

ON THE HOMOTOPY INVARIANCE OF THE TWISTED LIE ALGEBROID COHOMOLOGY.

ABSTRACT. Twisted Lie algebroid cohomologies, i.e. with values in representations, are shown to be Lie algebroid homotopy-invariant. Several important classes of examples are discussed. As an application, a generalized version of the Poincaré lemma is given in the transitive case. Together with the Mayer-Vietoris theorem, which holds in this more general context as well, this leads to a Künneth formula for the cohomologies of Lie algebroids with values in representations.

M. JOTZ

Institut für Mathematik
Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg
Germany

R. MARCHESINI*

Mathematisches Institut
Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
Germany

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Outline of the paper	4
Notation and conventions	5
Acknowledgement	5
2. Preliminaries	6
2.1. The category of Lie algebroids	6
2.2. The LA-cohomology	10
2.3. LA-cohomology with values in representations	12
2.4. Pullback of A -forms with values in a representation	13
3. LA-homotopy	17
3.1. LA-homotopy invariance of twisted Lie algebroid cohomology	18
3.2. Pullback of Lie algebroids via homotopies	22
3.3. A construction of examples	25
4. The Lie algebra case	28
4.1. LA-homotopy for Lie algebras	28
4.2. Relation with the deformation theory of Lie algebra morphisms	29
5. A generalized Poincaré lemma for Lie algebroid cohomology	31

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 58A12, 57R99, 17B56, 53C05; Secondary: 57R55, 53D17, 53C12, 53C15.

Key words and phrases. Lie algebroids, Lie algebras, representations, homotopy, cohomology, pullbacks of Lie algebroids, pullbacks of representations, homotopy invariance, Poincaré lemma, Mayer-Vietoris, Künneth formula, deformation theory, linear vector fields.

This project was partially funded by the RTG 2491 in Göttingen.

*Corresponding author.

5.1. LA-cohomology of pullback Lie algebroids	31
5.2. A generalized Poincaré lemma	33
5.3. Relation with the existing literature on Morita equivalence	34
6. Mayer-Vietoris principle and a Künneth formula	34
6.1. Mayer-Vietoris	34
6.2. A Künneth formula.	36
7. Invariance of representations under homotopies	41
7.1. Linear vector fields on vector bundles	42
7.2. Invariance of a Lie algebroid under the flow of its adjoint vector fields	43
7.3. Proof of Theorem 3.8	48
References	53

1. INTRODUCTION

The extensively studied de Rham, Chevalley-Eilenberg, BRST, Poisson, Foliated and Equivariant de Rham cohomologies can be treated at once as special cases of Lie algebroid cohomology, which was introduced by Pradines in 1967 [Pra67]. This generality of the Lie algebroid cohomology brings pathologies that make it difficult to control and compute. Lie algebroid cohomology has been studied for a few decades now – the latest references on the subject include [Kub02], [Cra03], [KM04], [Mac05a], [MR11] [Bal12], [Kub13], [Bru17], [Jot22] and [Wal23].

The main goal of this paper is a systematic study of an appropriate notion of homotopy of Lie algebroids, and of its effects on Lie algebroid cohomology. This paper provides new, more accessible approaches to some known computational techniques, making these techniques now accessible in the more general framework of *twisted* Lie algebroid cohomologies.

A Lie algebroid is a smooth vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ with a Lie bracket on its space of sections, that is *anchored* by the geometry of the basis manifold M , in the sense that a vector bundle homomorphism $\rho: A \rightarrow TM$ over the identity on M allows for differentiation of smooth functions on M *along* sections of A ; an operation that is compatible with the Lie bracket on $\Gamma(A)$ (see Definition 3.1). The tangent bundles of smooth manifolds on the one hand, and Lie algebras on the other hand, are prototypes of Lie algebroids. The de Rham cohomology is defined by the geometry of its tangent bundle. Precisely, given a smooth manifold M , its de Rham differential is defined on the exterior algebra of sections of T^*M . Similarly, the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential associated to a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} lives on $\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$. In general, the Lie algebroid differential of a Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$ is a differential operator on $\Gamma(\bigwedge^\bullet A^*)$, that is defined in the same manner as the de Rham differential, but using the anchor $\rho: A \rightarrow TM$ in order to differentiate smooth functions on M along sections of A . The resulting cohomology is called the *Lie algebroid cohomology* and encompasses all types of cohomologies listed above. More generally, given a Lie algebroid representation of $A \rightarrow M$ on a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$, the *Lie algebroid cohomology of A with values in the representation E* is defined. It is also called the *twisted* Lie algebroid cohomology of A with coefficients in E .

This paper studies LA-homotopies, i.e. homotopies in the category of Lie algebroids. Two Lie algebroid morphisms (Φ_0, φ_0) , (Φ_1, φ_1) from a Lie algebroid

$A \rightarrow M$ to a Lie algebroid $B \rightarrow N$ are said to be **LA-homotopic** if there exists an LA-morphism (Φ, φ) of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TI \times A & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I \times M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \end{array}$$

such that $\Phi_j = \Phi(0_j, \cdot)$ for $j = 0, 1$. The pair (Φ, φ) is then called an **LA-homotopy** between (Φ_0, φ_0) and (Φ_1, φ_1) .

This notion of LA-homotopy appears to be natural and sporadically appears in the literature (e.g. [Bal12] and [BKS05]). It agrees with the notion of homotopy of A -paths used by Crainic and Fernandes [CF03]. The invariance of the Lie algebroid cohomology under LA-homotopy is given in [Bal12] as a corollary of a Stokes' theorem for Lie algebroids. However, neither the extension to all twisted Lie algebroid cohomologies nor the study of examples and further applications for computing the cohomology itself seem to appear in the literature. This paper remedies to that by proving the following theorem.

Main Theorem (see 3.8). *The twisted Lie algebroid cohomology is LA-homotopy-invariant.*

More precisely, consider two LA-homotopic LA-morphisms $(\Phi_0, \varphi_0), (\Phi_1, \varphi_1): A \rightarrow B$ via an LA-homotopy $\Phi: TI \times A \rightarrow B$ over $\varphi: I \times M \rightarrow N$, as well as a vector bundle $E \rightarrow N$ with a flat B -connection $\nabla: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\theta: \varphi_0^! E \rightarrow \varphi_1^! E$ intertwining $\Phi_0^* \nabla$ and $\Phi_1^* \nabla$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\nabla}(B, E) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Phi_0^*}} & H_{\Phi_0^* \nabla}(A, \varphi_0^! E) \\ & \searrow \overline{\Phi_1^*} & \downarrow \theta_* \\ & & H_{\Phi_1^* \nabla}(A, \varphi_1^! E) \end{array}$$

commutes. In other words, $(\Phi_0, \varphi_0), (\Phi_1, \varphi_1): A \rightarrow B$ induce, up to an isomorphism, the same morphism in LA-cohomology.

The key for proving the main theorem is the study of the behavior of a Lie algebroid representation when pulled back by an LA-homotopy. This is studied in detail in Section 7.3. Note that the notion of *pullback* of a Lie algebroid is crucial itself for constructing examples of LA-homotopies¹ (see Corollary 3.19 and Lemma 3.20). The behavior of pullback Lie algebroids under homotopic maps is therefore investigated (see Proposition 3.14). This leads as well to new insights about the twisted cohomology of pullback Lie algebroids.

Theorem (see 5.1). *The twisted cohomology of a transitive Lie algebroid is isomorphic to the twisted cohomology of its pullback Lie algebroid under a homotopy equivalence of the base manifolds.*

A direct consequence of this is a generalized Poincaré lemma for transitive Lie algebroids.

¹It turns out that it was already suggested in [Šev05].

Lemma (see 5.4). *The twisted Lie algebroid cohomology of a transitive Lie algebroid over a contractible manifold is isomorphic to the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of its isotropy Lie algebra, with values in the restricted representation.*

Combining this lemma with a Mayer-Vietoris principle, which is checked to hold for the twisted cohomologies of any Lie algebroid (see Theorem 6.1), the authors prove the following Künneth formula, which generalizes results of Mishchenko and Kubarski in [Kub02], and of Waldron in [Wal23].

Theorem (see 6.6). *The Künneth isomorphism holds for the twisted Lie algebroid cohomology of the direct product Lie algebroid $A \times B$, where A is any Lie algebroid and B is a transitive Lie algebroid over a manifold admitting a finite good cover.*

Further relations with the considerable amount of existing literature on Lie algebroids are indicated along the paper. For instance, LA-homotopies between Lie algebra morphisms are shown to be smooth curves of Lie algebra morphisms the variations of which are controlled by the adjoint representation of the target Lie algebras (see Proposition 4.1.) This implies that the chosen notion of LA-homotopy is compatible with the deformation theory of Lie algebras (see Lemma 4.6).

Equivariant de Rham cohomology and foliated de Rham cohomology are not homotopy-invariant in general. However Theorem 3.8 implies that they are LA-homotopy-invariant. This remark is consistent with the literature, since LA-homotopy specialises to the notion of equivariant homotopy and of integral homotopy, in the respective categories. Those notions of homotopies are known to preserve the respective cohomologies (see Examples 3.12 and 3.13 and references therein).

Note finally that the results in this paper should be extendable to general differential graded manifolds. This is current work in progress, using a notion of homotopy compatible with the definition of this paper and the ones of [Šev05], [LGLS20] and [Roy10].

Outline of the paper. Section 2 introduces necessary concepts and results for the rest of the paper. Particular attention is dedicated here to the notion of pullback under Lie algebroid morphisms of forms with values in representations. This is a natural definition and likely to be folklore knowledge, although hard to find in the literature. A detailed treatment is provided in Subsection 2.4 for future reference. The other parts of Section 2 are intended for readers who are not familiar with Lie algebroids and their cohomology, so very detailed as well.

Section 3 defines the notion of homotopy of Lie algebroids, called LA-homotopy, and carefully justifies it. The main theorem on the LA-homotopy invariance of the twisted LA-cohomology is given in Section 3.1. Its proof is technical and therefore splits between this section and Section 7.3. It uses a study in Section 7 of flows of special linear vector fields on Lie algebroids. Section 3.2 studies the homotopy-invariance of pullback Lie algebroids. As a consequence, a large class of examples of LA-homotopies is constructed in 3.3.

The special case of Lie algebras is investigated in Section 4.1. Section 4.2 then explains how this notion turns out to be compatible with the deformation theory of morphisms of Lie algebras.

Results on the twisted cohomology of pullback Lie algebroids via homotopy equivalences are studied in Section 5.1. A relevant application is a generalized Poincaré-Lemma for transitive Lie algebroids, which is explained in Section 5.2. Relations with the existing literature on the Lie algebroid cohomology of Morita equivalent Lie algebroids are investigated in Section 5.3.

Section 6.1 observes that the Mayer-Vietoris principle holds for twisted Lie algebroid cohomology. This result, provides in particular an alternative proof of the finite dimensionality of the twisted LA-cohomology of transitive Lie algebroids over a compact base manifold. By combining Mayer-Vietoris with the generalized Poincaré-Lemma, a Künneth formula is then proved in Section 6.2.

Section 7 contains a detailed treatment of the invariance under LA-homotopies of representations of Lie algebroid. This specializes to the invariance of flat connections under classical homotopies.

Notation and conventions. All manifolds and vector bundles in this paper are smooth and real. Vector bundle projections are written $q_E: E \rightarrow M$, except for the projections of tangent bundles, which are written $p_M: TM \rightarrow M$. Given a section ε of E^* , the map $\ell_\varepsilon: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the linear function associated to it, i.e. the function defined by $e_m \mapsto \langle \varepsilon(m), e_m \rangle$ for all $e_m \in E$. The set of global smooth sections of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is denoted by $\Gamma(E)$, $\mathfrak{X}(M) = \Gamma(TM)$ is the space of smooth vector fields on a smooth manifold M , and $\Omega_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(M) = \Gamma(\bigwedge^\bullet T^*M)$ is the space of smooth forms on M .

Several notions of pullbacks are defined and used in this paper. The (classical) pullback of a vector bundle $B \rightarrow N$ and of a section $b \in \Gamma(B)$ through a map $f: M \rightarrow N$ are denoted by $f^!B \rightarrow M$ and $f^!b \in \Gamma(f^!B)$, respectively. $f^{!!}B$ denotes the pullback of a Lie algebroid $B \rightarrow N$ under a smooth map $f: M \rightarrow N$ ([Man05], see Section 2 below for the details). The canonical Lie algebroid morphism over f resulting from the Lie algebroid pullback of $B \rightarrow N$ via f is written $p_{B,f}: f^{!!}B \rightarrow B$. $\Phi^*\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(f^{!!}B, f^!E)$ stands for the pullback of a form $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(B, E)$ and similarly, $\Phi^*\nabla: \Gamma(f^{!!}B) \times \Gamma(f^!E) \rightarrow \Gamma(f^!E)$ is the pullback of a connection $\nabla: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$.

Acknowledgement. This paper contains some of the results of the PhD thesis in preparation of the second author.

She would like to thank T. Schick and C. Zhu for their great support. The constant interaction with them, the Topology and the Higher Structures groups as well as the Research Training Group 2491 in Göttingen, was a precious source of inspiration for her work on this project. She thanks R. Nest for long and invaluable discussions and precious encouragement, during her research stays in Copenhagen, supported by both the Centre for Geometry and Topology in Copenhagen and the RTG 2491 in Göttingen. She thanks the Topology group in Copenhagen for stimulating questions during a talk on this paper. She also thanks M. Crainic, C. Laurent-Gengoux, E. Meinrenken, J. Schnitzer and P. Ševera for interesting discussions.

The authors thank C. Blohmann and his research group for useful observations after a talk on this paper at a joint Bonn-Göttingen-Würzburg retreat. They thank K. Singh for suggesting the connections with deformation theory, which led to Subsection 4.2. R. Fernandes, D. Roytenberg and T. Strobl suggested some references

after a talk on this paper at the ‘‘Singular foliations and dg-manifolds’’ workshop in Paris, Institut Henri-Poincaré. Finally, the authors are grateful to V. Ginzburg for his help on verifying some references.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section collects necessary background on Lie algebroids, their representations and their cohomology, as well as on vector-valued forms and their pullbacks under Lie algebroid morphisms.

2.1. The category of Lie algebroids. The definitions of Lie algebroid and of Lie algebroid morphisms are recalled here for the convenience of the reader. See e.g. [Mac05b] for more detail.

Definition 2.1. A **Lie algebroid** is a triple $(A \rightarrow M, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho_A)$, where $A \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a \mathbb{R} -Lie algebra bracket on $\Gamma(A)$, that is *anchored* by a vector bundle morphism $\rho_A: A \rightarrow TM$, called the **anchor**:

$$[a_1, f \cdot a_2] = f \cdot [a_1, a_2] + \mathcal{L}_{\rho_A(a_1)}(f) \cdot a_2$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. $\mathcal{L}_{\rho_A(a_1)}(f)$ denotes the Lie derivative of $f \in C^\infty(M)$ with respect to $\rho_A(a_1) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, it is often written $\rho_A(a_1)(f)$.

It follows that the anchor is compatible with the bracket in the following sense:

$$[\rho_A(a_1), \rho_A(a_2)] = \rho_A[a_1, a_2]$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$.

A Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$ is called **regular** if $\text{Im}(\rho_A)$ is a subbundle of TM . **Transitive Lie algebroids**, i.e. Lie algebroids with surjective anchor, are special cases of regular Lie algebroids.

Examples 2.2.

- For $M = \{*\}$, the anchor map vanishes and A is a real vector space with a Lie bracket, i.e. a real Lie algebra.
- The tangent bundle $TM \rightarrow M$ is a Lie algebroid with the identity as anchor and the Lie bracket of vector fields. Involutive subbundles are exactly the infinitesimal description of foliations (by Frobenius’ Theorem, [Dea40, Cle66]). Therefore, involutive subbundles are often simply called *foliations*. An involutive subbundle $F \subseteq TM$ of the tangent bundle of a smooth manifold M is a Lie algebroid with the inclusion $\iota: F \rightarrow TM$ as anchor.
- Let G be a Lie group and consider a principal G -bundle $\pi: P \rightarrow M$. That is, P is equipped with a free and proper smooth right action of the Lie group G , $M \simeq P/G$ and $\pi: P \rightarrow P/G = M$ is the orbit projection, a surjective submersion. The associated algebroid, called **Atiyah Lie algebroid**, has

$$A(P) := TP/G \rightarrow M$$

as underlying vector bundle. The space of sections is isomorphic to the space of G -equivariant vector fields on P : $\Gamma(TP/G) \cong \mathfrak{X}^G(P)$ and the Lie bracket of G -equivariant vector fields on P is again G -equivariant. Hence the $C^\infty(M)$ -module of G -equivariant vector fields in P is equipped with the Lie bracket

$$[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]_{TP/G}(m) := \overline{[X, Y]}(m)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}^G(P)$ and all $p \in \pi^{-1}(m)$. Here, $\overline{X} \in \Gamma(TP/G)$ is the section defined by X :

$$\overline{X}(m) = [X(p)] \in TP/G$$

for all $m \in M$ and $p \in \pi^{-1}(m)$. Since the projection π is G -invariant, its tangent map factors to

$$\rho := \overline{T\pi}: TP/G \rightarrow TM, \quad \rho[v_p] = T_p\pi v_p$$

for all $v_p \in T_pP$. This induced vector bundle morphism ρ over the identity on M anchors the Lie bracket on $A(P) = TP/G$ and $(A(P) \rightarrow M, [\cdot, \cdot]_{TP/G}, \rho)$ is a Lie algebroid.

Morphisms of Lie algebroids are vector bundle morphisms with an addition compatibility with the anchors and the Lie brackets. If $A, B \rightarrow M$ are Lie algebroids over a same base, then the definition is straightforward: A vector bundle morphism $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$ over the identity on M is a Lie algebroid morphism if $\rho_B \circ \Phi = \rho_A$ and

$$\Phi[a_1, a_2]_A = [\Phi(a_1), \Phi(a_2)]_B$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$. In general, the bracket condition of a morphism of Lie algebroids over different bases is more difficult to formulate, since the composition of sections of a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ with a vector bundle morphism $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$ are generally not sections of the codomain vector bundle $B \rightarrow N$. The bracket condition is written using an appropriate pullback construction of the codomain vector bundle via the base map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$, as in the following definition.

Definition 2.3. A vector bundle map (Φ, φ) between Lie algebroids $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \end{array}$$

is a **Lie algebroid morphism** if the anchor maps satisfy $\rho_B \circ \Phi = T\varphi \circ \rho_A$ and if the Lie brackets satisfy the condition described in the following. Let

$$\Phi^!: A \rightarrow \varphi^!B, \quad a \mapsto (q_A(a), \Phi(a))$$

be vector bundle morphism (over the identity on M) induced by Φ to the pullback of $B \rightarrow N$ through $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$. If $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ are such that $\Phi^!(a_1) = \sum_i f_i^1 \varphi^! b_i^1$ and $\Phi^!(a_2) = \sum_j f_j^2 \varphi^! b_j^2$, for $b_i^1, b_j^2 \in \Gamma(B)$ and $f_i^1, f_j^2 \in C^\infty(M)$, then the bracket condition is

$$\Phi^!([a_1, a_2]_A) = \sum_{ij} f_i^1 f_j^2 \varphi^![b_i^1, b_j^2]_B + \sum_j \rho_A(a_1)(f_j^2) \varphi^! b_j^2 - \sum_i \rho_A(a_2)(f_i^1) \varphi^! b_i^1.$$

The space of Lie algebroid morphisms from A to B is denoted by $\text{Hom}_{LA}(A, B)$. The following are examples of Lie algebroid morphisms.

Examples 2.4.

- If A and B are Lie algebras, i.e. if M and N are just a point, then a Lie algebroid morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is a Lie algebra morphism.
- Let M and N be smooth manifolds and let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map. Then $Tf: TM \rightarrow TN$ is a Lie algebroid morphism over $f: M \rightarrow N$. If $F_M \subseteq TM$ and $F_N \subseteq TN$ are involutive subbundles and $Tf(F_M) \subseteq F_N$, then Tf restricts to a Lie algebroid morphism $Tf: F_M \rightarrow F_N$.

- Let $\pi_P: P \rightarrow M$ and $\pi_Q: Q \rightarrow N$ be principal G -bundles and let $f: P \rightarrow Q$ be a smooth G -equivariant map. Then f factors to a smooth map $\tilde{f}: M \rightarrow N$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \pi_P = \pi_Q \circ f$ and Tf factors to a Lie algebroid morphism $\overline{Tf}: A(P) \rightarrow A(Q)$ over $\tilde{f}: M \rightarrow N$.

Later Proposition 2.10 shows that a Lie algebroid morphism $A \rightarrow B$ over $M \rightarrow N$ induces a map in cohomology, and can alternatively be defined as a vector bundle morphism that defines a cochain map $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet B^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$ with respect to the Lie algebroid differentials.

The remainder of this section collects some further useful notions and constructions around Lie algebroids.

Definition 2.5. Given two Lie algebroids $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$, the product $A \times B \rightarrow M \times N$ of vector bundles comes naturally equipped with the **direct product Lie algebroid** structure. Note that if $\text{pr}_M: M \times N \rightarrow M$ and $\text{pr}_N: M \times N \rightarrow N$ are the projections, then $A \times B$ is isomorphic to the Whitney sum $\text{pr}_M^! A \oplus \text{pr}_N^! B$, as vector bundles over $M \times N$. The anchor $\rho_{A \times B}: A \times B \rightarrow TM \times TN$ is the product $\rho_A \times \rho_B: (a_m, b_n) \mapsto (\rho_A(a_m), \rho_B(b_n)) \in T_m M \times T_n N$. Since the $C^\infty(M \times N)$ -module of sections of $A \times B$ is generated by pullback sections of the form $\text{pr}_M^! a: M \times N \rightarrow A \times B$, $(m, n) \mapsto (a(m), 0_n^B)$ and $\text{pr}_N^! b$ with $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $b \in \Gamma(B)$, the bracket is uniquely (well-)defined by the Leibniz rule and

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{pr}_M^! a_1, \text{pr}_M^! a_2]_{A \times B} &= \text{pr}_M^! [a_1, a_2]_A, & [\text{pr}_N^! b_1, \text{pr}_N^! b_2]_{A \times B} &= \text{pr}_N^! [b_1, b_2]_B \\ [\text{pr}_M^! a, \text{pr}_N^! b]_{A \times B} &= 0 = [\text{pr}_N^! b, \text{pr}_M^! a]_{A \times B} \end{aligned}$$

for $a, a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ and $b, b_1, b_2 \in \Gamma(B)$.

As already mentioned in the introduction, the key idea of the research on Lie algebroid cohomology and of this paper is to understand standard de Rham cohomology as the Lie algebroid cohomology of the tangent bundles of manifolds, and then attempt to adapt known results in this special case to the general setting of Lie algebroids. It is easy to see that the pullback of a tangent bundle under a smooth map is not a tangent bundle anymore. The correct notion of pullback Lie algebroid remedies to this – in this setting the pullback of a tangent bundle is again a tangent bundle. The following construction is standard, see [Mac05b]. It is given in detail here because the notion of pullback of Lie algebroids is central in this paper.

Let $B \rightarrow N$ be a Lie algebroid and consider a smooth map $f: M \rightarrow N$. Set

$$f^! B := TM \times_{TN} B := \{(v, b) \in TM \times B \mid Tf(v) = \rho_B(b)\} \subseteq TM \oplus f^! B.$$

If $f^! B$ has constant rank over M , then it is a smooth subbundle of $TM \oplus f^! B$. It is then equipped with a Lie algebroid structure defined below, which is called **pullback of the Lie algebroid $B \rightarrow N$ under the smooth map $f: M \rightarrow N$** .

Note that the vector bundle $f^! B \rightarrow M$ is then a sub-vector bundle of $TM \times B \rightarrow M \times N$, over the graph $\text{graph}(f) \subseteq M \times N$ of f .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^! B & \hookrightarrow & TM \times B & & (v_m, b_{f(m)}) & \longmapsto & (v_m, b_{f(m)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \hookrightarrow & M \times N & & m & \longmapsto & (m, f(m)) \end{array}$$

It turns out that $f^!B \rightarrow M$ is via this inclusion a Lie subalgebroid of the product Lie algebroid $TM \times B \rightarrow M \times N$. The anchor in $TM \times TN$ of $(v_m, b_{f(m)}) \in f^!B \subseteq TM \times B$ is

$$(v_m, \rho_B(b_{f(m)})) = (v_m, T_m f v_m) \in T_{(m, f(m))} \text{graph}(f).$$

It is easy to see that a section σ of $TM \times B \rightarrow M \times N$ that restricts to a section of $f^!B$ over $M \simeq \text{graph}(f)$ can without loss of generality² be written

$$\sigma = \text{pr}_M^! X + \sum_i \text{pr}_M^* h_i \cdot \text{pr}_N^! b_i$$

with $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $h_i \in C^\infty(M)$ and $b_i \in \Gamma(B)$, such that

$$T_m f X(m) = \sum_i h_i(m) \rho_B(b_i(f(m)))$$

for all $m \in M$. This means that for all $s \in C^\infty(N)$,

$$X(f^*s) = \sum_i h_i \cdot f^*(\rho_B(b_i)(s)),$$

see [Mac05b, Proof of Proposition 4.4.3]. Using this, it is easy to check as in [Mac05b] that if

$$\tau = \text{pr}_M^! Y + \sum_j \text{pr}_M^* g_j \cdot \text{pr}_N^! c_j$$

is a second section of $TM \times B \rightarrow M \times N$ that restricts to a section of $f^!B$ over $M \simeq \text{graph}(f)$, then for all $s \in C^\infty(N)$

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y](f^*s) &= X \left(\sum_j g_j \cdot f^*(\rho_B(c_j)(s)) \right) - Y \left(\sum_i h_i \cdot f^*(\rho_B(b_i)(s)) \right) \\ &= \sum_j X(g_j) \cdot f^*(\rho_B(c_j)(s)) - \sum_i Y(h_i) \cdot f^*(\rho_B(b_i)(s)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j} h_i g_j \cdot f^*([\rho_B(b_i), \rho_B(c_j)](s)). \end{aligned}$$

That is, the bracket

$$\left(\text{pr}_M^! [X, Y], \sum_j \text{pr}_M^* (X(g_j)) \cdot \text{pr}_N^! c_j - \sum_i \text{pr}_M^* (Y(h_i)) \cdot \text{pr}_N^! b_i + \sum_{i,j} \text{pr}_M^* (h_i g_j) \cdot \text{pr}_N^! [b_i, c_j] \right)$$

²A priori a section of $TM \times B \rightarrow M \times N$ can be written

$$\sigma = \sum_j F_j \text{pr}_M^! X_j + \sum_i H_i \text{pr}_N^! b_i$$

with $F_j, H_i \in C^\infty(M \times N)$, $X_j \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $b_i \in \Gamma(B)$, for all i, j . σ restricts to a section of $f^!B \rightarrow \text{graph}(f)$ if and only if for all $m \in M$,

$$\sum_j F_j(m, f(m)) X_j(m) = \sum_i H_i(m, f(m)) \rho_B(b_i(f(m))).$$

Define for all i, j the smooth functions $f_j \in C^\infty(M)$ and $h_i \in C^\infty(M)$ by $f_j(m) = F_j(m, f(m))$ and $h_i(m) = H_i(m, f(m))$ for all $m \in M$, and set $X := \sum_j f_j X_j \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Then on $\text{graph}(f)$, the section σ coincides with

$$\text{pr}_M^! X + \sum_i \text{pr}_M^* h_i \cdot \text{pr}_N^! b_i.$$

Since the anchor restricted to $f^!B$ has image in $\text{graph}(f)$, only the values of σ over $\text{graph}(f)$ are relevant for computing the restriction to $\text{graph}(f)$ of its Lie brackets with other sections with the same restriction property.

of σ with τ in in $TM \times B \rightarrow M \times N$ restricts to a section of $f^!B$ over $\text{graph}(f)$.

As a summary, the space of sections of $f^!B$ as a vector bundle over M , is

$$\Gamma(f^!B) = \left\{ \left(X, \sum_i h_i \cdot f^!b_i \right) \left| \begin{array}{l} X \in \Gamma(TM), b_i \in \Gamma(B), h_i \in C^\infty(M), \text{ such that} \\ Tf(X(m)) = \sum_i h_i(m) \cdot \rho_B(b_i(f(m))) \text{ for all } m \in M \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

and the Lie algebroid structure inherited from $TM \times B \rightarrow M \times N$ on this vector bundle is given by

$$\rho_{f^!B}(v, b) = v$$

for all $(v, b) \in f^!B$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(X, \sum_i h_i \cdot f^!b_i \right), \left(Y, \sum_j g_j \cdot f^!c_j \right) \right] \\ &= \left([X, Y], \sum_{ij} h_i g_j \cdot f^![b_i, c_j] + \sum_j X(g_j) \cdot f^!c_j - \sum_i Y(h_i) \cdot f^!b_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Take two Lie algebroids $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$ and a vector bundle map (Φ, φ)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \end{array}.$$

If the Lie algebroid pullback $\varphi^!B \rightarrow M$ exists, then Φ is a Lie algebroid morphism if and only if

$$\Phi^!: A \rightarrow \varphi^!B, \quad a \mapsto (\rho(a), \Phi(a))$$

is a Lie algebroid morphism over the identity on M , see [Mac05b, Theorem 4.3.6].

It is important to repeat here that the category of Lie algebroids is not closed under such pullback because in general $f^!B \rightarrow M$ is not a vector bundle. A sufficient (but not necessary) condition to the existence of the pullback Lie algebroid is transversality between the map $f: M \rightarrow N$ and the anchor map $\rho_B: B \rightarrow TN$, namely

$$Tf(T_m M) + \rho_B(B_{f(m)}) = T_{f(m)} N \quad \forall m \in M.$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $B \rightarrow N$ be a Lie algebroid and let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map such that the pullback Lie algebroid $f^!B \rightarrow M$ exists. The map*

$$p_{B,f}: f^!B \rightarrow B, \quad (v, b) \mapsto b$$

is then a Lie algebroid morphism over the smooth map $f: M \rightarrow N$.

Proof. This is left to the reader. See e.g. [Mac05a]. □

2.2. The LA-cohomology. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ be a Lie algebroid over a base manifold M , with $\text{rank}(A) = n$. Define the space of **A -forms of degree $k \in \mathbb{N}$** as $\Omega^k(A) := \Gamma(\wedge^k(A^*))$ and the differential $\mathbf{d}_A: \Omega^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet+1}(A)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{d}_A \omega)(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) &:= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{j+1} \rho_A(a_j) (\omega(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_{k+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j < l} (-1)^{j+l} \omega([a_j, a_l], a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, \hat{a}_l, \dots, a_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $\omega \in \Omega^k(A)$ and $a_j \in \Gamma(A)$, $j = 1, \dots, k+1$. Here, $\rho_A(a_j)(f) := \mathcal{L}_{\rho_A(a_j)}(f)$ is the Lie derivative of the function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ with respect to $\rho_A(a_j) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and \hat{a}_j means that the section a_j is omitted. It is immediate by the definition of \mathbf{d}_A and by the Jacoby identity for $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ that $\mathbf{d}_A^2 = 0$, so the cochain complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0(A) = C^\infty(M) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{d}_A} \Omega^1(A) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{d}_A} \dots \Omega^n(A) \rightarrow 0$$

induces the **Lie algebroid cohomology vector spaces** (or **LA-cohomology vector spaces**) of the Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$:

$$H^k(A) := \ker(\mathbf{d}_k) / \text{im}(\mathbf{d}_{k-1})$$

with the notation $\mathbf{d}_k := \mathbf{d}_A|_{\Omega^k(A)} : \Omega^k(A) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(A)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. k -forms in $\ker(\mathbf{d}_k) =: \Omega_{cl}^k(A)$ are called **closed**. The graded algebra of closed forms is written $\Omega_{cl}^\bullet(A) := \bigoplus_k \Omega_{cl}^k(A)$.

Remark 2.7. The LA-cohomology has been intensively studied for decades. See for instance the works of Crainic [Cra03], Ginzburg [Gin01], Kubarski [Kub02, KM03, Kub13], Mackenzie [Mac05a], Mishchenko [KM04, MR11], Oliveira [MO23] and Waldron [Wal23]. Some of these authors' specific contributions are mentioned along the paper.

Examples 2.8. • For $A = \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \{\text{pt}\}$ the LA-cohomology is the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) = H_{ce}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$.

- If $A = TM \rightarrow M$, then $H^\bullet(TM) = H_{dR}^\bullet(M)$ by definition. For foliations, i.e. involutive subbundles $F \hookrightarrow TM$, the Lie algebroid cohomology is isomorphic to the foliated de Rham cohomology. This is proved for instance in [Sch21, Corollary 3.3.10].
- For Atiyah Lie algebroids associated to principal bundles, the LA-cohomology is isomorphic to the equivariant de Rham cohomology (see [Mac05a, Proposition 5.3.11]). Also this cohomology has already been studied extensively, see in particular [GHV76] and [GZ19].

Example 2.9. The LA-cohomology of a given Lie algebroid can be extremely "large". For instance, if $A \rightarrow M$ is a trivial Lie algebroid, i.e. a Lie algebroid with zero anchor and zero bracket, then the differential \mathbf{d}_A vanishes by definition. Hence

$$H^0(A) = C^\infty(M) \quad \text{and} \quad H^k(A) = \Omega^k(A)$$

for all $k > 0$.

As mentioned earlier, the notion of LA-morphism finds a justification also in relation with the LA-cohomology.

Proposition 2.10. *A vector bundle morphism (Φ, φ) is a Lie algebroid morphism between $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$ if and only if the pullback map on sections*

$$\Phi^* : \Omega^\bullet(B) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(A)$$

$$(\Phi^* \omega_B)_m(a_1, \dots, a_\bullet) := (\omega_B)_{\varphi(m)}(\Phi(a_1), \dots, \Phi(a_\bullet))$$

is a cochain map (i.e. it intertwines the differentials \mathbf{d}_A and \mathbf{d}_B).

Proof. This is a standard result, which is due to Vaintrob [Vai97]. □

Remark 2.11. Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid. Then $\mathbf{H}^\bullet(A) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{H}^k(A)$ is a graded algebra, with cup product induced by the wedge product of A -forms, exactly as in the case of de Rham cohomology (see e.g. [BT82]). A Lie algebroid morphism induces a morphism of rings in cohomology. In particular, the map

$$\rho_A^* : \mathbf{H}_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^\bullet(A)$$

induced by the anchor ρ_A is a morphism of rings.

2.3. LA-cohomology with values in representations. A more general Lie algebroid cohomology can be defined using ‘twists’ with respect to linear flat Lie algebroid connections. All necessary basic definitions are recalled before introducing the *twisted LA-cohomology*, also called *LA-cohomology with values on representations*.

Definition 2.12. Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid. A **linear A -connection** on the vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is an \mathbb{R} -bilinear map

$$\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$$

such that

$$\nabla_a(f \cdot e) = f \cdot \nabla_a e + \rho_A(a)(f) \cdot e$$

and

$$\nabla_{f \cdot a} e = f \cdot \nabla_a e$$

for $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid and let $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle. Define

$$\Omega^\bullet(A, E) := \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^* \otimes E).$$

A linear A -connection $\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is equivalent to an operator

$$\mathbf{d}_\nabla : \Omega^\bullet(A, E) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet+1}(A, E)$$

defined by the conditions

$$\mathbf{d}_\nabla e = \nabla \cdot e \in \Omega^1(A, E)$$

$$\mathbf{d}_\nabla(\omega \wedge \eta) = (\mathbf{d}_A \omega) \wedge \eta + (-1)^k \omega \wedge \mathbf{d}_\nabla \eta,$$

for $e \in \Gamma(E) = \Omega^0(A, E)$, $\omega \in \Omega^k(A)$ and $\eta \in \Omega^\bullet(A, E)$.

Remark 2.13. If $E = \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$ with the canonical connection $\nabla_a f = \mathcal{L}_{\rho_A(a)}(f)$, then $\Omega^\bullet(A, E) = \Omega^\bullet(A)$ and $\mathbf{d}_\nabla = \mathbf{d}_A$.

The linear connection ∇ is called **flat** if the corresponding curvature $R_\nabla \in \Omega^2(A, \text{End}(E))$ defined by

$$R_\nabla(a_1, a_2) := \nabla_{a_1} \circ \nabla_{a_2} - \nabla_{a_2} \circ \nabla_{a_1} - \nabla_{[a_1, a_2]}$$

for every $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ vanishes identically. This is equivalent (see for instance [Jot22]) to

$$0 \equiv \mathbf{d}_\nabla^2 : \Omega^\bullet(A, E) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet+2}(A, E).$$

The cohomology induced by this differential is the **LA-cohomology with values in the representation ∇** (or LA-cohomology with values in the representation E , or simply **twisted LA-cohomology**), since flat Lie algebroid connections are the **representations** of Lie algebroids. For a Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$, a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ and a flat connection $\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$, the corresponding cohomology is denoted by

$$\mathbf{H}_\nabla^k(A, E) := \ker(\mathbf{d}_k) / \text{im}(\mathbf{d}_{k-1})$$

with $\mathbf{d}_k := (\mathbf{d}_\nabla)_{|\Omega^k(A,E)} : \Omega^k(A,E) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(A,E)$. A form $\omega \in \ker(\mathbf{d}_k) =: \Omega_{\text{cl}}^k(A)$ is called a **closed form**.

Lemma 2.14. $H_{\nabla}^{\bullet}(A, E)$ is an $H^{\bullet}(A)$ -module.

Proof. Define the module structure via

$$(1) \quad \overline{\omega} \cup \overline{\eta} := \overline{\omega \wedge \eta}$$

with $\omega \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^{\bullet}(A)$, $\eta \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^{\bullet}(A, E)$. It remains to prove that this is well-defined. For $\omega \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^k(A)$, $\eta \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^l(A, E)$,

$$\mathbf{d}_\nabla(\omega \wedge \eta) = \mathbf{d}_A \omega \wedge \eta + (-1)^k \omega \wedge \mathbf{d}_\nabla \eta = 0.$$

That is, if $\omega \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^{\bullet}(A)$ and $\eta \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^{\bullet}(A, E)$, then also $\omega \wedge \eta \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^{\bullet}(A, E)$ and $\overline{\omega \wedge \eta}$ exists. Moreover, for $\theta \in \Omega^{k-1}(A)$ and $\xi \in \Omega^{l-1}(A, E)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega + \mathbf{d}_A \theta) \wedge (\eta + \mathbf{d}_\nabla \xi) &= \omega \wedge \eta + \omega \wedge \mathbf{d}_\nabla \xi + \mathbf{d}_A \theta \wedge \eta + \mathbf{d}_A \theta \wedge \mathbf{d}_\nabla \xi \\ &= \omega \wedge \eta + \mathbf{d}_\nabla((-1)^k \omega \wedge \xi + \theta \wedge \eta + \theta \wedge \mathbf{d}_\nabla \xi) \end{aligned}$$

where the last passage holds because the forms ω and η are closed and $\mathbf{d}_\nabla^2 = 0$. Hence (1) is well-defined. \square

The notion of gauge equivalence of (flat) connections is crucial for one of the main statements of this paper (see Theorem 3.8 below).

Definition 2.15. Let $F, F' \rightarrow M$ be smooth vector bundles and let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid. Two A -connections ∇ and ∇' on F and F' are called **gauge equivalent** if there exists an isomorphism $\theta: F \rightarrow F'$ over the identity on M , such that

$$(2) \quad \nabla_a e = \theta^{-1}(\nabla'_a(\theta(e)))$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$. The map θ is then called a **gauge equivalence** from ∇ to ∇' .

If ∇ and ∇' are gauge equivalent as above, then ∇ is flat if and only if ∇' is flat. It is then easy to see that

$$\theta_*: H_{\nabla}^{\bullet}(A, F) \rightarrow H_{\nabla'}^{\bullet}(A, F'), \quad [\omega] \mapsto [\theta \circ \omega]$$

is a well-defined isomorphism, called a **gauge isomorphism**.

2.4. Pullback of A -forms with values in a representation. The authors could not find in the literature a precise treatment of the notion of pullback of A -forms with values in a representation. This subsection remedies to that and discusses these pullbacks in detail.

Definition 2.16. Let $A \rightarrow M$, $B \rightarrow N$ and $E \rightarrow N$ be vector bundles. Let $(\Phi, \varphi): A \rightarrow B$ be a vector bundle morphism. Then the pullback $\Phi^* \omega \in \Omega^k(A, \varphi^! E)$ of $\omega \in \Omega^k(B, E)$ is defined by

$$(3) \quad (\Phi^* \omega)_m(a_1, \dots, a_k) := (m, \omega_{\varphi(m)}(\Phi_m(a_1), \dots, \Phi_m(a_k))) \in (\varphi^! E)_m,$$

for all $m \in M$, and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A_m$.

In the situation of the previous definition,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi^*(\omega \wedge e))_m(a_1, \dots, a_k) &= (m, (\omega \wedge e)_{\varphi(m)}(\Phi(a_1), \dots, \Phi(a_k))) \\ &= ((\Phi^* \omega) \wedge (\varphi^! e))_m(a_1, \dots, a_k) \end{aligned}$$

for $\omega \in \Omega^k(B)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$, for all $m \in M$, and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A_m$. Hence

$$(4) \quad \Phi^*(\omega \wedge e) = \Phi^*\omega \wedge \varphi^!e$$

for $\omega \in \Omega^k(B)$ and for $e \in \Gamma(E)$. In a similar way, it is easy to check that

$$(5) \quad \Phi^*(\omega \wedge \eta) = \Phi^*\omega \wedge \varphi^*\eta$$

for $\omega \in \Omega^k(B)$ and for $\eta \in \Omega^l(B, E)$.

Remark 2.17. The proofs of the two following properties of the pullback are left to the reader.

- (1) The pullback in Definition 2.16 is functorial. That is, if $A \rightarrow M$, $B \rightarrow N$ and $C \rightarrow P$ are vector bundles, $(\Phi, \varphi): A \rightarrow B$ and $(\Psi, \psi): B \rightarrow C$ are vector bundle morphisms and $E \rightarrow P$ is a vector bundle. Then for each $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(C, E)$,

$$(\Psi \circ \Phi)^*\omega = \Phi^*(\Psi^*\omega).$$

- (2) If $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$ are vector bundles, $(\Phi, \varphi): A \rightarrow B$ is a vector bundle morphism and $E, F \rightarrow N$ are vector bundles, then for each $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(B, \text{Hom}(E, F))$

$$\Phi^*\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(A, \text{Hom}(\varphi^!E, \varphi^!F)) = \Omega^\bullet(A, \varphi^! \text{Hom}(E, F))$$

and for each $e \in \Gamma(E)$,

$$\Phi^*(\omega(e)) = (\Phi^*\omega)(\varphi^!e) \in \Omega^\bullet(A, \varphi^!F).$$

Example 2.18. Consider $A = TM$, $B = TN$ and $E = N \times \mathbb{R}$ with the standard representation, as well as the vector bundle morphism $(T\varphi, \varphi)$ for a smooth map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$. In this case, for $\omega \in \Omega^k(TN, E) = \Omega_{\text{dR}}^k(N)$, the form $(T\varphi)^*\omega$ is the usual pullback $\varphi^*\omega$, since by definition for all $m \in M$ and all $v_1, \dots, v_k \in T_mM$

$$((T\varphi)^*\omega)_m(v_1, \dots, v_k) = (m, \omega_{\varphi(m)}(T_m\varphi(v_1), \dots, T_m\varphi(v_k))) \in (\varphi^!E)_m = \{m\} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition 2.19. Let $i: N \hookrightarrow M$ be an embedded submanifold such that the pullback $i^{!!}A$ is as vector bundle. Consider the canonical vector bundle morphism $p_{A,i}: i^{!!}A \rightarrow A$ (see Lemma 2.6). The **restriction of a form** $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(A, E)$ **to** N is defined to be the form $p_{A,i}^*\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(i^{!!}A, i^!E)$.

If N is open in M , then the restriction of ω to N is denoted by $\omega|_N$, since $i^{!!}A \cong A|_N$ and therefore

$$\Omega^k(A|_N, E|_N) := \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*|_N \otimes E|_N) \cong \Gamma(\wedge^k (i^{!!}A)^* \otimes i^!E) =: \Omega^k(i^{!!}A, i^!E).$$

Remark 2.20. Building restrictions as in Definition 2.19 is transitive. More precisely, if $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(A, E)$, $j: S \hookrightarrow N$ and $i: N \hookrightarrow M$ are embedded submanifolds and both $i^{!!}A$ and $j^{!!}(i^{!!}A)$ exist, then

$$p_{A,i \circ j}^*\omega \cong p_{A,j}^*(p_{A,i}^*\omega).$$

It follows by functoriality of the pullback of Lie algebroids (see [Mac05a]) and by functoriality of the pullback of forms (see Remark 2.17).

Remark 2.21. Recall that using restrictions of vector-valued forms to open subsets, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the space of forms $\Omega^k(A, E)$ defines a sheaf on M .

Going back to the situation of Definition 2.16, let now $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$ be equipped with Lie algebroid structures, let $(\Phi, \varphi): A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of Lie algebroids³, and consider a linear connection $\nabla: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$. The definition of the pullback of ∇ under (Φ, φ) is induced as follows by the previous notion of pullback of forms⁴. The linear connection

$$(\Phi^*\nabla): \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(\varphi^!E) \rightarrow \Gamma(\varphi^!E)$$

is defined on pullback sections by

$$(\Phi^*\nabla)_{a_m}(\varphi^!e) := (\Phi^*(\nabla \cdot e))_m(a_m) = (m, \nabla_{\Phi(a_m)}e),$$

for every $m \in M$, $a_m \in A$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$. First, note that (3) implies the second equality, since $\nabla \cdot e \in \Omega^1(B, E)$. Then check as follows that for $f \in C^\infty(N)$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $a_m \in A$

$$(6) \quad (\Phi^*\nabla)_{a_m}(\varphi^!(fe)) = \rho_A(a_m)(\varphi^*f) \cdot \varphi^!e + (\varphi^*f) \cdot (\Phi^*\nabla)_{a_m}(\varphi^!e).$$

For $m \in M$, simply compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi^*\nabla)_{a_m}(\varphi^!(fe)) &= (m, \nabla_{\Phi(a_m)}(fe)) \\ &= (m, \rho_B(\Phi(a_m))(f) \cdot e_{\varphi(m)} + f(\varphi(m)) \cdot \nabla_{\Phi(a_m)}e) \\ &= (m, T_m\varphi(\rho_A(a_m))(f) \cdot e_{\varphi(m)} + (\varphi^*f)(m) \cdot \nabla_{\Phi(a_m)}e) \\ &= \rho_A(a_m)(\varphi^*f) \cdot (\varphi^!e)(m) + (\varphi^*f)(m) \cdot (\Phi^*\nabla)_{a_m}(\varphi^!e). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, the pullback connection $\Phi^*\nabla$ which was so far only defined on pullback sections can be extended via the Leibniz rule and defined explicitly as

$$(\Phi^*\nabla)_a \left(\sum_i f_i \cdot \varphi^!e_i \right) = \sum_i (\rho_A(a)(f_i) \cdot \varphi^!e_i + f_i \cdot (\Phi^*\nabla)_a(\varphi^!e_i)),$$

for $e_i \in \Gamma(E)$, $f_i \in C^\infty(M)$.

Example 2.22. The pullback via a LA-morphism $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$ over $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ of the canonical flat B -connection ∇ on the trivial bundle $\mathbb{R} \times N$ is the canonical flat A -connection on $\mathbb{R} \times M \simeq \varphi^!(\mathbb{R} \times N)$. Since the pullback of a connection is completely determined by its values on pullback sections and by the Leibniz identity, it is sufficient to compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi^*\nabla)_{a_m}(\varphi^*f) &:= (m, \nabla_{\Phi(a_m)}f) = (m, (\rho_B \circ \Phi)(a_m)(f)) \\ &= (m, (T\varphi \circ \rho_A)(a_m)(f)) = \rho_A(a_m)(\varphi^*f)(m). \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in C^\infty(N)$ and $a \in \Gamma(A)$.

The next lemma shows that building the pullback of a flat connection under a Lie algebroid morphism induces a map in cohomology.

Lemma 2.23. *In the situation above, the pullback of forms under the LA-morphism (Φ, φ) intertwines the differentials \mathbf{d}_∇ and $\mathbf{d}_{\Phi^*\nabla}$:*

$$\mathbf{d}_{\Phi^*\nabla} \circ \Phi^* = \Phi^* \circ \mathbf{d}_\nabla.$$

³These conditions can of course be weakened to $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$ being anchored vector bundles and (Φ, φ) being a morphism of anchored vector bundles.

⁴Note that the pullback of a connection under a smooth map is standard. Here this is extended in a straightforward manner to a notion of pullback under a Lie algebroid morphism. This is discussed here in detail because this notion is central in this paper.

Proof. For $e \in \Gamma(E)$, $m \in M$ and $a \in A_m$

$$\Phi^*(\mathbf{d}_\nabla e)(a) = (m, \mathbf{d}_\nabla e(\Phi(a))) = (m, \nabla_{\Phi(a)} e) = (\Phi^* \nabla)_a(\varphi^! e) = \mathbf{d}_{\Phi^* \nabla}(\varphi^! e)(a).$$

More generally, this yields for $\omega \in \Omega^k(B)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*(\mathbf{d}_\nabla(\omega \wedge e)) &= \Phi^*((\mathbf{d}_B \omega) \wedge e + (-1)^k \omega \wedge \mathbf{d}_\nabla e) \\ &\stackrel{(4)(5)}{=} \mathbf{d}_A(\Phi^* \omega) \wedge \varphi^! e + (-1)^k \Phi^* \omega \wedge \mathbf{d}_{\Phi^* \nabla}(\varphi^! e) \stackrel{(4)}{=} \mathbf{d}_{\Phi^* \nabla}(\Phi^*(\omega \wedge e)). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.10 is used in the second passage. \square

Corollary 2.24. *Let $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$ be equipped with Lie algebroid structures, let $(\Phi, \varphi): A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of Lie algebroids, and consider a linear connection $\nabla: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$. Then*

$$\Phi^* R_\nabla = R_{\Phi^* \nabla} \in \Omega^2(A, \text{End}(\varphi^! E)) = \Omega^2(A, \varphi^! \text{End}(E)).$$

Proof. For all $e \in \Gamma(E)$, the form $R_{\Phi^* \nabla}(\varphi^! e) \in \Omega^2(A, \varphi^! E)$ is given by

$$R_{\Phi^* \nabla}(\varphi^! e) = \mathbf{d}_{\Phi^* \nabla}^2(\varphi^! e) = (\mathbf{d}_{\Phi^* \nabla}^2 \circ \Phi^*)(e) = (\Phi^* \circ \mathbf{d}_\nabla^2)(e) = \Phi^*(R_\nabla(e)),$$

with Lemma 2.23 used in the third equality. Then

$$\Phi^*(R_\nabla(e)) = (\Phi^* R_\nabla)(\varphi^! e)$$

by Remark 2.17. \square

The following result is then immediate.

Corollary 2.25. *In the situation above, if ∇ is flat, then also $\Phi^* \nabla$ is flat.*

This leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 2.26. *Given a LA-morphism $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$ over $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ and given a vector bundle $E \rightarrow N$ endowed with a flat B -connection ∇ , the pullback of forms induces a map in cohomology*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*: H_\nabla^\bullet(B; E) &\rightarrow H_{\Phi^* \nabla}^\bullet(A; \varphi^! E) \\ \Phi^*([\omega]) &\mapsto [\Phi^* \omega] \end{aligned}$$

for all closed $\omega \in \Omega_{cl}^\bullet(B, E)$. Φ^* is a morphism of modules over the ring homomorphism $\Phi^*: H^\bullet(B) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A)$.

Proof. The first part of the statement follows immediately by Corollary 2.25 and Lemma 2.23. The extra structure as module homomorphism follows by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi^*(\omega \wedge \eta))_m(a_1, \dots, a_{k+l}) &= (m, (\omega \wedge \eta)_{\varphi(m)}(\Phi_m(a_1), \dots, \Phi_m(a_{k+l}))) \\ &\stackrel{(5)}{=} ((\Phi^* \omega) \wedge (\Phi^* \eta))_m(a_1, \dots, a_{k+l}) \end{aligned}$$

for $\omega \in \Omega_{cl}^k(B)$, and $\eta \in \Omega_{cl}^l(B, E)$. Notice that $(\Phi^* \omega) \in \Omega_{cl}^\bullet(A)$ by Example 2.22. \square

Remark 2.27. Let $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$ be an LA-morphism over a smooth map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$, and let the 2-term complex $\partial: E_0 \rightarrow E_1$ of vector bundles over N carry a representation up to homotopy $(\nabla^0, \nabla^1, \omega)$ of B . That is, $\nabla^i: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(E_i) \rightarrow \Gamma(E_i)$ is a linear connection for $i = 0, 1$ and $\omega \in \Omega^2(B, \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0))$ is a form such that

- (1) $\partial \circ \nabla^0 = \nabla^1 \circ \partial$,
- (2) $R_{\nabla^0} = \omega \circ \partial$ and $R_{\nabla^1} = \partial \circ \omega$,
- (3) $\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^1, 0} \omega = 0$,

where $\nabla^{1,0}$ is the induced connection by ∇^0 and ∇^1 on $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_0)$. It is easy to check that $(\Phi^*\nabla^0, \Phi^*\nabla^1, \Phi^*\omega)$ is a 2-term representation of A on $\varphi^!\partial: \varphi^!E_0 \rightarrow \varphi^!E_1$.

3. LA-HOMOTOPY

The definition of homotopy already appears sporadically in the literature, for instance in [Mei17], [Bal12] and [BKS05]. In the more general framework of dg-manifolds it appears in this form for instance in [Šev05] and [Roy10], but it has remained largely unknown in the community. In the case of Lie algebroids, this paper shows that this notion has remarkable properties: the definition is compatible with related notions, a large class of examples can be found, two LA-homotopic LA-morphisms induce the same map in LA-cohomology with values on representations. This section introduces and discusses the definition itself, as well as some examples, and states the main theorem. The rest of the paper then focuses on applications.

Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid, and in the following let I be an open interval containing 0 and 1. Then $TI \times A$ is equipped with the product Lie algebroid structure over $I \times M$. For $j = 0, 1$ the inclusion LA-morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}_j} & TI \times A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\iota_j} & I \times M \end{array}$$

are defined by $\mathcal{I}_j(a) := (0_j, a)$ and $\iota_j(m) := (j, m)$ for all $a \in A$ and all $m \in M$.

Definition 3.1. Let $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$ be Lie algebroids. Two Lie algebroid morphisms $(\Phi_0, \varphi_0), (\Phi_1, \varphi_1)$ from A to B are said to be **LA-homotopic** if there exists an LA-morphism (Φ, φ) of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TI \times A & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I \times M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \end{array}$$

such that $\Phi_j = \Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_j$ for $j = 0, 1$. The pair (Φ, φ) is then called an **LA-homotopy** between (Φ_0, φ_0) and (Φ_1, φ_1) .

For simplicity, just the Lie algebroid morphism $\Phi: TI \times A \rightarrow B$ is often called a LA-homotopy. However, the reader is invited to bear in mind that the Lie algebroids A and B can have different base manifolds in general.

Remark 3.2. To make LA-homotopy an equivalence relation, relax the definition above by calling two Lie algebroid morphisms $(\Phi_j, \varphi_j): A \rightarrow B$, for $j = 0, 1$, LA-homotopic if there exist a continuous vector bundle morphism $\Phi: T[0, 1] \times A \rightarrow B$ over a piecewise smooth map $\varphi: [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow N$, such that $\Phi: T[0, 1] \times A \rightarrow B$ is a LA-morphism over each closed subinterval where φ is smooth.

Since it is not important in this paper that LA-homotopy is an equivalence relation, the authors chose to keep the more simple Definition 3.1.

Example 3.3. For $A = TM$ and $B = TN$ all LA-morphisms are differentials of (piecewise) smooth maps between the base manifolds. This follows from the anchor

condition in the definition of LA-morphisms. Consequently, Definition 3.1 agrees with the usual notion of smooth homotopy between manifolds.

Remark 3.4. In the special case of A -paths, Crainic and Fernandes use an equivalent definition of LA-homotopy in [CF03]. The same definition has been used by Zhu and Brahic in [BZ11], where they introduce homotopy (bundles of) groups for Lie algebroids, generalizing the standard notion of homotopy groups of a smooth manifold.

Definition 3.5. Two Lie algebroids $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$ are said to be **LA-homotopy equivalent** if there exist Lie algebroid morphisms $F: A \rightarrow B$ and $G: B \rightarrow A$ such that $F \circ G$ is LA-homotopic to the identity map Id_B and $G \circ F$ is LA-homotopic to the identity Id_A .

Remark 3.6. It is immediate to show that if $F_1: A_1 \rightarrow B_1$ and $F_2: A_2 \rightarrow B_2$ define LA-homotopy equivalences between Lie algebroids, then $F_1 \times F_2: A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$ defines an LA-homotopy equivalence between the product Lie algebroids.

Example 3.7. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a homotopy equivalence between manifolds and let B be a Lie algebroid over an arbitrary base P . Then $TM \times B$ and $TN \times B$ are LA-homotopy equivalent Lie algebroids, via the LA-homotopy equivalence $F: TM \times B \rightarrow TN \times B$, $F := Tf \times \text{Id}_B$ (see also Remark 3.6). For instance, for $m > n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, and \mathfrak{h} a Lie algebra, the Lie algebroid $T\mathbb{R}^m \times 0_{\mathbb{R}^{m-n}} \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is LA-homotopy equivalent to $0_{\mathbb{R}^{m-n}} \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$.

3.1. LA-homotopy invariance of twisted Lie algebroid cohomology. This section explains and proves the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.8. *Consider two LA-homotopic LA-morphisms $(\Phi_0, \varphi_0), (\Phi_1, \varphi_1): A \rightarrow B$ via an LA-homotopy*

$$\Phi: TI \times A \rightarrow B$$

over $\varphi: I \times M \rightarrow N$, as well as a vector bundle $E \rightarrow N$ with a flat B -connection $\nabla: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$. Then there exists a gauge equivalence $\theta: \varphi_0^! E \rightarrow \varphi_1^! E$ from $\Phi_0^ \nabla$ to $\Phi_1^* \nabla$ such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\nabla}(B, E) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Phi_0^*}} & H_{\Phi_0^* \nabla}(A, \varphi_0^! E) \\ & \searrow \Phi_1^* & \downarrow \theta_* \\ & & H_{\Phi_1^* \nabla}(A, \varphi_1^! E) \end{array}$$

commutes. In other words, $(\Phi_0, \varphi_0), (\Phi_1, \varphi_1): A \rightarrow B$ induce, up to a gauge isomorphism, the same morphism in LA-cohomology.

The gauge equivalence in Theorem 3.8 is explicitly constructed as follows. Consider the flat $TI \times A$ -connection $\Phi^* \nabla$ on $\varphi^! E$. Then the derivation $(\Phi^* \nabla)_{(\partial_t, 0^A)}$ of $\varphi^! E$ defines a linear vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\varphi^! E)$, see Section 7. Its flow Ξ makes the

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{\Omega} := \{(\lambda, e_{(r,m)}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \varphi^! E \mid \lambda + r \in I\} & \xrightarrow{\Xi} & \varphi^! E \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Omega := \{(\lambda, (r, m)) \in \mathbb{R} \times (I \times M) \mid \lambda + r \in I\} & \xrightarrow{\xi} & I \times M \\
(\lambda, (r, m)) & \longmapsto & (\lambda + r, m)
\end{array}$$

commute, and is by isomorphisms of vector bundles, see Lemma 7.1 or [Jot24]. The smooth map θ is then defined by

$$\theta: \varphi_0^! E = \iota_0^!(\varphi^! E) \rightarrow \varphi_1^! E = \iota_1^!(\varphi^! E), \quad \theta(e) = \Xi(1, e) \in (\varphi^! E)|_{\{1\} \times M}$$

for all $e \in \varphi_0^! E = \iota_0^!(\varphi^! E) = (\varphi^! E)|_{\{0\} \times M}$. Its smooth inverse is similarly defined by the restriction to $(\varphi^! E)|_{\{1\} \times M}$ of Ξ_{-1} .

Remark 3.9. The LA-cohomology with values on the trivial representation was first checked to be LA-homotopy invariant by Balcerzak in [Bal12], as a Corollary of a Stokes' theorem proved there. For dg-manifolds over the same base manifold, LA-homotopy invariance is proven in [LGLS20] via an equivalent definition.

The first key step of the proof of Theorem 3.8 is showing that taking a direct product with the tangent bundle of an open interval does not affect the twisted Lie algebroid cohomology.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid and let $F \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle with an A -representation ∇ . Then*

$$\overline{\text{Pr}}_A^*: \mathbf{H}_{\nabla}^{\bullet}(A, F) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{Pr}_A^* \nabla}^{\bullet}(TI \times A, \text{pr}_M^! F)$$

is a module isomorphism over the ring homomorphism $\overline{\text{Pr}}_A^*: \mathbf{H}^{\bullet}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{\bullet}(TI \times A)$, where $\text{Pr}_A: TI \times A \rightarrow A$ and $\text{pr}_M: I \times M \rightarrow M$ are the projection maps to the second components. The inverse of $\overline{\text{Pr}}_A^*$ is given by $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_t^*$ for any $t \in I$.

The proof of this theorem relies on the following lemma, which is standard.

Lemma 3.11. *The space $\Omega^k(TI \times A, \text{pr}_M^! F)$ is (locally) generated as a $C^{\infty}(I \times M)$ -module by forms of the following type:*

- (i) $\text{Pr}_A^* \omega$
- (ii) $\mathbf{d}t \wedge \text{Pr}_A^* \eta$

with $\omega \in \Omega^k(A, F)$ and $\eta \in \Omega^{k-1}(A, F)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.10. For simplicity, use the notation

$$\nabla^{TI \times A} := \text{Pr}_A^* \nabla: \Gamma(TI \times A) \times \Gamma(\text{pr}_M^! F) \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{pr}_M^! F).$$

Recall the Lie algebroid morphisms (inclusions) $\mathcal{I}_t: A \rightarrow TI \times A$, $\mathcal{I}_t(a) := (0_t, a)$ over $\iota_t: M \rightarrow I \times M$, $\iota_t(m) := (t, m)$ for all $t \in I$.

The map $\overline{\text{Pr}}_A^*$ is injective because the identities $\text{pr}_A \circ \iota_t = \text{id}_M$ and $\text{Pr}_A \circ \mathcal{I}_t = \text{id}_A$ imply $\iota_t^!(\text{pr}_A^! F) = (\text{pr}_A \circ \iota_t)^! F = F$ and $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_t^* \circ \overline{\text{Pr}}_A^* = \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\nabla}^{\bullet}(A, F)}$ in cohomology, with

$$\mathbf{H}_{\nabla}^{\bullet}(A, F) \xrightarrow{\overline{\text{Pr}}_A^*} \mathbf{H}_{\nabla^{TI \times A}}^{\bullet}(TI \times A, \text{pr}_M^! F) \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathcal{I}}_t^*} \mathbf{H}_{\nabla}^{\bullet}(A, F).$$

To show the surjectivity of $\overline{\text{Pr}}_A^*$, define as follows an explicit homotopy operator. Inspired by [BT82] and using Lemma (3.11), define the operator

$$K : \Omega^k(TI \times A, \text{pr}_M^! F) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(TI \times A, \text{pr}_M^! F)$$

by

$$K(h \cdot \text{Pr}_A^* \omega) := 0$$

and

$$K(g \cdot \mathbf{dt} \wedge \text{Pr}_A^* \eta)|_{(t,m)} := (-1)^{k-1} \int_0^t g(s, m) ds \cdot (\text{Pr}_A^* \eta)|_{(t,m)},$$

for all $t \in I$ and $m \in M$, where $h, g \in C^\infty(I \times M)$, $\omega \in \Omega^k(A, F)$, $\eta \in \Omega^{k-1}(A, F)$.

Now check as follows that K is indeed a homotopy operator. On a form $\xi = h \cdot \text{Pr}_A^* \omega$ of type (i),

$$((\text{id} - \text{Pr}_A^* \circ \mathcal{I}_0^*)(\xi))|_{(t,m)} = (h(t, m) - h(0, m)) \cdot (\text{Pr}_A^* \omega)|_{(t,m)}.$$

Let k be the degree of ω . Compute using $K(\xi) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{d}_{\nabla TI \times A} K - K \mathbf{d}_{\nabla TI \times A})(\xi) &= -K \mathbf{d}_{\nabla TI \times A}(\xi) \\ &= -K(\mathbf{d}_{TI \times A} h \wedge \text{Pr}_A^* \omega + (-1)^k h \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\nabla TI \times A}(\text{Pr}_A^* \omega)) \\ &= -K(\mathbf{d}_{TI \times A} h \wedge \text{Pr}_A^* \omega + (-1)^k h \cdot \text{Pr}_A^*(\mathbf{d}_{\nabla} \omega)) \\ &= -K(\mathbf{d}_{TI \times A} h \wedge \text{Pr}_A^* \omega). \end{aligned}$$

Choose a local frame (a_1, \dots, a_r) of A and the dual frame $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ of A^* . Then

$$\mathbf{d}_{TI \times A} h = \partial_t h \cdot \mathbf{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^r \rho(a_j)(h) \cdot \text{Pr}_A^*(\alpha_j).$$

Hence

$$(\mathbf{d}_{\nabla TI \times A} K - K \mathbf{d}_{\nabla TI \times A})(\xi) = -K(\partial_t h \cdot \mathbf{dt} \wedge \text{Pr}_A^* \omega).$$

which is

$$-(-1)^k \int_0^t \partial_s h(s, m) ds \cdot (\text{Pr}_A^* \omega)|_{(t,m)} = -(-1)^k (h(t, m) - h(0, m)) (\text{Pr}_A^* \omega)|_{(t,m)}$$

when evaluated at $(t, m) \in I \times M$. This shows that

$$(\text{id} - \text{Pr}_A^* \circ \mathcal{I}_0^*)(\xi) = (-1)^{|\xi|-1} \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{\nabla TI \times A} K - K \mathbf{d}_{\nabla TI \times A})(\xi)$$

for ξ of type (i).

On a form of type $\xi = g \cdot \mathbf{dt} \wedge \text{Pr}_A^* \eta$ of type (ii) with $\eta \in \Omega^{k-1}(A, F)$, compute

$$(\text{id} - \text{Pr}_A^* \circ \mathcal{I}_0^*)(\xi) = \xi$$

because $\mathcal{I}_0^*(\mathbf{dt}) = \mathbf{d}_{\nabla}(\iota_0^* t) = \mathbf{d}_{\nabla}(0) = 0$. The identity

$$\mathbf{d}_{TI \times A}(g \mathbf{dt}) = \sum_{j=1}^r \rho(a_j)(g) \cdot \text{Pr}_A^*(\alpha_j) \wedge \mathbf{dt}$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}_{\nabla TI \times A} \xi &= - \sum_{j=1}^r \rho(a_j)(g) \mathbf{dt} \wedge \text{Pr}_A^*(\alpha_j) \wedge \text{Pr}_A^*(\eta) - g \mathbf{dt} \wedge \mathbf{d}_{\nabla TI \times A}(\text{Pr}_A^* \eta) \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^r \rho(a_j)(g) \mathbf{dt} \wedge \text{Pr}_A^*(\alpha_j \wedge \eta) - g \mathbf{dt} \wedge \text{Pr}_A^*(\mathbf{d}_{\nabla} \eta) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} K(\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{TI \times A}} \xi)|_{(t,m)} &= -(-1)^k \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t \rho(a_j)(g)(s, m) ds \cdot \text{Pr}_A^*(\alpha_j \wedge \eta)|_{(t,m)} \\ &\quad - (-1)^k \int_0^t g(s, m) ds \cdot (\text{Pr}_A^*(\mathbf{d}_{\nabla} \eta))|_{(t,m)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$K(\xi)|_{(t,m)} = (-1)^{k-1} \int_0^t g(s, m) ds \cdot (\text{Pr}_A^* \eta)|_{(t,m)},$$

for all $t \in I$ and $m \in M$, and the fact that g is smooth yields as above in local coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{TI \times A}}(K(\xi)))|_{(t,m)} &= (-1)^{k-1} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^r \int_0^t \rho(a_j)g(s, m) ds \cdot \text{Pr}_A^*(\alpha_j \wedge \eta)|_{(t,m)} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k-1} \cdot g(t, m) \cdot \mathbf{d}t \wedge \text{Pr}_A^*(\eta)|_{(t,m)} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k-1} \int_0^t g(s, m) ds \cdot \text{Pr}_A^*(\mathbf{d}_{\nabla} \eta)|_{(t,m)} \\ &= K(\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{TI \times A}} \xi)|_{(t,m)} + (-1)^{k-1} \xi|_{(t,m)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{TI \times A}}(K(\xi)) - K(\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{TI \times A}}(\xi)) = (-1)^{|\xi|-1} \cdot \xi,$$

and so

$$(\text{id} - \text{Pr}_A^* \circ \mathcal{I}_0^*)(\xi) = (-1)^{|\xi|-1} \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{TI \times A}} K - K \mathbf{d}_{\nabla^{TI \times A}})(\xi)$$

for ξ of type (ii). \square

The remainder of the proof of Theorem 3.8 is done in Section 7.3, using results on the flows of linear vector fields on vector bundles and of linear Lie algebroid derivations, that are proved in Section 7.

This section ends with some examples.

Example 3.12. Let $P \rightarrow M$ and $Q \rightarrow N$ be G -principal bundles and let $\varphi_0, \varphi_1: P \rightarrow Q$ be G -equivariant maps. Suppose that there exists a smooth G -equivariant homotopy $\varphi: I \times P \rightarrow Q$, such that $\varphi(0, \cdot) := \varphi_0$ and $\varphi(1, \cdot) := \varphi_1$, where the G -action is extended to $I \times P$ trivially on the first factor, then it is known that φ_0 and φ_1 induce the same map in equivariant de Rham cohomology (see e.g. [GZ19]). Theorem 3.8 generalizes this result to the equivariant de Rham cohomology with coefficients. The proof goes as follows. The above mentioned G -equivariant maps factor to maps $\bar{\varphi}: I \times M \rightarrow N$ and $\bar{\varphi}_0, \bar{\varphi}_1: M \rightarrow N$. They induce LA-morphisms between the corresponding Atiyah Lie algebroids $\overline{T\varphi}: A(I \times P) \cong TI \times A(P) \rightarrow A(Q)$ and $\overline{T\varphi_0}, \overline{T\varphi_1}: A(P) \rightarrow A(Q)$ (see Example 2.4). By Theorem 3.8 conclude that $\overline{T\varphi_0}^* \equiv \overline{T\varphi_1}^*$ in the twisted Lie algebroid cohomology, which is isomorphic to the twisted equivariant de Rham cohomology (see Example 2.8).

Example 3.13. It is well known that the foliated (de Rham) cohomology is not homotopy invariant (see [Hae71]). For instance, the bundle of rank zero $0_U \rightarrow U$ over a contractible manifold has zero differential, because both its bracket and its anchor map are zero. Its foliated de Rham cohomology therefore coincides with

the space of forms. In particular, $H^0(0_U) = C^\infty(U)$, and so the Poincaré Lemma cannot hold here.

However, Theorem 3.8 shows that the foliated de Rham cohomology is LA-homotopy invariant, since foliations are special cases of Lie algebroids. The notion of LA-homotopy in the category of foliations reads as follows.

Let (M, F_M) and (N, F_N) be foliated manifolds, i.e. $F_M \subseteq TM$ and $F_N \subseteq TN$ are involutive subbundles. Consider smooth maps $\varphi_0, \varphi_1: M \rightarrow N$ such that $T\varphi_j(F_M) \subseteq F_N$ for $j = 0, 1$, i.e. Lie algebroid morphisms $T\varphi_j: F_M \rightarrow F_N$ over $\varphi_j: M \rightarrow N$. Equivalently, for each leaf L of F_M there exists leaves K_0 and K_1 of F_N such that $\varphi_j(L) \subseteq K_j$, for $j = 0, 1$. Note that in the following, a leaf of F_M is short for a maximal integral leaf of F_M .

Then if φ_0 and φ_1 are LA-homotopic, then there exists a Lie algebroid morphism $\Phi: TI \times F_M \rightarrow F_N$ over a smooth map $\varphi: I \times M \rightarrow N$. But then the anchor condition

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & TI \times TM & \xrightarrow{T\varphi} & TN \\
 & \nearrow & & \nearrow \\
 TI \times F_M & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & F_N & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \\
 I \times M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N &
 \end{array}$$

shows that $\Phi = T\varphi|_{TI \times F_M}$ and that $T\varphi(TI \times F_M) \subseteq F_N$. A leaf of $TI \times F_M \subseteq TI \times TM$ is a product $I \times L$ with L a leaf of F_M . The inclusion $T\varphi(TI \times F_M) \subseteq F_N$ implies that for each leaf L of F_M , there exists a leaf $K \subseteq N$ such that

$$\varphi(I \times L) \subseteq K.$$

In particular,

$$\varphi_j(L) = \varphi(\{j\} \times L) \subseteq K$$

for $j = 0, 1$, i.e. φ_0 and φ_1 map each leaf of F_M in the same leaf of F_N .

This shows that a LA-homotopy between morphisms $\varphi_0, \varphi_1: (M, \mathcal{F}_M) \rightarrow (N, \mathcal{F}_N)$ of foliated manifolds is a **homotopy along the foliations**, i.e. a smooth map $\varphi: I \times M \rightarrow N$ such that $\varphi \circ \iota_j = \varphi_j$ for $j = 0, 1$ and for each leaf L of \mathcal{F}_M there exists a leaf K of \mathcal{F}_N such that $\varphi(I \times L) \subseteq K$. By Theorem 3.8, if such a smooth homotopy exist, then the maps induced by $T\varphi_0|_{F_M}$ and $T\varphi_1|_{F_M}$ coincide in foliated cohomology.

LA-homotopy in the case of foliations coincides with the notion of integrable homotopy, introduced by Haefliger in [Hae71]. The name integrable homotopy is first used in [Azi83]. Foliated cohomology is known there to be invariant under integrable homotopy, see [Sch21] for more details.

3.2. Pullback of Lie algebroids via homotopies. This subsection studies the homotopy invariance of pullback Lie algebroids. Pullback Lie algebroids are useful to systematically construct examples of LA-morphisms and LA-homotopies.

Proposition 3.14. *Let $B \rightarrow N$ be a Lie algebroid and let M be a smooth manifold. Consider a smooth homotopy*

$$\varphi: I \times M \rightarrow N, \quad (t, m) \mapsto \varphi_t(m)$$

such that $\varphi^! B$ and $\varphi_t^! B$ exist for each $t \in I$. If there exists a smooth section \tilde{y} of $\varphi^! B$ of the form $(s, m) \mapsto (\partial_t|_s, 0_m, y(s, m)) \in (T_s I \times T_m M) \times_{TN} B_{\varphi(t, m)}$, for

some $y: I \times M \rightarrow B$, then the induced pullback Lie algebroids $\varphi_t^{\parallel} B \rightarrow M$ are all isomorphic.

Remark 3.15. In Proposition 3.14, a necessary condition for the existence of the section \tilde{y} is $TI \times 0 \subseteq \text{Im } \rho_{\varphi^{\parallel} B}$. This is equivalent to $\text{Im}(T\varphi|_{(TI \times 0)}) \subseteq \text{Im}(\rho_B)$, i.e. to the homotopy φ deforming along the, possibly singular, foliation defined by the Lie algebroid. Indeed, recall that

$$\varphi^{\parallel} B := \{((r, v), b) \in T_t I \times T_m M \times B_{\varphi(t, m)} \mid T_{(t, m)} \varphi(r, v) = \rho_B(b), (t, m) \in I \times M\}$$

with anchor map $\rho_{\varphi^{\parallel} B}((r, v), b) := (r, v)$. The condition $\text{Im}(T\varphi|_{(TI \times 0)}) \subseteq \text{Im}(\rho_B)$ means that for each vector $r \in T_t I$ and each $m \in M$ there exists $b \in B_{\varphi(t, m)}$ such that $T\varphi(r, 0_m) = \rho_B(b)$. Then $(r, 0_m) = \rho_{\varphi^{\parallel} B}((r, 0_m), b) \in \text{Im}(\rho_{\varphi^{\parallel} B})$. This shows the inclusion $TI \times 0 \subseteq \text{Im}(\rho_{\varphi^{\parallel} B})$. The inverse implication follows from the definition of the anchor map of the pullback Lie algebroid. For each vector $r \in T_t I$ and each $m \in M$ the condition $(r, 0_m) \in \text{Im}(\rho_{\varphi^{\parallel} B})$ implies that there exists an element $b \in B_{\varphi(t, m)}$ such that $T\varphi(r, 0_m) = \rho_B(b)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.14. Consider the derivation $D := [\tilde{y}, \cdot]$ of the Lie algebroid $\varphi^{\parallel} B$, with symbol $X := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \in \mathfrak{X}(I \times M)$. Then $\widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(\varphi^{\parallel} B)$ is linear over X . Set $\Omega := \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times I \mid t + s \in I\}$. Since X has the flow

$$\psi: \Omega \times M \ni (t, s, m) \mapsto (s + t, m) \in I \times M,$$

the flow Ψ of $\widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(\varphi^{\parallel} B)$ is a map

$$\Psi: \Omega \times \varphi^{\parallel} B \rightarrow \varphi^{\parallel} B$$

over ψ by Lemma 7.1. By Proposition 7.2, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the map

$$\Psi_t: \varphi^{\parallel} B|_{(I \cap (I-t)) \times M} \rightarrow \varphi^{\parallel} B|_{(I \cap (I+t)) \times M}$$

is further an isomorphism of Lie algebroids over

$$\psi_t: (I \cap (I-t)) \times M \rightarrow (I \cap (I+t)) \times M, \quad (s, m) \mapsto (s + t, m).$$

Take $s \in I$ and consider the Lie algebroid $\varphi_s^{\parallel} B \rightarrow M$. The underlying vector bundle is given by

$$\varphi_s^{\parallel} B := \{(v, b) \in T_m M \times B_{\varphi_s(m)} \mid T_m \varphi_s(v) = \rho_B(b), m \in M\}.$$

Since $T_m \varphi_s(v) = T_{(s, m)} \varphi(0_s, v)$ for all $m \in M$ and $v \in T_m M$, the vector bundle $\varphi_s^{\parallel} B$ comes with the embedding $\varphi_s^{\parallel} B \hookrightarrow \varphi^{\parallel} B$

$$(v, b) \mapsto (0, v, b)$$

over $M \hookrightarrow I \times M$, $m \mapsto (s, m)$. This embedding is a morphism of Lie algebroids by definition. Take $t \in I$. The image of $\varphi_s^{\parallel} B$ under Ψ_{t-s} equals $\varphi_t^{\parallel} B$: for all $(v, b) \in \varphi_s^{\parallel} B$

$$\rho_{\varphi^{\parallel} B}(\Psi_{t-s}(0_s, v, b)) = T\psi_{t-s}(\rho_{\varphi_s^{\parallel} B}(0_s, v, b)) = T\psi_{t-s}(0_s, v) = (0_t, v).$$

Hence $\Psi_{t-s}(0_s, v, b) = (0_t, v, c)$ for some $c \in B_{\varphi(t, m)}$, i.e. $\Psi_{t-s}(0_s, v, b) \in \varphi_t^{\parallel} B \subseteq \varphi^{\parallel} B$. Since $\Psi_{t-s}: \varphi^{\parallel} B \rightarrow \varphi^{\parallel} B$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebroids, it thus restricts to an isomorphism of the Lie algebroids

$$\Psi_{t-s}|_{\varphi_s^{\parallel} B}: \varphi_s^{\parallel} B \rightarrow \varphi_t^{\parallel} B$$

over $\psi_{t-s}: \{s\} \times M \simeq M \rightarrow \{t\} \times M \simeq M$. □

Remark 3.16. Proposition 3.14 holds for a regular Lie algebroid $B \rightarrow N$ and a smooth homotopy $\varphi: I \times M \rightarrow N$, with $\text{Im}(T\varphi|_{(TI \times 0)}) \subseteq \text{Im}(\rho_B)$ and such that all $\varphi^!B$ and $\varphi_t^!B$ exist. Indeed, since $B \rightarrow N$ is a regular Lie algebroid and $q: \varphi^!B \rightarrow I \times M$ is its (by assumption existing) pullback Lie algebroid, the latter is also regular. More precisely, the canonical Lie algebroid morphism

$$\varphi^!B \rightarrow B, \quad T_t I \times T_m M \times B_{\varphi_t(m)} \ni (r, v, b) \mapsto b$$

over $\varphi: I \times M \rightarrow N$ restricts fibrewise to vector space isomorphisms

$$\ker(\rho_{\varphi^!B})|_{(t,m)} \rightarrow \ker(\rho_B)|_{\varphi_t(m)}$$

for all pairs $(t, m) \in I \times M$.

A consequence of the regularity of $\varphi^!B$ is that

$$\ker(\rho_{\varphi^!B}) \hookrightarrow \varphi^!B \xrightarrow{\rho_{\varphi^!B}} \text{Im}(\rho_{\varphi^!B})$$

is a short exact sequence of vector bundles over $I \times M$. Choose a smooth vector bundle morphism $j: \text{Im}(\rho_{\varphi^!B}) \rightarrow \varphi^!B$ splitting that sequence, i.e. such that

$$\text{Id}_{\text{Im}(\rho_{\varphi^!B})} = \rho_{\varphi^!B} \circ j$$

Define a smooth section of the vector bundle $\varphi^!B$ by lifting $X := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ to $j(X) \in \Gamma(\varphi^!B)$. Denote it by $\tilde{y} := j(X)$ and apply Proposition 3.14.

Remark 3.17. The condition $\text{Im}(T\varphi|_{(TI \times 0)}) \subseteq \text{Im}(\rho_B)$ cannot be dropped. Choose a non-abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and define the regular Lie algebroid with underlying vector bundle

$$B := I \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow I,$$

the zero anchor and the (pointwise) Lie bracket $[(t, v), (t, w)] := (t, t[v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Choose the smooth homotopy $\varphi = \text{Id}_I: I \rightarrow I$. Then it is easy to see that $\varphi^!B = 0_I \times_I B \simeq B$, and that $\varphi_t^!B = 0_{\{t\}} \times B_t \simeq \{t\} \times \mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ for all $t \in I$, with the Lie bracket

$$[v, w]_t = t[v, w]$$

for all $v, w \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then $\varphi_0^!B$ is an abelian algebra and $\varphi_1^!B$ is not, since it is the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Therefore, $\varphi_0^!B$ and $\varphi_1^!B$ cannot be isomorphic.

If $B \rightarrow N$ is a transitive Lie algebroid, pullbacks always exist and are transitive, and the required inclusion between the images of $T\varphi|_{(TI \times 0)}$ and ρ_B holds. This particular case of Proposition 3.14 and Remark 3.16 is known, see for instance [Mei21]. Proposition 3.14 yields a proof of the splitting theorem for transitive Lie algebroids, see also [Mei21], and [Mac05a] and [CF03] for different proofs.

Corollary 3.18. *Let $B \rightarrow N$ be a transitive Lie algebroid with anchor $\rho_B: B \rightarrow TN$. For each $x \in N$ the vector space $\ker(\rho_B)_x =: \mathfrak{g}_x$ inherits a Lie algebra structure, the **isotropy Lie algebra of B at x** .*

- (1) *If N is connected, then for each $x, y \in N$, $\ker(\rho_B)_x$ and $\ker(\rho_B)_y$ are isomorphic Lie algebras.*

Denote the obtained constant isotropy Lie algebra by \mathfrak{g} . It is the **isotropy Lie algebra of B** .

- (2) *If N is contractible, then B is isomorphic to the direct product Lie algebroid $TN \times \mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. (1) Take a smooth curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ with $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(1) = y$. Then γ can be considered as a smooth homotopy $I \times \{*\} \rightarrow M$ with $\gamma_0(*) = x$ and $\gamma_1(*) = y$. Then

$$\gamma_0^{\parallel} B = \mathfrak{g}_x \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_1^{\parallel} B = \mathfrak{g}_y.$$

By Proposition 3.14, $\gamma_0^{\parallel} B \cong \gamma_1^{\parallel} B$ as Lie algebroids, so \mathfrak{g}_x and \mathfrak{g}_y are isomorphic Lie algebras. This proves the first part.

- (2) Fix $x \in N$ and set $\ker(\rho_B)_x =: \mathfrak{g}$. Then the pullback under the smooth map $h: N \rightarrow \{x\}$ of \mathfrak{g} is $h^{\parallel} \mathfrak{g} = TN \times \mathfrak{g}$. Consider the smooth inclusion map $f: \{x\} \rightarrow N$. Then $f^{\parallel} B = \mathfrak{g}$. As a consequence, the pullback of B under $f \circ g: N \rightarrow N$, $z \mapsto x$ for all $z \in N$, is $(f \circ g)^{\parallel} B = TN \times \mathfrak{g}$. Since $f \circ g$ is smoothly homotopic to the identity map of N , apply again Proposition 3.14 and conclude that $B \cong TN \times \mathfrak{g}$. \square

Consider a transitive Lie algebroid B over a contractible base manifold N . Then as above $B \simeq TN \times \mathfrak{g}$, where \mathfrak{g} is the isotropy Lie algebra of B at a fixed $x \in N$. Example 3.7 then yields that B and \mathfrak{g} are LA-homotopy equivalent. In particular, this provides a proof for the generalized Poincaré Lemma, see Lemma 5.4 later on.

3.3. A construction of examples. Proposition 3.14 can be used to construct examples of LA-homotopies.

Corollary 3.19. *In the situation of Proposition 3.14,*

- (1) *there exists an LA-isomorphism $\Pi: TI \times \varphi_0^{\parallel} B \rightarrow \varphi^{\parallel} B$ over the identity on $I \times M$, and*
- (2) *the composition $p_{B,\varphi} \circ \Pi$ defines an LA-homotopy*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TI \times \varphi_0^{\parallel} B & \xrightarrow{p_{B,\varphi} \circ \Pi} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I \times M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N, \end{array}$$

between $p_{B,\varphi} \circ \Pi \circ \mathcal{I}_0: \varphi_0^{\parallel} B \rightarrow B$ and $p_{B,\varphi} \circ \Pi \circ \mathcal{I}_1: \varphi_1^{\parallel} B \rightarrow B$.

Proof. Consider the canonical Lie algebroid morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi^{\parallel} B := (TI \times TM) \times_{TN} B & \xrightarrow{p_{B,\varphi}} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I \times M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N, \end{array}$$

see Lemma 2.6. Since φ_t equals $\varphi|_{\{t\} \times M}$, the vector bundle $\varphi_t^{\parallel} B$ can be identified with the subbundle $((\{0_t\} \times TM) \times_{TN} B)$ of $\varphi^{\parallel} B$ over $\{t\} \times M$, and the Lie algebroid morphism $p_{B,\varphi}$ above restricts to the Lie algebroid morphism $p_{B,\varphi_t} = p_{B,\varphi}|_{((\{0_t\} \times TM) \times_{TN} B)}$.

Moreover, φ is homotopic to the function $p: I \times M \rightarrow N$, $p(t, m) := \varphi_0(m)$ via the homotopy

$$\alpha: I \times (I \times M) \rightarrow N, \quad \alpha(s, t, m) := \varphi(st, m).$$

Define the surjective submersions $\pi: I^2 \times M \rightarrow I \times M$, $((s, t), m) \mapsto (st, m)$ and $\pi_s: I \times M \rightarrow I \times M$, $(t, m) \mapsto (st, m)$ (for $s \neq 0$). Then $\alpha = \varphi \circ \pi$ and $\alpha_s = \varphi \circ \pi_s$ for all $s \in I \setminus \{0\}$. Since the pullback of a Lie algebroid under a surjective submersion always exists, the pullback Lie algebroids $\alpha^!B$ and $\alpha_s^!B$ exist by functoriality, for all $s \in I \setminus \{0\}$. For $s = 0$, $\alpha_0^!B$ is obtained by pulling back $\varphi_0^!B$ via the map $0 \times \text{id}_M: I \times M \rightarrow \{0\} \times M$. Since any Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow \{0\} \times M \simeq M$ pulls back under $0 \times \text{id}_M$ to the Lie algebroid $TI \times A \rightarrow I \times M$, also $\alpha_0^!B$ exists as a pullback Lie algebroid.

Observe that $(T\alpha)(TI \times 0 \times 0) = (T\varphi)(TI \times 0) \subseteq \rho_B(B)$ by definition of α . All the hypotheses of Proposition 3.14 for the homotopy α are hence valid, and so $\alpha_0^!B = p^!B = TI \times \varphi_0^!B$ and $\alpha_1^!B = \varphi^!B$ are isomorphic Lie algebroids, via an isomorphism $\Pi: TI \times \varphi_0^!B \rightarrow \varphi^!B$ over the identity on $I \times M$. (Which is not unique in general, and can be constructed as in the proof of Proposition 3.14.) This proves the first statement.

Composing $p_{B,\varphi}$ with Π defines the LA-homotopy

$$\Phi := p_{B,\varphi} \circ \Pi: TI \times \varphi_0^!B \rightarrow B$$

between

$$\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0 = p_{B,\varphi} \circ \Pi \circ \mathcal{I}_0: \varphi_0^!B \rightarrow B$$

and

$$\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_1 = p_{B,\varphi} \circ \Pi \circ \mathcal{I}_1: \varphi_0^!B \rightarrow B$$

□

Lemma 3.20. *In the situation of Proposition 3.14, assume that $M = N$ and $\varphi_0 = \text{id}_N$. Then there always exists an LA-isomorphism $\tilde{\Pi}: TI \times B \rightarrow \varphi^!B$ such that the composition $p_{B,\varphi} \circ \tilde{\Pi}: TI \times B \rightarrow B$ defines an LA-homotopy between $\text{Id}_B: B \rightarrow B$ and $p_{B,\varphi} \circ \tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1: B \rightarrow B$.*

Proof. Since $\varphi_0 = \text{id}_N$, the Lie algebroid $B \rightarrow N$ is canonically isomorphic to $\varphi_0^!B \rightarrow N = M$ via the identification $B \rightarrow \varphi_0^!B = \text{id}_N^!B$, $b \mapsto (\rho_B(b), b)$. Applying Corollary 3.19 to φ and $B \rightarrow N$ then yields an LA-morphism

$$\Phi: TI \times B \xrightarrow{\Pi} \varphi^!B \xrightarrow{p_{B,\varphi}} B,$$

$$(r_t, b_n) \mapsto (r_t, \rho_B(b_n), y(r_t, b_n)_{\varphi(t,n)}) \mapsto y(r_t, b_n)_{\varphi(t,n)},$$

defined over $\varphi: I \times N \rightarrow N$, with Π a (non-canonical) Lie algebroid isomorphism over the identity map $\text{id}_{I \times N}$. For each pair $(r_t, b_n) \in TI \times B$, the element $y(r_t, b_n)_{\varphi(t,n)} \in B_{\varphi(t,n)}$ satisfies

$$T\varphi(r_t, \rho_B(b_n)) = \rho_B(y(r_t, b_n)_{\varphi(t,n)}).$$

Φ is an LA-homotopy between $\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0 = p_{B,\varphi} \circ \Pi \circ \mathcal{I}_0$, defined over id_N , and $\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_1 = p_{B,\varphi} \circ \Pi \circ \mathcal{I}_1$, defined over φ_1 .

It is then possible to modify the above as follows to another LA-homotopy $\tilde{\Phi}: TI \times B \rightarrow B$, such that $\tilde{\Phi} \circ \mathcal{I}_0 = \text{Id}_B$ and $\tilde{\Phi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1 = p_{B,\varphi_1} \circ \tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1$, for some isomorphism $\tilde{\Pi}: TI \times B \rightarrow \varphi^!B$. First prove that the map

$$\tilde{\Phi} \circ \mathcal{I}_0: B \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}_0} TI \times B \xrightarrow{\Pi} \varphi^!B \xrightarrow{p_{B,\varphi}} B$$

$$b_n \mapsto (0_0, b_n) \mapsto (0_0, \rho_B(b_n), y(0_0, b_n)) \mapsto y(0_0, b_n) \in B_n$$

is an LA-automorphism of B over the identity map. In particular, then $\rho_B(b_n) = \rho_B(y(0_0, b_n))$.

Since Π is an isomorphism, $\Pi \circ \mathcal{I}_0 = \Pi|_{0_0 \times B}: 0_0 \times B \rightarrow \varphi^{\#}B$ is injective and it is an isomorphism to its image, which is contained in $\varphi_0^{\#}(B) \subseteq \varphi^{\#}B$. Since $\varphi_0 = \text{id}_N$, $\varphi_0^{\#}B \simeq B$ and so $\Pi \circ \mathcal{I}_0$ must map surjectively to $\varphi_0^{\#}B$ for dimension reasons.

Since $\varphi_0 = \text{id}_N$, the LA-morphism $p_{B,\varphi}|_{\varphi_0^{\#}B}: \varphi_0^{\#}B \rightarrow B$ is the isomorphism $p_{B,\varphi_0}: \varphi_0^{\#}B \rightarrow B$ with inverse $b \mapsto (\rho_B(b), b)$. This shows that $\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebroids.

Use the inverse $(\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0)^{-1}$ of the Lie algebroid automorphism $\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0$ to define the new isomorphism $\tilde{\Pi}: TI \times B \rightarrow \varphi^{\#}B$ of Lie algebroid as in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TI \times B & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{TI} \times (\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0)^{-1}} & TI \times B \\ & \searrow \tilde{\Pi} & \downarrow \Pi \\ & & \varphi^{\#}B \end{array}$$

$$(r_t, b_n) \mapsto (r_t, \rho_B(b_n), y(r_t, (\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0)^{-1}(b_n)))$$

over the identity map $\text{id}_{I \times N}$. It is an isomorphism of Lie algebroids as a composition of Lie algebroid isomorphisms over the identity on $I \times N$.

Use $\tilde{\Pi}$ to define the LA-homotopy

$$\tilde{\Phi}: TI \times B \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Pi}} \varphi^{\#}B \xrightarrow{p_{B,\varphi}} B$$

$$(r_t, b_n) \mapsto (r_t, \rho_B(b_n), y(r_t, (\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0)^{-1}b_n)) \mapsto y(r_t, (\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0)^{-1}b_n)$$

over $\varphi: I \times N \rightarrow N$. The map $\tilde{\Phi}$ can also be written as

$$\tilde{\Phi}(r_t, y) := \Phi(r_t, (\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0)^{-1}(y)).$$

It is an LA-homotopy between

$$\tilde{\Phi} \circ \mathcal{I}_0 = p_{B,\varphi} \circ \tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_0 = \Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0 \circ (\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0)^{-1} = \text{Id}_B$$

and

$$\tilde{\Phi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1 = p_{B,\varphi} \circ \tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1 = p_{B,\varphi}|_{\varphi_1^{\#}B} \circ \tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1 = p_{B,\varphi_1} \circ \tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1.$$

□

Note that later Lemma 3.20 will find a direct application in Theorem 5.1.

Finally, Corollary 3.19 yields examples of LA-homotopy between Atiyah Lie algebroids.

Example 3.21. Let $A(P) \rightarrow N$ be an Atiyah Lie algebroid and let $\varphi: I \times M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth homotopy. Corollary 3.19 implies that there exists a Lie algebroid of the form

$$TI \times A(\varphi_0^*P) \rightarrow A(P).$$

This is an immediate consequence of the well known fact that $A(\varphi_0^*P) \cong \varphi_0^{\#}A(P)$ (see for instance [Mac05a]) and Corollary 3.19. All hypotheses are satisfied because Atiyah Lie algebroids are transitive.

4. THE LIE ALGEBRA CASE

Lie algebras are prototypical examples of Lie algebroids. They probably are the most “rigid” class of Lie algebroids, i.e. where examples of LA-homotopies are the rarest. Nevertheless, it is interesting to measure how restrictive this notion is and how it relates to the classical deformation theory of morphisms of Lie algebras. This section proves that LA-homotopies between Lie algebra morphisms are smooth curves of Lie algebra morphisms the variations of which are controlled by the adjoint representation of the target Lie algebras.

4.1. LA-homotopy for Lie algebras. The study of LA-homotopy in the subcategory of Lie algebras provides an equivalent characterization, compatible with deformation theory. The latter is expressed in classical Lie theory terms, so accessible to a reader with no interest in general Lie algebroids.

Proposition 4.1. *Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be Lie algebras. Consider a vector bundle morphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TI \times \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathfrak{h} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I \times \{*\} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \{*\} \end{array}$$

Then (Φ, φ) is a LA-morphism, i.e. an LA-homotopy between $\Phi_0 := \Phi(0|_0, \cdot)$ and $\Phi_1 := \Phi(0|_1, \cdot): \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$, if and only if it can be rewritten by means of smooth curves $c: I \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ and $\psi: I \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{LA}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, as

$$(7) \quad \Phi(r\partial_t|_s, x) := rc(s) + \psi_s(x)$$

with $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in I$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, satisfying the partial differential equation

$$(8) \quad (\partial_t \psi)_s(x) = -\text{ad}_{c(s)}(\psi_s(x)) := -[c(s), \psi_s(x)]$$

for each $s \in I$ and each $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 4.2. By definition, $\Phi_j = \psi_j$ for $j = 0, 1$.

Proof. Φ is a Lie algebroid morphism if and only if the vector bundle morphism

$$\Phi^{\sharp}: TI \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow TI \times \mathfrak{h} = \varphi^{\sharp} \mathfrak{h}$$

$$(r\partial_t|_s, x) \mapsto (r\partial_t|_s, rc(s) + \psi_s(x))$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in I$ and all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, is a Lie algebroid morphism (see e.g. [Mac05a]).

A pair of a smooth function $f \in C^\infty(I)$ and $x \in C^\infty(I, \mathfrak{g})$ defines a smooth section $(f\partial_t, x): s \rightarrow (f(s)\partial_t|_s, x(s))$ of $TI \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow I \times \{*\}$. This smooth section is sent by Φ^{\sharp} to the smooth section $s \mapsto (f(s)\partial_t|_s, f(s)c(s) + \psi_s(x(s)))$ of $TI \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow I \times \{*\}$.

In the following calculations, use the usual simplified notation $f' := \partial_t f$, $x' := \partial_t x$ and $\psi' := \partial_t \psi$. Then for $f, g \in C^\infty(I)$ and $x, y \in C^\infty(I, \mathfrak{g})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{\sharp}[(f\partial_t, x), (g\partial_t, y)] &= \Phi^{\sharp}((f'g - gf')\partial_t, [x, y] + fy' - gx') \\ &= ((f'g - gf')\partial_t, (f'g - gf')c + \psi([x, y] + fy' - gx')) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi^{\sharp}(f\partial_t, x), \Phi^{\sharp}(g\partial_t, y)] &= [(f\partial_t, fc + \psi(x)), (g\partial_t, gc + \psi(y))] \\ &= ((f'g - gf')\partial_t, f\partial_t(gc + \psi(y)) - g\partial_t(fc + \psi(x)) + [fc + \psi(x), gc + \psi(y)]). \end{aligned}$$

By imposing equality between the last two expressions for all smooth functions $f, g \in C^\infty(I)$, the following conditions are found:

$$\psi([x, y]) = [\psi(x), \psi(y)]$$

by setting $f = 0 = g$ in the equations above, and

$$\psi(\mathcal{G}) = [c, \psi(y)] + \partial_t(\psi(y)) = \psi'(y) + \psi(\mathcal{G}) + [c, \psi(y)]$$

by then setting $f = 1$ and $g = 0$ in the equations above. So ψ is a curve of LA-morphisms satisfying the claimed partial differential equation with the curve $c: I \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *In the situation of Proposition 4.1, assume that \mathfrak{h} is abelian. Then $\partial_t \psi \equiv 0$. It implies that two Lie algebra morphisms $\Phi_0, \Phi_1: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ are LA-homotopic if and only if they are equal.*

Proof. Since $\partial_t \psi \equiv 0$, the curve $\psi: I \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{LA}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is constant. Hence Φ is given by

$$\Phi(r\partial_t|_s, x) := rc(s) + \psi(x)$$

with some fixed $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{LA}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Since $\Phi_j = \Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_j$ for $j = 0, 1$, this yields $\Phi_j(x) = \Phi(0 \cdot \partial_t|_j, x) = \psi(x)$ for $j = 0, 1$ and all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. \square

4.2. Relation with the deformation theory of Lie algebra morphisms. This section shows that the notion of LA-homotopy for Lie algebras morphisms, in particular the characterization in Proposition 4.1, is compatible with the literature on deformation theory. To give an idea of this result, a quick introduction to deformation theory of Lie algebra morphisms is provided. For more details see [NR68], [NR67], [KS22] and [Man05].

As expected, LA-homotopy of Lie algebra morphisms and homotopy of differential graded Lie algebras are related, roughly as follows, for more details see [NR68, NR67]. Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be Lie algebras. Let E_p be the vector space $\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ for $p \geq 0$ and set $E_p = \{0\}$ if $p < 0$. Set then $E := \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} E_p = \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}$. In particular, E_1 is the space of linear maps from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} . Again, fix $s \in I$ and keep the notation of Proposition 4.1. It is well known that $\psi_s \in E_1$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism if and only if it satisfies the **Maurer-Cartan equation**, called also deformation equation

$$(9) \quad D\psi_s + \frac{1}{2}[\psi_s, \psi_s] = 0$$

where the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]: E^\bullet \times E^\bullet \rightarrow E^{\bullet+\bullet}$ is defined by

$$[\mu_1, \mu_2](v_1, \dots, v_{p+q}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}(p, q)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) [\mu_1(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(p)}), \mu_2(v_{\sigma(p+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(p+q)})]_{\mathfrak{h}}$$

for $p, q \geq 0$, $\mu_1 \in E_p$, $\mu_2 \in E_q$, $v_1, \dots, v_{p+q} \in \mathfrak{g}$, and where

$$\text{Sh}(p, q) := \{\sigma \in S_{p+q} \mid \sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(p), \quad \sigma(p+1) < \dots < \sigma(p+q)\}$$

is the subset of (p, q) -unshuffles in S_{p+q} . The degree 1 derivation $D: E^\bullet \rightarrow E^{\bullet+1}$ is induced by the trivial \mathfrak{g} -representation on \mathfrak{h} :

$$D\mu(v_1, \dots, v_{p+1}) = \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \mu([v_i, v_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, \hat{v}_j, \dots, v_{p+1})$$

for all $\mu \in E^p$ and $v_1, \dots, v_{p+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$. The triple $(E, D, [\cdot, \cdot])$ satisfies compatibility conditions which make it a **differential graded Lie algebra (dgla)**, see

e.g. [NR68]. The **Maurer-Cartan elements** of E are the elements (of degree 1) in the differential graded Lie algebra E which are solutions of the Maurer-Cartan equation (9), i.e. precisely the Lie algebra morphisms between \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} . The set of Maurer-Cartan elements is denoted by MC_E . For differential graded Lie algebras, there exists a notion of homotopy, which is adapted as follows from [Man05, Definition 5.2].

Definition 4.4. Let $(E, [\cdot, \cdot], D)$ be a dgla and consider the *graded differential commutative algebra (dgca)* $\Omega_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(I)$ with zero bracket and the de Rham differential $\mathbf{d}(h + g dt) := (\partial_t h)dt$, for h, g smooth functions on I , which is as always an open interval containing $[0, 1]$. Define $F := E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega_{\text{dR}}(I) = \bigoplus_i F^i$ with

$$F^i = E^i \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C^\infty(I) \oplus E^{i-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega_{\text{dR}}^1(I) = C^\infty(I, E^i) \oplus C^\infty(I, E^{i-1})dt$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The tensor product of a dgla and a dgca is naturally endowed with a dgla structure.

The bracket is defined (pointwise) by

$$[x \otimes a, y \otimes b] := (-1)^{\bar{a}\bar{y}} [x, y] \otimes ab,$$

for $x, y \in E$ and $a, b \in \Omega_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(I)$. \bar{a} and \bar{y} denote the degree of $a \in \Omega_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(I)$ and $y \in E$, respectively. More precisely, for $\psi_1: I \rightarrow E^i$, $\psi_2: I \rightarrow E^j$, $c_1: I \rightarrow E^{i-1}$ and $c_2: I \rightarrow E^{j-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_1 + c_1 dt, \psi_2 + c_2 dt](t) &:= (-1)^{0 \cdot j} [\psi_1(t), \psi_2(t)] + (-1)^{0 \cdot (j-1)} [\psi_1(t), c_2(t)] dt \\ &\quad + (-1)^{1 \cdot j} [c_1(t), \psi_2(t)] dt. \end{aligned}$$

The differential is naturally defined by

$$\delta_F(\psi + c dt)(t) := D(\psi(t)) + (-1)^i (\partial_t \psi(t)) dt + D(c(t)) dt,$$

for $\psi: I \rightarrow E^i$ and $c: I \rightarrow E^{i-1}$.

For each $s \in I$, define the **evaluation morphism** of dgla $v_s: F \rightarrow E$ as

$$v_s(e \otimes h) := h(s) \cdot e, \quad v_s(e \otimes h dt) := 0,$$

for all $h \in C^\infty(I)$ and $e \in E$.

Definition 4.5. $\psi_0 \in \text{MC}_E$ is defined to be **homotopy equivalent** to $\psi_1 \in \text{MC}_E$ if there exists $\Psi \in \text{MC}_F$ such that $\psi_j = v_j(\Psi)$ for $j = 0, 1$.

Lemma 4.6. *LA-homotopy equivalent Lie algebra morphisms $\psi_j: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ are homotopy equivalent Maurer-Cartan elements of the corresponding dgla $(E = \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}, D, [\cdot, \cdot])$.*

Equivalently, the Maurer-Cartan elements of the dgla $\Omega = (C^\infty(I) \oplus \Omega_{\text{dR}}^1(I)) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^ \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ are precisely the Lie algebroid morphisms of the form $TI \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$.*

Proof. As above, $E = \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ with D and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ induced by the Lie bracket in \mathfrak{h} and the Lie bracket in \mathfrak{g} , respectively. The tensor product dgla F is given by $F = \Omega_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(I) \otimes (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h})$. An element of F^1 has the form $\Phi = \psi + c dt$ with $\psi: I \rightarrow \wedge^1 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ and $c: I \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$. Assume that $\Phi = \psi + c dt \in \text{MC}_F$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta_F(\psi + c dt) + \frac{1}{2} [\psi + c dt, \psi + c dt] \\ &= D\psi - \partial_t \psi dt + Dc dt + \frac{1}{2} [\psi, \psi] + \frac{1}{2} [\psi, c] dt + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^1 [c, \psi] dt. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$D\psi + \frac{1}{2}[\psi, \psi] = 0$$

$$\partial_t \psi = Dc + [\psi, c] = [\psi, c].$$

The last equality holds because $c \in C^\infty(I, \mathfrak{h})$ and D vanishes on $\wedge^0 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}$, as D is defined by the trivial representation of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{h} .

Hence Φ is a Maurer-Cartan element of Ω if and only if $\psi(t) \in MC_E$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(\partial_t \psi)_s = [\psi(s), c(s)]$ for all $s \in I$. The second part of the statement then follows by Proposition 4.1. \square

LA-homotopy and deformation cohomology are related as follows.

Remark 4.7. The deformation cohomology of a Lie algebra morphism $\psi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is defined to be the Lie algebra cohomology with values in the representation $H_{\psi^* \text{ad}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ given by the pullback via ψ of the adjoint representation, i.e.

$$(\psi^* \text{ad})(x)(y) = (\text{ad} \circ \psi)(x)(y) = [\psi(x), y]$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and all $y \in \mathfrak{h}$. Take a smooth curve $\psi: I \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{LA}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and $c: I \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ satisfying together (8), note that

$$(\partial_t \psi)_s(x) = [\psi_s(x), c(s)] = (\psi_s^* \text{ad})_x c(s)$$

for all $s \in I$ and all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Thus Φ defined as in (7) is an LA-homotopy if and only if for all $s \in I$ the deformation cocycles $(\partial_t \psi)_s$ (of ψ seen as a deformation of ψ_s) are coboundaries for the deformation cohomology of ψ_s .

5. A GENERALIZED POINCARÉ LEMMA FOR LIE ALGEBROID COHOMOLOGY

This section studies the LA-cohomology of pullback Lie algebroids under smooth homotopies, and then proves a Poincaré Lemma for Lie algebroid cohomology in the transitive case.

5.1. LA-cohomology of pullback Lie algebroids. Corollary 3.19 and Lemma 3.20 have a direct application in the computation of the twisted Lie algebroid cohomology of some Lie algebroids.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ and $g: N \rightarrow M$ define a smooth homotopy equivalence between the manifolds M and N . If $B \rightarrow N$ is a transitive Lie algebroid, then for each flat B -connection $\nabla: \Gamma(B) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ on a vector bundle $E \rightarrow N$, the morphism*

$$H_{\nabla}^\bullet(B, E) \xrightarrow{\overline{p_{B,f}^*}} H_{p_{B,f}^* \nabla}^\bullet(f^! B, f^! E)$$

is an isomorphism of modules over the ring isomorphism $\overline{p_{B,f}^*}: H^\bullet(B) \rightarrow H^\bullet(f^! B)$.

Proof. Consider the canonical LA-morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^! B & \xrightarrow{p_{B,f}} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f^! B & \xleftarrow{p_{f^! B, g}} & g^!(f^! B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xleftarrow{g} & N \end{array}$$

Let $\varphi: I \times N \rightarrow N$ be a smooth homotopy such that $\varphi_0 = \text{id}_N$ and $\varphi_1 = f \circ g$. By Lemma 3.20 there exists an LA-morphism $\tilde{\Phi}: TI \times B \rightarrow B$

$$\tilde{\Phi}: TI \times B \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Pi}} \varphi^! B \xrightarrow{p_{B,\varphi}} B,$$

which is an LA-homotopy between

$$\tilde{\Phi} \circ \mathcal{I}_0 = p_{B,\varphi} \circ \tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_0 = \Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0 \circ (\Phi \circ \mathcal{I}_0)^{-1} = \text{Id}_B$$

and

$$\tilde{\Phi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1 = p_{B,\varphi} \circ \tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1 = p_{B,\varphi}|_{\varphi_1^! B} \circ \tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1 = p_{B,f} \circ p_{f^! B,g} \circ \tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1.$$

The above uses that the image of $\tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1$ is contained in $\varphi_1^! B \subseteq \varphi^! B$, and that $p_{B,\varphi}$ coincides with $p_{B,\varphi_1} = p_{B,f \circ g} = p_{B,f} \circ p_{f^! B,g}$ on this subbundle. Recall that by Proposition 3.14 (and Remark 3.15), $\varphi_1^! B \simeq \varphi_0^! B = \text{id}_N^! B = B$. In addition, $\tilde{\Pi}: TI \times B \rightarrow \varphi^! B$ is an LA-isomorphism over the identity on $I \times N$. As a consequence, the map $\tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1: B \rightarrow \varphi_1^! B$ (with restricted codomain) is injective, and since B and $\varphi_1^! B$ have the same rank, it is an isomorphism. It hence defines an isomorphism

$$\overline{(\tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1)^*}: \text{H}_{p_{B,f \circ g}^* \nabla}((f \circ g)^! B, (f \circ g)^! E) \rightarrow \text{H}_{\nabla}(B, E)$$

in cohomology.

Set

$$F := p_{B,f}: f^! B \rightarrow B, \quad F(v_m, b_{f(m)}) := b_{f(m)}$$

and

$$G := p_{f^! B,g} \circ \tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1: B \rightarrow f^! B$$

The composition $F \circ G$ is by definition $\tilde{\Phi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1$. Thus it is LA-homotopic to Id_B via the LA-homotopy $\tilde{\Phi}: TI \times B \rightarrow B$, over $\varphi: I \times N \rightarrow N$. As a consequence, the induced map $\overline{G^*}$ in cohomology is surjective and $\overline{F^*}$ is injective. Since $\overline{(\tilde{\Pi} \circ \mathcal{I}_1)^*}$ is an isomorphism, the surjectivity of $\overline{p_{f^! B,g}^*}$ then follows from the surjectivity of $\overline{G^*}$.

With an analogous construction, given a homotopy $\eta: I \times M \rightarrow M$ between $\eta_0 = \text{Id}_M$ and $\eta_1 = g \circ f$, apply Lemma 3.20 to get an isomorphism $\tilde{\Theta}: TI \times f^! B \rightarrow \eta^! f^! B$, over the identity map, and an LA-homotopy

$$\tilde{\Psi}: TI \times f^! B \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Theta}} \eta^! f^! B \xrightarrow{p_{f^! B,\eta}} f^! B$$

such that

$$\tilde{\Psi} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_0 = \text{Id}_{f^! B},$$

for $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_0: f^! B \rightarrow TI \times f^! B$ the inclusion LA-morphism at time 0.

At time 1, the LA-morphism reads

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_1 &= p_{f^! B,\eta} \circ \tilde{\Theta} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_1 = p_{f^! B,\eta}|_{\eta_1^! f^! B} \circ \tilde{\Theta} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_1 = p_{f^! B,g \circ f} \circ \tilde{\Theta} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_1 \\ &= p_{f^! B,g} \circ p_{g^!(f^! B),f} \circ \tilde{\Theta} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\tilde{F} := p_{g^!(f^! B),f} \circ \tilde{\Theta} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_1: f^! B \rightarrow g^!(f^! B)$$

and

$$\tilde{G} = p_{f^! B,g}: g^!(f^! B) \rightarrow f^! B.$$

By construction, $\tilde{G} \circ \tilde{F} = \tilde{\Psi} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_1$ is LA-homotopic to $\text{Id}_{f^! B}$.

Then $\overline{\tilde{G}^*}$ is injective and $\overline{\tilde{F}^*}$ is surjective. Recall that by the considerations above, $\overline{\tilde{G}^*} := \overline{p_{f^! B,g}^*}$ is also surjective. Hence $\overline{\tilde{G}^*}$ is a module isomorphism as a composition of module isomorphisms. Since $\overline{(\tilde{F} \circ \tilde{G})^*} \equiv \text{Id}_{\text{H}_{\nabla}(B,E)}$, then also $\overline{\tilde{F}^*}$ is an isomorphism, and similarly, $\overline{\tilde{F}^*}$ is an isomorphism. \square

Remark 5.2. If adequate homotopies $\varphi: I \times N \rightarrow N$ and $\psi: I \times M \rightarrow M$, and Lie algebroids $B \rightarrow N$ and $f^!B \rightarrow M$ exist such that the conditions of Proposition 3.14 are satisfied, Theorem 5.1 holds also in the non-transitive case.

Since Atiyah Lie algebroids are transitive, Theorem 5.1 has the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3. *Let $\pi: P \rightarrow N$ be a principal G -bundle, with G a Lie group, and $f: M \rightarrow N$ a homotopy equivalence. For each flat $A(P)$ -connection $\nabla: \Gamma(A(P)) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ with values on the vector bundle $E \rightarrow N$, the map*

$$\mathbf{H}_{\nabla}^{\bullet}(A(P), E) \xrightarrow{\overline{p_{A(P),f}^*}} \mathbf{H}_{p_{A(P),f}^* \nabla}^{\bullet}(A(f^*P), f^!E)$$

is a module isomorphism.

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of the well known fact that $A(f^*P) \cong f^!A(P)$ (see for instance [Mac05a]) and Theorem 5.1. \square

5.2. A generalized Poincaré lemma. A crucial property of de Rham cohomology is its local triviality, also known as Poincaré Lemma. In general, Lie algebroid cohomology is not locally trivial. However, in the transitive case, the Lie algebroid cohomology can be more easily computed using the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of the isotropy Lie algebra.

Lemma 5.4 (Generalized Poincaré-Lemma). *Let $B \rightarrow U$ be a transitive Lie algebroid over a contractible base manifold. Let ∇ be a B -representation on $E \rightarrow U$. For $x \in U$, denote as usual by $\mathfrak{g}_x := \ker(\rho_B)|_x$ the **isotropy Lie algebra** of B at x . Define $f_x: \{*\} \rightarrow U$, $f_x(*) := x$. Then B and \mathfrak{g}_x are LA-homotopy equivalent for each x in U . In particular,*

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{H}_{\nabla}^{\bullet}(B, E) \xrightarrow{\overline{p_{B,f_x}^*}} \mathbf{H}_{\nabla|_x}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_x, E|_x) \quad \forall x \in U,$$

is a module isomorphism over the ring isomorphism $\mathbf{H}^{\bullet}(B) \xrightarrow{\overline{p_{B,f_x}^*}} \mathbf{H}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_x)$. $\nabla|_x$ denotes the pullback of ∇ via the map f_x . $\mathbf{H}_{\nabla|_x}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_x, E|_x)$ is the twisted Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology with coefficients in $E|_x$ of the isotropy Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_x .

Proof. Since U is contractible, each map $f_x: \{*\} \rightarrow U$, $f_x(*) := x$, for $x \in U$, defines a homotopy equivalence between U and the point $\{*\}$. Thus $f_x^!B$ and B have isomorphic cohomology modules, by Theorem 5.1, for each choice of $x \in U$. Conclude by observing that

$$f_x^!B = \{(0, b) \in T\{*\} \times B \mid Tf_x(0) = \rho_B(b)\} \cong \ker(\rho_B)|_x = \mathfrak{g}_x.$$

\square

Remark 5.5. When the Lie algebroid B is the tangent bundle TU of a contractible manifold, Lemma 5.4 reduces to the classical Poincaré Lemma of de Rham cohomology.

In general it is not possible to reduce to the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of a Lie algebra for non-transitive Lie algebroids. For instance, for Lie algebroids with zero anchor and trivial brackets the equality $\mathbf{H}^0(A) = C^{\infty}(U)$ holds. $C^{\infty}(U)$ is an infinite dimensional vector space, whether the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of a Lie algebra is finite dimensional.

5.3. Relation with the existing literature on Morita equivalence. In [Cra03] Crainic proves the following result.

Theorem. *Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be a surjective submersion with homologically n -connected fibers, let $B \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid. Then $\overline{p_{B,\pi}^*}: \mathbf{H}^\bullet(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^\bullet(\pi^!B)$ is an isomorphism in all degrees $k \leq n$. The same holds with general coefficients.*

This section compares Theorem 5.1 with this result for weakly connected fibers and for B a transitive Lie algebroid. The following special case of Crainic's result is a corollary of Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be a surjective submersion with weakly connected fibers, let $B \rightarrow M$ be a transitive Lie algebroid and let ∇ be a flat $E \rightarrow M$ connection. $p_{B,\pi}^*: \mathbf{H}_\nabla^\bullet(B, E) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{p_{B,\pi}^*\nabla}^\bullet(\pi^!B, \pi^!E)$ is a module isomorphism over the ring isomorphism $\overline{p_{B,\pi}^*}: \mathbf{H}^\bullet(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^\bullet(\pi^!B)$.*

Proof. Fibers of surjective submersions are smooth manifolds, in particular CW-complexes. By Whitehead's theorem, weakly connected (i.e. with all homotopy group trivial) fibers are contractible fibers. It is proved in [Mei02] (see Corollary 13 combined with an observation after Lemma 6) that any surjective submersion with contractible fibers is a fibration and a homotopy equivalence. As a consequence of Theorem 5.1, conclude that $\overline{p_{B,\pi}^*}: \mathbf{H}_\nabla^\bullet(B, E) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{p_{B,\pi}^*\nabla}^\bullet(\pi^!B, \pi^!E)$ is a module isomorphism over the ring isomorphism $\overline{p_{B,\pi}^*}: \mathbf{H}^\bullet(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^\bullet(\pi^!B)$. \square

On the other hand, *the generalized Poincaré Lemma (Theorem 5.4) is a corollary of Crainic's theorem:* If U is a contractible manifold, $c: U \rightarrow \{*\}$ is a surjective submersion with contractible fibers. Let $B \rightarrow U$ be a transitive Lie algebroid and \mathfrak{g} its isotropy Lie algebra, then there is a surjective submersion

$$p_{\mathfrak{g},c}: c^! \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

with $c^! \mathfrak{g} \cong TU \times \mathfrak{g}$, which is isomorphic to B by Theorem 3.18. This implies that $\overline{p_{\mathfrak{g},c}^*}: \mathbf{H}_\nabla^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{p_{\mathfrak{g},c}^*\nabla}^\bullet(c^! \mathfrak{g}, c^!V)$ is a module isomorphism, using Theorem 5.1. All representations of B are of the form $p_{\mathfrak{g},c}^*\nabla$, see [Cra03]. Then the Poincaré Lemma follows.

6. MAYER-VIETORIS PRINCIPLE AND A KÜNNETH FORMULA

Given the Poincaré lemma, the usual tool for computing the cohomology of more general objects is a Mayer-Vietoris principle.

6.1. Mayer-Vietoris. A Mayer-Vietoris principle seems to be folklore knowledge for LA-cohomology; some references are [Vai94] for Poisson manifolds and [KM03] for transitive Lie algebroids. In this section, a Mayer-Vietoris principle is stated and proved in detail for the twisted LA-cohomology. An explicit expression for the coboundary operator in the long exact sequence is deduced by a generalization of standard techniques (see [BT82]). Let M be a smooth manifold and suppose that $M = U \cup V$, with U, V open. Denote with $j_0: U \cap V \hookrightarrow U$, $j_1: U \cap V \hookrightarrow V$, $i_0: U \hookrightarrow M$, $i_1: V \hookrightarrow M$ and $i: U \sqcup V \hookrightarrow M$ the inclusions. Then the two sequences of smooth maps

$$U \cap V \xrightarrow{j_k} U \sqcup V \xrightarrow{i} M$$

for $k = 0, 1$, give the same composition $i \circ j_0 = i \circ j_1: U \cap V \rightarrow M$.

Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid and ∇ an A -representation on $E \rightarrow M$. Recall that $A|_U := i_0^! A$ denotes the restriction Lie algebroid and $E|_U$ the restricted vector bundle. The notation $\nabla|_U: \Gamma(A|_U) \times \Gamma(E|_U) \rightarrow \Gamma(E|_U)$ stands for the restriction to U of the connection ∇ .

Theorem 6.1. *Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid and ∇ an A -representation on $E \rightarrow M$. Then the Mayer-Vietoris sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(A, E) \xrightarrow{i^*} \Omega^\bullet(A|_U, E|_U) \oplus \Omega^\bullet(A|_V, E|_V) \xrightarrow{j_1^* - j_0^*} \Omega^\bullet(A|_{U \cap V}, E|_{U \cap V}) \rightarrow 0,$$

is exact.

Let $\{\mu_U, \mu_V\}$ be a partition of unity subordinated to the cover $\{U, V\}$. The Mayer-Vietoris sequence induces a long exact sequence in LA-cohomology with values in representations

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\nabla|_{U \cap V}}^q(A|_{U \cap V}, E|_{U \cap V}) \xrightarrow{d_\nabla^*} \mathbb{H}_\nabla^{q+1}(A, E) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathbb{H}_{\nabla|_U}^{q+1}(A|_U, E|_U) \oplus \mathbb{H}_{\nabla|_V}^{q+1}(A|_V, E|_V) \\ \xrightarrow{j_1^* - j_0^*} \mathbb{H}_{\nabla|_{U \cap V}}^{q+1}(A|_{U \cap V}, E|_{U \cap V}) \xrightarrow{d_\nabla^*} \mathbb{H}_\nabla^{q+2}(A, E) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

The coboundary operator d_∇^* is a morphism of vector spaces explicitly defined as

$$d_\nabla^*([\omega]) := \begin{cases} [-d_\nabla(\mu_V \omega)] & \text{on } U \\ [d_\nabla(\mu_U \omega)] & \text{on } V \end{cases}$$

for $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(A|_{U \cap V}, E|_{U \cap V})$.

Proof. The injectivity of the first map and the condition $\ker(j_1^* - j_0^*) = \text{im}(i^*)$ follows because Lie algebroid forms define a sheaf, see Remark 2.21. Each form $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(A|_{U \cap V}, E|_{U \cap V})$ can be rewritten as

$$\omega = (\mu_U)|_{U \cap V} \omega + (\mu_V)|_{U \cap V} \omega.$$

Then a preimage of ω via $j_1^* - j_0^*$ is $\eta := (-\mu_V)|_U \omega, (\mu_U)|_V \omega \in \Omega^\bullet(A|_U, E|_U) \oplus \Omega^\bullet(A|_V, E|_V)$. The Mayer-Vietoris sequence therefore is exact. The existence of the induced long exact sequence is a consequence of standard results of Homological Algebra (see e.g. [BT82]). The idea of the proof is sketched to deduce the explicit expression of the coboundary operator. Let $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(A|_{U \cap V}, E|_{U \cap V})$ be a closed form. Since $(j_1^* - j_0^*)$ intertwines the differentials, it is true that $(j_1^* - j_0^*) \circ d_\nabla(\eta) = d_{\nabla|_{U \cap V}}(\omega) = 0$, for a closed form $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(A|_{U \cap V}, E|_{U \cap V})$ and $\eta := (-\mu_V)|_U \omega, (\mu_U)|_V \omega \in \Omega^\bullet(A|_U, E|_U) \oplus \Omega^\bullet(A|_V, E|_V)$ as above. In other words, $-d_\nabla((\mu_V)|_{U \cap V} \omega)$ and $d_\nabla((\mu_U)|_{U \cap V} \omega)$ agree on $U \cap V$. By exactness of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, there exists $\alpha \in \Omega^{q+1}(A, E)$ such that $i^*(\alpha) = d_\nabla \eta$. α is a closed form because $i^* \circ d_\nabla \alpha = d_\nabla \circ i^*(\alpha) = d_\nabla \circ d_\nabla \eta = 0$ and i^* is injective. Define $d_\nabla^*([\omega]) := [\alpha]$. It is a standard exercise to prove that d_∇^* is well defined. By definition of i^* , the coboundary operator is explicitly defined as in the theorem. \square

Corollary 6.2. *Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid over M admitting a finite good open cover (i.e. all open subsets and their intersections are contractible) $(U_j)_{j \in J}$ such that $\mathbb{H}_{\nabla|_{U_j}}^k(A|_{U_j}, E|_{U_j})$ is finite-dimensional for each $j \in J$. Then $\mathbb{H}_\nabla^k(A, E)$ is finite-dimensional.*

Proof. See the proof of Proposition 5.3.1 in [BT82]. \square

More concretely, this yields an alternative basic proof for the following well known result.

Corollary 6.3. *Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a transitive Lie algebroid over a compact M . Then each twisted Lie algebroid cohomology of A is finite-dimensional.*

Proof. The compact manifold M admits a finite good cover $(U_j)_{j \in J}$. Moreover the generalized Poincaré Lemma 5.4 implies that $H_{\nabla|_{U_j}}^k(A|_{U_j}, E|_{U_j})$ is isomorphic to the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of the isotropy Lie algebra with values in a representation, which is finite dimensional by definition (the vector spaces of the chain complex are finite dimensional). Conclude using Corollary 6.2. \square

Remark 6.4. Corollary 6.3 is usually proven by observing that the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of transitive Lie algebroids is elliptic (see [Kr10]). The cohomology therefore is finite dimensional if the base manifold is compact (see [AB67]). This arguments is used for instance in [Wal23].

6.2. A Künneth formula. A Künneth formula is proved as an application of the generalised Poincaré lemma 5.4 and the Mayer-Vietoris principle 6.1.

Let $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$ be Lie algebroids. Set the notation $\text{Pr}_A: A \times B \rightarrow A$, $(a, b) \mapsto a$, and accordingly $\text{Pr}_B: A \times B \rightarrow B$, $\text{pr}_M: M \times N \rightarrow M$ and $\text{pr}_N: M \times N \rightarrow N$ for the projection morphisms in the respective categories. Let ∇^A be an A -representation on the vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ and let ∇^B be a B -representation on $F \rightarrow N$. The vector bundle $\text{pr}_M^! E \otimes \text{pr}_N^! F$ is the tensor product of the pullback vector bundles on $M \times N$. It is naturally equipped with the connection ∇ defined by the Leibniz rule and

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{(a,b)}(\text{pr}_M^! e \otimes \text{pr}_N^! f) &= (\text{Pr}_A^* \nabla^A)_{(a,b)}(\text{pr}_M^! e) \otimes \text{pr}_N^! f + \text{pr}_M^! e \otimes (\text{Pr}_B^* \nabla^B)_{(a,b)}(\text{pr}_N^! f) \\ &= \text{pr}_M^!(\nabla_a^A e) \otimes \text{pr}_N^! f + \text{pr}_M^! e \otimes \text{pr}_N^!(\nabla_b^B f) \end{aligned}$$

for $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $b \in \Gamma(B)$, $(a, b) := \text{pr}_M^! a + \text{pr}_N^! b \in \Gamma(A \times B)$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in \Gamma(F)$.

Lemma 6.5. *In the situation above, the connection ∇ is well defined and flat.*

Proof. ∇ is linear in its first entry by definition. Moreover (11) is compatible with the Leibniz rule on pullbacks of functions since

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{(a,b)}(\text{pr}_M^!(g \cdot e) \otimes \text{pr}_N^! f) &= \text{pr}_M^* g \cdot \text{pr}_M^!(\nabla_a e) \otimes \text{pr}_N^! f + \text{pr}_M^!(\rho_A(a)(g) \cdot e) \otimes \text{pr}_N^! f + \text{pr}_M^* g \cdot \text{pr}_M^! e \otimes \text{pr}_N^!(\nabla_b f) \\ &= \text{pr}_M^* g \cdot \nabla_{(a,b)}(e \otimes f) + \rho(a, b)(\text{pr}_M^* g) \cdot e \otimes \text{pr}_N^! f, \end{aligned}$$

for $g \in C^\infty(M)$, $(a, b) \in \Gamma(A \times B)$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in \Gamma(F)$. In the same manner,

$$\nabla_{(a,b)}(\text{pr}_M^! e \otimes \text{pr}_N^!(g \cdot f))$$

for $g \in C^\infty(N)$, $(a, b) \in \Gamma(A \times B)$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in \Gamma(F)$. Hence the Leibniz rule can be used in order to extend (11) to a linear $A \times B$ connection on $\text{pr}_M^! E \otimes \text{pr}_N^! F$.

It is enough to compute R_∇ on generators as above. For $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$, $b_1, b_2 \in \Gamma(B)$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in \Gamma(F)$ a simple computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} R_\nabla((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2))(\text{pr}_M^! e \otimes \text{pr}_N^! f) \\ = \text{pr}_M^!(R_{\nabla^A}(a_1, a_2)e) \otimes \text{pr}_N^! f + \text{pr}_M^! e \otimes \text{pr}_N^!(R_{\nabla^B}(b_1, b_2)) = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.6 (Künneth isomorphism). *Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid and let $B \rightarrow N$ be a transitive Lie algebroid over a base manifold N admitting a finite good cover. Let ∇^A and ∇^B be flat connections on the vector bundles $E \rightarrow M$ and $F \rightarrow N$, respectively. Then*

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\nabla^A}^\bullet(A, E) \otimes \mathbf{H}_{\nabla^B}^\bullet(B, F) &\rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\nabla}^\bullet(A \times B, \text{pr}_M^! E \otimes \text{pr}_N^! F) \\ [\omega] \otimes [\eta] &\mapsto [\text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_B^* \eta] \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of modules over the ring isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^\bullet(A) \otimes \mathbf{H}^\bullet(B) &\rightarrow \mathbf{H}^\bullet(A \times B) \\ [\omega] \otimes [\eta] &\mapsto [\text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_B^* \eta]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\mathbf{H}^n(A \times B, \text{pr}_M^! E \otimes \text{pr}_N^! F) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \mathbf{H}^p(A, E) \otimes \mathbf{H}^q(B, F),$$

for each $n \geq 0$.

Kubarski proves in [Kub02] this theorem for the Lie algebroid cohomology of the product $A \times \mathfrak{g}$, where A is a transitive Lie algebroid and \mathfrak{g} a Lie algebra. Theorem 6.6 generalizes his result to more general Lie algebroids and the twisted Lie algebroid cohomology. In this first part of this proof, similar techniques to Kubarski's in [Kub02] are used. Theorem 6.6 generalizes as well a more recent result by Waldron in [Wal23]. The proof of Theorem 6.6 relies on the special case where B is a Lie algebra. This special case is proved first by direct computations.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid and \mathfrak{g} a Lie algebra. Let (E, ∇^A) and $(F, \nabla^{\mathfrak{g}})$ be representations. Denote with $\text{Pr}_A: A \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$ and $\text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{g}}: A \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ the projection maps and with $\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}}$ the tensor product of differential graded vector spaces.*

The Künneth homomorphism

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{K}: (\Omega^\bullet(A, E), d_{\nabla^A}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}} (\Omega^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, F), d_{\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}}}) \longrightarrow (\Omega^\bullet(A \times \mathfrak{g}, \text{pr}_M^! E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}}^! F), d_{\nabla})$$

$$\omega \otimes \varphi \longmapsto \text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \varphi$$

with

$$(\text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \varphi)(a_1, \dots, a_r, v_1, \dots, v_s) := \text{pr}_M^*(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_r)) \otimes \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\varphi(v_1, \dots, v_s))$$

is an isomorphism of graded differential vector spaces.

Proof. For each $x \in M$ consider the map \mathcal{K}_x defined by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\wedge^\bullet A_x^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} E_x) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}} (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes F) & \longrightarrow & (\wedge^\bullet A_x^* \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}} \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} ((\text{pr}_M^! E)_x \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} (\text{pr}_{\{\ast\}}^! F)_x) \\ & \searrow \mathcal{K}_x & \downarrow \\ & & (\wedge^\bullet (A \times \mathfrak{g})_x^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} ((\text{pr}_M^! E)_x \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} (\text{pr}_{\{\ast\}}^! F)_x), \end{array}$$

i.e. \mathcal{K}_x is explicitly defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\omega_x \otimes e_x) \otimes (\eta \otimes f) & \longmapsto & (\omega_x \otimes \eta) \otimes ((\text{pr}_M^! e)_x \otimes (\text{pr}_{\{\ast\}}^! f)_x) \\ & \searrow \mathcal{K}_x & \downarrow \\ & & (\text{Pr}_A^*)_x \omega \wedge (\text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)_x \eta \otimes ((\text{pr}_M^! e)_x \otimes (\text{pr}_{\{\ast\}}^! f)_x). \end{array}$$

The section $e \in \Gamma(E)$ is an extension of e_x and the form ω is an extension of ω_x . The first map of the composition is an isomorphism because of the isomorphisms $(\mathrm{pr}_M^! E)_x \cong E_x$ and $(\mathrm{pr}_*^! F)_x \cong F$, defined via the canonical projection induced by the pullback restricted to a fiber. The second map is an isomorphism by standard arguments of linear algebra: it is true that $(\bigwedge^\bullet A_x^* \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}} \bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow \bigwedge^\bullet (A \times \mathfrak{g})_{|x}^*$, $(\omega_x \otimes \eta) \mapsto (\mathrm{Pr}_A^*)_x \omega \wedge (\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)_x \eta$ is an isomorphism of algebras (see for instance [Gre67], Section 5.15), then apply the tensor product over \mathbb{R} with the vector space $(\mathrm{pr}_M^! E)_x \otimes (\mathrm{pr}_{\{*\}}^! F)_x$, which is an exact functor.

By definition

$$\mathcal{K}((\omega \otimes e) \otimes (\eta \otimes f))_x = \mathcal{K}_x((\omega_x \otimes e) \otimes (\eta_x \otimes f))$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(A)$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$, $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}$, $f \in F$ and $x \in M$. Since \mathcal{K}_x is an isomorphism for each $x \in M$, \mathcal{K} is injective.

To show that \mathcal{K} is an epimorphism, consider a section $\Phi \in \Omega^r(A \times \mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{pr}_M^! E \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{\{*\}}^! F)$. Take a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of \mathfrak{g} and a basis f_1, \dots, f_k of F . Choose an open subset $U \subseteq M$ trivialising both A and E , and smooth frames $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ for A^* over U and (e_1, \dots, e_l) for E over U . Then $\Phi|_{U \times \{*\}}$ can be written

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq r \\ 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_q \leq n \\ p+q=r}} \sum_{s=1}^l \sum_{t=1}^k f_{i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_q} \mathrm{Pr}_A^*(\alpha_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{i_p}) \wedge \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(v_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{j_q}) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_M^! e_s \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{\{*\}}^! f_t$$

with smooth functions

$$f_{i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_q} \in C^\infty(U \times \{*\}) = C^\infty(U).$$

It is then easy to show, using a partition of unity on M subordinate to an open cover of M by sets trivialising simultaneously A and E , that Φ lies in the image of \mathcal{K} . In addition, \mathcal{K} preserves the total degree and is a homomorphism of algebras by definition.

It is left to show that \mathcal{K} intertwines the differentials: take ∇ to be the tensor flat connection in (11), then the induced differential d_∇ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} d_\nabla(\mathcal{K}(\omega \otimes \varphi)) &= d_\nabla(\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \varphi) \\ (15) \quad &= \mathrm{Pr}_A^*(d_{\nabla^A} \omega) \wedge \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \varphi + (-1)^{\deg \omega} \mathrm{Pr}_A^*(\omega) \wedge \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(d_{\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}}} \varphi) \\ &= \mathcal{K}(d_{\nabla^A} \omega \otimes \varphi + (-1)^{\deg(\omega)} \omega \otimes d_{\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}}} \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Denote now by $d_{\hat{\nabla}}$ the differential on the tensor product induced by the differentials d_{∇^A} and $d_{\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}}}$. By definition

$$(16) \quad d_{\hat{\nabla}}(\omega \otimes \varphi) := d_{\nabla^A} \omega \otimes \varphi + (-1)^{\deg(\omega)} \omega \otimes d_{\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}}} \varphi$$

So conclude that

$$d_\nabla \circ \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K} \circ d_{\hat{\nabla}},$$

as expected. \square

Another piece of the proof of Theorem 6.6 relies on the algebraic Künneth isomorphism. The statement below is adapted from e.g. [Spa95], Theorem 5.5.11.

Lemma 6.8. *Let (C, d_C) and $(C', d_{C'})$ be two graded differential vector spaces, and let $(C \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}} C', d)$ be their tensor product. Assume that $H^\bullet(C', d_{C'})$ is finite dimensional. Then the map*

$$\mathcal{H}: C \times C' \rightarrow C \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}} C', \quad (c, c') \mapsto c \otimes c'$$

induces an isomorphism of graded vector spaces in cohomology

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}}: H^\bullet(C, d) \times H^\bullet(C', d_{C'}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(C \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}} C', d).$$

This then implies as follows a Künneth formula in the case of a Lie algebroid and a Lie algebra.

Corollary 6.9. *The isomorphism of graded vector spaces induced in cohomology by the Künneth homomorphism \mathcal{K} defined in (14) is*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{K}}: H_{\nabla}^\bullet(\Omega(A, E) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, F)) &\rightarrow H_{\nabla}^\bullet(A \times \mathfrak{g}, \text{pr}_M^! E \otimes \text{pr}_{\{*\}}^! F) \\ [\omega \otimes \varphi] &\mapsto [\text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \varphi]. \end{aligned}$$

Pre-composing with the inverse of the map $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ of the algebraic Künneth isomorphism yields the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}_{\#}: H_{\nabla A}^\bullet(A, E) \otimes H_{\nabla \mathfrak{g}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, F) \rightarrow H_{\nabla}^\bullet(A \times \mathfrak{g}, \text{pr}_M^! E \otimes \text{pr}_{\{*\}}^! F).$$

The necessary background to prove the more general Künneth formula of Theorem 6.6 has been introduced. The proof of Theorem 6.6 now follows.

Proof of Theorem 6.6. The Künneth isomorphism is first proved to be an isomorphism of graded vector spaces.

The projections $\text{Pr}_A: A \times B \rightarrow A$ and $\text{Pr}_B: A \times B \rightarrow B$ define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: \Omega^\bullet(A, E) \otimes \Omega^\bullet(B, F) &\rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(A \times B, \text{pr}_M^! E \otimes \text{pr}_N^! F) \\ \omega \otimes \varphi &\mapsto \text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_B^* \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

for $\omega \in \Omega^r(A, E)$, $\varphi \in \Omega^s(B, F)$. Recall that

$$(\text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_B^* \varphi)(a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_s) := \text{pr}_M^*(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_r)) \otimes \text{pr}_N^*(\varphi(b_1, \dots, b_s))$$

for $a_j \in \Gamma(A)$ and $b_k \in \Gamma(B)$. Since Pr_A is a Lie algebroid morphism, Pr_A^* intertwines the differentials d_A and $d_{A \times B}$, and analogously Pr_B^* intertwines the differentials d_B and $d_{A \times B}$.

It is easy to check that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\nabla A}^\bullet(A, E) \otimes H_{\nabla B}^\bullet(B, F) &\rightarrow H_{\nabla}^\bullet(\Omega^\bullet(A, E) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega^\bullet(B, F)) \rightarrow H_{\nabla}^\bullet(A \times B, \text{pr}_M^! E \otimes \text{pr}_N^! F) \\ [\omega] \otimes [\varphi] &\mapsto [\omega \otimes \varphi] \mapsto [\text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_B^* \varphi] \end{aligned}$$

are well defined. The differential that defines the cohomology $H_{\nabla}^\bullet(\Omega^\bullet(A, E) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega^\bullet(B, F))$ is

$$d_{\nabla}(\omega \otimes \varphi) := d_{\nabla A} \omega \otimes \varphi + (-1)^{\deg(\omega)} \omega \otimes d_{\nabla B} \varphi,$$

for $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(A, E)$ and $\varphi \in \Omega^\bullet(B, F)$.

It is left to show that the induced map in cohomology

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: H_{\nabla A}^\bullet(A, E) \otimes H_{\nabla B}^\bullet(B, F) &\longrightarrow H_{\nabla}^\bullet(A \times B, \text{pr}_M^! E \otimes \text{pr}_N^! F) \\ [\omega] \otimes [\varphi] &\mapsto [\text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_B^* \varphi] \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces. This is proved as follows by induction on the cardinality of a good cover, adapting Bott and Tu's classical argument in [BT82].

If N is contractible, use the generalized Poincaré-Lemma 5.4 and the special case of the Künneth formula proved in Corollary 6.9: For $x \in N$ and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \ker(\rho_A)_x$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^\bullet(A \times B, \mathrm{pr}_M^! E \otimes \mathrm{pr}_N^! F) &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 5.4}}{\cong} \mathrm{H}^\bullet(A \times \mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{pr}_M^! E \otimes \mathrm{pr}_*^!(F_x)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Cor. 6.9}}{\cong} \mathrm{H}^\bullet(A, E) \otimes \mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, F_x) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 5.4}}{\cong} \mathrm{H}^\bullet(A, E) \otimes \mathrm{H}^\bullet(B, F). \end{aligned}$$

In the first step, let U and V be open sets in N and fix an integer n . The Mayer-Vietoris sequence is

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|U \cup V}, F_{|U \cup V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|U}, F_{|U}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|V}, F_{|V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|U \cap V}, F_{|U \cap V}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Tensoring with the \mathbb{R} -vector space $\mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A)$ preserves exactness and yields an exact sequence⁵

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|U \cup V}) \rightarrow (\mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|U})) \oplus (\mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|V})) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Summing over all integers p preserves exactness

$$\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p=0}^n \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|U \cup V}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p=0}^n (\mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|U})) \oplus (\mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|V})) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Apply Ψ to each term of the exact sequence. It is left to prove that the resulting diagram commutes, i.e. that the following squares commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{p=0}^n \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|U \cup V}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{p=0}^n (\mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|U})) \oplus (\mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|V})) \\ \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi \\ \mathrm{H}^n(A \times B_{|U \cup V}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^n(A \times B_{|U}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^n(A \times B_{|V}), \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{p=0}^n (\mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|U})) \oplus (\mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|V})) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{p=0}^n \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|U \cap V}) \\ \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi \\ \mathrm{H}^n(A \times B_{|U}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^n(A \times B_{|V}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^n(A \times B_{|U \cap V}), \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{p=0}^n \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(B_{|U \cap V}) & \xrightarrow{\pm d_{\nabla}^*} & \bigoplus_{p=0}^n \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{p+1}(B_{|U \cup V}) \\ \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi \\ \mathrm{H}^n(A \times B_{|U \cap V}) & \xrightarrow{d_{\nabla}^*} & \mathrm{H}^{n+1}(A \times B_{|U \cup V}), \end{array}$$

for each $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq p$. Here, to be precise, the map “ $\pm d_{\nabla}^*$ ” is given by

$$[\omega] \otimes [\eta] \mapsto (-1)^{\deg \omega} [\omega] \otimes (d_{\nabla}^* [\eta]).$$

⁵For simplicity, diagrams are drawn for the non-twisted LA-cohomology from now on in this proof.

Recall the explicit expression for the coboundary map of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence: if $\{\rho_U, \rho_V\}$ form a partition of unity subordinate to the cover $\{U, V\}$ of N then, by Theorem 6.1,

$$d_{\nabla}^*([\varphi]) := \begin{cases} [-d_{\nabla}(\mu_V \varphi)] & \text{on } U \\ [d_{\nabla}(\mu_U \varphi)] & \text{on } V. \end{cases}$$

The commutativity of the first two diagrams then follows by definition. To check the commutativity of the third, notice that for $[\omega] \otimes [\varphi] \in H^{n-p}(A, E) \otimes H^p(B|_{U \cap V}, F|_{U \cap V})$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(d_{\nabla}^*([\omega] \otimes [\varphi])) &= (-1)^{\deg(\omega)} \Psi([\omega] \otimes d_{\nabla}^*[\varphi]) = (-1)^{\deg(\omega)} \Psi([\omega] \otimes [d_{\nabla}(\mu_U \varphi)]) \\ &= (-1)^{\deg(\omega)} [\text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_B^*(d_{\nabla}(\mu_U \varphi))]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\{\text{pr}_N^* \mu_U, \text{pr}_N^* \mu_V\}$ is a partition of unity on $M \times N$ subordinate to the cover $\{M \times U, M \times V\}$. On the intersection $M \times (U \cap V)$ of these two sets, d_{∇}^* is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\nabla}^* \Psi([\omega] \otimes [\varphi]) &= d_{\nabla}^* [\text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_B^* \varphi] = [d_{\nabla}((\text{pr}_N^* \mu_U) \text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_B^* \varphi)] \\ &= [d_{\nabla}(\text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_B^*(\mu_U \varphi))] = (-1)^{\deg(\omega)} [\text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge d_{\nabla}(\text{Pr}_B^*(\mu_U \varphi))] \end{aligned}$$

where the last passage holds because ω is closed. As in [BT82], observe that if the theorem is true in U , V and $U \cap V$, then it holds also in $U \cup V$. Conclude by a standard inductive argument on the cardinality of a good cover (see e.g. [BT82], Lemma 5.6).

It remains to prove that the obtained isomorphism of graded vector spaces actually is an isomorphism of modules over a ring isomorphism. With a little abuse of notation, use Ψ to denote the Künneth isomorphism in both the twisted and non-twisted case. By the previous part of the proof,

$$\Psi: H^\bullet(A) \otimes H^\bullet(B) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A \times B)$$

$$\omega \otimes \varphi \longmapsto \text{Pr}_A^* \omega \wedge \text{Pr}_B^* \varphi$$

is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces. A straightforward computation implies that it is also an isomorphism of rings. Take $\omega_j \in H^{k_j}(A)$ and $\varphi_j \in H^{l_j}(B)$, $j = 1, 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi((\omega_1 \otimes \varphi_1)(\omega_2 \otimes \varphi_2)) &= \Psi((-1)^{l_1 k_2} (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) \otimes (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2)) \\ &= (-1)^{l_1 k_2} \text{Pr}_A^* (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) \wedge \text{Pr}_B^* (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) \\ &= (\text{Pr}_A^* \omega_1 \wedge \text{Pr}_B^* \varphi_1) \wedge (\text{Pr}_A^* \omega_2 \wedge \text{Pr}_B^* \varphi_2) \\ &= \Psi(\omega_1 \otimes \varphi_1) \wedge \Psi(\omega_2 \otimes \varphi_2) \end{aligned}$$

The exact same computation, with entries $\omega_1 \otimes \varphi_1 \in H^\bullet(A) \otimes H^\bullet(B)$ and $\omega_2 \otimes \varphi_2 \in H_{\nabla A}^\bullet(A, E) \otimes H_{\nabla B}^\bullet(B, F)$ shows that the Künneth isomorphism Ψ is a module isomorphism. \square

7. INVARIANCE OF REPRESENTATIONS UNDER HOMOTOPIES

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 3.8. The proof uses flows of linear vector fields on vector bundles and in particular also of linear vector fields defined by Lie algebroid derivations on Lie algebroids.

7.1. Linear vector fields on vector bundles. Let $q_F: F \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle. Then the tangent bundle TF has two vector bundle structures; one as the tangent bundle of the manifold F , and the second as a vector bundle over TM . The structure maps of $TF \rightarrow TM$ are the derivatives of the structure maps of $F \rightarrow M$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TF & \xrightarrow{p_F} & F \\ Tq_F \downarrow & & \downarrow q_F \\ TM & \xrightarrow{p_M} & M \end{array}$$

The space TF is a **double vector bundle**. That is, the diagram above commutes and all structure maps of the horizontal vector bundles are morphisms of vector bundles with respect to the vertical vector bundles, see [Mac05b] for more details and references. A section $e \in \Gamma(F)$ defines **the vertical vector field** $e^\uparrow: F \rightarrow TF$, i.e. the vector field with flow $\varphi^{e^\uparrow}: F \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F$, $\varphi_t(e'_m) = e'_m + te(m)$. A vector field $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$ is called linear, if it is a vector bundle morphism $F \rightarrow TF$ over a vector field $X: M \rightarrow TM$ on M . The space of linear vector fields on F is written $\mathfrak{X}^\ell(F)$. It is well-known (see e.g. [Mac05b]) that a linear vector field $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}^\ell(F)$ covering $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ corresponds to a derivation $D: \Gamma(F) \rightarrow \Gamma(F)$ over $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. The precise correspondence is given by the following equations

$$(17) \quad \chi(\ell_\varepsilon) = \ell_{D^*(\varepsilon)} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(q_F^*f) = q_F^*(X(f))$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(F^*)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, where $D^*: \Gamma(F^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(F^*)$ is the dual derivation to D [Mac05b, §3.4]. The linear vector field in $\mathfrak{X}^\ell(F)$ corresponding in this manner to a derivation D of $\Gamma(F)$ is written \widehat{D} . Given a derivation D over $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the explicit formula for \widehat{D} is

$$(18) \quad \widehat{D}(e_m) = T_m e(X(m)) +_F \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (e_m - tD(e)(m))$$

for $e_m \in F$ and any $e \in \Gamma(F)$ such that $e(m) = e_m$. Let D , D_1 and D_2 be linear derivations of F with symbols X , X_1 and $X_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, respectively, and let $e, e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(F)$. It is easy to see, using the equalities in (17), that

$$(19) \quad [\widehat{D}_1, \widehat{D}_2] = [\widehat{D_1}, \widehat{D_2}], \quad [D, e^\uparrow] = (\nabla_X e)^\uparrow, \quad [e_1^\uparrow, e_2^\uparrow] = 0.$$

Since linear and vertical vector fields on F generate the whole space of vector fields on F as a $C^\infty(F)$ -module, these equations contain the “whole geometry of F ”.

The following lemma is proved in detail in [Jot24].

Lemma 7.1. *Let $F \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle and let $D: \Gamma(F) \rightarrow \Gamma(F)$ be a derivation with symbol $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Let $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow M$ be the flow of X , with, as usual, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times M$ the open flow domain containing $\{0\} \times M$. Then the flow Ξ of $\widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{X}^\ell(F)$ is defined on*

$$\widetilde{\Omega} := \{(t, e) \in \mathbb{R} \times F \mid (t, q(e)) \in \Omega\}$$

and for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the map

$$\Xi_t: q^{-1}(M_t) \rightarrow q^{-1}(M_{-t})$$

is an isomorphism of vector bundles over the diffeomorphism $\xi_t: M_t \rightarrow M_{-t}$, where $M_t \subseteq M$ is the open subset

$$M_t := \{x \in M \mid (t, x) \in \Omega\}.$$

7.2. Invariance of a Lie algebroid under the flow of its adjoint vector fields. Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid and choose a section $a \in \Gamma(A)$. Then the bracket with a

$$[a, \cdot]: \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$$

is a derivation of the vector bundle A , with symbol $\rho(a) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. It is more precisely a derivation of the Lie algebroid A . That is, it also satisfies

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$$

for all $b, c \in \Gamma(A)$. More generally, this section considers a linear derivation

$$D: \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$$

of the Lie algebroid A , that is, a linear derivation of the vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ with symbol $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, such that in addition

$$D[b, c] = [Db, c] + [b, Dc]$$

for all $b, c \in \Gamma(A)$.

This section refines Lemma 7.1 to the following proposition in the case of a linear derivation of a Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $q: A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid and let D be a linear derivation of the Lie algebroid A with symbol $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Let $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow M$ be the flow of X and let*

$$\Xi: \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow A$$

be the flow of $\hat{D} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(A)$. Then for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the map

$$\Xi_t: q^{-1}(M_t) \rightarrow q^{-1}(M_{-t})$$

is an isomorphism of Lie algebroids over the diffeomorphism $\xi_t: M_t \rightarrow M_{-t}$.

The proof of this proposition relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $F \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle and let $D: \Gamma(F) \rightarrow \Gamma(F)$ be a linear derivation of the vector bundle F with symbol $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Let Ξ be the flow of \hat{D} and let ξ be the flow of X . For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $e \in \Gamma(F)$ define*

$$\Xi_t^* e \in \Gamma_{M_t}(F)$$

to be the section

$$(\Xi_t^* e)_x = \Xi_{-t}(e(\xi_t(x)))$$

for all $x \in M_t$. Then⁶

$$(20) \quad De = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \Xi_t^* e.$$

In particular $\Xi_t^* e = e$ on M_t for all t if and only if $De = 0$.

Proof. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in M_t$ and $e' \in F_x$ compute using the linearity of Ξ_t

$$\begin{aligned} (\Xi_t^*(e^\uparrow))|_{e'} &= T_{\Xi_t(e')} \Xi_{-t}(e^\uparrow(\Xi_t(e'))) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} \Xi_{-t}(\Xi_t(e') + se(\xi_t(x))) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} e' + s \Xi_{-t}(e(\xi_t(x))) = (\Xi_t^* e)^\uparrow|_{e'}, \end{aligned}$$

⁶This derivative is taken pointwise, i.e. in fibers of F .

which shows

$$\Xi_t^*(e^\uparrow) = (\Xi_t^*(e))^\uparrow$$

on $q^{-1}(M_t)$. Hence

$$(De)^\uparrow|_{e'} = \left[\widehat{D}, e^\uparrow \right] \Big|_{e'} = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (\Xi_t^*(e^\uparrow))|_{e'} = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (\Xi_t^*(e))^\uparrow|_{e'}$$

which equals

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \Xi_t^* e \right)^\uparrow \Big|_{e'}.$$

This implies as well the second part of the statement: If $\Xi_t^* e = e$ on M_t for all t then clearly $De = 0$. Conversely, if $De = 0$ then

$$0 = \Xi_t^*(De)^\uparrow = \left(\Xi_t^* \left[\widehat{D}, e^\uparrow \right] \right) = \frac{d}{dt} (\Xi_t^*(e^\uparrow)) = \frac{d}{dt} (\Xi_t^* e)^\uparrow = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* e \right)^\uparrow$$

shows that $\frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* e = 0$, and so that $\Xi_t^* e = \Xi_0^* e = e$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Lemma 7.4. *Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid and let D be a derivation of A , as a Lie algebroid. Let X be the symbol of D , and, as before, let $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow M$ be the flow of X , and let $\Xi: \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow F$ be the flow of \widehat{D} . Choose a smooth section $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and a time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then on M_t the equality*

$$\rho(\Xi_t^* a) = \xi_t^*(\rho(a)).$$

holds.

Proof. The anchor map $\rho: A \rightarrow TM$, which is a section of $A^* \otimes TM$, is sent to 0 by the derivation \tilde{D} of $A^* \otimes TM$ defined by

$$\tilde{D}(\varphi)(a) = \varphi(Da) - [X, \varphi(a)]$$

for all $\varphi \in \Gamma(A^* \otimes TM)$ and all $a \in \Gamma(A)$. Note that \tilde{D} is the linear derivation over X of $A^* \otimes TM$ defined by the two linear derivations D^* on A^* and $[X, \cdot]$ on TM , both with symbol X . In order to see that $\tilde{D}(\rho) = 0$, take two arbitrary sections $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$ and a smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(Da)(f) \cdot b &= [Da, f \cdot b] - f \cdot [Da, b] \\ &= D[a, f \cdot b] - [a, D(f \cdot b)] - f \cdot D[a, b] + f \cdot [a, Db] \\ &= D(f \cdot [a, b] + \rho(a)(f) \cdot b) - [a, X(f) \cdot b + f \cdot Db] \\ &\quad - f \cdot D[a, b] + f \cdot [a, Db] \\ &= \cancel{X(f) \cdot [a, b]} + \cancel{f \cdot D[a, b]} + X(\rho(a)(f)) \cdot b + \cancel{\rho(a)(f) \cdot Db} \\ &\quad - \rho(a)(X(f)) \cdot b - \cancel{X(f) \cdot [a, b]} - \cancel{\rho(a)(f) \cdot Db} - f \cdot [a, Db] \\ &\quad - \cancel{f \cdot D[a, b]} + \cancel{f \cdot [a, Db]} \\ &= [X, \rho(a)](f) \cdot b. \end{aligned}$$

Since b and f were arbitrary, this shows $\rho(Da) = [X, \rho(a)]$, and since a was arbitrary, this is $\tilde{D}(\rho) = 0$. By the last part of Lemma 7.3, this implies that ρ is invariant under the flow of $\widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{X}^t(A^* \otimes TM)$.

Using [Jot24], this flow is defined at time t by

$$\Xi_t^{\tilde{D}} = \Xi_t^{D^*} \otimes \Xi_t^{[X, \cdot]}: (A^* \otimes TM)|_{M_t} \rightarrow (A^* \otimes TM)|_{M_{-t}},$$

where Ξ^{D^*} is the flow of $\widehat{D^*} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(A^*)$ and $\Xi^{[X, \cdot]}$ is the flow of $[\widehat{X}, \cdot] \in \mathfrak{X}^l(TM)$. By [Jot24], Ξ^{D^*} is defined on $\{(t, \epsilon) \mid (t, q_{A^*}(\epsilon)) \in \Omega\}$ by

$$(t, \epsilon) \mapsto \epsilon \circ \Xi_{-t}.$$

A standard computation shows that the flow of $[\widehat{X}, \cdot] \in \mathfrak{X}^l(TM)$ is defined on $\{(t, v) \mid (t, p_M(v)) \in \Omega\}$ by

$$(t, v) \mapsto T\xi_t v.$$

As a consequence, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in M_t$ and $a \in \Gamma(A)$

$$\rho(a|_{\xi_t(p)}) = \Xi_t^{[X, \cdot]}(\rho(\Xi_{-t}(a|_{\xi_t(p)}))) = T_p \xi_t(\rho(\Xi_t^*(a)|_p)),$$

which is the same as

$$(\xi_t^*(\rho(a))|_p = T_{\xi_t(p)} \xi_{-t}(\rho(a|_{\xi_t(p)})) = \rho(\Xi_t^*(a)|_p). \quad \square$$

Proof of Proposition 7.2. It suffices to prove that for fixed $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$ and $p \in M$, the map $t \mapsto \Xi_{-t}^*[\Xi_t^* a, \Xi_t^* b]|_p$ is constant, since it must then equal $[a, b]|_p$, its value at $t = 0$, and the identity $\Xi_t^*[a, b] = [\Xi_t^* a, \Xi_t^* b]$ then follows for all t .

First take a local frame (a_1, \dots, a_r) of A , on an open set $U \subseteq M$, and let $c_{ij}^k \in C^\infty(U)$ be the structure constants of the Lie algebroid in this frame, i.e.

$$[a_i, a_j] = \sum_{k=1}^r c_{ij}^k a_k$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, r$. Define $f_{ik} \in C^\infty(\Omega \cap (\mathbb{R} \times U))$, for $i, k = 1, \dots, r$ such that for all t

$$\Xi_t^* a_i = \sum_{k=1}^r f_{ik}(t, \cdot) a_k$$

on $M_t \cap U$. Then, as usual

$$\begin{aligned} [\Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^* a_j] &= \sum_{k,l=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^r f_{ik}(t, \cdot) f_{jl}(t, \cdot) c_{kl}^n a_n + \sum_{k,l=1}^r f_{ik}(t, \cdot) \rho(a_k)(f_{jl}(t, \cdot)) a_l \\ &\quad - \sum_{k,l=1}^r f_{jl}(t, \cdot) \rho(a_l)(f_{ik}(t, \cdot)) a_k, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that⁷

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^* a_j] = \left[\frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^* a_j \right] + \left[\Xi_t^* a_i, \frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a_j \right].$$

⁷This equation would be immediate if the Lie bracket on sections of A was defined pointwise. But since it is defined locally, the usual argument does not work in a straightforward manner since the Lie bracket cannot be 'exchanged' with the derivative without further checking.

Together with Lemma 7.4 this implies that for $f \in C^\infty(U)$ and $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} [\Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^*(f a_j)] &= \frac{d}{dt} [\Xi_t^* a_i, \xi_t^* f \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j] \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\rho(\Xi_t^* a_i) (\xi_t^* f) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j + \xi_t^* f \cdot [\Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^* a_j] \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\xi_t^* (\rho(a_i)) (\xi_t^* f) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j + \xi_t^* f \cdot [\Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^* a_j] \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\xi_t^* (\rho(a_i)(f)) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j + \xi_t^* f \cdot [\Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^* a_j] \right) \\
&= \xi_t^* (X(\rho(a_i)(f))) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j + \xi_t^* (\rho(a_i)(f)) \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a_j + \xi_t^* (X(f)) \cdot [\Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^* a_j] \\
&\quad + \xi_t^* (f) \cdot \left(\left[\frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^* a_j \right] + \left[\Xi_t^* a_i, \frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a_j \right] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The second and the last term add up to $[\Xi_t^* a_i, \xi_t^*(f) \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a_j]$ and the first one equals $\xi_t^*(\rho(a_i)(X(f))) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j + \xi_t^*([X, \rho(a_i)](f)) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j$. The first one of these two terms and the third term $\xi_t^*(X(f)) \cdot [\Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^* a_j]$ in the sum above add up to

$$[\Xi_t^* a_i, \xi_t^*(X(f)) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j].$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} [\Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^*(f a_j)] &= \left[\Xi_t^* a_i, \xi_t^*(f) \frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a_j \right] + [\Xi_t^* a_i, \xi_t^*(X(f)) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j] \\
&\quad + \xi_t^*([X, \rho(a_i)](f)) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j + \xi_t^*(f) \cdot \left[\frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^* a_j \right] \\
&= \left[\Xi_t^* a_i, \frac{d}{dt} (\xi_t^*(f) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j) \right] + \xi_t^*([X, \rho(a_i)](f)) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j \\
&\quad + \xi_t^*(f) \cdot \left[\frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^* a_j \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Next note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_t^*([X, \rho(a_i)](f)) &= \xi_t^*[X, \rho(a_i)](\xi_t^* f) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \xi_t^*(\rho(a_i)) \right) (\xi_t^* f) \\
&= \left(\frac{d}{dt} \rho(\Xi_t^* a_i) \right) (\xi_t^* f) = \rho \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a_i \right) (\xi_t^* f).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} [\Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^*(f a_j)] &= \left[\Xi_t^* a_i, \frac{d}{dt} (\xi_t^*(f) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j) \right] + \left[\frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a_i, \xi_t^*(f) \cdot \Xi_t^* a_j \right] \\
&= \left[\Xi_t^* a_i, \frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^*(f \cdot a_j) \right] + \left[\frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a_i, \Xi_t^*(f \cdot a_j) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This lengthy computation shows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\Xi_t^* a, \Xi_t^* b] = \left[\frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* a, \Xi_t^* b \right] + \left[\Xi_t^* a, \frac{d}{dt} \Xi_t^* b \right]$$

for all $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$.

So consider $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\Xi_{-t}^* [\Xi_t^* a, \Xi_t^* b]) &= -\Xi_{-t}^* D [\Xi_t^* a, \Xi_t^* b] + \Xi_{-t}^* [D \Xi_t^* a, \Xi_t^* b] + \Xi_{-t}^* [\Xi_t^* a, D \Xi_t^* b] \\ &= \Xi_{-t}^* (-D [\Xi_t^* a, \Xi_t^* b] + [D \Xi_t^* a, \Xi_t^* b] + [\Xi_t^* a, D \Xi_t^* b]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As explained above, this shows the claim. \square

Finally, Proposition 7.2 has the following corollary.

Corollary 7.5. *Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid with anchor ρ and let $F \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle. Assume that $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(F) \rightarrow \Gamma(F)$ is a flat A -connection on F . Choose a smooth section $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and let $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow M$ be the flow of the vector field $\rho(a)$. Let*

$$\Xi: \widetilde{\Omega}^F := \{(\lambda, e) \in \mathbb{R} \times F \mid (\lambda, q_F(e)) \in \Omega\} \rightarrow F$$

be the flow of $\widehat{\nabla}_a \in \mathfrak{X}^l(F)$, and let

$$\Psi: \widetilde{\Omega}^A := \{(\lambda, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times F \mid (\lambda, q_A(b)) \in \Omega\} \rightarrow A$$

be the flow of $\widehat{[a, \cdot]} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(A)$. Then for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $e \in \Gamma(F)$ and all $b \in \Gamma(A)$

$$\nabla_{\Psi_\lambda^* b} (\Xi_\lambda^* e) = \Xi_\lambda^* (\nabla_b e).$$

Proof. Consider the smooth map

$$\Pi: \widetilde{\Omega} := \{(\lambda, b, e) \in \mathbb{R} \times (A \oplus F) \mid (\lambda, q_A(b)) \in \Omega\} \rightarrow A \oplus F,$$

$$\Pi(\lambda, b, e) = (\Psi_\lambda(b), \Xi_\lambda(e)).$$

It obviously has the flow property and satisfies

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} \Pi_\lambda(b, e) = \left(\widehat{[a, \cdot]}(b), \widehat{\nabla}_a(e) \right).$$

Hence Π is the flow of the vector field \widehat{D}_a on $A \oplus F$, where D_a is the derivation of $A \oplus F$ with symbol $\rho(a)$ given by

$$D_a(b, e) = ([a, b], \nabla_a e) = [(a, 0), (b, e)]_\nabla$$

for all $(b, e) \in \Gamma(A \oplus F)$. Here, $[\cdot, \cdot]_\nabla$ is the Lie algebroid bracket on $A \oplus F$ defined by the semi-direct product of A with its representation ∇ on F :

$$[(a_1, e_1), (a_2, e_2)]_\nabla = ([a_1, a_2], \nabla_{a_1} e_2 - \nabla_{a_2} e_1).$$

Assume for simplicity that $\rho(a)$ is complete. By Proposition 7.2, the flow Π of \widehat{D}_a is hence by isomorphisms of the Lie algebroid $A \oplus F$. As a consequence

$$\begin{aligned} (0, \nabla_{\Psi_\lambda^* b} (\Xi_\lambda^* e)) &= [(0, \Psi_\lambda^* b), (\Xi_\lambda^* e, 0)]_\nabla = [\Pi_\lambda^*(b, 0), \Pi_\lambda^*(0, e)]_\nabla \\ &= \Pi_\lambda^* [(b, 0), (0, e)]_\nabla = \Pi_\lambda^*(0, \nabla_b e) = (0, \Xi_\lambda^*(\nabla_b e)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

7.3. Proof of Theorem 3.8. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. Let ∇ be a flat $(TI \times A)$ -connection on a smooth vector bundle $F \rightarrow I \times M$.

First consider the section $X = \partial_t \times 0$ of $TI \times A \rightarrow I \times M$. Its anchor is $\partial_t \times 0 \in \mathfrak{X}(I \times M)$ with the flow

$$\begin{aligned} \xi: \Omega &:= \{(\lambda, s, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times I \times M \mid \lambda + s \in I\} \rightarrow I \times M, \\ &(\lambda, (t, m)) \mapsto (t + \lambda, m). \end{aligned}$$

By construction, the flow

$$\Psi: \Omega^A := \{(\lambda, v_s, a_m) \in \mathbb{R} \times TI \times A \mid \lambda + s \in I\} \rightarrow TI \times A$$

of $[\widehat{X}, \cdot] \in \mathfrak{X}^l(TI \times A)$ is given by

$$\Psi(\lambda, r\partial_t|_s, a_m) = (r\partial_t|_{s+\lambda}, a_m)$$

since $[X, (0, a)] = 0$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, where $(0, a)$ is the section of $TI \times A \rightarrow I \times M$ given by $(s, m) \mapsto (0_s, a(m))$. As a consequence, $\Psi_\lambda^*(0, a) = (0, a)$ on $((I-\lambda) \cap I) \times M$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $a \in \Gamma(A)$.

For fixed $s \in I$ consider the Lie algebroid morphism

$$\mathcal{I}_s: A \rightarrow TI \times A, \quad a_m \mapsto (0_s, a_m)$$

over $\iota_s: M \rightarrow I \times M, m \mapsto (s, m)$. The connection ∇ defines for each $s \in I$ the flat A -connection

$$\mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(\iota_s^! F) \rightarrow \Gamma(\iota_s^! F),$$

for all $e \in \Gamma(F)$, with

$$(\mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla)_{a_m}(\iota_s^! e) = \nabla_{(0_s, a_m)} e \in F|_{(s, m)} = (\iota_s^! F)|_m$$

for $a_m \in A$ and $e \in \Gamma(F)$.

Consider the flow

$$\Xi: \tilde{\Omega} = \{(\lambda, e_{(s, m)}) \in \mathbb{R} \times F \mid \lambda + s \in I\} \rightarrow F$$

of $[\widehat{\nabla}_X, \cdot] \in \mathfrak{X}^l(F)$. For all $s \in I$ and $\lambda \in I - s$, set

$$\theta_{s, s+\lambda} := \Xi_\lambda|_{\iota_s^! F}: \iota_s^! F \rightarrow \iota_{s+\lambda}^! F.$$

For all $s, t \in I$, the map $\theta_{s, t}$ is an isomorphism of vector bundles over M , since Ξ_{t-s} is an isomorphism of vector bundles over ξ_{t-s} .

Choose an arbitrary time $t \in I$. For each section $e \in \Gamma_M(\iota_t^! F)$ define $\tilde{e} \in \Gamma(F)$ by

$$\tilde{e}(s, m) = \Xi(s - t, e(m)).$$

Then for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in (I - \lambda) \cap I$ and $m \in M$

$$(\Xi_\lambda^* \tilde{e})(s, m) = \Xi_{-\lambda}(\tilde{e}(s + \lambda, m)) = \Xi(s + \lambda - t - \lambda, e(m)) = \Xi(s - t, e(m)) = \tilde{e}(s, m).$$

This shows that $\tilde{e} = \Xi_\lambda^* \tilde{e}$ on $(I \cap (I - \lambda)) \times M$.

In addition, for all $s \in I$ and $m \in m$, compute

$$(21) \quad (\iota_s^! \tilde{e})(m) = \tilde{e}(s, m) = \Xi(s - t, e(m)) = \theta_{t, s}(e(m)).$$

Hence $\iota_s^! \tilde{e} = \theta_{t, s} \circ e$ for all $s \in I$. In particular,

$$(22) \quad \iota_t^! \tilde{e} = e.$$

According to Corollary 7.5, for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, all $e \in \Gamma(\iota_t^! F)$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\nabla_{(0, a)} \tilde{e} = \nabla_{\Psi_\lambda^*(0, a)} \Xi_\lambda^* \tilde{e} = \Xi_\lambda^*(\nabla_{(0, a)} \tilde{e})$$

on $(I \cap (I - \lambda)) \times M$. This implies for $(s, m) \in I \times M$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla)_{a(m)}(\theta_{t,s} \circ e) &= (\mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla)_{a(m)}(\iota_s^! \tilde{e}) = \nabla_{(0_s, a(m))} \tilde{e} \\ &= \Xi_{t-s}^* (\nabla_{(0, a)} \tilde{e})(s, m) = \Xi_{s-t} (\nabla_{(0_t, a(m))} \tilde{e}) \\ &= \Xi_{s-t} ((\mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla)_{a(m)} \iota_t^! \tilde{e}) = \Xi_{s-t} ((\mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla)_{a(m)} e), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $e \in \Gamma(\iota_t^! F)$. This is equivalent to

$$(23) \quad \theta_{s,t} \circ (\mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla) \circ \theta_{t,s} = \mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla.$$

Hence $\theta_{t,s}$ is a gauge equivalence from $\mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla$ to $\mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla$.

This shows that for each $s, t \in I$ the map

$$(\theta_{s,t})_* : H_{\mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla}^\bullet(A, \iota_s^! F) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla}^\bullet(A, \iota_t^! F), \quad [\omega] \mapsto [\theta_{s,t} \circ \omega]$$

is an isomorphism.

Consider for each $t \in I$ the map

$$\Theta^t : F \rightarrow I \times \iota_t^! F, \quad e \in F_{(r,m)} \mapsto (r, \Xi(t-r, e)) = (r, \theta_{r,t}(e)).$$

Θ^t is an isomorphism of vector bundles over the identity on $I \times M$. Its inverse is the map

$$(\Theta^t)^{-1} : I \times \iota_t^! F \rightarrow F, \quad (r, e) \mapsto \Xi(r-t, e).$$

As a consequence for $s, t \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^s \circ (\Theta^t)^{-1}(r, e) &= \Theta^s(\Xi(r-t, e)) = (r, \Xi(s-r, \Xi(r-t, e))) \\ &= (r, \Xi(s-t, e)) = (r, \theta_{t,s}(e)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $r \in I$ and $e \in \iota_t^! F$. Denote this map by $\Theta_{t,s} : I \times \iota_t^! F \rightarrow I \times \iota_s^! F$,

$$\Theta_{t,s} = \Theta^s \circ (\Theta^t)^{-1} : (r, e) \mapsto (r, \theta_{t,s} e).$$

For each $t \in I$ the flat connection ∇ is hence gauge equivalent to the flat connection $\nabla^t : \Gamma(TI \times A) \times \Gamma(I \times \iota_t^! F) \rightarrow \Gamma(I \times \iota_t^! F)$ defined by

$$\nabla^t := \Theta^t \circ \nabla \circ (\Theta^t)^{-1}$$

and the cohomologies defined by ∇ and ∇^t are isomorphic via

$$(\Theta^t)_* : H_{\nabla}(TI \times A, F) \rightarrow H_{\nabla^t}(TI \times A, I \times \iota_t^! F), \quad [\omega] \mapsto [\Theta^t \circ \omega].$$

By definition for $s, t \in I$

$$\nabla^s = \Theta_{t,s} \circ \nabla^t \circ \Theta_{s,t}.$$

Hence the cohomologies $H_{\nabla^s}^\bullet(TI \times A, I \times \iota_s^! F)$ and $H_{\nabla^t}^\bullet(TI \times A, I \times \iota_t^! F)$ are isomorphic via

$$(\Theta_{s,t})_* : H_{\nabla^s}^\bullet(TI \times A, I \times \iota_s^! F) \rightarrow H_{\nabla^t}^\bullet(TI \times A, I \times \iota_t^! F), \quad [\omega] \mapsto [\Theta_{s,t} \circ \omega]$$

Now note that

$$\text{pr}_M^! \iota_t^! (I \times \iota_t^! F) = \text{pr}_M^! \iota_t^! F = I \times \iota_t^! F,$$

and for all $e \in \Gamma(\iota_t^! F)$ the section $\bar{e} = \text{pr}_M^! e \in \Gamma_{I \times M}(I \times \iota_t^! F)$ defined by $\bar{e}(r, m) = (r, e(m))$ for all $(r, m) \in I \times M$ does

$$\text{pr}_M^! \iota_t^! \bar{e} = \bar{e}$$

and

$$((\Theta^t)^{-1} \circ \bar{e})(r, m) = (\Theta^t)^{-1}(r, e(m)) = \Xi(r-t, e(m)) = \tilde{e}(r, m)$$

for all $(r, m) \in I \times M$.

Therefore for all $(v_r, a_m) \in TI \times A$ and $e \in \Gamma(\iota_t^! F)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla^t)_{(v_r, a_m)} \bar{e} &= (\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla^t)_{(v_r, a_m)} (\mathrm{pr}_M^! \iota_t^! \bar{e}) \\ &= (r, \nabla_{(0_t, a_m)}^t \bar{e}) = (r, \Theta^t (\nabla_{(0_t, a_m)} \bar{e})) = (r, \nabla_{(0_t, a_m)} \bar{e}) \\ &\stackrel{(22)}{=} (r, (\mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla)_{a_m} e) = (\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla)_{(v_r, a_m)} \bar{e}. \end{aligned}$$

The fourth equality uses the fact that $\nabla_{(0_t, a_m)} \bar{e} \in F|_{\{t\} \times M}$ implies that $\Theta^t (\nabla_{(0_t, a_m)} \bar{e}) = (t, \nabla_{(0_t, a_m)} \bar{e}) \in \{t\} \times (\iota_t^! F)(m) \simeq (\iota_t^! F)(m)$.

In addition, for $s, t \in I$ and $e \in \Gamma(\iota_s^! F)$ the reader is invited to check that $\Theta_{s,t} \circ (\mathrm{pr}_M^! e) = \mathrm{pr}_M^! (\theta_{s,t} \circ e)$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} (\Theta_{t,s} \circ (\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla) \circ \Theta_{s,t})_{(v_r, a_m)} (\mathrm{pr}_M^! e) &= \Theta_{t,s} ((\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla)_{(v_r, a_m)} \mathrm{pr}_M^! (\theta_{s,t} e)) \\ &= \Theta_{t,s} (r, (\mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla)_{a_m} (\theta_{s,t} e)) \\ &\stackrel{(23)}{=} \Theta_{t,s} (r, \theta_{s,t} ((\mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla)_{a_m} e)) \\ &= (r, (\mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla)_{a_m} e) = (\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla)_{(v_r, a_m)} \mathrm{pr}_M^! e. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\nabla^t}^\bullet(TI \times A, I \times \iota_t^! F) - \overline{\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^*} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla^t}^\bullet(TI \times A, I \times \iota_t^! F) & \equiv & H_{\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla}^\bullet(TI \times A, I \times \iota_t^! F) \\ \uparrow (\Theta_{s,t})_* & & \uparrow (\Theta_{s,t})_* \\ H_{\nabla^s}^\bullet(TI \times A, I \times \iota_s^! F) - \overline{\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^*} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla^s}^\bullet(TI \times A, I \times \iota_s^! F) & \equiv & H_{\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla}^\bullet(TI \times A, I \times \iota_s^! F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{array}$$

Next prove that the following diagram commutes. Its horizontal isomorphisms are given by Theorem 3.10.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla}^\bullet(TI \times A, I \times \iota_t^! F) & \xleftarrow{\overline{\mathrm{Pr}_A^*}} & H_{\mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla}^\bullet(A, \iota_t^! F) \\ \uparrow (\Theta_{s,t})_* & & \uparrow (\theta_{s,t})_* \\ H_{\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla}^\bullet(TI \times A, I \times \iota_s^! F) & \xleftarrow{\overline{\mathrm{Pr}_A^*}} & H_{\mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla}^\bullet(A, \iota_s^! F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{array}$$

Take $\omega \in \Omega^k(A, \iota_s^! F)$ and compute for $(r, m) \in I \times M$ and $(v_1, a_1), \dots, (v_k, a_k) \in T_r I \times A_m$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{Pr}_A^* (\theta_{s,t} \circ \omega))_{(r, m)} ((v_1, a_1), \dots, (v_k, a_k)) &= (r, \theta_{s,t} (\omega_m(a_1, \dots, a_k))) \\ &= \Theta_{s,t} (r, \omega_m(a_1, \dots, a_k)) \\ &= \Theta_{s,t} ((\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \omega)_{(r, m)} ((v_1, a_1), \dots, (v_k, a_k))) \\ &= (\Theta_{s,t} \circ (\mathrm{Pr}_A^* \omega))_{(r, m)} ((v_1, a_1), \dots, (v_k, a_k)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally prove that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{\nabla^s}(TI \times A, I \times \iota_s^! F) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\text{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_s^*}} & H_{\text{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla^s}(TI \times A, I \times \iota_s^! F) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H_{\text{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla}(TI \times A, I \times \iota_s^! F) \\
\uparrow (\Theta^s)_* & & & & \uparrow \overline{\text{Pr}_A^*} \\
H_{\nabla}(TI \times A, F) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathcal{I}_s^*}} & & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_{\mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla}(A, \iota_s^! E) \\
& & & & \downarrow \overline{\text{Pr}_A^*}
\end{array}$$

Take $\omega \in \Omega^k(A, \iota_s^! F)$ and compute for $(r, m) \in I \times M$ and $(v_1, a_1), \dots, (v_k, a_k) \in T_r I \times A_m$

$$\begin{aligned}
((\text{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_s^*) \omega)_{(r, m)}((v_1, a_1), \dots, (v_k, a_k)) &= (r, \omega_{(s, m)}((0_s, a_1), \dots, (0_s, a_k))) \\
&= (r, (\Theta^s \circ \omega)_{(s, m)}((0_s, a_1), \dots, (0_s, a_k))) \\
&= (\text{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_s^*)(\Theta^s \circ \omega)_{(r, m)}((v_1, a_1), \dots, (v_k, a_k)).
\end{aligned}$$

As a summary, the considerations above yield the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & H_{\nabla}(TI \times A, F) & & \\
& \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
& & (\Theta^t)_* & & (\Theta^s)_* \\
& \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
& & \overline{\mathcal{I}_t^*} & & \overline{\mathcal{I}_s^*} \\
& \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
& & H_{\nabla^t}(TI \times A, I \times \iota_t^! F) & \xleftarrow{(\Theta_{s, t})_*} & H_{\nabla^s}(TI \times A, I \times \iota_s^! F) \\
& & \downarrow \overline{\text{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^*} & & \downarrow \overline{\text{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_s^*} \\
& & H_{\text{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla^t}(TI \times A, I \times \iota_t^! F) & & H_{\text{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla^s}(TI \times A, I \times \iota_s^! F) \\
& & \parallel & & \parallel \\
& & H_{\text{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla}(TI \times A, I \times \iota_t^! F) & \xleftarrow{(\Theta_{s, t})_*} & H_{\text{Pr}_A^* \mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla}(TI \times A, I \times \iota_s^! F) \\
& \swarrow \overline{\text{Pr}_A^*} & & & \swarrow \overline{\text{Pr}_A^*} \\
H_{\mathcal{I}_t^* \nabla}(A, \iota_t^! F) & & & & H_{\mathcal{I}_s^* \nabla}(A, \iota_s^! F) \\
& \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
& & (\theta_{s, t})_* & &
\end{array}$$

In order to prove Theorem 3.8 consider an LA-homotopy $\Phi: TI \times A \rightarrow B$ over $\varphi: I \times M \rightarrow N$ and a flat B -connection on $E \rightarrow N$. Apply the results above to the flat $(TI \times A)$ -connection $\Phi^* \nabla$ on $\varphi^! E$ and extend the commutative diagram above

by the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_{\nabla}(B, E) & & \\
 \downarrow \overline{\Phi}^* & \searrow \overline{\Phi}_{\lambda}^* & \\
 H_{\Phi^* \nabla}(TI \times A, \varphi^! E) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\lambda}^*} & H_{\Phi_{\lambda}^* \nabla}(A, \varphi_{\lambda}^! E)
 \end{array}$$

for $\lambda = s, t \in I$ in order to obtain the following commutative diagram and deduce from it that $(\theta_{s,t})_* \circ \overline{\Phi}_s^* = \overline{\Phi}_t^*$. The statement of Theorem 3.8 is then obtained by setting $s = 0, t = 1$ and $\theta := \theta_{0,1}$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 H_{\nabla}(B, E) \\
 \downarrow \overline{\Phi}^* \\
 H_{\Phi^* \nabla}(TI \times A, \varphi^! E) \\
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \swarrow \overline{\Phi}_t^* & & \searrow \overline{\Phi}_s^* \\
 \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{I}}_t^* & & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{I}}_s^* \\
 H_{(\Phi^* \nabla)_t}(TI \times A, I \times \varphi_t^! E) & \xleftarrow{(\Theta_{s,t})_*} & H_{(\Phi^* \nabla)_s}(TI \times A, I \times \varphi_s^! E) \\
 \downarrow \text{Pr}_A^* \overline{\mathcal{I}}_t^* & & \downarrow \text{Pr}_A^* \overline{\mathcal{I}}_s^* \\
 H_{\text{Pr}_A^* \overline{\mathcal{I}}_t^* (\Phi^* \nabla)_t}(TI \times A, I \times \varphi_t^! E) & & H_{\text{Pr}_A^* \overline{\mathcal{I}}_s^* (\Phi^* \nabla)_s}(TI \times A, I \times \varphi_s^! E) \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 H_{\text{Pr}_A^* \overline{\Phi}_t^* \nabla}(TI \times A, I \times \varphi_t^! E) & \xleftarrow{(\Theta_{s,t})_*} & H_{\text{Pr}_A^* \overline{\Phi}_s^* \nabla}(TI \times A, I \times \varphi_s^! E) \\
 \swarrow \text{Pr}_A^* & & \nwarrow \text{Pr}_A^* \\
 H_{\Phi_t^* \nabla}(A, \varphi_t^! E) & \xleftarrow{(\theta_{s,t})_*} & H_{\Phi_s^* \nabla}(A, \varphi_s^! E)
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

REFERENCES

- [AB67] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. I. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 86:374–407, 1967.
- [Azi83] El Kacimi-Alaoui Aziz. Sur la cohomologie feuilletée. *Compos. Math.*, 49:195–215, 1983.
- [Bal12] B. Balcerzak. The generalized Stokes theorem for R-linear forms on Lie algebroids. *J. Appl. Anal.*, 18(1):117–131, 2012.
- [BKS05] M. Bojowald, A. Kotov, and T. Strobl. Lie algebroid morphisms, Poisson sigma models, and off-shell closed gauge symmetries. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 54(4):400–426, 2005.
- [Bru17] U. Bruzzo. Lie algebroid cohomology as a derived functor. *J. Algebra*, 483:245–261, 2017.
- [BT82] R. Bott and L. W. Tu. *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, volume 82 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [BZ11] O. Brahic and C. Zhu. Lie algebroid fibrations. *Adv. Math.*, 226(4):3105–3135, 2011.
- [CF03] M. Crainic and R. L. Fernandes. Integrability of Lie brackets. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 157(2):575–620, 2003.
- [Cle66] A. Clebsch. Ueber die simultane Integration linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 65:257–268, 1866.
- [Cra03] M. Crainic. Differentiable and algebroid cohomology, Van Est isomorphisms, and characteristic classes. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 78(4):681–721, 2003.
- [Dea40] F. Deahna. Ueber die Bedingungen der Integrabilität linearer Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung zwischen einer beliebigen Anzahl veränderlicher Größen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 20:340–349, 1840.
- [GHV76] W. Greub, S. Halperin, and R. Vanstone. *Connections, curvature, and cohomology. Vol. III: Cohomology of principal bundles and homogeneous spaces*, volume 47 of *Pure Appl. Math., Academic Press*. Academic Press, New York, NY, 1976.
- [Gin01] V. L. Ginzburg. Grothendieck groups of Poisson vector bundles. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 1(1):121–169, 2001.
- [Gre67] W. H. Greub. *Multilinear algebra*, volume 136 of *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer Verlag, 1967.
- [GZ19] O. Goertsches and L. Zoller. Equivariant de Rham cohomology: theory and applications. *São Paulo J. Math. Sci.*, 13(2):539–596, 2019.
- [Hae71] A. Haefliger. Homotopy and integrability. 1971.
- [Jot22] M. Jotz. Obstructions to representations up to homotopy and ideals. *Asian J. Math.*, 26(2):137–166, 2022.
- [Jot24] M. Jotz. On the flows of linear vector fields, in preparation, 2024.
- [KM03] J. Kubarski and A. S. Mishchenko. Lie algebroids: spectral sequences and signature. *Sb. Math.*, 194(7):1079–1103, 2003.
- [KM04] J. Kubarski and A. Mishchenko. Nondegenerate cohomology pairing for transitive Lie algebroids, characterization. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 2(5):663–707, 2004.
- [KS22] A. Kraft and J. Schnitzer. An introduction to l_∞ -algebras and their homotopy theory. 2022.
- [Kub02] J. Kubarski. Poincaré duality for transitive unimodular invariantly oriented Lie algebroids. *Topology Appl.*, 121(3):333–355, 2002.
- [Kub13] J. Kubarski. Koszul complexes and Chevalley theorems for Lie algebroids. *Zb. Pr. Inst. Mat. NAN Ukr.*, 6(6):97–117, 2013.
- [Kř10] L. Křížka. Moduli spaces of flat Lie algebroid connections. *Preprint, arXiv:1012.3180*, 2010.
- [LGLS20] C. Laurent-Gengoux, S. Lavau, and T. Strobl. The universal Lie infinity-algebroid of a singular foliation. *Documenta Mathematica*, 25:1571–1652, 2020.
- [Mac05a] K. C. H. Mackenzie. *The general theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, volume 213 of *Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [Mac05b] K. C. H. Mackenzie. *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, volume 213 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [Man05] M. Manetti. Deformation theory via differential graded Lie algebras. 08 2005.
- [Mei02] G. Meigniez. Submersions, fibrations and bundles. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 354(9):3771–3787, 2002.

- [Mei17] E. Meinrenken. Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids, Lecture Notes. <http://www.math.toronto.edu/mein/teaching/MAT1341LieGroupoids/Groupoids.pdf>, 2017.
- [Mei21] E. Meinrenken. On the integration of transitive Lie algebroids. *arxiv*, 2021.
- [MO23] A. S. Mishchenko and J. R. Oliveira. Whitney-Sullivan constructions for transitive Lie algebroids—smooth case. *Russ. J. Math. Phys.*, 30(3):360–374, 2023.
- [MR11] A.S. Mishchenko and J. E. Ribeiro. Generalization of the sullivan construction for transitive lie algebroids. 2011.
- [NR67] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Jr. Richardson. Deformations of homomorphisms of Lie groups and Lie algebras. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 73:175–179, 1967.
- [NR68] A. Nijenhuis and R.W. Richardson. Commutative algebra cohomology and deformations of Lie and associative algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 9(1):42–53, 1968.
- [Pra67] J. Pradines. Théorie de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables. Calcul différentiel dans la catégorie des groupoïdes infinitésimaux. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 264:A245–A248, 1967.
- [Roy10] D. Roytenberg. Differential graded manifolds and associated stacks: An overview. 2010.
- [Sch21] T Schlierkamp. Phd thesis, De Rham and Cech-de Rham cohomologies of smooth foliated manifolds. *Opus, Universitätsbibliothek Trier*, 2021.
- [Šev05] P. Ševera. Some title containing the words “homotopy” and “symplectic”, e.g. this one. In *Proceedings of the 4th conference on Poisson geometry, Luxembourg, June 7–11, 2004*, pages 121–137. Luxembourg: Université du Luxembourg, 2005.
- [Spa95] E.H. Spanier. *Algebraic topology*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [Vai94] I. Vaisman. *Lectures on the geometry of Poisson manifolds*, volume 118 of *Prog. Math.* Basel: Birkhäuser, 1994.
- [Vai97] A. Yu. Vaintrob. Lie algebroids and homological vector fields. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 52(2(314)):161–162, 1997.
- [Wal23] J. Waldron. The euler characteristic of a transitive Lie algebroid. *Journal of Noncommutative Geometry*, 2023.

Email address: madeleine.jotz@uni-wuerzburg.de

Email address: rosa.marchesini@mathematik.uni-goettingen.de