

TENSOR PRODUCT WEIGHT MODULES OVER THE AFFINE-VIRASORO ALGEBRA

QIU-FAN CHEN AND YU-FENG YAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the tensor products of irreducible highest weight modules with irreducible loop modules over the affine-Virasoro algebra with aid of the “shifting technique” established for the Virasoro algebra in [3]. All such tensor product modules are indecomposable modules with infinite-dimensional weight spaces. Moreover, we obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions for such tensor product modules to be irreducible. Therefore, we obtain a class of new irreducible weight modules over the affine-Virasoro algebra. Finally, the necessary and sufficient conditions for any two such tensor product modules to be isomorphic are also determined.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notations and preliminaries	3
3. Indecomposable weight modules	7
4. Irreducibility of tensor product weight modules	10
5. Isomorphism classes of the tensor product modules	19
References	22

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, we denote by \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{C}^* , \mathbb{Z}_+ , \mathbb{N} the sets of complex numbers, integers, nonzero complex numbers, nonnegative integers and positive integers, respectively. All

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B10, 17B65, 17B67, 17B68.

Key words and phrases. affine-Virasoro algebra, tensor product, highest weight module, loop module.

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12271345 and 12071136).

algebras (modules, vector spaces) are assumed to be over \mathbb{C} . For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we use $U(\mathfrak{g})$ to denote the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ and $\mathbb{C}[t]$ be the algebras of Laurent polynomials and of polynomials in one indeterminate t , respectively.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra equipped with the Killing form $(\cdot | \cdot)$. Associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, (\cdot | \cdot))$, we have the corresponding untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Affine Lie algebras are the most useful ones among infinite-dimensional Kac-Moody Lie algebras, so that they are extensively studied, such as representation theory [22], vertex operator algebra theory [23] and conformal field theory [13]. It is worth noting that their representation theory is as rich as but quite different to that of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras. Denote by \mathcal{V} the Virasoro algebra, which is a central extension of the Lie algebra of complex vector fields on the circle. The algebra \mathcal{V} is one of the most important Lie algebras both in mathematics and in mathematical physics, see for example [17, 21] and references therein. The Virasoro algebra acts on any (except when the level is negative the dual Coxeter number) highest weight module of the affine Lie algebra through the use of the famous Sugawara operators. Conversely, the affine Lie algebras admit representations on the Fock space and hence admit representations of the Virasoro algebra. This close relationship strongly suggests that they should be considered simultaneously, i.e., as one algebraic structure, and hence has led to the definition of the so-called affine-Virasoro algebra $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is known that in literature this algebra is also named the conformal current algebra [9, 19], the entire gauge algebra [11]. The physical context in which the affine-Virasoro algebra appears is a two-dimensional conformal field theory on the circle with an internal symmetry algebra. In particular, the even part of the $N = 3$ superconformal algebra [8] is just $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We know that physical applications of algebraic structure usually appear through representations. In recent years, the representation theory of affine-Virasoro algebras generally captures the attention of people. Highest weight representations, integrable representations and $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -free representations of the affine-Virasoro algebras have been extensively studied (cf. [2, 5, 10, 18, 25, 32]). Especially, in [24], the authors gave a complete classification of irreducible quasi-finite $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. It is shown that they are all highest weight modules, lowest weight modules and the loop modules. Recently, the author classified a class of irreducible $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules on which each weight vector of the positive parts acts locally finitely in [29].

It is well-known that an important way to construct new modules over an algebra is to consider the linear tensor product of known modules over the algebra (cf. [3, 4, 6, 7, 15,

30, 31, 33]). In the paper [33], Zhang considered the tensor products of irreducible highest weight modules with irreducible intermediate series modules, and he provided some sufficient conditions for the tensor products to be irreducible. It was even not known in [33] whether there was a non-simple tensor product when the highest weight module is not a Verma module until [3]. By using the so-called “shifting technique” and Feigin-Fuchs’ theorem, the authors [3] gave the necessary and sufficient conditions for such tensor products to be irreducible. The idea was exploited and generalized to consider such tensor products over infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, such as the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra [27], the mirror Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra [14], the Neveu-Schwarz algebra [34], the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra [26] and the untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebra [16]. The aim of this paper is to study the tensor product modules of irreducible highest weight modules with irreducible loop modules over the affine-Virasoro algebra $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall notations related to the affine-Virasoro algebra $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ and collect some known results on highest weight modules and loop modules over $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$. We also introduce the “shifting technique” for later use. In Section 3, we prove that the tensor product modules of irreducible highest weight modules with irreducible loop modules over $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ are indecomposable. Section 4 is devoted to determining the necessary and sufficient conditions for such tensor product modules to be irreducible. In order to illustrate our main theorem, some examples are given. Consequently, we obtain a class of new irreducible weight $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules with infinite-dimensional weight spaces. In Section 5, we show that two such tensor product modules are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding highest weight modules and loop modules are isomorphic, respectively.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some notations and collect some known results related to the affine-Virasoro algebra.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra with a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} . Then \mathfrak{g} has a root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus (\oplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha})$, where Δ is the root system determined by \mathfrak{h} , and \mathfrak{g}_{α} is the root space corresponding to the root $\alpha \in \Delta$ with $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = 1$. Denote by Δ_+ and Δ_- the set of positive roots and negative roots, respectively. Then $\Delta_- = -\Delta_+$ and $\Delta = \Delta_+ \cup \Delta_-$. Let $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm} = \oplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$. Clearly, \mathfrak{g} admits a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ in the sense of [28]. Let Λ^+ denote the set of the integral dominant weights of \mathfrak{g} , and $(\cdot | \cdot)$ the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} normalized by the condition $(\theta | \theta) = 2$, where

$\theta \in \Delta_+$ is the highest root. Let ρ be the half-sum of positive roots of \mathfrak{g} . For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, denote $c_\lambda = (\lambda + 2\rho | \lambda)$ for simplicity, which is the eigenvalue of the Casimir operator acts on the simple \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight λ . Especially, the number $g = \frac{1}{2}c_\theta$ is called the dual Coxeter number and it is a positive integer.

The *untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras* $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a central extension of the loop algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ defined by the following Lie brackets:

$$[x \otimes t^m, y \otimes t^n] = [x, y] \otimes t^{m+n} + m(x | y)\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{k}, \quad [\mathbf{k}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}] = 0, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For convenience, we will denote $x \otimes t^m$ by $x(m)$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Particularly, for $m = 0$, we identify $x(0)$ with x . Denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_\pm = \mathfrak{n}_\pm \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{\pm 1}\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ has a structure of triangular decomposition $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_- \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_+$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$.

The *Virasoro algebra* \mathcal{V} is a central extension of the Lie algebra $\text{Der } \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ with the following Lie brackets:

$$[d_m, d_n] = (n - m)d_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \mathbf{c}, \quad [\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{V}] = 0, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $d_m = t^{m+1} \frac{d}{dt}$. Let $\mathcal{V}_\pm = \bigoplus_{\pm n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}d_n$ and $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathbb{C}d_0 + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$ (a Cartan subalgebra of \mathcal{V}), then \mathcal{V} carries a structure of triangular decomposition $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_- \oplus \mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_+$.

Definition 2.1. (*cf.* [19]) Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra. The affine-Virasoro Lie algebra corresponding to \mathfrak{g} is the algebra

$$\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rtimes \mathcal{V} = (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(n)) \oplus (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}d_n) \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$$

and subject to the following Lie brackets:

$$[x(m), y(n)] = [x, y](m + n) + m(x | y)\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{k},$$

$$[d_m, d_n] = (n - m)d_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \mathbf{c},$$

$$[d_n, x(m)] = mx(m + n),$$

$$[\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}] = 0, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

By definition, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{V}_0 + \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ admits a triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_- \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_+,$$

where $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_{\pm} = \mathcal{V}_{\pm} + \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_{\pm}$. In addition, $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a \mathbb{Z} -gradation with respect to the adjoint action of d_0 :

$$\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_n, \quad [\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_n, \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_m] \subset \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_{n+m}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_0 = \mathfrak{g} + \mathbb{C}d_0 + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_n = \mathfrak{g}(n) + \mathbb{C}d_n$ for $n \neq 0$. A nonzero element x in $U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is called homogeneous if $[d_0, x] = mx$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and m is called the *degree* of x , denoted by $\deg(x) = m$. Let $U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}))_m$ be the subspace generated by all elements of degree m .

Definition 2.2. Keep notations as before.

- (1) A module V over $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ is called a *weight module* with respect to \mathcal{H} if $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{H}^*} V_{\lambda}$, where

$$V_{\lambda} = \{v \in V \mid hv = \lambda(h)v, \forall h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

- (2) If a weight $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module V is generated by a one-dimensional $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_+$ -module on which $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_+$ acts trivially, then V is called a *highest weight module*.

Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $l, k, c \in \mathbb{C}$, we define a one-dimensional $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_+$ -module $\mathbb{C}u$ by

$$\begin{aligned} hu &= \lambda(h)u, \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}, \\ d_0u &= lu, \quad \mathbf{k}u = ku, \quad \mathbf{c}u = cu, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}u &= 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta_+, \\ x(n)u &= d_nu = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

The Verma module over $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined by

$$M(\lambda, l, k, c) = U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})) \otimes_{U(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_+)} \mathbb{C}u.$$

One knows that $M(\lambda, l, k, c)$ has a unique maximal submodule $J(\lambda, l, k, c)$, and the corresponding irreducible quotient module is denoted by $L(\lambda, l, k, c)$. We will use u, \bar{u} to denote the highest weight vector of $M(\lambda, l, k, c)$ and $L(\lambda, l, k, c)$, respectively. A nonzero weight vector $v \in M(\lambda, l, k, c)$ is called a singular vector if $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_+v = 0$.

Similarly, we shall denote by $M_{\mathcal{V}}(l, c)$ the Verma module over the Virasoro algebra \mathcal{V} , by $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\lambda, k)$ the Verma module over the untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $u_{l,c}, u_{\lambda,k}$ be the respective highest weight vectors of $M_{\mathcal{V}}(l, c), M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\lambda, k)$. Let $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\lambda, k), L_{\mathcal{V}}(l, c)$ denote the corresponding irreducible quotient modules of $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\lambda, k)$ and $M_{\mathcal{V}}(l, c)$, respectively.

A \mathcal{V} -module $M_{\mathcal{V}}(l, c)$ can be viewed as an $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module by defining trivial $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -action and we denote by $M_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l, c)$ the resulting $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Take a basis $\{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \dim \mathfrak{g}\}$ and its dual basis $\{y_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \dim \mathfrak{g}\}$ of \mathfrak{g} with respect to the Killing form $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$, i.e., $(x_i \mid y_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for any i, j . For any $k \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we define the linear operators

$$T_n = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i : x_i(-j) y_i(j+n) :$$

on the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\lambda, k)$, where

$$: x_i(-j) y_i(j+n) : \triangleq \begin{cases} x_i(-j) y_i(j+n), & \text{if } -j \leq j+n; \\ y_i(j+n) x_i(-j), & \text{if } -j > j+n. \end{cases}$$

It follows from [19] that

$$\begin{aligned} [T_m, T_n] &= (n-m)(k+g)T_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{m^3-m}{12} (\dim \mathfrak{g})(k+g)k, \\ [T_n, x(m)] &= (k+g)mx(m+n), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

For the case $k \neq -g$, $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\lambda, k)$ becomes an $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module by the following Sugawara operators

$$\begin{aligned} d_m &\mapsto \frac{1}{k+g} T_m, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ x(n) &\mapsto x(n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \mathbf{c} &\mapsto \frac{k(\dim \mathfrak{g})}{k+g}, \\ \mathbf{k} &\mapsto k. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k)$ the resulting $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

Let $L(\mu)$ be the finite dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module with the highest weight $\mu \in \Lambda^+$ and the associated highest weight vector v_μ . Now we recall from [10] the irreducible loop modules $L_{a,b}(\mu) = L(\mu) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ over $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. The action of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ on the module $L_{a,b}(\mu)$ is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} d_m(v \otimes t^n) &= (a + bm + n)v \otimes t^{m+n}, \\ x(m)(v \otimes t^n) &= (xv) \otimes t^{m+n}, \\ \mathbf{k}(v \otimes t^n) &= \mathbf{c}(v \otimes t^n) = 0, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in L(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $L_{a,b}(\mu) \cong L_{a+n,b}(\mu)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. To avoid repetition, throughout the paper, we always assume that $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} a < 1$, where $\operatorname{Re} a$ is the real part of a . It has been shown in [24] that any irreducible $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with finite-dimensional weight spaces with respect to d_0 is a highest weight module, a lowest weight module, or isomorphic to some $L_{a,b}(\mu)$.

Form the tensor product $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L_{a,b}(\mu)$, which is a weight module and all weight spaces are infinite-dimensional,

$$(2.1) \quad (L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L_{a,b}(\mu))_{a+l+n} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (L(\lambda, l, k, c)_{l-i} \otimes (L(\mu) \otimes t^{n+i})).$$

Now we use the “shifting technique”. Define a new action of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ on the vector space $L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b} = L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} d_m(P\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^n) &= (d_m + a + n - \deg(P) + bm)P\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^{m+n}, \\ x(m)(P\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^n) &= (x(m)P\bar{u} \otimes v + P\bar{u} \otimes xv) \otimes t^{m+n}, \\ \mathbf{k}(P\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^n) &= k(P\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^n), \quad \mathbf{c}(P\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^n) = c(P\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^n), \end{aligned}$$

where $v \in L(\mu)$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and homogeneous element $P \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)$. It is not hard to check that $L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b}$ is an $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module and $L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L_{a,b}(\mu) \cong L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b}$ via the following map:

$$(2.3) \quad L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L_{a,b}(\mu) \longrightarrow L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b}, \quad P\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^n \longmapsto P\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^{n+\deg(P)}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and homogeneous element $P \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)$.

Henceforth we will consider the module $L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b}$ instead of $L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L_{a,b}(\mu)$. Clearly, $L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b}$ is a weight module. The key advantage of the “shifting technique” of the notation $L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^n$ is the weight space decomposition with respect to d_0 , i.e.,

$$L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^n = \{x \in L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b} \mid d_0 x = (a + l + n)x\}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which differs from (2.1).

3. INDECOMPOSABLE WEIGHT MODULES

Lemma 3.1. *Keep notations as before, then as an $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, $L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b}$ is generated by $\{\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.*

Proof. One can observe that $L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b}$ is spanned by $\{x\bar{u} \otimes yv_\mu \otimes t^m \mid x \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-), y \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-), m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let M be the $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module generated by $\{\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We need to show that $M = L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b}$. It suffices to prove that

$$y\bar{u} \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^m \in M, \quad \forall y \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-), m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using (2.2), we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(n)(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m) = \bar{u} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_\alpha v_\mu \otimes t^{m+n}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \Delta_-,$$

from which one can inductively show that $\{u \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset M$. This along with

$$d_{-n}(\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^m) = (d_{-n} + a + m - bn)\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^{m-n}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}, v \in L(\mu)$$

gives rise to $\{d_{-n}\bar{u} \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset M$. Inductively, we get

$$(3.1) \quad \{U(\mathcal{V}_-)\bar{u} \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset M.$$

For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $y \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)$, $v \in L(\mu)$, we have

$$x(-n)(y\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^m) = (x(-n)y\bar{u} \otimes v + y\bar{u} \otimes xv) \otimes t^{m-n}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ or $n = 0$, $x \in \mathfrak{n}_-$. Combining these with (3.1), one can show the lemma holds by induction. \square

The following theorem shows that any $L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b}$ is an indecomposable $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module provided that $\mu \neq 0$.

Theorem 3.2. *Keep notations as before, and in addition we assume that $\mu \neq 0$, then $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b}) \cong \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Let $\eta \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(L_{\lambda,l,k,c}^{\mu,a,b})$. Take any but fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\eta(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m)$ and $\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m$ have the same weight with respect to d_0 , we can write

$$\eta(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m) = \sum_{i=1}^r P_i \bar{u} \otimes v_i \otimes t^m$$

with $0 \neq v_i \in L(\mu)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, and homogeneous elements $P_1, \dots, P_r \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)$ such that $0 \geq \deg P_1 \geq \dots \geq \deg P_r$ and $P_1 u, \dots, P_r u$ are linearly independent. The nontriviality of $L(\mu)$ ensures that $xv_1 \neq 0$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let us take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large. It follows

from direct calculation that $((a + m + n + bn)y(2n) - d_n y(n))(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m) = 0$ for any $y \in \mathfrak{g}$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \eta(((a + m + n + bn)y(2n) - d_n y(n))(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m)) \\ &= ((a + m + n + bn)y(2n) - d_n y(n))(\eta(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m)) \\ &= ((a + m + n + bn)y(2n) - d_n y(n))\left(\sum_{i=1}^r P_i \bar{u} \otimes v_i \otimes t^m\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (\deg P_i) P_i \bar{u} \otimes v_i \otimes t^{m+2n}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with the assumption $\mu \neq 0$ yields that $\deg P_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. Therefore,

$$\eta(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m) = \sum_{i=1}^r P_i \bar{u} \otimes v_i \otimes t^m \quad \text{with } \deg P_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, r,$$

which combined with

$$\eta(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(n)(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m)) = \mathfrak{g}_\alpha(n)(\eta(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m)), \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta_+, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

gives $\sum_{i=1}^r P_i \bar{u} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_\alpha v_i \otimes t^{m+n} = 0$. In view of the linear independence of $P_i \bar{u}, i = 1, \dots, r$, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha v_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \forall \alpha \in \Delta_+.$$

That is, $\eta(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m) = P\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m$ with $\deg P = 0$. Since $\eta(h(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m)) = h(\eta(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m))$, we have $hP\bar{u} = \lambda(h)P\bar{u}$ for any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. This implies that $P\bar{u} \in \mathbb{C}\bar{u}$. Hence, there exist $c_m \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\eta(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m) = c_m(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It suffices to show that c_m are complex constants independent of m for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(a + m + bn)c_{m+n}\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^{m+n} \\ &= \eta(d_n(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m)) \\ &= d_n \eta(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^m) \\ (3.2) \quad &= (a + m + bn)c_m \bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^{m+n}. \end{aligned}$$

We divided that following discussion into two cases.

Case 1: $b \neq 0$.

Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. There is at most one positive integer n_0 such that $a + m + bn_0 = 0$. It follows from (3.2) that $c_m = c_{m+n}$ for any positive integer $n \neq n_0$. We can replace m with $m + n_0$, so that $c_{m+n_0} = c_{m+n_0+n}$ for any positive integer $n \neq n_1$. This implies that $c_m = c_{m+n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is arbitrary, we have $c_m = c_p$ for any $m, p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Case 2: $b = 0$.

If $a \neq 0$, then $a + m \neq 0$. It follows from (3.2) that $c_m = c_{m+n}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $c_m = c_p$ for any $m, p \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $a = 0$, It follows from (3.2) that $c_m = c_{m+n}$ for any $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, which also yields that $c_m = c_p$ for any $m, p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In conclusion, $c_m = c$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, the desired result follows directly from Lemma 3.1. \square

4. IRREDUCIBILITY OF TENSOR PRODUCT WEIGHT MODULES

In this section, we always assume $0 \neq \mu \in \Lambda^+$. We shall obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the tensor product modules $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$ over $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be irreducible. We first give the following crucial lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *For any nonzero $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule W of $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$, we have $L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^n \subseteq W$ for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Denote $L = L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$ and $L_n = L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^n$ for convenience. Since L is a weight module, there exist subspaces $W_n \subseteq L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $W = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n \otimes t^n$. Choose any n' such that $W_{n'} \neq 0$ and take a nonzero $w \in W_{n'}$. Write

$$(4.1) \quad w = \sum_{i=1}^r P_i \bar{u} \otimes v_i$$

with $0 \neq v_i \in L(\mu)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, and homogeneous elements $P_1, \dots, P_r \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)$ such that $0 \geq \deg P_1 \geq \dots \geq \deg P_r$ and $P_1 \bar{u}, \dots, P_r \bar{u}$ are linearly independent. Obviously, there exists $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\mathfrak{g}(m)(P_i \bar{u}) = 0$ and $d_m(P_i \bar{u}) = 0, m \geq q$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. If there is v_i , without loss of generality we assume v_1 , in the expression (4.1) so that $v_1 \notin \mathbb{C} \bar{u}$, then v_1 can not be annihilated by the whole positive part of \mathfrak{g} , that is, $xv_1 \neq 0$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{n}_+$.

Then we have

$$x(q)(w \otimes t^{n'}) = x(q) \left(\sum_{i=1}^r P_i \bar{u} \otimes v_i \otimes t^{n'} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r P_i \bar{u} \otimes xv_i \otimes t^{n'+q},$$

which is nonzero by the linear independence of $P_i \bar{u}, i = 1, \dots, r$. Repeating this process, we can obtain a nonzero element $w' = \sum_{i=1}^r P_i \bar{u} \otimes v'_i \in W_{n''}$ with either $0 \neq v'_i \in \mathbb{C} \bar{u}$ or $v'_i = 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $w' = \alpha \otimes v_\mu \in W_{n''}$ for some nonzero $\alpha \in L(\lambda, k, c, l)$. In fact, α is a linear combination of $P_1 \bar{u}, \dots, P_r \bar{u}$. By replacing w with w' and n' with n'' , we may assume that $w = \alpha \otimes v_\mu \in W_{n'}$.

Claim 1. $Q_1 \bar{u} \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^n \subseteq W$ for all sufficiently large n , where Q_1 is a homogeneous element in $U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)$.

Since $L(\mu)$ is a finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module with the highest weight vector v_μ , $L(\mu)$ has a basis consisting of only finitely many vectors in the form $X_1 v_\mu, \dots, X_s v_\mu$, where $X_i \in U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$. Combining this with

$$x(m)(\alpha \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^{n'}) = \alpha \otimes x v_\mu \otimes t^{n'+m}, \quad \forall m \geq q, x \in \mathfrak{n}_- \cup \mathfrak{h},$$

we have

$$(4.2) \quad \alpha \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^n \subseteq W \quad \text{for all sufficiently large } n.$$

Among all nonzero $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^r Q_i \bar{u} \in L(\lambda, l, k, c)$ satisfying (4.2), where $Q_1, \dots, Q_r \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)$ are homogeneous elements with $0 \geq \deg Q_1 > \dots > \deg Q_r$, we can choose α with r being minimal. For $m, n, p > -\deg Q_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_m d_n(\alpha \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^p) &= \sum_{i=1}^r (a + p + nb - \deg Q_i)(a + p + n + mb - \deg Q_i) Q_i u \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^{p+m+n}, \\ d_{m+n}(\alpha \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^p) &= \sum_{i=1}^r (a + p - \deg Q_i + b(m+n)) Q_i u \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^{p+m+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any $1 \leq j \leq r$, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} &(a + p - \deg Q_j + b(m+n)) d_m d_n(\alpha \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^p) - (a + p + nb - \deg Q_j) \\ &\quad \cdot (a + p + n + mb - \deg Q_j) d_{m+n}(\alpha \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^p) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r ((a + p - \deg Q_j + b(m+n))(a + p + nb - \deg Q_i)(a + p + n + mb - \deg Q_i) \\ &\quad - (a + p + nb - \deg Q_j)(a + p + n + mb - \deg Q_j)(a + p - \deg Q_i + b(m+n))) \\ &\quad \cdot Q_i u \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^{p+m+n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^r (\deg Q_j - \deg Q_i) (b^2(m^2 + mn + n^2) + bmn + b(2a + 2p - \deg Q_i - \deg Q_j)(m + n)) \\
&\quad + (a + p - \deg Q_i)(a + p - \deg Q_j) Q_i u \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^{p+m+n} \subseteq W.
\end{aligned}$$

If $r > 1$, by the minimality of r , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&b^2(m^2 + mn + n^2) + bmn + b(2a + 2p - \deg Q_i - \deg Q_j)(m + n) \\
&\quad + (a + p - \deg Q_i)(a + p - \deg Q_j) = 0, \quad \forall i \neq j, m, n, p > -\deg Q_r,
\end{aligned}$$

from which one can deduce that $b = 0$ and $(a + p - \deg Q_i)(a + p - \deg Q_j) = 0$, which is impossible. Consequently, $r = 1$ and the claim follows.

Now we suppose that $Q_1 \bar{u} \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^m \subseteq W$ for all $m \geq n_1$ with $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is fixed. There exists some homogeneous $x \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\deg Q_1}$ such that $x(Q_1 \bar{u}) = u$ since $L(\lambda, l, k, c)$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. This along with (2.2) forces $\bar{u} \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^n \subseteq W$ for all sufficiently large n . Proceeding by downward induction on $\deg P, P \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)$, one can easily deduce that $P \bar{u} \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^n \subseteq W$ for all homogeneous $P \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)$ and sufficiently large n . We complete the proof. \square

From the proof of the above lemma, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.2. *Let W be a submodule of $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\bar{u} \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^n \subseteq W$ for all $n \geq n_0$, then $L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^n \subseteq W$ for all $n \geq n_0$.*

Notice that $U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-) = U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]) \otimes U(\mathcal{V}_-) \otimes U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$. Fix any $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} a < 1$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a linear map ψ_n from $U(\mathcal{V}_-)$ to \mathbb{C} defined by

$$\psi_n(d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1}) = \prod_{j=1}^r (k_j b - a - n - \sum_{i=1}^j k_i), \quad \forall d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1} \in U(\mathcal{V}_-).$$

For more details of this map see [3]. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{g} . Denote by \mathbb{M} the set of all non-decreasing sequences $\mathbf{i} := (i_1, i_2, \dots)$ of finitely many positive integers. By the PBW Theorem, every element of $U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ can be uniquely written in the following form

$$x = \sum_{(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \in \mathbb{M}^2} a_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} x_{j_s}(-i_s) \cdots x_{j_2}(-i_2) x_{j_1}(-i_1) \quad \text{with } a_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For any given $x \in U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$, when we omit the index \mathbf{i} , we get the associated element $\tilde{x} = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{M}} a_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} x_{j_s} \cdots x_{j_2} x_{j_1} \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Put $L(\lambda, l, k, c)_0 = \{v \in L(\lambda, l, k, c) \mid d_0 v = lv\}$. Now for

any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can define a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n : \quad U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-) \otimes L(\mu) &\rightarrow L(\lambda, l, k, c)_0 \otimes L(\mu) \\ xyz \otimes v &\mapsto \psi_n(y)z\bar{u} \otimes (\tilde{x} \circ v) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$, $y \in U(\mathcal{V}_-)$, $z \in U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ and $v \in L(\mu)$, where the action \circ is defined as follows:

$$1 \circ v = v, \quad (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_s) \circ v = (-1)^s g_s \cdots g_2 g_1 v, \quad \forall g_i \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

For the highest weight vector \bar{u} of $L(\lambda, l, k, c)$, the annihilator of \bar{u} is defined as

$$\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(\bar{u}) = \{P \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-) \mid P\bar{u} = 0\}.$$

We are now in a position to present the following sufficient and necessary condition for a tensor product module to be irreducible.

Theorem 4.3. $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$ is irreducible if and only if $\varphi_n(\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(\bar{u}) \otimes L(\mu)) = L(\lambda, l, k, c)_0 \otimes L(\mu)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $W = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n \otimes t^n$ be a nonzero submodule of $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$. Thanks to Lemma 4.1, there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^i \subseteq W$ for all $i \geq N + 1$.

Claim 2. For any $v \in L(\mu)$, $x \in U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$, $y \in U(\mathcal{V}_-)$ and $z \in U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$, we have $xyz\bar{u} \otimes v \equiv \psi_N(y)z\bar{u} \otimes (\tilde{x} \circ v) = \varphi_N(xyz \otimes v) \pmod{W_N}$.

By linearity it is sufficient to prove the claim for $x = x_{j_s}(-i_s) \cdots x_{j_1}(-i_1) \in U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$, $y = d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1} \in U(\mathcal{V}_-)$ and $z \in U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ with $x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_s} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{N}$. We proceed by induction on s . If $s = 0$, we again do it by induction on r . The case $r = 0$ is clear. Assume that the result is true for $y = d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1} \in U(\mathcal{V}_-)$, $z \in U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ and $v \in L(\mu)$. Then from

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{-k_{r+1}}(d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1} z\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^{N+k_{r+1}}) \\ &= (d_{-k_{r+1}} + a + N + \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} k_j - bk_{r+1})(d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1} z\bar{u}) \otimes v \otimes t^N \in W, \end{aligned}$$

it follows immediately that

$$(d_{-k_{r+1}} d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1} z\bar{u}) \otimes v$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv - (a + N + \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} k_j - bk_{r+1})(d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1} z \bar{u}) \otimes v \\
&\equiv - (a + N + \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} k_j - bk_{r+1}) \psi_N(d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1}) z \bar{u} \otimes v \\
&\equiv \psi_N(d_{-k_{r+1}} d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1}) z \bar{u} \otimes v \pmod{W_N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the case $s = 0$ holds. Now suppose that the result is true for $x = x_{j_s}(-i_s) \cdots x_{j_1}(-i_1)$, $y = d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1}$, $z \in U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ and $v \in L(\mu)$. Then for any $x_{j_{s+1}} \in \mathfrak{g}$, $i_{s+1} \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_{j_{s+1}}(-i_{s+1})(x_{j_s}(-i_s) \cdots x_{j_1}(-i_1) d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1} z \bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^{N+i_{s+1}}) \\
&= x_{j_{s+1}}(-i_{s+1}) x_{j_s}(-i_s) \cdots x_{j_1}(-i_1) d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1} z \bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^N \\
&\quad + x_s(-i_s) \cdots x_1(-i_1) d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1} z \bar{u} \otimes x_{j_{s+1}} v \otimes t^N \in W,
\end{aligned}$$

forcing

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_{j_{s+1}}(-i_{s+1}) x_{j_s}(-i_s) \cdots x_{j_1}(-i_1) d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1} z \bar{u} \otimes v \\
&\equiv - x_{j_s}(-i_s) \cdots x_{j_1}(-i_1) d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1} z \bar{u} \otimes x_{j_{s+1}} v \pmod{W_N} \\
&\equiv - \psi_N(d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1}) z \bar{u} \otimes ((x_{j_s} \cdots x_{j_1}) \circ x_{j_{s+1}} v) \pmod{W_N} \\
&\equiv \psi_N(d_{-k_r} \cdots d_{-k_1}) z \bar{u} \otimes ((x_{j_{s+1}} x_{j_s} \cdots x_{j_1}) \circ v) \pmod{W_N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the claim follows by induction.

First we assume that $\varphi_n(\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(\bar{u}) \otimes L(\mu)) = L(\lambda, l, k, c)_0 \otimes L(\mu)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for any $v \in L(\mu)$, there exist $x_i \in \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(u)$ and $v_i \in L(\mu)$ such that $\varphi_N(\sum_{i=1}^s x_i \otimes v_i) = \bar{u} \otimes v$. By Claim 2, we have

$$\bar{u} \otimes v = \varphi_N\left(\sum_{i=1}^s x_i \otimes v_i\right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^s x_i \bar{u} \otimes v_i = 0 \pmod{W_N}.$$

That is $\bar{u} \otimes L(\mu) \subseteq W_N$. We conclude that $L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu) = W_N$ from Corollary 4.2. Inductively, we get $W_i = L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $W = L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$ and hence $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$ is irreducible.

Conversely, suppose that $\varphi_{n_0}(\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(\bar{u}) \otimes L(\mu)) \neq L(\lambda, l, k, c)_0 \otimes L(\mu)$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have an induced map

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\varphi}_{n_0} : \quad &U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-) \otimes L(\mu) \rightarrow (L(\lambda, l, k, c)_0 \otimes L(\mu)) / \varphi_{n_0}(\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(\bar{u}) \otimes L(\mu)) \\
&P \otimes v \mapsto \overline{\varphi_{n_0}(P \otimes v)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $P \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)$ and $v \in L(\mu)$. It is apparent that $\overline{\varphi}_{n_0}(\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(\bar{u}) \otimes L(\mu)) = 0$. Observing that $L(\lambda, l, k, c) = U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)\bar{u} \cong U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)/\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(\bar{u})$, then we obtain the induced linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\varphi}_{n_0} : \quad L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu) &\rightarrow (L(\lambda, l, k, c)_0 \otimes L(\mu)) / \varphi_{n_0}(\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(\bar{u}) \otimes L(\mu)) \\ P\bar{u} \otimes v &\mapsto \overline{\varphi_{n_0}(P \otimes v)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $P \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)$ and $v \in L(\mu)$. Let $W^{(n_0)}$ be the submodule of $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$ generated by $L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu) \otimes t^i$, $i \geq n_0 + 1$. We shall show that $W^{(n_0)}$ is a proper submodule of $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$. According to the PBW Theorem, the weight space of $W^{(n_0)}$ with respect to the action of d_0 of weight $a + l + n_0$, denoted by $W_{n_0}^{(n_0)}$, is a sum of elements of the form

$$G(-i)(xyz\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^{n_0+i}) = (G(-i)xyz\bar{u} \otimes v + xyzv \otimes Gv) \otimes t^{n_0}$$

and

$$d_{-i}(xyz\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^{n_0+i}) = (d_{-i} + a + n_0 + i - \deg(xyz) - bi)xyz\bar{u} \otimes v \otimes t^{n_0},$$

where $G \in \mathfrak{g}$, $x \in U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$, $y \in U(\mathcal{V}_-)$, $z \in U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$, $v \in L(\mu)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and x, y are homogeneous elements. Explicit calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\varphi}_{n_0}(G(-i)xyz\bar{u} \otimes v + xyzv \otimes Gv) \\ &= \overline{\varphi_{n_0}(G(-i)xyz \otimes v + xyz \otimes Gv)} \\ &= \overline{\psi_{n_0}(y)z\bar{u} \otimes (G\tilde{x} \circ v) + z\bar{u} \otimes (\tilde{x} \circ Gv)} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\varphi}_{n_0}((d_{-i} + a + n_0 + i - \deg(xyz) - bi)xyz\bar{u} \otimes v) \\ &= \overline{\varphi_{n_0}((d_{-i} + a + n_0 + i - \deg(xyz) - bi)xyz \otimes v)} \\ &= \overline{\varphi_{n_0}(d_{-i}xyz \otimes v) + (a + n_0 + i - \deg(xyz) - bi)\psi_{n_0}(y)z\bar{u} \otimes (x \circ v)} \\ &= \overline{\psi_{n_0}(d_{-i}y)z\bar{u} \otimes (\tilde{x} \circ v) + \deg(x)\psi_{n_0}(y)z\bar{u} \otimes (\tilde{x} \circ v)} \\ &\quad + \overline{(a + n_0 + i - \deg(xyz) - bi)\psi_{n_0}(y)z\bar{u} \otimes (\tilde{x} \circ v)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last equality follows directly from $\psi_{n_0}(d_{-i}y) = (bi - a - n_0 + \deg(y) - i)\psi_{n_0}(y)$ and $\deg(xyz) = \deg(x) + \deg(y)$. As a result, we have $\widehat{\varphi}_{n_0}(W_{n_0}^{(n_0)}) = 0$, or equivalently,

$W_{n_0}^{(n_0)} \subseteq \text{Ker}(\widehat{\varphi}_{n_0}) \neq L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L(\mu)$ since $\widehat{\varphi}_{n_0}$ is surjective and nonzero. Thus, $W^{(n_0)}$ is a proper submodule of $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$, that is, $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$ is reducible, completing the proof. \square

From [19, Corollary 1], we see that there are a lot of irreducible highest weight modules $L(\lambda, l, k, c)$ that are Verma modules over $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$. Meanwhile, for these irreducible Verma modules, we know that $\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(\bar{u}) = 0$. As a direct consequence of Theorem 4.3, we have the following.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $L(\lambda, l, k, c)$ be an irreducible Verma module over $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$ is always reducible.*

We remark that the condition in Theorem 4.3 is not easy to verify since we generally do not know what $\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(\bar{u})$ is for an irreducible highest $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$. Fortunately, we do have an explicit description of $\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(\bar{u})$ under certain situations (see the forthcoming Remark 4.8).

The following are standard notions from [20]. Let $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, r$ be the simple roots of \mathfrak{g} , $\check{\alpha}_i$ be the corresponding coroots, and δ be the standard imaginary root. Put $\alpha_0 = \delta - \theta$. Then $\alpha_i, i = 0, \dots, r$ are the simple roots of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$. Take $e_\theta \in \mathfrak{g}_\theta$. Clearly, $f_0 = e_\theta(-1)$ and $\check{\alpha}_0 = \mathbf{k} - \check{\theta}$. As is well-known, any weight $\Lambda \in (\check{\mathfrak{h}} + \mathbb{C}d_0)^*$ is of the form $\Lambda = \lambda + k\Lambda_0$, where $\lambda \in \check{\mathfrak{h}}^*, k \in \mathbb{C}$ and Λ_0 is the root satisfies $\langle \Lambda_0, \check{\alpha}_i \rangle = \delta_{i,0}, \langle \Lambda_0, d_0 \rangle = 0$. It is worth noting that $\Lambda = \lambda + k\Lambda_0$ is dominant if and only if λ is a dominant weight relative to \mathfrak{g} and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \geq \langle \lambda, \check{\theta} \rangle$.

We now recall and establish several auxiliary results.

Lemma 4.5. (cf. [1, 12, 20]) *The following statements hold.*

- (1) *There exist two homogeneous elements*

$$F_1^{(l,c)}(d_{-i_t} \cdots d_{-i_1}), F_2^{(l,c)}(d_{-j_s} \cdots d_{-j_1}) \in U(\mathcal{V}_-)$$

such that

$$U(\mathcal{V}_-)F_1^{(l,c)}(d_{-i_t} \cdots d_{-i_1})u_{l,c} + U(\mathcal{V}_-)F_2^{(l,c)}(d_{-j_s} \cdots d_{-j_1})u_{l,c}$$

is the unique maximal proper submodule of $M_{\mathcal{V}}(l, c)$.

- (2) *If $\lambda + k\Lambda_0$ is a dominant weight, then*

$$U(\check{\mathfrak{n}}_-)f_0^{(\lambda+k\Lambda_0, \check{\alpha}_0)+1}u_{\lambda, k} + \cdots + U(\check{\mathfrak{n}}_-)f_r^{(\lambda+k\Lambda_0, \check{\alpha}_r)+1}u_{\lambda, k}$$

is the unique maximal proper submodule of $M_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\lambda, k)$.

Lemma 4.6. (cf. [19]) *Keep notations as before. Assume that $k \neq -g$. Then we have $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1 : M(\lambda, l, k, c) &\cong M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k) \otimes M_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}\left(l + \frac{c_\lambda}{2(k+g)}, c - \frac{k(\dim \mathfrak{g})}{k+g}\right), \\ \pi_2 : L(\lambda, l, k, c) &\cong L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k) \otimes L_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}\left(l + \frac{c_\lambda}{2(k+g)}, c - \frac{k(\dim \mathfrak{g})}{k+g}\right).\end{aligned}$$

From now on, we assume that $\lambda + k\Lambda_0 \in (\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} + \mathbb{C}d_0)^*$ is dominant, which implies $k \neq -g$. Set $D_n = -\frac{1}{k+g}T_n + d_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that $L(\lambda, l, k, c)_0 = L(\lambda)$ is just the finite-dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight λ , and we still use \bar{u} to denote the highest weight vector of $L(\lambda)$.

Lemma 4.7. *The unique proper maximal submodule $J(\lambda, l, k, c)$ of $M(\lambda, l, k, c)$ is generated by some singular vectors besides $\mathbb{C}u$.*

Proof. Denote by $l' = l + \frac{c_\lambda}{2(k+g)}$ and $c' = c - \frac{k(\dim \mathfrak{g})}{k+g}$ for short. From Lemma 4.5, we know that the unique maximal proper submodule $J_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\lambda, k)$ of $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\lambda, k)$ (resp. $J_{\mathcal{V}}(l', c')$ of $M_{\mathcal{V}}(l', c')$) is generated by singular vectors

$$f_i^{(\lambda+k\Lambda_0, \tilde{\alpha}_i)+1} u_{\lambda, k}, \quad i = 0, \dots, r \quad (\text{resp. } F_1^{(l', c')}(d_{-i_t} \cdots d_{-i_1}) u_{l', c'}, F_2^{(l', c')}(d_{-j_s} \cdots d_{-j_1}) u_{l', c'}).$$

We use $u_{\lambda, -\frac{c_\lambda}{2(k+g)}, k, \frac{k(\dim \mathfrak{g})}{k+g}}$, $u_{0, l', 0, c'}$ to denote the highest weight vector of $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k)$ and $M_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l', c')$, respectively. A quick calculation shows that the proper submodule $J_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k)$ of $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k)$ (resp. $J_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l', c')$ of $M_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l', c')$) is also generated by singular vectors

$$f_i^{(\lambda+k\Lambda_0, \tilde{\alpha}_i)+1} u_{\lambda, -\frac{c_\lambda}{2(k+g)}, k, \frac{k(\dim \mathfrak{g})}{k+g}}, \quad i = 0, \dots, r$$

(resp. $F_1^{(l', c')}(d_{-i_t} \cdots d_{-i_1}) u_{0, l', 0, c'}$, $F_2^{(l', c')}(d_{-j_s} \cdots d_{-j_1}) u_{0, l', 0, c'}$). Denote

$$J = J_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k) \otimes M_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l', c') + M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k) \otimes J_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l', c')$$

a submodule of $M_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k) \otimes M_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l', c')$. It follows from direct calculations that J is generated by the following singular vectors

$$\begin{aligned}f_i^{(\lambda+k\Lambda_i, \tilde{\alpha}_0)+1} u_{\lambda, -\frac{c_\lambda}{2(k+g)}, k, \frac{k(\dim \mathfrak{g})}{k+g}} \otimes u_{0, l', 0, c'}, \quad i = 0, \dots, r, \\ u_{\lambda, -\frac{c_\lambda}{2(k+g)}, k, \frac{k(\dim \mathfrak{g})}{k+g}} \otimes F_1^{(l', c')}(d_{-i_t} \cdots d_{-i_1}) u_{0, l', 0, c'}, \\ u_{\lambda, -\frac{c_\lambda}{2(k+g)}, k, \frac{k(\dim \mathfrak{g})}{k+g}} \otimes F_2^{(l', c')}(d_{-j_s} \cdots d_{-j_1}) u_{0, l', 0, c'}.\end{aligned}$$

In view of the $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module isomorphism π_1 in Lemma 4.6, $\pi_1^{-1}(J)$ is generated by singular vectors $f_i^{(\lambda+k\Lambda_i, \tilde{\alpha}_0)+1}u$, $F_1^{(l', c')}(D_{-i_t} \cdots D_{-i_1})u$, $F_2^{(l', c')}(D_{-j_s} \cdots D_{-j_1})u$ for $i = 0, \dots, r$. What remains is to show that J is the maximal submodule of $M_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k) \otimes M_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l', c')$. From

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k)/J_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k) &\cong L_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k), \\ M_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l', c')/J_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l', c') &\cong L_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l', c') \end{aligned}$$

and the $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module isomorphism π_2 in Lemma 4.6, we obtain

$$(M_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k) \otimes M_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l', c'))/J = L_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(\lambda, k) \otimes L_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})}(l', c') \cong L(\lambda, l, k, c).$$

This implies that $J(\lambda, l, k, c) = \pi_1^{-1}(J)$. We complete the proof. \square

Remark 4.8. *As a direct consequence of Lemma 4.7, we know that $\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)}(\bar{u})$ is the left ideal of $U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)$ generated by $f_i^{<\lambda+k\Lambda_0, \tilde{\alpha}_i>+1}$, E_j for $i = 0, \dots, r$, $j = 1, 2$, where*

$$F_1^{(l+\frac{c\lambda}{2(k+g)}, c-\frac{k(\dim \mathfrak{g})}{k+g})}(D_{-i_t} \cdots D_{-i_1})u = E_1u, \quad F_2^{(l+\frac{c\lambda}{2(k+g)}, c-\frac{k(\dim \mathfrak{g})}{k+g})}(D_{-j_s} \cdots D_{-j_1})u = E_2u.$$

In order to illustrate Theorem 4.3, we give the following two examples in which \mathfrak{g} is the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e, f, h\}$ and the corresponding Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is just the affine-Virasoro algebra of type A_1 . Let ϵ be the fundamental weight of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Thus, $g = 2$ and $c_{i\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2}(i^2 + 2i)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Example 1. *Let $b - a \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $(\lambda, l, k, c, \mu) = (0\epsilon, 0, 1, 2, m\epsilon)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. In this case, we have $L(\lambda, l, k, c)_0 = L(0\epsilon)$ is a one-dimensional trivial module and $(l + \frac{c\lambda}{2(k+g)}, c - \frac{3k}{k+g}) = (0, 1)$. From [1, Theorem A], we see that $J_{\mathcal{V}}(0, 1) = U(\mathcal{V}_-)d_{-1}u_{0,1}$. Then $\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_-)}(\bar{u})$ is the left ideal of $U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_-)$ generated by D_{-1}, f_0^2, f_1 . We have the following explicit computations*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = D_{-1}\bar{u} &= \left(-\frac{1}{3} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} : x(-i)y(i-1) + h(-i)\frac{h}{2}(i-1) + y(-i)x(i-1) \right) : + d_{-1} \right) \bar{u} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{3}x(-1)y + \frac{1}{6}h(-1)h + \frac{1}{3}y(-1)x + d_{-1} \right) \bar{u} \\ &= d_{-1}\bar{u}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, since

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_n(U(\mathfrak{n}_-)d_{-1} \otimes L(m\epsilon)) \\ &= \psi_n(d_{-1})\mathbb{C}[f]\bar{u} \otimes L(m\epsilon) \\ &= (b - a - n - 1)L(0\epsilon) \otimes L(m\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

$$=L(0\epsilon) \otimes L(m\epsilon),$$

the tensor product $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module $L_{0\epsilon,0,1,2}^{m\epsilon,a,b}$ is irreducible by Theorem 4.3.

Example 2. Let $(\lambda, l, k, c, \mu) = (2\epsilon, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, 3\epsilon)$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. In this case, we have $L(\lambda, l, k, c)_0 = L(2\epsilon)$ and $(l + \frac{c\lambda}{2(k+g)}, c - \frac{3k}{k+g}) = (2, 1)$. From Kac determinant formula, we know that $M_{\mathcal{V}}(2, 1)$ is irreducible. So, $\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_-)}(\bar{u})$ is the left ideal of $U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_-)$ generated by f_0, f_1^3 . For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_n(U(\mathfrak{n}_-)f_0 + U(\mathfrak{n}_-)f_1^3) \otimes L(3\epsilon) \\ &= \varphi_n(U(\mathfrak{n}_-)f_0) \otimes L(3\epsilon) \\ &= \varphi_n(\mathbb{C}[f]f_0) \otimes L(3\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Using this and the same arguments as in [16, Theorems 7.8, 7.9, Corollary 7.10] (in more general situations.), we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{2\epsilon, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}}^{3\epsilon, a, b} \text{ is irreducible} \\ & \iff \varphi_n(\mathbb{C}[f]f_0) \otimes L(3\epsilon) = L(2\epsilon) \otimes L(3\epsilon) \\ & \iff \mathbb{C}[f](\bar{u} \otimes eL(3\epsilon)) = L(2\epsilon) \otimes L(3\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbb{C}[f](\bar{u} \otimes eL(3\epsilon))$ contains all highest weight vectors of $L(2\epsilon) \otimes L(3\epsilon)$. Therefore, the tensor product $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module $L_{2\epsilon, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}}^{3\epsilon, a, b}$ is irreducible.

Remark 4.9. As all weight spaces of $L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L_{a,b}(\mu)$ are infinite-dimensional and each weight vector of the positive parts of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts non-locally finitely on the tensor product modules, the tensor product modules are different from the other known irreducible weight modules (cf. [19, 24, 29]). Hence, these tensor product modules are new irreducible weight modules.

5. ISOMORPHISM CLASSES OF THE TENSOR PRODUCT MODULES

Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $\mu, \mu' \in \Lambda^+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $k, c, l, a, b, k', c', l', a', b' \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \leq \text{Re } a, \text{Re } a' < 1$. In this section, we will determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for two tensor product modules $L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L_{a,b}(\mu)$ and $L(\lambda', l', k', c') \otimes L_{a',b'}(\mu')$ to be isomorphic. As before, we identify $L(\lambda, l, k, c) \otimes L_{a,b}(\mu)$ and $L(\lambda', l', k', c') \otimes L_{a',b'}(\mu')$ with $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}$ and $L_{\lambda', l', k', c'}^{\mu', a', b'}$, respectively, via (2.3).

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $\mu, \mu' \in \Lambda^+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $k, c, l, a, b, k', c', l', a', b' \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} a, \operatorname{Re} a' < 1$. Then $L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b} \cong L_{\lambda', l', k', c'}^{\mu', a', b'}$ if and only if $(\lambda, l, k, c, \mu, a, b) = (\lambda', l', k', c', \mu', a', b')$.*

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious and it suffices to show the necessity. Let \bar{u} (resp. \bar{u}') and v_μ (resp. $v_{\mu'}$) be the highest weight vectors of

$$L(\lambda, l, k, c) \text{ (resp. } L(\lambda', l', k', c')) \quad \text{and} \quad L(\mu) \text{ (resp. } L(\mu')).$$

Assume that $\phi : L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b} \rightarrow L_{\lambda', l', k', c'}^{\mu', a', b'}$ is an $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module isomorphism. It is easy to see that $k = k', c = c'$. Fix any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $\phi(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p)$ and $\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p$ are of the same weight with respect to the action of d_0 , we can assume that $\phi(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p) = \sum_{i=0}^s w_i \otimes v_i \otimes t^{p'}$ with

$$(5.1) \quad a + l + p = a' + l' + p',$$

$0 \neq v_i \in L(\mu')$ and $w_0, \dots, w_s \in L(\lambda', l', k', c')$ such that w_0, \dots, w_s are linearly independent. There exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\mathfrak{n}_+(m)(w_i) = 0$ for $m \geq m_0$ and $i = 0, \dots, s$. From

$$0 = \phi(\mathfrak{n}_+(m)(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p)) = \mathfrak{n}_+(m)\phi(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p) = \sum_{i=0}^s w_i \otimes \mathfrak{n}_+v_i \otimes t^{p'+m}, \quad \forall m \geq m_0$$

and the linear independence of w_0, \dots, w_s , we know that $\mathfrak{n}_+v_i = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, s$. This allows us to assume that $\phi(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p) = \sum_{i=0}^r R_i \bar{u}' \otimes v_{\mu'} \otimes t^{p'}$ with $\deg R_i \in U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)_{-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$ and $R_i \bar{u}' \neq 0$. For any $m, n \geq r + 1, x \in \mathfrak{n}_-$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(\bar{u} \otimes xv_\mu \otimes t^{p+m+n}) \\ &= \phi(x(m+n)(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p)) \\ &= x(m+n)\phi(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r R_i \bar{u}' \otimes xv_{\mu'} \otimes t^{p'+m+n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (a + p + n + bm)\phi(\bar{u} \otimes xv_\mu \otimes t^{p+m+n}) \\ &= \phi(d_m x(n)(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p)) \\ &= d_m x(n)\phi(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p) \\ &= (a' + p' + n + i + b'm) \sum_{i=0}^r R_i \bar{u}' \otimes xv_{\mu'} \otimes t^{p'+m+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above two equations, we obtain that

$$a + p + n + bm = a' + p' + n + r + b'm, \quad \forall m, n \geq r + 1.$$

Since this equation holds for all $m \geq r + 1$, we have

$$a = a', b = b', p = p' + r.$$

The last equality means $p \geq p'$. By considering ϕ^{-1} in the above arguments, we deduce that $p' \geq p$, implying $p = p'$ and then $r = 0$. It follows immediately from (5.1) that $l = l'$. Therefore, for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get $\phi(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p) = R_0 \bar{u}' \otimes v_{\mu'} \otimes t^p$ and we will replace R_0 with $R_{0,p}$ because it also depends on p . Recall from the proof of Lemma 3.1 that

$$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_+)(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p) = L_{\lambda, l, k, c}^{\mu, a, b}.$$

This along with the fact that ϕ is an isomorphism gives that

$$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_-)U(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g})_+)(R_{0,p} \bar{u}' \otimes v_{\mu'} \otimes t^p) = L_{\lambda', l', k', c'}^{\mu', a', b'}.$$

from which we know that $R_{0,p} \bar{u}'$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of \bar{u}' , that is, there exist $r_p \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\phi(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p) = r_p \bar{u}' \otimes v_{\mu'} \otimes t^p$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(h)r_{p+n} \bar{u}' \otimes v_{\mu'} \otimes t^{p+n} &= \phi(h(n)(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p)) \\ &= h(n)\phi(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p) = \mu'(h)r_p \bar{u}' \otimes v_{\mu'} \otimes t^{p+n}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields $\mu(h)r_{p+n} = \mu'(h)r_p$, from which one can deduce that $\mu = \mu'$. For any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, from

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda(h) + \mu(h))r_p \bar{u}' \otimes v_{\mu'} \otimes t^p &= \phi(h(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p)) \\ &= h\phi(\bar{u} \otimes v_\mu \otimes t^p) = (\lambda'(h) + \mu(h))r_p \bar{u}' \otimes v_{\mu'} \otimes t^p, \end{aligned}$$

we see that $\lambda = \lambda'$, completing the proof. □

Acknowledgements. Qiufan Chen would like to thank professor Haibo Chen for raising the problem in the discussion.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Astashkevich, On the structure of Verma modules over Virasoro and Neveu-Schwarz algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **186**, 531–562 (1997).
- [2] Y. Billig, A category of modules for the full toroidal Lie algebra, *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, 46pp (2006).
- [3] H. Chen, X. Guo, K. Zhao, Tensor product weight modules over the Virasoro algebra, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **88**, 829–844 (2013).
- [4] H. Chen, J. Han, Y. Su, X. Yue, Two classes of non-weight modules over the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Manuscripta. Math.* **160**(1-2), 265–284 (2019).
- [5] Q. Chen, J. Han, Non-weight modules over the affine-Virasoro algebra of type A_1 , *J. Math. Phys.* **60**, 071707 (2019).
- [6] Q. Chen, Y. Yao, Irreducible tensor product modules over the affine-Virasoro algebra of type A_1 , *Linear Multilinear A.* **70**(22), 7558–7574 (2022).
- [7] Q. Chen, Y. Yao, A new class of irreducible modules over the affine-Virasoro algebra of type A_1 , *J. Algebra* **608**, 553–572 (2022).
- [8] S. Cheng, N. Lam, Finite conformal modules over the $N = 2, 3, 4$ superconformal algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **42**(2), 906–933 (2001).
- [9] S. Cheng, V. Kac, Conformal modules, *Asian J. Math.* **1**, 181–193 (1997) .
- [10] S. Eswara Rao, C. Jiang, Classification of irreducible integrable representations for the full toroidal Lie algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **200**, 71–85 (2005).
- [11] P. Etingof, I. Frenkel, A. Kirillov, Lectures on representation theory and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 58, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1998.
- [12] B. Feigin, D. Fuchs, Representations of the Virasoro algebra, Representation of Lie groups and related topics, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 7, Gordon and Breach, New York, 465–554, 1990.
- [13] P. Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Senechal, Conformal field theory, Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [14] D. Gao, K. Zhao, Tensor product weight modules for the mirror Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **226**, 106929 (2022).
- [15] X. Guo, X. Liu, J. Wang, New irreducible tensor product modules for the Virasoro algebra, *Asian J. Math.* **24**(2), 191–206 (2020).
- [16] X. Guo, K. Zhao, Irreducible representations of non-twisted affine Kac-Moody algebras, arXiv:1305.4059v2.
- [17] K. Iohara, Y. Koga, Representation theory of the Virasoro algebra, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, London, 2011.
- [18] C. Jiang, H. You, Irreducible representations for the affine-Virasoro Lie algebras of type B_l , *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **25**(3), 359–368 (2004).

- [19] V. Kac, Highest weight representations of conformal current algebras, *Symposium on Topological and Geometric Methods in Field Theory, Espoo, Finland, World Scientific*, 3–16 (1986).
- [20] V. Kac, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [21] V. Kac, A. Raina, N. Rozhkovskaya, Bombay Lectures on Highest Weight Representations of Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, 2nd edition, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2013.
- [22] G. Kuroki, Fock space representations of an affine Lie algebras and integral representations in the Wess-Zumino-Witten models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **142**(3), 511–542 (1991).
- [23] J. Lepowsky, H. Li, Introduction to Vertex operator algebras and their representations, Progress in Math., Vol. 227, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- [24] D. Liu, Y. Pei, L. Xia, Classification of quasi-finite irreducible modules over affine-Virasoro algebras, *J. Lie Theory* **31**(2), 575–582 (2021).
- [25] X. Liu, M. Qian, Bosonic Fock representations of the affine-Virasoro algebra, *J. Phys. A* **27**(5), 131–136 (1994).
- [26] D. Liu, X. Zhang, Tensor product weight modules of Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra, *Front. Math. China* **424**, 506–521 (2019).
- [27] R. Lü, K. Zhao, Generalized oscillator representations of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Algebr. Represent. Th.* **23**, 1417–1442 (2020).
- [28] R. V. Moody, A. Pianola, Lie algebra with triangular decompositions, Can. Math. Soc., John Wiley and Sons, 1995.
- [29] H. Tan, Classification of simple locally finite modules over the affine-Virasoro algebras, *J. Algebra* **631**, 738–755 (2023).
- [30] H. Tan, K. Zhao, Irreducible Virasoro modules from tensor products, *Ark. Mat.* **54**, 181–200 (2016).
- [31] H. Tan, K. Zhao, Irreducible Virasoro modules from tensor products (II), *J. Algebra* **394**, 357–373 (2013).
- [32] L. Xia, N. Hu, Irreducible representations for Virasoro-toroidal Lie algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **194**, 213–237 (2004).
- [33] H. Zhang, A class of representations over the Virasoro algebra, *J. Algebra* **190**, 1–10 (1997).
- [34] X. Zhang, Tensor product representations of the Neveu-Schwarz algebra, *Commun. Algebra* **43**(9), 3754–3775 (2015).

CHEN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI MARITIME UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 201306, CHINA.

Email address: chenqf@shmtu.edu.cn

YAO: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI MARITIME UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 201306, CHINA.

Email address: yfyao@shmtu.edu.cn