

# STRONG RAPID TURN INFLATION AND CONTACT HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATIONS

E. M. BABALIC<sup>1</sup>, C. I. LAZAROIU<sup>1,2</sup>, V. O. SLUPIC<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** We consider the consistency condition for “strong” sustained rapid turn inflation with third order slow roll (SRRT) in two-field cosmological models with oriented scalar manifold as a geometric PDE which constrains the scalar field metric and potential of such models. When supplemented by appropriate boundary conditions, the equation determines one of these objects in terms of the other and hence selects “fiducial” models for strong SRRT inflation. When the scalar potential is given, the equation can be simplified by fixing the conformal class of the scalar field metric (equivalently, fixing a complex structure which makes the scalar manifold into a complex Riemann surface). Then the consistency equation becomes a contact Hamilton-Jacobi PDE which determines the scalar field metric within the given conformal class. We analyze this equation with standard methods of PDE theory, discuss its quasilinearization near a non-degenerate critical point of the scalar potential and extract natural asymptotic conditions for its solutions at such points. We also give numerical examples of solutions to a simple Dirichlet problem. For the case of elliptic curves relevant to two-field axion cosmology, we determine the general symmetry-adapted solution of the equation for potentials with a single charge vector.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	2
0.1. Notations and conventions	5
1. The geometric formulation of two-field cosmological models and their complex parameterization	5
1.1. The cosmological equation	6
1.2. The complex parameterization of two-field models	7
1.3. The parameterization of scalar manifold metrics through volume forms and complex structures	8
1.4. The modified gradient operator	10
1.5. The adapted frame of the non-critical submanifold	10
1.6. The Riemannian and modified Riemannian Hessian of $V$	11
2. The strong SRRT equation	13
2.1. The strong SRRT conditions	13
2.2. The strong SRRT equation	14
2.3. The frame-free form of the strong SRRT equation	14
2.4. The strong SRRT equation for metrics in a fixed conformal class	15
2.5. The strong SRRT equation with fixed $V$ for metrics in a fixed conformal class	16
3. The contact Hamiltonian in isothermal Liouville coordinates	16
3.1. Isothermal coordinates on the scalar manifold	17
3.2. Isothermal Liouville coordinates	17
3.3. Explicit form of the contact Hamiltonian	19
4. Analysis of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the conformal factor	21

4.1. The momentum curve	21
4.2. Properness of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for $\phi$ . Viscosity solutions	22
4.3. Study of the momentum curve	23
4.4. Characteristic points	25
4.5. The method of characteristics	25
4.6. Constant Dirichlet conditions on a circle	27
4.7. The stationary points of the characteristic dynamical system	29
4.8. Numerical examples	30
5. The quasilinear approximation near a non-degenerate critical point of the scalar potential	34
5.1. Symmetries	36
5.2. Scale-invariant solutions of the quasilinear equation	37
5.3. Linearization of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation when $\phi$ blows up at a non-degenerate critical point	42
5.4. Natural asymptotic conditions at a non-degenerate critical point with distinct principal values	45
5.5. Characteristics in the quasilinear approximation	46
5.6. Numerical solutions and characteristics of the quasilinear equation near a critical point	46
6. Models with 2-torus target	49
6.1. Riemannian metrics on the torus	50
6.2. Periodic isothermal coordinates	50
6.3. Positive scalar potentials on the torus	51
6.4. Lattice-adapted coordinates	52
6.5. Charge-adapted coordinates	52
6.6. The strong SRRT equation and its lift to the covering space	52
6.7. A simple example	53
7. Conclusion and further directions	55
Acknowledgments	56
References	56

## INTRODUCTION

Multifield inflationary cosmology is a subject of renewed interest given its natural connection to fundamental theories of gravity and matter such as string theory. In fact, recent arguments suggest [1, 2, 3, 4] that such models may be preferred in all consistent theories of quantum gravity. The simplest examples are provided by two-field models, which already display some of the general features of multifield cosmology.

Two-field cosmological models have much richer phenomenology than their one-field counterparts, due to existence of non-geodesic trajectories which allow for new inflationary regimes such as those characterized by “large” turning rates. Cosmological trajectories with sustained “rapid” turn and slow roll are of significant phenomenological interest [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. Such models also display much more involved dynamics than their one-field counterparts, some general aspects of which were explored in [20, 21, 22]. Noether symmetries of two-field models were discussed in [23, 24, 25].

A conceptually important problem in the study of multifield inflationary scenarios is to find useful conditions on a given model which tell us when cosmological

trajectories satisfying the desired regime may exist. This is essential for developing *selection criteria* which can be used to rule out phenomenologically irrelevant models without attempting to compute trajectories in each candidate model “by hand” – given that every candidate model admits a continuous infinity of solutions depending on the choice of initial data.

This problem was first addressed systematically in reference [26] (see [27] for a summary) in the context of so-called *strong* rapid turn inflation with third order slow roll (SRRT). The qualifier “strong” means that the square of the dimensionless turning rate of trajectories is required to be much larger than one, as has been the tradition in studies of rapid-turn inflation.

It was shown in [26] that such a dynamical regime can exist only in those regions of the field space where the scalar field metric and scalar potential of the two-field cosmological model approximately satisfy the so-called *strong<sup>1</sup> SRRT consistency condition*. When imposed strictly, i.e. as an actual equality rather than an approximate equality, this condition is a nonlinear geometric PDE (herein called the *strong SRRT equation*) which constrains the scalar field metric and potential in terms of each other and hence selects *fiducial two-field models* for strong SRRT inflation. The equation thus provides a model selection criterion which is *a priori* in the sense that it does not require one to compute trajectories in order to test the fitness of a candidate model for existence of this inflationary regime. The scalar field metric and potential for which the cosmological equation is likely to admit such a dynamical regime must be “close” (in the Whitney topology) to those of a fiducial model, which satisfy the strong SRRT equation exactly.

In previous literature on the subject, the dominant viewpoint has been to start with a given pair consisting of a scalar field metric and potential and interpret the strong SRRT equation as a constraint which must be satisfied approximately by these objects in those regions of the field space where strong SRRT trajectories have a chance to exist. This approach is inefficient since it is based on “trial and error” and because the set of two-field models with fixed scalar field space is uncountably infinite. The point of view taken in the present paper is more constructive. Namely, we will take only the scalar potential of the model as given and view the strong SRRT equation as a geometric PDE for the scalar field metric, which can be used to determine the latter in terms of the scalar potential provided that appropriate boundary or asymptotic conditions are imposed. In principle, this partially solves the model selection problem by allowing one to parameterize fiducial strong SRRT models solely by their target manifold and scalar potential. In string compactifications with low or no supersymmetry (i.e. those compactifications most likely to be relevant to cosmology), the scalar potential tends to be easier to compute than the metric on the moduli space.

When the scalar potential is fixed, the strong SRRT equation (viewed as a PDE for the scalar field metric) turns out to be rather formidable when written in an arbitrary coordinate system on the scalar field space. To simplify it, we fix the conformal class of the metric, which – for an oriented scalar field space – amounts to fixing a complex structure  $J$  which renders the latter into a (generally non-compact) Riemann surface. This *complex parameterization* allows us to locally

---

<sup>1</sup>Later work [28, 29] derived a similar – but less restrictive – consistency condition for so-called *weak* rapid-turn inflation with third order slow roll, where the qualifier “weak” means that one requires only that the squared dimensionless turning rate  $\eta_{\perp}^2$  is much larger than the magnitudes of the first three slow roll parameters (though it could be smaller than one, so this is not “rapid” turn in the traditional sense). This reduces to the consistency condition derived in [26] in the traditional case  $\eta_{\perp}^2 \gg 1$ . It was shown in loc. cit. that certain models previously proposed in the literature satisfy only the weak SRRT condition. We consider only *strong* rapid turn in the present paper.

write the strong SRRT equation with fixed scalar potential as a first order PDE for the conformal factor of the scalar field metric, which turns out to be the local form of a geometric PDE of contact Hamilton-Jacobi type for the volume form of that metric. This establishes an unexpected connection between consistency of “strong” rapid turn inflation and contact mechanics (see [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]) as well as contact geometry [39, 40, 41].

When written in local isothermal coordinates for the complex structure  $J$ , our geometric equation takes the standard contact Hamilton-Jacobi form for a contact Hamiltonian which is a cubic polynomial in momenta with coefficients dependent in a complicated manner on the scalar potential. Analyzing this equation with the classical method of characteristics allows one to extract some qualitative information about its local solutions, while studying various asymptotic regimes provides further insight. As typical for nonlinear PDEs, a local smooth solution of the equation can develop “shocks” (singularities or discontinuities in its partial derivatives) when one attempts to extend it to a solution defined on the entire scalar manifold, as illustrated by the phenomenon of crossing characteristics. However, the equation turns out to be *proper* in the sense of the theory of viscosity solutions (see [42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49]), so its appropriately posed Dirichlet problems have unique global viscosity solutions when the boundary conditions are interpreted in the viscosity sense. Properness of the equation also allows one to approximate viscosity solutions by classical solutions of its viscosity perturbation, when one takes the viscosity parameter of the latter to zero. This allows for numerical computation of viscosity solutions, as we illustrate in the paper. In general, our contact Hamiltonian does *not* satisfy the Tonelli-type and other conditions required by the contact version [50, 51, 52, 53] of Aubry-Mather theory [54, 55], so the equation does not seem amenable to weak KAM methods.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 recalls the geometric formulation of two-field cosmological models in the absence of cosmological perturbations and discusses the complex parameterization of such models. In the same section, we introduce certain geometric objects which will play an important role later on. Section 2 briefly recalls the strong SRRT consistency condition derived in [26] and discusses the strong SRRT equation in its frame-free form, using the jet bundle formalism for geometric nonlinear differential operators (see [56, 57, 58]). In Section 3, we explain the process of passing to the complex parameterization of the scalar field metric and show that, when the scalar potential and conformal class of this metric are fixed, the resulting equation for the volume form is of geometric contact Hamilton-Jacobi type. We also show that working in isothermal Liouville coordinates on the first jet bundle of the positive determinant half-line bundle of the target manifold allows us to write the equation locally as a standard contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the conformal factor of the scalar field metric. In Section 4, we analyze this equation using the method of characteristics, establish its properness and present some numerically computed characteristics and viscosity approximants for a natural Dirichlet problem in the case of quadratic potentials which are positive on a vicinity of the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In Section 5, we study the quasilinear approximation of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation in the vicinity of a non-degenerate critical point of the scalar potential. After discussing the characteristics of this approximation, we analyze its symmetries and scale-invariant solutions. We also present some numerically-computed characteristics and viscosity approximants for a Dirichlet problem of the quasilinear equation near a non-degenerate critical point. Finally, we extract natural asymptotic conditions for solutions of the fully nonlinear equation in the vicinity of such a point. Section 6 briefly discusses the case of models with 2-torus target, which arise as toy examples in axion



cosmology [59, 60, 61, 62]. After explaining the complex parameterization of such models and the “axionic” presentation of general smooth scalar potentials, we make a few remarks on the interpretation of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation as a nonlinear PDE for doubly-periodic functions on the complex plane and find the general symmetry-adapted solution of this equation for potentials with a single charge vector. Section 7 presents our conclusions and some directions for further research.

**0.1. Notations and conventions.** All manifolds considered in this paper are smooth and paracompact. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}$  the trivial real line bundle on  $\mathcal{M}$ . For any smooth vector bundle  $E$  over  $\mathcal{M}$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \sqcup \{\infty\}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{C}^k(E)$  the space of those sections of  $E$  defined over  $\mathcal{M}$  which are of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$ . For ease of notation, we let  $\Gamma(E) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{C}^\infty(E)$  denote the space of smooth sections of  $E$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Gamma(T\mathcal{M})$  the space of smooth vector fields defined on  $\mathcal{M}$ . To avoid cumbersome formulas, we will occasionally not distinguish between the notations  $(x^1, x^2)$  and  $(x_1, x_2)$  for local coordinates on a 2-manifold. The norms used on natural vector bundles associated to a Riemannian manifold are induced by a Riemannian metric given on that manifold. We denote by  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  the components of the Levi-Civita *density* in local coordinates on a two-manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  and by  $\epsilon_{ij}$  the components of the Levi-Civita *tensor* relative to a Riemannian metric  $\mathcal{G}$  defined on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The two are related by the equation:

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \varepsilon_{ij} ,$$

where  $|\mathcal{G}|$  is the Gram determinant of  $\mathcal{G}$  in those local coordinates.

This paper uses the standard notations and conventions of modern Riemannian geometry (see [63]). We refer the reader to [64] for the basic theory of jet bundles, which is indispensable for understanding nonlinear differential equations on manifolds.

## 1. THE GEOMETRIC FORMULATION OF TWO-FIELD COSMOLOGICAL MODELS AND THEIR COMPLEX PARAMETERIZATION

By a *two-field cosmological model* we mean a classical cosmological model with two real scalar fields derived from the following Lagrangian on a spacetime with topology  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , which is endowed with its standard differentiable structure and orientation:

$$\mathcal{L}[g, \varphi] = \left[ \frac{M^2}{2} \text{R}(g) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_g \varphi^*(\mathcal{G}) - V \circ \varphi \right] \text{vol}_g . \quad (1)$$

Here  $M$  is the reduced Planck mass and  $g$  is a Lorentzian metric on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  (taken to be of “mostly plus” signature), while  $\text{vol}_g$  and  $\text{R}(g)$  are the volume form and scalar curvature of  $g$ . The real scalar fields are described by a map  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a (generally non-compact) connected, smooth and paracompact surface without boundary which we assume to be oriented and endowed with a smooth Riemannian metric  $\mathcal{G}$ , while  $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function which plays the role of potential for the scalar fields described by  $\varphi$ . The quantity  $V \circ \varphi$  is the composition of the map  $V$  with  $\varphi$ , which is a smooth map from  $\mathbb{R}^4$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . The quantity  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{G})$  denotes the pull-back of  $\mathcal{G}$  by  $\varphi$ , which is a symmetric covariant 2-tensor defined on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . The operation  $\text{Tr}_g$  is the trace induced by  $g$  on the space of such tensors, which is obtained by lifting either of the indices using  $g$  and then taking the trace of the resulting endomorphism of the tangent bundle of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . In local coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , we have:

$$\text{Tr}_g \varphi^*(\mathcal{G}) = g^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{G}_{ij} \partial_\alpha \varphi^i \partial_\beta \varphi^j ,$$

which is the usual local form of the Lagrange density for the nonlinear sigma model with target space  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ . Notice that the formulation given in (1) is manifestly

coordinate-free and valid for target surfaces  $\mathcal{M}$  of nontrivial topology. The fact that the topology of  $\mathcal{M}$  has highly nontrivial effects on the cosmological dynamics derived from (1) was pointed out in [65] and illustrated in [66, 67] in the case when the target space metric  $\mathcal{G}$  is hyperbolic<sup>2</sup>. See also [20] and [21].

One usually requires that the target space metric  $\mathcal{G}$  is complete in order to ensure conservation of energy. For simplicity, we will assume throughout this paper that  $V$  is strictly positive and non-constant on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The Riemannian 2-manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$  is called the *scalar manifold* of the model while the triplet  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, V)$  is called its *scalar triple*. It is convenient to parameterize the Lagrangian (1) by the quadruplet  $\mathfrak{M} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (M_0, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, V)$ , where:

$$M_0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} M \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$$

is the *rescaled Planck mass*. We denote by:

$$\text{Crit}V \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{m \in \mathcal{M} \mid (dV)(m) = 0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{M} \setminus \text{Crit}V \quad (2)$$

the *critical* and *noncritical* subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$ ; the first of these consists of all those points of  $\mathcal{M}$  which are critical points of the scalar potential. Notice that the set  $\text{Crit}V$  is closed in the manifold topology of  $\mathcal{M}$  and hence its complement  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is connected, the latter set is non-empty iff  $V$  is not constant on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

The two-field cosmological model parameterized by the quadruplet  $\mathfrak{M}$  is obtained by assuming that  $g$  is an FLRW metric with flat spatial section:

$$ds_g^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \sum_{i=1}^3 dx_i^2, \quad (3)$$

where  $a$  is a smooth and positive function of  $t$  and by taking  $\varphi$  to depend only on the *cosmological time*  $t \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} x^0$ . Here  $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$  are the Cartesian coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Define the *Hubble parameter* of the FLRW metric through:

$$H(t) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)},$$

where the dot indicates derivation with respect to  $t$ .

**1.1. The cosmological equation.** Let  $\pi : T\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be bundle projection. Define the *rescaled Hubble function*  $\mathcal{H} : T\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  of the scalar triple  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, V)$  through:

$$\mathcal{H}(u) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [ \|u\|^2 + 2V(\pi(u)) ]^{1/2} \quad \forall u \in T\mathcal{M}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\| \cdot \|$  indicates the fiberwise norm induced on  $T\mathcal{M}$  by the Riemannian metric  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection of the scalar manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ . When  $H(t) > 0$  (which we assume for the remainder of the paper), the Euler-Lagrange equations of (1) with the FLRW Ansatz (3) reduce (see [23, 24, 65]) to the following second order geometric ODE known as the *cosmological equation*:

$$\nabla_t \dot{\varphi}(t) + \frac{1}{M_0} \mathcal{H}(\dot{\varphi}(t)) \dot{\varphi}(t) + (\text{grad}V)(\varphi(t)) = 0 \quad (5)$$

(where  $\nabla_t \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \nabla_{\dot{\varphi}(t)}$ ), together with the *Hubble condition*:

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{3M_0} \mathcal{H}(\dot{\varphi}(t)), \quad (6)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Such effects are rarely discussed in the cosmology literature, most of which tacitly assumes that the scalar manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is contractible. There exists no reason in string theory or supergravity to restrict to models with a contractible target space.

which determines the Hubble parameter for each solution of (5). The smooth solutions  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  of (5) (where  $I$  is a non-degenerate interval, i.e. an interval which is not reduced to a point) are called *cosmological curves*, while their images in  $\mathcal{M}$  are called *cosmological orbits*. Given a cosmological curve  $\varphi$ , relation (6) determines the conformal factor  $a$  of the FLRW metric up to a multiplicative constant. This formulation reduces classical cosmological dynamics to the problem of solving the cosmological equation (given appropriate initial conditions). Notice that the cosmological equation is well-defined for scalar manifolds  $\mathcal{M}$  of nontrivial topology, given that its derivation is valid in general.

A cosmological curve  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  need not be an immersion. Accordingly, we define the *singular* and *regular* parameter sets of  $\varphi$  through:

$$I_{\text{sing}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{t \in I \mid \dot{\varphi}(t) = 0\}, \quad I_{\text{reg}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} I \setminus I_{\text{sing}} = \{t \in I \mid \dot{\varphi}(t) \neq 0\}.$$

When  $\varphi$  is not constant, it can be shown that  $I_{\text{sing}}$  is a discrete subset of  $I$ . The sets of *critical and noncritical times* of  $\varphi$  are defined through:

$$I_{\text{crit}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{t \in I \mid \varphi(t) \in \text{Crit}V\}, \quad I_{\text{noncrit}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} I \setminus I_{\text{crit}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{t \in I \mid \varphi(t) \notin \text{Crit}V\}.$$

The cosmological curve  $\varphi$  is called *non-degenerate* if  $I_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$  and *noncritical* if  $I_{\text{crit}} = \emptyset$ . Noncriticality means that the orbit of  $\varphi$  does not meet the critical set of  $V$ , i.e.  $\varphi(I)$  is contained in the noncritical submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_0$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ . It is easy to see that a cosmological curve  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is constant iff its orbit consists of a single point which coincides with a critical point of  $V$ , which in turn happens iff there exists some  $t \in I_{\text{sing}} \cap I_{\text{crit}}$ . Hence for any non-constant cosmological curve we have:

$$I_{\text{sing}} \cap I_{\text{crit}} = \emptyset.$$

**1.2. The complex parameterization of two-field models.** Let  $E = \text{Sym}^2(T^*\mathcal{M})$  be the vector bundle of symmetric covariant 2-tensors on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $E_+$  be its fiber sub-bundle consisting of strictly positive-definite tensors. Then  $\text{Met}(\mathcal{M}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Gamma(E_+)$  is the set of smooth Riemannian metrics defined on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Cosmological models whose target space is a fixed oriented surface  $\mathcal{M}$  and with rescaled Planck mass  $M_0$  are parameterized by the continuously-infinite set  $\text{Met}(\mathcal{M}) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$  of pairs  $(\mathcal{G}, V)$ . This set can be endowed, for example, with the Fréchet topology.

A well-known and convenient way to parameterize Riemannian metrics  $\mathcal{G}$  on an oriented surface  $\mathcal{M}$  is through the pair  $(J, \omega)$  formed by the complex structure  $J$  defined by the conformal class of  $\mathcal{G}$  relative to the orientation of  $\mathcal{M}$  and the volume form  $\omega$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  relative to that orientation. As explained in the next subsection, this identifies  $\text{Met}(\mathcal{M})$  with  $\text{Cx}(\mathcal{M}) \times \Gamma(L_+)$ , where  $\text{Cx}(\mathcal{M})$  is the set of those complex structures which induce the given orientation of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $L_+$  is the sub-bundle of positive open half-lines in the real determinant line bundle of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In this parameterization, the scalar manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$  identifies with the pair  $(S, \omega)$  consisting of the smooth (and generally non-compact) Riemann surface  $S \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{M}, J)$  and the 2-form  $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}) = \Omega^{1,1}(S)$ . In fact, the metric  $\mathcal{G}$  is Hermitian with respect to the complex structure  $J$  induced by its conformal class and hence automatically Kähler since  $d\omega = 0$  for dimension reasons. Moreover,  $\omega$  coincides with its Kähler form relative to  $J$ . Accordingly, the scalar triple  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, V)$  identifies with the ordered system  $(S, \omega, V)$ . If  $\mathfrak{Riemm}$  denotes the proper class of all (not necessarily compact) Riemann surfaces, then the class of all two-field models with oriented target space fibers over  $\mathfrak{Riemm}$  with fiber above  $S \in \mathfrak{Riemm}$  given by  $\Gamma(L_+^S) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$ , where  $L_+^S$  is the bundle of open positive half-lines over  $S$ . We will use this *complex parameterization* in most of the paper. In this formulation, the information carried by the conformal class of  $\mathcal{G}$  is encoded by the complex structure of the Riemann

surface  $S$ , while the remaining information carried by  $\mathcal{G}$  is encoded by its volume form.

The complex parameterization can be made locally explicit by choosing a local holomorphic coordinate  $z$  on  $S$  (relative to the complex structure  $J$ ). In this case, we have:

$$\omega = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} e^{2\phi} dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \mathbf{i} \mathcal{G}_{z\bar{z}} dz \wedge d\bar{z} ,$$

where the *conformal factor*  $\phi$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  is a locally-defined smooth function of  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  ( $\phi$  should not be confused with the cosmological curves  $\varphi(t)$  of the previous subsection!). Thus:

$$\mathcal{G}_{z\bar{z}} = \mathcal{G}_{\bar{z}z} = \frac{1}{2} e^{2\phi} , \quad \mathcal{G}^{z\bar{z}} = \mathcal{G}^{\bar{z}z} = 2e^{-2\phi}$$

and the only non-vanishing Christoffel symbols are:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{zz}^z &= \mathcal{G}^{z\bar{z}} \partial_z \mathcal{G}_{z\bar{z}} = 2\partial_z \phi \\ \Gamma_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}^{\bar{z}} &= \overline{\Gamma_{zz}^z} = 2\partial_{\bar{z}} \phi . \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Using these relations and the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_t \dot{\varphi}^i &= \ddot{\varphi}^i + \Gamma_{jk}^i \dot{\varphi}^j \dot{\varphi}^k \\ \text{grad}_{\mathcal{G}} V &= (\text{grad}_{\mathcal{G}} V)^i \partial_i = (\mathcal{G}^{ij} \partial_j V) \partial_i \end{aligned}$$

for  $(\varphi^1, \varphi^2) = (z, \bar{z})$ , one finds that the cosmological equation (5) is equivalent locally on  $\mathcal{M}$  with the following system of second order ODEs:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{z} + 2(\partial_z \phi)(z, \bar{z}) \dot{z}^2 + \frac{1}{M_0} \left[ e^{2\phi(z, \bar{z})} \dot{z} \dot{\bar{z}} + 2V(z, \bar{z}) \right]^{1/2} \dot{z} + 2e^{-2\phi(z, \bar{z})} (\partial_{\bar{z}} V)(z, \bar{z}) &= 0 \\ \ddot{\bar{z}} + 2(\partial_{\bar{z}} \phi)(z, \bar{z}) \dot{\bar{z}}^2 + \frac{1}{M_0} \left[ e^{2\phi(z, \bar{z})} \dot{z} \dot{\bar{z}} + 2V(z, \bar{z}) \right]^{1/2} \dot{\bar{z}} + 2e^{-2\phi(z, \bar{z})} (\partial_z V)(z, \bar{z}) &= 0 , \end{aligned}$$

which is parameterized by  $M_0$ ,  $V$  and  $\phi$ . The qualitative behavior of the solutions of this system depends markedly on the conformal factor  $\phi$ . In this paper, we will be interested in those choices of  $\phi$  which ensure that the system admits solutions with sustained “strong” rapid turn and third order slow roll. As we explain in latter sections, such solutions exist provided that  $\phi$  satisfies a certain geometric contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation, which takes classical contact Hamilton-Jacobi form in the isothermal coordinates  $x_1 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Re} z$  and  $x_2 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Im} z$  defined by the local complex coordinate  $z$ . This allows one to apply techniques from contact Hamilton-Jacobi theory to address the strong SRRT selection problem for such models.

*Remark 1.1.* The cosmological equation (5) admits an equivalent description as a *geometric* dynamical system [68, 69] defined on the tangent bundle  $T\mathcal{M}$  of the scalar manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ . See [20] for an account of this equivalence.

**1.3. The parameterization of scalar manifold metrics through volume forms and complex structures.** Let  $\text{Tw}(T\mathcal{M})$  be the fiber sub-bundle of the bundle of endomorphisms  $\text{End}(T\mathcal{M}) \simeq T^*\mathcal{M} \otimes T\mathcal{M}$  whose fiber at a point  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  consists of those endomorphisms  $J_m \in \text{End}(T_m\mathcal{M})$  which satisfy the condition  $J_m^2 = -\text{id}_{T_m\mathcal{M}}$ . Moreover, let<sup>3</sup>:

$$\text{Cx}(\mathcal{M}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Gamma(\text{Tw}(T\mathcal{M})) = \{J \in \text{End}(T\mathcal{M}) \mid J^2 = -\text{id}_{T\mathcal{M}}\}$$

be the set of complex structures of  $T\mathcal{M}$ . Here  $\text{End}(T\mathcal{M}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Gamma(\text{End}(\mathcal{M}))$ . Recall that any almost complex structure on  $T\mathcal{M}$  is integrable (since its Nijenhuis tensor

<sup>3</sup>This should not be confused with the moduli space of complex structures on  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is (a certain compactification of) the quotient of  $\text{Cx}(\mathcal{M})$  by the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

vanishes for dimension reasons) and hence is automatically a complex structure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Every complex structure on  $T\mathcal{M}$  induces a reduction of structure group from  $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  to  $\mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^* \simeq \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathrm{U}(1) = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathrm{SO}(2, \mathbb{R})$  (which is homotopy-equivalent with  $\mathrm{SO}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ) and hence induces an orientation of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\mathrm{Cx}_+(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathrm{Cx}(\mathcal{M})$  be the subset of those complex structures which induce the given orientation of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Any such complex structure determines a maximal holomorphic atlas which makes  $\mathcal{M}$  into a smooth Riemann surface  $S \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{M}, J)$  whose natural orientation coincides with the original orientation of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is two-dimensional and oriented, the metric  $\mathcal{G}$  gives a reduction of structure group to  $\mathrm{SO}(2, \mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{U}(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^* = \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})$  and hence determines a complex structure  $J \in \mathrm{Cx}_+(\mathcal{M})$  which makes  $\mathcal{G}$  into a Hermitian metric<sup>4</sup>. Therefore, the complex structure  $J$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -orthogonal, i.e. it satisfies:

$$\mathcal{G}(JX, JY) = \mathcal{G}(X, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}), \quad (8)$$

which is equivalent with the  $\mathcal{G}$ -antisymmetry condition:

$$\mathcal{G}(JX, Y) = -\mathcal{G}(X, JY) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}) \quad (9)$$

because  $J^2 = -\mathrm{id}_{T\mathcal{M}}$ . The complex structure  $J$  depends only on the conformal equivalence class of  $\mathcal{G}$  since the reduction of structure group is unchanged if one multiplies  $\mathcal{G}$  by an everywhere-positive smooth function. Since the Kähler form  $\omega_0$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed for dimension reasons, it follows that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a Kähler metric on the Riemann surface  $S \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (S, J)$ . Thus the volume form of  $\mathcal{G}$  equals  $\frac{\omega_0^n}{n!}$  where  $n = 1$  is the complex dimension of  $S$ , i.e. we have  $\omega_0 = \omega$ . It follows that  $J$  is the unique endomorphism of  $T\mathcal{M}$  which satisfies:

$$\omega(X, Y) = \mathcal{G}(JX, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}). \quad (10)$$

Using (8) and the identity  $J^2 = -\mathrm{id}_{T\mathcal{M}}$ , relation (10) implies:

$$\omega(X, JY) = \mathcal{G}(JX, JY) = \mathcal{G}(X, Y) \Rightarrow \omega(JX, JY) = \omega(X, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}),$$

which together with (8) shows that  $J$  preserves both  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\omega$ .

It is well-known that the correspondence which associates  $J$  to  $\mathcal{G}$  is a bijection between the set of conformal equivalence classes of Riemannian metrics on  $\mathcal{M}$  and the set  $\mathrm{Cx}_+(\mathcal{M})$  (see [70, Sec. 3.11]). To describe this geometrically, notice that the endomorphism  $J_m \in \mathrm{End}(T_m\mathcal{M})$  at a point  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  is determined algebraically by the value  $\mathcal{G}_m \in (E_+)_m$  of the metric  $\mathcal{G}$  at that point through the relation (cf. (10)):

$$\omega_m(u, v) = \mathcal{G}_m(J_m u, v) \quad \forall u, v \in T_m\mathcal{M}. \quad (11)$$

Recall that  $\omega_m$  itself is determined algebraically by  $\mathcal{G}_m$  through the Gram determinant. Let:

$$L = \det(T^*\mathcal{M}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \wedge^2 T^*\mathcal{M}$$

be the real determinant line bundle of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is oriented, the fibers of  $T\mathcal{M}$  and hence also the fibers of  $L$  are equipped with a canonical orientation and the slit bundle  $\dot{L}$  (defined as the complement of the image of the zero section in  $L$ ) decomposes as:

$$\dot{L} = L_+ \sqcup L_-,$$

where the fibers of  $L_+$  and  $L_-$  correspond respectively to the strictly positive and strictly negative real axes relative to the orientation of  $L$ . The volume form  $\omega$  of any Riemannian metric  $\mathcal{G}$  defined on  $\mathcal{M}$  is a smooth section of  $L_+$ , and any such

<sup>4</sup>The last statement follows from Schur's lemma applied to the vector representation of  $\mathrm{SO}(2, \mathbb{R})$  since by definition  $J$  commutes with all elements of  $\mathrm{SO}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

section can be realized as the volume form of some metric. Since (10) allows one to recover  $\mathcal{G}$  from  $J$  and  $\omega$  as:

$$\mathcal{G}(X, Y) = \omega(X, JY) , \quad (12)$$

it follows that (11) defines an isomorphism of fiber bundles:

$$\eta : E_+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Tw}_+(T\mathcal{M}) \times L_+ \quad (13)$$

whose fiber  $\eta_m$  at  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  sends  $\mathcal{G}_m$  into the pair  $(J_m, \omega_m)$ . Here  $\text{Tw}_+(T\mathcal{M})$  is the fiber sub-bundle of  $T\mathcal{M}$  whose fiber at  $m \in M$  consists of those complex structures on the vector space  $T_m\mathcal{M}$  which induce the same orientation as that inherited from the orientation of  $\mathcal{M}$ . The fiber bundle isomorphism (13) induces a bijection on sections:

$$\text{Met}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \text{Cx}_+(\mathcal{M}) \times \Gamma(L_+)$$

which provides the complex parameterization of target space metrics used in the previous subsection.

*Remark 1.2.* Let  $(U, x^1, x^2)$  be any positively-oriented local coordinate system on  $\mathcal{M}$  and denote by:

$$\epsilon_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \omega(\partial_i, \partial_j) = \sqrt{\det \mathcal{G}} \varepsilon_{ij}$$

the Levi-Civita tensor, where  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  is the Levi-Civita symbol. We have  $J\partial_i = J_i^j \partial_j$  and relation (10) gives:

$$J_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij} \implies J\partial_i = \epsilon_i^j \partial_j . \quad (14)$$

For any  $X = X^j \partial_j \in \mathfrak{X}(U)$ , we have:

$$JX = (JX)^i \partial_i \text{ with } (JX)^i = J_j^i X^j = \epsilon_j^i X^j .$$

Notice that  $\epsilon_i^j = -\epsilon_j^i$ . The identity  $\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon^{jk} = -\delta_i^k$  implies  $\epsilon_j^i \epsilon_k^j = -\delta_k^i$ , which recovers the relation  $J^2 = -\text{id}_{T\mathcal{M}}$ .

**1.4. The modified gradient operator.** Using the complex structure  $J$ , we define the *modified gradient* operator  $\text{grad}_J : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$  through:

$$\text{grad}_J V \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} J \text{grad} V ,$$

where  $\text{grad} V \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$  is the gradient vector field of  $V$  relative to the Riemannian metric  $\mathcal{G}$ . With this definition, we have:

$$(dV)(X) = \mathcal{G}(X, \text{grad} V) = \omega(X, \text{grad}_J V) \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}) ,$$

where we used relation (12). Moreover, we have:

$$\|\text{grad}_J V\| = \|\text{grad} V\| = \|dV\| ,$$

since  $J$  is a  $\mathcal{G}$ -orthogonal endomorphism of  $T\mathcal{M}$ .

*Remark 1.3.* In positively-oriented local coordinates  $(U, x^1, x^2)$ , we have:

$$\text{grad}_J V =_U \partial^i V J \partial_i = \epsilon_j^i \partial^j V \partial_i = -\epsilon^{ij} \partial_j V \partial_i .$$

**1.5. The adapted frame of the non-critical submanifold.** Following [26], we recall the *adapted frame* of the scalar triple  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, V)$ . This is a frame of  $T\mathcal{M}_0$  defined by  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $V$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is the non-critical submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}$  defined in (2). Let:

$$n \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{\text{grad} V}{\|\text{grad} V\|} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}_0) \quad (15)$$

be the normalized gradient vector field of  $V$ , which is well-defined on the non-critical submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Notice the relation:

$$\|\text{grad} V\| = \|dV\| ,$$

where in the right hand side we denote by  $\|\cdot\|$  the fiberwise norm of the metric induced by  $\mathcal{G}$  on the cotangent bundle of  $\mathcal{M}$ . The relation follows from the fact that the musical isomorphism  $\flat : T\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T^*\mathcal{M}$  defined by the metric  $\mathcal{G}$  is a fiberwise isometry.

Let  $\tau \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}_0)$  be the normalized vector field defined on  $\mathcal{M}_0$  which is orthogonal to  $n$  and chosen such that the frame  $(n, \tau)$  of  $T\mathcal{M}_0$  is positively oriented at every point of  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . We have:

$$\tau = (\iota_n \omega|_{\mathcal{M}_0})^\sharp, \quad (16)$$

where  $\omega$  is the volume form of  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$  and  $\sharp : T^*\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T\mathcal{M}$  is the other musical isomorphism defined by  $\mathcal{G}$ . Notice that:

$$\omega = n_\flat \wedge \tau_\flat.$$

**Proposition 1.4.** *We have:*

$$\tau = Jn = \frac{\text{grad}_J V}{\|\text{d}V\|}, \quad (17)$$

where  $J$  is the complex structure determined by  $\mathcal{G}$  relative to the orientation of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* In a positively-oriented local coordinate system  $(U, x^1, x^2)$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , we have:

$$\omega = \sqrt{\det \mathcal{G}} \, dx^1 \wedge dx^2 = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j.$$

Writing  $n = n^i \partial_i$ , we compute:

$$\iota_n \omega = \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_{ij} n^i dx^j - \epsilon_{ij} n^j dx^i) = \epsilon_{ij} n^i dx^j.$$

This gives:

$$\tau = (\iota_n \omega)^\sharp = \epsilon_{ij} n^i \mathcal{G}^{jk} \partial_k = \epsilon_i^k n^i \partial_k = n^i J \partial_i = Jn,$$

where we used the relation  $(dx^j)^\sharp = \mathcal{G}^{jk} \partial_k$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.5.** The oriented orthonormal frame  $(n, \tau)$  of  $T\mathcal{M}_0$  is called the *adapted frame* of the oriented scalar triple  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, V)$ .

Notice that the gradient flow and level set curves of  $V$  determine an orthogonal mesh on the open Riemannian submanifold  $(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{M}_0})$  of the scalar manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ .

**Definition 1.6.** The *potential mesh* of the oriented scalar triple  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, V)$  is the oriented orthogonal mesh determined by the gradient flow and level set curves of  $V$  on the noncritical open submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , where we orient gradient flow lines towards increasing values of  $V$  and level set curves such that their normalized tangent vectors generate the vector field  $\tau$ .

Of course, the gradient flow of  $V$  is considered with respect to the scalar field metric  $\mathcal{G}$ . With Definition 1.6, the frame  $(n, \tau)$  is the oriented orthonormal frame defined by the potential mesh.

**1.6. The Riemannian and modified Riemannian Hessian of  $V$ .** Recall that the *Riemannian Hessian* of  $V$  relative to  $\mathcal{G}$  is the symmetric covariant 2-tensor defined on  $\mathcal{M}$  through:

$$\text{Hess}(V) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \nabla \text{d}V \in \Gamma(\text{Sym}^2(T^*\mathcal{M})),$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ . Using the complex structure  $J$ , we define the *modified Riemannian Hessian* of  $V$  relative to  $\mathcal{G}$  to be the covariant 2-tensor  $\text{Hess}_J(V) \in \Gamma(\otimes^2 T^*\mathcal{M})$  given by:

$$\text{Hess}_J(V)(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Hess}(V)(X, JY) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}).$$

For any vector fields  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$ , we set:

$$V_{XY} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hess}(V)(X, Y) = (\nabla_X dV)(Y) = X(Y(V)) - (dV)(\nabla_X Y) = X(Y(V)) - (\nabla_X Y)(V) \quad (18)$$

and:

$$\tilde{V}_{XY} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hess}_J(V)(X, Y) . \quad (19)$$

Notice that  $V_{XY} = V_{YX}$  since  $\text{Hess}(V)$  is a symmetric tensor.

*Remark 1.7.* In local coordinates  $(U, x^1, x^2)$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , we define:

$$V_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V_{\partial_i \partial_j} = \text{Hess}(V)(\partial_i, \partial_j) = \partial_i \partial_j V - \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k V \quad (20)$$

and:

$$\tilde{V}_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{V}_{\partial_i \partial_j} = \text{Hess}(V)(\partial_i, J\partial_j) = \epsilon_j^k V_{ki} = -V_{ik} \epsilon_j^k . \quad (21)$$

In particular, the symmetric part of  $\tilde{V}_{ij}$  is given by:

$$\tilde{V}_{(ij)} = -\frac{1}{2}(V_{jk} \epsilon_i^k + V_{ik} \epsilon_j^k) .$$

When the metric  $\mathcal{G}$  is fixed, the Hessian and modified Hessian give second-order linear differential operators  $f \rightarrow \text{Hess}(f)$  and  $f \rightarrow \text{Hess}_J(f)$  acting on  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ . When the scalar potential  $V$  is fixed, the maps  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Hess}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Hess}_J(V)$  are quasilinear first order operators acting on  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Met}(\mathcal{M})$ , because the Christoffel symbols are given by:

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}^{im} (\partial_j \mathcal{G}_{mk} + \partial_k \mathcal{G}_{mj} - \partial_m \mathcal{G}_{jk})$$

and the complex structure  $J$  is determined by  $\mathcal{G}$  through a purely algebraic relation. When both  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $V$  are allowed to vary, the Hessian and modified Hessian give geometric differential operators acting on the pair  $(\mathcal{G}, V)$ . Formally, this means that there exist morphisms of fiber bundles  $\text{hess} : j^1(E_+) \times_{\mathcal{M}} j^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  and  $\text{hess}_J : j^1(E_+) \times_{\mathcal{M}} j^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  such that:

$$\text{Hess}(V) = \text{hess}(j^1(\mathcal{G}), j^2(V)) , \quad \text{Hess}_J(V) = \text{hess}_J(j^1(\mathcal{G}), j^2(V)) .$$

Here  $j^k(F)$  denotes the  $k$ -th jet bundle of a fiber bundle  $F$  defined over  $\mathcal{M}$  while  $\mathcal{O}$  is the trivial real line bundle on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Notice that  $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ , so in particular  $V$  is a global section of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Moreover,  $j^k(s) \in \Gamma(j^k(F))$  denotes the  $k$ -th jet prolongation of a section  $s \in \Gamma(F)$ . We use the same notation for a morphism of fiber bundles and for the map which it induces on sections of those bundles. We refer the reader to [64] for the elementary theory of jet bundles.

*Remark 1.8.* Let  $\widehat{\text{Hess}}(V) \in \text{End}^s(TM)$  be the *Hesse endomorphism* of  $\mathcal{M}$ , i.e. the  $\mathcal{G}$ -symmetric endomorphism of  $T\mathcal{M}$  defined through:

$$\text{Hess}(V)(X, Y) = \mathcal{G}(X, \widehat{\text{Hess}}(V)(Y)) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}) .$$

Define the *modified Hesse endomorphism*  $\widehat{\text{Hess}}_J(V) \in \text{End}(T\mathcal{M})$  through:

$$\text{Hess}(V)(X, Y) = \omega(X, \widehat{\text{Hess}}_J(V)(Y)) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}) .$$

Then  $\widehat{\text{Hess}}(V)$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -symmetric and we have:

$$\widehat{\text{Hess}}(V) = J\widehat{\text{Hess}}_J(V) \implies \widehat{\text{Hess}}_J(V) = -J\widehat{\text{Hess}}(V) .$$

The  $\mathcal{G}$ -transpose of  $\widehat{\text{Hess}}_J(V)$  is given by:

$$\widehat{\text{Hess}}_J(V)^t = \widehat{\text{Hess}}(V)J .$$

Moreover, we have:

$$\text{Hess}_J(V)(X, Y) = \mathcal{G}(\widehat{\text{Hess}}(X), JY) = \mathcal{G}(\widehat{\text{Hess}}_J(X), Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}) .$$



## 2. THE STRONG SRRT EQUATION

A fundamental problem in the theory of scalar two-field cosmological models is the *selection problem*, which asks for necessary and sufficient conditions on the target space metric  $\mathcal{G}$  and scalar potential  $V$  defined on a fixed target manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  that suffice to characterize those two-field models which admit cosmological curves  $\varphi$  with certain desirable properties. A solution to this problem would allow one to rule out phenomenologically uninteresting models without having to compute cosmological trajectories (a computation which is unfeasible in general, in particular since such trajectories depend on the choice of initial conditions for the cosmological equation). In this section, we discuss the *strong SRRT equation*, which originated in the work of [26] and provides a selection criterion for models to admit trajectories with sustained strong rapid turn and third order slow roll.

**2.1. The strong SRRT conditions.** One phenomenologically-inspired condition that can be imposed on cosmological curves  $\varphi$  is that they have “sustained *strong*<sup>5</sup> rapid turn with third order adiabatic slow roll” [26]. This means that  $\varphi$  satisfies the first three adiabatic slow roll conditions, the condition that the square of its dimensionless turning rate is much greater than one and the condition that the relative rate of change of its turning rate is much smaller than one in absolute value. We call these the *strong SRRT conditions*. When the cosmological equation admits such solutions, then it can realize various scenarios that are important for early universe cosmology. To simplify uninteresting factors, we shall take  $M = 1$  i.e.  $M_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$  henceforth. This is justified by the fact that the cosmological equation admits a similarity which allows one to absorb  $M$  into  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $V$  or to set it to any desired non-zero value (see [20]).

The precise mathematical formulation of the strong SRRT conditions is as follow. Given a noncritical cosmological curve  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$  and a regular time  $t \in I_{\text{reg}}$ , define the first, second and third adiabatic slow-roll parameters:

$$\varepsilon(t) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} -\frac{\dot{H}(t)}{H(t)^2}, \quad \eta_{\parallel}(t) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} -\frac{\ddot{\sigma}(t)}{H(t)\dot{\sigma}(t)}, \quad \xi(t) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{\ddot{\sigma}(t)}{H(t)^2\dot{\sigma}(t)},$$

where we denote by  $\sigma$  the increasing proper length parameter of  $\varphi$  relative to an arbitrary but fixed origin of cosmological time. We also define the first and second dimensionless turn parameters:

$$\eta_{\perp}(t) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{\Omega(t)}{H(t)}, \quad \nu \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{\dot{\eta}_{\perp}(t)}{H(t)\eta_{\perp}(t)},$$

where  $\Omega$  is the turning rate of  $\varphi$  (see [26]). We say that  $\varphi$  satisfies the *sustained slow roll with strong rapid turn* (strong SRRT) conditions at cosmological time  $t$  if each of the five quantities:

$$\varepsilon(t), \quad |\eta_{\parallel}(t)|, \quad |\xi(t)|, \quad \frac{1}{\eta_{\perp}(t)^2}, \quad |\nu(t)| \tag{22}$$

is much smaller than one.

---

<sup>5</sup>As pointed out in [28], one can impose a weaker form of the SRRT conditions, which requires that the square of the dimensionless turning rate is much larger than the first three adiabatic slow roll parameters (see also [29]). As pointed out in [26] and clarified in [28], some older special models which were claimed to allow for rapid turn inflation fail to satisfy the strong SRRT condition, but some of them do satisfy the *weak* SRRT condition obtained in this manner.

**2.2. The strong SRRT equation.** It was shown in [26] that a noncritical cosmological curve  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$  satisfies the strong SRRT conditions at a regular time  $t \in I_{\text{reg}}$  only if the *strong SRRT equation*

$$V_{n\tau}^2 V_{\tau\tau} = 3V V_{nn}^2 \quad (23)$$

is satisfied at the point  $m = \varphi(t) \in \mathcal{M}_0$  up to corrections of order at least one in the small parameters listed in (22). If (23) is satisfied at  $m$  with strict equality, then we say that  $m$  is a *strong SRRT point* of  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . In this paper, we are interested in *fiducial models*, defined as those models for which all points of  $\mathcal{M}_0$  are strong SRRT points, i.e. whose metric and scalar potential satisfy the strong SRRT equation exactly everywhere on  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Such models can serve as starting points for an approximation scheme, in the sense that a model which allows for cosmological curves that satisfy the strong SRRT condition should be “locally close” to a fiducial model – namely, its scalar field metric and potential should be well-approximated by the scalar field metric and potential of a fiducial model in those regions of the target manifold where strong SRRT curves are likely to exist.

Notice that (23) is a manifestly covariant equation, since the adapted frame is defined intrinsically in terms of the scalar field metric, scalar potential and orientation of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In principle, this equation solves the selection problem for strong SRRT inflation by providing a *selection criterion* for fiducial models. However, equation (23) is not immediately practical since it is written using the adapted frame. To understand it better, we first rewrite it geometrically in a frame-free form.

**2.3. The frame-free form of the strong SRRT equation.** To write the strong SRRT equation in a more useful form, recall that:

$$n = \frac{1}{\|\text{d}V\|_{\mathcal{G}}} \text{grad}V =_U \frac{1}{\|\text{d}V\|_{\mathcal{G}}} \partial^i V \partial_i \quad , \quad \tau = \frac{1}{\|\text{d}V\|_{\mathcal{G}}} \text{grad}_J V = - \frac{1}{\|\text{d}V\|_{\mathcal{G}}} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_j V \partial_i \quad ,$$

where:

$$\|\text{d}V\| = \sqrt{\partial^i V \partial_i V} \quad .$$

Using these relations, we find:

$$V_{n\tau} = \frac{1}{\|\text{d}V\|_{\mathcal{G}}^2} \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{G}, V) \quad , \quad V_{nn} = \frac{1}{\|\text{d}V\|_{\mathcal{G}}^2} \mathcal{D}_2(\mathcal{G}, V) \quad , \quad V_{\tau\tau} = \frac{1}{\|\text{d}V\|_{\mathcal{G}}^2} \mathcal{D}_3(\mathcal{G}, V) \quad , \quad (24)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{G}, V) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Hess}_J(V)(\text{grad}V, \text{grad}V) =_U \tilde{V}_{(ij)} \partial^i V \partial^j V \quad , \\ \mathcal{D}_2(\mathcal{G}, V) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Hess}(V)(\text{grad}V, \text{grad}V) =_U V_{ij} \partial^i V \partial^j V \quad , \\ \mathcal{D}_3(\mathcal{G}, V) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Hess}(V)(J \text{grad}V, J \text{grad}V) =_U V_{ij} \epsilon^{ik} \epsilon^{jl} \partial_k V \partial_l V = \|\text{d}V\|^2 \Delta V - \mathcal{D}_2(\mathcal{G}, V) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Here:

$$\Delta V = \text{tr}(\widehat{\text{Hess}}(V)) = V_i^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \mathcal{G}}} \partial_i (\sqrt{\det \mathcal{G}} \partial^i V) = \partial^i \partial_i V - \mathcal{G}^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k V$$

is the (negative-definite) Laplacian of  $V$  with respect to  $\mathcal{G}$ . To derive the last relation of (25), we used the identity:

$$\epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{kl} = \mathcal{G}^{ik} \mathcal{G}^{jl} - \mathcal{G}^{il} \mathcal{G}^{jk} \quad .$$

The objects  $\mathcal{D}_k$  are nonlinear scalar differential operators:

$$\mathcal{D}_k : \Gamma(E_+) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M})$$

of order (1, 2). Mathematically, this means that there exist morphisms of fiber bundles:

$$\mathcal{F}_k : j^1(E_+) \times_{\mathcal{M}} j^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$$

(with  $k = 1, 2, 3$ ) such that:

$$\mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{G}, V) = \mathcal{F}_k(j^1(\mathcal{G}), j^2(V)) .$$

Substituting (24) into (23) gives *the frame-free form of the strong SRRT equation*:

$$\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{G}, V)^2 \mathcal{D}_3(\mathcal{G}, V) = 3V \|dV\|^2 \mathcal{D}_2(\mathcal{G}, V)^2 . \quad (26)$$

Hence (23) is equivalent with the following geometric PDE:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}, V) = 0 , \quad (27)$$

where we introduced the nonlinear differential operator

$$\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(E_0) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M})$$

of order (1, 2) defined through:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}, V) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} 3V \|dV\|^2 \mathcal{D}_2(\mathcal{G}, V)^2 - \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{G}, V)^2 \mathcal{D}_3(\mathcal{G}, V) . \quad (28)$$

When the metric  $\mathcal{G}$  is fixed, relation (26) is a nonlinear second order geometric PDE for the scalar potential  $V$ . When  $V$  is fixed, it becomes a first order PDE for the scalar field metric  $\mathcal{G}$ .

To formally describe the *strong SRRT operator*  $\mathcal{D}$ , notice that the map  $(\mathcal{G}, V) \rightarrow V \|dV\|^2$  is induced by a morphism of fiber bundles  $\mathcal{F}_0 : E_+ \times_{\mathcal{M}} j^1(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  which in turn induces a morphism of jet bundles  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0 : j^1(E_+) \times_{\mathcal{M}} j^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  upon composing with the fiber product of the projection morphisms  $j^1(E_+) \rightarrow E_+$  and  $j^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow j^1(\mathcal{O})$ .

Consider the morphism of fiber bundles  $\mathcal{F} : j^1(E_+) \times_{\mathcal{M}} j^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  defined through:

$$\mathcal{F} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} 3\bar{\mathcal{F}}_0 \otimes (\mathcal{F}_2)^2 - (\mathcal{F}_1)^2 \mathcal{F}_3 . \quad (29)$$

With these notations, we have:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}, V) = \mathcal{F}(j^1(\mathcal{G}), j^2(V)) . \quad (30)$$

The strong SRRT operator  $\mathcal{D}$  is well-defined on the entire scalar manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  and sensitive to its topology.

#### 2.4. The strong SRRT equation for metrics in a fixed conformal class.

When written in general local coordinates, the strong SRRT equation (26) is quite formidable. One way to simplify this equation is to restrict the metric  $\mathcal{G}$  to lie within a fixed conformal class. As explained in Subsection 1.2, this amounts to fixing the complex structure  $J$  defined by the conformal class of  $\mathcal{G}$  relative to the orientation of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Recall the isomorphism of fiber bundles (13) defined through relation (11) and let  $\eta_J : E_+^J \xrightarrow{\sim} L_+$  be its  $J$ -section, i.e. the isomorphism of fiber bundles obtained by restricting  $\eta$  to the conformal class which corresponds to a complex structure  $J$ . Here  $E_+^J \subset E_+$  is the sub-bundle of  $E_+$  whose sections correspond to metrics within the conformal class corresponding to  $J$ ; its fibers are open half-lines sitting inside the fibers of  $E_+$  (which are full open cones of dimension 3). On global sections, this induces the map which sends a metric  $\mathcal{G}$  which belongs to the conformal class specified by  $J$  to its volume form  $\omega$ . The inverse of  $\eta_J$  allows us to transport  $\mathcal{F}_k$  to  $J$ -dependent fiber bundle morphisms:

$$F_k := F_k^J \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{F}_k \circ [j^1(\eta_J^{-1}) \times_{\mathcal{M}} \text{id}_{j^2(\mathcal{O})}] : j^1(L_+) \times j^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$$

and hence to transport  $\mathcal{D}_k$  to  $J$ -dependent differential operators:

$$D_k := D_k^J \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} F_k \circ (j^1 \times j^2) : \Gamma(L_+) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M})$$

acting on pairs  $(\omega, V)$ . They act as first order operators on  $\omega$  and as second order nonlinear operators on  $V$ . Also consider the fiber bundle morphism:

$$F_0 := F_0^J \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{F}_0 \circ (\eta_J^{-1} \times \text{id}_{j^1(\mathcal{O})}) : L_+ \times j^1(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$$

and the bundle morphism  $\bar{F}_0 : j^1(L_+) \times j^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  obtained by composing it with the appropriate bundle projections. Using these objects, the strong SRRT equation (27) becomes:

$$D(\omega, V) = 0 , \quad (31)$$

where:

$$D(\omega, V) := D^J(\omega, V) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} 3F_0(\omega, j^1(V))D_2(\omega, V)^2 - D_1(\omega, V)^2D_3(\omega, V)$$

is the  $J$ -dependent operator obtained by transporting  $\mathcal{D}$  to an operator defined on  $\Gamma(L_+) \times \Gamma(\mathcal{O})$  using the bundle isomorphism  $\eta_J$ . We have:

$$D(\omega, V) = F(j^1(\omega), j^2(V)) ,$$

where:

$$F := F^J \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{F} \circ [j^1(\eta_J^{-1}) \times \text{id}_{j^2(\mathcal{O})}] : j^1(L_+) \times j^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} . \quad (32)$$

Once again, notice that  $D$  is a geometric differential operator which is globally well-defined and sensitive to the topology of the scalar manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ .

### 2.5. The strong SRRT equation with fixed $V$ for metrics in a fixed conformal class. Let

$$F_V := F_V^J : j^1(L_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (33)$$

be the  $j^2(V)$ -section of the function (32). When  $V$  is fixed, the strong SRRT equation (31) for volume forms of metrics  $\mathcal{G}$  belonging to the conformal class determined by  $J$  reads:

$$F_V(j^1(\omega)) = 0 . \quad (34)$$

One can immediately recognize this as *the stationary contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation* of the geometric contact Hamiltonian system  $(j^1(L_+), F_V)$ , where the jet bundle  $j^1(L_+)$  is endowed with its Cartan contact structure (see [64]). We refer the reader to [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38] for background on the geometric formulation of contact Hamilton-Jacobi mechanics and to the classic references [39, 40, 41] for background on contact geometry and contact topology. The formulation of contact mechanics given in [30] applies most directly to our situation. This observation allows one to apply results and techniques from that subject to the study of the strong SRRT equation. As we will see below, equation (34) is a *proper* first order PDE, so one can approach it using the theory of viscosity solutions (see [42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49]).

## 3. THE CONTACT HAMILTONIAN IN ISOTHERMAL LIOUVILLE COORDINATES

We can make the formulation of the previous section less abstract (but also less geometric) by extracting the form of the contact Hamiltonian  $F_V$  in certain natural coordinates on  $j^1(L_+)$  induced by local isothermal coordinates<sup>6</sup>  $(U, x^1, x^2)$  relative to the complex structure  $J$  (such coordinates are the real and imaginary parts of a local complex coordinate  $z = x^1 + \mathbf{i}x^2$  defined on  $U$ ).

<sup>6</sup>Recall that any oriented Riemannian 2-manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$  (not necessarily compact) admits an atlas consisting of positively-oriented isothermal coordinate charts, which corresponds to a holomorphic atlas for the complex structure  $J$ . See for example [70].

**3.1. Isothermal coordinates on the scalar manifold.** In local isothermal coordinates  $(U, x^1, x^2)$  for the Riemann surface  $S \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{M}, J)$ , the metric  $\mathcal{G}$  and its volume form are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &= e^{2\phi} \mathcal{G}_0, \quad ds^2 = e^{2\phi} ds_0^2 = e^{2\phi} (dx_1^2 + dx_2^2), \\ \omega &= e^{2\phi} \omega_0 = e^{2\phi} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} e^{2\phi} dz \wedge d\bar{z}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_0$  is the flat metric on  $U$  with squared line element and volume form given by:

$$ds_0^2 = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 = |dz|^2, \quad \omega_0 = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \in \Gamma(L_+|_U). \quad (36)$$

Thus  $\mathcal{G}$  is Weyl-equivalent on  $U$  to the flat metric  $\mathcal{G}_0$ . In such coordinates, all remaining information about the scalar field metric  $\mathcal{G}$  is locally encoded by the *conformal factor*  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ , which carries the same information as the restriction of the volume form  $\omega$  to the open set  $U$ . The information carried by the conformal class of  $\mathcal{G}$  is encoded in the choice of isothermal coordinate system, since the latter is determined (up to a local biholomorphism) by the local complex coordinate  $z = x_1 + \mathbf{i}x_2$ , which in turn depends on the complex structure  $J$ .

The Christoffel symbols of  $\mathcal{G}$  are given by:

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \delta_i^k \partial_j \phi + \delta_j^k \partial_i \phi - \delta_{ij} \partial_k \phi, \quad (37)$$

while its Gaussian curvature (which equals half of the scalar curvature) takes the form:

$$K = -e^{-2\phi} \Delta \phi. \quad (38)$$

The Levi-Civita tensor of  $\mathcal{G}$  is:

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \omega(\partial_i, \partial_j) = f \varepsilon_{ij} \implies \epsilon_j^i = \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad (39)$$

where  $f \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} e^{2\phi}$ . The complex structure  $J$  is given on  $U$  by the conditions:

$$J\partial_1 = \partial_2, \quad J\partial_2 = -\partial_1, \quad \text{i.e. } J\partial_i = \varepsilon_{ij} \partial_j.$$

Since  $J\partial_i = J_i^j \partial_j$ , this gives  $J_i^j = \varepsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_j^i = -\epsilon_i^j$  and:

$$J_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij} = f \varepsilon_{ij}.$$

In particular, we have:

$$\omega_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij} = J_{ij},$$

i.e.  $\omega(X, Y) = \mathcal{G}(JX, Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(U)$ , which recovers relation (10).

**3.2. Isothermal Liouville coordinates.** The total space of the restricted jet bundle  $j^1(L_+)|_U$  admits coordinates  $(x^1, x^2, h, h_1, h_2)$  associated to the isothermal coordinates  $(U, x^1, x^2)$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , where  $h$  is the fiber coordinate on  $L_+|_U$  defined through the relation (here  $\pi_L : L \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is the bundle projection of  $L$ ):

$$v = h(v)\omega_0|_{\pi_L(v)} \quad \forall v \in L_+|_U$$

and  $h_1, h_2$  are the fiber coordinates of the bundle  $j^1(L_+)|_U \rightarrow L_+|_U$  corresponding to the formal partial derivatives of  $h$  with respect to  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Since the isothermal coordinate system is positively-oriented, we have  $dx_1 \wedge dx_2|_U \in \Gamma(L_+|_U)$ , which implies  $h(v) > 0$  for all  $v \in L_+|_U$ . The volume form  $\omega_0 = dx^1 \wedge dx^2$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  gives a local trivialization of  $L$  above  $U$  which allows us to identify the restriction of  $\omega \in \Gamma(L_+)$  to the open set  $U$  with the function  $f = h \circ \omega = e^{2\phi} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ . In these coordinates on  $j^1(L_+)|_U$ , the basic contact form  $\Theta \in \Omega^1(j^1(L_+)|_U)$  is given by:

$$\Theta = dh - h_1 dx^1 - h_2 dx^2.$$

By general theory, this form is a basis for the contact ideal of  $j^1(L_+)|_U$ . In particular, any contact 1-form  $\theta$  defined on  $j^1(L_+)|_U$  has the form  $\theta = \psi\Theta$ , where

$\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(j^1(L_+)|_U)$  is a smooth function defined on the total space of the bundle  $j^1(L_+)|_U$ .

**Definition 3.1.** The *isothermal Liouville coordinates*  $(x^1, x^2, u, p_1, p_2)$  on the total space of  $j^1(L_+)|_U$  determined by the local isothermal coordinates  $(U, x^1, x^2)$  of  $S = (\mathcal{M}, J)$  are defined through:

$$u \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \log h, \quad p_1 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathfrak{d}_1 u = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h_1}{h}, \quad p_2 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathfrak{d}_2 u = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h_2}{h},$$

where  $\mathfrak{d}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{d}_2$  stand for the formal partial derivatives with respect to  $x^1$  and  $x^2$ .

We refer to  $x^1, x^2$  as *position coordinates* and to  $p_1, p_2$  as *momentum coordinates*. The coordinate  $u$  is called the *action coordinate*. Notice the relations:

$$u \circ \omega = \frac{1}{2} \log f = \varphi, \quad p_1 \circ \omega = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_1 f}{f} = \partial_1 \varphi, \quad p_2 \circ \omega = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_2 f}{f} = \partial_2 \varphi.$$

It is convenient to define complex-valued fiber coordinates for the bundle  $j^1(L_+)|_U \rightarrow L_+|_U$  through:

$$p_z \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(p_1 - \mathbf{i}p_2), \quad p_{\bar{z}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(p_1 + \mathbf{i}p_2),$$

which correspond to the formal partial derivatives of  $u$  with respect to  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$ . Then  $(u, p_z, p_{\bar{z}})$  are complex-valued coordinates on the fibers of  $j^1(L_+)|_U \rightarrow U$  such that  $\bar{u} = u$  and  $p_{\bar{z}} = \overline{p_z}$ , while  $(z, u, p_z, p_{\bar{z}})$  are complex-valued coordinates on the total space of  $j^1(L_+)|_U$ , where  $u$  happens to be valued in  $\mathbb{R}$ . In isothermal Liouville coordinates, the basic contact form  $\Theta$  is given by:

$$\Theta = 2e^{2u}\theta,$$

where the contact form:

$$\theta \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} du - p_1 dx^1 - p_2 dx^2 = du - p_z dz - p_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z}$$

is the basic contact form in the coordinates  $(x^1, x^2, u, p_1, p_2)$  and  $(z, \bar{z}, u, p_z, p_{\bar{z}})$  on  $j^1(L_+)|_U$ . In particular,  $(x^1, x^2, u, p_1, p_2)$  are Liouville coordinates for  $\theta$ . The Cartan distribution on  $j^1(L_+)|_U$  coincides with the kernel distribution of  $\theta$  and is given by the completely non-integrable Pfaffian equation:

$$du = p_1 dx^1 + p_2 dx^2, \quad \text{i.e. } du = p_z dz + p_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z}.$$

This distribution is globally well-defined and makes  $j^1(L_+)$  into a five-dimensional contact manifold.

In isothermal Liouville coordinates, the geometric contact Hamiltonian  $F_V$  becomes a function of the variables  $x^1, x^2, u, p_1, p_2$  and the strong SRRT equation takes the local form:

$$F(x^1, x^2, \phi(x^1, x^2), \partial_1 \phi(x^1, x^2), \partial_2 \phi(x^1, x^2)) = 0,$$

being a nonlinear first order PDE of the conformal factor  $\phi$ . Here  $F$  is the local form of the map  $F_V$  of (34) in isothermal Liouville coordinates. The equation has classical contact Hamilton-Jacobi form, where  $F_V$  plays the role of Hamiltonian and  $\phi$  plays the role of Hamilton-Jacobi action. In practice, this local form can be extracted by substituting expression (35) for the scalar field metric in isothermal coordinates into the frame-free form (26) of the strong SRRT equation.

In the next subsection, we extract the explicit form of this equation for the conformal factor  $\phi$ . Before proceeding with that derivation, let us discuss the change of isothermal Liouville coordinates induced by a change of isothermal coordinates of  $S = (\mathcal{M}, J)$ .

Let  $(U', y^1, y^2)$  be another isothermal coordinate chart of  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$  with  $U \cap U' \neq \emptyset$  and associated complex coordinate  $w = y^1 + \mathbf{i}y^2$ . Then:

$$ds^2|_{U'} = e^{2\phi'}(dy_1^2 + dy_2^2) = e^{2\phi'}|dw|^2$$

for some  $\phi' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U')$ . Moreover, we have  $w = \chi \circ z$  for some biholomorphism  $\chi : x(U \cap V) \rightarrow y(U \cap U')$  and the following relation holds on  $U \cap U'$ :

$$\phi = \phi' + \frac{1}{2} \log \det \left( \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^j} \right)_{i=1,2} = \phi' + \log |\lambda(z)| ,$$

where:

$$\lambda(z) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{dw(z)}{dz} = \chi'(z) .$$

The holomorphicity condition  $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$  amounts to the Cauchy-Riemann equations:

$$\frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^1} = \frac{\partial y^2}{\partial x^2} , \quad \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial y^2}{\partial x^1} .$$

Hence the change of complex coordinates  $z \rightarrow w$  induces the transformation:

$$\phi(z) \rightarrow \phi'(w) = \phi(z) - \log |\lambda(z)| , \quad (40)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a holomorphic function of  $z$  which does not vanish on the open set  $z(U \cap U') \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We have:

$$\partial_z \phi' = \partial_z \phi - \frac{\lambda'}{2\lambda} , \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} \phi' = \partial_{\bar{z}} \phi - \frac{\bar{\lambda}'}{2\lambda}$$

and:

$$\partial_w \phi' = \frac{1}{\lambda} \partial_z \phi' , \quad \partial_{\bar{w}} \phi' = \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \phi' .$$

This corresponds to the following change of complex coordinates on the jet bundle  $j^1(L_+)|_{U \cap U'}$ :

$$z \rightarrow w , \quad u \rightarrow u' \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} u - \log |\lambda| , \quad p_z \rightarrow p_w = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( p_z - \frac{\lambda'}{2\lambda} \right) , \quad p_{\bar{z}} \rightarrow p_{\bar{w}} = \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} \left( p_{\bar{z}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}'}{2\bar{\lambda}} \right) .$$

Notice that this change of coordinates preserves the form of  $\theta$ :

$$\theta = du - p_z dz - p_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z} = du' - p_w dw - p_{\bar{w}} d\bar{w}$$

and hence  $(y^1, y^2, u, p'_1 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} 2\text{Re}(p_w), p'_2 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} -2\text{Im}(p_w))$  are isothermal Liouville coordinates on  $j^1(L_+)|_{U \cap U'}$ . Thus a change of isothermal coordinates on the Riemann surface  $S = (\mathcal{M}, J)$  induces a change of local Liouville coordinates on  $j^1(L_+)$ , i.e. a (passive) contact transformation.

**3.3. Explicit form of the contact Hamiltonian.** Let  $(U, x^1, x^2)$  be an isothermal coordinate chart of  $S = (\mathcal{M}, J)$  such that  $U$  is contained in the noncritical submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Let  $\text{grad}_0, \text{grad}_0^J : \mathcal{C}^\infty(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$  be the gradient and modified gradient operators defined by the local flat metric  $\mathcal{G}_0 \in \text{Met}(U)$ :

$$\text{grad}_0 V =_U e^{2\phi} \partial^i V \partial_i = \partial_i V \partial_i , \quad \text{grad}_0^J V =_U e^{2\phi} J_j^i \partial^j V \partial_i = -\varepsilon_{ij} \partial_j V \partial_i \quad (41)$$

and let  $D_0, \tilde{D}_0 : \mathcal{C}^\infty(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$  be the operators defined through:

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 V &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Hess}(V)(\text{grad}_0 V, \text{grad}_0 V) =_U V_{ij} \partial_i V \partial_j V \\ \tilde{D}_0 V &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{Hess}_J(V)(\text{grad}_0 V, \text{grad}_0 V) =_U \tilde{V}_{ij} \partial_i V \partial_j V . \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Moreover, let  $\Delta_0 : \mathcal{C}^\infty(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$  be the (negative-definite) Laplacian defined by the local flat metric  $\mathcal{G}_0$ :

$$\Delta_0 V =_U (\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) V . \quad (43)$$

Finally, let:

$$\|dV\|_0 =_U \sqrt{(\partial_1 V)^2 + (\partial_2 V)^2} \quad (44)$$

be the norm of  $dV$  with respect to  $\mathcal{G}_0$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *The strong SRRT equation (26) is equivalent on  $U$  with the following equation:*

$$(\tilde{D}_0 V)^2 [ \|dV\|_0^2 \Delta_0 V - D_0 V ] = 3e^{2\phi} V \|dV\|_0^2 (D_0 V)^2 . \quad (45)$$

*Proof.* We have:

$$D_2(\mathcal{G}, V) = e^{-4\phi} D_0 V , \quad D_1(\mathcal{G}, V) = e^{-4\phi} \tilde{D}_0 V , \quad \|dV\|^2 = e^{-2\phi} \|dV\|_0^2$$

and the formula for change of the Laplacian under a conformal transformation gives:

$$\Delta V = e^{-2\phi} \Delta_0 V .$$

Using these relations, the strong SRRT equation (26) reduces on  $U$  to equation (45).  $\square$

Let  $\cdot$  be the scalar product defined by  $\mathcal{G}_0$  (which satisfies  $\partial_i \cdot \partial_j = \delta_{ij}$ ) and  $\text{Hess}_0(V) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$  be the Riemannian Hessian of  $V$  with respect to the local flat metric  $\mathcal{G}_0$ :

$$\text{Hess}_0(V) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \nabla_0 dV = \partial_i \partial_j V dx^i \otimes dx^j \in \Gamma(\otimes^2 T^*U) ,$$

where  $\nabla_0$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $\mathcal{G}_0$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 V &= \text{Hess}_0(V)(\text{grad}_0 V, \text{grad}_0 V) - \|dV\|_0^2 \text{grad}_0 \phi \cdot \text{grad}_0 V \\ \tilde{D}_0 V &= \text{Hess}_0(V)(\text{grad}_0 V, \text{grad}_0^J V) - \|dV\|_0^2 \text{grad}_0 \phi \cdot \text{grad}_0^J V . \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

*Proof.* In isothermal coordinates, the Hessian tensor of  $V$  is given by:

$$V_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j V - \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k V = \partial_i \partial_j V + \delta_{ij} \partial_k \phi \partial_k V - \partial_i \phi \partial_j V - \partial_j \phi \partial_i V , \quad (47)$$

where we used relations (20) and (37). Using this in (42) gives (46).  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* Using (41), we can write (46) as:

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 V &= \partial_i \partial_j V \partial_i V \partial_j V - \|dV\|_0^2 \partial_i \phi \partial_i V \\ \tilde{D}_0 V &= - [\partial_i \partial_j V \partial_i V (\varepsilon_{jk} \partial_k V) - \|dV\|_0^2 \partial_i \phi (\varepsilon_{ij} \partial_j V)] . \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

**Proposition 3.5.** *The strong SRRT equation (45) is equivalent on  $U$  with the following equation:*

$$[\text{grad}_0^J V \cdot \text{grad}_0 \phi - \tilde{H}_0]^2 [\text{grad}_0 V \cdot \text{grad}_0 \phi + \Delta_0 V - H_0] = 3e^{2\phi} V [\text{grad}_0 V \cdot \text{grad}_0 \phi - H_0]^2 \quad (49)$$

where we defined:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{\text{Hess}_0(V)(\text{grad}_0 V, \text{grad}_0 V)}{\|dV\|_0^2} = \frac{(\partial_i \partial_j V)(\partial_i V)(\partial_j V)}{\|dV\|_0^2} , \\ \tilde{H}_0 &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{\text{Hess}_0(V)(\text{grad}_0 V, \text{grad}_0^J V)}{\|dV\|_0^2} = \frac{-(\partial_i \partial_j V)(\partial_i V) \varepsilon_{jk} (\partial_j V)}{\|dV\|_0^2} . \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Follows immediately from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.  $\square$

Let  $U_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the image of  $U$  in the isothermal coordinate chart  $(U, x^1, x^2)$ . The isothermal Liouville coordinates  $(x^1, x^2, u, p_1, p_2)$  induce an isomorphism of fiber bundles  $j^1(L_+)|_U \simeq U_0 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , which, by a common abuse of notation, allows us to take  $x = (x^1, x^2) \in U_0$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Consider the smooth functions  $A, B : U_0 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined through:

$$A(x, p) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\text{grad}_0 V)(x) \cdot p = (\partial_i V)(x) p_i , \quad B(x, p) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{grad}_0^J V \cdot p = -\varepsilon_{ij} (\partial_j V)(x) p_i . \quad (50)$$



Notice that  $A$  and  $B$  are linear functions of degree one in  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  whose coefficients depend smoothly on  $x \in U_0$ . The linear transformation  $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (p_1, p_2) \rightarrow (A, B) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  has the matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_1 V & \partial_2 V \\ -\partial_2 V & \partial_1 V \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and its determinant  $\|\mathrm{d}V\|_0^2 = (\partial_1 V)^2 + (\partial_2 V)^2$  cannot vanish on  $U_0$  since  $U$  is a subset of the noncritical submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Hence this linear transformation is bijective everywhere on  $U_0$ , with inverse given by:

$$p_1 = \frac{\partial_1 V A - \partial_2 V B}{(\partial_1 V)^2 + (\partial_2 V)^2}, \quad p_2 = \frac{\partial_2 V A + \partial_1 V B}{(\partial_1 V)^2 + (\partial_2 V)^2}. \quad (51)$$

**Theorem 3.6.** *In isothermal Liouville coordinates  $(x^1, x^2, u, p_1, p_2)$  on  $j^1(L_+)|_U$ , the contact Hamiltonian  $F_V$  of (33) corresponds to the smooth function  $F : U_0 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by:*

$$F(x, u, p) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} -[B(x, p) - \tilde{H}_0(x)]^2 [A(x, p) + (\Delta_0 V)(x) - H_0(x)] + 3e^{2u} V [A(x, p) - H_0(x)]^2 \quad (52)$$

*In particular, the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation (34) has the following local form in these coordinates:*

$$F(x_1, x_2, \phi, \partial_1 \phi, \partial_2 \phi) = 0. \quad (53)$$

*Proof.* Since:

$$A = \mathrm{grad}_0 V \cdot \mathrm{grad}_0 \phi, \quad B = \mathrm{grad}_0^J V \cdot \mathrm{grad}_0 \phi, \quad (54)$$

an easy computation shows that equation (49) is equivalent with (53).  $\square$

We call (53) the *contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the conformal factor*. Notice that  $F$  is a polynomial function of degree 3 in  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  whose coefficients depend smoothly on the point  $(x, u) \in U_0 \times \mathbb{R}$ . In the next sections, we fix the scalar potential  $V$  and analyze (53) as a nonlinear first order PDE for the conformal factor  $\phi$ .

#### 4. ANALYSIS OF THE CONTACT HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATION FOR THE CONFORMAL FACTOR

In this section, we discuss some aspects of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the conformal factor, including local existence and unicity of solutions for its Dirichlet problem using the method of characteristics. We also show that the contact Hamilton-Jacobi operator is *proper* in the sense of the theory of viscosity solutions and discuss a few general features of such solutions, giving some numerically computed examples.

**4.1. The momentum curve.** The condition  $F(x, u, p) = 0$  defines a cubic curve  $C_{x,u}$  in the  $p$ -plane which depends on the parameters  $(x, u) \in U_0 \times \mathbb{R}$ ; we will call this the *momentum curve* at the point  $(x, u)$ . In the linear coordinates  $A, B$  on the  $p$ -plane defined in (50), the momentum curve has equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & AB^2 - 3e^{2u} V A^2 - 2\tilde{H}_0 AB + (\tilde{H}_0^2 + 6e^{2u} H_0 V) A - 2\tilde{H}_0 (\Delta_0 V - H_0) B \\ & + B^2 (\Delta_0 V - H_0) + \tilde{H}_0^2 (\Delta_0 V - H_0) - 3e^{2u} H_0^2 V = 0, \end{aligned}$$

whose only cubic term is  $AB^2$ . We have:

$$F = -AB^2 + 3Ve^{2u}A^2 - (\Delta_0 V - H_0)B^2 + 2\tilde{H}_0 AB - (6Ve^{2u}H_0 + \tilde{H}_0^2)A + 2\tilde{H}_0(\Delta_0 V - H_0)B + F_0,$$

where:

$$F_0 = \tilde{H}_0^2 (\Delta_0 V - H_0) - 3Ve^{2u}H_0^2$$

is the momentum-independent term. When  $F_0 \neq 0$ , the momentum curve does not contain the origin of the  $p$ -plane.

**4.2. Properness of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for  $\phi$ . Viscosity solutions.** Notice that  $F$  depends linearly on  $e^{2u}$ , which enters through the term:

$$3V(H_0 - A)^2 e^{2u} .$$

Since  $V > 0$ , it follows that  $F$  is a monotonously increasing function of  $u$  when  $x$  and  $p$  are fixed. Hence our contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation (53) is a *proper* first order PDE in the sense of [47] and we can apply to it the theory of viscosity solutions. Viscosity solutions of weakly-elliptic nonlinear PDEs of first and second order were introduced by Crandall, Evans and Lyons in [42, 43] motivated by the method of vanishing viscosity. We refer the reader to [44], [45, Chap.10] and [46] for the first order theory and to [47, 48, 49] for the second-order theory.

Viscosity solutions are a special kind of weak solutions which are particularly useful in the study of proper contact Hamilton-Jacobi equations. By definition, they are continuous (but generally not differentiable) functions which satisfy the equation in a generalized sense involving certain inequalities related to a weak form of the maximum principle. Under reasonably mild conditions, properness of a contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation implies that a consistent viscosity version of the Dirichlet problem admits a unique viscosity solution. Moreover, approximations of that viscosity solution can be obtained through the *method of vanishing viscosity*, which in our case proceeds by adding a small *viscosity term*  $-\epsilon \Delta_0 \phi$  to the opposite of (53), thereby replacing the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for  $\phi$  with its *viscosity perturbation*:

$$F(x_1, x_2, \phi, \partial_1 \phi, \partial_2 \phi) - \epsilon \Delta_0 \phi = 0 . \quad (55)$$

The quantity  $\epsilon > 0$  is a small *positive* real number called the *viscosity parameter*. One then computes the classical solutions  $\phi_\epsilon$  of the given Dirichlet problem for equation (55) and considers its limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Under reasonably mild conditions, one can show that this recovers the viscosity solution of the viscosity version of the initial Dirichlet problem of (53).

Since a viscosity solution need not be of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$ , its partial derivatives may be discontinuous on a locus of measure zero — in which case one says that the solution has *shocks* along that locus. In general, a locally-defined classical solution of (53) cannot be extended to a globally-defined classical solution, an observation which is well-known in the subject of nonlinear PDEs and arises in the local theory due to the phenomenon of “crossing characteristics”. If one attempts to extend such a solution while preserving continuous differentiability, then one generally encounters singularities where the classical solution becomes non-differentiable or tends to infinity.

In practice, the viscosity solution of a Dirichlet problem for the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation (53) can be approximated numerically by choosing a very small viscosity parameter  $\epsilon$  and computing the numerical solution of (55) through an appropriate version of the method of finite elements. The computation becomes increasingly resource intensive as the viscosity parameter decreases. In this paper, we performed a few such computations using *Mathematica*, without attempting to address the mathematical questions of convergence to a viscosity solution of (53), numerical stability and so forth.

Figure 1 illustrates how numerical solutions of a Dirichlet problem for the viscosity perturbation (55) approach a viscosity solution of (53) as one takes  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

In this example, we considered the viscosity perturbation of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation on a domain around the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  where the quadratic potential  $V(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{18} + \frac{1}{2}(x_2^2 - x_1^2)$  is positive and imposed the Dirichlet condition  $\phi = -\log\left[\frac{\log(20)}{20}\right]$  along the circle of radius  $R = \frac{1}{20}$  centered at the origin. The figure shows classical solutions of this boundary value problem for the viscosity perturbation (55), for three values of the viscosity parameter  $\mathfrak{c}$ .




FIGURE 1. The potential  $V(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{18} + \frac{1}{2}(x_2^2 - x_1^2)$  and viscosity approximations of the solution of the corresponding contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation with Dirichlet boundary condition  $\phi = -\log[R \log(1/R)]$  imposed on the circle of radius  $R = \frac{1}{20}$  centered at the origin. We show three viscosity approximations of this boundary value problem in the exterior of the disk which bounds this circle, for viscosity parameters  $\mathfrak{c} = e^{-2i}$  with  $i = 2, 3, 4$ . The solutions with larger viscosity coefficient are shown with higher transparency.

**4.3. Study of the momentum curve.** For fixed  $x$  and  $u$ , the momentum curve is a real planar cubic. To study it, define:

$$P_1 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} A - H_0, \quad P_2 = B - \tilde{H}_0, \quad (56)$$

which are related to  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  by an  $x$ -dependent affine transformation. Then  $-F$  can be written as:

$$-F = P_1 P_2^2 - 3V e^{2u} P_1^2 + (\Delta_0 V) P_2^2. \quad (57)$$

Notice that the origin of the  $(P_1, P_2)$ -plane always lies on the momentum curve. This corresponds to the point  $(A, B) = (H_0, \tilde{H}_0)$  in the  $(A, B)$ -plane and to the point with coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^s(x) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} -\frac{\text{grad}V(x) \cdot (-H_0(x), \tilde{H}_0(x))}{\|dV(x)\|^2} = \frac{\partial_1 V(x) H_0(x) - \partial_2 V(x) \tilde{H}_0(x)}{(\partial_1 V(x))^2 + (\partial_2 V(x))^2} \\ p_2^s(x) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{\text{grad}_J V(x) \cdot (-H_0(x), \tilde{H}_0(x))}{\|dV(x)\|^2} = \frac{\partial_2 V(x) H_0(x) + \partial_1 V(x) \tilde{H}_0(x)}{(\partial_1 V(x))^2 + (\partial_2 V(x))^2} \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

in the  $(p_1, p_2)$ -plane, which we call *the special momentum* at  $x \in U_0$ . Notice that the action coordinate  $u$  does not appear in the right hand side of (58). When  $x$  varies in  $U_0$ , the equations above define the *special surface*  $\Sigma_F \subset U_0 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ .

The singular points of the momentum curve are the solutions of the equations:

$$\partial_{p_1} F = \partial_{p_2} F = F = 0 \iff \partial_{P_1} F = \partial_{P_2} F = F = 0 .$$

It is easy to check that the only solution is the origin of the  $(P_1, P_2)$  plane, which therefore is the only singular point of the momentum curve. This corresponds in the  $(p_1, p_2)$  plane to the special momentum (58). When  $(\Delta_0 V)(x) = 0$ , the momentum curve is reducible. In this case,  $F$  factorizes as:

$$F = -P_1(P_2^2 - 3Ve^{2u}P_1)$$

and hence the irreducible components are the line  $P_1 = 0$  and the parabola with equation  $P_2^2 = 3Ve^{2u}P_1$ . The two components are tangent to each other at the origin of the  $(P_1, P_2)$ -plane.

The equation  $F = 0$  reads:

$$P_2^2(P_1 + \Delta_0 V) = 3Ve^{2u}P_1^2 .$$

When  $P_2 = 0$  this equation requires  $P_1 = 0$  and hence the origin of the  $(P_1, P_2)$ -plane is the only point of the momentum curve which lies on the  $P_1$  axis. When  $P_1 \neq 0$ , the equation requires  $P_1 > -\Delta_0 V$ , in which case it gives:

$$P_2 = \pm(3V)^{1/2}e^u \frac{P_1}{\sqrt{P_1 + \Delta_0 V}} . \quad (59)$$

Hence the momentum curve is symmetric under reflection in the  $P_1$ -axis. When  $\Delta_0 V \geq 0$ , the curve is connected and contained in the half-plane defined by the inequality  $P_1 \geq -\Delta_0 V$ . When  $\Delta_0 V = 0$ , it is the union of the  $P_2$ -axis with a parabola which is tangent to that axis at the origin of the  $(P_1, P_2)$ -plane. When  $\Delta_0 V > 0$ , it is the union of two embedded curves which intersect each other at the origin of that plane. When  $\Delta_0 V < 0$ , the curve has three connected components, namely the origin of the  $(P_1, P_2)$ -plane (which is its only singular point) and two connected components which are nonsingular and contained in the half-space  $P_1 > -\Delta_0 V$ . These three cases are illustrated in Figure 2 at a point  $x \in U_0$  where  $V(x)e^{2u(x)} = 1$  and  $(\Delta_0 V)(x) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .




FIGURE 2. The momentum curve for  $V(x)e^{2u(x)} = 1$  for the cases  $(\Delta_0 V)(x) = -1, 0, 1$ . The singular point of the curve is shown as a black dot.

When  $x$  varies in  $U_0$ , the momentum curve transitions between being connected or disconnected when  $x$  crosses the curve defined inside  $U_0$  by the equation  $(\Delta_0 V)(x) = 0$ . This indicates a qualitative change in the local character of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation (53) and hence also in the qualitative behavior of its local solutions. When  $(\Delta_0 V)(x) \neq 0$ , equation (59) shows that the momentum curve is a double cover of an interval on the  $P_1$ -axis, which is branched when  $(\Delta_0 V)(x) > 0$ . When  $\phi$  depends continuously on  $x$ , the equation  $F(x, \phi(x), p) = 0$  determines  $p$  as a *continuous* function of  $x$  only if  $p$  is constrained to lie on one of the two branches. In particular, this must be the case for the momentum  $p = (\partial_1 \phi, \partial_2 \phi)$  of a classical solution  $\phi$  of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation (53). When  $x$  varies in  $U_0$  such that it crosses the locus  $\Delta_0 V = 0$  (which generically is one-dimensional), the partial derivatives of a generalized solution  $\phi$  (for example, a Lipschitz continuous solution which satisfies the equation almost everywhere) may vary discontinuously. In the regions where  $\Delta_0 V \neq 0$  there are two types of classical solutions  $\phi$  of (53), which are distinguished by the branch of the momentum curve on which the momentum  $p = (\partial_1 \phi, \partial_2 \phi)$  lies.

*Remark 4.1.* When  $P_1 \neq 0$ , equation (59) gives:

$$B = \tilde{H}_0 \pm (3V)^{1/2} e^u \frac{A - H_0}{\sqrt{A - H_0 + \Delta_0 V}} .$$

One can use this relation to express  $p_2$  in terms of  $p_1$  locally and hence to write (53) as a time-dependent contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for a 1-dimensional system, where the coordinate  $x_1$  is treated as “time”. This can form the basis for an alternative numerical approach to approximating viscosity solutions of (53), but we will not pursue this point of view in the present paper.

**4.4. Characteristic points.** By general theory, a *characteristic point* of (53) is a point  $(x, u, p) \in U_0 \times \mathbb{R}^3$  such that:

$$F(x, u, p) = F_{p_1}(x, u, p) = F_{p_2}(x, u, p) = 0 .$$

These conditions mean that  $p = (p_1, p_2)$  coincides with the singular point of the momentum curve  $C_{x,u}$ , i.e. with the special momentum (58) at  $x$ . A point  $(x, u, p)$  is characteristic iff  $(x, p)$  lies on the special surface  $\Sigma_F$ .

**4.5. The method of characteristics.** We recall the classical method of characteristics for *locally* solving Dirichlet boundary value problems of first order PDEs (see, for example, [71]).

The Dirichlet problem for the PDE (53) asks for a solution  $\phi$  of this equation which satisfies the boundary condition:

$$\phi \circ \gamma = \phi_0 , \tag{60}$$

where  $\gamma : I \rightarrow U_0$  is a non-degenerate<sup>7</sup> smooth curve and  $\phi_0 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function. Here  $I$  is an interval not reduced to a point. When this interval is compact and the boundary data  $(\gamma, \phi_0)$  is regular (see below), this problem can be solved locally through the method of characteristics.

---

<sup>7</sup>I.e. we have  $\gamma'(q) \neq 0$  for all  $q \in I$ , where  $\gamma' \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{d\gamma}{dq}$ .

The characteristic system of (53) reads<sup>8</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx^i}{dt} &= F_{p_i}(x, u, p) \\ \frac{du}{dt} &= p_i F_{p_i}(x, u, p) \\ \frac{dp_i}{dt} &= -F_{x_i}(x, u, p) - p_i F_u(x, u, p),\end{aligned}\tag{61}$$

where  $F_{x_i}$ ,  $F_u$  and  $F_{p_i}$  are the partial derivatives of  $F$  with respect to  $x_i$ ,  $u$  and  $p_i$ . We denote the defining vector field of this system by:

$$\Upsilon_F \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (F_p, pF_p, -F_x - pF_u),\tag{62}$$

where  $pF_p \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} p_1 F_{p_1} + p_2 F_{p_2}$ . The restriction of this vector field from  $U_0 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$  to the *defining hypersurface* of the equation:

$$W_F \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{(x, u, p) \in U_0 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \mid F(x, u, p) = 0\}\tag{63}$$

is called the *characteristic vector field*. In our case, the defining hypersurface is fibered over the set  $U_0 \times \mathbb{R}$  with fiber at  $(x, u)$  given by the momentum curve  $C_{x,u}$ . Notice that  $\Upsilon_F$  is tangent to  $W_F$  and hence the pair  $(W_F, \Upsilon_F)$  defines a four-dimensional geometric dynamical system, which we call the *characteristic dynamical system of  $F$* . The integral curves of this dynamical system are the *characteristic curves of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation (53)*. Any integral curve of (61) which meets  $W_F$  is contained in  $W_F$  and hence is a characteristic curve. To obtain a solution  $\phi$  of the Dirichlet problem (60) for (53), we must find a family of solutions  $(x(t, q), u(t, q), p(t, q))$  of (61) which satisfies the initial conditions:

$$x(0, q) = \gamma(q), \quad u(0, q) = \phi_0(q), \quad p(0, q) = p_0(q) \quad (q \in I),\tag{64}$$

where the *curve of initial momenta*  $p_0 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a smooth map which satisfies the following *admissibility conditions* for all  $q \in I$ :

$$\begin{aligned}F(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q), p_0(q)) &= 0 \\ \gamma'_1(q)p_{01}(q) + \gamma'_2(q)p_{02}(q) &= \phi'_0(q).\end{aligned}\tag{65}$$

The first admissibility condition means that the point  $(x(0, q), u(0, q), p(0, q))$  lies on the defining hypersurface  $W_F$  for all  $q \in I$ , which insures that the integral curves  $t \rightarrow (x(t, q), u(t, q), p(t, q))$  are contained in  $W_F$  for all  $q$ . Equivalently, this condition requires that  $p_0(q)$  lies on the momentum curve  $C_{\gamma(q), \phi_0(q)}$  for all  $q \in I$ . The second admissibility condition constrains the scalar product between  $p_0(q)$  and the tangent vector  $\gamma'(q)$  to the curve  $\gamma$  to equal the derivative  $\phi'_0(q) = \frac{d\phi_0(q)}{dq}$ . Any smooth solution  $p_0$  to the admissibility conditions provides a lift:

$$\Gamma = \{(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q), p_0(q)) \mid q \in I\} \subset W_F\tag{66}$$

to the defining hypersurface of the image  $\gamma(I) \subset U_0$  of the curve  $\gamma$ .

The admissibility conditions can be used to locally determine  $p_0$  given  $\phi_0$  (though the solution might have a finite ambiguity) provided that the Jacobian matrix of the system (65) with respect to  $p_0$ :

$$\text{Jac}_{p_0}(q) = \begin{bmatrix} F_{p_1}(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q), p_0(q)) & F_{p_2}(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q), p_0(q)) \\ \gamma'_1(q) & \gamma'_2(q) \end{bmatrix}$$

has maximal rank. Since the curve  $\gamma$  is non-degenerate, this amounts to the condition that  $F_p$  is not proportional to  $\gamma'$ , which means that the vector  $F_p$  is not tangent to  $\gamma$ . In turn, this is equivalent with the condition:

$$F_{p_1}(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q), p_0(q))\gamma'_2(q) - F_{p_2}(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q), p_0(q))\gamma'_1(q) \neq 0 \quad \forall q \in I.\tag{67}$$

<sup>8</sup>The parameter  $t$  used here should not be confused with the cosmological time.

The triplet  $(\gamma, \phi_0, p_0)$  is called *regular* (or *noncharacteristic*) if this condition is satisfied. The regularity condition means that the projected characteristic curves  $t \rightarrow x(t)$  are nowhere tangent to the curve  $\gamma$ . In particular, regularity requires that  $F_{p_1}(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q), p_0(q))$  and  $F_{p_2}(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q), p_0(q))$  should not vanish simultaneously for any  $q \in I$ . This means that  $(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q), p_0(q))$  must be a noncharacteristic point of (53) for all  $q \in I$  (recall that  $(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q), p_0(q)) \in W_F$  by the first condition in (65)). Since  $p_0(q)$  lies on the momentum curve  $C_{\gamma(q), \phi_0(q)}$  by the first condition in (65), it follows that a necessary (but not sufficient) condition for regularity is that  $p_0(q)$  should not coincide with the singular point of this curve for any  $q \in I$ . In our case, this means that  $p_0(q)$  should not coincide with the special momentum  $p^s(\gamma(q))$  computed at the point  $\gamma(q) \in U_0$ . The boundary data  $(\gamma, \phi_0)$  is called *regular* if there exists a smooth function  $p_0 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  which satisfies both (65) and (67). In this case, one also says that the Dirichlet data is *noncharacteristic*.

Assume that the interval  $I$  is compact. For regular boundary data and an admissible choice of  $p_0$ , condition (67) insures that there exists a small enough positive number  $a$  such that the map  $(-a, a) \times I \ni (t, q) \rightarrow (x_1(t, q), x_2(t, q)) \in U_0$  provided by a solution of (61) which satisfies the initial conditions (64) is a diffeomorphism on the interior  $\mathcal{V}$  of its image. One can invert this map in order to extract  $t$  and  $q$  as functions of  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then the function  $\phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined through:

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} u(t(x_1, x_2), q(x_1, x_2)) \quad (68)$$

is a (smooth) solution of (53) defined on  $\mathcal{V} \subset U_0$ , which satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\phi(\gamma(q)) = \phi_0(q) \quad \forall q \in I .$$

Notice that the image of  $\gamma$  is contained in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Also notice that  $\phi$  can be finitely non-unique, since there can exist a finite ambiguity in the choice of the admissible initial momentum  $p_0 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ . One can relax the smoothness assumption on the choice of admissible momentum  $p_0$ , but in this case the solution (68) may fail to be smooth. This situation arises in some of the examples considered later in the present paper.

*Remark 4.2.* Suppose the boundary data  $(\gamma, \phi_0)$  is nonregular, i.e. there exists no admissible  $p_0$  which satisfies the regularity condition (67). The simplest such situation is that of *completely irregular Dirichlet problems*, when there exists a choice of initial momenta  $p_0 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $F_p(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q), p_0(q))$  is tangent to  $\gamma$  for all  $q \in I$ . It can be shown (see, for example, [71]) that such a Dirichlet problem admits a locally-defined classical solution iff the lifted curve (66) is a *characteristic curve*, which means that the characteristic vector field  $\Upsilon_F$  is tangent to  $\Gamma$  at each point of  $\Gamma$ . In this case, there exists an infinity of locally-defined smooth solutions to the Dirichlet problem. In this paper, we consider only Dirichlet problems which are regular, though we allow  $p_0$  to be a piece-wise smooth function of  $q$  in some cases.

**4.6. Constant Dirichlet conditions on a circle.** The following Dirichlet condition will be used later on. Consider the case when  $\gamma$  is a circle of radius  $R < 1$  (with respect to the local Euclidean metric  $\mathcal{G}_0$ ) which is contained in  $U_0$  and centered at some point  $x_0 \in U_0$ . We can take  $I = [0, 2\pi]$  and  $q = \theta$ , where  $\theta$  is the polar angle in the isothermal coordinates  $(x^1, x^2)$ . Then  $\gamma$  has equations:

$$x_1 = R \cos \theta , \quad x_2 = R \sin \theta .$$

Moreover, we take the Dirichlet datum  $\phi_0$  to be constant along  $\gamma$ , i.e.  $\phi_0'(\theta) = 0$ . In this case, the second admissibility condition in (65) requires that  $p_0$  be normal to  $\gamma$ , i.e. we have:

$$p_0(\theta) = (\nu(\theta) \cos \theta, \nu(\theta) \sin \theta) \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi] ,$$

where  $\nu \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \|p_0\|_0$ . For every  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , the line of angle  $\theta$  in the  $p$ -plane intersects the momentum curve  $C_{\gamma(\theta), \phi_0}$  in at most three points. For the boundary data  $(\gamma, \phi_0)$  to be admissible, this intersection must be non-empty for all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , in which case any point of intersection determines a possible choice of  $p_0(\theta)$ . As  $\theta$  varies in the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$ , this gives up to three continuously-varying points on  $C_{\gamma(\theta), \phi_0}$ . A necessary condition for the boundary data to be regular is that the momentum  $p_0(\theta)$  never becomes special, i.e. that at least one of these choices never meets the singular point of  $C_{\gamma(\theta), \phi_0}$  as  $\theta$  varies from 0 to  $2\pi$ .

When the point  $x$  varies on the circle  $\gamma$ , the special momenta (58) describe a curve in the  $(p_1, p_2)$  plane, which we call the *curve of special initial momenta*. An admissible initial momentum cannot lie on this curve.

Let us illustrate this in the case  $V = 10^{-10} + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{5}x_1^2 + x_2^2)$ , for the constant boundary condition:


$$\phi|_{C_R} = \phi_0 = -\log[R \log(1/R)] \quad (69)$$

imposed on the circle  $C_R$  of radius  $R = \frac{1}{20}$  centered at the origin of the  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane. This condition is natural given the asymptotic forms discussed in Section 5.

Figure 3 shows the curve of special initial momenta and the norms  $\nu$  of admissible initial momenta (as functions of the polar angle  $\theta$ ) for this choice of  $R$  and  $V$ . The latter are obtained by solving the following cubic equation for  $\nu$ :

$$F(R \cos \theta, R \sin \theta, -\log[R \log(1/R)], \nu \cos \theta, \nu \sin \theta) = 0 \quad (70)$$

whose real solutions  $\nu(\theta)$  we plot as functions of  $\theta$ . The plot has limited range, hence some real solutions are not visible in the figure.



(A) The curve of special initial momenta.

(B) Norms of admissible initial momenta.

FIGURE 3. The curve of special initial momenta and the norms of admissible momenta for  $V = 10^{-10} + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{5}x_1^2 + x_2^2)$  and the Dirichlet boundary condition (69) with  $R = \frac{1}{20}$ .

Figure 4 shows the choice of a set of regular initial momenta together with the projections of the corresponding characteristic curves on  $(x_1, x_2, u)$ -space.






FIGURE 4. Choices of regular initial momenta and projection of the corresponding characteristic curves on  $(x_1, x_2, u)$ -space for  $V = 10^{-10} + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{5}x_1^2 + x_2^2)$  and the Dirichlet boundary condition (69) with  $R = \frac{1}{20}$ .

**4.7. The stationary points of the characteristic dynamical system.** The characteristic curves of an admissible Dirichlet problem are integral curves of the dynamical system  $(W_F, \Upsilon_F)$ . The stationary points of this system correspond to the zeroes of  $\Upsilon_F$  on  $W_F$ , i.e. to the points  $(x, u, p) \in U \times \mathbb{R}^3$  which satisfy the conditions:

$$F(x, u, p) = F_{p_i}(x, u, p) = F_{x_i}(x, u, p) + p_i F_u(x, u, p) = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2. \quad (71)$$

It is clear that any such point is a characteristic point. The following result shows that the converse is also true.

**Proposition 4.3.** *The stationary points of the characteristic dynamical system  $(W_F, \Upsilon_F)$  coincide with the characteristic points of  $F$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the last equalities in (71) are satisfied at any characteristic point. If  $(x, u, p)$  is such a point, then we have  $F(x, u, p) = F_{p_i}(x, u, p) = 0$  and hence  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are given by (58), which correspond to  $A = H_0$  and  $B = \tilde{H}_0$ . Thus:

$$F_u = 6V e^{2u} (A - H_0)^2$$

vanishes and the last conditions in (71) reduce to:

$$F_{x_1}(x, u, p) = F_{x_2}(x, u, p) = 0,$$

where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are given by (58). Using expression (57), we compute:

$$F_{x_i} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial P_k} \partial_{x_i} P_k + \frac{\partial F}{\partial V} \partial_{x_i} V + \frac{\partial F}{\partial (\Delta_0 V)} \partial_{x_i} (\Delta_0 V),$$

where  $u$  and  $p$  are held fixed.

Since (58) corresponds to  $P = (0, 0)$  (which is the singular point of the momentum curve), we have  $\left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial P_k} \right|_{P=0} = 0$ . On the other hand, the quantities:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial V} = -3e^{2u}P_1^2 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial(\Delta_0 V)} = -P_2^2$$

vanish when  $P_1 = P_2 = 0$ . It follows that  $F_{x_i}(x, u, p)$  vanish and hence any characteristic point is a stationary point of the characteristic dynamical system.  $\square$

**4.8. Numerical examples.** Let us illustrate the solutions of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the conformal factor and their characteristics. Recall that  $U_0$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , whose Cartesian coordinates  $x^1, x^2$  are isothermal on  $U$ . Thus  $J$  is the canonical complex structure which identifies  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex coordinate  $z = x^1 + \mathbf{i}x^2$ . For simplicity, we take:


$$V(x_1, x_2) = V_c + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2) \quad , \quad (72)$$

where  $V_c > 0$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are nonzero real numbers. We assume that  $U_0$  is a domain contained in the region of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  where  $V > 0$ . Such potentials arise as local approximations of an everywhere positive potential of a cosmological model close to a non-degenerate critical point. The quadratic potential (72) has a single critical point located at the origin of the  $(x_1, x_2)$ -plane, which is an extremum if  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$  and a saddle point if  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 0$ .


We consider the Dirichlet problem of Subsection 4.6 with boundary condition (69) on a circle of radius  $R < 1$  centered at the origin. This problem is regular except possibly for a finite set of points of this circle when  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  (we say that the problem is *essentially regular* in this case). If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ , then the only admissible choice of  $p_0(\theta)$  is special for all  $\theta$  and the Dirichlet problem is irregular.

We show some numerically computed projected characteristic curves in a few essentially regular cases in the bottom left corner of Figures 5, 6, 7. For the indicated values of  $V_c, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $R$ , these figures also show the contour and 3d plots of the corresponding potential and the numerical solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the viscosity perturbation (55) of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation (53) for the listed values of the viscosity parameter  $\mathbf{c}$ .


Figure 8 shows the irregular case  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ , when the classical method of characteristics does not apply. The qualitative behavior of the viscosity approximations of the solutions differs little between these four cases on the region within which they were computed. The values considered for the viscosity parameter  $\mathbf{c}$  were dictated by the computational limits of our system. Computing with smaller values of  $\mathbf{c}$  might reveal more detail.




(A) Contour plot of the potential.



(B) 3D plot of the potential.




(C) Some characteristic curves projected on the  $(x_1, x_2)$ -plane. Some curves intersect if followed long enough, which signals the breakdown of the method of characteristics.




(D) Solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the viscosity perturbation with  $\epsilon = e^{-7}$ .

FIGURE 5. The potential, projected characteristics and a viscosity approximant of the solution of the Dirichlet problem for the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for  $V_c = 1/90$  and  $\lambda_1 = -1/5$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$  with  $R = 1/20$ . We show the approximant of the solution  $\phi$  in a region where the potential is positive.



(A) Contour plot of the potential.



(B) 3D plot of the potential.





(C) Some characteristic curves projected on the  $(x_1, x_2)$ -plane.(D) Solution of the Dirichlet problem for the viscosity perturbation with  $c = e^{-8}$ .


FIGURE 6. The potential, projected characteristics and a viscosity approximant of the solution of the Dirichlet problem for the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for  $V_c = 1/18$  and  $\lambda_1 = -1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$  with  $R = 1/20$ . We show the approximant of the solution  $\phi$  in a region where the potential is positive.




(A) Contour plot of the potential.



(B) 3D plot of the potential.



(C) Some characteristic curves projected on the  $(x_1, x_2)$ -plane.



(D) Solution of the Dirichlet problem for the viscosity perturbation with  $c = e^{-7}$ .

FIGURE 7. The potential, projected characteristics and a viscosity approximant of the solution of the Dirichlet problem for the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for  $V_c = 10^{-10}$  and  $\lambda_1 = 1/5$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$  with  $R = 1/20$ .




FIGURE 8. The potential and a viscosity approximant of the solution of the Dirichlet problem for the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for  $V_c = 10^{-10}$  and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$  with  $R = 1/20$ . In this case, all admissible initial momenta lie on the curve of special initial momenta, which we show in the lower left corner. Thus the Dirichlet problem is irregular and the classical method of characteristics does not apply.

## 5. THE QUASILINEAR APPROXIMATION NEAR A NON-DEGENERATE CRITICAL POINT OF THE SCALAR POTENTIAL

The critical points of the scalar potential  $V$  play a crucial role in determining important features of cosmological dynamics. In this section, we study the behavior of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation (53) and its solutions in the vicinity of *non-degenerate* critical points of  $V$ . We show that this nonlinear equation can be approximated by a quasilinear ODE near such a point and study the solutions of the latter, which provide asymptotic approximants for certain solutions of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

Let  $c \in \text{Crit}V$  be a non-degenerate (hence isolated) critical point of  $V$  and fix the complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $(U, z)$  be a complex coordinate chart for  $(\mathcal{M}, J)$  centered at  $c$  (i.e.  $z(c) = 0$ ) such that the punctured neighborhood  $\dot{U} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} U \setminus \{c\}$  is

contained in  $\mathcal{M}_0$  and let  $x^1 = \operatorname{Re}z$  and  $x^2 = \operatorname{Im}z$  be the corresponding isothermal coordinates defined on  $U$ .

Since  $c$  is a non-degenerate critical point, the Riemannian Hessian of  $V$  at  $c$  is a non-degenerate bilinear symmetric form defined on  $T_c\mathcal{M}$  which is independent of the choice of the scalar field metric  $\mathcal{G}$ . In particular, we have:

$$\operatorname{Hess}(V)(c) = \operatorname{Hess}_0(V)(c) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i\partial_j V)(c)\partial_i \otimes \partial_j|_c \in \operatorname{Sym}^2(T_c^*\mathcal{M}) .$$

This covariant symmetric tensor can be diagonalized by a transformation:

$$x' = Rx , \text{ with } R \in \operatorname{SO}(2, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \operatorname{U}(1) ,$$

where  $(U, x')$  is once again an isothermal coordinate system of the same form as  $(U, x)$ , namely the isothermal coordinate system defined by the complex coordinate  $z' = e^{i\theta}z$ , where  $\theta$  is the rotation angle of the matrix  $R$ . Thus, we can assume without loss of generality that the isothermal coordinate system  $(U, x)$  was chosen such that:

$$\partial_i\partial_j V = \lambda_1\delta_{i1}\delta_{j1} + \lambda_2\delta_{i2}\delta_{j2} ,$$

where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  are the real eigenvalues of the Euclidean Hessian operator  $\widehat{\operatorname{Hess}}_0(c) \in \operatorname{End}(T_c\mathcal{M})$  at  $c$  (recall that this operator is  $\mathcal{G}_0(c)$ -symmetric and hence has real eigenvalues). Non-degeneracy of the Hessian at  $c$  implies that  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are both nonzero. With this choice of isothermal coordinates, the Taylor expansion of  $V$  at  $c$  takes the form:

$$V(x) = V(c) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i\partial_j V)(c)x^i x^j + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|_0^3) = V_c + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2) + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|_0^3) ,$$

which gives (without summation over  $i$  !):

$$(\partial_i V)(x) = \lambda_i x^i + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|_0^2) \tag{73}$$

and:

$$\|dV\|_0 = \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2} + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|_0^2) .$$

Using these expressions, we find:

$$H_0 = \frac{\lambda_i^3 x_i^2}{\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2} + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|_0^2) \text{ and } \tilde{H}_0 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \frac{x_1 x_2}{\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2} + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|_0^2) . \tag{74}$$

Consider the following homogeneous polynomial functions of degree two in the variables  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ :

$$s_k(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \lambda_1^k x_1^2 + \lambda_2^k x_2^2 . \tag{75}$$

Notice that these functions are invariant under the permutation which exchanges  $x_1$  with  $x_2$  and  $\lambda_1$  with  $\lambda_2$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *We have:*

$$F(x, u, p) = -\frac{a_1(x, u)x^1 p_1 + a_2(x, u)x^2 p_2 - b(x, u)}{s_2(x)^3} + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|_0^2) ,$$

where  $a_i$  and  $b$  are homogeneous polynomial functions of degree six in  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  (whose coefficients depend on  $u$ ) given by:

$$a_i(x, u) = \lambda_i s_2(x) [t_i(x) + 6V_c e^{2u} s_2(x) s_3(x)] ,$$

with:

$$t_1(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) x_2^2 [s_2(x) - 3\lambda_2 s_1(x)]$$

$$t_2(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \lambda_2 \lambda_1^2 (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) x_1^2 [s_2(x) - 3\lambda_1 s_1(x)]$$

and:

$$b(x, u) = -\lambda_1^3 \lambda_2^3 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 s_1(x) + 3e^{2u} V_c s_2(x) s_3(x)^2 .$$

*Remark 5.2.* Notice that  $a_1, a_2$  and  $t_1, t_2$  are related by the permutation which exchanges  $\lambda_1$  with  $\lambda_2$  and  $x_1$  with  $x_2$ , while  $b$  is invariant under this permutation.

*Proof.* Relations (73) show that the quantities  $A$  and  $B$  approximate as:

$$A = \lambda_1 x^1 p_1 + \lambda_2 x^2 p_2 + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|_0^2), \quad B = -\lambda_2 x^2 p_1 + \lambda_1 x^1 p_2 + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|_0^2) \quad (76)$$

and hence are of order one in  $\|x\|$ . Accordingly, the order one approximation of  $F$  is obtained by replacing  $(\Delta_0 V)(x)$  with its order zero approximation (which is the constant  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ ) and keeping only the terms linear in  $A$  and  $B$ . Thus:

$$-F = (\tilde{H}^2 + 6e^{2u} V_c H) A + 2\tilde{H}(H - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2) B + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - H) \tilde{H}^2 - 3e^{2u} V_c H^2 + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|_0^2)$$

i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned} F &= 3e^{2u} V_c H^2 - \tilde{H}^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - H) \\ &+ \left[ 2\tilde{H}(H - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \lambda_2 x_2 - (6e^{2u} V_c H + \tilde{H}^2) \lambda_1 x_1 \right] p_1 \\ &- \left[ 2\tilde{H}(H - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \lambda_1 x_1 + (6e^{2u} V_c H + \tilde{H}^2) \lambda_2 x_2 \right] p_2 + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|_0^2). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (74) in this expression gives the conclusion.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** *The contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation (53) is approximated to first order in  $\|x\|_0$  by the following quasilinear first order PDE:*

$$a_1(x, \phi) x^1 \partial_1 \phi + a_2(x, \phi) x^2 \partial_2 \phi = b(x, \phi). \quad (77)$$

*Remark 5.4.* One can check that equation (77) is *not* proper in the sense of the theory of viscosity solutions. For reasons of practical computability, we will nonetheless use a viscosity perturbation of this equation when numerically approximating its solutions in Subsection 5.6.

*Remark 5.5.* Suppose that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda \neq 0$ . Then the quasilinear PDE (77) reduces to:

$$(x_1 \partial_1 + x_2 \partial_2) \phi = \frac{1}{2},$$

which has general solution (see Proposition 5.11 below):

$$\phi(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \log r + \phi_0(\theta),$$

where  $\phi_0$  is a piecewise-smooth real-valued function defined on the unit circle and  $(r, \theta)$  are the polar coordinates defined by the isothermal coordinate system  $(x^1, x^2)$ . In this case, the quasilinear equation (77) is equivalent with the linear equation in Proposition 5.9 which we discuss later on. We will exclude this special case in most of the following.

**5.1. Symmetries.** Since  $a_i$  and  $b$  are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree in  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , the quasilinear equation (77) is invariant under the homotheties  $x^i \rightarrow \kappa x^i$  with  $\kappa > 0$ . If  $\phi$  is a solution, it follows that the function  $\phi_\kappa$  defined by  $\phi_\kappa(x) = \phi(\kappa x)$  is also a solution. Moreover, equation (77) is invariant under the action of the Klein four-group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  generated by  $x_1 \rightarrow -x_1$  and  $x_2 \rightarrow -x_2$ . Thus given a solution  $\phi$ , the functions  $\phi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$  defined through:

$$\phi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(x_1, x_2) = \phi(\epsilon_1 x_1, \epsilon_2 x_2), \quad \text{where } \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{-1, 1\}$$

are also solutions. Combining these observations, we conclude that the group  $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  acts naturally on the set of solutions to (77).



**5.2. Scale-invariant solutions of the quasilinear equation.** It is natural to look for scale-invariant solutions of the quasilinear equation (77), i.e. solutions which are fixed points of the scaling action of  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Such solutions satisfy:

$$\phi(\kappa x) = \phi(x) \quad \forall \kappa > 0$$

and hence depend only on the angular coordinate  $\theta$  of the polar coordinate system. Since:

$$\partial_1 \phi = -\frac{\sin \theta}{r} \phi'(\theta), \quad \partial_2 \phi = \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \phi'(\theta),$$

equation (77) reduces to the ODE:

$$[a_2(\cos \theta, \sin \theta, \phi) - a_1(\cos \theta, \sin \theta, \phi)] \cos \theta \sin \theta \phi'(\theta) = b(\cos \theta, \sin \theta).$$

The change of variables  $t = \cos(2\theta) \in [-1, 1]$  brings the last equation to the form:

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = R(t, \phi), \quad (78)$$

where:

$$R(t, \phi) = \frac{1}{(t^2 - 1)[\lambda_1^2(t+1) - \lambda_2^2(t-1)](\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} \times \\ 3V_c e^{2\phi} [\lambda_1^2(t+1) - \lambda_2^2(t-1)] [\lambda_1^3(t+1) - \lambda_2^3(t-1)]^2 + \lambda_1^3(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 \lambda_2^3(t^2 - 1) [\lambda_1(t+1) - \lambda_2(t-1)] \\ \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 [-6\lambda_2 \lambda_1(t^2 - 1) + \lambda_1^2(t+1)(3t+1) + \lambda_2^2(t-1)(3t-1)] - 6V_c e^{2\phi} [\lambda_1^2(t+1) - \lambda_2^2(t-1)] [\lambda_1^3(t+1) - \lambda_2^3(t-1)].$$

Since the right hand side of (78) can become singular in the interval  $(-1, 1)$ , a locally-defined classical solution of this equation need not extend to a differentiable function defined on the entire interval. Below, we consider generalized solutions which are of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  on the interval  $(-1, 1)$  except for a finite set of points of this interval, where they can tend to infinity or have discontinuous derivative. However, we require that the restriction of a generalized solution to the open interval  $(-1, 1)$  is continuous as a map valued in  $\bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ .

Since a generalized solution depends only on  $t = \cos(2\theta)$ , it follows that  $\phi$  as a function of  $\theta$  has periodicity  $\pi$  and is invariant under the transformation  $\theta \rightarrow -\theta$ :

$$\phi(\theta + \pi) = \phi(\theta), \quad \phi(-\theta) = \phi(\theta).$$

Hence as a function defined on the unit circle,  $\phi$  is invariant under the Klein four-group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  generated by reflections in the two coordinate axes<sup>9</sup>. Thus  $\phi$  is also a fixed point of the discrete symmetries of equation (77) and we can restrict its domain of definition to  $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$  without losing information. The range  $t \in [-1, 1]$  corresponds to  $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ , where  $\theta = 0$  corresponds to  $t = 1$  and  $\theta = \pi/2$  corresponds to  $t = -1$ .

The denominator of  $R(t, \phi)$  factors as  $T_1(t, \phi)T_2(t, \phi)$ , where

$$T_1 = (t^2 - 1)[(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)t + \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2](\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \\ T_2 = 3(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 t^2 [2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2 \lambda_1 + \lambda_2^2)e^{2\phi} V_c - \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2] + 4t [3(\lambda_1^5 - \lambda_2^5)e^{2\phi} V_c - \lambda_2^2 \lambda_1^4 + \lambda_2^4 \lambda_1^2] \\ + 6(\lambda_1^5 + \lambda_2^2 \lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3 \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^5)e^{2\phi} V_c - \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 (\lambda_1^2 + 6\lambda_2 \lambda_1 + \lambda_2^2).$$

When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ , this denominator vanishes identically while the numerator of  $R$  equals  $24\lambda^8 V_c e^{2\phi}$ , so in this case equation (78) has no solutions. When  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , the denominator of  $R(t, \phi)$  vanishes for  $t \in \left\{ -1, 1, -\frac{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2} \right\}$  (which are the roots of  $T_1(t, \phi)$  as a polynomial of  $t$ ) and for  $t \in \{t_-(\phi), t_+(\phi)\}$ , where:

$$t_{\pm}(\phi) = \frac{-6(\lambda_1^4 + \lambda_2 \lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^2 \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^3 \lambda_1 + \lambda_2^4)e^{2\phi} V_c + \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 (2\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 \pm \text{sign}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\sqrt{d})}{3(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) [2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_2^2)e^{2\phi} V_c - \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2]}$$

<sup>9</sup>Notice that we allow  $\phi(\theta)$  to have different directional limits from the two sides at  $\theta \in \{0, \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi, \frac{3\pi}{2}\}$ .

are the roots of  $T_2(t, \phi)$  as a polynomial of  $\phi$ . These roots are real iff the quantity:

$$d \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} 36e^{4\phi} V_c^2 + 60(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)e^{2\phi} V_c + \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - 10\lambda_1\lambda_2$$

(which is proportional to the discriminant of  $T_2$ ) is non-negative.

Since  $\left| \frac{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2} \right| > 1$ , the only potentially singular points of  $R$  which lie in the interval  $[-1, 1]$  are  $-1, 1$  and the points of the set  $[-1, 1] \cap \{t_-(\phi), t_+(\phi)\}$ . If any of the points  $t_{\pm}(\phi)$  is contained in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ , then the derivative of a solution of (78) may tend to infinity at that point, depending on the behavior of the numerator of  $R$ . Let us consider the ‘‘worst’’ kind of singularity, which arises when both  $|\phi(t)|$  and  $|\frac{d\phi(t)}{dt}| = |R(t, \Phi(t))|$  tend to infinity as we approach the singular point. To understand where this kind of singularity may occur, it suffices to consider the cases  $\phi = +\infty$  and  $\phi = -\infty$  in the formulas for  $t_{\pm}(\phi)$ . We have:

$$t_+(+\infty) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3}{\lambda_1^3 - \lambda_2^3} & \text{if } \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \\ -\frac{\lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3}{\lambda_1^3 - \lambda_2^3} & \text{if } \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \end{cases}$$

$$t_-(+\infty) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2} & \text{if } \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \\ -\frac{\lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3}{\lambda_1^3 - \lambda_2^3} & \text{if } \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$t_+(-\infty) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 - 10\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2}}{3(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \\ -\frac{2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 - 10\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2}}{3(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \end{cases}$$

$$t_-(-\infty) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 - 10\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2}}{3(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \\ -\frac{2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 - 10\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2}}{3(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \end{cases}$$

The roots  $t_{\pm}(-\infty)$  are real iff the quantity:

$$\delta \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \lambda_1^2 - 10\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2 \tag{79}$$

satisfies:

$$\delta \geq 0 \iff \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \notin (5 - 2\sqrt{6}, 5 + 2\sqrt{6}) . \tag{80}$$

Notice that  $t_{\pm}(+\infty)$  and  $t_{\pm}(-\infty)$  do not depend on  $V_c$ . Some aspects of the qualitative behavior of  $\phi$  depend on how many of these values lie in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ .

**Lemma 5.6.** *Suppose that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  satisfy  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ , then we have  $t_+(+\infty) \notin [-1, 1]$  and  $t_-(+\infty) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$ . Moreover, we have:*

$$t_-(+\infty) \in (-1, 1) \text{ iff } \lambda_1\lambda_2 < 0 .$$

- (2) *If  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ , then we have  $t_-(+\infty) \notin [-1, 1]$  and  $t_+(+\infty) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$ . Moreover, we have:*

$$t_+(+\infty) \in (-1, 1) \text{ iff } \lambda_1\lambda_2 < 0 .$$

- (3) *When  $\lambda_1^2 - 10\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2 \geq 0$ , we always have:*

$$t_{\pm}(-\infty) \in (-1, 1) .$$

*Proof.* We have:

$$t_+(+\infty)^2 - 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{4(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^3}{(\lambda_1^3 - \lambda_2^3)^2} & \text{if } \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \\ \frac{4(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)^2} & \text{if } \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \end{cases} \quad (81)$$

and

$$t_-(+\infty)^2 - 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{4(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2)^2} & \text{if } \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \\ \frac{4(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^3}{(\lambda_1^3 - \lambda_2^3)^2} & \text{if } \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \end{cases} . \quad (82)$$

This implies the first two points of the lemma. On the other hand, we have:

$$t_-(-\infty)^2 - 1 = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} & \text{if } \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \\ \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} & \text{if } \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \end{cases} \quad (83)$$

and

$$t_+(-\infty)^2 - 1 = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} & \text{if } \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \\ \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} & \text{if } \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \end{cases} , \quad (84)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= -\frac{4(\lambda_1^2 - 4\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2)}{9(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \\ \mathcal{B} &= \frac{4(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\sqrt{\lambda_1^2 - 10\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2}}{9(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} . \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

We have:

$$\mathcal{A}^2 - \mathcal{B}^2 = \frac{64\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2}{9(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^4} > 0 ,$$

which shows that  $|\mathcal{B}| < |\mathcal{A}|$  and hence the sign of the quantity  $t_{\pm}(-\infty)^2 - 1$  always coincides with that of  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is easy to see that the inequality  $\delta \geq 0$  implies  $\lambda_1^2 - 4\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2 > 0$ , which ensures that  $\mathcal{A}$  is always negative or zero. It follows that we have  $t_{\pm}(-\infty)^2 - 1 \leq 0$  and we conclude.  $\square$


Lemma 5.6 implies the following:

**Proposition 5.7.** *Suppose that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  satisfy  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . Then the following statements hold:*


- (1) *If  $\delta < 0$ , then the absolute value of a generalized solution of the ODE (78) can tend to infinity together with that of its derivative in the interior of the interval  $(-1, 1)$  iff  $\lambda_1\lambda_2 < 0$ . In this case, there is at most one such singular point, namely  $t_-(+\infty)$  if  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ , respectively  $t_+(+\infty)$  if  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ .*
- (2) *If  $\delta \geq 0$ , then a generalized solution of (78) has at most three points in the interval  $(-1, 1)$  where its absolute value can tend to infinity together with that of its derivative. These can be any or both of the points  $t_{\pm}(-\infty)$  (which coincide when  $\delta = 0$ ) and at most one of the following points, which belongs to the interval  $(-1, 1)$  only when  $\lambda_1\lambda_2 < 0$ :*
  - (a)  $t_-(+\infty)$ , if  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ ,
  - (b)  $t_+(+\infty)$ , if  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ .

*Remark 5.8.* Whether the derivative of  $\phi$  becomes infinite at any point listed in Proposition 5.7 depends on whether  $R(t, \phi(t))$  actually blows up at that point. Even in that case, it is not assured that  $|\phi|$  tends to infinity there. The proposition merely constrains the position of *potential* singularities of the kind considered, but does *not* guarantee that any of the points listed in the statement arises as a singularity in any given solution.

Figure 9 shows  $t_{\pm}(+\infty)$  and  $t_{\pm}(-\infty)$  as functions of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , while Figure 10 shows the particular solutions  $\phi(t)$  of equation (78) for a few choices of  $V_c$ ,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  with the initial conditions listed. The black and red dots in Figure 10 represent those points among  $t_{\pm}(+\infty)$ , respectively  $t_{\pm}(-\infty)$  which lie inside the interval  $(-1, 1)$ . We show solutions whose restrictions to the open interval  $(-1, 1)$  are continuous as maps valued in  $\bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ .




(A)  $t_{\pm}(+\infty)$  as functions of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ . The rigged regions in the plot are numerical artifacts.




(B)  $t_{\pm}(-\infty)$  as functions of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ .


FIGURE 9. Plots of  $t_{\pm}(+\infty)$  and  $t_{\pm}(-\infty)$  as functions of  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ .




(A) The solution  $\phi$  for  $V_c = 1/90$ ,  $\lambda_1 = -1/5$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$  with initial condition  $\phi(1/2) = 1$ . We have  $\delta \approx 3.04$ ,  $t_-(+\infty) \approx 0.98$ ,  $t_+(+\infty) \approx 1.08$ ,  $t_-( -\infty) \approx -0.04$ ,  $t_+(-\infty) \approx 0.93$ .




(B) Plot of  $\phi'(t) = R(t, \phi(t))$  where  $\phi$  is the solution shown on the left.




(C) The solution  $\phi$  for  $V_c = 1/18$ ,  $\lambda_1 = -1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$  with initial condition  $\phi(0.379) = 1.01$ . We have  $\delta = 12$ ,  $t_-(+\infty) = 0$ ,  $t_+(+\infty) = -\infty$ ,  $t_-( -\infty) \approx -0.57$ ,  $t_+(-\infty) \approx 0.57$ .



(D) Plot of  $\phi'(t) = R(t, \phi(t))$  where  $\phi$  is the solution shown on the left.



(E) The solution  $\phi$  for  $V_c = 10^{-10}$ ,  $\lambda_1 = 1/5$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$  with initial condition  $\phi(0) = 1$ . We have  $\delta = -0.95$ ,  $t_-(+\infty) \approx 1.01$ ,  $t_+(+\infty) \approx 1.08$ ,  $t_-( -\infty) \approx 1 - 0.4i$ ,  $t_+(-\infty) \approx 1 + 0.4i$ .



(F) Plot of  $\phi'(t) = R(t, \phi(t))$  where  $\phi$  is the solution shown on the left.

FIGURE 10. Plots of the solutions  $\phi$  of equation (78) and of their derivatives with the initial conditions listed for various values of  $V_c$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ .

**5.3. Linearization of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation when  $\phi$  blows up at a non-degenerate critical point.** The quasilinear equation (77) can be approximated by a linear equation in the special case when  $\phi$  tends to  $+\infty$  at the non-degenerate critical point  $c$  of  $V$ .

**Proposition 5.9.** *Suppose that  $\phi$  satisfies the quasilinear equation (77) and that we have  $\phi(x) \gg 1$  on a vicinity of the non-degenerate critical point  $c$  of  $V$ . Then  $\phi$  is an approximate solution of the following linear first order PDE:*

$$2s_2(x)\lambda_i x^i \partial_i \phi = s_3(x) , \quad (86)$$

which it satisfies up to corrections of order  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{e^{-2\phi}}{3V_c})$ .

*Proof.* Dividing both terms by  $3V_c e^{2\phi}$ , equation (77) can be written as:

$$\lambda_i s_2(x) \left[ t_i(x) \frac{e^{-2\phi}}{3V_c} + 2s_2(x)s_3(x) \right] x^i \partial_i \phi = -\lambda_1^3 \lambda_2^3 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 s_1(x) \frac{e^{-2\phi}}{3V_c} + s_2(x)s_3(x)^2$$

and the terms containing exponentials can be neglected when  $\phi(x) \gg 1$ .  $\square$

To find the solutions of (86), consider the polar coordinate system  $(r, \theta)$  defined through:

$$x_1 = r \cos \theta , \quad x_2 = r \sin \theta \quad (87)$$

and notice the relations:

$$\partial_1 = \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\sin \theta}{r} \partial_\theta , \quad \partial_2 = \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \partial_\theta ,$$

which imply:

$$x^1 \partial_1 \phi_0 = (\cos^2 \theta r \partial_r - \sin \theta \cos \theta \partial_\theta) \phi , \quad x^2 \partial_2 \phi_0 = (\sin^2 \theta r \partial_r + \sin \theta \cos \theta \partial_\theta) \phi . \quad (88)$$

**Proposition 5.10.** *Suppose that  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . Then the general solution of the linear equation (86) is:*

$$\phi(r, \theta) = \phi_0(\theta) + Q_0 \left( \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \log r + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \log |\cos \theta| - \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \log |\sin \theta| \right) , \quad (89)$$

where:

$$\phi_0(\theta) = \frac{1}{4} \log(\lambda_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + \lambda_2^2 \sin^2 \theta) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_2 \log |\cos \theta| - \lambda_1 \log |\sin \theta|}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \quad (90)$$

and  $Q_0$  is a function of a single variable which we take to be smooth.

Notice that the solution (89) need not be of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  if we take  $Q_0$  to be smooth. Figures 11, 12 and 13 show plots of the functions  $\phi_0$  and:

$$f(r, \theta) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \log r + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \log |\cos \theta| - \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \log |\sin \theta|$$

for a few choices of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ .




FIGURE 11. Plots of  $\phi_0$  and  $f$  for  $\lambda_1 = -1/5$  and  $\lambda_2 = 1$ .




FIGURE 12. Plots of  $\phi_0$  and  $f$  for  $\lambda_1 = -1$  and  $\lambda_2 = 1$ .




FIGURE 13. Plots of  $\phi_0$  and  $f$  for  $\lambda_1 = 1/5$  and  $\lambda_2 = 1$ .

*Proof.* The homogeneous equation associated to (86) is equivalent with:

$$\lambda_1 x^1 \partial_1 \phi + \lambda_2 x^2 \partial_2 \phi = 0 .$$

The change of variables  $x_i = \text{sign}(x_i) e^{\sigma_i}$  brings this equation to the form:

$$\lambda_1 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \sigma_1} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \sigma_2} = 0 .$$

Let:

$$\sigma_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\sigma_1 \pm \frac{1}{\lambda_2}\sigma_2 .$$

Changing coordinates from  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  to  $(\sigma_+, \sigma_-)$ , the homogeneous equation becomes  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \sigma_+} = 0$ , which means that  $\phi$  depends only on  $\sigma_-$ . Hence the general smooth solution of the homogeneous equation is:

$$\phi = Q_0\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\sigma_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_2}\sigma_2\right) = Q_0\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\log|x_1| - \frac{1}{\lambda_2}\log|x_2|\right) ,$$

where  $Q_0$  is a function which we take to be smooth. A particular solution  $\phi_0$  of the inhomogeneous equation can be obtained by requiring that  $\phi_0$  depends only on the angular coordinate  $\theta$ . Using relations (88), the inhomogeneous equation for  $\phi_0$  reduces to:

$$2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)s_2(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)(\cos \theta \sin \theta)\phi_0'(\theta) = s_3(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) ,$$

where we used the fact that  $s_k$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree two in  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . The last equation reads:

$$\phi_0'(\theta) = \frac{1}{2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)}R(\theta)$$

where:

$$R(\theta) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{s_3(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)}{s_2(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \cos \theta \sin \theta} = \frac{\lambda_1^3 \cos^2 \theta + \lambda_2^3 \sin^2 \theta}{(\lambda_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + \lambda_2^2 \sin^2 \theta) \cos \theta \sin \theta} .$$

This gives (90) up to an arbitrary constant which can be absorbed in  $Q_0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.11.** *Suppose that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 := \lambda$ . Then the linear equation (86) reduces to:*

$$x^i \partial_i \phi = \frac{1}{2} , \quad (91)$$

whose general solution is:

$$\phi(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \log r + \phi_0(\theta) , \quad (92)$$

where  $\phi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1)$  is a real-valued function of a single variable defined on the unit circle, which we take to be smooth.

*Proof.* Since  $s_k(x) = \lambda^k \|x_0\|^2$ , equation (86) reduces to (91), which takes the following form in polar coordinates:

$$r \partial_r \phi(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} . \quad (93)$$

The corresponding homogeneous equation is equivalent with:

$$\partial_r \phi = 0 ,$$

with general solution:

$$\phi = \phi_0(\theta) ,$$

where  $\phi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . The conclusion follows by noticing that  $\phi_0 = \frac{1}{2} \log r$  is a particular solution of the inhomogeneous equation.  $\square$

*Remark 5.12.* When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 := \lambda$ , we have  $t_1 = t_2 = 0$  and:

$$a_1(x, \phi) = a_2(x, \phi) = 6\lambda^8 V_c e^{2\phi} \|x\|_0^6 , \quad b(x, \phi) = 3\lambda^8 V_c e^{2\phi} \|x\|_0^6 .$$

Hence the quasilinear equation (77) with  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$  coincides with the linear first order PDE (91).



**5.4. Natural asymptotic conditions at a non-degenerate critical point with distinct principal values.** Suppose that  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . Defining:

$$Q(w) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} Q_0 \left( \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} w \right) ,$$

the general solution (89) of the linear equation (86) takes the form:

$$\phi(r, \theta) = \phi_0(\theta) + Q \left( \log r + \frac{\lambda_2 \log |\cos \theta| - \lambda_1 \log |\sin \theta|}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \right) .$$

This satisfies the condition  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \phi(r, \theta) = +\infty$  iff  $\lim_{w \rightarrow -\infty} Q(w) = +\infty$ . In this case, we have:

$$\phi \approx Q(\log r) \text{ for } r \ll 1 ,$$

so  $\phi$  becomes rotationally-invariant near the critical point. Since in this case  $\phi$  approximates a solution of the nonlinear PDE (53) when  $r \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that the scalar field metric  $\mathcal{G}$  defined by that solution of (53) becomes asymptotically rotationally-invariant near the critical point. For  $r \ll 1$ , the Gaussian curvature of this metric is:

$$K \approx -e^{-2\phi} \Delta \phi \approx -e^{-2\phi} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{d\phi}{dr} \right) = -e^{-2Q(\log r)} Q''(\log r) .$$

To further constrain  $Q$ , it is natural to require that the asymptotic Gaussian curvature be constant, i.e.  $K = K_c$  for some constant  $K_c$ . This gives the equation:

$$e^{-2Q(w)} Q''(w) = K_c .$$

It is also desirable that the metric  $\mathcal{G}$  be geodesically complete near the critical point (except at that point, which is not part of  $\mathcal{M}_0$ ), and we assume this from now on.

If we choose  $K_c = 0$ , then we can take  $Q(w) = -w$ , which gives:

$$\phi(r, \theta) \approx_{r \ll 1} -\log r$$

and:

$$ds^2 \approx_{r \ll 1} \frac{1}{r^2} (dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2) = d\rho^2 + d\theta^2 ,$$

where  $\rho \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} -\log r$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{G}$  asymptotes for  $r \ll 1$  to the metric on a flat cylinder.

If we choose  $K_c = -1$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  will asymptote at the critical point  $c$  to a hyperbolic metric defined near  $c$  which coincides with the hyperbolic cusp metric. In this case, we have:

$$ds^2 \approx \frac{1}{(r \log r)^2} (dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2) \tag{94}$$

for  $r \ll 1$  and:

$$Q(w) = -\log(|w|e^w) = -w - \log|w| \text{ i.e. } \phi(r, \theta) \approx_{r \ll 1} -\log(r \log(1/r)) . \tag{95}$$

When  $V$  is a Morse function defined on  $\mathcal{M}$ , relation (95) can be viewed as an asymptotic condition for the solution  $\phi$  of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation (53), to be imposed at each critical point where  $V$  has distinct principal values. In practice, this can be done approximately by choosing simple closed curves  $C_R(c)$  around each critical point  $c$  of  $V$  which correspond to Euclidean circles of radius  $R \ll 1$  centered at the origin in the isothermal coordinates centered at  $c$  and imposing the Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$\phi|_{C_R(c)} = -\log(R \log(1/R)) .$$

This formula inspired the somewhat idiosyncratic choice of boundary conditions made for the numerical examples contained in the paper.

Now suppose that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ . In this case, relation (92) gives:

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2} \log r + Q_0(\theta) \approx_{r \ll 1} \frac{1}{2} \log r ,$$

which tends to *minus* infinity when  $r \rightarrow 0$ . In this case,  $\phi$  need *not* approximate a solution of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation (53).

**5.5. Characteristics in the quasilinear approximation.** The quasilinear equation (77) can be solved locally by the method of characteristics, which in this case reduces to the Lagrange-Charpit method. The characteristic system is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx^i}{dt} &= a_i(x, u)x^i , \\ \frac{du}{dt} &= b(x, u) . \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

The Dirichlet problem asks for a solution of (77) which satisfies:

$$\phi(\gamma(q)) = \phi_0(q) \quad \forall q \in I ,$$

where  $\gamma : I \rightarrow U_0$  is a non-degenerate smooth curve and  $\phi_0 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a given smooth function. The Dirichlet data  $(\gamma, \phi_0)$  is called *regular* (or *noncharacteristic*) if the following condition is satisfied:

$$a_1(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q))\gamma_1'(q)\gamma_2'(q) - a_2(\gamma(q), \phi_0(q))\gamma_2(q)\gamma_1'(q) \neq 0 \quad \forall q \in I .$$

For regular data, the solution of the Dirichlet problem is obtained by computing the one-parameter family of solutions  $x(t, q)$ ,  $u(t, q)$  of (96) which satisfy the initial conditions:

$$x(0, q) = \gamma(q) , \quad u(0, q) = \phi_0(q) \quad \forall q \in I .$$

Then  $u$  is obtained by eliminating  $t$  and  $q$  between the equations:

$$x^i = x^i(t, q) , \quad u = u(t, q) ,$$

which produces a functional relation  $u = \phi(x^1, x^2)$ . The fact that the function obtained in this manner satisfies equation (77) follows from the relations:


$$b(x, u) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \partial_i \phi \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial t} = a_i(x, u)x^i \partial_i \phi .$$

**5.6. Numerical solutions and characteristics of the quasilinear equation near a critical point.** Let us illustrate the discussion above with the behavior of solutions of the quasilinear equation (77) and their characteristics. The potential is given by (72), where we take  $V_c > 0$  and where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are real numbers. We take the open set  $U_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  to be a domain contained inside the region where the potential is positive. In this case, the functions  $a_1, a_2$  and  $b$  are given explicitly by:


$$\begin{aligned} a_1(x_1, x_2, u) &= \lambda_1(\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2) \left[ 6e^{2u} V_c (\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2) (\lambda_1^3 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^3 x_2^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 x_2^2 [\lambda_1 (\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2) x_1^2 - 2\lambda_2^2 x_2^2] \right] \\ a_2(x_1, x_2, u) &= \lambda_2(\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2) \left[ 6e^{2u} V_c (\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2) (\lambda_1^3 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^3 x_2^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \lambda_2 \lambda_1^2 x_1^2 [2\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + (3\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \lambda_2 x_2^2] \right] \\ b(x_1, x_2, u) &= 3e^{2u} V_c (\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2) (\lambda_1^3 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^3 x_2^2)^2 \\ &\quad - \lambda_1^3 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 \lambda_2^3 x_1^2 x_2^2 (\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2) . \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

As before, we consider the Dirichlet condition  $\phi = -\log[R \log(1/R)]$  on a circle of radius  $R < 1$  centered at the origin. For the indicated values of  $V_c$ ,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  and  $R$ , Figures 14, 15, and 16 show the scalar potential, some characteristic curves of the quasilinear equation (77) and some solutions of its viscosity perturbation


for various values of the viscosity parameter  $\epsilon$ . The Dirichlet problem is irregular when  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ . In that case, the viscosity solution of the Dirichlet problem is constant; we do not consider this case in the figures.




(A) Contour plot of the potential.



(B) 3D plot of the potential.




(C) Projected characteristic curves.




(D) Viscosity approximant of the solution to the Dirichlet problem for  $\epsilon = e^{-8}$ .


FIGURE 14. The potential, characteristics and a viscosity approximant of the solution to the Dirichlet problem for a quasilinear equation with  $V_c = 1/90$ ,  $\lambda_1 = -1/5$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$  and  $R = 1/20$ .



(A) Contour plot of the potential.



(B) 3D plot of the potential.



(C) Projected characteristic curves.


(D) Viscosity approximant of the solution to the Dirichlet problem for the quasilinear equation with  $\mathfrak{c} = e^{-8}$ .

FIGURE 15. The potential, characteristics and a viscosity approximant of the solution to the Dirichlet problem for the quasilinear equation with  $V_c = 1/18$ ,  $\lambda_1 = -1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$  and  $R = 1/20$ .




FIGURE 16. The potential, characteristics and a viscosity approximant of the solution to the Dirichlet problem for the quasilinear equation with  $V_c = 10^{-2}$ ,  $\lambda_1 = 1/5$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$  and  $R = 1/20$ . All characteristic curves are followed for the same duration of their parameter up to limits imposed by the computational capacity of our system.

## 6. MODELS WITH 2-TORUS TARGET

In this section, we consider two-field cosmological models whose target is a 2-torus  $T^2$ . Such models appear in simple examples of the well-known setting of axion cosmology [59], for example in an M-theory axiverse [60] or in a string axiverse [61] realized as an orientifold compactification of type IIB string theory on a Calabi-Yau manifold which produces two axions in the uncompactified directions. We refer the reader to [62] for a review of axion cosmology. While models with two axions are only toy examples, they are interesting in the context of our consistency conditions, since the strong SRRT equation allows one, at least in principle, to determine the fiducial metrics for which strong rapid turn inflation is likely to be possible.

The scalar potential of axions is likely to be easier to determine in axiverse cosmology than their scalar field metric – which, in compactifications with low or broken supersymmetry, receives complicated corrections that tend to be hard to control. In this context, our approach of taking  $V$  as given and determining those scalar field metrics which are “good” for strong rapid turn inflation is natural.

Throughout this section, we fix an orientation of the target manifold  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{T}^2$ . Following our general method, we pass to the complex parameterization of the model by encoding the information carried by the scalar field metric into its volume form and the complex structure  $J$  determined by its conformal class. The latter makes  $\mathbb{T}^2$  into an elliptic curve which we denote by  $E$ , while the former determines and is determined by the conformal factor of the metric in a complex coordinate chart relative to the complex structure  $J$ . Since any elliptic curve can be presented as a quotient  $E = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$  of the complex plane by a full lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ , such a curve admits a global *periodic* complex coordinate which is induced by the natural complex coordinate  $z = x_1 + ix_2$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . This allows one to lift the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation to an equation defined on  $\mathbb{C}$  whose defining function is  $\Lambda$ -periodic. Moreover, the globally-defined solutions of the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation on  $E$  correspond to  $\Lambda$ -periodic solutions of this lifted equation. Using this observation, we discuss a simple class of exact solutions and the corresponding metrics on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . In principle, the problem of solving boundary or asymptotic condition problems for the lifted contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation can be approached by numerical methods. However, this requires implementations of the finite element method for equations with *periodic* boundary or asymptotic conditions, and we could not find such code that is publicly available.

**6.1. Riemannian metrics on the torus.** Recall that a Riemannian metric  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  determines and is determined by the following data:

- A complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  which makes the torus into an elliptic curve  $E$  of modulus  $\tau$  determined by  $J$ . In turn, this determines a presentation  $E_\tau = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a full lattice in the complex plane.
- A conformal factor  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

More precisely, any conformal structure on an oriented two-torus determines a complex coordinate  $z$  on the universal cover, thus presenting  $\mathbb{T}^2$  as a quotient:

$$\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda, \quad (98)$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a full lattice in  $\mathbb{C} \simeq_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^2$ . This coordinate is determined up to transformations of the form  $z \rightarrow az + b$ , where  $a, b$  are complex constants with  $a \neq 0$ . Equivalently,  $\Lambda$  is determined up to translations, rotations and homotheties of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . A presentation (98) determines a distinguished point  $o \in \mathbb{T}^2$  which corresponds to the origin of  $\mathbb{C}$  and its  $\Lambda$ -translates and hence endows  $\mathbb{T}^2$  with an Abelian group structure for which  $o$  is the zero element. If we require that the transformations which preserve the complex structure fix this point, then we must set  $b = 0$  in the transformation rule above. Then we can always choose  $a$  such that  $\Lambda$  admits a basis (as a free Abelian group) of the form  $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_2 = \tau$ , in which case  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$  is the modular parameter of the corresponding complex structure  $J$  on the torus. When endowed with this complex structure, the torus becomes the elliptic curve  $E$  of modulus  $\tau$ . The freedom of choosing  $e_2$  amounts to the action of the modular group  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  on  $\tau$  by fractional transformations:

$$\tau \rightarrow \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \quad \forall A := \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

A fundamental domain for this action is the well-known domain of definition of the modular  $j$ -function.

**6.2. Periodic isothermal coordinates.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a Riemannian metric on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  which belongs to the conformal class defined by  $J$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  be its lift<sup>10</sup> to the universal cover  $\mathbb{C}$  of  $E$ . The real and imaginary parts  $x_1 = \text{Re}z$  and  $x_2 = \text{Im}z$  of the complex

<sup>10</sup>For ease of notation, we will omit hats indicating lifts to the covering space in what follows.

coordinate  $z$  are global isothermal coordinates for  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  on  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ , which descend to coordinates on any open subset of  $\mathbb{T}^2$  obtained by choosing a fundamental domain, i.e. a basis for the lattice  $\Lambda$ . We can also view  $z$  and  $(x_1, x_2)$  as bi-periodic coordinates on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , though the period vectors need not be “aligned” with  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . In these coordinates, the metric  $\mathcal{G}$  has the form:

$$ds^2 = e^{2\phi(z, \bar{z})} |dz|^2 = e^{2\phi(x_1, x_2)} (dx_1^2 + dx_2^2) ,$$

where  $\phi$  is a  $\Lambda$ -periodic smooth positive function defined on  $\mathbb{C}$  (equivalently, a smooth positive function defined on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ ). We denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the Euclidean scalar product on  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\langle z, z' \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(\bar{z}z') = x_1x_1' + x_2x_2' \quad \forall z = x_1 + \mathbf{i}x_2, z' = x_1' + \mathbf{i}x_2' \in \mathbb{C} .$$

This determines a flat Riemannian metric  $\mathcal{G}_0$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ .

**6.3. Positive scalar potentials on the torus.** A scalar potential on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  lifts to a  $\Lambda$ -periodic smooth function  $V$  defined on  $\mathbb{C}$  which admits a Fourier expansion:

$$V(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{q \in \Lambda^*} V_q e^{2\pi \mathbf{i} \langle q, z \rangle} , \quad (99)$$

with

$$V_q \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} V(z, \bar{z}) e^{-2\pi \mathbf{i} \langle q, z \rangle} \operatorname{vol}_0 \quad (100)$$

for all  $q \in \Lambda^*$ , where

$$\Lambda^* \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{q \in \mathbb{C} \mid \langle q, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subset \mathbb{C} \quad (101)$$

is the lattice dual to  $\Lambda$ . Here  $\operatorname{vol}_0 = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$  is the volume form of the flat metric  $\mathcal{G}_0$ . Since  $V$  is square integrable on the compact manifold  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , the expansion (99) converges in the  $L^2$  norm defined by  $\mathcal{G}_0$ . Since we assume that  $V$  is smooth, the series is in fact convergent in the  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -norm for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$  and in particular is absolutely convergent. The Parseval formula gives:

$$\sum_{q \in \Lambda^*} |V_q|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |V(z, \bar{z})|^2 \operatorname{vol}_0 . \quad (102)$$

Since  $V$  is real, its Fourier modes must satisfy the relations:

$$\bar{V}_q = V_{-q} \quad \forall q \in \Lambda^* .$$

Writing

$$V_q = A_q e^{2\pi \mathbf{i} \alpha_q} , \quad \text{with } A_q \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} |V_q| \geq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_q \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} ,$$

these relations give:

$$A_{-q} = A_q \text{ and } \alpha_{-q} = -\alpha_q \quad \forall q \in \Lambda^*$$

and imply  $\alpha_0 = 0$ . The Parseval formula (102) becomes:

$$\sum_{q \in \Lambda^*} A_q^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |V(z, \bar{z})|^2 \operatorname{vol}_0 . \quad (103)$$

Since  $V$  is real, equation (99) implies:

$$V(z, \bar{z}) = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{q \in \Lambda^*} V_q e^{2\pi \mathbf{i} \langle q, z \rangle} = A_0 + \sum_{q \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} A_q \cos(2\pi[\langle q, z \rangle + \alpha_q]) , \quad (104)$$

where we used the fact that  $\alpha_0 = 0$ . The condition that  $V$  is positive amounts to:

$$A_0 > - \sum_{q \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} A_q \cos(2\pi[\langle q, z \rangle + \alpha_q]) .$$

**6.4. Lattice-adapted coordinates.** Choosing a basis  $(e_1, e_2)$  of  $\Lambda$ , we write  $z = \theta^1 e_1 + \theta^2 e_2$  with  $\theta^1, \theta^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Such real coordinates  $(\theta^1, \theta^2)$  on the universal cover of  $E$  are called *lattice-adapted coordinates*. Notice that a translation by a lattice vector  $\lambda = \lambda^1 e_1 + \lambda^2 e_2 \in \Lambda$  on the universal cover corresponds to  $\theta^i \rightarrow \theta^i + \lambda^i$ , where  $\lambda^i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In lattice-adapted coordinates, we have:

$$\langle q, z \rangle = q_1 \theta^1 + q_2 \theta^2 \quad \forall q \in \Lambda^* ,$$

where we defined:

$$q_i \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \langle q, e_i \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

In axion cosmology, the quantity  $q = (q_1, q_2)$  is called the *covector of charges* determined by  $q \in \Lambda^*$  in the basis  $(e_1, e_2)$  of  $\Lambda$ . In lattice-adapted coordinates, the Fourier expansion (104) reads:

$$V(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} A_{q_1, q_2} \cos(2\pi[q_1 \theta^1 + q_2 \theta^2 + \alpha_{q_1, q_2}]) , \quad (105)$$

where  $A_{q_1, q_2} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} A_q$  and  $\alpha_{q_1, q_2} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \alpha_q$ . The metric  $\mathcal{G}$  has the squared line element:

$$ds^2 = e^{2\varphi(\theta^1, \theta^2)} [ |e_1|^2 d\theta_1^2 + |e_2|^2 d\theta_2^2 + (e_1 \bar{e}_2 + e_2 \bar{e}_1) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 ] .$$

If we choose  $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_2 = \tau$ , then the last relation becomes:

$$ds^2 = \varphi(\theta^1, \theta^2) [ d\theta_1^2 + |\tau|^2 d\theta_2^2 + 2(\text{Re}\tau) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 ] .$$

**6.5. Charge-adapted coordinates.** Choosing a basis  $(e_1^*, e_2^*)$  of the dual lattice  $\Lambda^*$ , we can write  $q = n_1 e_1^* + n_2 e_2^*$  with  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then:

$$\langle q, z \rangle = n_1 s^1 + n_2 s^2 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} ,$$

where we defined:

$$s^i \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \langle e_i^*, z \rangle = \text{Re}(\bar{e}_i^* z) \in \mathbb{R} .$$

The real coordinates  $(s^1, s^2)$  on the universal cover are called *charge-adapted coordinates*. A translation by a lattice vector  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  on the universal cover corresponds to  $s^i \rightarrow s^i + \lambda_i$ , where  $\lambda_i \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \langle e_i^*, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The Fourier expansion (104) reads:

$$V(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} A_{n_1, n_2} \cos(2\pi[n_1 s^1 + n_2 s^2 + \alpha_{n_1, n_2}]) . \quad (106)$$

where  $A_{n_1, n_2} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} A_n$  and  $\alpha_{n_1, n_2} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \alpha_n$ .

*Remark 6.1.* In axion cosmology it is often assumed that only a finite number of the amplitudes  $A_q$  are nonzero. In that case, the sums above become finite.

**6.6. The strong SRRT equation and its lift to the covering space.** The discussion of Section 3 shows that the strong SRRT equation for torus models can be written as:

$$F(x_1, x_2, \phi, \partial_1 \phi, \partial_2 \phi) = 0 ,$$

where  $(x_1, x_2)$  are periodic isothermal coordinates and the contact Hamiltonian  $F$  is given in (52). This form of the equation is valid globally on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  if we interpret  $x^1, x^2$  as periodic coordinates on the torus. Equivalently, this equation can be viewed as an equation for the  $\Lambda$ -periodic function  $\hat{\phi} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \phi \circ \pi$ , where  $\pi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T^2 = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  is the quotient projection. In that case,  $F$  is viewed as a real-valued function defined on  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$  which is periodic under translations by vectors of the lattice  $\Lambda$ . Boundary and asymptotic condition problems for the contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation lift in the obvious manner to the covering space.



**6.7. A simple example.** Let us fix  $q \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $A > 0$ ,  $A_0 > A$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  and consider the positive *single charge potential*:

$$V(z, \bar{z}) = A_0 + A \cos(2\pi[\langle q, z \rangle + \alpha]) ,$$

whose critical points lie on the parallel lines:

$$L_+(n) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \langle q, z \rangle = -\alpha + n\} , \quad L_-(n) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \langle q, z \rangle = -\alpha + n + \frac{1}{2}\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

along which the potential attains its extremum values  $A_0 + A$  and  $A_0 - A$  respectively. These lines project to two parallel closed curves  $\mathcal{C}_+$  and  $\mathcal{C}_-$  on the torus which have the same rational slope. In particular, the potential  $V$  on the torus is of Bott-Morse type. Notice that  $V$  is invariant under translations of the form  $z \rightarrow z + t$  for any vector  $t \in \mathbb{R}^2$  which is  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -orthogonal to  $q$ , i.e. which satisfies  $\langle q, t \rangle = 0$ . Accordingly, the strong SRRT equation (49) is invariant under such translations and we can seek special solutions  $\phi$  which respect this symmetry, i.e. which satisfy:

$$\phi(z + t) = \phi(z) \quad \forall t \perp_0 q ,$$

where  $\perp_0$  indicates orthogonality with respect to the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Notice that the gradient flow lines of  $V$  are parallel to the vector  $q$ . The normalized gradient vector  $n_0 = \frac{\text{grad}_0 V}{\|\text{d}V\|_0}$  of  $V$  with respect to the flat metric  $\mathcal{G}_0$  is given on the noncritical set of the lift of  $V$  to the covering space  $\mathbb{C}$  of the torus by:

$$n_0(z) = \zeta(z)\hat{q} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}(L_+(n) \cup L_-(n)) ,$$

where  $\hat{q} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{q}{\|q\|_0}$  and:

$$\zeta(z) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} -\text{sign}[\sin(2\pi[\langle q, z \rangle + \alpha])]. \quad (107)$$

Let  $\tau_0(z) = \mathbf{i}n_0(z) = \zeta(z)q'$ , where  $q' \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathbf{i}q$ . This is the  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -normalized vector which is  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -orthogonal to  $n_0(z)$  such that  $(n_0(z), \tau_0(z))$  is a positively-oriented frame. Since  $q' \perp_0 q$ , translations which preserve  $V$  have the form  $t = \lambda q'$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $\partial_{\tau_0} \phi = 0$  and the strong SRRT equation (49) reduces to:

$$\tilde{H}_0^2 [\|\text{d}V\|_0 \partial_{n_0} \phi + \Delta_0 V - H_0] = 3e^{2\phi} V [\|\text{d}V\|_0 \partial_{n_0} \phi - H_0]^2 . \quad (108)$$

The components of the Riemannian  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -Hessian of  $V$  in the frame  $(n_0, \tau_0)$  are:

$$V_{n_0 \tau_0} = V_{\tau_0 n_0} = \partial_{n_0} \partial_{\tau_0} V = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V_{\tau_0 \tau_0} = \partial_{\tau_0}^2 V = 0$$

(which give  $\tilde{H}_0 = 0$ ) and:

$$H_0 = \text{Hess}_0(V)(n_0, n_0) = \partial_{n_0}^2 V = -(2\pi\|q\|_0)^2 A \cos(2\pi[\langle q, z \rangle + \alpha]) .$$

Hence (108) reduces to:

$$\|\text{d}V\|_0 \partial_{n_0} \phi = -(2\pi\|q\|_0)^2 A \cos(2\pi[\langle q, z \rangle + \alpha]) . \quad (109)$$

Since:

$$\|\text{d}V\|_0 = |\partial_{n_0} V| = 2\pi\|q\|_0 A |\sin(2\pi[\langle q, z \rangle + \alpha])| ,$$

equation (109) reads:

$$\partial_{\hat{q}} \phi(z) = 2\pi\|q\|_0 \cot(2\pi[\langle q, z \rangle + \alpha]) . \quad (110)$$

The general solution of (110) which satisfies  $\partial_{q'} \phi = 0$  is:

$$\phi = \log |\sin(2\pi[\langle q, z \rangle + \alpha])| + C , \quad (111)$$

where  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  is an integration constant. Notice that  $\phi$  has logarithmic singularities along the lines  $L_{\pm}(n)$ , which on the torus project to the two connected components  $\mathcal{C}_+$  and  $\mathcal{C}_-$  of the critical locus of  $V$ . Figure 17 shows the single charge potential and the solution (111) for the parameter values listed in the caption.




FIGURE 17. The single charge potential and symmetry-adapted solution (111) for the elliptic curve with  $\tau = 1 + i$  for  $A_0 = 1$ ,  $A = 1/3$ ,  $q_1 = 2$ ,  $q_2 = 3$  and  $\alpha = 1/3$ . We took  $e_1 = (1, 0)$ ,  $e_2 = (1, 1)$  and  $e_1^* = (1, -1)$ ,  $e_2^* = (0, 1)$ . The first two plots show these bases of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^*$ . The remaining plots take  $(x_1, x_2)$  to lie in the fundamental domain of  $\Lambda$ . The solution is given by  $\phi = \log |\sin [2\pi(2x_1 + x_2 + 1/3)]|$ , where we take the integration constant  $C$  to be zero.

## 7. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER DIRECTIONS

We extracted the frame-free form of the strong SRRT equation of two-field cosmological models with oriented target space derived in [26], showing that it gives a geometric PDE which relates the scalar field metric and potential of such models. Using the complex parameterization of the former, we showed that, when the potential and conformal class of the metric are fixed, this PDE reduces to a geometric contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the volume form of the scalar field metric, where the latter is viewed as a section of the positive determinant half-line bundle  $L_+$  of the target manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  of the model. This volume form thus plays the role of abstract Hamilton-Jacobi action for a geometric contact mechanical system defined on the total space of the first jet bundle of  $L_+$ , which is endowed with its Cartan contact structure.

In local isothermal coordinates defined by the complex structure  $J$  determined by the conformal class of the scalar field metric, this geometric PDE for the volume form becomes an ordinary contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the conformal factor. In such coordinates, the contact Hamiltonian is cubic in momenta, with coefficients which depend in a complicated but explicitly known manner on the scalar potential of the model. We analyzed this equation locally using the classical method of characteristics and studied its quasilinearization close to a non-degenerate critical point of the potential, discussing various qualitative features and asymptotics which are revealed by this approach.

Since the equation is nonlinear, its locally-defined classical solutions generally do not extend globally, as illustrated by the phenomenon of crossing characteristics. However, our contact Hamilton-Jacobi operator turns out to satisfy the properness condition of the theory of viscosity solutions, which allows us to apply that theory to our situation. In particular, one can use the viscosity perturbation of the equation in order to find numerical approximants of its viscosity solutions. We used this approach to compute approximants of the viscosity solution of the Dirichlet problem in a few simple cases of interest. Finally, we considered the case of two-field models with toroidal scalar manifold, which arise as toy models of axion cosmology. In this case, we found the general symmetry-adapted solution of our contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for potentials with a single charge vector.

The present work opens up numerous new questions and directions for further research. First, one can perform a similar analysis for the *weak* SRRT equation derived in [28] and [29], and we plan to do so in upcoming work. Second, one could write specialized code to compute efficiently solutions of our contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation for general Riemann surfaces of geometrically finite type (i.e. generally non-compact surfaces with finitely-generated fundamental group), and in particular bi-periodic viscosity solutions which are relevant to the case of modes with toroidal scalar manifold. Third, one could investigate the problem of existence and uniqueness of globally-defined viscosity solutions with prescribed asymptotics of the type described in Subsection 5.4. Such asymptotic condition problems seem to be natural in the setting of two-field scalar cosmology. Fourth, general lore suggests that the scale-invariant solutions of the quasilinear approximation of our contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation, which we investigated in Subsection 5.2, should play a distinguished role in a dynamical renormalization group approach to studying that equation, in line with the general ideology advocated in [20]. Last but not least, the geometric contact Hamilton-Jacobi equation derived in the present paper may deserve detailed mathematical study.

More ambitiously and for the longer term, one could aim to use the fiducial two-field models which satisfy the strong SRRT equation (and which can be determined numerically by computing appropriate viscosity solutions of our contact

Hamilton-Jacobi equation) in order to perform a systematic study of the class of two-field models which allow for “strong” rapid turn inflation, as a function of the scalar potential and of the topology of the target Riemann surface. Since the topology of non-compact Riemann surfaces can be quite nontrivial, carrying out this program requires the development of efficient software for computing viscosity solutions which satisfy appropriate periodicity conditions encoded by the fundamental polygon of such a surface. In principle, the results and methods of the present paper allow one to construct fiducial models for *any* strictly-positive and smooth scalar potential defined on a given scalar manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ . As a first instance, it would be interesting to do so for scalar potentials which satisfy the Morse condition and hence have isolated critical points.

Another direction of interest involves the systematic consideration of higher order corrections in the approximations which led to the result of [26]. This involves setting up an appropriate expansion scheme which would extend the strong SRRT equation by including corrections to all orders and in particular would contain (and widely generalize) the weak SRRT condition derived in references [28] and [29]. Analyzing the resulting conditions order by order should lead to a hierarchy of interesting geometric PDEs relating the conformal factor and scalar potential on a Riemann surface, when using the complex parameterization discussed in the present work.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by national grant PN 23210101/2023 and by a Maria Zambrano Excellency Fellowship. We also acknowledge funding by COST Action COSMIC WISPers CA21106, which is supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Obied, H. Ooguri, L. Spodyneiko, C. Vafa, *De Sitter Space and the Swampland*, arXiv:1806.08362 [hep-th].
- [2] S. Garg, C. Krishnan, *Bounds on Slow Roll and the de Sitter Swampland*, JHEP 11 (2019) 075, arXiv:1807.05193 [hep-th].
- [3] A. Achucarro, G. Palma, *The string swampland constraints require multi-field inflation*, JCAP 02 (2019) 041, arXiv:1807.04390 [hep-th].
- [4] R. Bravo, G. A. Palma, S. Riquelme, *A Tip for Landscape Riders: Multi-Field Inflation Can Fulfill the Swampland Distance Conjecture*, JCAP 02 (2020) 004, 1906.05772 [hep-th].
- [5] A. Brown, *Hyperinflation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 251601, arXiv:1705.03023 [hep-th].
- [6] S. Mizuno, S. Mukohyama, *Primordial perturbations from inflation with a hyperbolic field-space*, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 103533, arXiv:1707.05125 [hep-th].
- [7] P. Christodoulidis, D. Roest, E. Sfakianakis, *Angular inflation in multi-field  $\alpha$ -attractors*, JCAP 11 (2019) 002, arXiv:1803.09841 [hep-th].
- [8] S. Garcia-Saenz, S. Renaux-Petel, J. Ronayne, *Primordial fluctuations and non-Gaussianities in sidetracked inflation*, JCAP 1807 (2018) 057, arXiv:1804.11279 [astro-ph.CO].
- [9] A. Achucarro, E. Copeland, O. Iarygina, G. Palma, D.G. Wang, Y. Welling, *Shift-Symmetric Orbital Inflation: single field or multi-field?*, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 021302, arXiv:1901.03657 [astro-ph.CO].
- [10] T. Bjorkmo, *The rapid-turn inflationary attractor*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 251301, arXiv:1902.10529 [hep-th].
- [11] T. Bjorkmo, M. C. D. Marsh, *Hyperinflation generalised: from its attractor mechanism to its tension with the ‘swampland conditions’*, JHEP 04 (2019) 172, arXiv:1901.08603 [hep-th].
- [12] V. Aragam, S. Paban, R. Rosati, *The Multi-Field, Rapid-Turn Inflationary Solution*, JHEP 03 (2021) 009, arXiv:2010.15933 [hep-th].
- [13] P. Christodoulidis, R. Rosati, *(Slow-)Twisting inflationary attractors*, JCAP 09 (2023) 034, arXiv:2210.14900 [hep-th].
- [14] O. Iarygina, M.C.D. Marsh, G. Salinas, *Non-Gaussianity in rapid-turn multi-field inflation*, JCAP 03 (2024) 014, arXiv:2303.14156 [astro-ph.CO].

- [15] P. Christodoulidis, J.-O. Gong, *Enhanced power spectra from multi-field inflation*, arXiv:2311.04090 [hep-th].
- [16] L. Anguelova, *On Primordial Black Holes from Rapid Turns in Two-field Models*, JCAP 06 (2021) 004, arXiv:2012.03705 [hep-th].
- [17] L. Anguelova, *Primordial Black Hole Generation in a Two-field Inflationary Model*, Springer Proc. Math. Stat. 396 (2022) 193–202, arXiv:2112.07614 [hep-th].
- [18] V. Aragam, S. Paban, R. Rosati, *The Multi-Field, Rapid-Turn Inflationary Solution*, JHEP 03 (2021) 009, arXiv:2010.15933 [hep-th].
- [19] D. Chakraborty, R. Chiovoloni, O. Loaiza-Brito, G. Niz, I. Zavala, *Fat inflatons, large turns and the  $\eta$ -problem*, JCAP 01 (2020) 020, arXiv:1908.09797 [hep-th].
- [20] C. I. Lazaroiu, *Dynamical renormalization and universality in classical multifield cosmological models*, Nucl. Phys. B 983 (2022) 115940, arXiv:2202.13466 [hep-th].
- [21] E. M. Babalic, C. I. Lazaroiu, *The infrared behavior of tame two-field cosmological models*, Nucl. Phys. B 983 (2022) 115929, arXiv:2203.02297 [gr-qc].
- [22] C. I. Lazaroiu, *Natural observables and dynamical approximations in multifield cosmological models*, Int. J. Modern Physics A. 38 (2023) 32, 2343007, arXiv:2305.04367 [gr-qc].
- [23] L. Anguelova, E. M. Babalic, C. I. Lazaroiu, *Two-field Cosmological  $\alpha$ -attractors with Noether Symmetry*, JHEP 04 (2019) 148, arXiv:1809.10563 [hep-th].
- [24] L. Anguelova, E. M. Babalic, C. I. Lazaroiu, *Hidden symmetries of two-field cosmological models*, JHEP 09 (2019) 007, arXiv:1905.01611 [hep-th].
- [25] C. I. Lazaroiu, *Hesse manifolds and Hessian symmetries of multifield cosmological models*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 66 (2021) 2, 329–345, arXiv:2009.05117 [hep-th].
- [26] L. Anguelova, C. I. Lazaroiu, *Dynamical consistency conditions for rapid turn inflation*, JCAP 05 (2023) 020, arXiv:2210.00031 [hep-th].
- [27] L. Anguelova, C. I. Lazaroiu, *Consistency Condition for Slow-roll and Rapid-turn Inflation*, arXiv:2311.18683 [hep-th].
- [28] R. Wolters, O. Yaryghina, A. Achucarro, *Generalized conditions for rapid-turn inflation*, arXiv:2405.11628 [astro-ph.CO].
- [29] L. Anguelova, *On the Consistency of Rapid-Turn Inflation*, arXiv:2405.11595 [hep-th].
- [30] K. Grabowska, J. Grabowski, *A geometric approach to contact Hamiltonians and contact Hamilton-Jacobi theory*. J. Phys. A. 55 (2022) 435204, arXiv:2207.04484 [math.SG].
- [31] A. Bravetti, H. Cruz, D. Tapias, *Contact Hamiltonian mechanics*, Ann. Phys. 376 (2017) 17–39.
- [32] M. de Leon, M. Lainz, A. Muniz-Brea, *The Hamilton-Jacobi theory for contact Hamiltonian systems*, Mathematics 9 (2021) 1993.
- [33] Q. Liu, P. J. Torres, C. Wang, *Contact Hamiltonian dynamics: Variational principles, invariants, completeness and periodic behavior*, Ann. Phys. 395 (2018) 26–44.
- [34] M. de Leon, M. L. Valcazar, *Contact Hamiltonian systems*, J. Math. Phys. 60 (2019) 102902.
- [35] M. de Leon, M. Lainz, A. Lopez-Gordon, X. Rivas, *Hamilton-Jacobi theory and integrability for autonomous and non-autonomous contact systems*, J. Geom. Phys. 187 (2023) 104787.
- [36] M. L. Valcazar, M. de Leon, *Contact Hamiltonian systems*, J. Math. Phys., 60 (10) 102902, 2019.
- [37] X. R. Guijarro, *Geometrical aspects of contact mechanical systems and field theories*, Ph. D. thesis, arXiv:2204.11537 [math-ph].
- [38] A. A. Simoes, M. de Leon, M. L. Valcazar, D. M. de Diego, *Contact geometry for simple thermodynamical systems with friction*, Proc. Royal Soc. A 476 (2020) 2241, arXiv:2004.01989 [math-ph].
- [39] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Springer, 2nd edition, 1989.
- [40] H. Geiges, *An introduction to contact topology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 109, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [41] P. Libermann, C.-M. Marle, *Symplectic Geometry and Analytical Mechanics*, Mathematics and its Applications 35, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987.
- [42] M. G. Crandall, P.-L. Lions, *Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Transactions of the AMS 277 (1983) 1, 1–42.
- [43] M. G. Crandall, L. C. Evans, P.-L. Lions, *Some properties of viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Transactions of the AMS 282 (1984) 2, 487–502.
- [44] M. Bardi, I. Capuzzo-Dolcetta, *Optimal Control and Viscosity Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations*, Springer, 1997.
- [45] L. C. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 19, AMS, 2010.
- [46] H. V. Tran, *Hamilton-Jacobi equations: Viscosity solutions and applications*, [https://people.math.wisc.edu/htran24/HJequations-viscosity solutions and applications-v2.pdf](https://people.math.wisc.edu/htran24/HJequations-viscosity%20solutions%20and%20applications-v2.pdf).

- [47] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii, P.-L. Lions, *User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations*, Bulletin of the AMS 27 (1992) 1, 1–67.
- [48] M. G. Crandall, *Viscosity solutions: a primer*, in “Viscosity solutions and applications”, pp. 1–43, Springer, 1997.
- [49] N. Katzourakis, *An Introduction To Viscosity Solutions for Fully Nonlinear PDE with Applications to Calculus of Variations in  $L^\infty$* , Springer, 2014.
- [50] X. Su, L. Wang, J. Yan *Weak KAM solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations with decreasing dependence on unknown functions*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 36 (2016) 11, 6487–6522, arXiv:1805.04738 [math.DS].
- [51] K. Wang, L. Wang, J. Yan, *Aubry–Mather Theory for Contact Hamiltonian Systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. 366 (2019) 981–1023.
- [52] K. Wang, L. Wang, J. Yan, *Aubry–Mather Theory for Contact Hamiltonian Systems II*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 42 (2022) 2, 555–595, arXiv:2107.07088 [math.DS].
- [53] K. Wang, L. Wang, J. Yan, *Aubry–Mather Theory for Contact Hamiltonian Systems III*, to appear in Sci. China Math., arXiv:2312.03412 [math.DS].
- [54] L. C. Evans, *Weak KAM Theory and Partial Differential Equations*, in Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1927, Springer, 2008, 123–154.
- [55] A. Fathi, *The weak KAM theorem in Lagrangian dynamics*, <https://baladi.perso.math.cnrs.fr/fathidea.pdf>.
- [56] P. J. Olver, *Equivalence, invariants and symmetry*, Cambridge U.P., 1995.
- [57] B. Kruglikov, B. Lychagin, *Geometry of differential equations*, Handbook of Global Analysis 2008, 725–771.
- [58] I. S. Krasilshchik, A. M. Vinogradov (eds), *Symmetries and Conservation Laws for Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics*, Trans. Math. Monographs 182, A.M.S., 1999.
- [59] A. Arvanitaki, S. Dimopoulos, S. Dubovsky, N. Kaloper, J. March-Russell, *String Axiverse*, Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010) 123530, arXiv:0905.4720 [hep-th].
- [60] B. S. Acharya, K. Bobkov, P. Kumar, *An M Theory Solution to the Strong CP Problem and Constraints on the Axiverse*, JHEP 1011 (2010) 105, arXiv:1004.5138.
- [61] M. Cicoli, M. D. Goodsell, A. Ringwald, *The type IIB string axiverse and its low-energy phenomenology*, JHEP 10 (2012) 146, arXiv:1206.0819 [hep-th].
- [62] D. E. Marsh, *Axion Cosmology*, Phys. Rep. 643 (2016) 1–79.
- [63] P. Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 2006.
- [64] D. J. Saunders, *The Geometry of Jet Bundles*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [65] C. I. Lazaroiu, C. S. Shahbazi, *Generalized two-field  $\alpha$ -attractor models from geometrically finite hyperbolic surfaces*, Nucl. Phys. B 936 (2018) 542–596, arXiv:1702.06484 [hep-th].
- [66] C. I. Lazaroiu, E. M. Babalic, *Generalized  $\alpha$ -Attractor Models from Elementary Hyperbolic Surfaces*, Adv. Math. Phys. 2018 (2018) 7323090, 1703.01650 [hep-th].
- [67] C. I. Lazaroiu, E. M. Babalic, *Generalized two-field  $\alpha$ -attractors from the hyperbolic triply-punctured sphere*, Nuclear Physics B 937 (2018) 434–477.
- [68] J. Palis Jr., W. De Melo, *Geometric theory of dynamical systems: an introduction*, Springer, New York, U.S.A., 2012.
- [69] A. Katok, B. Hasselblatt, *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, Cambridge U.P., 1995.
- [70] J. Jost, *Compact Riemann surfaces* (third. ed.), Springer, 2006.
- [71] A. A. Melikyan, *Generalized characteristics of first order PDEs*, Springer, 1998.

<sup>1</sup>HORIA HULUBEI NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR R&D IN PHYSICS AND NUCLEAR ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS, REACTORULUI 30, BUCHAREST-MAGURELE, 077125, ROMANIA, MBABALIC@THEORY.NIPNE.RO, LCALIN@THEORY.NIPNE.RO, VICTOR.SLUPIC@THEORY.NIPNE.RO,  
<sup>2</sup>DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICAS, UNIVERSIDAD UNED - MADRID, CALLE DE JUAN DEL ROSAL 10, 28040, MADRID, SPAIN, CLAZAROIU@MAT.UNED.ES