## The Hadamard Product of Moment Sequences

Philipp J. di Dio

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Konstanz, Universitätsstraße 10, D-78464 Konstanz, Germany

Zukunftskolleg, Universitity of Konstanz, Universitätsstraße 10, D-78464 Konstanz, Germany

philipp.didio@uni-konstanz.de

## Abstract

We define the (Hadamard) product  $s \odot t := (s_{\alpha} \cdot t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  of two sequences  $s = (s_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  and  $t = (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$ . If s is represented by a measure  $\mu$  and t by a measure  $\nu$ , i.e., both are moment sequences, then we give an explicit measure  $\mu \odot \nu$  that represents  $s \odot t$ , i.e.,  $s \odot t$  is a moment sequence. We pick a result from *Moment-sequence transforms* (J. Eur. Math. Soc. **24** (2022), 3109–3160) and show how the multiplication  $s \odot t$  of moment sequences simplifies the proof and also gives deeper insight by providing the representing measure  $\mu \odot \nu$ .

*Keywords:* moment sequence, moment, Hadamard, product, entry-wise 2020 MSC: Primary 44A60; Secondary 30E05, 26C05.

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Entry-wise maps

$$f: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}_0^n} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}_0^n}, \quad s \mapsto f(\odot s) := \left(f(s_\alpha)\right)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \tag{1}$$

have intensively been studied in various connections, see e.g. [Sch42, KT21, BGKP22, BGKP23] and reference therein. Especially in [BGKP22] maps (1) where studied which preserve moment sequences, i.e.,  $f(\odot s)$  is a moment sequence for any moment sequence s. For a closed set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  recall that a sequence  $s = (s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  is a *K*-moment sequence if there exists a measure  $\mu$  on K such that  $s_\alpha = \int_K x^\alpha d\mu(x)$  holds for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ .

Despite the long history of the theory of moments and its extensive literature [Sti94, Akh65, KN77, Lau09, Mar08, Sch17] the (Hadamard) product of moment sequences is not contained in the standard literature. It has been studied for a long time but it is surprising that the connection to [Bor11, Cor. 4.3] was not made before. We apply this to [BGKP22, Thm. 3.1] and not only simplify the proof and arguments but also provide a deeper understanding what maps (1) do with moment sequences and their representing measures.

We thank Greg Blekherman for valuable remarks and reference [BRS<sup>+</sup>22]. Our work is based on the following result of Borcea [Bor11]. Let

$$T: \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \to \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \quad \text{with} \quad Tx^{\alpha} = t_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \quad (2)$$

Preprint submitted to arXiv

August 9, 2024

be a linear map for some real sequence  $t = (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}}$ . Operators T as in (2) are called *diagonal operators* and  $(t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}}$  is its *diagonal sequence*. Define  $\operatorname{Pos}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) := \{p \in \mathbb{R}[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}] | p \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{n}\}$ . By [Bor11, Cor. 4.3]<sup>1</sup> the following are equivalent:

- (a) T is a positivity preserver, i.e.,  $T \operatorname{Pos}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \operatorname{Pos}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- (b)  $t = (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  is a moment sequence.

Additionally, if  $\mu$  is a representing measure of the moment sequence t then

$$(Tp)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(x_1y_1, \dots, x_ny_n) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(y_1, \dots, y_n) \tag{3}$$

holds for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ .

Its proof is  $L(p) := (Tp)(1, ..., 1) \ge 0$  for all  $p \in \operatorname{Pos}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L(x^{\alpha}) = \lambda_{\alpha}$ . Let T and S be operators of the form (2) with moment sequences  $t = (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  and  $s = (s_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  represented by measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , respectively. Then the operator ST = TS is a diagonal operator which fulfills

$$(TS)(p)(x) = (ST)(p)(x) =$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} p(x_1 y_1 z_1, \dots x_n y_n z_n) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(y_1, \dots, y_n) \, \mathrm{d}\nu(z_1, \dots, z_n) \quad (4)$$

for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , i.e.,  $TSx^{\alpha} = t_{\alpha}s_{\alpha}x^{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and therefore with diagonal sequence  $(t_{\alpha} \cdot s_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$ . This motivates the following.

**Definition 1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $s = (s_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}}$  and  $t = (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}}$  be real sequences, let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be measures on  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ , and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define

$$s \odot t := (s_{\alpha} \cdot t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}, \quad s^{\odot 0} := (1)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}, \quad \text{and} \quad s^{\odot k} := \underbrace{s \odot \cdots \odot s}_{k-\text{times}} = (s_{\alpha}^k)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}.$$

Additionally, we define  $\mu \odot \nu$  by

$$(\mu \odot \nu)(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \chi_A(x_1 y_1, \dots, x_n y_n) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) \, \mathrm{d}\nu(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

for all Borel sets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\chi_A$  is the characteristic function of A. We define

$$\mu^{\odot 0} := \delta_1$$
 and  $\mu^{\odot k} := \underbrace{\mu \odot \cdots \odot \mu}_{k\text{-times}}$ 

where  $\delta_x$  is the Dirac measure supported at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Submitted: 17th of October 2008; revised: 12th of January 2009; published online: 7th of January 2011, i.e., after the death of the author Julius Borcea (8th of June 1968 – 8th of April 2009). Because of the untimely death of J. Borcea the publication was significantly delayed.

Clearly,  $\mu \odot \nu$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For two sets  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  we define

$$A \cdot B := \{ (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_n b_n) \mid (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A, \ (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B \}.$$
(5)

Then (4) implies the following.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}_0^n}$  be moment sequences with representing measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . Then  $s \odot t$  is a moment sequence with representing measure  $\mu \odot \nu$  which fulfills  $\operatorname{supp} \mu \odot \nu = \operatorname{supp} \mu \cdot \operatorname{supp} \nu$ .

*Proof.* Follows immediately from (4) and Definition 1.

Remark 3. Theorem 2 not only states that the map  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto x^2$  induces a moment sequence preserving map f as in (1). By induction any map  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} a_k \cdot x^k$  with  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $a_k \ge 0$  for all  $k = 0, \ldots, d$  preserves moment sequences entry-wise. If s is represented by  $\mu$  then  $f(\odot s) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} a_k \cdot s^{\odot k}$ is represented by the measure  $f(\odot \mu) := \sum_{k=0}^{d} a_k \cdot \mu^{\odot k}$ . Under appropriate conditions on the coefficients  $a_k$  the limit  $d \to \infty$  exists for the sequence  $f(\odot s)$ and the (signed) measure  $f(\odot \mu)$ . That f is entire is sufficient.

Theorem 2 and Remark 3 are simple observations from [Bor11, Cor. 4.3] but have neither been formulated nor used before. It reduces the long studied problem of the Hadamard product to triviality. Let us collect properties of  $\odot$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ , and  $\omega$  be measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let A be a Borel set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ ,  $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $a, b \ge 0$  and c > 0 be constants. Then the following hold:

- (i)  $\mu \odot \nu = \nu \odot \mu$ .
- (*ii*)  $(a\mu + b\nu) \odot \omega = a \cdot \mu \odot \omega + b \cdot \nu \odot \omega$ .
- (iii)  $(\mu \odot \nu) \odot \omega = \mu \odot (\nu \odot \omega).$
- (iv)  $(\mu \odot \nu)(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mu(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \nu(\mathbb{R}^n).$
- (v)  $\delta_0 \odot \mu = \mu(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \delta_0.$
- (vi)  $\delta_{\mathbb{1}} \odot \mu = \mu$  with  $\mathbb{1} := (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (vii)  $(\delta_{c \cdot 1} \odot \mu)(A) = \mu(c^{-1} \cdot A).$
- (viii)  $\delta_u \odot \delta_v = \delta_{u \odot v}$  with  $u \odot v := (u_1 v_1, \dots, u_n v_n)$ .

*Proof.* (i) - (viii) follow all immediately from Definition 1 and Theorem 2. We prove only (v) - (viii) explicitly.

(v): Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a Borel set. We have

$$(\delta_0 \odot \mu)(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \chi_A(x_1 y_1, \dots, x_n y_n) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) \, \mathrm{d}\delta_0(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_A(x_1 \cdot 0, \dots, x_n \cdot 0) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mu(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \chi_A(0, \dots, 0)$$
$$= \mu(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \delta_0(A).$$

(vi): Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a Borel set. We have

$$(\delta_{\mathbb{1}} \odot \mu)(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \chi_A(x_1 y_1, \dots, x_n y_n) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) \, \mathrm{d}\delta_{\mathbb{1}}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_A(x_1 \cdot 1, \dots, x_n \cdot 1) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mu(A).$$

(vii): Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a Borel set. We have

$$(\delta_{c\cdot 1} \odot \mu)(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \chi_A(x_1 y_1, \dots, x_n y_n) \, \mathrm{d}\delta_{c\cdot 1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_A(c y_1, \dots, c y_n) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{c^{-1} \cdot A}(y_1, \dots, y_n) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \mu(c^{-1} \cdot A).$$

(viii): Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a Borel set. We have

$$(\delta_u \odot \delta_v)(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \chi_A(x_1 y_1, \dots, x_n y_n) \, \mathrm{d}\delta_u(x_1, \dots, x_n) \, \mathrm{d}\delta_v(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$
$$= \chi_A(u_1 v_1, \dots, u_n v_n) = \delta_{u \odot v}(A).$$

As an application we look at the following. The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) is [BGKP22, Thm. 3.1]. [BGKP22, Thm. 9.1] is the multi-dimensional version. We only use [BGKP22, Thm. 3.1] (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Recall that an *absolutely monotonic* entire function has the form  $f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} a_k \cdot x^k$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with Taylor coefficients  $a_k \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**Corollary 5.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) f maps [-1,1]-moment sequences to [-1,1]-moment sequence.
- (ii) f is an absolutely monotonic entire function.
- (iii) f is entire and f maps  $\mathbb{R}$ -moment sequence to  $\mathbb{R}$ -moment sequences.
- (iv) f is entire and  $(f(2^{-k}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a moment sequence.
- (v) f maps  $[-1,1]^n$ -moment sequences to  $[-1,1]^n$ -moment sequences for a fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (vi) f maps  $[-1,1]^n$ -moment sequences to  $[-1,1]^n$ -moment sequences for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (vii) f is entire and f maps  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -moment sequences to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -moment sequences for a fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(viii) f is entire and f maps  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -moment sequences to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -moment sequences for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): That is [BGKP22, Thm. 3.1].

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let s be a [-1,1]-moment sequence with representing measure  $\mu$ . Then  $f(\odot s)$  is represented by  $f(\odot \mu) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} a_k \cdot \mu^{\odot k}$  and

$$\operatorname{supp} f(\odot \mu) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \operatorname{supp} \mu^{\odot k} \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \underbrace{[-1,1] \cdot \ldots \cdot [-1,1]}_{k \text{-times, see } (5)} = [-1,1]$$
(6)

by Theorem 2. That  $f(\odot \mu)$  represents  $f(\odot s)$ , i.e., the limit  $d \to \infty$  in Remark 3 exists, follows from (6) and

$$(f(\odot\mu))(\mathbb{R}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} a_k \cdot (\mu^{\odot k})(\mathbb{R}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} a_k \cdot (\mu(\mathbb{R}))^k = f(\mu(\mathbb{R})) < \infty.$$
(7)

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Eq. (7) does not require supp  $\mu \subseteq [-1, 1]$  but holds for arbitrary  $\operatorname{supp} \mu \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  since f is entire.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Clear since  $(2^{-k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a moment sequence with representing measure  $\delta_{1/2}$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): The sequence  $(2^{-k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a moment sequence with (unique) representing measure  $\delta_{1/2}$ . Hence, by Theorem 2 and Lemma 4 (viii) we have that  $(f(2^{-k}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is represented by the (possibly signed) measure

$$f(\odot \delta_{2^{-1}}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} a_k \cdot \delta_{2^{-k}}$$

with supp  $f(\odot \delta_{2^{-1}}) \subseteq \{2^{-k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subseteq [0,1] \text{ and } |f(\odot \mu)|(\mathbb{R}) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} |a_k|$  $(\mu(\mathbb{R}))^k < \infty$ . Assume there exists a  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $a_k < 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  is dense in  $C([0,1],\mathbb{R})$  there exists a  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  such that

$$p \ge 0 \text{ on } [0,1], \quad p(2^{-k}) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad p(2^{-m}) \le \frac{|a_k|}{2\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{k\}} |a_l|}$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{k\}$ . Then with the Riesz functional  $L_{f(\odot s)} : \mathbb{R}[x] \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $L_{f(\odot s)}(x^m) = f(s_m)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have

$$L_{f(\odot s)}(p) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} a_l \cdot p(2^{-l}) \le a_k + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{k\}} |a_m| \cdot p(2^{-m}) \le a_k + \frac{|a_k|}{2} < 0,$$

i.e.,  $f(\odot s)$  is not a moment sequence. Hence,  $a_k \ge 0$  holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v), ..., (viii): Follows with the same arguments from (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) for general  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  from Theorem 2 and Lemma 4.

(v) or (vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): For the multi-moment sequence  $s = (s_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}}$  take the marginal moment sequence  $s^{[1]} := (s_{(k,0,\dots,0)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ . (vii) or (viii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): For the multi-moment sequence  $s = (s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  take the

marginal moment sequence  $s^{[1]} := (s_{(k,0,\ldots,0)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ .  The Hankel matrix arguments in [BGKP22] where used to show that  $f(\odot s)$  is a moment sequence. Theorem 2 provides a representing measure of  $f(\odot s)$  and therefore removes the Hankel matrix arguments. It also simplifies [BRS<sup>+</sup>22].

## Funding

The author and this project are supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG with the grant DI-2780/2-1 and his research fellowship at the Zukunftskolleg of the University of Konstanz, funded as part of the Excellence Strategy of the German Federal and State Government.

## References

- [Akh65] N. I. Akhiezer, The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis, Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, 1965.
- [BGKP22] A. Belton, D. Guillot, A. Khare, and M. Putinar, Moment-sequence transforms, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 24 (2022), 3109–3160.
- [BGKP23] \_\_\_\_\_, Totally positive kernels, Pólya frequency functions, and their transforms, J. D'Anal. Math. 150 (2023), 83–158.
  - [Bor11] J. Borcea, Classification of linear operators preserving elliptic, positive and non-negative polynomials, J. reine angew. Math. 650 (2011), 67–82.
- [BRS<sup>+</sup>22] G. Blekherman, F. Rincón, R. Sinn, C. Vinzant, and J. Yu, Moments, sums of squares, and tropicalization, arXiv:2203.06291v1.
  - [KN77] M. G. Krein and A. A. Nudel'man, The Markow Moment Problem and Extremal Problems, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1977, translation of the Russian original from 1973.
  - [KT21] A. Khare and T. Tao, On the sign patterns of entrywise positivity preservers in fixed dimension, Am. J. Math. 143 (2021), 1863–1929.
  - [Lau09] M. Laurent, Sums of squares, moment matrices and optimization over polynomials, Emerging application of algebraic geometry, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., vol. 149, Springer, New York, 2009, pp. 157–270.
  - [Mar08] M. Marshall, Positive Polynomials and Sums of Squares, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, no. 146, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 2008.
  - [Sch42] I. J. Schoenberg, Positive definite functions on spheres, Duke Math. J. 9 (1942), 96–108.
  - [Sch17] K. Schmüdgen, The Moment Problem, Springer, New York, 2017.
  - [Sti94] T. J. Stieltjes, Recherches sur les fractions continues, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse 8 (1894), no. 4, J1–J122.