l}k(v)$ is a Farey graph.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.15, Lemma 4.14 and Lemma 4.10. \square

Proposition 4.17. *We assume that Γ is connected, and does not simply consist of a $(3, 3, 3)$ -cycle. Let $v \in I_{\Gamma}$ be any vertex. Then v is of type E if and only if it has two neighbours v' and v'' such that $\tilde{l}k_{I_{\Gamma}}(v')$ strictly contains a Farey graph, and $\tilde{l}k_{I_{\Gamma}}(v'')$ is a Farey graph.*

Proof. (“Only if”) Let v be of type E . We know by Lemma 2.34 that v has a neighbour v' of type T and a neighbour v'' of type E . Then Corollary 4.16 gives the desired result.

(“If”) If v is of type T , then by Lemma 2.28 any neighbour v' is necessarily of type D or E . By Corollary 4.16, this means that $\tilde{l}k_{I_{\Gamma}}(v')$ is a Farey graph or it is empty. In particular, v has no neighbour whose modified link strictly contains a Farey graph. So v is not of type T .

If v is of type D , then by Lemma 2.28 any neighbour v' is necessarily of type T . By Corollary 4.16, this means that $\tilde{l}k_{I_{\Gamma}}(v')$ either strictly contains a Farey graph, or it is empty. In particular, v has no neighbour whose modified link is a Farey graph. So v is not of type D .

We conclude that v is of type E . \square

Given that the condition described in Proposition 4.17 is purely graphical, we directly obtain the following result:

Corollary 4.18. *Let A_{Γ_1} and A_{Γ_2} be two large-type Artin groups where both Γ_1 and Γ_2 are connected, and neither Γ_1 nor Γ_2 consists of just a $(3, 3, 3)$ -cycle. If there exists an isomorphism $\psi : I_{\Gamma_1} \rightarrow I_{\Gamma_2}$, then ψ send the vertices of type E onto vertices of type E .*

5 $\text{Aut}(I_{\Gamma})$ versus $\text{Aut}(D_{\Gamma})$

The main goal of this section is to prove that, under certain assumptions, the automorphism group of the intersection graph is isomorphic to the automorphism group of the modified Deligne complex. This is carried out in several steps: first we prove an extra property of $\text{Aut}(I_{\Gamma})$ in Section 5.1, which sets the stage for us to describe a procedure to transfer information from $\text{Aut}(I_{\Gamma})$ to $\text{Aut}(D_{\Gamma})$ in Section 5.2. In Section 5.3 we compare different automorphism groups.

5.1 Preserving T and D

Let A_{Γ_1} and A_{Γ_2} be two large-type Artin groups with intersection graphs I_{Γ_1} and I_{Γ_2} , and assume that both defining graphs are connected and do not consist of a single $(3, 3, 3)$ -cycle. In Corollary 4.18, we have proved that any isomorphism $\psi : I_{\Gamma_1} \rightarrow I_{\Gamma_2}$ sends the vertices of type E to vertices of type E . In particular, ψ reduces to an isomorphism $\bar{\psi} : I_{\Gamma_1}^{TD} \rightarrow I_{\Gamma_2}^{TD}$. The goal of this section is to strengthen this result and prove the following:

Proposition 5.1. *Let A_{Γ_1} and A_{Γ_2} be as above, and suppose that neither Γ_1 nor Γ_2 is a tree. Then any isomorphism $\psi : I_{\Gamma_1} \rightarrow I_{\Gamma_2}$ preserves the type of vertices (T , D or E).*

Throughout the section, we will write A_{Γ} to denote any of the two Artin groups A_{Γ_1} or A_{Γ_2} .

The graph I_{Γ}^{TD} is the subgraph of the intersection graph I_{Γ} that is spanned by the vertices of type T and D , i.e. forgetting about the vertices of type E . By Lemma 2.31, we can freely choose any of the three definitions for I_{Γ}^{TD} (see Definitions 2.21, 2.25 and 2.30).

By Corollary 2.33, we know that the splitting $\mathcal{T} \sqcup \mathcal{D}$ gives a bipartition for the vertex set of I_{Γ}^{TD} , where \mathcal{T} corresponds to the set of (unbounded) standard trees in D_{Γ} , and \mathcal{D} corresponds to the set of type 2 vertices in D_{Γ} . By Lemma 2.31, the vertices $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}$ are connected if and only if $v \in t$.

Because I_{Γ}^{TD} is bipartite, it is enough for proving Proposition 5.1 to show that there is a vertex v of type D in $I_{\Gamma_1}^{TD}$ such that $\psi(v)$ is of type D in $I_{\Gamma_2}^{TD}$.

If Γ is triangle-free, the result of Proposition 5.1 follows from [Cri05, Proposition 41]. Therefore, throughout the rest of this section, we always suppose that Γ contains at least one triangle.

Lemma 5.2. *I_{Γ}^{TD} is bipartite and has girth 6.*

Proof. This essentially comes from Corollary 2.33. The girth is exactly 6 because by hypothesis Γ contains 3-cycle with vertices $a, b, c \in V(\Gamma)$, hence the 6-cycle

$$(\langle a \rangle, \langle z_{ab} \rangle, \langle b \rangle, \langle z_{bc} \rangle, \langle c \rangle, \langle z_{ac} \rangle)$$

is contained in I_{Γ}^{TD} , where z_{st} is an element generating the centre of A_{st} . \square

Before stating the next lemma, we make the following observation, that is true for any 2-dimensional Artin group:

Observation: Let Γ_{bar} denote the barycentric subdivision of Γ . Then the boundary of the fundamental domain K_{Γ} is isomorphic to Γ_{bar} .

We will thus often identify Γ_{bar} with the boundary of K_{Γ} .

To make the notation clearer, we will throughout the rest of the section denote by t or by t_i a vertex of type T , and by v or by v_i a vertex of D .

Lemma 5.3. *Let γ be a 6-cycle in I_{Γ}^{TD} . Then up to conjugation, there are three standard generators $a, b, c \in V(\Gamma)$ such that*

$$\gamma = (\langle a \rangle, \langle z_{ab} \rangle, \langle b \rangle, \langle z_{bc} \rangle, \langle c \rangle, \langle z_{ac} \rangle).$$

Proof. As I_Γ^{TD} is bipartite, γ takes the form

$$\gamma = (t_1, v_{12}, t_2, v_{23}, t_3, v_{13}),$$

where the t_i 's are standard trees and the v_{ij} 's are type 2 vertices. By construction, the vertices v_{12} and v_{13} both lie on the standard tree t_1 . Since standard trees are convex by 2.10.(1), the geodesic from v_{12} to v_{13} lie entirely inside of t_1 . The same goes for t_2 and t_3 .

We now consider the geodesic triangle T_γ formed by concatenating these three geodesics. By [Vas23a, Claim in the proof of Proposition 3.5], T_γ is contained in a single translate gK_Γ of the fundamental domain. It is actually contained in the boundary of that translate, and thus γ corresponds to a 6-cycle in $g\Gamma_{bar}$, which precisely means that there are three standard generators $a, b, c \in V(\Gamma)$ such that

$$\gamma = g(\langle a \rangle, \langle z_{ab} \rangle, \langle b \rangle, \langle z_{bc} \rangle, \langle c \rangle, \langle z_{ac} \rangle)g^{-1}.$$

□

Notation 5.4. For every 6-cycle γ in I_Γ^{TD} , we will denote by $\tilde{\gamma}$ the associated 6-cycle in D_Γ , as described in Lemma 5.3.

Notation 5.5. We will at times say that “two cycles γ and γ' of I_Γ share a $t_1 - v_1 - t_2$ subgraph”. What this will mean, is that there are distinct vertices $t_1, v_1, t_2 \in I_\Gamma$ of type T, D and T respectively, such that t_1 is adjacent to v_1 and v_1 is adjacent to t_2 , and such that those three vertices belong to both γ and γ' . We will similarly sometimes say that γ and γ' share a $t_1 - v_1$ subgraph.

Lemma 5.6. *Up to conjugation, two 6-cycles in I_Γ^{TD} intersecting (exactly) along a $f_1 - v_1 - f_2$ subgraph correspond to one of the two following situations in D_Γ , where Δ_{v_1} is the Garside element of the dihedral Artin parabolic subgroup corresponding to v_1 .*




Figure 4: 6-cycles intersection along a $f_1 - v_1 - f_2$ subgraph.

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, each of the two 6-cycles are contained into a translate of the fundamental domain K_Γ . So either these two fundamental domains are the same, and the situation is described on the left of Figure 4. Or they are distinct, and the situation is described on the right of Figure 4. What is left to show is that the element $g_2g_1^{-1}$ is as wanted.

Up to conjugation, we can suppose that $t_1 = \text{Fix}(a)$ and $t_2 = \text{Fix}(b)$ for two standard generators $a, b \in V(\Gamma)$ with $m_{ab} < \infty$. In particular, the local group at v_1 is A_{ab} . The element g_2 is non-trivial because $K_\Gamma \neq g_2 K_\Gamma$ by hypothesis. By [MP22, Lemma 4.3], there is some $k \neq 0$ such that $g_2 \in \Delta_{ab}^k \langle a \rangle$, where Δ_{ab} is the Garside element in a and b . This means there is some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$g_2 = \Delta_{ab}^k a^q.$$

A similar reasoning on the standard there t_2 shows that

$$g_2 = \Delta_{ab}^{k'} b^{q'}.$$

Dihedral Artin groups are known to have a normal form called the Garside normal form (see [BS72]). Note that the two above expressions are in Garside normal forms. By unicity of this form, it must be that $\Delta_{ab}^k = \Delta_{ab}^{k'}$ and $a^q = b^{q'}$. This forces $k = k'$ and $q = q' = 0$. Finally, we obtain $g_2 = \Delta_{ab}^k$, as wanted. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Two 6-cycles intersecting (exactly) along a $t_1 - v_1$ subgraph correspond to the following situation in D_Γ , where Δ_{v_1} is the Garside element of the dihedral Artin parabolic subgroup corresponding to v_1 .*




Figure 5: 6-cycles intersection along a $f_1 - v_1$ subgraph.

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, each of the two 6-cycles are contained into a translate of the fundamental domain. An argument similar to that of Lemma 5.6 shows that if $g_2 g_1^{-1}$ belonged to the centre of the local group at v_1 , then the standard trees f_2 and f_4 would coincide. So the two fundamental domains are as described on Figure 5. \square

Lemma 5.8. *Consider a family $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ of 6-cycles and a vertex $t_* \in T$ satisfying $t_* = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$ such that for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i < j$ we have*

$$\gamma_i \cap \gamma_j = \begin{cases} \text{some } t_i - v_i - t_* \text{ subgraph if } j = i + 1; \\ \text{at least } t_* \text{ if } i = 1, j = n; \\ t_* \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(See Figure 6). By Lemma 5.3, there is for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ a unique element $g_i \in A_\Gamma$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}_i \in g_i K_\Gamma$. Let $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ be the (possibly empty) set of indexes for which $g_i \neq g_{i+1}$. Then the following is a geodesic path in D_Γ :

$$[v_{\lambda_1}, v_{\lambda_2}] \cup [v_{\lambda_2}, v_{\lambda_3}] \cup \dots \cup [v_{\lambda_{m-1}}, v_{\lambda_m}].$$

Consequently, if $m \geq 1$, then the combinatorial distance between v_1 and v_{n-1} in D_Γ is $2m - 2$. In particular, if $v_0 = v_n$ then we must have $m \leq 1$.




Figure 6: The situation of Lemma 5.8 as seen in I_Γ^{TD} . We do not necessarily assume that $v_0 \neq v_n$ or that $t_0 \neq t_n$.

Proof. The statement is empty if $m = 0$, so we assume that $m \geq 1$. For any $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$, the vertices v_{λ_k} and $v_{\lambda_{k+1}}$ are two type 2 vertices both contained in $g_{\lambda_k} K_\Gamma$. So the combinatorial distance between them is precisely 2, and the combinatorial length of $[v_{\lambda_k}, v_{\lambda_{k+1}}]$ is also 2. By construction, and using Lemma 5.6, the 6-cycles γ_{λ_k} and $\gamma_{\lambda_{k+1}}$ are in the situation described below:




Figure 7: The situation of Lemma 5.8 as seen in the Deligne complex.

To prove the main statement, it is now enough to prove that for any $k \in \{2, \dots, m-1\}$ the two geodesics $[v_{\lambda_{k-1}}, v_{\lambda_k}]$ and $[v_{\lambda_k}, v_{\lambda_{k+1}}]$ concatenate into a geodesic. The result is now clear because these two segments are both contained in the standard tree t_* which is convex by Lemma 2.10.(1), and they meet at v_{λ_k} . \square

The following lemma is crucial to show that vertices of type T and vertices of type D cannot be sent to each other.

Lemma 5.9. *Suppose that Γ contains at least one triangle. Then there is some $n \geq 3$ (as defined in the figure below) such that I_Γ^{TD} contains a subgraph isomorphic to G_1 , but no subgraph isomorphic to G_2 :*




Figure 8: The subgraphs G_1 and G_2 . Both graphs are the union of exactly n 6-cycles, attached as pictured. The vertices of type T and type D are drawn in different colours.

Proof. By hypothesis Γ contains a triangle, so there are three vertices $a, b, c \in V(\Gamma)$ that satisfy $m_{ab}, m_{ac}, m_{bc} < \infty$. In the Deligne complex, we let T_{st} be the 2-cell formed by the three vertices $\{1\}$, $\langle s \rangle$ and A_{st} . We first consider the subcomplex K of the fundamental domain K_Γ described by

$$K := T_{ab} \cup T_{ba} \cup T_{bc} \cup T_{cb} \cup T_{ca} \cup T_{ac}.$$

Then, we consider the subcomplex Y of D_Γ defined as

$$Y := K \cup aK \cup abK \cup abaK \cup \dots \cup \underbrace{aba \dots x}_{m_{ab}-1 \text{ terms}} K,$$

where $x = a$ or $x = b$ depending on the parity of m_{ab} .




Figure 9: The subcomplexes K and Y in the Deligne complex. The horizontal segments of Y are contained in the same standard tree.

One can easily notice from the above figure that this situation gives rise to a subgraph in I_Γ that is isomorphic to the subgraph G_1 of Figure 8, where $n = m_{ab}$. This proves the first statement of the lemma.

We now want to show that I_Γ^{TD} cannot contain any subgraph isomorphic to G_2 , for $n = m_{ab}$. So we suppose it does, and we will point out a contradiction. We label the 6-cycles appearing in G_2 as follows: the bottom-left one is γ_1 , the one it shares three vertices with is γ_2 , etc. until we reach γ_n in the bottom-right corner.

By construction, $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_n$ share a type 2 vertex v , so we can use Lemma 5.8 and conclude that the subset $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ of indexes for which $g_i \neq g_{i+1}$ satisfies $m \leq 1$. By Lemma 5.6, this means that $g_{\lambda_1} g_{\lambda_1+1}^{-1} = \Delta_{v'}^k$, where $\Delta_{v'}$ is the Garside element associated with the type 2 vertex v' at which the two distinct translates of K_Γ intersect, and $k \neq 0$. As $g_1 = \dots = g_{\lambda_1-1}$ and $g_{\lambda_1+2} = \dots = g_n$, we also obtain $g_n g_1^{-1} = \Delta_{v'}^k$. In particular, $g_n g_1^{-1}$ has type 2.

The 6-cycles γ_1 and γ_n intersect (exactly) along a $t - v$ subgraph where t is the type T vertex that is at the centre of G_2 on Figure 8, and v is the type D vertex below t . This means that we can apply Lemma 5.7, which states that $g_n g_1^{-1}$ belongs to G_v but not to $\langle \Delta_v \rangle$, where Δ_v is the Garside element associated with v .

Note that $v' \neq v$ by hypothesis, as v' belongs to the upper-half of G_2 on Figure 8, while v lies in the lower-half of the picture (below the central vertex t). Consequently, the parabolic subgroups G_v and $G_{v'}$ are distinct. Their intersection is exactly the subgroup G_t fixing the standard tree t pointwise. In particular, $g_n g_1^{-1}$ must be an element of type 1. This gives a contradiction to the above argument that proved that $g_n g_1^{-1}$ had type 2. \square

We can now prove Proposition 5.1:

Proof. Let $\psi : I_{\Gamma_1} \rightarrow I_{\Gamma_2}$ be an isomorphism. We already know by Corollary 4.18 that ψ reduces to an isomorphism $\bar{\psi} : I_{\Gamma_1}^{TD} \rightarrow I_{\Gamma_2}^{TD}$.

As already mentioned, if Γ is triangle-free then the result follows from [Cri05, Proposition 41]. So we assume that Γ contains at least one triangle.

By Lemma 5.2 the graphs $I_{\Gamma_1}^{TD}$ and $I_{\Gamma_2}^{TD}$ are bipartite, so it is enough to exhibit one vertex v of type D in $I_{\Gamma_1}^{TD}$ such that $\psi(v)$ is also of type D in $I_{\Gamma_2}^{TD}$. By Lemma 5.9 it is enough to let v be the vertex of type D located at the centre of the graph G_1 (see Figure 8). \square

5.2 Fundamental subgraphs

Throughout this section we suppose that Artin groups and presentation graphs are large-type.

Definition 5.10. [Fundamental graph: definition I] Let Γ and Γ' be two connected presentation graphs, and write $V(\Gamma') = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$. Then Γ' is called *fundamental* relatively to D_Γ if for every distinct (infinite) standard trees t_1, \dots, t_n in D_Γ satisfying

1. If s_i and s_j are adjacent in Γ' then $t_i \cap t_j$ is a single vertex v_{ij} , and all v_{ij} 's are distinct ;
2. If s_i and s_j are not adjacent in Γ' then $t_i \cap t_j = \emptyset$;

there is a unique element $g \in A_\Gamma$ such that the translate gK_Γ contains all the vertices of the form v_{ij} and contains a type 1 vertex $x_i \in t_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If $\Gamma' = \Gamma$, we will simply say that Γ is *fundamental*.

Being fundamental for a graph Γ has strong consequences, that we will make explicit in Section 5.3. In this section, we focus on proving the following proposition.

Proposition 5.11. *Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group. If Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating at twistless stars (see Definition 1.4), then Γ is fundamental.*

Proof. Every twistless star is fundamental by Lemma 5.20. The fact that this extends to twistless hierarchies terminating at twistless stars then comes from Lemma 5.22. \square

Remark 5.12. Note that one scenario where Proposition 5.11 applies is if there are vertices v_1, \dots, v_n of Γ such that:

1. $st(v_i)$ does not have separating vertices or edges ;
2. $\Gamma = \cup_{i=1}^n st(v_i)$;

3. for any $st(v_i)$ and $st(v_j)$, there is a finite chain of elements in $\{st(v_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ with the first member being $st(v_i)$ and last member being $st(v_j)$ such that adjacent members in the chain have a non-trivial intersection which is not a vertex or an edge.

One readily checks that in this case, Γ has a twistless hierarchy terminating at $\{st(v_i)\}_{i=1}^n$. As a consequence, if Γ is the 1-skeleton of the triangulation of a closed manifold of dimension ≥ 2 , then Γ satisfies the assumption of Proposition 5.11.

In what follows we give an equivalent definition of what is means to be fundamental.

Notation 5.13. Let Γ be a presentation graph. Then we write Γ_{bar} to denote the barycentric subdivision of Γ . Moreover, we will denote a vertex in Γ and the corresponding vertex in Γ_{bar} by the same name.

Definition 5.14. Let Γ and Γ' be two connected presentation graphs. A subgraph G of I_Γ^{TD} is called Γ' -characteristic if there is a graph isomorphism $f : G \rightarrow \Gamma'_{bar}$ where for every vertex $t \in G$ of type T the image $f(t)$ is a vertex of Γ' .

If $\Gamma' = \Gamma$, we will simply say that Γ is *characteristic*.

Definition 5.15. [Fundamental graph: definition II] Let Γ and Γ' be two connected presentation graphs. Then Γ' is called *fundamental* relatively to D_Γ if for every Γ' -characteristic subgraph $G \subseteq I_\Gamma^{TD}$ there is a unique element $g \in A_\Gamma$ such that the translate gK_Γ contains all the vertices of type D of G , as well as one type 1 vertex $x_i \in t_i$ of D_Γ for every vertex t_i of type T of G .

If $\Gamma' = \Gamma$, we will simply say that Γ is *fundamental*.

Lemma 5.16. *Definitions 5.10 and 5.15 are equivalent.*

Proof. First assume that Γ' is fundamental relatively to Definition 5.10, and let $G \subseteq I_\Gamma^{TD}$ be Γ' -characteristic. Let t_1, \dots, t_n be the vertices of type T in G , where each t_i correspond to a standard generator $s_i \in V(\Gamma')$, and let the v_{ij} 's be the vertices of type D of G , where v_{ij} is the only vertex connecting t_i and t_j , if it exists. Because G is Γ' -characteristic, the vertex v_{ij} exists if and only if s_i and s_j are connected in Γ' . As Γ' is fundamental, there is by Definition 5.10 a unique $g \in A_\Gamma$ and some $x_i \in t_i$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, such that all x_i 's and all v_{ij} 's belong to gK_Γ . Therefore Γ' is fundamental relatively to Definition 5.15.

We now assume that Γ' is fundamental relatively to Definition 5.15, and we let t_1, \dots, t_n and the v_{ij} 's be as in Definition 5.10. By construction, the t_i 's and the v_{ij} 's are all distinct vertices of I_Γ^{TD} . Note that v_{ij} exists if and only if s_i and s_j are adjacent in Γ' , so there is a double-edge between v_i and v_j if and only if s_i and s_j are adjacent in Γ' . Together with the above, this shows that the subgraph G of I_Γ^{TD} spanned by the t_i 's and the v_{ij} 's is Γ' -characteristic. By Definition 5.15, there is a unique $g \in A_\Gamma$. and some $x_i \in t_i$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, such that all x_i 's and all v_{ij} 's belong to gK_Γ . Therefore Γ is fundamental relatively to Definition 5.10. \square

The following lemma from [Vas23b] will be our starting point towards proving Proposition 5.11.

Lemma 5.17. [Vas23a, Claim in the proof of Proposition 3.5] *Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group. If Γ' is a 3-cycle, then Γ' is fundamental relatively to D_Γ .*

The following lemma allows to extend fundamentality from 3-cycles to cones over cycles.

Lemma 5.18. *Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group. If Γ' is the cone over an induced simple cycle, then Γ' is fundamental relatively to D_Γ .*

Proof. Let G be a Γ' -characteristic subgraph of I_Γ^{TD} . By hypothesis, G takes the form described in Figure 6 with $t_0 = t_n$ and $v_0 = v_n$. Let m and g_1, \dots, g_n be as in Lemma 5.8. Then by Lemma 5.8, it must be that $m \leq 1$.

If $m = 1$, then $g_{\lambda_1} \neq g_{\lambda_1+1}$ and $g_n \neq g_1$. Then we can rotate all the indices by $+1$ modulo n (or by -1 if $\lambda_1 = n-1$) so that $g_{\lambda_1+1} \neq g_{\lambda_1+2}$ and $g_1 \neq g_2$. In this new setting, we have $m = 2$, which gives a contradiction to Lemma 5.8.

So we must have $m = 0$, i.e. all the g_i 's are the same. This proves that G is Γ' -fundamental, as wanted. \square

The next two lemmas extend fundamentality from cones over cycles to cones over some unions of cycles, or equivalently, to cones that have no separating edges or vertices.

Lemma 5.19. *Let G be a simplicial graph. Then the two following conditions are equivalent:*

- (C1) *G is the union of some induced cycles $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ for which for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there are some $r_1 = i, r_2, \dots, r_m = j$ such that every intersection $\gamma_{r_k} \cap \gamma_{r_{k+1}}$ contains an edge.*
- (C2) *G is connected and has no separating vertex.*

Proof. (C1) \Rightarrow (C2). Pick any two cycles γ_i, γ_j . If we remove any vertex v from G , the intersection $\gamma_{r_k} \cap \gamma_{r_{k+1}}$ still contains at least one vertex. Hence $G \setminus \{v\}$ is still connected, which proves that G does not have any separating vertex.

(C2) \Rightarrow (C1). This is [BMV24, Lemma 6.4]. \square

Lemma 5.20. *Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group. Suppose that Γ' is the cone over a graph that is connected and has no separating vertex (equivalently, Γ' is a cone and has no separating vertex or edge). Then Γ' is fundamental relatively to D_Γ .*

Proof. By Lemma 5.19 we know that G satisfies condition (C1). Let $t \in V(\Gamma)$ denote the cone point, and let G_i be the subgraph spanned by t and γ_i . We know by Lemma 5.18 that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the subgraph G_i is fundamental relatively to D_Γ , each G_i having a corresponding unique element $g_i \in A_\Gamma$. Since γ_i and γ_{i+1} share an edge $e = (t_1, t_2)$, the subgraphs G_i and G_{i+1} share the triangle $T := (t, t_1, t_2)$. This triangle is fundamental by Lemma 5.17, with a unique corresponding element $g \in A_\Gamma$. But since $T \subseteq G_i, G_{i+1}$, it must be that $g_1 = g = g_2$ by unicity. Proceeding through all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, the above shows that $g_1 = g_2 = \dots = g_n$, i.e. Γ' is fundamental. \square

Before proving the next result, we need the following technical lemma:

Lemma 5.21. *Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group, let $g_1, g_2 \in A_\Gamma$ and let t_1, t_2 be two non-intersecting standard trees in D_Γ . If each of $g_1 K_\Gamma$ and $g_2 K_\Gamma$ intersects each of t_1 and t_2 along at least a type 1 vertex, then we must have $g_1 = g_2$.*

Proof. Up to conjugation, we will assume that $g_1 = 1$ and that $t_1 = \text{Fix}(a)$, $t_2 = \text{Fix}(b)$ where $m_{ab} = \infty$. Call $v_a \in \text{Fix}(a)$ and $v_b \in \text{Fix}(b)$ the type 1 vertices corresponding to the cosets $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$ respectively. By construction of the Moussong metric d , we know that

$$d(v_a, v_\emptyset) = 1 = d(v_b, v_\emptyset).$$

Moreover, we know that the angle $\angle_{v_a}(Fix(a), v_\emptyset)$ is precisely $\pi/2$, and similarly for $\angle_{v_b}(Fix(b), v_\emptyset)$.

If Γ is disconnected, then v_\emptyset disconnects the fundamental domain K_Γ , so it lies on the geodesic path from v_a to v_b by convexity of K_Γ (Remark 2.5) and $d(v_a, v_b) = 2$. We now suppose that Γ is connected. We want to compute the angle $\angle_{v_\emptyset}(v_a, v_b)$. Note that this angle is equal to the distance between v_a and v_b in the link of v_\emptyset when given the angular metric. In particular, $lk_{X_\Gamma}(v_\emptyset)$ is a graph isomorphic to the barycentric subdivision Γ_{bar} , where each edge (v_s, v_{st}) has length the angle $\angle_{v_\emptyset}(v_s, v_{st})$, that is $\pi/2 - \pi/2m_{st}$. Because Γ is connected, but a and b are not adjacent, the distance between these two vertices in Γ_{bar} is at least 4. Because A_Γ is large-type, this yields:

$$\angle_{v_\emptyset}(v_a, v_b) \geq 4 \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{6} \right) = \frac{4\pi}{3} > \pi.$$

By convexity of K_Γ (Remark 2.5), the geodesic between v_a and v_b in D_Γ lies in K_Γ . So the previous inequation implies that v_\emptyset also lies on the geodesic between v_a and v_b , with an angle strictly greater than π .

The standard trees $Fix(a)$ and $Fix(b)$ intersect g_2K_Γ along some type 1 vertices g_2v_s and g_2v_t respectively, for appropriate $s, t \in V(\Gamma)$. A similar reasoning shows that g_2v_\emptyset lies on the geodesic between g_2v_s and g_2v_t . Of course, we also have $\angle_{g_2v_s}(Fix(a), g_2v_\emptyset) = \angle_{g_2v_t}(Fix(b), g_2v_\emptyset) = \pi/2$.

We now suppose that $g_1 \neq g_2$. We consider the quadrilateral $Q := (v_a, g_2v_s, g_2v_t, v_b)$, which is not degenerate as $g_1 \neq g_2$. Note that the sum of the four angles of Q is exactly 2π . We can now apply [BH99, Theorem II.2.11]: the convex hull $c(Q)$ of Q is isometric to the convex hull of a quadrilateral in the Euclidean plane. In particular, every point p that lies on the interior of the geodesic $[v_a, v_b]$ must satisfy $\angle_p(v_a, v_b) = \pi$. This gives a contradiction as $\angle_{v_\emptyset}(v_a, v_b) > \pi$. Thus $g_1 = g_2$, as wanted. \square

Lemma 5.22. *Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group, and let Γ_1, Γ_2 be two graphs that are fundamental relatively to D_Γ . Let now Γ' be a graph satisfying the following: there exists $\varphi_1 : \Gamma_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma'$ and $\varphi_2 : \Gamma_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma'$ such that $\Gamma' = \varphi_1(\Gamma_1) \cup \varphi_2(\Gamma_2)$ and $\varphi_1(\Gamma_1) \cap \varphi_2(\Gamma_2)$ is none of the empty set, a single vertex, or a single edge. Then Γ' is fundamental relatively to D_Γ .*

Proof. To simplify notations in the proof, we will identify Γ_i with $\varphi_i(\Gamma_i)$ for both $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

We want to show that $\Gamma' = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ is fundamental, using Definition 5.15. Thus we let $G \subseteq I_\Gamma^{TD}$ be a Γ' -characteristic subgraph, and we let $G_1 \subseteq I_\Gamma^{TD}$ be a Γ_1 -characteristic subgraph of G and $G_2 \subseteq I_\Gamma^{TD}$ be a Γ_2 -characteristic subgraph of G , such that $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ but $G_1 \cap G_2$ is none of the empty set, a single vertex of type T , or a double-edge connecting two vertices of type T .

We denote the vertices of type T of G_1 by t_1, \dots, t_n , and similarly we denote the vertices of type T of G_2 by s_1, \dots, s_m . By hypothesis, Γ_1 is fundamental, so we adopt the terminology of Definition 5.15 and let $g_1 \in A_\Gamma$ be the (unique) associated group element, x_1, \dots, x_n be the type 1 vertices satisfying $x_i \in t_i$, and for every vertex v_{ij} of type D of G_1 connecting t_i and t_j , we also view v_{ij} as a type 2 vertex in D_Γ . We proceed similarly for G_2 , with the element $g_2 \in A_\Gamma$, the type 1 vertices y_1, \dots, y_m and the type 2 vertices w_{ij} 's. We split the argument in two cases:

Case 1: Γ_1 and Γ_2 share at least two edges. We call these edge e and e' . Each edge corresponds to a double-edge in I_Γ^{TD} connecting two vertices of type T in G , so without loss of generality we assume that $t_1 = s_1$, $t_2 = s_2$ and $v_{12} = w_{12}$. In particular, Lemma 5.6 stipulates that we must have $g_2g_1^{-1} = \Delta_{v_{12}}^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $g_2g_1^{-1}$ is not trivial, it

would then have type 2 and its fixed set on the Deligne complex would be v_{12} . Proceeding similarly for e' , we obtain a type 2 vertex v' such that $g_2g_1^{-1} = \Delta_{v'}^q$, for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Unless $g_2g_1^{-1}$ is trivial, we have $\text{Fix}(g_2g_1^{-1}) = \{v'\}$. Note that v_{12} and v' are distinct because e and e' are. So the above gives a contradiction unless $g_2g_1^{-1} = 1$, which proves $g_1 = g_2$. This means $G \subseteq g_1K_\Gamma$, i.e. Γ' is fundamental.

Case 2: Γ_1 and Γ_2 share two non-adjacent vertices. Call these vertices a and b . Each of a and b corresponds to a vertex of type T in G , and without loss of generality, we suppose that these are $t_1 = s_1$ and $t_2 = s_2$. By construction, this means the translates g_1K_Γ and g_2K_Γ both contain a type 1 vertex in the standard tree t_1 , and a type 1 vertex in the standard tree t_2 . By Lemma 5.21, this forces $g_1 = g_2$, i.e. $G \subseteq g_1K_\Gamma$, and Γ' is fundamental. \square

Remark 5.23. We leave a curious remark which will not be used in the later part of the article. Lemma 5.22 breaks down if $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ is empty, or a single vertex, or a single edge. The empty case is clear. If $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ with $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ is a vertex of an edge, then we can consider a twist with respect to the splitting $A_\Gamma = A_{\Gamma_1} *_{A_{\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2}} A_{\Gamma_2}$. This twist induces an automorphism of the intersection graph of A_Γ , though it sends a copy of Γ_{bar} in I_Γ corresponding to a single fundamental domain of D_Γ to another subgraph of I_Γ which does not correspond to a single fundamental domain of D_Γ . In other words, Lemma 5.22 is optimal.

At last, we want to highlight a result from [BMV24], which is closely related to the work in this section. Their result only applies to XXXL Artin groups (where all coefficients are ≥ 6), but it applies to all twistless graphs, and not only to twistless hierarchies terminating at twistless stars. We reformulate the result in our setting:

Proposition 5.24. [BMV24, Proposition 5.1, Lemma 6.7] *Let A_Γ be an XXXL Artin group such that Γ is connected and twistless. Then Γ is fundamental.*

5.3 Comparison homomorphisms

Let C_Γ be the Cayley complex of A_Γ , i.e. the universal cover of the presentation complex P_Γ of A_Γ . The 1-skeleton of C_Γ is the Cayley graph of A_Γ . We identify A_Γ with the vertex set of C_Γ . A block of C_Γ is the full subcomplex spanned by all vertices in a left coset of the form gA_e , where $g \in A_\Gamma$ and $e \subset \Gamma$ is an edge; it is a large block if e has label at least 3. A standard line of C_Γ is a line which covers a circle in P_Γ associated with a generator (corresponding to a vertex v of Γ). Thus each standard line is labelled by a vertex of Γ . With this definition, note that type 1 vertices in the modified Deligne complex D_Γ are in 1-1 correspondence with standard lines in C_Γ , and type 2 vertices in D_Γ are in 1-1 correspondence with blocks in C_Γ .

Definition 5.25. (Comparison homomorphism I) Suppose $A = A_\Gamma$ is an Artin group such that each vertex of Γ is contained in an edge of Γ with label ≥ 3 (this holds true when A_Γ is of large-type). Let C_Γ be the Cayley complex of A_Γ . Then there is an injective homomorphism $h_1 : \text{Aut}(D_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$, called the first comparison homomorphism, defined as follows [HH20, Section 8.1]. Given an automorphism $\alpha : D_\Gamma \rightarrow D_\Gamma$, as α preserves the types of vertices in D_Γ [HH20, Lemma 2.4], it follows from Definition 2.1 that an automorphism of D_Γ gives a bijection $f : A_\Gamma \rightarrow A_\Gamma$ (viewed as a bijection of the vertex set of A_Γ) such that f sends vertices in a standard line bijectively to vertices in a standard line; and f sends vertices in a block bijectively to vertices in a block. By [Cri05, Proposition 40], the restriction of f to the vertex of each large block can be extended

to a unique cellular automorphism from this block onto its image. By the assumption on Γ , each standard line of C_Γ is contained in a large block, so f sends adjacent vertices to adjacent vertices. As each 2-cell of C_Γ is contained in a block, so f sends vertices in a 2-cell to vertices in a 2-cell. Thus f extends to a cellular automorphism. This gives $h : \text{Aut}(D_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$, which is clearly a group homomorphism. Any element of $\text{Aut}(D_\Gamma)$ fixing type 0 vertices of D_Γ pointwise will also fix other vertices as they correspond to certain left cosets of A_Γ . Thus h_1 is injective.

Proposition 5.26. *Suppose A_Γ is large-type. Then the first comparison homomorphism $h_1 : \text{Aut}(D_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We already see that h_1 is injective. To see h_1 is surjective, it suffices to show any automorphism of C_Γ sends blocks to blocks and standard links to standard lines. However, this follows from [HH20, Lemma 8.1] (note that [HH20, Lemma 8.1] is under the assumption that A_Γ is 2-dimensional hyperbolic-type, however, the same proof there also work in the large-type case). \square

Lemma 5.27. *Suppose A_Γ is of large-type. Then each automorphism of D_Γ sends standard trees to standard trees. This gives a homomorphism $h_2 : \text{Aut}(D_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma^{TD})$, called the second comparison homomorphism.*

Suppose Γ is connected and does not have leaf vertices. Then h_2 is injective.

Proof. This is a variation of [HH20, Lemma 8.4 and Lemma 8.5]. Let $\alpha : D_\Gamma \rightarrow D_\Gamma$ be an automorphism of D_Γ . As α preserves type of vertices [HH20, Lemma 2.4], and type 0 vertices of D_Γ are identified with elements of A_Γ , we obtain a bijection $A_\Gamma \rightarrow A_\Gamma$, which is also denoted by α . Let $x \in D_\Gamma$ be a type 2 vertex associated with a coset gA_e with $e \subset \Gamma$ being an edge. Take two type 1 vertices x_1 and x_2 adjacent to x . We first claim that x_1 and x_2 belong to the same standard tree if and only if $\alpha(x_1)$ and $\alpha(x_2)$ belong to the same standard tree. Indeed, [Cri05, Proposition 40] implies that α restricted to gA_e (which is identified as the collection of type 0 vertices adjacent to x) can be extended to a cellular map on the block of C_Γ containing gA_e , thus parallelism of the standard lines inside the blocks is preserved (two standard lines are defined to be parallel if they have finite Hausdorff distance). By Lemma 2.20, two standard lines are parallel if and only if they have the same stabiliser, and this is precisely the condition for the corresponding type one vertices of D_Γ to belong to the same standard tree. Thus the claim follows.

Note that for a type 1 vertex x in a standard tree T , the collection of type 2 vertices in T which are adjacent to x coincides with the collection of type 2 vertices of D_Γ adjacent to x . As vertices in a standard tree alternate between type 1 and type 2, we deduce that α sends a standard tree to a standard tree. As α also maps type 2 vertices to type 2 vertices ([HH20, Lemma 2.4]), it follows from Lemma 2.31 that α induces an automorphism of I_Γ^{TD} . This gives the group homomorphism $h_2 : \text{Aut}(D_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma^{TD})$.

Now we show h_2 is injective. If an automorphism $\alpha : D_\Gamma \rightarrow D_\Gamma$ induces the trivial automorphism of I_Γ^{TD} , then α fixes each type 2 vertex of D_Γ . As Γ does not have leaf vertex, each vertex in C_Γ is the intersection of all blocks containing this vertex. As α restricted to type 0 vertices of D_Γ gives a bijection from A_Γ to A_Γ sending blocks to blocks, we know α fixes each type 0 vertex of D_Γ . Hence α fixes each vertex of D_Γ by definition of D_Γ . It follows that α is the identity map. \square

We give a criterion for the second comparison homomorphism to be an isomorphism.

Theorem 5.28. *Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group such that Γ is connected and does not have leaf vertices. If Γ is fundamental, then the map $h_2 : \text{Aut}(D_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma^{TD})$ from Lemma 5.27 is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We will now show how to construct the inverse map $h_2^{-1} : \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma^{TD}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(D_\Gamma)$. Let $\beta \in \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma^{TD})$. Then we define $h_2^{-1}(\beta)$ as follows:

For any vertex $v \in D_\Gamma$ of type 2, we see v as a vertex of type D in I_Γ^{TD} , and we let $h_2^{-1}(\beta)(v) := \beta(v)$.

For any vertex $y \in D_\Gamma$ of type 0, we consider the unique element $g \in A_\Gamma$ such that $y \in gK_\Gamma$. We now consider the family D_y of type 2 vertices attached to y , and the family T_y of standard trees that have a vertex attached to y . Note that both families are finite, and in fact, the subgraph $G_y := \text{span}(D_y \cup T_y)$ of I_Γ^{TD} is isomorphic to the boundary of gK_Γ , which is itself isomorphic to $g\Gamma_{\text{bar}}$. In other words, G_y is characteristic. As it is a purely graphical condition, the image $\beta(G_y)$ is also a characteristic subgraph of I_Γ^{TD} . We now use the fact that Γ is fundamental: there exists a unique element $g_y \in A_\Gamma$ such that g_yK_Γ contains all the vertices of type D of $\beta(G_y)$, and one type 1 vertex in every vertex of type T of $\beta(G_y)$ (seen as standard trees in D_Γ). We then set $h_2^{-1}(\beta)(y)$ to be the only type 0 vertex in g_yK_Γ .

If a type 2 vertex v and a type 0 vertex y are adjacent, then the corresponding vertex $v \in I_\Gamma^{TD}$ belongs to the characteristic subgraph G_y corresponding to y . It follows that $\beta(v) \in \beta(G_y)$, and thus $h_2^{-1}(\beta)(v) \in g_yK_\Gamma$, i.e. $h_2^{-1}(\beta)(v)$ is attached to $h_2^{-1}(\beta)(y)$. This shows that adjacency between vertices of type 2 and type 0 is preserved.

For any vertex $x \in D_\Gamma$ of type 1, we consider the family D_x of type 2 vertices attached to x , and the family Y_x of type 0 vertices attached to x . By the previous points, we know how to define $h_2^{-1}(\beta)(D_x)$ and $h_2^{-1}(\beta)(Y_x)$. One can easily check that there is a unique type 1 vertex p_x that is adjacent to all the elements of $h_2^{-1}(\beta)(D_x)$ and of $h_2^{-1}(\beta)(Y_x)$, thus we set $h_2^{-1}(\beta)(x) := p_x$. Adjacency between type 1 vertices and other vertices is preserved by definition of $h_2^{-1}(\beta)$ on type 1 vertices.

One readily verifies that h_2 and h_2^{-1} are indeed inverses of each other. This finishes the proof. \square

6 Proof of main results and applications to rigidity

6.1 QI rigidity results

Definition 6.1 (The Φ -homomorphism). Let A_Γ be an Artin group of large-type. Then it is known from [HO17, Theorem 10.11] that each quasi-isometry $q : A_\Gamma \rightarrow A_\Gamma$ send a stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup L of A_Γ (as defined in Section 2.3), there is another stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup $L' \subset A_\Gamma$ such that $d_H(Q(L), L') < \infty$ where d_H denotes the Hausdorff distance. Note that if L' exists, then it is the unique stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup such that $d_H(Q(L), L') < \infty$, as different stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroups have infinite Hausdorff distance from each other. We also know from [HO17, Theorem 10.16] that if two stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroups of A_Γ commute, then their q -images are Hausdorff close to a pair of commuting stable \mathbb{Z} -subgroup. Thus each quasi-isometry of A_Γ induces an automorphism of the intersection graph I_Γ . This gives a group homomorphism $\Phi : \text{QI}(A_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ from the quasi-isometry group of A_Γ to the graph automorphism group of the intersection graph I_Γ .

The following is a consequence of [HO17, Corollary 10.17].

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose A_Γ is an Artin group of large-type. Suppose Γ does not contain a leaf vertex. If $q : A_\Gamma \rightarrow A_\Gamma$ is an (L, A) -quasi-isometry with $\Phi(q)$ is the identity automorphism, then there exists $C = C(L, A, \Gamma)$ such that $d(q(x), x) \leq C$ for any $x \in A_\Gamma$. In particular, Φ is injective.*

Lemma 6.3. *Let A_Γ be an Artin group of large-type such that Γ is connected and it is not a $(3, 3, 3)$ -triangle. As each automorphism of I_Γ preserve the set of exotic vertices (Corollary 4.18), this induces an automorphism $h_3 : \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma^{TD})$. Then h_3 is injective.*

Proof. It suffices to show if an automorphism α of I_Γ fixes vertices of type T and D pointwise, then it fixes each vertex of type E . Given a vertex $v \in I_\Gamma$ of type E . By Lemma 2.34, v is adjacent to a vertex of type T . Actually, the proof of Lemma 2.34 implies that v is adjacent to two distinct vertices v_1, v_2 of type T . As I_Γ has girth ≥ 5 , v is the unique vertex which is adjacent to each of v_1 and v_2 . Thus $\alpha(v) = v$, as desired. \square

Let C_Γ be the Cayley complex of A_Γ . We equipped the group $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ with the compact open topology, which makes it a locally compact second countable topological group (as C_Γ is locally finite).

Lemma 6.4. *Suppose A_Γ is large-type and Γ is connected. The topological group $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ is discrete if and only if Γ is star rigid in the sense that each label-preserving automorphism of Γ fixing the closed star of a vertex of Γ is identity. Moreover, if Γ is not star rigid, then $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is not discrete.*

Proof. We assume Γ is not a single vertex, otherwise the lemma is clear. Let $\text{Aut}_0(C_\Gamma)$ be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ fixing the identity vertex $v_0 \in C_\Gamma$. We claim that $\text{Aut}_0(C_\Gamma)$ is finite if and only if Γ is star rigid. Then the lemma follows.

Let $F \subset D_\Gamma$ be the closed star of the type 0 vertex v_0 corresponding to the identity of A_Γ . Note that D_Γ/A_Γ can be identified with F , i.e. F is a strict fundamental domain for the action $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright D_\Gamma$. This gives a map $\pi : D_\Gamma \rightarrow F$. Let $\text{Aut}_0(D_\Gamma)$ be the collection of automorphisms of D_Γ fixing F setwise. By Proposition 5.26, the above claim reduces to showing that, $\text{Aut}_0(D_\Gamma)$ is finite if and only if Γ is star rigid.

We will first show if Γ is not star rigid, then $\text{Aut}_0(D_\Gamma)$ is infinite. Then there is a non-trivial label-preserving automorphism f of Γ fixing the closed star a vertex $a \in \Gamma$. Then f induces an automorphism of A_Γ , which gives an automorphism α_f of D_Γ .

Let T be the Bass-Serre tree of the splitting $A_\Gamma = A_{st(v)} *_{A_{lk(v)}} A_{\Gamma \setminus \{v\}}$. We need the following alternative description of T in terms of D_Γ . Let F_a be the full subcomplex of F spanned by v_0 and type 1 vertices corresponding the identity cosets $\langle a' \rangle$ with a' ranging over vertices in $lk(a, \Gamma)$. It follows from the way we define metric on D_Γ that each connected component of $\pi^{-1}(F_a)$ is a convex subset which is isometric to a tree. Then edges of T are in 1-1 correspondence with components of $\pi^{-1}(F_a)$, vertices of T are in 1-1 correspondence with components of $D_\Gamma \setminus \pi^{-1}(F_a)$, and an edge of T contain a vertex of T if the component of $\pi^{-1}(F_a)$ corresponding to the edge is contained in the closure of the component of $D_\Gamma \setminus \pi^{-1}(F_a)$ corresponding to the vertex.

Let T_a be the component of $\pi^{-1}(F_a)$ that passes through v_0 . Then the above description of T allows us to define an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_0(D_\Gamma)$ which is equal to α_f on some components of $D_\Gamma \setminus T_a$, and equal to identity on the remain components of $D_\Gamma \setminus T_a$. Note that we can find infinitely many different conjugates of α by isometries of D_Γ which are also in $\text{Aut}_0(D_\Gamma)$. Thus $\text{Aut}_0(D_\Gamma)$ is infinite.

Now we show if Γ is star rigid, then $\text{Aut}_0(D_\Gamma)$ is finite. This uses an argument of Crisp [Cri05, Section 11], which we reproduce here. Given an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_0(D_\Gamma)$. Then α induces an automorphism α' of $lk(v_0, D_\Gamma)$, which is identified with the barycentric subdivision of Γ . As α preserves type of vertices, α' arises from an automorphism α'' of Γ . This gives a group homomorphism $\text{Aut}_0(D_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. It suffices to show the kernel of this homomorphism is finite. So we will assume α is identity on F . We define a *chamber*

of D_Γ to some A_Γ of F , and two chambers are *adjacent* if they share a type 1 vertex. If a chamber F' is adjacent to F , then by the fact that α is identity on the closed star of each type 2 vertex of F and that Γ is star rigid, we know α is identity on F' . Then we can repeat the previous argument to deduce that α is identity on the closed star of each type 2 vertex in F' . Note that D_Γ is a union of chambers, and any two chambers are connected by a chain of chambers such that adjacent members in the chain are adjacent chambers. This implies that α is identity on D_Γ , as desired. \square

We recall several definitions from coarse geometry.

Definition 6.5. An (L, A) -quasi-action of a group G on a metric space Z is a map $\rho : G \times Z \rightarrow Z$ so that $\rho(\gamma, \cdot) : Z \rightarrow Z$ is an (L, A) quasi-isometry for every $\gamma \in G$, $d(\rho(\gamma_1, \rho(\gamma_2, z)), \rho(\gamma_1\gamma_2, z)) < A$ for every $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G$, $z \in Z$, and $d(\rho(e, z), z) < A$ for every $z \in Z$.

The action ρ is *discrete* if for any point $z \in Z$ and any $R > 0$, the set of all $\gamma \in G$ such that $\rho(\gamma, z)$ is contained in the ball $B_R(z)$ is finite; ρ is *cobounded* if Z coincides with a finite tubular neighbourhood of the ‘‘orbit’’ $\rho(G, z)$. If ρ is a discrete and cobounded quasi-action of G on Z , then the orbit map $\gamma \in G \rightarrow \rho(\gamma, z)$ is a quasi-isometry. Conversely, given a quasi-isometry between G and Z , it induces a discrete and cobounded quasi-action of G on Z .

Two quasi-actions ρ and ρ' are *equivalent* if there exists a constant D so that

$$\sup_{\gamma \in G} \sup_{z \in Z} d(\rho(\gamma, z), \rho'(\gamma, z)) < D.$$

Proposition 6.6. *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type Artin group, such that Γ is connected without valence one vertices, and Γ is not a triangle with each edge labelled by 3. Suppose Γ is fundamental with respect to D_Γ in the sense of Definition 5.10. Then the following holds true:*

1. *any self quasi-isometry of A_Γ is at bounded distance from an automorphism of the Cayley complex C_Γ ;*
2. *the quasi-isometry group of A_Γ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$;*
3. *any finitely generated group quasi-isometric to A_Γ is virtually isomorphic to a uniform lattice in the locally compact topological group $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$;*
4. *the outer automorphism group of A_Γ is finite, and it is generated by global inversion and label-preserving automorphisms of the defining graph Γ .*

If in addition the topological group $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ is discrete (equivalently Γ is star rigid), then

1. *any self quasi-isometry of A_Γ is at bounded distance from an automorphism of A_Γ ;*
2. *any finitely generated group quasi-isometric to A_Γ is virtually isomorphic to A_Γ ;*
3. *the quasi-isometry group of A_Γ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(A_\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Given $q \in \text{QI}(A_\Gamma)$, let $\alpha = h_3 \circ \Phi(q)$ where Φ is defined in Definition 6.1 and h_3 is defined in Lemma 6.3. By our assumption, Theorem 5.28 and Lemma 5.27, there is a unique $\beta \in \text{Aut}(D_\Gamma)$ such that $h_2(\beta) = \alpha$. Let $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ be $\gamma = h_1(\alpha)$ with h_1 as in Definition 5.25. It follows from the definition of h_1, h_2, h_3 and Φ that $h_3 \circ \Phi(\gamma) = h_3 \circ \Phi(q)$ (we view γ as a self quasi-isometry of A_Γ). Since h_3, h_2, h_1, Φ are all injective (Lemma 6.3,

Lemma 5.27 and Proposition 5.26), we know that $q = \gamma$ in $\text{QI}(A_\Gamma)$, thus the first assertion follows. The second assertion then follows from the injectivity of $h_3 \circ \Phi$. From the first two assertions and Proposition 6.2, we know each (L, A) -self quasi-isometry of A_Γ is at distance at most $C = C(L, A, \Gamma)$ from a unique automorphism of C_Γ .

Now we prove Assertion 3. Given a finitely generated group H quasi-isometric to A_Γ , then there is a discrete and cobounded (L, A) -quasi-action $\rho : H \times A_\Gamma \rightarrow A_\Gamma$ of H on A_Γ for some choice of L and A . By the previous paragraph, there exists $C > 0$ such that for each $h \in H$, we can replace $\rho(h, \cdot) : A_\Gamma \rightarrow A_\Gamma$ by a unique automorphism of C_Γ at distance at most C from $\rho(h, \cdot)$. This gives an quasi-action $\rho' : H \curvearrowright C_\Gamma$ which is equivalent to ρ , moreover, the uniqueness of the replacement implies that ρ' is an action. We also know that ρ' is proper and cocompact. Thus H is virtually a uniform lattice in $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$. If in addition $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ is discrete, any two uniform lattice in $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ are commensurable, in particular, H is virtually isomorphic to A_Γ .

For Assertion 4, let $F \subset D_\Gamma$ and v_0 be as in the proof of Lemma 6.4. Given an automorphism α of A_Γ , viewed as a quasi-isometry, there is a unique automorphism $\alpha' \in \text{Aut}(D_\Gamma)$ such that $h_2(\alpha') = h_3 \circ \Phi$ as before. Up to modify α by an inner automorphism, we can assume $\alpha'(F) = F$. Then α' induces an automorphism of α'_{v_0} of $\text{lk}(v_0, D_\Gamma)$, which comes from an automorphism α'' of Γ as in the proof of Lemma 6.4. By [Cri05, Lemma 39], α'_{v_0} sends a type 2 vertex of F corresponding to A_e to a possibly different type 2 vertex of F corresponding to $A_{e'}$, with the label of e and e' being the same. Thus α'' preserves labels of edges of Γ . Hence up to post-compose α' by an automorphism of A_Γ induced by a label-preserving automorphism of Γ , we can assume α' fixes F pointwise. This implies (by unwinding the definition of α') that the Hausdorff distance between $\alpha(A_e)$ and A_e is finite for each edge $e \subset \Gamma$. As $\alpha(A_e)$ belongs to the list in [Vas23b, Theorem D], the only possibly which is Hausdorff close to A_e is A_e itself. By [Cri05, Lemma 40], $\alpha|_{A_e}$ is either identity or an inversion sending each generator to its inverse. As Γ is connected, α is either identity or a global inversion, which finishes the proof of Assertion 4.

Now we prove the “in addition” part. It follows from the argument in the last paragraph of the proof of Lemma 6.4 that for any $\beta \in \text{Aut}(D_\Gamma)$, $h_1^{-1}(\beta)$ is an automorphism of A_Γ . Now we repeat the argument in the first paragraph of the proof to see that any self quasi-isometry of A_Γ is at bounded distance from an automorphism of A_Γ . Now the other components of the in addition part follows. \square

Let Δ_{333} denotes the triangle with each edge labelled by 3.

Corollary 6.7. *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type Artin group with $\Gamma \neq \Delta_{333}$ such that Γ has a twistless hierarchy terminating on twistless stars. Then*

1. *any self quasi-isometry of A_Γ is at bounded distance from an automorphism of the Cayley complex C_Γ ;*
2. *the quasi-isometry group of A_Γ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$;*
3. *any finitely generated group quasi-isometric to A_Γ is virtually isomorphic to a uniform lattice in the locally compact topological group $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$;*
4. *the outer automorphism group of A_Γ is finite, and it is generated by global inversion and label-preserving automorphisms of the defining graph Γ .*

If in addition Γ is star rigid, then

1. *any self quasi-isometry of A_Γ is at bounded distance from an automorphism of A_Γ ;*

2. any finitely generated group quasi-isometric to A_Γ is virtually isomorphic to A_Γ ;
3. the quasi-isometry group of A_Γ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(A_\Gamma)$.

If $\Gamma = \Delta_{333}$, then the outer automorphism group of A_Γ is still generated by global inversion and label-preserving automorphisms of the defining graph. Moreover, there is a finite index super-group H containing A_Γ such that

1. any self-quasi-isometry of A_Γ is at bounded distance from a left translation of H , hence the quasi-isometry group of A_Γ is isomorphic to H ;
2. any finitely generated group quasi-isometric to A_Γ is virtually isomorphic to A_Γ .

Proof. The case when Γ is not a triangle with each edge labelled by 3 is a consequence of Proposition 6.6 and Proposition 5.11. If Γ is a triangle with each edge labelled by 3, then A_Γ has finite index in the mapping class group H of 5-punctured sphere. The corollary follows directly from quasi-isometric rigidity results on mapping class groups [Ham05, BN08]. The statement about outer automorphism group of A_Γ follows from [Vas23a]. \square

6.2 On Conjecture 1.3

Proposition 6.8. *The only if direction of Conjecture 1.3 holds.*

Proof. We first show if Γ has a separating edge or a separating vertex, then $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is not locally compact. We argue by contradiction and assume $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is locally compact, then for any vertex $v \in I_\Gamma$, the stabiliser $G_v \leq \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ of v is compact. If Γ has a separating edge e , then there are full subgraphs Γ_1, Γ_2 of Γ such that $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = e$, $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 = \Gamma$ and Γ_1 and Γ_2 properly contain e . Consider the splitting $A_\Gamma = A_{\Gamma_1} *_{A_e} A_{\Gamma_2}$ and let z be a non-trivial element in the centre of A_e . Let ϕ_n be the automorphism of A_Γ which is identity on A_{Γ_1} and is the conjugation by z^n on A_{Γ_2} . One readily checks that ϕ_n induces a sequence of automorphisms $\varphi_n : I_\Gamma \rightarrow I_\Gamma$. There is a vertex $v \in I_\Gamma$ which is fixed by any φ_n , although the sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ does not have a limit, which contradicts that G_v is compact.

Now we assume Γ is twistless. It remains to show that, if Γ is not star rigid, then $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is not discrete. If $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is discrete, then G_v defined in the previous paragraph is finite. However, the infinitely many different elements we constructed in $\text{Aut}_0(D_\Gamma)$ in the proof of Lemma 6.4 induces infinitely many different elements in $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ which fix a common vertex, which is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 6.9. *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type Artin group with $\Gamma \neq \Delta_{333}$ such that Γ is connected and does not have leaf vertices. Suppose Γ is fundamental with respect to D_Γ in the sense of Definition 5.10. Then $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is locally compact and it is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$. If in addition that Γ is star rigid, then $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is discrete and it is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(A_\Gamma)$ (with $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(A_\Gamma)$ defined in the beginning of Section 1.4).*

Proof. By Theorem 5.28, the second comparison homomorphism $h_2 : \text{Aut}(D_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma^{TD})$ is an isomorphism. By Lemma 6.3 and Proposition 5.26, the homomorphism $h_1 \circ h_2^{-1} \circ h_3 : \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ is injective. One readily checks this homomorphism is also continuous. However, as each element in $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ can be viewed as an element in $\text{QI}(A_\Gamma)$, hence induces an automorphism of I_Γ via Definition 6.1. It follows that $h_1 \circ h_2^{-1} \circ h_3$ is surjective, hence an isomorphism. The in addition part is similar to the proof of the in addition part of Proposition 6.6. \square

The following is a consequence of Proposition 6.9 and Proposition 6.8 (note that Γ being twistless implies that Γ is connected and does not have leaf vertices).

Corollary 6.10. *Suppose Γ is fundamental in the Deligne complex D_Γ in the sense of Definition 5.10 whenever Γ is twistless. Then Conjecture 1.3 holds.*

This motivates the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.11. *Suppose A_Γ is of large-type and Γ is twistless. Then Γ is fundamental in the Deligne complex D_Γ in the sense of Definition 5.10*

The following is a consequence of Proposition 6.8, Proposition 6.9 and Proposition 5.11 (the assumption of the following theorem implies Γ is connected and does not have leaf vertices).

Theorem 6.12. *Suppose A_Γ is of large-type. If Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars, then Conjecture 1.3 holds.*

Theorem 6.13. *If Conjecture 6.11 holds. Then Conjecture 1.10 holds.*

Proof. The only if direction of Conjecture 1.10 can be proved in a similar way to the only if direction of Conjecture 1.3. The if direction follows from Proposition 6.6. \square

6.3 ME and OE rigidity

Recall that an Artin group is of hyperbolic-type if the associated Coxeter group is Gromov hyperbolic. A large-type Artin group A_Γ is of *hyperbolic-type* if Γ does not contain a triangle with each edge labelled by 3. In this case, $I_\Gamma^{TD} = I_\Gamma$.

Proposition 6.14. *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type, hyperbolic-type Artin group such that Γ is connected and does not have leaf vertices. Suppose Γ is fundamental with respect to D_Γ in the sense of Definition 5.10. Then any countable group measure equivalent to A_Γ is virtually a lattice in the locally compact topological group $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$.*

If in addition the topological group $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ is discrete (equivalently Γ is star rigid), then any countable group measure equivalent to A_Γ is virtually isomorphic to A_Γ .

Proof. By Lemma 5.27 and Theorem 5.28, h_2 is an isomorphism. Then $h_2 \circ h_1^{-1} : \text{Aut}(C_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism, and it is the comparison map between $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ and $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ as defined in [HH20, Section 8]. Thus the first part of the proposition follows from [HH20, Theorem 10.4]. The in addition part follows from [HH20, Theorem 10.5], as $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ is discrete implies that the natural map $A_\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ induced by action of A_Γ on C_Γ by left translations has finite index image. \square

Proposition 6.15. *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type, hyperbolic-type Artin group such that Γ is connected and does not have leaf vertices. Suppose Γ is fundamental with respect to D_Γ in the sense of Definition 5.10. Assume in addition that Γ is star rigid.*

Then for any ergodic measure-preserving essentially free $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ on a probability measure space X , and any countable group G' with an ergodic measure-preserving essentially free G' -action on a standard probability space X' , as long as actions $G \curvearrowright X$ and $G' \curvearrowright X'$ are stably orbit equivalent, then they are virtually conjugate.

Proof. By Proposition 6.9, $\text{Aut}(I_\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(A_\Gamma)$. Now the proposition follows from [HH20, Theorem 10.1], and [Fur09, Lemma 4.18]. \square

Corollary 6.16. *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type, hyperbolic-type Artin group such that Γ has a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Then any countable group measure equivalent to A_Γ is virtually a lattice in the locally compact topological group $\text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$.*

If in addition the graph Γ is star rigid, then any countable group measure equivalent to A_Γ is virtually isomorphic to A_Γ . Moreover, then for any ergodic measure-preserving essentially free $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ on a probability measure space X , and any countable group G' with an ergodic measure-preserving essentially free G' -action on a standard probability space X' , as long as actions $G \curvearrowright X$ and $G' \curvearrowright X'$ are stably orbit equivalent, then they are virtually conjugate.

Proof. Proposition 6.14, Proposition 6.15 and Proposition 5.11. □

Now we discuss some consequences on lattice embedding of Artin groups. The following two theorems can be proved in a similar way as Proposition 6.14, using [HH20, Theorem 10.9] and [HH20, Theorem 10.10] respectively.

Theorem 6.17. *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type, hyperbolic-type Artin group such that Γ has a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Suppose G' is a finite index subgroup of A_Γ which embeds into a locally compact second countable group H as a lattice via $f : G' \rightarrow H$.*

Then there exists a continuous homomorphism $\psi : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$ with compact kernel such that $\psi \circ f$ coincides with the natural map $G' \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_\Gamma)$.

Theorem 6.18. *Suppose A_Γ is a large-type, hyperbolic-type Artin group such that Γ has a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Then there exists G_0 which is virtually isomorphic to G such that the following holds true.*

Suppose A_Γ or one of its finite index subgroup embeds into a locally compact second countable group H as a lattice. Then there exists a continuous homomorphism $g : H \rightarrow G_0$ with compact kernel.

6.4 Rigidity of cross-product von Neumann algebra

Given a countable group G and a standard probability space (X, μ) equipped with an ergodic measure-preserving free G -action by Borel automorphisms, let $L(G \curvearrowright X)$ be the associated cross-product von Neumann algebra.

Proposition 6.19. *Let A_Γ be a large-type, hyperbolic-type Artin group. Suppose that*

1. Γ is connected without valence one vertex and it is not a complete graph;
2. Γ is star rigid, and Suppose Γ is fundamental with respect to D_Γ in the sense of Definition 5.10.

Let H be a countable group, and let $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $H \curvearrowright Y$ be free, ergodic, measure-preserving actions by Borel automorphisms on standard probability spaces.

If these two actions are stably W^ -equivalence, then they are virtually conjugate (in particular A_Γ and H are almost isomorphic).*

Proof. As Γ is not a complete graph, by [HH20, Theorem 11.1] (relying on [Ioa15a]), $L^\infty(X)$ is the unique Cartan subalgebra of $L(A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ up to unitary conjugation. Thus the stably W^* -equivalence assumption implies that $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $H \curvearrowright Y$ are stably orbit equivalent (see, e.g. the proof of [HH22, Corollary 6.4] for an explanation). Now we are done by Proposition 6.15. □

The following is a consequence of Proposition 6.19 and Proposition 5.11.

Corollary 6.20. *Let A_Γ be a large-type Artin group of hyperbolic-type. Suppose that*

1. Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars.
2. Γ is star rigid, and Γ is not a complete graph.

Let H be a countable group, and let $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $H \curvearrowright Y$ be free, ergodic, measure-preserving actions by Borel automorphisms on standard probability spaces.

If these two actions are stably W^ -equivalence, then they are virtually conjugate (in particular A_Γ and H are almost isomorphic).*

6.5 Classification results

Lemma 6.21. *Let A_{Γ_1} and A_{Γ_2} be two large-type Artin groups. Suppose Γ_1 is connected without leaf vertices, and Γ_1 is fundamental with respect to D_{Γ_2} (Definition 5.10).*

If I_{Γ_1} and I_{Γ_2} are isomorphic, then there is a label-preserving isomorphism $\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$.

Proof. First we consider the case $\Gamma_1 = \Delta_{333}$. Then I_{Γ_1} is isomorphic to the curve graph of the 5-punctured sphere Σ_5 , see Definition 3.9. As the mapping class group of Σ_5 acts transitively on the set of homotopy classes of essential simple close curves on Σ_5 , we know the automorphism group of I_{Γ_1} acts transitively on the vertex set of I_{Γ_1} . Note that Γ_2 must be connected, otherwise I_{Γ_2} is not connected. If $\Gamma_2 \neq \Delta_{333}$, then Corollary 4.18 implies that $\text{Aut}(I_{\Gamma_2})$ does not act transitively on the vertex set of I_{Γ_2} , which contradicts that I_{Γ_1} and I_{Γ_2} are isomorphic as graphs. Thus $\Gamma_2 = \Delta_{333}$.

Now we assume $\Gamma_1 \neq \Delta_{333}$. By the argument in the previous paragraph, we can also assume $\Gamma_2 \neq \Delta_{333}$. Let $\alpha : I_{\Gamma_1} \rightarrow I_{\Gamma_2}$ be an isomorphism. It follows from Corollary 4.18 that α induces an bijection of vertices of type E . Thus α induces an isomorphism of graphs $I_{\Gamma_1}^{TD} \rightarrow I_{\Gamma_2}^{TD}$, which we still denote by α . As α preserves types of vertices by Proposition 5.1, we know it gives a 1-1 correspondence between type 2 vertices of D_{Γ_1} and type 2 vertices of D_{Γ_2} . By the same argument as in [Cri05, pp. 1435], this correspondence between type 2 vertices extends to an isometric embedding $\varphi : D_{\Gamma_1} \rightarrow D_{\Gamma_2}$.

Next we show Γ_2 does not have any leaf vertices. Suppose Γ_2 has a leaf vertex a . Let $e = \overline{ab}$ be the edge of Γ_2 containing a . Let $v \in D_{\Gamma_2}$ be a vertex corresponding to gA_e for some $g \in A_{\Gamma_2}$. Take $v' \in D_{\Gamma_1}$ with $\varphi(v') = v$ (such v' exists as α induces a bijection of type 2 vertices). Then φ sends the closed star of v' to closed star of v isometrically. As Γ_1 does not contain leaf vertices, each triangle of D_{Γ_1} in the star of v' cannot contain any free edges (a free edge is an edge which is contained in exactly one triangle). On the other hand, as a is a leaf vertex of Γ_2 , there is a triangle in the closed star of v such that this triangle contains a free edge. As φ sends triangles without free edges to triangles without free edges, this leads to a contradiction. Thus Γ_2 does not have any leaf vertices.

It follows that D_{Γ_2} does not contain any free edges, hence it has geodesic extension property. Now we argue as [HH20, Theorem 8.7] to see that φ is actually surjective, hence it is an isometry. Now we restrict φ to the closed star of a type 0 vertex and argue as in the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 6.6 to see that φ induces a label-preserving isomorphism between Γ_1 and Γ_2 . \square

Corollary 6.22. *Suppose A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are two large-type Artin groups such that Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. there is a label-preserving isomorphism between Γ and Γ' ;

2. A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are isomorphic;
3. A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are commensurable;
4. A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are quasi-isometric.

Then there is a label-preserving isomorphism between their defining graphs.

Proof. 1 implies 2, 2 implies 3, and 3 implies 4 are trivial. It suffices to prove 4 implies 1, which follows from Proposition 5.11, Lemma 6.21 and [HO17, Theorem 10.16]. \square

Corollary 6.23. *Suppose A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are two large-type and hyperbolic-type Artin groups such that Γ admits a twistless hierarchy terminating in twistless stars. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. there is a label-preserving isomorphism between Γ and Γ' ;
2. A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ admits orbit equivalent or stably orbit equivalent free, ergodic, measure-preserving actions by Borel automorphisms on standard probability spaces;
3. A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$ are measure equivalent.

If in addition both Γ and Γ' are not complete graphs, then the above items are equivalent to that the cross product von-Neumann algebras $L(A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ and $L(A_{\Gamma'} \curvearrowright Y)$ have isomorphic amplifications, for some free, ergodic, measure-preserving actions by Borel automorphisms on standard probability spaces $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $A_{\Gamma'} \curvearrowright Y$.

Proof. For the first part, it suffices to prove 3 implies 1, which follows from Lemma 6.21, Proposition 5.11 and [HH20, Theorem 10.1]. The in addition part is similar to the proof of Proposition 6.19. \square

References

- [BFS20] Uri Bader, Alex Furman, and Roman Sauer. Lattice envelopes. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 169(2), 2020.
- [BH99] Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [BKMM12] Jason A. Behrstock, Bruce Kleiner, Yair Minsky, and Lee Mosher. Geometry and rigidity of mapping class groups. *Geom. Topol.*, 16(2):781–888, 2012.
- [BKS08] Mladen Bestvina, Bruce Kleiner, and Michah Sageev. The asymptotic geometry of right-angled Artin groups. I. *Geom. Topol.*, 12(3):1653–1699, 2008.
- [BMMN02] Noel Brady, Jonathan P McCammond, Bernhard Mühlherr, and Walter D Neumann. Rigidity of Coxeter groups and Artin groups. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 94:91–109, 2002.
- [BMV24] Martín Blufstein, Alexandre Martin, and Nicolas Vaskou. Homomorphisms between large-type Artin groups. *In preparation*, 2024.
- [BN08] Jason A. Behrstock and Walter D. Neumann. Quasi-isometric classification of graph manifold groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 141(2):217–240, 2008.

- [BP23] Martín Blufstein and Luis Paris. Parabolic subgroups inside parabolic subgroups of Artin groups. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 151(04):1519–1526, 2023.
- [BS72] Egbert Brieskorn and Kyoji Saito. Artin-Gruppen und Coxeter-Gruppen. *Invent. Math.*, 17:245–271, 1972.
- [CC05] Ruth Charney and John Crisp. Automorphism groups of some affine and finite type Artin groups. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 12(2-3):321–333, 2005.
- [CD95] Ruth Charney and Michael W. Davis. The $K(\pi, 1)$ -problem for hyperplane complements associated to infinite reflection groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(3):597–627, 1995.
- [CHR20] Laura Ciobanu, Derek Holt, and Sarah Rees. Equations in groups that are virtually direct products. *Journal of Algebra*, 545:88–99, 2020.
- [CIK15] Ionut Chifan, Adrian Ioana, and Yoshikata Kida. W^* -superrigidity for arbitrary actions of central quotients of braid groups. *Mathematische annalen*, 361:563–582, 2015.
- [CMV22] María Cumplido, Alexandre Martin, and Nicolas Vaskou. Parabolic subgroups of large-type Artin groups. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 174(2):393–414, 2022.
- [Cri05] John Crisp. Automorphisms and abstract commensurators of 2–dimensional Artin groups. *Geometry & Topology*, 9(3):1381–1441, 2005.
- [EF97] Alex Eskin and Benson Farb. Quasi-flats and rigidity in higher rank symmetric spaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 10(3):653–692, 1997.
- [FM11] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups (pms-49)*, volume 41. Princeton university press, 2011.
- [Fur99] Alex Furman. Gromov’s measure equivalence and rigidity of higher rank lattices. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 1059–1081, 1999.
- [Fur09] Alex Furman. A survey of measured group theory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0901.0678*, 2009.
- [GH21] Vincent Guirardel and Camille Horbez. Measure equivalence rigidity of $Out(F_n)$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.03696*, 2021.
- [Gro93] Mikhael Gromov. *Geometric Group Theory: Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, volume 2. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [Ham05] Ursula Hamenstaedt. Geometry of the mapping class groups III: Quasi-isometric rigidity. *preprint*, 2005.
- [HH20] Camille Horbez and Jingyin Huang. Boundary amenability and measure equivalence rigidity among two-dimensional Artin groups of hyperbolic type. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.09325*, 2020.
- [HH22] Camille Horbez and Jingyin Huang. Measure equivalence rigidity among the higman groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.00884*, 2022.

- [HK18] Jingyin Huang and Bruce Kleiner. Groups quasi-isometric to right-angled Artin groups. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 167(3):537–602, 2018.
- [HO17] Jingyin Huang and Damian Osajda. Quasi-euclidean tilings over 2-dimensional Artin groups and their applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.00122*. To appear in *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2017.
- [HPV13] Cyril Houdayer, Sorin Popa, and Stefaan Vaes. A class of groups for which every action is W^* -superrigid. *Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics*, 7(3):577–590, 2013.
- [Hua17] Jingyin Huang. Quasi-isometric classification of right-angled Artin groups, I: The finite out case. *Geom. Topol.*, 21(6):3467–3537, 2017.
- [Hua18] Jingyin Huang. Commensurability of groups quasi-isometric to raags. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 213(3):1179–1247, 2018.
- [Ioa15a] A. Ioana. Cartan subalgebras of amalgamated free product II_1 factors. *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Supér.*, 48(1):71–130, 2015.
- [Ioa15b] Adrian Ioana. Cartan subalgebras of amalgamated free product ii_1 factors. In *Annales scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure*, volume 48, pages 71–130. Societe Mathematique de France, 2015.
- [Iva02] Nikolai V Ivanov. Mapping class groups. *Handbook of geometric topology*, pages 523–633, 2002.
- [JV24] Oli Jones and Nicolas Vaskou. Fixed subgroups in Artin groups. *arXiv preprint arxiv:2407.11839*, 2024.
- [Kid10] Yoshikata Kida. Measure equivalence rigidity of the mapping class group. *Annals of mathematics*, pages 1851–1901, 2010.
- [KK13] Sang-hyun Kim and Thomas Koberda. Embedability between right-angled Artin groups. *Geom. Topol.*, 17(1):493–530, 2013.
- [KL97] Bruce Kleiner and Bernhard Leeb. Rigidity of quasi-isometries for symmetric spaces and Euclidean buildings. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 324(6):639–643, 1997.
- [Lev05] Gilbert Levitt. Automorphisms of hyperbolic groups and graphs of groups. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 114:49–70, 2005.
- [Luo00] Feng Luo. Automorphisms of the complex of curves. *Topology*, 39(2):283–298, 2000.
- [Mar20] Alexander Margolis. Quasi-isometry classification of right-angled Artin groups that split over cyclic subgroups. *Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics*, 14(4):1351–1417, 2020.
- [Mar24] Alexandre Martin. The Tits alternative for two-dimensional Artin groups and wise’s power alternative. *Journal of Algebra*, 656:294–323, 2024.
- [MP22] Alexandre Martin and Piotr Przytycki. Acylindrical actions for two-dimensional Artin groups of hyperbolic type. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2022(17):13099–13127, 2022.

- [MSW11] Lee Mosher, Michah Sageev, and Kevin Whyte. Quasi-actions on trees II: Finite depth Bass-Serre trees. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 214(1008):vi+105, 2011.
- [MV23] Alexandre Martin and Nicolas Vaskou. Characterising large-type Artin groups. *Bulletin of the LMS (in press)*, 2023.
- [MvN36] F.J. Murray and J. von Neumann. On rings of operators. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 37(1):116–229, 1936.
- [Pet10] Jesse Peterson. Examples of group actions which are virtually W^* -superrigid. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1002.1745*, 2010.
- [PV10] Sorin Popa and Stefaan Vaes. Group measure space decomposition of iiii factors and W^* -superrigidity. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 182(2):371–417, 2010.
- [Ser89] Herman Servatius. Automorphisms of graph groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 126(1):34–60, 1989.
- [Vas23a] Nicolas Vaskou. Automorphisms of large-type free-of-infinity Artin groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06666*, 2023.
- [Vas23b] Nicolas Vaskou. The isomorphism problem for large-type Artin groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.08329*, 2023.
- [vdL83] Harm van der Lek. *The homotopy type of complex hyperplane complements*. 1983.