

POST CLIFFORD SEMIGROUPS, THE YANG-BAXTER EQUATION, RELATIVE ROTA-BAXTER CLIFFORD SEMIGROUPS AND DUAL WEAK LEFT BRACES

XIAOQIAN GONG AND SHOUFENG WANG*

ABSTRACT. As generalizations of Rota–Baxter groups, Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups have been introduced by Catino, Mazzotta and Stefanelli in 2023. Based on their pioneering results, in this paper we first continue to study Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups. Inspired by the corresponding results in Rota–Baxter groups, we firstly obtain some properties and construction methods for Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups, and then study the substructures and quotient structures of these semigroups. On the other hand, as generalizations of post-groups, Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups and braided groups, in this paper we introduce and investigate post Clifford semigroups, relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups and braided Clifford semigroups, respectively. We prove that the categories of strong post Clifford semigroups, dual weak left braces, bijective strong relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups and braided Clifford semigroups are mutually pairwise equivalent, and the category of post Clifford semigroups is equivalent to the category of bijective relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups, respectively. As a consequence, we prove that both post Clifford semigroups, relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups and braided Clifford semigroups can provide set-theoretical solutions for the Yang–Baxter equation. The substructures and quotient structures of relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups are also considered.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups	7
3.1. Constructions of Rota–Baxter operators	7
3.2. Substructures and quotient structures	12
4. Post Clifford semigroups and dual weak left braces	16
5. Post Clifford semigroups and relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups	20
6. Post Clifford semigroups and braided Clifford semigroups	32
References	38

1. INTRODUCTION

The Yang–Baxter equation first appeared in Yang’s study of exact solutions of many-body problems in [46] and Baxter’s work of the eight-vertex model in [3]. The equation is closely related to many fields in mathematics and physics. Due to the complexity in solving the Yang–Baxter equation, Drinfeld suggested to study set-theoretical solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation in [21]. Earlier studies of set-theoretical solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation can be found in [22, 27, 35, 43] and the review article [44].

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T25, 17B38, 20M18, 16Y99.

Key words and phrases. The Yang–Baxter equation, Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup, Post Clifford semigroup, Relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup, Dual weak left brace.

*Corresponding author.

To construct set-theoretical solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation by the view of algebra, several kinds of algebraic structures have been introduced in literature, see for example [12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 28, 37, 34, 38, 41, 42] and the references therein. In particular, Rump introduced left braces in [42] as a generalization of Jacobson radical rings, and a few years later, Cedó, Jespers, and Okniński [18] reformulated Rump’s definition of left braces. Guarnieri and Vendramin [28] introduced skew left braces as generalization of left braces. Observe that (skew) left braces can provide (involutive) non-degenerate set-theoretical solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation. Recently, Catino-Mazzotta-Miccoli-Stefanelli [14] and Catino-Mazzotta-Stefanelli [16] introduced weak left braces and dual weak left braces by using Clifford semigroups, respectively, and proved that weak left braces and dual weak left braces can provide certain special degenerate set-theoretical solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation. More recent information on dual weak left braces can be found in [17] and [36].

In 2021 Guo, Lang and Sheng [29] introduced the notion of Rota–Baxter groups and gave some basic examples and properties of these groups. Soon after the initial breakthrough in [29], quite a few studies were carried out in this direction (see [4, 5, 11, 16, 20, 23, 24, 25, 26, 33, 40]). In particular, Bardakov and Gubarev [4] have investigated the relationship between skew left braces and Rota–Baxter groups, and shown that every Rota–Baxter group gives rise to a skew left brace and every left brace can be embedded into a Rota–Baxter group. In 2023, Catino, Mazzotta and Stefanelli [16] defined Rota–Baxter operators for Clifford semigroups, extended some results in [4] to Clifford semigroups and connected Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups with dual weak left braces. On the other hand, in 2024 Jiang, Sheng and Zhu [31] established a local Lie theory for relative Rota–Baxter operators of weight 1 and generalized the idea of Rota–Baxter operators to relative Rota–Baxter operators on Lie groups. Since then, many authors have devoted themselves to the study of relative Rota–Baxter groups (see [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 39]). In particular, Rathee and Singh [39] have explored the connections of relative Rota–Baxter groups with skew left braces and shown that the category of bijective relative Rota–Baxter groups is equivalent to the category of skew left braces.

Motivated by the notion of post-Lie algebras, Bai, Guo, Sheng and Tang have put forward the notions of post-groups recently in [2] by which relative Rota–Baxter groups, braided groups and skew left braces are tied together. The defining axioms of post-groups have been relaxed by S. Wang in [45], leading to the notion of weak twisted post-group. More recently, Al-Kaabi, Ebrahimi-Fard and Manchon [1] considered free post-groups and post-groups from group actions.

In this paper, motivated by the results given in [2, 5, 16, 31, 39], we consider more properties of Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups and initiate the study of post Clifford semigroups, relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups and braided Clifford semigroups, and associate these Clifford semigroups with dual weak left braces and the Yang–Baxter equation. In particular, we show that both post Clifford semigroups, relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups and braided Clifford semigroups can provide set-theoretical solutions for the Yang–Baxter equation.

The paper is organized as follows. After giving some preliminaries on Clifford semigroups, Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups and dual weak left braces in Section 2, we consider Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups in Section 3. More specifically, we give some construction methods and study the substructures and quotient structures for Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups. In section 4 we introduce the notion of post Clifford semigroups, prove that the category of strong post Clifford semigroups is isomorphic to the category of dual weak left braces. Section 5 introduces the notion of relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups and shows that the category of bijective relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups is equivalent to

the category of post Clifford semigroups and the category of bijective strong relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups is equivalent to the category of strong post Clifford semigroups, respectively. Moreover, substructures and quotient structures for relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups are also considered. The final section is devoted to braided Clifford semigroups. Among other things, we prove that the category of strong post Clifford semigroups is isomorphic to the category of braided Clifford semigroups. The results obtained in the present paper can be regarded as the enrichment and extension of the corresponding results in the texts [2, 5, 16, 31, 39].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some notions and known results on Clifford semigroups, Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups and dual weak left braces. Let $(S, +)$ be a semigroup. As usual, we denote the set of all idempotents in $(S, +)$ by $E(S, +)$ and the center of S by $C(S, +)$, respectively. That is,

$$E(S, +) = \{x \in S \mid x + x = x\}, \quad C(S, +) = \{x \in S \mid x + a = a + x \text{ for all } a \in S\}.$$

According to [30], a semigroup $(S, +)$ is called an *inverse semigroup* if for all $x \in S$, there exists a unique element $-a \in S$ such that $a = a - a + a$ and $-a + a - a = -a$. Such an element $-a$ is called *the von Neumann inverse* of a in S . Let $(S, +)$ be an inverse semigroup. It is well known that $-(a + b) = -b - a$ and $-(-a) = a$ for all $a, b \in S$. Moreover, $E(S, +)$ is a commutative subsemigroup of $(S, +)$, and

$$E(S, +) = \{-x + x \mid x \in S\} = \{x - x \mid x \in S\} \text{ and } -e = e \text{ for all } e \in E(S, +).$$

The following lemma gives a characterization of inverse semigroups. Recall that a semigroup $(S, +)$ is *regular* if for each $a \in S$, there exists a' such that $a + a' + a = a$.

Lemma 2.1 ([30]). *A semigroup $(S, +)$ is an inverse semigroup if and only if $(S, +)$ is regular and $e + f = f + e$ for all $e, f \in E(S, +)$.*

An inverse semigroup $(S, +)$ is called a *Clifford semigroup* if $-a + a = a - a$ for all $a \in S$. In this case, we denote $a^0 = -a + a = a - a$ for all $a \in S$. To give some characterizations of Clifford semigroups, we need some additional notations. Recall that a *lower semilattice* (Y, \leq) is a partial ordered set in which any two elements α and β have a greatest lower bound $\alpha \wedge \beta$. Let (Y, \leq) be a lower semilattice and $(G_\alpha, +)$ ($\alpha \in Y$) be a family of groups. Assume that for all $\alpha, \beta \in Y$ with $\alpha \geq \beta$, there exists a group homomorphism $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta} : (G_\alpha, +) \rightarrow (G_\beta, +)$ such that the following conditions hold:

- $\varphi_{\alpha, \alpha}$ is the identity isomorphism on $(G_\alpha, +)$ for each $\alpha \in Y$.
- $\varphi_{\beta, \gamma} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta} = \varphi_{\alpha, \gamma}$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$ with $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma$.

Define a multiplication on $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in Y} G_\alpha$ as follows:

$$a + b = \varphi_{\alpha, \alpha \wedge \beta}(a) + \varphi_{\beta, \alpha \wedge \beta}(b) \text{ for all } a \in G_\alpha \text{ and } b \in G_\beta.$$

Then S forms a Clifford semigroup with respect to the above addition and is called *the strong semilattice of the family of groups $(G_\alpha, +)$ with respect to the family of group homomorphisms $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}$* . In this case, we denote $S = (Y, G_\alpha, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta})$. The following lemma gives some characterizations of Clifford semigroups.

Lemma 2.2 ([30, 32]). *For a semigroup $(S, +)$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $(S, +)$ is a Clifford semigroup
- (2) $(S, +)$ is a strong semilattice of a family of groups.
- (3) $(S, +)$ is a regular semigroup and $E(S, +) \subseteq C(S, +)$.

Let $(S, +)$ and $(S', +')$ be Clifford semigroups. A map (respectively, bijection) $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$ is called a *semigroup homomorphism* (respectively, *semigroup isomorphism*) from $(S, +)$ to $(S', +')$ if $\phi(x+y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$. A *semigroup homomorphism* (respectively, *semigroup isomorphism*) from $(S, +)$ to itself is called a *semigroup endomorphism* (respectively, *semigroup automorphism*) on $(S, +)$. The set of all *semigroup endomorphisms* (respectively, *semigroup automorphisms*) on $(S, +)$ are denoted by $\text{End}(S, +)$ and $\text{Aut}(S, +)$, respectively. Obviously, $\text{End}(S, +)$ and $\text{Aut}(S, +)$ forms a semigroup and a group with respect to the compositions of maps, respectively. In the sequel we shall use the following lemma throughout the paper without further mention.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(S, +)$ and $(S', +')$ be two Clifford semigroups, $a, b \in S$, $e \in E(S, +)$ and $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$ be a semigroup homomorphism. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a - a + a, \quad -a + a - a = -a, \quad -(a + b) = -b - a, \quad -(-a) = a, \quad e + a = a + e, \\ e^0 &= e = -e, \quad (-a)^0 = -a^0 = a^{00} = a^0 = -a + a = a - a, \quad a^0 + a = a + a^0 = a, \\ a^0 + b &= b + a^0, \quad (a + b)^0 = a^0 + b^0, \quad \phi(-a) = -\phi(a), \quad \phi(a^0) = \phi(a)^0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we state the notion of Rota–Baxter operators on Clifford semigroups which is introduced by Catino Mazzotta and Stefanelli [16] as a generalization of the notion of Rota–Baxter operators on groups introduced by Guo, Lang and Sheng in [29].

Definition 2.4 ([16]). Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and $R : S \rightarrow S$ be a map. Then R is called a *Rota–Baxter operator on $(S, +)$ (with weight 1)* if

$$R(a) + R(b) = R(a + R(a) + b - R(a)), \quad a + R(a)^\circ = a \text{ for all } a, b \in S.$$

In this case, we also say that $(S, +, R)$ is a *Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup*.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup and $a, b \in S$. The following statements are true:*

- (1) $R(a^0) = R(a)^0$.
- (2) $R(a) + R(-a) = R(a + R(a) - a - R(a))$.
- (3) $R(a) + R(R(a)) = R(a + R(a))$.
- (4) $-R(a) = R(-R(a) - a + R(a))$.
- (5) $a^0 + R(a)^0 = a^0$.
- (6) $-R(a) + R(R(a) + b - R(a)) = R(-R(a) - a + R(a) + b)$.

Proof. Items (1)–(4) follow from Proposition 10 and Remark in [16]. By Definition 2.4,

$$a^0 = (a + R(a)^0)^0 = a^0 + R(a)^{00} = a^0 + R(a)^0.$$

This gives (5). Finally, by (4) and Definition 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} -R(a) + R(R(a) + b - R(a)) &= R(-R(a) - a + R(a)) + R(R(a) + b - R(a)) \\ &= R(-R(a) - a + R(a) - R(a) + R(a) + b - R(a) + R(a)) = R(-R(a) - a + R(a) + b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (6) holds. \square

By [16, Theorem 8], we can formulate the following definition.

Definition 2.6. Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup, where $S = (Y, G_\alpha, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta})$. A Rota–Baxter operator R on $(S, +)$ is called *strong* if $R(G_\alpha) \subseteq G_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in Y$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let R be a Rota–Baxter operator on a Clifford semigroup $(S, +)$, where $S = (Y, G_\alpha, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta})$. Then R is strong if and only if $R(e) = e$ for all $e \in E(S, +)$.*

Proof. Let $e \in E(S, +)$. Then $e \in G_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in Y$. By Lemma 2.5 (1), we have $R(e) \in E(S, +)$. Since R is strong, it follows that $R(e) \in E(S, +) \cap G_\alpha$. Observe that G_α is a group, G_α contains exactly one idempotent e . This shows that $R(e) = e$. Conversely, let $R(e) = e$ for all $e \in E(S, +)$. Assume that $a \in G_\alpha, \alpha \in Y$. Then $a^0 \in G_\alpha$. Let $R(a) \in G_\beta, \beta \in Y$. Then $R(a)^0 \in G_\beta$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $G_\beta \ni R(a)^0 = R(a^0) = a^0 \in G_\alpha$, as R is strong and $a^0 \in E(S, +)$. This implies that $\alpha = \beta$ and so $R(a) \in G_\alpha$. Thus $R(G_\alpha) \subseteq G_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in Y$. So R is strong. \square

Definition 2.8. Let $(S, +, R)$ and $(S', +', R')$ be two Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups. A map $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$ is called a *Rota–Baxter homomorphism* if ϕ is a semigroup homomorphism such that $\phi R = R' \phi$.

According to [16], Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups are closely related to so-called *dual weak left braces* closely.

Definition 2.9 ([16]). A triple $(S, +, \circ)$ is called a *dual weak left brace* if $(S, +)$ and (S, \circ) are Clifford semigroups and the following axioms hold:

$$x \circ (y + z) = x \circ y - x + x \circ z, \quad x \circ x^{-1} = -x + x.$$

By the second axiom, we have $E(S, +) = E(S, \circ)$. We denote this set by $E(S)$ sometimes.

For dual weak left braces, we have the following key lemmas.

Lemma 2.10 (Lemma 2 in [17]). *Let $(T, +, \circ)$ be a dual weak left brace. Then*

$$e \circ a = a \circ e = e + a = a + e \text{ for all } a \in S \text{ and } e \in E(T).$$

Lemma 2.11 ([14, 15]). *Let $(T, +, \circ)$ be a dual weak left brace. Define*

$$\lambda_a^T : T \rightarrow T, b \mapsto -a + a \circ b$$

for all $a \in T$. Then $\lambda_a^T \in \text{End}(T, +)$. Furthermore, define

$$\lambda^T : (T, \circ) \rightarrow \text{End}(T, +), a \mapsto \lambda_a^T.$$

Then λ^T is a semigroup homomorphism.

Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup. Define a new binary operation \circ_R on S as follows: For all $a, b \in S$, $a \circ_R b = a + R(a) + b - R(a)$. Then by [16, Lemma 15, Remark 3 and Theorem 16], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.12 ([16]). *Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup.*

- (1) $(S, +, \circ_R)$ forms a dual weak left brace such that $E(S, +) = E(S, \circ_R)$ and the inverse of a in (S, \circ_R) is $a^{-1} = -R(a) - a + R(a)$.
- (2) (S, \circ_R, R) is also a Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup.
- (3) R is a Rota–Baxter homomorphism from (S, \circ_R, R) to $(S, +, R)$.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup. Then $+$ is the same as \circ_R if and only if $a^0 + R(a) \in C(S)$ for all $a \in S$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in S$. If $+$ is the same as \circ_R , then $a \circ_R b = a + b$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} a \circ b &= a + b \\ &\Rightarrow a + R(a) + b - R(a) = a + R(a) - R(a) + b \\ &\Rightarrow a + R(a) + b - R(a) + R(a) = a + R(a) - R(a) + b + R(a) \\ &\Rightarrow a + R(a) + b = a + b + R(a) \\ &\Rightarrow -a + a + R(a) + b = -a + a + b + R(a) = b - a + a + R(a) \\ &\Rightarrow a^0 + R(a) + b = b + a^0 + R(a), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $a^0 + R(a) \in C(S)$. Conversely, if $a^0 + R(a) \in C(S)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a \circ b &= a + R(a) + b - R(a) = a + a^0 + R(a) + b - R(a) \\ &= a + b + a^0 + R(a) - R(a) = a + a^0 + R(a) - R(a) + b = a + b. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $+$ is the same as \circ_R . \square

A map ψ from a dual weak left brace $(T, +_T, \circ_T)$ to a dual weak left brace $(S, +_S, \circ_S)$ is called *brace homomorphism* if

$$\psi(a +_T b) = \psi(a) +_S \psi(b) \text{ and } \psi(a \circ_T b) = \psi(a) \circ_S \psi(b) \text{ for all } a, b \in T.$$

Lemma 2.14. *Let $(T, +_T, \circ_T)$ and $(S, +_S, \circ_S)$ be two dual weak left braces and $\psi : (T, +_T) \rightarrow (S, +_S)$ be a semigroup homomorphism. Then ψ is a brace homomorphism if and only if $\psi \lambda_a^T = \lambda_{\psi(a)}^S \psi$ for all $a \in T$.*

Proof. By using Lemma 2.10, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(-a +_T a \circ_T b) &= \psi \lambda_a^T(b) = \lambda_{\psi(a)}^S \psi(b) = -\psi(a) +_S (\psi(a) \circ_S \psi(b)) \\ \iff \psi(-a +_T a \circ_T b) &= -\psi(a) +_S (\psi(a) \circ_S \psi(b)) \\ \iff \psi(a) +_S \psi(-a +_T a \circ_T b) &= \psi(a) +_S (-\psi(a)) +_S (\psi(a) \circ_S \psi(b)) \\ \iff \psi(a^0 +_T a \circ_T b) &= \psi(a)^0 +_S (\psi(a) \circ_S \psi(b)) \\ \iff \psi(a^0 \circ_T a \circ_T b) &= \psi(a)^0 \circ_S (\psi(a) \circ_S \psi(b)) \\ \iff \psi(a \circ_T b) &= \psi(a) \circ_S \psi(b). \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in T$, and so the result follows. \square

To consider the quotient structures of dual weak left braces, we need to recall normal subsemigroups of inverse semigroups and give the congruences determined by them. Let $(S, +)$ be an inverse semigroup and N be a nonempty set of S . Then N is called an *inverse subsemigroup* of S if $-m, m + n \in N$ for all $m, n \in N$. Moreover, an inverse subsemigroup N of $(S, +)$ is called *normal* if $E(S, +) \subseteq N$ and $-a + n + a \in N$ for all $a \in S$ and $n \in N$. By [30, Theorem 5.3.3], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $(S, +)$ be an inverse semigroup and N a normal subsemigroup of S such that $-a + a = a - a$ for all $a \in N$. Define a binary relation ρ_N as follows: For all $a, b \in S$,*

$$a \rho_N b \text{ if and only if } a - a = b - b \text{ and } -a + b \in N.$$

Then ρ_N is a congruence on S . In this case, the quotient inverse semigroup S/ρ_N is denoted simply by S/N . Moreover, we denote the ρ_N -class containing $a \in S$ by $a + N$. Then $S/N = \{a + N \mid a \in S\}$, and

$$a + N = b + N \text{ if and only if } a - a = b - b \text{ and } -a + b \in N.$$

In particular, if $(S, +)$ is a Clifford semigroup, then $-a + a = a - a = a^0$ for all $a \in N$ and

$$a \rho_N b \text{ if and only if } a^0 = b^0 \text{ and } -a + b \in N \text{ for all } a, b \in S.$$

In this case, $(S/N, +)$ also forms a Clifford semigroup with the rule that

$$(a + N) + (b + N) = (a + b) + N \text{ for all } a + N, b + N \in S/N.$$

Definition 2.16 ([16]). Let $(S, +, \circ)$ be a dual weak left brace and I be a non-empty subset of S . Then I is called an *ideal* if the following conditions holds:

- (1) I is a normal subsemigroup of $(S, +)$.
- (2) I is a normal subsemigroup of (S, \circ) .
- (3) $\lambda_a^S(I) \subseteq I$ for all $a \in S$.

Lemma 2.17 ([16]). *Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a dual weak left brace and I an ideal of $(S, +, \cdot)$. Then $(S/I, +, \circ)$ forms a dual weak left brace with respect to the following operations:*

$$(a + I) + (b + I) = (a + b) + I, \quad (a + I) \circ (b + I) = (a \circ b) + I$$

for all $a + I, b + I \in S/I$. In this case, $a + I = a \circ I$ for all $a \in S$.

Let S be a non-empty set and $r_S : S \times S \rightarrow S \times S$ be a map. Then for any $a \in S$, r induces the following maps:

$$\lambda_a : S \rightarrow S, \quad b \mapsto \text{the first component of } r(a, b),$$

$$\rho_b : S \rightarrow S, \quad a \mapsto \text{the second component of } r(a, b).$$

Thus, for all $a, b \in S$, we have $r(a, b) = (\lambda_a(b), \rho_b(a))$. If r satisfies the following equality

$$(r \times \text{id}_S)(\text{id}_S \times r)(r \times \text{id}_S) = (\text{id}_S \times r)(r \times \text{id}_S)(\text{id}_S \times r)$$

in the set of maps from $S \times S \times S$ to $S \times S \times S$, where id_S is the identity map on S , then r_S is called a *set-theoretic solution* of the Yang-Baxter equation, or briefly a *solution*.

Lemma 2.18 (Theorem 2.3 in [36] and Theorem 11 in [14]). *Let $(T, +, \circ)$ be a dual weak left brace. Then the map $r : S \times S \rightarrow S \times S$ defined by*

$$r(a, b) = (-a + (a \circ b), (-a + (a \circ b))^{-1} \circ a \circ b) \text{ for all } a, b \in S$$

is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation.

3. ROTA–BAXTER CLIFFORD SEMIGROUPS

In this section, we shall give some properties of Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups whence the corresponding results on Rota–Baxter groups given in [5, 29] are generalized to Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups.

3.1. Constructions of Rota–Baxter operators. In this subsection, we give some construction methods of Rota–Baxter operators on Clifford semigroups.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup and $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(S, +)$. Then $R^{(\varphi)} = \varphi^{-1}R\varphi$ is a Rota–Baxter operator on $(S, +)$. If R is strong, so is $R^{(\varphi)}$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(R^{(\varphi)}(a) + R^{(\varphi)}(b)) &= \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(R(\varphi(a))) + \varphi^{-1}(R(\varphi(b)))) \\ &= R(\varphi(a)) + R(\varphi(b)) = R(\varphi(a) + R(\varphi(a)) + \varphi(b) - R(\varphi(a))) \\ &= R\varphi(a + \varphi^{-1}(R(\varphi(a))) + b + \varphi^{-1}(-R(\varphi(a)))) \\ &= \varphi\varphi^{-1}R\varphi(a + \varphi^{-1}(R(\varphi(a))) + b - \varphi^{-1}(R(\varphi(a)))) \\ &= \varphi[R^{(\varphi)}(a + R^{(\varphi)}(a) + b - R^{(\varphi)}(a))]. \end{aligned}$$

Since φ is bijective, we have

$$R^{(\varphi)}(a) + R^{(\varphi)}(b) = R^{(\varphi)}(a + R^{(\varphi)}(a) + b - R^{(\varphi)}(a)).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} a + R^{(\varphi)}(a)^0 &= a + R^{(\varphi)}(a) - R^{(\varphi)}(a) \\ &= a + \varphi^{-1}(R(\varphi(a))) - \varphi^{-1}(R(\varphi(a))) \\ &= a + \varphi^{-1}(R(\varphi(a))) + \varphi^{-1}(-R(\varphi(a))) \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(a) + R(\varphi(a)) - R(\varphi(a))) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(a)) = a. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $R^{(\varphi)}$ is a Rota–Baxter operator. The final statement follows from Lemma 2.7. \square

Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and $R : S \rightarrow S$ a map. Define a new map \tilde{R} as follows:
 $\tilde{R} : S \rightarrow S, a \mapsto -a + R(-a)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup. Then \tilde{R} is a Rota–Baxter operator on $(S, +)$ and $(\tilde{R})^{(\varphi)} = \widetilde{R^{(\varphi)}}$ for every $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(S, +)$. If R is strong, so is \tilde{R} .*

Proof. The first part follows from the statements before Example 2 in [16]. To show the second part, let $a \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{R})^{(\varphi)}(a) &= \varphi^{-1}(\tilde{R}(\varphi(a))) = \varphi^{-1}(-\varphi(a) + R(-\varphi(a))) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(-a) + R(\varphi(-a))) \\ &= -a + \varphi^{-1}R\varphi(-a) = -a + R^{(\varphi)}(-a) = \widetilde{R^{(\varphi)}}(a). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired result. The final statement follows from Lemma 2.7. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup and $a, b \in S$. If $R(a) \in E(S, +)$, then $R(a + b) = R(a) + R(b)$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in S$. Then

$$R(a + b) = R(a + R(a) - R(a) + b) = R(a + R(a) + b - R(a)) = R(a) + R(b).$$

Thus the results follows. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup with $R \in \text{Aut}(S, +)$ and $a \in S$. Then $R(a^0 + R(a)) \in C(S, +)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in S$. Since $R \in \text{Aut}(S, +)$, we can let $x = R(b)$ for some $b \in S$. Observe that R is a Rota–Baxter operator and is an automorphism on S , it follows that

$$R(a) + R(b) = R(a + R(a) + b - R(a)) = R(a) + R(R(a)) + R(b) - R(R(a)).$$

Adding $R(R(a))$ on both sides in the above identity from the right, we have

$$R(a) + R(b) + R(R(a)) = R(a) + R(R(a)) + R(b).$$

Further adding $-R(a)$ on both sides from the left, we get

$$-R(a) + R(a) + R(b) + R(R(a)) = -R(a) + R(a) + R(R(a)) + R(b).$$

Since R is a homomorphism and $x = R(b)$, and $R(a)^0 = R(a^0)$ by Lemma 2.5 (1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x + R(a^0 + R(a)) &= x + R(a^0) + R(R(a)) = x + R(a)^0 + R(R(a)) \\ &= R(a)^0 + R(R(a)) + x = R(a^0) + R(R(a)) + x = R(a^0 + R(a)) + x. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $R(a^0 + R(a)) = R(a)^0 + R(R(a)) \in C(S)$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and n be a positive integer. Then*

$$R : S \rightarrow S, a \mapsto na = \underbrace{a + a + \cdots + a}_n$$

is a Rota–Baxter operator if and only if $n(a + b) = nb + na$ for all $a, b \in S$. In this case, R is strong.

Proof. Let $a, b \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a + R(a)^0 &= a + R(a) - R(a) = a + na - na = a + a^0 = a, \\ R(a + R(a) + b - R(a)) &= n(a + na + b - na) \\ &= (n + 1)a + b - na + (n + 1)a + b - na + \cdots + (n + 1)a + b - na \\ &= na + a + b + a + b + \cdots + a + b - na = na + n(a + b) - na. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(a) + R(b) &= R(a + R(a) + b - R(a)) \\
 \iff na + nb &= na + n(a + b) - na \\
 \iff -na + na + nb &= n(a + b) - na \\
 \iff -na + na + nb + na &= n(a + b) - na + na \\
 \iff nb + na &= n(a + b).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the first part holds. Since $R(e) = ne = e$ for all $e \in E(S, +)$, it follows that R is strong by Lemma 2.7. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and $b \in S$. Then $R : S \rightarrow S$, $a \mapsto -b - a + b$ is a Rota-Baxter operator if and only if b^0 is the identity in S and $\{-b - x + b + x \mid x \in S\} \subseteq C(S)$. In this case, R is strong.*

Proof. Assume that R is a Rota-Baxter operator and $x, y \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 x + R(x)^0 &= x - b - x + b - b + x + b \\
 &= x - b - x + x + b = x - b + b = x + b^0 = x.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that b^0 is the identity in S . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(x) + R(y) &= R(x + R(x) + y - R(x)) \\
 \iff -b - x + b - b - y + b &= -b - (x - b - x + b + y - b + x + b) + b \\
 \iff -b - (y + x) + b &= -b - b - x + b + x - x - y - b + x + b - x + b \\
 \iff -x - y &= (-b - x + b + x) - x - y + (-b + x + b - x) \\
 \iff -(-b - x + b + x) - x - y &= -x - y + (-b + x + b - x) \\
 \iff -x + (-b + x + b - x) - y &= -x - y + (-b + x + b - x) \\
 \iff x - x + (-b + x + b - x) - y &= x - x - y + (-b + x + b - x) \\
 \iff (-b + x + b - x) - y &= -y + (-b + x + b - x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since x and y are arbitrary, we have

$$(1) \quad \{-b - x + b + x \mid x \in S\} \subseteq C(S).$$

Conversely, suppose that b^0 is the identity in S and (1) holds. Then

$$a + R(a)^0 = a - b - a + b - b + a + b = a - b - a + a + b = a - b + b = a + b^0 = a,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(x) + R(y) &= -b - x + b - b - y + b = -b - x - y + b, \\
 R(x + R(x) + y - R(x)) & \\
 &= -b - (x - b - x + b + y - b + x + b) + b \\
 &= -b - b - x + b - y - b + x + b - x + b \\
 &= -b - b - x + b - b + x + b - x - y + b \\
 &= -b - b - x + x + b - x - y + b \\
 &= -b - b + b - x - y + b \\
 &= -b - x - y + b = R(x) + R(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus R is a Rota-Baxter operator. Since

$$R(e) = -b - e + b = e - b + b = e + b^0 = e$$

for all $e \in E(S, +)$, it follows that R is strong by Lemma 2.7. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and $a, b \in S$. Then $R : S \rightarrow S$, $x \mapsto a + x + b$ is a Rota-Baxter operator if and only if S is a commutative monoid with identity a^0 and $b = -a$. In this case, R is the identity map on S and is strong by Lemma 2.7.*

Proof. Assume that R is a Rota-Baxter operator. Then $a = a + R(a)^0$, and so $a^0 = (a + R(a)^0)^0 = a^0 + (R(a)^0)^0 = a^0 + R(a)^0 = a^0 + (a + a + b)^0 = a^0 + a^0 + a^0 + b^0 = a^0 + b^0$. Similarly, $b^0 = b^0 + a^0$. This implies that $a^0 = a^0 + b^0 = b^0 + a^0 = b^0$. Let $x \in S$. Then $x = x + R(x)^0 = x + (a + x + b)^0 = x + a^0 + x^0 + b^0 = x + x^0 + a^0 + a^0 = x + a^0 = a^0 + x$. So a^0 is the identity in S . Moreover,

$$a^0 = (a + R(a)^0)^0 = a^0 + (R(a)^0)^0 = a^0 + R(a)^0 = a^0 + R(a^0) = a^0 + a + a^0 + b = a + b,$$

and so $-a = -a + a^0 = -a + a + b = a^0 + b = b^0 + b = b$. Thus $R(x) = a + x + b = a + x - a$ for all $x \in S$. In particular, $R(a) = a + a - a = a + a^0 = a$. Let $x \in S$. Then $a + a + x - a = R(a) + R(x) = R(a + R(a) + x - R(a)) = R(a + a + x - a) = a + a + a + x - a - a$.

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x + a^0 = -a - a + (a + a + x - a) + a \\ &= -a - a + (a + a + a + x - a - a) + a = a^0 + a + x - a + a^0 = a + x - a, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$x + a = a + x - a + a = a + x + a^0 = a + a^0 + x = a + x.$$

Therefore,

$$R(x) = a + x - a = x + a - a = x + a^0 = x$$

for all $x \in S$ as a^0 is the identity. Let $x, y \in S$. Then

$$x + y = R(x) + R(y) = R(x + R(x) + y - R(x)) = x + x + y - x.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} y + x &= y + x^0 + x = x^0 + y + x = -x + x + y + x \\ &= -x + x + x + y - x + x = x^0 + x + y + x^0 = x + y + x^0 = x + y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus S is commutative.

Conversely, assume the given conditions hold. Let $x, y \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) &= a + x + b = a + x - a = a - a + x = a^0 + x = x, \\ R(x) + R(y) &= x + y = x + x^0 + y = x + x - x + y = x + x + y - x \\ &= x + R(x) + y - R(x) = R(x + R(x) + y - R(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $x + R(x)^0 = x + x^0 = x$. Thus R is a Rota-Baxter operator. \square

Now, we introduce Rota-Baxter operator with weight -1 on Clifford semigroups.

Definition 3.8. Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and $L : S \rightarrow S$ be a map. Then L is called a *Rota-Baxter operator with weight -1* on $(S, +)$ if

$$L(a) + L(b) = L(L(a) + b - L(a) + a), \quad a + L(a)^0 = a \text{ for all } a, b \in S.$$

In addition, a Rota-Baxter operator with weight -1 on $(S, +)$ is called *strong* if $L(e) = e$ for all $e \in E(S, +)$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let L be a Rota-Baxter operator with weight -1 on a Clifford semigroup $(S, +)$. Then $\tilde{L} : S \rightarrow S$, $a \mapsto a + L(-a)$ is also a Rota-Baxter operator with weight -1 . If L is strong, so is \tilde{L} .*

Proof. Let $a, b \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{L}(a) + \tilde{L}(b) &= a + L(-a) + b + L(-b) \\
 &= a + L(-a) - L(-a) + L(-a) + b + L(-b) \quad (\text{since } L(-a) = L(-a) - L(-a) + L(-a)) \\
 &= a + L(-a) + b - L(-a) + L(-a) + L(-b) \quad (\text{since } -L(-a) + L(-a) \in E(S)) \\
 &= a + L(-a) + b - L(-a) + L(L(-a) - b - L(-a) - a) \\
 & \quad (\text{since } L \text{ is a Rota-Baxter operator with weight } -1) \\
 &= a + L(-a) + b - L(-a) + L(-(a + L(-a) + b - L(-a))) \\
 &= \tilde{L}(a + L(-a) + b - L(-a)) \quad (\text{by the definition of } \tilde{L}) \\
 &= \tilde{L}(a + L(-a) + b - L(-a) - a + a) \quad (\text{since } a = a - a + a, -a + a \in E(S)) \\
 &= \tilde{L}(\tilde{L}(a) + b - \tilde{L}(a) + a). \quad (\text{by the definition of } \tilde{L})
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a + \tilde{L}(a)^0 &= a + \tilde{L}(a) - \tilde{L}(a) = a + a + L(-a) - L(-a) - a \\
 &= a + a - a + L(-a) - L(-a) = a + a - a = a - a + a = a.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus \tilde{L} is a Rota-Baxter operator with weight -1 on $(S, +)$. If L is strong, then we have $\tilde{L}(e) = e + L(-e) = e - e = e$ for all $e \in E(S, +)$, and so \tilde{L} is also strong. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup. Then $L : S \rightarrow S, a \mapsto R(-a)$ is a Rota-Baxter operator with weight -1 . If R is strong, so is L .*

Proof. Let $a, b \in S$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(a) + L(b) &= R(-a) + R(-b) = R(-a + R(-a) - b - R(-a)) \\
 &= R(-(R(-a) + b - R(-a) + a)) \\
 &= L(R(-a) + b - R(-a) + a) = L(L(a) + b - L(a) + a), \\
 a + L(a)^0 &= a + L(a) - L(a) = a + R(-a) - R(-a) = a - a + a + R(-a) - R(-a) \\
 &= a - a + R(-a) - R(-a) + a = a - a + a = a.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus L is a Rota-Baxter operator with weight -1 on $(S, +)$. If R is strong, then we have $L(e) = R(-e) = R(e) = e$ for all $e \in E(S, +)$, and so L is also strong. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup. Then $L : S \rightarrow S, a \mapsto a + R(a)$ is a Rota-Baxter operator with weight -1 . If R is strong, so is L .*

Proof. Let $a, b \in S$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(a) + L(b) &= a + R(a) + b + R(b) = a + R(a) + b - R(a) + R(a) + R(b) \\
 &= a + R(a) + b - R(a) + R(a + R(a) + b - R(a)) = L(a + R(a) + b - R(a)) \\
 &= L(a + R(a) + b - R(a) - a + a) = L(L(a) + b - L(a) + a), \\
 a + L(a)^0 &= a + L(a) - L(a) = a + a + R(a) - R(a) - a = a + a - a = a.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus L is a Rota-Baxter operator with weight -1 on $(S, +)$. If R is strong, then we have $L(e) = e + R(e) = e + e = e$ for all $e \in E(S, +)$, and so L is also strong. \square

Dually, we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.12. *Let L be a (strong) Rota-Baxter operator with weight -1 on a Clifford semigroup $(S, +)$. Then $R : S \rightarrow S, a \mapsto -a + L(a)$ is a (strong) Rota-Baxter operator.*

Theorem 3.13. *Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup. Denote*

$$\mathcal{R} = \{R \mid R \text{ is a strong Rota-Baxter operator on } S\},$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \{L \mid L \text{ is a strong Rota-Baxter operator on } S \text{ with weight } -1\}.$$

Then there is a one-one correspondence between \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{L} .

Proof. By Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12, we can define $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and $\Psi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, where $\Phi(R) : S \rightarrow S, a \mapsto a + R(a)$ and $\Psi(L) : S \rightarrow S, a \mapsto -a + L(a)$ for all $R \in \mathcal{R}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Let $R \in \mathcal{R}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then by Lemma 2.5 and the fact that R is strong, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi\Phi(R))(a) &= -a + \Phi(R)(a) = -a + a + R(a) = a^0 + R(a) \\ &= R(a^0) + R(a) = R(a)^0 + R(a) = R(a). \end{aligned}$$

So $(\Psi\Phi)(R) = R$. Dually, we have $(\Phi\Psi)(L) = L$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L}$. \square

3.2. Substructures and quotient structures. In this subsection, we consider some substructures and quotient structures of Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroups. We first give some notions and notations. Let $(S, +)$ and $(S', +')$ be two semigroups and $\phi : S \mapsto S'$ be a map. Then

$$\text{Ker } \phi = \{x \in S \mid \phi(x) \in E(S', +')\} \text{ and } \text{Im } \phi = \{\phi(a) \mid a \in S\}$$

are called the *kernel* and *image* of ϕ , respectively.

Lemma 3.14. *Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup. Then $\text{Ker } R$ and $\text{Im } R$ are Clifford subsemigroups of $(S, +)$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in \text{Ker } R$. Then $R(a), R(b) \in E(S, +)$. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$R(a + b) = R(a) + R(b), \quad R(a + a) = R(a) + R(a) = R(a).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} R(-a) &= R(a - a - a) = R(a) + R(-a - a) = R(a + a) + R(-a - a) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.3}) \\ &= R(a + a + R(a + a) - a - a - R(a + a)) = R(a + a + R(a) - a - a - R(a)) \\ &= R(a + a - a - a + R(a)) \quad (\text{since } R(a) \in E(S, +)) \\ &= R(a^0 + R(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $E(S, +)$ forms a subsemigroup of $(S, +)$, and $R(E(S, +)) \subseteq E(S, +)$ by Lemma 2.5 (1), we have $R(a + b) = R(a) + R(b) \in E(S, +)$ and $R(-a) = R(a^0 + R(a)) \in E(S)$ as $R(a), R(b), a^0 \in E(S, +)$. This implies that $a + b, -a \in \text{Ker } R$, and so $\text{Ker } R$ is a Clifford subsemigroup of S .

Next, we consider $\text{Im } R$. Let $a, b \in \text{Im } R$ and $a = R(x)$ and $b = R(y)$ for some $x, y \in S$. Then by Lemma 2.5 (4), we have

$$-a = -R(x) = R(-R(x) - x + R(x)) \in \text{Im } R,$$

$$a + b = R(x) + R(y) = R(x + R(x) + y - R(x)) \in \text{Im } R.$$

This shows that $\text{Im } R$ is also a Clifford subsemigroup of S . \square

Lemma 3.15. *Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup. Then*

$$R_+ : (S, \circ_R) \rightarrow (S, +), \quad a \mapsto a + R(a)$$

is a semigroup homomorphism and $R_+R = RR_+$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_+(a) + R_+(b) &= a + R(a) + b + R(b) = a + R(a) + b - R(a) + R(a) + R(b) \\ &= a \circ b + R(a + R(a) + b - R(a)) = (a \circ b) + R(a \circ b) = R_+(a \circ b), \end{aligned}$$

$$R_+(R(a)) = R(a) + R(R(a)) = R(a + R(a) + R(a) - R(a)) = R(a + R(a)) = R(R_+(a)).$$

This gives the desired result. \square

Theorem 3.16. *Let $(S, +, R)$ be a Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup and $(S, +, \circ_R)$ be the dual weak left brace induced by R (see Lemma 2.12). Then*

$$\text{Ker } R = \{x \in S \mid R(x) \in E(S)\} \text{ and } \text{Im } R = \{R(a) \mid a \in S\},$$

$$\text{Ker } R_+ = \{x \in S \mid R_+(x) \in E(S, +)\} = \{x \in S \mid R_+(x) \in E(S)\}.$$

Moreover, we have the following results:

- (1) $(S, +) = (\text{Im } R_+, +) + (\text{Im } R, +)$.
- (2) $(\text{Ker } R, \circ_R)$ and $(\text{Ker } R_+, \circ_R)$ are normal subsemigroups of (S, \circ_R) .
- (3) $(\text{Ker } R, +)$ is a normal subsemigroup of $(\text{Im } R_+, +)$.
- (4) If R is strong, then $(\text{Ker } R_+, +)$ is a normal subsemigroup of $(\text{Im } R, +)$.
- (5) If R is strong, $(\text{Im } R_+, +)/(\text{Ker } R, +) \cong (\text{Im } R, +)/(\text{Ker } R_+, +)$.

Proof. (1) Since R is a Rota-Baxter operator on $(S, +)$, $\text{Im } R$ is a Clifford subsemigroup of $(S, +)$ by Lemma 3.14. On the other hand, let $a = R_+(x), b = R_+(y) \in \text{Im } R_+$ where $x, y \in S$. Then $-a = -R_+(x) = R_+(x^{-1}) \in \text{Im } R_+$ and

$$a + b = R_+(x) + R_+(y) = R_+(x \circ_R y) \in \text{Im } R_+$$

by Lemma 3.15. This implies that $\text{Im } R_+$ is a Clifford subsemigroup of $(S, +)$. Obviously, we have $\text{Im } R_+ + \text{Im } R \subseteq S$. Moreover, let $a \in S$. Then

$$a = a + R(a)^0 = a + R(a) - R(a) = R_+(a) + (-R(a)) \in \text{Im } R_+ + \text{Im } R.$$

So $S \subseteq \text{Im } R_+ + \text{Im } R$. This implies that $S = \text{Im } R_+ + \text{Im } R$.

(2) By Lemma 2.12, R is a Rota-Baxter operator on (S, \circ_R) . In view of Lemma 2.5 (1), we have $R(E(S)) \subseteq E(S)$, and so $E(S) \subseteq \text{Ker } R$. By Lemmas 2.12 and 3.14, $\text{Ker } R$ is a Clifford subsemigroup of (S, \circ_R) . Let $a \in S$ and $n \in \text{Ker } R$. Then $R(n) \in E(S)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} R(a^{-1} \circ_R n \circ_R a) &= R(a^{-1}) + R(n) + R(a) = R(a^{-1}) + R(a) + R(n) \\ &= R(a^{-1} \circ_R a) + R(n) \subseteq E(S) + R(n) \subseteq E(S) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.12 (3), which gives that $a^{-1} \circ_R n \circ_R a \in \text{Ker } R$. Thus $(\text{Ker } R, \circ_R)$ is a normal subsemigroup of (S, \circ_R) . On the other hand, Since R_+ is a semigroup homomorphism between the Clifford semigroups (S, \circ_R) and $(S, +)$, we can show that $\text{Ker } R_+$ is also a normal subsemigroup of (S, \circ_R) by similar arguments as above.

(3) If $a \in \text{Ker } R$, then $R(a) \in E(S, +)$. This implies that

$$a = a + R(a)^0 = a + R(a) = R_+(a) \in \text{Im } R_+.$$

So $\text{Ker } R \subseteq \text{Im } R_+$. By item (1) in the present Theorem, we have $E(S) \subseteq \text{Ker } R$. Since R is a Rota-Baxter operator on $(S, +)$, $(\text{Ker } R, +)$ is a Clifford subsemigroup of $(S, +)$ by Lemma 3.14. Now let $a \in \text{Ker } R$ and $b \in S$. Then $R(a) \in E(S)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} b \circ_R a \circ_R b^{-1} &= (b + R(b) + a - R(b)) \circ_R (-R(b) - b + R(b)) \\ &= b + R(b) + a - R(b) + R(b \circ_R a) - R(b) - b + R(b) - R(b \circ_R a) \\ &= b + R(b) + a - R(b) + R(b) + R(a) - R(b) - b + R(b) - R(a) - R(b) \\ &= b + R(b) + a - R(b) - b + R(b) - R(b) = R_+(b) + a - R_+(b). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$R(R_+(b) + a - R_+(b)) = R(b \circ_R a \circ_R b^{-1}) = R(b) + R(a) + R(b^{-1}) = R(b)^0 + R(a) \in E(S)$, which gives that $R_+(b) + a - R_+(b) \in \text{Ker } R$. Thus $(\text{Ker } R, +)$ is a normal subsemigroup of $(\text{Im } R_+, +)$.

(4) Let $a \in \text{Ker } R_+$. Then $a + R(a) = R_+(a) \in E(S) = E(S, +)$. Since R is a strong Rota-Baxter operator on $(S, +)$, we have $R(a)^0 = R(a^0) = a^0$ by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7. As $a + R(a) \in E(S, +)$, we have

$$a + R(a) = (a + R(a))^0 = a^0 + R(a)^0 = a^0 + a^0 = a^0,$$

which implies

$$a = a + R(a)^0 = a + R(a) - R(a) = a^0 - R(a) = R(a)^0 - R(a) = -R(a).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} -R(a) &= R(-R(a) - a + R(a)) = R(-(a + R(a)) + R(a)) = R(-a^0 + R(a)) \\ &= R(a^0 + R(a)) = R(R(a)^0 + R(a)) = R(R(a)) = R(-a). \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $a = R(-a) \in \text{Im } R$. By (1) in the present lemma, we have $E(S, \circ_R) = E(S, +) \subseteq \text{Ker } R_+$.

Let $a, b \in \text{Ker } R_+$. Then $a + R(a), b + R(b) \in E(S, +)$, and so $a = R(-a) = -R(a)$ and $b = R(-b) = -R(b)$ by previous paragraph. This implies that

$$R_+(-a) = -a + R(-a) = -a + a = a^0 \in E(S, +),$$

whence $-a \in \text{Ker } R_+$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} R_+(a + b) &= a + b + R(a + b) = -R(a) - R(b) + R(a + b) \\ &= -(R(b) + R(a)) + R(a + b) = -R(b + R(b) + a - R(b)) + R(a + b) \\ &= -R(b - b + a + b) + R(a + b) = -R(a + b) + R(a + b) \in E(S, +), \end{aligned}$$

and so $a + b \in \text{Ker } R_+$. Let $a \in \text{Ker } R_+$ and $R(b) \in \text{Im } R, b \in S$. Then $a = R(-a)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} R_+(R(b) + a - R(b)) &= R(b) + a - R(b) + R(R(b) + a - R(b)) \\ &= R(b) + R(-a) + R(-R(b) - b + R(b) + a) \\ &= R(b) + R(-a + a - R(b) - b + R(b) + a) \\ &= R(b) + R(a^0 - R(b) - b + R(b)) \\ &= R(b + R(b) + a^0 - R(b) - b + R(b) - R(b)) \\ &= R(a^0 + b^0 + R(b)^0) = R(a^0 + b^0) = a^0 + b^0 \in E(S, +). \end{aligned}$$

This shows $R(b) + a - R(b) \in \text{Ker } R_+$. Thus $(\text{Ker } R_+, +)$ is a normal subsemigroup of $(S, +)$.

(5) By items (2) and (3), the two quotient semigroups in question are meaningful. Define

$$\varphi : \text{Im } R_+ / \text{Ker } R \rightarrow \text{Im } R / \text{Ker } R_+, \quad R_+(a) + \text{Ker } R \mapsto R(a) + \text{Ker } R_+.$$

Let $a, b \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_+(a)^0 = R_+(b)^0 &\iff (a + R(a))^0 = (b + R(b))^0 \iff a^0 + R(a)^0 = b^0 + R(b)^0 \\ &\iff a^0 = b^0 \iff R(a^0) = R(b^0) \iff R(a)^0 = R(b)^0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $R(a^{-1}) = -R(a)$, $R_+(a^{-1}) = -R_+(a)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} R(-R_+(a) + R_+(b)) &= R(R_+(a^{-1}) + R_+(b)) = R(R_+(a^{-1} \circ_R b)) \\ &= RR_+(a^{-1} \circ_R b) = R_+R(a^{-1} \circ_R b) = R_+(R(a^{-1}) + R(b)) = R_+(-R(a) + R(b)) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 2.12 and 3.15. This implies that $-R_+(a) + R_+(b) \in \text{Ker } R$ if and only if $-R(a) + R(b) \in \text{Ker } R_+$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R_+(a) + \text{Ker } R = R_+(b) + \text{Ker } R &\iff R_+(a)^0 = R_+(b)^0, -R_+(a) + R_+(b) \in \text{Ker } R \\ &\iff R(a)^0 = R(b)^0, -R(a) + R(b) \in \text{Ker } R_+ \iff R(a) + \text{Ker } R_+ = R(b) + \text{Ker } R_+. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that φ is an injection. Obviously, φ is surjective. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((R_+(a) + \text{Ker } R) + (R_+(b) + \text{Ker } R)) &= \varphi((R_+(a) + R_+(b)) + \text{Ker } R) \\ &= \varphi(R_+(a \circ_R b) + \text{Ker } R) = R(a \circ_R b) + \text{Ker } R_+ = (R(a) + R(b)) + \text{Ker } R_+ \\ &= (R(a) + \text{Ker } R_+) + (R(b) + \text{Ker } R_+) = \varphi(R_+(a) + \text{Ker } R) + \varphi(R_+(b) + \text{Ker } R). \end{aligned}$$

Thus φ is a semigroup isomorphism. \square

Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and U and V two Clifford subsemigroups of S . Then (U, V) is called an *exact factorization* of S if any element a in S can be written in a unique way as $a = u_a + v_a$ with $u_a \in U$ and $v_a \in V$. In this case, define

$$R_{U,V} : S \rightarrow S, \quad a = u_a + v_a \mapsto -v_a.$$

Then by [16, Example 2], $R_{U,V}$ is a Rota-Baxter operator on $(S, +)$.

Proposition 3.17. *Let (U, V) be an exact factorization of a Clifford semigroup $(S, +)$ and $R = R_{U,V}$.*

- (1) $R(a + R(a)) \in E(S, +)$.
- (2) $(S, \circ_R) \cong U \times V^{\text{op}}$, where V^{op} is the dual semigroup of V .

Proof. Let $a = u_a + v_a$ and $b = u_b + v_b \in S$ with $u_a, u_b \in U$ and $v_a, v_b \in V$. Item (1) follows from the equation

$$R(a + R(a)) = R(u_a + v_a - v_a) = -(v_a - v_a) = v_a - v_a = v_a^0 \in E(S, +).$$

Since (U, V) is an exact factorization of S , the map

$$\varphi : (S, \circ_R) \rightarrow U \times V^{\text{op}}, \quad a = u_a + v_a \mapsto (u_a, v_a)$$

is a bijection. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a \circ_R b) &= \varphi(a + R(a) + b - R(a)) = \varphi(u_a + v_a - v_a + u_b + v_b + v_a) \\ &= \varphi(u_a + u_b + v_b + v_a) = (u_a + u_b, v_b + v_a) = (u_a, v_a)(u_b, v_b) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that φ is also a homomorphism. Thus (2) also holds. \square

Proposition 3.18. *Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup, U, V, T be Clifford subsemigroups of S and L is a Rota-Baxter operator on V such that any element a in S can be written in a unique way as $a = u_a + v_a + t_a$ with $u_a \in U, v_a \in V, t_a \in T$ and $uv = vu$ and $L(v)t = tL(x)$ for all $u \in U, v \in V$ and $t \in T$. Then*

$$R : S \rightarrow S : u_a + v_a + t_a \mapsto L(v_a) - t_a$$

is a Rota-Baxter operator on S . If this is the case, we have $(S, \circ_R) \cong U \times V_L \times T^{\text{op}}$, where $V_L = (V, \circ_L)$ and where T^{op} is the dual semigroup of T .

Proof. By hypothesis, R is well defined. Let $a = u_a + v_a + t_a, b = u_b + v_b + t_b \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R(a) + R(b) &= R(u_a + v_a + t_a) + R(u_b + v_b + t_b) = L(v_a) - t_a + L(v_b) - t_b \\ &= L(v_a) + L(v_b) - t_a - t_b = L(v_a + L(v_a) + v_b - L(v_a)) - (t_b + t_a) \\ &= R(u_a + u_b + v_a + L(v_a) + v_b - L(v_a) + t_b + t_a), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R(a + R(a) + b - R(a)) &= R(u_a + v_a + t_a + L(v_a) - t_a + u_b + v_b + t_b + t_a - L(v_a)) \\
&= R(u_a + v_a + L(v_a) + t_a - t_a + u_b + v_b - L(v_a) + t_b + t_a) \\
&= R(u_a + v_a + L(v_a) + u_b + v_b - L(v_a) + t_b + t_a) \\
&= R(u_a + u_b + v_a + L(v_a) + v_b - L(v_a) + t_b + t_a) = R(a) + R(b), \\
a + R(a)^0 &= a + R(a) - R(a) = u_a + v_a + t_a + L(v_a) - t_a + t_a - L(v_a) \\
&= u_a + v_a + t_a + L(v_a) - L(v_a) = u_a + v_a + t_a = a.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that R is a Rota-Baxter operator on S . Define

$$\varphi : (S, \circ_R) \rightarrow U \times V_L \times T^{\text{op}}, a = u_a + v_a + t_a \mapsto (u_a, v_a, t_a).$$

Then φ is well-defined by hypothesis. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(a \circ_R b) &= \varphi(a + R(a) + b - R(a)) \\
&= \varphi(u_a + v_a + t_a + L(v_a) - t_a + u_b + v_b + t_b + t_a - L(v_a)) \\
&= \varphi(u_a + v_a + L(v_a) + t_a - t_a + u_b + v_b - L(v_a) + t_b + t_a) \\
&= \varphi(u_a + v_a + L(v_a) + u_b + v_b - L(v_a) + t_b + t_a) \\
&= \varphi(u_a + u_b + v_a + L(v_a) + v_b - L(v_a) + t_b + t_a) \\
&= (u_a + u_b, v_a + L(v_a) + v_b - L(v_a), t_b + t_a) \\
&= (u_a + u_b, v_a \circ_L v_b, t_b + t_a) = (u_a, v_a, t_a)(u_b, v_b, t_b) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b).
\end{aligned}$$

This gives that φ is an isomorphism. \square

Proposition 3.19. *Let R be a Rota-Baxter operator on a commutative Clifford semigroup $(S, +)$. Then R is also an endomorphism on $(S, +)$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in S$. Then

$$R(a) + R(b) = R(a + R(a) + b - R(a)) = R(a + R(a) - R(a) + b) = R(a + b).$$

This shows that R is also an endomorphism on $(S, +)$. \square

Remark 3.20. The converse of Proposition 3.19 is not true. Let $(S, +)$ be a commutative Clifford semigroup, where $S = \{e, f\}$ and $e + f = f + e = e$ and $ff = f$. Define $R(x) = e$ for all $x \in S$. Then it is easy to see that R is an endomorphism on $(S, +)$. However, R is not a Rota-Baxter operator as $f + R(f)^0 = f + e = e \neq f$.

4. POST CLIFFORD SEMIGROUPS AND DUAL WEAK LEFT BRACES

In this section, we introduce post Clifford semigroups and show that the category of strong post Clifford semigroups is isomorphic to the category of dual weak left braces. Let $(T, +)$ be an Clifford semigroup and $a, b \in T$. Denote $H_a = \{x \in T \mid x^0 = a^0\}$. Then it is easy to see that $(H_a, +)$ is a subgroup of $(T, +)$ and a^0 is the identity in $(H_a, +)$ by Lemma 2.3. Obviously, $H_a = H_b$ if and only if $a^0 = b^0$.

Definition 4.1. Let $(T, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and \triangleright be a binary operation on T . For each $a \in T$, define $L_a^\triangleright : T \rightarrow T, b \mapsto a \triangleright b$. Then $(T, +, \triangleright)$ is called a *post Clifford semigroup* if the following conditions holds: For all $a, b, c \in T$,

$$(P1) \quad L_a^\triangleright \in \text{End}(T, +), \text{ that is, } a \triangleright (b + c) = (a \triangleright b) + (a \triangleright c).$$

$$(P2) \quad (a + (a \triangleright b)) \triangleright c = a \triangleright (b \triangleright c).$$

$$(P3) \quad a + (a \triangleright b^0) = b^0 + (b^0 \triangleright a).$$

$$(P4) \quad L_a^\triangleright(H_a) \subseteq H_a \text{ and } L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a} : H_a \rightarrow H_a, b \mapsto L_a^\triangleright(b) = a \triangleright b \text{ is an automorphism of } (H_a, +).$$

A post Clifford semigroup $(T, +, \triangleright)$ is called *strong* if

(P5) $a^0 + (a \triangleright b) = a \triangleright b$ for all $a, b \in T$.

Example 4.2. Let $(T, +)$ be an idempotent commutative semigroup and let $a \triangleright b = a + b$ for all $a, b \in T$. Then $a^0 = a$ for all $a \in S$. It is routine to check that $(T, +, \triangleright)$ forms a strong post Clifford semigroup.

Example 4.3. Let $(T, +)$ be a group with identity e and \triangleright be a binary operation on T . Recall from [2] that $(T, +, \triangleright)$ is called a *post-group* if (P2) holds and $L_a^\triangleright : T \rightarrow T, b \mapsto a \triangleright b$ is an automorphism of $(T, +)$ for all $a \in T$. Observe that $(T, +)$ is a Clifford semigroup, $a^0 = e$ and $H_a = T$ for all $a \in T$ in this case. This implies that (P1) and (P4) hold. Moreover, for all $a, b \in T$, by [2, Lemma 2.2] we have $a \triangleright e = e$ and $e \triangleright a = a$, and so

$$a + (a \triangleright b^0) = a + (a \triangleright e) = a + e = e + a = e + (e \triangleright a) = b^0 + (b^0 \triangleright a).$$

This gives (P3). Thus post-groups are all post Clifford semigroups.

Lemma 4.4. Let $(T, +, \triangleright)$ be a post Clifford semigroup and $a \in T$. Then

$$L_a^\triangleright(a^0) = a \triangleright a^0 = a^0 = a^0 \triangleright a^0 = L_{a^0}^\triangleright(a^0), L_{a^0}^\triangleright(a) = a^0 \triangleright a = a.$$

Proof. By (P4), $L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a} \in \text{Aut}(H_a, +)$. Since $a, a^0 \in H_a$ and a^0 is the identity in the group $(H_a, +)$, we have $a \triangleright a^0 = L_a^\triangleright(a^0) = L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a}(a^0) = a^0$. Replacing a by a^0 in the above equation, we have $a^0 \triangleright a^0 = a^0 \triangleright a^{00} = a^{00} = a^0$. On the other hand,

$$(2) \quad L_{a^0}^\triangleright(a) = a^0 \triangleright a = (a^0 + a^0) \triangleright a = (a^0 + (a^0 \triangleright a^0)) \triangleright a = a^0 \triangleright (a^0 \triangleright a) = L_{a^0}^\triangleright(a^0 \triangleright a)$$

by (P2). Since $a, a^0 \in H_a$, we get $a^0 \triangleright a = L_{a^0}^\triangleright(a) \in H_a$ by (P4). Observe that $L_{a^0}^\triangleright|_{H_a} \in \text{Aut}(H_a, +)$ by (P4) again, it follows that $a = a^0 \triangleright a$ by (2). \square

A map ψ from a post Clifford semigroup $(T, +_T, \triangleright_T)$ to a post Clifford semigroup $(S, +_S, \triangleright_S)$ is called *post Clifford semigroup homomorphism* if

$$\psi(a +_T b) = \psi(a) +_S \psi(b) \text{ and } \psi(a \triangleright_T b) = \psi(a) \triangleright_S \psi(b) \text{ for all } a, b \in T.$$

Theorem 4.5. Let $(T, +, \triangleright)$ be a post Clifford semigroup. Define a binary operation “ \circ ” on T as follows: For all $a, b \in T$,

$$a \circ b = a + (a \triangleright b) = a + L_a^\triangleright(b).$$

- (1) (T, \circ) forms a Clifford semigroup, and for all $a \in T$, the inverse of a in (T, \circ) is $a^{-1} = (L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a})^{-1}(-a)$ and $-a + a = a^0 = a \circ a^{-1}$. Moreover, $E(T, +) = E(T, \circ)$.
- (2) $L^\triangleright : (T, \circ) \rightarrow \text{End}(T, +), a \mapsto L_a^\triangleright$ is a semigroup homomorphism.
- (3) If $\psi : (T, +, \triangleright) \rightarrow (S, +, \triangleright)$ is a post Clifford semigroup homomorphism, then ψ is a semigroup homomorphism from (T, \circ) to (S, \circ) .

Proof. We first observe that $x^0 = -x + x = x - x$ for all $x \in T$. Let $a, b, c \in T$.

(1) By (P1) and (P2), we have

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = (a \circ b) + ((a \circ b) \triangleright c) = a + (a \triangleright b) + ((a + (a \triangleright b)) \triangleright c) \stackrel{(P2)}{=} a + (a \triangleright b) + (a \triangleright (b \triangleright c))$$

$$\stackrel{(P1)}{=} a + (a \triangleright (b + (b \triangleright c))) = a \circ (b + (b \triangleright c)) = a \circ (b \circ c).$$

Since $-a \in H_a$, we denote $a^{-1} = (L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a})^{-1}(-a)$ by (P4). Then $a^{-1} \in H_a, (a^{-1})^0 = a^0$ and

$$a \circ a^{-1} = a + (a \triangleright a^{-1}) = a + L_a^\triangleright(a^{-1}) = a + L_a^\triangleright((L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a})^{-1}(-a)) = a - a = a^0.$$

This together with Lemma 4.4 implies that

$$(a \circ a^{-1}) \circ a = a^0 \circ a = a^0 + (a^0 \triangleright a) = a^0 + a = a,$$

$$a^{-1} \circ a \circ a^{-1} = a^{-1} \circ a^0 = a^{-1} + (a^{-1} \triangleright a^0) = a^{-1} + (a^{-1} \triangleright (a^{-1})^0) = a^{-1} + (a^{-1})^0 = a^{-1}.$$

Let $a \in E(T, \circ)$. Then $a = a \circ a = a + (a \triangleright a) = a + L_a^\triangleright(a)$ and $L_a^\triangleright(a) \in H_a$ by (P4), and so

$$a^0 = -a + a = -a + a + L_a^\triangleright(a) = a^0 + L_a^\triangleright(a) = L_a^\triangleright(a)$$

by Lemma 4.4. Since $a \in H_a$ and $L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a} \in \text{Aut}(H_a, +)$, we have $a = a^0 \in E(T, +)$. Conversely, let $e \in E(T, +)$. Then $e = e^0$, and so

$$e \circ e = e + (e \triangleright e) = e + (e \triangleright e^0) = e + e^0 = e + e = e \in E(T, \circ)$$

by Lemma 4.4. Thus $E(T, +) = E(T, \circ)$. Now, let $e \in E(T, \circ) = E(T, +)$. Then $e = e^0$. By (P3),

$$a \circ e = a + (a \triangleright e) = a + (a \triangleright e^0) = e^0 + (e^0 \triangleright a) = e^0 \circ a = e \circ a.$$

This implies that (T, \circ) is a Clifford semigroup and $a^{-1} = (L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a})^{-1}(-a)$ for all $a \in T$.

(2) Observe that

$$L_{a \circ b}^\triangleright(c) = (a + (a \triangleright b)) \triangleright c = a \triangleright (b \triangleright c) = L_a^\triangleright(L_b^\triangleright(c)) = (L_a^\triangleright L_b^\triangleright)(c),$$

it follows that $L^\triangleright(a \circ b) = L_{a \circ b}^\triangleright = L_a^\triangleright L_b^\triangleright = L^\triangleright(a)L^\triangleright(b)$. This gives that L^\triangleright is a semigroup homomorphism.

(3) Since

$$\psi(a \circ b) = \psi(a + (a \triangleright b)) = \psi(a) + \psi(a \triangleright b) = \psi(a) + (\psi(a) \triangleright \psi(b)) = \psi(a) \circ \psi(b),$$

the desired result holds. \square

Definition 4.6. Let $(T, +, \triangleright)$ be a post Clifford semigroup. Then the Clifford semigroup (T, \circ) obtained in Theorem 4.5 is called the *sub-adjacent Clifford semigroup* of $(T, +, \triangleright)$.

In the sequel, we shall consider the connection between post Clifford semigroups and dual weak left braces. Recall that a map ψ from a dual weak left brace $(T, +_T, \circ_T)$ to a dual weak left brace $(S, +_S, \circ_S)$ is called a *dual weak left brace homomorphism* if

$$\psi(a +_T b) = \psi(a) +_S \psi(b) \text{ and } \psi(a \circ_T b) = \psi(a) \circ_S \psi(b) \text{ for all } a, b \in T.$$

Lemma 4.7. Let $(T, +, \triangleright)$ be a post Clifford semigroup with the sub-adjacent Clifford semigroup (T, \circ) . Then $(T, +, \circ)$ is a dual weak left brace. If $\psi : (T, +, \triangleright) \rightarrow (S, +, \triangleright)$ is a post Clifford semigroup homomorphism, then ψ is a dual weak left brace homomorphism from $(T, +, \circ)$ to $(S, +, \circ)$.

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a \circ (b + c) &= a + (a \triangleright (b + c)) \stackrel{(P1)}{=} a + (a \triangleright b) + (a \triangleright c) = a - a + a + (a \triangleright b) + (a \triangleright c) \\ &= a + (a \triangleright b) - a + a + (a \triangleright c) = (a \circ b) - a + (a \circ c). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.5 (1), we have $a \circ a^{-1} = -a + a$. Moreover, if $\psi : (T, +, \triangleright) \rightarrow (S, +, \triangleright)$ is a post Clifford semigroup homomorphism,

$$\psi(a \circ b) = \psi(a + (a \triangleright b)) = \psi(a) + \psi(a \triangleright b) = \psi(a) + (\psi(a) \triangleright \psi(b)) = \psi(a) \circ \psi(b).$$

This gives that ψ is a dual weak left brace homomorphism. \square

Theorem 4.8. Let $(T, +, \triangleright)$ be a post Clifford semigroup with sub-adjacent Clifford semigroup (T, \circ) . Then the map $r : T \times T \rightarrow T \times T$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} r(a, b) &= (-a + a + (a \triangleright b), (a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ a \circ b) = (a^0 + (a \triangleright b), (a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ a \circ b) \\ &= (-a + a + (a \triangleright b), (L_{a \triangleright b}^\triangleright|_{H_{a \triangleright b}})^{-1}(-(a \triangleright b)) + ((L_{a \triangleright b}^\triangleright|_{H_{a \triangleright b}})^{-1}(-(a \triangleright b)) \triangleright (a + (a \triangleright b)))) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in T$, is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation.

Proof. Let $a, b \in T$. Then $(T, +, \circ)$ is a dual weak left brace by Lemma 4.7. In view of Lemma 2.10, we have $-a + a \circ b = -a + a + (a \triangleright b) = a^0 + (a \triangleright b) = a^0 \circ (a \triangleright b)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} (-a + a \circ b)^{-1} \circ a \circ b &= (a^0 \circ (a \triangleright b))^{-1} \circ a \circ b = (a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ (a^0)^{-1} \circ a \circ b \\ &= (a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ a^0 \circ a \circ b = (a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ a \circ b = (a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ (a + (a \triangleright b)) \\ &= (a \triangleright b)^{-1} + (a \triangleright b)^{-1} \triangleright (a + (a \triangleright b)) = (L_{a \triangleright b}^{\triangleright})^{-1}(-a \triangleright b) + ((L_{a \triangleright b}^{\triangleright})^{-1}(-a \triangleright b)) \triangleright (a + (a \triangleright b)) \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 4.5. The result now follows from Lemma 2.18. \square

Lemma 4.9. *Let $(T, +, \circ)$ be a dual weak left brace. Define a binary operation “ \triangleright ” on T as follows: For all $a, b \in T$, $a \triangleright b = -a + (a \circ b)$. Then $(T, +, \triangleright)$ is a strong post Clifford semigroup. If ψ is a dual weak left brace homomorphism from $(T, +, \circ)$ to $(S, +, \circ)$, then ψ is a post Clifford semigroup homomorphism from $(T, +, \triangleright)$ to $(S, +, \triangleright)$.*

Proof. Define $\lambda_a^T : T \rightarrow T, b \mapsto -a + a \circ b$ for all $a \in T$ and

$$\lambda^T : (T, \circ) \rightarrow \text{End}(T, +), \quad a \mapsto \lambda_a^T.$$

By Lemma 2.11, λ^T is a semigroup homomorphism. Let $a, b, c \in T$. Then $a \triangleright (b+c) = \lambda_a^T(b+c) = \lambda_a^T(b) + \lambda_a^T(c)$. This gives (P1). By Lemma 2.10, we have $a^0 + (a \circ b) = a^0 \circ a \circ b = a \circ b$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} (a + (a \triangleright b)) \triangleright c &= (a + \lambda_a^T(b)) \triangleright c = (a - a + a \circ b) \triangleright c = (a^0 + a \circ b) \triangleright c \\ &= (a^0 \circ a \circ b) \triangleright c = (a \circ b) \triangleright c = \lambda_{a \circ b}^T(c) = \lambda_a^T \lambda_b^T(c) = a \triangleright (b \triangleright c). \end{aligned}$$

This shows (P2) holds. Again by Lemma 2.10, we obtain $a^0 + (a \circ b^0) = a^0 \circ a \circ b^0 = a \circ b^0$ and $b^0 \circ a = b^0 \circ b^0 \circ a = b^0 + b^0 \circ a = b^0 - b^0 + (b^0 \circ a)$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} a + (a \triangleright b^0) &= a + \lambda_a^T(b^0) = a - a + (a \circ b^0) = a^0 + (a \circ b^0) = a \circ b^0 \\ &= b^0 \circ a = b^0 - b^0 + b^0 \circ a = b^0 + \lambda_{b^0}^T(a) = b^0 + (b^0 \triangleright a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (P3) is true. Denote $H_a = \{x \in T \mid x^0 = a^0\}$. Then $H_a = H_{a^{-1}}$. Assume that $b \in H_a$. Then $b^0 = a^0$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (L_a^{\triangleright}(b))^0 &= (a \triangleright b)^0 = (-a + a \circ b)^0 = (-a)^0 + (a \circ b)^0 \\ &= a^0 + a^0 \circ b^0 = a^0 + a^0 + b^0 = a^0 + a^0 + a^0 = a^0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L_a^{\triangleright}(b) \in H_a$. Dually, we have $L_{a^{-1}}^{\triangleright}(b) \in H_a$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} L_a^{\triangleright} L_{a^{-1}}^{\triangleright}(b) &= \lambda_a^T \lambda_{a^{-1}}^T(b) = \lambda_{a \circ a^{-1}}^T(b) = \lambda_{a^0}^T(b) = -a^0 + a^0 \circ b \\ &= a^0 + a^0 \circ b = a^0 \circ a^0 \circ b = a^0 \circ b = b^0 \circ b = b, \\ L_{a^{-1}}^{\triangleright} L_a^{\triangleright}(b) &= \lambda_{a^{-1}}^T \lambda_a^T(b) = \lambda_{a^0}^T(b) = b. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that $(L_a^{\triangleright}|_{H_a})^{-1} = L_{a^{-1}}^{\triangleright}|_{H_a}$ and so $L_a^{\triangleright}|_{H_a} \in \text{Aut}(H_a, +)$ by (P1). Thus (P4) is valid. (P5) follows from the fact that $a^0 + (a \triangleright b) = a^0 - a + (a \circ b) = -a + (a \circ b) = a \triangleright b$. Then $(T, +, \triangleright)$ is a strong post Clifford semigroup. Finally, observe that

$$\psi(a \triangleright b) = \psi(-a + a \circ b) = \psi(-a) + \psi(a \circ b) = -\psi(a) + (\psi(a) \circ \psi(b)) = \psi(a) \triangleright \psi(b),$$

it follows that ψ is a post Clifford semigroup homomorphism. \square

Theorem 4.10. *The category SPCS of strong post Clifford semigroups together with post Clifford semigroup homomorphisms is isomorphic to the category DWL B of dual weak left braces together with dual weak left brace homomorphisms.*

Proof. Define $\mathcal{F} : \text{SPCS} \rightarrow \text{DWLB}$ as follows: For all $(T, +, \triangleright), (S, +, \triangleright) \in \text{Obj}(\text{SPCS})$ and $\phi \in \text{Hom}((T, +, \triangleright), (S, +, \triangleright))$, let $\mathcal{F}(T, +, \triangleright) = (T, +, \circ)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\phi) = \phi$. Define $\mathcal{G} : \text{DWLB} \rightarrow \text{SPCS}$ as follows: For all $(T, +, \circ), (S, +, \circ) \in \text{Obj}(\text{DWLB})$ and $\phi \in \text{Hom}((T, +, \circ), (S, +, \circ))$, let $\mathcal{G}(T, +, \circ) = (T, +, \triangleright)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\phi) = \phi$. By Lemmas 4.7 and 4.9, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are functors.

Let $(T, +, \triangleright)$ be a post Clifford semigroup and denote $\mathcal{F}(T, +, \triangleright) = (T, +, \circ)$ and $\mathcal{GF}(T, +, \triangleright) = (T, +, \triangleright')$. Let $a, b \in T$. Then

$$a \triangleright' b = -a + a \circ b = -a + a + a \triangleright b = a^0 + a \triangleright b = a \triangleright b.$$

This shows that \triangleright' is equal to \triangleright . Thus $\mathcal{GF} = \text{id}_{\text{SPCS}}$.

Let $(T, +, \circ)$ be a dual weak left brace and $\mathcal{G}(T, +, \circ) = (T, +, \triangleright)$ and $\mathcal{FG}(T, +, \circ) = (T, +, \circ')$. Let $a, b \in T$. Then

$$a \circ' b = a + (a \triangleright b) = a - a + a \circ b = a^0 + a \circ b = a^0 \circ a \circ b = a \circ b.$$

This shows that \circ is equal to \circ' . Thus $\mathcal{FG} = \text{id}_{\text{DWLB}}$. \square

5. POST CLIFFORD SEMIGROUPS AND RELATIVE ROTA-BAXTER CLIFFORD SEMIGROUPS

In this section, we introduce and study relative Rota-Baxter operators on Clifford semigroups and establish the relation between post Clifford semigroups and relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroups. Moreover, we also consider the substructures and quotient structures of relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroups.

Definition 5.1. Let $(T, +)$ and $(S, +)$ be two Clifford semigroups,

$$\varphi : (S, +) \rightarrow (\text{End}(T, +), \cdot), \quad a \mapsto \varphi_a$$

be a semigroup homomorphism and $R : (T, +) \rightarrow (S, +)$ be a map. Then the quadruple $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ is called a *relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup* if the following conditions hold: For all $a, b \in T$,

- (C1) $R(a) + R(b) = R(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b))$.
- (C2) $\varphi_{R(a)^0}(a) = a$.
- (C3) $R(a^0) = R(a)^0$.
- (C4) $a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0) = b^0 + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a)$.

In this case, the map R is referred to as the *relative Rota-Baxter operator* on $(T, +)$. If R in addition is a bijection, then $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ is called a *bijective relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup*.

Lemma 5.2. Let $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ be a relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup with $a, b \in T$ and $x, y \in S$.

- (1) $\varphi_x \varphi_y(a) = \varphi_{x+y}(a)$ and $\varphi_x(a + b) = \varphi_x(a) + \varphi_x(b)$.
- (2) $\varphi_{R(a)^0}(a^0) = \varphi_{R(a^0)}(a^0) = a^0$.
- (3) $-R(a) = R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a))$.
- (4) $(\text{Im } R, +)$ is a Clifford subsemigroup of $(S, +)$.
- (5) If $a \in E(T, +)$, then $R(a) \in E(S, +)$.

Proof. (1) This follows from the facts that φ is a semigroup homomorphism and $\varphi_a \in \text{End}(T, +)$.

(2) Replacing a by a^0 in (C2) and then using (C3), we can obtain (2).

(3) Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} R(a) + R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) &= R(a + \varphi_{R(a)}\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) = R(a + \varphi_{R(a)^0}(-a)) \\ &= R(a - \varphi_{R(a)^0}(a)) = R(a - a) = R(a^0), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R(a) + R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) + R(a) &= R(a^0) + R(a) = R(a^0 + \varphi_{R(a^0)}(a)) \\
&= R(a^0 + \varphi_{R(a)^0}(a)) = R(a^0 + a) = R(a), \\
R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) + R(a) + R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) \\
&= R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) + R(a^0) = R(a^0) + R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) \\
&= R(a^0 + \varphi_{R(a^0)}\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) = R(a^0 + \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) \\
&= R(\varphi_{R(a^0)^0}(a^0) + \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) = R(\varphi_{R(a^0)}(a^0) + \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) \\
&= R(\varphi_{-R(a)}\varphi_{R(a)}(a^0) + \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) = R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(\varphi_{R(a)}(a^0) - a)) \\
&= R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(a^0)))) = R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-(a^0 + \varphi_{R(a^0)}(a)))) \\
&= R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-(a^0 + a))) = R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)),
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that $-R(a) = R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a))$.

(4) This follows from (C1) and item (2) in the present lemma.

(5) Since $a \in E(T, +)$, we have $a^0 = a$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}
R(a) + R(a) &= R(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(a)) = R(a + \varphi_{R(a^0)}(a)) \\
&= R(a + \varphi_{R(a)^0}(a)) = R(a + a) = R(a) \in E(S, +)
\end{aligned}$$

by (C1) and (C2). □

Definition 5.3. A relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ is called *strong* if it in addition satisfies the following condition: For all $a, b \in T$,

$$(C5) \quad a^0 + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) = \varphi_{R(a)}(b).$$

In this case, the map R is referred to as the *strong relative Rota–Baxter operator on $(T, +)$* .

Example 5.4. Let $(T, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and $R : T \rightarrow T$ be a map. Define

$$\phi_a : T \rightarrow T, x \mapsto a + x - a$$

for each $a \in T$. It is easy to see that $\phi_a \in \text{End}(T, +)$ for all $a \in T$. Moreover,

$$\phi : (T, +) \rightarrow (\text{End}(T, +), \cdot), a \mapsto \phi_a$$

is a semigroup homomorphism. Suppose that $((T, +), (T, +), \phi, R)$ is a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup and $a, b \in T$. Then (C1) gives that

$$R(a) + R(b) = R(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) = R(a + R(a) + b - R(a)),$$

and (C2) gives that

$$a = \varphi_{R(a)^0}(a) = R(a)^0 + a - R(a)^0 = a + R(a)^0.$$

This implies that R is a Rota–Baxter operator on $(T, +)$. Assume (C5) also holds. Take $b = R(a)^0$ in (C5). Then we have

$$a^0 + R(a)^0 = a^0 + (R(a) + R(a)^0 - R(a)) = a^0 + \varphi_{R(a)}(R(a)^0) = \varphi_{R(a)}(R(a)^0) = R(a)^0.$$

This together with Lemma 5.2 (2) and (C3) gives that

$$a^0 = \varphi_{R(a)^0}(a^0) = R(a)^0 + a^0 + R(a)^0 = a^0 + R(a)^0 = R(a)^0.$$

Thus R is also strong in this case by Lemma 2.7.

Conversely, let R be a Rota–Baxter operator on $(T, +)$ and $a, b \in T$. Then by the above two equations, (C1) and (C2) are true. Lemma 2.5 (1) gives (C3). Finally, we have

$$a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0) = a + R(a) + b^0 - R(a) = a + R(a) - R(a) + b^0 = a + R(a)^0 + b^0 = a + b^0$$

by (C2). By (C3), we have $R(b^0) = R(b)^0 \in E(T, +)$, and so $R(b^0)^0 = R(b)^{00} = R(b)^0$.

This together with (C2) implies that

$$b^0 + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a) = b^0 + R(b^0) + a - R(b^0) = b^0 + R(b^0) + a = b^0 + R(b^0)^0 + a = b^0 + a = a + b^0.$$

Thus (C4) holds. Therefore $((T, +), (T, +), \phi, R)$ is a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup. Assume that R is also strong. Then $R(a^0) = a^0$ by Lemma 2.7, and so

$$\begin{aligned} a^0 + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) &= a^0 + R(a) + b - R(a) = R(a^0) + R(a) + b - R(a) \\ &= R(a)^0 + R(a) + b - R(a) = R(a) + b - R(a) = \varphi_{R(a)}(b). \end{aligned}$$

This gives (C5). Thus (T, T, ϕ, R) is also strong in this case.

Example 5.5. Recall from [39] that a *relative Rota–Baxter group* is a quadruple $((H, +), (G, +), \phi, R)$, where $(H, +)$ and $(G, +)$ are groups (not necessarily commutative), $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H, +)$ a group homomorphism (where $\phi(g)$ is denoted by ϕ_g for all $g \in G$) and $R : H \rightarrow G$ is a map satisfying the condition

$$(3) \quad R(h_1) + R(h_2) = R(h_1 + \phi_{R(h_1)}(h_2))$$

for all $h_1, h_2 \in H$. Obviously, $(H, +)$ and $(G, +)$ are Clifford semigroups and for all $h \in H$ and $g \in G$, h^0 and g^0 are the identities 0_H and 0_G in H and G , respectively. Clearly, (C1) is exactly (3). Since ϕ is a group homomorphism and $\phi_g \in \text{Aut}(H)$ for all $g \in G$, it follows that ϕ_{0_G} is the identity map on H and $\phi_g(0_H) = 0_H$ for all $g \in G$. Let $a, b \in H$. Then $\phi_{R(a)^0}(a) = \phi_{0_G}(a) = a$, and so (C2) is valid. Take $h_1 = h_2 = 0_H$ in (3). Then

$$R(0_H) + R(0_H) = R(0_H + \phi_{R(0_H)}(0_H)) = R(0_H + 0_H) = R(0_H),$$

which implies that $R(a^0) = R(0_H) = 0_G = R(a)^0$. This gives (C3). By similar argument, we can obtain (C4). The condition (C5) is trivially satisfied. This yields that $((H, +), (G, +), \phi, R)$ forms a strong relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup. Thus, relative Rota–Baxter groups are strong relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups.

Proposition 5.6. *Let (T, S, ϕ, R) be a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup with $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(T, +)$, $\psi \in \text{End}(S, +)$ and $\varphi^{-1}\phi_x\varphi = \phi_{\psi(x)}$ for all $x \in S$. Then $(T, S, \phi, \psi R\varphi)$ is also a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup. In particular, if φ lies in the center of $\text{End}(T, +)$, then $(T, S, \phi, R\varphi)$ is a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi R\varphi(a^0) &= \psi R(\varphi(a)^0) = \psi(R(\varphi(a))^0) = \psi R\varphi(a)^0, \\ \phi_{\psi R\varphi(a)^0}(a) &= \phi_{\psi(R(\varphi(a))^0)}(a) = \varphi^{-1}\phi_{R(\varphi(a))^0}\varphi(a) = \varphi^{-1}\varphi(a) = a, \\ \psi R\varphi(a) + \psi R\varphi(b) &= \psi(R\varphi(a) + R\varphi(b)) = \psi(R(\varphi(a) + \phi_{R\varphi(a)}\varphi(b))) \\ &= \psi R(\varphi(a) + \phi_{R\varphi(a)}\varphi(b)) = \psi R\varphi(a + \varphi^{-1}\phi_{R\varphi(a)}\varphi(b)) = \psi R\varphi(a + \phi_{\psi R\varphi(a)}\varphi(b)), \\ a + \phi_{\psi R\varphi(a)}(b^0) &= a + \varphi^{-1}\phi_{R\varphi(a)}\varphi(b^0) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(a) + \phi_{R\varphi(a)}\varphi(b^0)) \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(b)^0 + \phi_{R(\varphi(b)^0)}\varphi(a)) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(b)^0 + \phi_{R\varphi(b^0)}\varphi(a)) \\ &= b^0 + \varphi^{-1}\phi_{R\varphi(b^0)}\varphi(a) = b^0 + \phi_{\psi R\varphi(b^0)}\varphi(a). \end{aligned}$$

This shows (C1)–(C4) are all true, and hence the desired result follows. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Let $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ be a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup. Define a binary operation \circ_R as follows:*

$$a \circ_R b = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) \text{ for all } a, b \in T.$$

Then (T, \circ_R) is a Clifford semigroup and the inverse of a in (T, \circ_R) is $a^{-1} = \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)$ for all $a \in T$. Moreover, the map $R : (T, \circ_R) \rightarrow (S, +)$ is a semigroup homomorphism.

Proof. The operation \circ_R is well-defined obviously. Let $a, b \in T$.

$$\begin{aligned} (a \circ_R b) \circ_R c &= (a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) \circ_R c = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) + \varphi_{R(a+\varphi_{R(a)}(b))}(c) \\ &= a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) + \varphi_{R(a)+R(b)}(c) = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) + \varphi_{R(a)}\varphi_{R(b)}(c) \\ &= a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b + \varphi_{R(b)}(c)) = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b \circ_R c) = a \circ_R (b \circ_R c). \end{aligned}$$

This gives that (T, \circ_R) is a semigroup. Denote $x = \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a) \in T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a \circ_R x \circ_R a &= a \circ_R \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a) \circ_R a = (a + \varphi_{R(a)}\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) \circ_R a \\ &= (a + \varphi_{R(a)^0}(-a)) \circ_R a = (a - \varphi_{R(a)^0}(a)) \circ_R a \\ &= (a - a) \circ_R a = a^0 \circ_R a = a^0 + \varphi_{R(a)^0}(a) = a^0 + a = a, \end{aligned}$$

This together with Lemma 5.2 (3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ_R a \circ_R x &= x \circ_R a^0 = \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a) \circ_R a^0 = \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a) + \varphi_{R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a))}(a^0) \\ &= \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a) + \varphi_{-R(a)}(a^0) = \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a + a^0) = \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a) = x. \end{aligned}$$

So (T, \circ_R) is a regular semigroup. Furthermore, let $e \in E(T, \circ_R)$. Then

$$R(e) = R(e \circ_R e) = R(e + \varphi_{R(e)}(e)) = R(e) + R(e),$$

and so $R(e) \in E(T, +)$. This implies that

$$e = e \circ_R e = e + \varphi_{R(e)}(e) = e + \varphi_{R(e)^0}(e) = e + e \in E(T, +).$$

Therefore $E(T, \circ_R) \subseteq E(T, +)$. So we can let $e = b^0$ for some $b \in T$. Then

$$a \circ_R e = a \circ_R b^0 = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0) = b^0 + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a) = e + \varphi_{R(e)}(a) = e \circ_R a.$$

We have shown that each idempotent in (T, \circ_R) lies in the center of (T, \circ_R) . Thus (T, \circ_R) is a Clifford semigroup by Lemma 2.2. Moreover, $a^{-1} = \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)$ is the inverse of a in (T, \circ_R) by the above statements. Obviously, $R(a \circ_R b) = R(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) = R(a) + R(b)$. So R is a semigroup homomorphism from (T, \circ_R) to $(S, +)$. \square

Definition 5.8. Let $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ be a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup. The Clifford semigroup (T, \circ_R) obtained in Lemma 5.7 is called the *descendent Clifford semigroup* of R .

Corollary 5.9. Let $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ be a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup and $a \in S$. Denote $H_a = \{x \in S \mid x^0 = a^0\}$. Then $\varphi_{R(a)}(a^0) = a^0$, $\varphi_{R(a)}(H_a) \subseteq H_a$ and $\varphi_{R(a)}|_{H_a}$ is an isomorphism on H_a and $(\varphi_{R(a)}|_{H_a})^{-1} = \varphi_{-R(a)}|_{H_a}$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.7, (T, \circ_R) forms a Clifford semigroup and $a^{-1} = \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} a^0 &= a - a = a \circ_R a^{-1} = a^{-1} \circ_R a = \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a) + \varphi_{R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a))}(a) \\ &= \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a) + \varphi_{-R(a)}(a) = \varphi_{-R(a)}(a^0). \end{aligned}$$

So $\varphi_{R(a)}(a^0) = \varphi_{R(a)}(\varphi_{-R(a)}(a^0)) = \varphi_{R(a)^0}(a^0) = a^0$. If $x \in H_a$, then $x^0 = a^0$, and so $\varphi_{R(a)}(x)^0 = \varphi_{R(a)}(x^0) = \varphi_{R(a)}(a^0) = a^0$. This shows that $\varphi_{R(a)}(x) \in H_a$. Thus $\varphi_{R(a)}(H_a) \subseteq H_a$. Similarly, $\varphi_{-R(a)}(H_a) \subseteq H_a$. Moreover, for all $x \in H_a$,

$$\varphi_{R(a)}\varphi_{-R(a)}(x) = \varphi_{-R(a)}\varphi_{R(a)}(x) = \varphi_{R(a)^0}(x) = \varphi_{R(a^0)}(x) = \varphi_{R(x^0)}(x) = x.$$

Therefore, $\varphi_{R(a)}|_{H_a}$ is an automorphism on H_a . \square

To give more characterizations of relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups, we need a construction method of inverse semigroups which is known as *the λ -semidirect products* in literature (see [32] for details). The following lemma is a special case of [32, Proposition 5.3.1], but we also give a proof for completeness.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $(T, +)$ and $(S, +)$ be Clifford semigroups and*

$$\varphi : (S, +) \rightarrow (\text{End}(T, +), \cdot), \quad a \mapsto \varphi_a$$

be a semigroup homomorphism. Define a multiplication on

$$M = M(S, T, \varphi) = \{(x, a) \in S \times T \mid \varphi_{x^0}(a) = a\}$$

as follows: For all $(x, a), (y, b) \in M$,

$$(x, a) + (y, b) = (x + y, \varphi_{y^0}(a) + \varphi_x(b)).$$

Then $(M, +)$ forms an inverse semigroup with

$$E(M, +) = \{(x, a) \in M \mid x \in E(S, +), a \in E(T, +)\}$$

and $-(x, a) = (-x, \varphi_{-x}(-a))$ for all $(x, a) \in M$.

Proof. Let $x \in S$ and $a \in T$. Then $\varphi_{x^0}(\varphi_x(a)) = \varphi_{x^0+x}(a) = \varphi_x(a)$. This implies that $(x, \varphi_x(a)) \in M$, and so M is nonempty. Let $(x, a), (y, b), (z, c) \in M$. Then $\varphi_{x^0}(a) = a$, $\varphi_{y^0}(b) = b$ and $\varphi_{z^0}(c) = c$. On one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(x+y)^0}(\varphi_{y^0}(a) + \varphi_x(b)) &= \varphi_{x^0+y^0}\varphi_{y^0}(a) + \varphi_{x^0+y^0}\varphi_x(b) = \varphi_{x^0+y^0+y^0}(a) + \varphi_{x^0+y^0+x}(b) \\ &= \varphi_{x^0+y^0}(a) + \varphi_{x+y^0}(b) = \varphi_{x^0+y^0}(a) + \varphi_x\varphi_{y^0}(b) = \varphi_{y^0}\varphi_{x^0}(a) + \varphi_x(b) = \varphi_{y^0}(a) + \varphi_x(b). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(x + y, \varphi_{y^0}(a) + \varphi_x(b)) \in M$, and so the above binary operation $+$ is well-defined. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} ((x, a) + (y, b)) + (z, c) &= (x + y, \varphi_{y^0}(a) + \varphi_x(b)) + (z, c) \\ &= ((x + y) + z, \varphi_{z^0}(\varphi_{y^0}(a) + \varphi_x(b)) + \varphi_{x+y}(c)) \\ &= (x + y + z, \varphi_{y^0+z^0}(a) + \varphi_{x+z^0}(b) + \varphi_{x+y}(c)), \\ (x, a) + ((y, b) + (z, c)) &= (x, a) + (y + z, \varphi_{z^0}(b) + \varphi_y(c)) \\ &= (x + (y + z), \varphi_{y^0+z^0}(a) + \varphi_x(\varphi_{z^0}(b) + \varphi_y(c))) \\ &= (x + y + z, \varphi_{y^0+z^0}(a) + \varphi_{x+z^0}(b) + \varphi_{x+y}(c)) = ((x, a) + (y, b)) + (z, c). \end{aligned}$$

This shows $(M, +)$ forms a semigroup. It is easy to see that $(-x, \varphi_{-x}(-a)) \in M$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (x, a) + (-x, \varphi_{-x}(-a)) &= (x - x, \varphi_{(-x)^0}(a) + \varphi_x(\varphi_{-x}(-a))) \\ &= (x^0, \varphi_{x^0}(a) + \varphi_{x^0}(-a)) = (x^0, \varphi_{x^0}(a^0)), \\ (x^0, \varphi_{x^0}(a^0)) + (x, a) &= (x^0 + x, \varphi_{x^0}(\varphi_{x^0}(a^0)) + \varphi_{x^0}(a)) \\ &= (x, \varphi_{x^0}(a^0) + \varphi_{x^0}(a)) = (x, \varphi_{x^0}(a)) = (x, a), \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(x, a) + (x, a) = (x + x, \varphi_{x^0}(a) + \varphi_x(a)) = (x + x, a + \varphi_x(a)),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (x, a) + (x, a) = (x, a) &\iff x + x = x \text{ and } a + \varphi_x(a) = a \\ \iff x + x = x \text{ and } a + \varphi_{x^0}(a) = a &\iff x + x = x \text{ and } a + a = a. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$E(M, +) = \{(x, a) \in M \mid x \in E(S, +), a \in E(T, +)\}.$$

Let $(x, a), (y, b) \in E(M, +)$. Then

$$(x, a) + (y, b) = (x + y, \varphi_{y^0}(a) + \varphi_x(b)) = (x + y, \varphi_y(a) + \varphi_x(b)).$$

Similarly, $(y, b) + (x, a) = (y + x, \varphi_x(b) + \varphi_y(a))$. Since $a, b \in E(T)$, we have $\varphi_x(b), \varphi_y(a) \in E(T, +)$, and so $\varphi_x(b) + \varphi_y(a) = \varphi_y(a) + \varphi_x(b)$. This implies that $(x, a) + (y, b) = (y, b) + (x, a)$. Thus $(M, +)$ is an inverse semigroup by Lemma 2.1. \square

Theorem 5.11. *Let $(T, +)$ and $(S, +)$ be two Clifford semigroups,*

$$\varphi : (S, +) \rightarrow (\text{End}(T, +), \cdot), \quad a \mapsto \varphi_a$$

be a semigroup homomorphism and $R : T \rightarrow S$ be a map. Then the quadruple $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ is a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup if and only if $\text{Gr}R = \{(R(a), a) \mid a \in T\}$ is a Clifford subsemigroup of $(M, +) = (M(S, T, \varphi), +)$. In this case, if (T, \circ_R) is the descendent Clifford semigroup of R , then

$$\phi : (T, \circ_R) \rightarrow (\text{Gr}R, +), \quad a \mapsto (R(a), a)$$

is a semigroup isomorphism.

Proof. Assume that (T, S, φ, R) is a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup and $a, b, c \in T$. Firstly, Since $\varphi_{R(a)^0}(a) = a$ by (C2), we have $(R(a), a) \in M$. This implies that $\text{Gr}R \subseteq M$. Secondly,

$$\begin{aligned} (R(a), a) + (R(b), b) &= (R(a) + R(b), \varphi_{R(b)^0}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) \\ &= (R(a) + R(b), \varphi_{R(b)^0}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)+R(b)^0}(b)) = (R(a) + R(b), \varphi_{R(b)^0}(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b))), \\ \varphi_{R(b)^0}(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) &= \varphi_{R(b)^0}(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0) + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) \\ &= \varphi_{R(b)^0}(b^0 + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) = \varphi_{R(b)^0}(b^0) + \varphi_{R(b)^0}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)+R(b)^0}(b) \\ &= b^0 + \varphi_{R(b)^0}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0) + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(R(a), a) + (R(b), b) = (R(a) + R(b), a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)).$$

Since $R(a) + R(b) = R(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b))$ by (C1), we have $(R(a), a) + (R(b), b) \in \text{Gr}R$. Observe that

$$-(R(a), a) = (-R(a), \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) \quad \text{and} \quad -R(a) = R(\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)).$$

it follows that $-(R(a), a) \in \text{Gr}R$. This shows that $(\text{Gr}R, +)$ is an inverse subsemigroup of $(M, +)$. To prove $(\text{Gr}R, +)$ is a Clifford semigroup, we first observe that

$$E(\text{Gr}R, +) = \{(R(a), a) \mid a \in E(T, +)\} = \{(R(b^0), b^0) \mid b \in T\}$$

by Lemma 5.2 (4) and Lemma 5.10. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (R(c), c) + (R(b^0), b^0) &= (R(c) + R(b^0), \varphi_{R(b^0)}(c) + \varphi_{R(c)}(b^0)) \\ &= (R(c) + R(b^0), \varphi_{R(b^0)}(c) + \varphi_{R(c)+R(b^0)}(b^0)) = (R(c) + R(b^0), \varphi_{R(b^0)}(c + \varphi_{R(c)}(b^0))), \\ (R(b^0), b^0) + (R(c), c) &= (R(b^0) + R(c), \varphi_{R(b^0)+R(c)^0}(b^0) + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(c)) \\ &= (R(c) + R(b^0), \varphi_{R(b^0)}(\varphi_{R(c)^0}(b^0) + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(c))), \\ \varphi_{R(b^0)}(\varphi_{R(c)^0}(b^0) + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(c)) &= \varphi_{R(b^0)}(\varphi_{R(c)^0}(b^0) + \varphi_{R(b^0)+R(c)^0}(c)) \\ &= \varphi_{R(b^0)+R(c^0)}(b^0 + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(c)) = \varphi_{R(b^0)+R(c^0)}(c + \varphi_{R(c)}(b^0)) \\ &= \varphi_{R(b^0)}(\varphi_{R(c^0)}(c) + \varphi_{R(c^0)+R(c)}(b^0)) = \varphi_{R(b^0)}(c + \varphi_{R(c)}(b^0)), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(R(c), c) + (R(b^0), b^0) = (R(b^0), b^0) + (R(c), c).$$

This implies that each idempotent of $(\text{Gr}R, +)$ lies in the center of $(\text{Gr}R, +)$, and so $(\text{Gr}R, +)$ is a Clifford subsemigroup.

Conversely, assume that $(\text{Gr}R, +)$ is a Clifford subsemigroup of $(M, +)$. Let $a, b \in T$. Then $(R(a), a) \in \text{Gr}R \subseteq M$ and so $\varphi_{R(a)^0}(a) = a$. This implies that (C2) holds. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (R(a), a) + (R(b), b) &= (R(a) + R(b), \varphi_{R(b)^0}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) \\ &= (R(a) + R(b), \varphi_{R(b)^0}(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b))) = (R(a) + R(b), a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) \in \text{Gr}R, \end{aligned}$$

and so $R(a) + R(b) = R(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b))$. This gives (C1). Since $(R(a), a) \in \text{Gr}R$, we have $-(R(a), a) = (-R(a), \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) \in \text{Gr}R$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (R(a), a) + (-R(a), \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) &= (R(a)^0, \varphi_{(-R(a))^0}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)}\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a)) \\ &= (R(a)^0, a - a) = (R(a)^0, a^0) \in \text{Gr}R, \end{aligned}$$

This gives $R(a)^0 = R(a^0)$. Thus (C3) is true. Replacing a by a^0 in (C2), we have

$$(4) \quad a^0 = \varphi_{R(a^0)^0}(a^0) = \varphi_{R(a)^{00}}(a^0) = \varphi_{R(a)^0}(a^0)$$

by (C3).

Finally, since $b \in T$, we have $(R(b^0), b^0) \in E(\text{Gr}R, +)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} (R(a), a) + (R(b^0), b^0) &= (R(a) + R(b^0), \varphi_{R(a)^0+R(b^0)^0}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0)), \\ (R(b^0), b^0) + (R(a), a) &= (R(a) + R(b^0), \varphi_{R(b^0)^0+R(a)^0}(b^0) + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $(\text{Gr}R, +)$ is a Clifford subsemigroup, we have

$$\varphi_{R(a)^0+R(b^0)^0}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0) = \varphi_{R(b^0)^0+R(a)^0}(b^0) + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a).$$

By direct calculations using (C1)–(C3) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{R(a)^0+R(b^0)^0}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0) &= \varphi_{R(a)^0}(\varphi_{R(b^0)^0}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0)) \\ &= \varphi_{R(a)^0}(\varphi_{R(b^0)^0}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)+R(b^0)^0}(b^0)) = \varphi_{R(a)^0+R(b^0)^0}(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0)) \\ &= \varphi_{(R(a)+R(b^0)^0)^0}(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0)) = \varphi_{R(a+\varphi_{R(a)}(b^0))^0}(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0)) = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{R(b^0)^0+R(a)^0}(b^0) + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a) &= \varphi_{R(b^0)^0}(\varphi_{R(a)^0}(b^0) + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a)) \\ &= \varphi_{R(b^0)^0}(\varphi_{R(a)^0}(b^0) + \varphi_{R(b^0)^0+R(a)^0}(a)) \\ &= \varphi_{R(b^0)^0+R(a)^0}(b^0 + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a)) = \varphi_{(R(b^0)^0+R(a)^0)^0}(b^0 + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a)) \\ &= \varphi_{R(b^0+\varphi_{R(b^0)}(a))^0}(b^0 + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a)) = b^0 + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a). \end{aligned}$$

This gives that $a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0) = b^0 + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a)$. Thus (C4) holds.

Obviously, the map ϕ in the theorem is an bijection. For all $a, b \in T$, by Lemma 5.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{a \circ_R b} &= (R(a \circ_R b), a \circ_R b) = (R(a) + R(b), a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) \\ &= (R(a) + R(b), \varphi_{R(b)^0}(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b))) = (R(a) + R(b), \varphi_{R(b)^0+R(a)^0}(a) + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) \\ &= (R(a), a) + (R(b), b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus φ is a semigroup isomorphism. □

Definition 5.12. Let $((T, +), (S, +), \phi, R)$ and $((M, +), (N, +), \varphi, B)$ be two relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroups and $\psi : (T, +) \rightarrow (M, +)$ and $\eta : (S, +) \rightarrow (N, +)$ be semigroup homomorphisms (respectively, isomorphisms). Then $(\psi, \eta) : (T, S, \phi, R) \rightarrow (M, N, \varphi, B)$ is called a *Rota-Baxter homomorphism* (respectively, *Rota-Baxter isomorphism*) if $\eta R = B\psi$ and $\psi\phi_a = \varphi_{\eta(a)}\psi$ for all $a \in S$.

Lemma 5.13. *Let $(T, +, \triangleright)$ be a post Clifford semigroup with the sub-adjacent Clifford semigroup (T, \circ) . Then $R(T) = ((T, +), (T, \circ), L^\triangleright, \text{id}_T)$ forms a relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup. If $(T, +, \triangleright)$ is strong, then $R(T)$ is also strong. Moreover, if ψ is a post Clifford semigroup homomorphism from $(T, +, \triangleright)$ to $(S, +, \triangleright)$, then (ψ, ψ) is a Rota-Baxter homomorphism from $R(T)$ to $R(S)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.5 (2), $L^\triangleright : (T, \circ) \rightarrow \text{End}(T, +)$, $a \mapsto L_a^\triangleright$ is a semigroup homomorphism. Obviously, $\text{id}_T : (T, +) \rightarrow (T, \circ)$, $x \mapsto x$ is map. Let $a, b \in T$. Then

$$\text{id}_T(a) \circ \text{id}_T(b) = a \circ b = a + (a \triangleright b) = a + L_a^\triangleright(b) = \text{id}_T(a + L_{\text{id}_T(a)}^\triangleright(b)),$$

which gives (C1). Lemma 4.4 gives $L_{\text{id}_T(a)^0}^\triangleright(a) = L_a^\triangleright(a) = a$ and

$$\text{id}_T(a^0) = a^0 = a \circ a^{-1} = \text{id}_T(a) \circ \text{id}_T(a)^{-1} = \text{id}_T(a)^0,$$

and so (C2) and (C3) hold. (P3) implies that

$$a + L_{\text{id}_T(a)}^\triangleright(b^0) = a + L_a^\triangleright(b^0) = a + (a \triangleright b^0) = b^0 + (b^0 \triangleright a) = b^0 + L_{\text{id}_T(b^0)}^\triangleright(a),$$

which gives (C4). If $(T, +, \triangleright)$ is strong, then

$$a^0 + L_{\text{id}_T(a)}^\triangleright(b) = a^0 + (a \triangleright b) = a \triangleright b = L_{\text{id}_T(a)}^\triangleright(b),$$

which implies that $R(T)$ is also strong. Let ψ be a post Clifford semigroup homomorphism from $(T, +, \triangleright)$ to $(S, +, \triangleright)$. Then ψ preserves “+” and “ \circ ” by Theorem 4.5 (3). Obviously, $\psi \text{id}_T = \text{id}_S \psi$. Let $a, b \in T$. Then

$$\psi L_a^{\triangleright T}(b) = \psi(a \triangleright_T b) = \psi(a) \triangleright_S \psi(b) = L_{\psi(a)}^{\triangleright T}(\psi(b)) = L_{\psi(a)}^{\triangleright T} \psi(b).$$

This gives $\psi L_a^{\triangleright T} = L_{\psi(a)}^{\triangleright T} \psi$. Thus (ψ, ψ) is a Rota-Baxter homomorphism. \square

Lemma 5.14. *Let $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ be a relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup. Define a binary operation “ \triangleright ” on T as follows: For all $a, b \in T$, $a \triangleright b = \varphi_{R(a)}(b)$. Then $(T, +, \triangleright)$ is a post Clifford semigroup and $(L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a})^{-1} = \varphi_{-R(a)}|_{H_a}$ for all $a \in T$. If $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ is strong, then $(T, +, \triangleright)$ is also strong. Moreover, if (ψ, η) is a Rota-Baxter homomorphism from $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ to $((M, +), (N, +), \phi, B)$, then ψ is a post Clifford semigroup homomorphism from $(T, +, \triangleright)$ to $(S, +, \triangleright)$.*

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in T$. Since $\varphi_{R(a)} \in \text{End}(T, +)$, we have

$$a \triangleright (b + c) = \varphi_{R(a)}(b + c) = \varphi_{R(a)}(b) + \varphi_{R(a)}(c) = (a \triangleright b) + (a \triangleright c).$$

So (P1) holds. Since φ is a semigroup homomorphism, by (C1) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (a + (a \triangleright b)) \triangleright c &= (a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) \triangleright c = \varphi_{R(a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b))}(c) \\ &= \varphi_{R(a) + R(b)}(c) = \varphi_{R(a)} \varphi_{R(b)}(c) = a \triangleright (b \triangleright c). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (P2). Moreover, (C4) gives that

$$a + (a \triangleright b^0) = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b^0) = b^0 + \varphi_{R(b^0)}(a) = b^0 + (b^0 \triangleright a),$$

and so (P3) is true. Observe that $L_a^\triangleright(b) = a \triangleright b = \varphi_{R(a)}(b)$, it follows that $L_a^\triangleright = \varphi_{R(a)}$ for all $a, b \in T$. By Corollary 5.9, (P4) holds and $(L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a})^{-1} = \varphi_{-R(a)}|_{H_a}$ for all $a \in T$. If $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ is strong, then $a^0 + (a \triangleright b) = a^0 + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) = \varphi_{R(a)}(b) = a \triangleright b$. This shows (P5) and so $(T, +, \triangleright)$ is also strong. Let (ψ, η) be a Rota-Baxter homomorphism from $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ to $((M, +), (N, +), \phi, B)$. Then $\eta R = B\psi$ and $\eta \varphi_a = \phi_{\eta(a)} \psi$ for all $a \in T$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a \triangleright b) &= \psi(\varphi_{R(a)}(b)) = (\psi \varphi_{R(a)})(b) = (\phi_{\eta(R(a))} \psi)(b) \\ &= \phi_{\eta(R(a))}(\psi(b)) = \phi_{(\eta R)(a)}(\psi(b)) = \phi_{(B\psi)(a)}(\psi(b)) = \phi_{B(\psi(a))}(\psi(b)) = \psi(a) \triangleright \psi(b). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that ψ is a post Clifford semigroup homomorphism. \square

Corollary 5.15. *In Definition 5.1, in the presence of (C1)–(C3), (C4) holds if and only if $a + \phi_{R(a)}(b^0) = a + b^0$ and $b^0 + \phi_{R(b^0)}(a) = b^0 + a$ for all $a, b \in T$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.5 and Lemma 4.7 and 5.14, $(T, +, \circ)$ forms a dual weak left brace, where $a \circ b = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)$ for all $a, b \in T$. In view of Lemma 2.10,

$$a + b^0 = a \circ_R b^0 = a + \phi_{R(a)}(b^0), \quad b^0 + \phi_{R(b^0)}(a) = b^0 \circ_R a = b^0 + a.$$

This gives the necessity. The sufficiency is trivial. \square

Corollary 5.16. *Let $((T, +), (S, +), \varphi, R)$ be a relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup. Then the map $r : T \times T \rightarrow T \times T$ defined by*

$$r(a, b) = (-a + a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b), \varphi_{-R(\varphi_{R(a)}(b))}(-\varphi_{R(a)}(b) + a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b))$$

for all $a, b \in T$, is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation.

Proof. Define a binary operation “ \triangleright ” on T as follows: For all $a, b \in T$, $a \triangleright b = \varphi_{R(a)}(b)$. Then $(T, +, \triangleright)$ is a post Clifford semigroup and $(L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a})^{-1} = \varphi_{-R(a)}|_{H_a}$ for all $a \in T$ by Lemma 5.14. Let $a, b \in T$. Observe that $-a + a + (a \triangleright b) = -a + a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)$. Denote $u = (L_{a \triangleright b}^\triangleright|_{H_{a \triangleright b}})^{-1}(-(a \triangleright b))$. Then $u = \varphi_{-R(a \triangleright b)}(-(a \triangleright b))$, whence $R(u) = -R(a \triangleright b)$ by Lemma 5.2 (3). This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{a \triangleright b}^\triangleright|_{H_{a \triangleright b}})^{-1}(-(a \triangleright b)) + ((L_{a \triangleright b}^\triangleright|_{H_{a \triangleright b}})^{-1}(-(a \triangleright b)) \triangleright (a + (a \triangleright b))) &= u + (u \triangleright (a + (a \triangleright b))) \\ &= u + \varphi_{R(u)}(a + (a \triangleright b)) = \varphi_{-R(a \triangleright b)}(-(a \triangleright b)) + \varphi_{-R(a \triangleright b)}(a + (a \triangleright b)) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 5.2 (1)}}{=} \\ &\varphi_{-R(a \triangleright b)}(-(a \triangleright b) + a + (a \triangleright b)) = \varphi_{-R(\varphi_{R(a)}(b))}(-(\varphi_{R(a)}(b)) + a + (\varphi_{R(a)}(b))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the result follows from Theorem 4.8. \square

Theorem 5.17. *The category \mathbb{BRRBCS} of bijective relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroups together with Rota-Baxter homomorphisms is equivalent to the category \mathbb{PCS} of post Clifford semigroups together with post Clifford semigroups homomorphisms. As a consequence, the category of bijective strong relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroups is equivalent to the category of strong post Clifford semigroups.*

Proof. Define $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{BRRBCS} \rightarrow \mathbb{PCS}$ as follows: For any

$$(T, S, \phi, R), (M, N, \varphi, B) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbb{BRRBCS}) \text{ and } (\psi, \eta) \in \text{Hom}((T, S, \phi, R), (M, N, \varphi, B)),$$

$$\mathcal{F}(T, S, \phi, R) = (T, +, \triangleright_R), \quad \mathcal{F}(\psi, \eta) = \psi : (T, +, \triangleright_R) \rightarrow (M, +, \triangleright_B).$$

On the other hand, define $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{PCS} \rightarrow \mathbb{BRRBCS}$ as follows: For any $(T, +, \triangleright), (S, +, \triangleright) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbb{PCS})$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}((T, +, \triangleright), (S, +, \triangleright))$,

$$\mathcal{G}(T, +, \triangleright) = ((T, +), (T, \circ), L^{\triangleright_T}, \text{id}_T),$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\psi) = (\psi, \psi) : ((T, +), (T, \circ), L^{\triangleright_T}, \text{id}_T) \rightarrow ((S, +), (S, \circ), L^{\triangleright_S}, \text{id}_S).$$

By Lemmas 5.13 and 5.14, one can show that \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are functors routinely.

Let $(T, +, \triangleright)$ be a post Clifford semigroup denote $\mathcal{G}(T, +, \triangleright) = ((T, +), (T, \circ), L^{\triangleright_T}, \text{id}_T)$ and $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}(T, +, \triangleright) = (T, +, \triangleright')$. Let $a, b \in T$. Then $a \triangleright' b = L_{\text{id}_T(a)}^{\triangleright_T}(b) = L_a^{\triangleright_T}(b) = a \triangleright b$. This shows that \triangleright' is equal to \triangleright . Thus $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{PCS}}$.

In the following, we shall prove that $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \cong \text{id}_{\mathbb{BRRBCS}}$. Let $(T, S, \phi, R) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbb{BRRBCS})$. Since R is bijective, and is a semigroup isomorphism from (T, \circ_R) onto $(S, +)$ by Theorem 5.7. Obviously, id_T is a semigroup isomorphism from $(T, +)$ onto $(T, +)$ and $R \text{id}_T = R \text{id}_T$. Let $a, b \in T$. Then $L_a^{\triangleright_R}(b) = a \triangleright_R b = \phi_{R(a)}(b)$. This gives $L_a^{\triangleright_R} = \phi_{R(a)}$, and so $\text{id}_T L_a^{\triangleright_R} = \phi_{R(a)} \text{id}_T$. Thus in the category \mathbb{BRRBCS} , (id_T, R) is an isomorphism from

$$(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F})(T, S, \phi, R) = \mathcal{G}(T, +, \triangleright_R) = (T^+, T^{\circ_R}, L^{\triangleright_R}, \text{id}_T)$$

onto $\text{id}_{\mathbb{BRRBCS}}(T, S, \phi, R) = (T, S, \phi, R)$. Denote $\Pi_{(T, S, \phi, R)} = (\text{id}_T, R)$ for each $(T, S, \phi, R) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbb{BRRBCS})$ and let

$$\Pi = \{\Pi_{(T, S, \phi, R)} \mid (T, S, \phi, R) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbb{BRRBCS})\}.$$

Assume that

$$(T, S, \phi, R), (M, N, \varphi, B) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbb{BRRBCS}), (\psi, \eta) \in \text{Hom}((T, S, \phi, R), (M, N, \varphi, B)).$$

Then $\eta R = B\psi$ by Definition 5.12. Obviously, $\psi \text{id}_T = \psi = \text{id}_M \psi$. This implies that

$$\text{id}_{\mathbb{BRRBCS}}(\psi, \eta) \Pi_{(T, S, \phi, R)} = (\psi, \eta)(\text{id}_T, R) = (\psi \text{id}_T, \eta R)$$

$$= (\text{id}_M \psi, B\psi) = (\text{id}_M, B)(\psi, \psi) = \Pi_{(M, N, \varphi, B)}(\mathcal{GF})(\psi, \eta).$$

This shows that Π is a natural isomorphism from \mathcal{GF} to $\text{id}_{\text{BRBACS}}$. So $\mathcal{GF} \cong \text{id}_{\text{BRBACS}}$. This together with $\mathcal{FG} = \text{id}_{\text{PSC}}$ gives that BRBACS is equivalent to PSC . The final statement follows from Lemmas 5.13 and 5.14. \square

The following proposition gives an answer of [16, Question 2] for strong Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups.

Proposition 5.18. *Let $(T, +, \circ_R)$ and $(T, +, \circ_B)$ be the dual weak left braces induced by strong relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups (T, S, ϕ, R) and (T, S, φ, B) , respectively. Then $(T, +, \circ_R) \cong (T, +, \circ_B)$ if and only if there exists a semigroup isomorphism $\psi_T : (T, +) \rightarrow (T, +)$ such that $\psi_T \phi_{R(a)} = \varphi_{B(\psi_T(a))} \psi_T$ for all $a \in T$. In particular, if (T, S, ϕ, R) and (T, S, φ, B) are strong Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups (that is, $T = S$ and $\phi_a(b) = a + b - a = \varphi_a(b)$ for all $a, b \in T$), then $(T, +, \circ_R) \cong (T, +, \circ_B)$ if and only if there exists a semigroup isomorphism $\psi_T : (T, +) \rightarrow (T, +)$ such that $-\psi_T R(a) + B\psi_T(a) \in C(T)$.*

Proof. Let $\psi : (T, +) \rightarrow (T, +)$ be a semigroup isomorphism and let $a, b \in T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a \circ_R b) &= \psi(a) \circ_B \psi(b) \\ \iff \psi(a + \phi_{R(a)}(b)) &= \psi(a) + \varphi_{B(\psi(a))}(\psi(b)) \\ \iff \psi(a) + \psi \phi_{R(a)}(b) &= \psi(a) + \varphi_{B(\psi(a))}(\psi(b)) \\ \iff -\psi(a) + \psi(a) + \psi \phi_{R(a)}(b) &= -\psi(a) + \psi(a) + \varphi_{B(\psi(a))}(\psi(b)) \\ \iff \psi(a^0 + \phi_{R(a)}(b)) &= \psi(a)^0 + \varphi_{B(\psi(a))}(\psi(b)) \\ \iff \psi(\phi_{R(a)}(b)) &= \varphi_{B(\psi(a))}(\psi(b)) \\ \iff \psi \phi_{R(a)}(b) &= \varphi_{B(\psi(a))} \psi(b). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the first part. In the special case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \phi_{R(a)}(b) &= \varphi_{B(\psi(a))} \psi(b) \\ \iff \psi(R(a) + b - R(a)) &= B(\psi(a)) + \psi(b) - B(\psi(a)) \\ \iff \psi R(a) + \psi(b) - \psi R(a) &= B(\psi(a)) + \psi(b) - B(\psi(a)) \\ \iff \psi(b) - \psi R(a) &= -\psi R(a) + B(\psi(a)) + \psi(b) - B(\psi(a)) \\ \iff \psi(b) - \psi R(a) + B(\psi(a)) &= -\psi R(a) + B(\psi(a)) + \psi(b) \\ \iff -\psi R(a) + B\psi(a) &\in C(T). \end{aligned}$$

This shows the second part. \square

Proposition 5.19. *Let (T, S, ϕ, R) and (M, N, φ, B) be two strong relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups such that R is a bijection. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\text{Hom}((T, +, \circ_R), (M, +, \circ_B))$ and $\text{Hom}((T, S, \phi, R), (M, N, \varphi, B))$.*

Proof. Let $\psi \in \text{Hom}((T, +, \circ_R), (M, +, \circ_B))$. Then $\psi \in \text{Hom}((T, +), (M, +))$ obviously, and by the proof of the first part of Proposition 5.18, we have

$$(5) \quad \psi \phi_{R(a)} = \varphi_{B(\psi(a))} \psi \text{ for all } a \in T.$$

Denote $\eta = B\psi R^{-1}$ and $x, y \in S$. Since R is bijective, we can let $x = R(a)$ and $y = R(b)$ for some $a, b \in T$. Then $x + y = R(a) + R(b) = R(a + \phi_{R(a)}(b))$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x + y) &= B\psi R^{-1} R(a + \phi_{R(a)}(b)) = B\psi(a + \phi_{R(a)}(b)) \\ &= B(\psi(a) + \psi \phi_{R(a)}(b)) = B(\psi(a) + \varphi_{B(\psi(a))} \psi(b)) \quad (\text{by (5)}) \\ &= B(\psi(a) \circ_B \psi(b)) = B(\psi(a)) + B(\psi(b)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 5.7}) \\ &= B\psi R^{-1} R(a) + B\psi R^{-1} R(b) = B\psi R^{-1}(x) + B\psi R^{-1}(y) = \eta(x) + \eta(y). \end{aligned}$$

This shows $\eta \in \text{Hom}((S, +), (N, +))$. Since $\eta = B\psi R^{-1}$, we have $\eta R = B\psi$. Moreover,

$$\psi\phi_x = \psi\phi_{R(a)} = \varphi_{B(\psi(a))}\psi = \varphi_{B\psi R^{-1}R(a)}\psi = \varphi_{\eta(R(a))}\psi = \varphi_{\eta(x)}\psi$$

by (5). This implies that $(\psi, \eta) \in \text{Hom}((T, S, \phi, R), (M, N, \varphi, B))$. So we can define the following map:

$$\Theta : \text{Hom}((T, +, \circ_R), (M, +, \circ_B)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}((T, S, \phi, R), (M, N, \varphi, B)), \psi \mapsto (\psi, B\psi R^{-1}).$$

Obviously, Θ is injective. Now let $(\psi, \eta) \in \text{Hom}((T, S, \phi, R), (M, N, \varphi, B))$. Then by Definition 5.12, we have $\eta R = B\psi$. Since R is bijective, we have $\psi \in \text{Hom}((T, +, \circ_R), (M, +, \circ_B))$ and $\eta = B\psi R^{-1}$. This implies that $\Theta(\psi) = (\psi, B\psi R^{-1}) = (\psi, \eta)$. Hence Θ is surjective. \square

In the end of this section, we consider the substructures and quotient structures of relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroups. Let (T, S, φ, R) be a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup and M, N be Clifford subsemigroups of T and S , respectively. Assume that $R(M) \subseteq N$ and $\varphi_n(M) \subseteq M$ for all $n \in N$. Then we can define

$$R| : M \rightarrow N, m \mapsto R(m) \text{ and } \varphi| : N \rightarrow \text{End } M, n \mapsto \varphi_n|_M.$$

Then $(M, N, \varphi|, R|)$ is also a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup, and is called a *relative Rota–Baxter Clifford subsemigroup of (T, S, φ, R)* .

Definition 5.20. Let $(M, N, \varphi|, R|)$ be a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford subsemigroup of a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup (T, S, φ, R) . Then $(M, N, \varphi|, R|)$ is called an *ideal* of (T, S, φ, R) if the following conditions hold:

- (I1) $(M, +)$ and $(N, +)$ are normal subsemigroups of T and S , respectively.
- (I2) $\varphi_x(M) \subseteq M$ for all $x \in S$.
- (I3) $\varphi_n(t) - t \in M$ for all $t \in T$ and $n \in N$.
- (I4) $\varphi_{n_1}(e) = \varphi_{n_2}(e)$ for all $e \in E(T, +)$ and $n_1, n_2 \in N$ with $n_1^\circ = n_2^\circ$.

Theorem 5.21. Let $(M, N, \varphi|, R|)$ be an ideal of a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup (T, S, φ, R) . Define

$$\bar{\varphi} : S/N \rightarrow \text{End}(T/M), a + N \mapsto \bar{\varphi}_{a+N}, \quad \bar{R} : T/M \rightarrow S/N, b + M \mapsto R(b) + N,$$

where

$$\bar{\varphi}_{a+N} : T/M \rightarrow T/M, b + M \mapsto \varphi_a(b) + M.$$

Then $(T/M, S/N, \bar{\varphi}, \bar{R})$ is a relative Rota–Baxter Clifford semigroup.

Proof. By (I1), (I2) and Lemma 2.15, the Clifford semigroups $(T/M, +)$ and $(S/N, +)$ in the theorem are meaningful. Let $a, a_1, a_2 \in S, b, b_1, b_2 \in T$, if $b_1 + M = b_2 + M$, then $b_1^0 = b_2^0, -b_1 + b_2 \in M$. By (I2),

$$(\varphi_a(b_1))^0 = \varphi_a(b_1^0) = \varphi_a(b_2^0) = \varphi_a(b_2)^0, \quad -\varphi_a(b_1) + \varphi_a(b_2) = \varphi_a(-b_1 + b_2) \in M.$$

This implies $\varphi_a(b_1) + M = \varphi_a(b_2) + M$, and so $\bar{\varphi}_{a+N}$ is well-defined. It is easy to see that $\bar{\varphi}_{a+N}$ is a homomorphism. Now let $a_1 + N = a_2 + N$. Then $a_1^0 = a_2^0, -a_1 + a_2 \in N$. By (I3),

$$b + \varphi_{-a_1+a_2}(-b) = b - \varphi_{-a_1+a_2}(b) = -(\varphi_{-a_1+a_2}(b) - b) \in M.$$

By (I2),

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{a_1}(b) - \varphi_{a_2}(b) &= \varphi_{a_1}(b) + \varphi_{a_2}(-b) = \varphi_{a_1}(b) + \varphi_{a_2^0+a_2}(-b) \\ &= \varphi_{a_1}(b) + \varphi_{a_1^0+a_2}(-b) = \varphi_{a_1}(b) + \varphi_{a_1-a_1+a_2}(-b) = \varphi_{a_1}(b + \varphi_{-a_1+a_2}(-b)) \in M, \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_1^0 = a_2^0$, we have

$$(a_1^0)^0 = a_1^0 = a_1^0 + a_2^0 = (-a_1)^0 + a_2^0 = (-a_1 + a_2)^0.$$

Observe that $a_1^0, -a_1 + a_2 \in N$, and $b^0 \in E(S)$, it follows that $\varphi_{a_1^0}(b^0) = \varphi_{-a_1+a_2}(b^0)$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{a_1}(b)^0 &= \varphi_{a_1}(b^0) = \varphi_{a_1}\varphi_{a_1^0}(b^0) = \varphi_{a_1}\varphi_{-a_1+a_2}(b^0) = \varphi_{a_1-a_1+a_2}(b^0) \\ &= \varphi_{a_1^0+a_2}(b^0) = \varphi_{a_2^0+a_2}(b^0) = \varphi_{a_2}(b^0) = \varphi_{a_2}(b)^0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\overline{\varphi}_{a_1+N}(b+M) = \varphi_{a_1}(b) + M = \varphi_{a_2}(b) + M = \overline{\varphi}_{a_2+N}(b+M).$$

It is routine to check that $\overline{\varphi}$ is a homomorphism.

Let $b_1 + M = b_2 + M$. Then $b_1^0 = b_2^0, -b_1 + b_2 \in M$, and so $\varphi_{-R(b_1)}(-b_1 + b_2) \in M$ by (I2). This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}-R(b_1) + R(b_2) &= R(\varphi_{-R(b_1)}(-b_1)) + R(b_2) = R(\varphi_{-R(b_1)}(-b_1) + \varphi_{R(\varphi_{-R(b_1)}(-b_1))}(b_2)) \\ &= R(\varphi_{-R(b_1)}(-b_1) + \varphi_{-R(b_1)}(b_2)) = R(\varphi_{-R(b_1)}(-b_1 + b_2)) \in N.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $R(b_1)^0 = R(b_1^0) = R(b_2^0) = R(b_2)^0$, it follows that $R(b_1) + N = R(b_2) + N$. So \overline{R} is well-defined. Let $b, b_1, b_2 \in T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{R}(b+M)^0 &= \overline{R}(b+M) - \overline{R}(b+M) = (R(b) + N) - (R(b) + N) \\ &= (R(b) - R(b)) + N = R(b)^0 + N = R(b^0) + N = \overline{R}(b^0 + M), \\ \overline{\varphi}_{\overline{R}(b+M)^0}(b+M) &= \overline{\varphi}_{R(b^0)+N}(b+M) = \varphi_{R(b^0)}(b) + M = b + M, \\ \overline{R}(b_1 + M + \overline{\varphi}_{\overline{R}(b_1+M)}(b_2 + M)) &= \overline{R}(b_1 + M + \varphi_{R(b_1)+N}(b_2 + M)) \\ &= \overline{R}(b_1 + M + \varphi_{R(b_1)}(b_2) + M) = \overline{R}((b_1 + \varphi_{R(b_1)}(b_2)) + M) = R(b_1 + \varphi_{R(b_1)}(b_2)) + N \\ &= (R(b_1) + R(b_2)) + N = R(b_1) + N + R(b_2) + N = \overline{R}(b_1 + M) + \overline{R}(b_2 + M), \\ b_1 + M + \overline{\varphi}_{\overline{R}(b_1+M)}((b_2 + M)^0) &= b_1 + M + \overline{\varphi}_{R(b_1)+N}(b_2^0 + M) = b_1 + M + \varphi_{R(b_1)}(b_2^0) + M \\ &= (b_1 + \varphi_{R(b_1)}(b_2^0)) + M = (b_2^0 + \varphi_{R(b_2^0)}(b_1)) + M = b_2^0 + M + \varphi_{R(b_2^0)}(b_1) + M \\ &= (b_2 + M)^0 + \overline{\varphi}_{R(b_2^0)+N}(b_1 + M) = (b_2 + M)^0 + \overline{\varphi}_{\overline{R}(b_2^0+M)}(b_1 + M) \\ &= (b_2 + M)^0 + \overline{\varphi}_{\overline{R}((b_2+M)^0)}(b_1 + M).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(T/M, S/N, \overline{\varphi}, \overline{R})$ is a relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup. \square

Proposition 5.22. *Let $(M, N, \varphi|, R|)$ be an ideal of a relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroup (T, S, φ, R) . Then $(M, +, \circ_{R|})$ is an ideal of $(T, +, \circ_R)$ and the descendent dual weak left brace $(T/M, +, \circ_{R|})$ of $(T/M, S/N, \overline{\varphi}, \overline{R})$ is exactly the quotient dual weak left brace $(T, +, \circ_R)/(M, +, \circ_{R|})$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, $(M, +)$ is a normal subsemigroup of $(T, +)$, and so $E(T, +) \subseteq (M, +)$. Let $a \in T, b \in M$. Then $\varphi_{R(a)}(b) \in M$ by (b), and so

$$\lambda_a^M(b) = -a + a \circ_R b = -a + a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) = a^0 + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) \in M,$$

This implies that $\lambda_a^M(M) \subseteq M$.

Let $a, b \in M$. Then $-a \in M$ by (I1), and so $a^{-1} = \varphi_{-R(a)}(-a) \in M$ by (I2). Let $a \in T, b \in M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}a \circ_R b \circ_R a^{(-1)} &= (a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b)) \circ_R a^{(-1)} = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) + \varphi_{(a+\varphi_{R(a)}(b))}\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a) \\ &= a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) + \varphi_{R(a)+R(b)}\varphi_{-R(a)}(-a) = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) - a + a - \varphi_{R(a)+R(b)-R(a)}(a).\end{aligned}$$

By (I2), $\varphi_{R(a)}(b) \in M$. This together with the fact that $(M, +)$ is a normal subsemigroup gives that $a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) - a \in M$. Since $R(M) \subseteq N$ and $(N, +)$ is a normal subsemigroup of S , it follows that $R(b) \in N$ and $R(a) + R(b) - R(a) \in N$. In view of (I4), we have $a - \varphi_{R(a)+R(b)-R(a)}(a) \in M$. Thus

$$a \circ_R b \circ_R a^{(-1)} = a + \varphi_{R(a)}(b) - a + a - \varphi_{R(a)+R(b)-R(a)}(a) \in M.$$

Thus (M, \circ_R) is a normal subsemigroup of (T, \circ_R) . So $(M, +, \circ_R)$ is an ideal of $(T, +, \circ_R)$. The remaining part is obvious. \square

6. POST CLIFFORD SEMIGROUPS AND BRAIDED CLIFFORD SEMIGROUPS

In this section, we introduce braided Clifford semigroups and prove that the categories of strong post Clifford semigroups and braided Clifford semigroups are isomorphic.

Definition 6.1. Let (T, \circ) be a Clifford semigroup and

$$\sigma : T \times T \rightarrow T \times T, (a, b) \mapsto (a \rightarrow b, a \leftarrow b)$$

be a map. Then (T, \circ, σ) is called a *braided Clifford semigroup* if the following conditions are satisfied: For all $a, b, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in T$,

- (B1) $a^0 \rightarrow a = a$,
- (B2) $a_1 \rightarrow (a_2 \rightarrow b) = (a_1 \circ a_2) \rightarrow b$,
- (B3) $(a_1 \circ a_2) \leftarrow b = (a_1 \leftarrow (a_2 \rightarrow b)) \circ (a_2 \leftarrow b)$,
- (B4) $a \leftarrow a^0 = a$,
- (B5) $(a \leftarrow b_1) \leftarrow b_2 = a \leftarrow (b_1 \circ b_2)$,
- (B6) $a \rightarrow (b_1 \circ b_2) = (a \rightarrow b_1) \circ ((a \leftarrow b_1) \rightarrow b_2)$,
- (B7) $(a \rightarrow b) \circ (a \leftarrow b) = a \circ b$,
- (B8) $(a \rightarrow b)^0 = (a \leftarrow b)^0$,
- (B9) $a \circ (a^0 \rightarrow b) = a \circ b$.

Example 6.2. Let (T, \circ) be a commutative and idempotent semigroup. Define

$$\sigma : T \times T \rightarrow T \times T, (a, b) \mapsto (a \rightarrow b, a \leftarrow b) = (a \circ b, a \circ b).$$

Then $a^0 = a$ for all $a \in S$. It is easy to see that (T, \circ, σ) is a braided Clifford semigroup.

Example 6.3. Let (G, \circ) be a group and

$$\sigma : T \times T \rightarrow T \times T, (a, b) \mapsto (a \rightarrow b, a \leftarrow b)$$

be a map. Then (G, \circ) is a Clifford semigroup and a^0 is the identity in G for all $a \in G$ obviously. Recall from Definitions 3.8 and 3.11 in [2] (also see [44]) that (G, \circ, σ) is called a *braided group* if (B1)–(B7) hold for all $a, b, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in G$. Moreover, in this case, (B8) is satisfied trivially, and (B9) follows from (B1). Thus braided groups are necessarily braided Clifford semigroups.

Lemma 6.4. *Let (T, \circ, σ) be a braided Clifford semigroup with*

$$\sigma : T \times T \rightarrow T \times T, (a, b) \mapsto (a \rightarrow b, a \leftarrow b).$$

Assume that $a, b, c \in T$. Then

- (1) $(a \rightarrow b)^0 = (a \leftarrow b)^0 = a^0 \circ b^0$,
- (2) $a^0 \circ (a \rightarrow b) = a \rightarrow b$,
- (3) $(a \rightarrow b)^{-1} \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c) = (a \leftarrow b) \rightarrow (b^{-1} \rightarrow c)$,
- (4) $a \rightarrow a^0 = a^0$.

Proof. (1) By (B7) and (B8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^0 \circ b^0 &= (a \circ b)^0 = ((a \rightarrow b) \circ (a \leftarrow b))^0 = (a \rightarrow b)^0 \circ (a \leftarrow b)^0 \\ &= (a \rightarrow b)^0 \circ (a \rightarrow b)^0 = (a \rightarrow b)^0 = (a \leftarrow b)^0. \end{aligned}$$

(2) By item (1) above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^0 \circ (a \rightarrow b) &= a^0 \circ (a \rightarrow b)^0 \circ (a \rightarrow b) = a^0 \circ a^0 \circ b^0 \circ (a \rightarrow b) \\ &= a^0 \circ b^0 \circ (a \rightarrow b) = (a \rightarrow b)^0 \circ (a \rightarrow b) = a \rightarrow b. \end{aligned}$$

(3) We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \rightharpoonup b) \rightharpoonup ((a \rightharpoonup b)^{-1} \rightharpoonup (a \rightharpoonup c)) &= ((a \rightharpoonup b) \circ (a \rightharpoonup b)^{-1}) \rightharpoonup (a \rightharpoonup c) \quad (\text{by (B2)}) \\
 &= (a \rightharpoonup b)^0 \rightharpoonup (a \rightharpoonup c) = (a^0 \circ b^0) \rightharpoonup (a \rightharpoonup c) \quad (\text{by Lemma 6.4 (1)}) \\
 &= (a^0 \circ b^0 \circ a) \rightharpoonup c = (a \circ b^0) \rightharpoonup c, \quad (\text{by (B2)}) \\
 (a \rightharpoonup b) \rightharpoonup ((a \leftarrow b) \rightharpoonup (b^{-1} \rightharpoonup c)) &= ((a \rightharpoonup b) \circ (a \leftarrow b)) \rightharpoonup (b^{-1} \rightharpoonup c) \quad (\text{by (B2)}) \\
 &= (a \circ b) \rightharpoonup (b^{-1} \rightharpoonup c) = (a \circ b \circ b^{-1}) \rightharpoonup c = (a \circ b^0) \rightharpoonup c. \quad (\text{by (B7) and (B2)})
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(a \rightharpoonup b)^{-1} \rightharpoonup ((a \rightharpoonup b) \rightharpoonup ((a \rightharpoonup b)^{-1} \rightharpoonup (a \rightharpoonup c))) \\
 &= (a \rightharpoonup b)^{-1} \rightharpoonup ((a \rightharpoonup b) \rightharpoonup ((a \leftarrow b) \rightharpoonup (b^{-1} \rightharpoonup c))).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (B2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \rightharpoonup b)^{-1} \rightharpoonup (a \rightharpoonup c) &= ((a \rightharpoonup b)^{-1} \circ (a \rightharpoonup b) \circ (a \rightharpoonup b)^{-1}) \rightharpoonup (a \rightharpoonup c) \\
 &= ((a \rightharpoonup b)^{-1} \circ (a \rightharpoonup b) \circ (a \leftarrow b)) \rightharpoonup (b^{-1} \rightharpoonup c) \\
 &= ((a \rightharpoonup b)^0 \circ (a \leftarrow b)) \rightharpoonup (b^{-1} \rightharpoonup c) = (a \leftarrow b) \rightharpoonup (b^{-1} \rightharpoonup c). \quad (\text{by (B8)})
 \end{aligned}$$

(4) Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \rightharpoonup a^0) \circ (a \rightharpoonup a^0) &= (a \rightharpoonup a^0) \circ ((a \leftarrow a^0) \rightharpoonup a^0) \quad (\text{by (B4)}) \\
 &= a \rightharpoonup (a^0 \circ a^0) = a \rightharpoonup a^0 \in E(T, \circ). \quad (\text{by (B6)})
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $a \rightharpoonup a^0 = (a \rightharpoonup a^0)^0 = a^0 \circ a^{00} = a^0$ by item (1) in the present lemma. \square

Lemma 6.5. *Let $(T, +, \triangleright)$ be a post Clifford semigroup with sub-adjacent Clifford semigroup (T, \circ) . Define a map*

$$R_T : T \times T \rightarrow T \times T, (a, b) \mapsto (a \rightharpoonup b, a \leftarrow b),$$

where $a \rightharpoonup b = a^0 + (a \triangleright b) = a^0 + L_a^\triangleright(b)$ and $a \leftarrow b = (a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ a \circ b$ for all $a, b \in T$. Then (T, \circ, R_T) forms a braided Clifford semigroup and R_T is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation on the set T . If $\psi : (T, +, \triangleright) \rightarrow (S, +, \triangleright)$ is a Post-Clifford semigroup homomorphism, then ψ is also a braided Clifford semigroup homomorphism.

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in T$. Then we have

$$(6) \quad (a \rightharpoonup b)^0 = (a^0 + (a \triangleright b))^0 = a^{00} + (a \triangleright b)^0 = a^0 + (a \triangleright b)^0 = a^0 + (a \triangleright b^0),$$

$$(7) \quad a^0 + (a \triangleright b^0) = -a + a + (a \triangleright b^0) = -a + a \circ b^0 = -a + a + b^0 = a^0 + b^0,$$

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned}
 (a \triangleright b)^0 \circ a \circ b &= (a \triangleright b)^0 \circ a^0 \circ a \circ b = a^0 \circ (a \triangleright b)^0 \circ a \circ b \\
 &= (a^0 + (a \triangleright b)^0) \circ a \circ b = (a + (a \triangleright b))^0 \circ a \circ b = (a \circ b)^0 \circ a \circ b = a \circ b.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \leftarrow b)^0 &= ((a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ a \circ b)^0 = (a \triangleright b)^0 \circ a^0 \circ b^0 = (a \triangleright b)^{00} \circ a^0 \circ b^0 \\
 &= ((a \triangleright b)^0 \circ a \circ b)^0 = (a \circ b)^0 = a^0 \circ b^0 = a^0 + b^0 = (a \rightharpoonup b)^0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (B8) holds. By (6.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \rightharpoonup b) \circ (a \leftarrow b) &= (a^0 + (a \triangleright b)) \circ ((a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ a \circ b) \\
 &= a^0 \circ (a \triangleright b) \circ (a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ a \circ b = (a \triangleright b)^0 \circ a \circ b = a \circ b.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (B7) holds. (B9) follows from the following equation

$$a \circ (a^0 \rightharpoonup b) = a \circ (a^0 + (a^0 \triangleright b)) = a \circ (a^0 \circ b) = a \circ b,$$

and (B1) follows from the fact that $a^0 \rightarrow a = a^{00} + (a^0 \triangleright a) = a^0 + a = a$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_1 \rightarrow (a_2 \rightarrow b) = a_1^0 + (a_1 \triangleright (a_2 \rightarrow b)) = a_1^0 + (a_1 \triangleright (a_2^0 + (a_2 \triangleright b))) \\
&= a_1^0 + (a_1 \triangleright a_2^0) + (a_1 \triangleright (a_2 \triangleright b)) \\
&= a_1^0 + a_2^0 + (a_1 \circ a_2) \triangleright b \quad (\text{by (7) and Theorem 4.5 (2)}) \\
&= a_1^0 \circ a_2^0 + (a_1 \circ a_2) \triangleright b = (a_1 \circ a_2)^0 + (a_1 \circ a_2) \triangleright b = (a_1 \circ a_2) \rightarrow b.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (B2) holds, and (B3) follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a_1 \leftarrow (a_2 \rightarrow b)) \circ (a_2 \leftarrow b) = (a_1 \triangleright (a_2 \rightarrow b))^{-1} \circ a_1 \circ (a_2 \rightarrow b) \circ (a_2 \leftarrow b) \\
&= (a_1 \triangleright (a_2 \rightarrow b))^{-1} \circ a_1^0 \circ a_1 \circ a_2 \circ b \quad (\text{by (B7)}) \\
&= (a_1^0 \circ (a_1 \triangleright (a_2 \rightarrow b)))^{-1} \circ a_1 \circ a_2 \circ b \\
&= (a_1^0 + (a_1 \triangleright (a_2 \rightarrow b)))^{-1} \circ a_1 \circ a_2 \circ b \\
&= (a_1 \rightarrow (a_2 \rightarrow b))^{-1} \circ a_1 \circ a_2 \circ b \\
&= ((a_1 \circ a_2) \rightarrow b)^{-1} \circ a_1 \circ a_2 \circ b \quad (\text{by (B2)}) \\
&= ((a_1 \circ a_2)^0 + ((a_1 \circ a_2) \triangleright b))^{-1} \circ a_1 \circ a_2 \circ b \\
&= ((a_1 \circ a_2)^0 \circ ((a_1 \circ a_2) \triangleright b))^{-1} \circ a_1 \circ a_2 \circ b \\
&= ((a_1 \circ a_2) \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ (a_1 \circ a_2)^0 \circ a_1 \circ a_2 \circ b \\
&= ((a_1 \circ a_2) \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ a_1 \circ a_2 \circ b = (a_1 \circ a_2) \leftarrow b.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.4, we have $a \leftarrow a^0 = (a \triangleright a^0)^{-1} \circ a \circ a^0 = a^0 \circ a \circ a^0 = a$, which gives (B4). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a \triangleright b_1) \circ (((a \triangleright b_1)^{-1} \circ a \circ b_1) \triangleright b_2) \\
&= (a \triangleright b_1) + (a \triangleright b_1) \triangleright (((a \triangleright b_1)^{-1} \circ a \circ b_1) \triangleright b_2) \\
&= (a \triangleright b_1) + (((a \triangleright b_1) \circ (a \triangleright b_1)^{-1} \circ a \circ b_1) \triangleright b_2) \quad (\text{by Theorem 4.5 (2)}) \\
(9) \quad &= (a \triangleright b_1) + (((a \triangleright b_1)^0 \circ a \circ b_1) \triangleright b_2) \\
&= (a \triangleright b_1) + ((a \circ b_1) \triangleright b_2) \quad (\text{by (6.4)}) \\
&= (a \triangleright b_1) + (a \triangleright (b_1 \triangleright b_2)) \quad (\text{by Theorem 4.5 (2)}) \\
&= a \triangleright (b_1 + (b_1 \triangleright b_2)) = a \triangleright (b_1 \circ b_2),
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a \leftarrow b_1) \leftarrow b_2 = ((a \triangleright b_1)^{-1} \circ a \circ b_1) \leftarrow b_2 \\
&= (((a \triangleright b_1)^{-1} \circ a \circ b_1) \triangleright b_2)^{-1} \circ (a \triangleright b_1)^{-1} \circ a \circ b_1 \circ b_2 \\
&= ((a \triangleright b_1) \circ (((a \triangleright b_1)^{-1} \circ a \circ b_1) \triangleright b_2))^{-1} \circ a \circ b_1 \circ b_2, \\
&= (a \triangleright (b_1 \circ b_2))^{-1} \circ a \circ b_1 \circ b_2 = a \leftarrow (b_1 \circ b_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (B5) is true. (B6) follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \rightarrow b_1) \circ ((a \leftarrow b_1) \rightarrow b_2) &= (a \rightarrow b_1) \circ ((a \leftarrow b_1)^0 + (a \leftarrow b_1) \triangleright b_2) \\
 &= (a \rightarrow b_1) \circ (a \leftarrow b_1)^0 \circ (a \leftarrow b_1) \triangleright b_2 \\
 &= (a \rightarrow b_1) \circ (a \leftarrow b_1) \triangleright b_2 \quad (\text{by (B8)}) \\
 &= (a^0 + (a \triangleright b_1)) \circ (((a \triangleright b_1)^{-1} \circ a \circ b_1) \triangleright b_2) \\
 &= a^0 \circ (a \triangleright b_1) \circ (((a \triangleright b_1)^{-1} \circ a \circ b_1) \triangleright b_2) \\
 &= a^0 \circ (a \triangleright (b_1 \circ b_2)) \quad (\text{by (9)}) \\
 &= a^0 + a \triangleright (b_1 \circ b_2) = a \rightarrow (b_1 \circ b_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the above statements, (T, \circ, R_T) forms a braided Clifford semigroup, and R_T is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation on the set T by Theorem 4.8.

If $\psi : (T, +, \triangleright) \rightarrow (S, +, \triangleright)$ is a post Clifford semigroup homomorphism, then by Theorem 4.5 (2), we have $\psi(a \circ b) = \psi(a) \circ \psi(b)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\psi \times \psi)R_T(a, b) &= (\psi \times \psi)(a^0 + a \triangleright b, (a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ a \circ b) \\
 &= (\psi(a^0 + a \triangleright b), \psi((a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ a \circ b)) \\
 &= (\psi(a)^0 + \psi(a) \triangleright \psi(b), (\psi(a) \triangleright \psi(b))^{-1} \circ \psi(a) \circ \psi(b)) \\
 &= R_S(\psi(a), \psi(b)) = R_S(\psi \times \psi)(a, b).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus ψ is also a braided Clifford semigroup homomorphism. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let (T, \circ, R_T) be a braided Clifford semigroup with*

$$R_T : T \times T \rightarrow T \times T, (a, b) \mapsto (a \rightarrow b, a \leftarrow b).$$

Define two binary operations “+” and “ \triangleright ” as follows:

$$a + b = a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow b) \quad \text{and} \quad a \triangleright b = a \rightarrow b$$

for all $a, b \in T$. Then $(T, +, \triangleright)$ forms a strong post Clifford semigroup and $-a = a \rightarrow a^{-1}$ for all $a \in S$. If $\psi : (T, \circ, R_T) \rightarrow (S, \circ, R_S)$ is a braided Clifford semigroup homomorphism, then ψ is a post Clifford semigroup homomorphism.

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a + b) + c &= a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow b) + c = a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow b) \circ ((a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow b))^{-1} \rightarrow c) \\
 &= a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow b) \circ (((a^{-1} \rightarrow b)^{-1} \circ a^{-1}) \rightarrow c) \\
 &= a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow b) \circ ((a^{-1} \rightarrow b)^{-1} \rightarrow (a^{-1} \rightarrow c)) \quad (\text{by (B2)}) \\
 &= a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow b) \circ ((a^{-1} \leftarrow b) \rightarrow (b^{-1} \rightarrow c)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 6.4 (3)}) \\
 &= a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow (b \circ (b^{-1} \rightarrow c))) \quad (\text{by (B6)}) \\
 &= a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow (b + c)) = a + (b + c).
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(T, +)$ is a semigroup. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad a + (a \rightarrow a^{-1}) &= a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a^{-1})) = a \circ ((a^{-1} \circ a) \rightarrow a^{-1}) \quad (\text{by (B2)}) \\
 &= a \circ (a^0 \rightarrow a^{-1}) = a \circ a^{-1} = a^0. \quad (\text{by (B9)})
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.4(1), we have $(a \rightarrow a^{-1})^0 = (a \leftarrow a^{-1})^0 = a^0 \circ (a^{-1})^0 = a^0$, and so

$$(11) \quad (a \rightarrow a^{-1})^{-1} = (a \leftarrow a^{-1}).$$

Since $a + (a \rightarrow a^{-1}) + a = a^0 + a = a^0 \circ ((a^0)^{-1} \rightarrow a) = a^0 \circ (a^0 \rightarrow a) = a^0 \circ a = a$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (a \rightarrow a^{-1}) + a + (a \rightarrow a^{-1}) &= (a \rightarrow a^{-1}) + a^0 = (a \rightarrow a^{-1}) \circ ((a \rightarrow a^{-1})^{-1} \rightarrow a^0) \\ &= (a \rightarrow a^{-1}) \circ ((a \leftarrow a^{-1}) \rightarrow a^0) = a \rightarrow (a^{-1} \circ a^0) = a \rightarrow a^{-1}, \quad (\text{by (B6)}) \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $(T, +)$ is regular. If $a \in E(T, +)$, then $a = a + a = a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow a)$, and so

$$a^0 = a^{-1} \circ a = a^{-1} \circ a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow a) = a^0 \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow a) = (a^{-1})^0 \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow a) = a^{-1} \rightarrow a.$$

By Lemma 6.4 (2), (B1), (B2) and Lemma 6.4 (4),

$$a = a^0 \rightarrow a = (a \circ a^{-1}) \rightarrow a = a \rightarrow (a^{-1} \rightarrow a) = a \rightarrow a^0 = a^0.$$

Now let $a, b \in E(T, +)$. Then $a = a^0$ and $b = b^0$. This implies that

$$a + b = a^0 + b^0 = a^0 \circ (a^0 \rightarrow b^0) = a^0 \circ (a^{00} \rightarrow b^0) = a^0 \circ b^0.$$

Dually, $b + a = b^0 \circ a^0$. This yields that $a + b = a^0 \circ b^0 = b^0 \circ a^0 = b + a$. Thus $(T, +)$ is an inverse semigroup. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (a \rightarrow a^{-1}) + a &= (a \rightarrow a^{-1}) \circ ((a \rightarrow a^{-1})^{-1} \rightarrow a) \\ &= (a \rightarrow a^{-1}) \circ ((a \leftarrow a^{-1}) \rightarrow a) \quad (\text{by (11)}) \\ &= a \rightarrow (a^{-1} \circ a) = a \rightarrow a^0 = a^0, \quad (\text{by (B6) and Lemma 6.4 (4)}) \end{aligned}$$

we have $a + (a \rightarrow a^{-1}) = (a \rightarrow a^{-1}) + a$ by (10). So $(T, +)$ is a Clifford semigroup. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} a \triangleright (b + c) &= a \rightarrow (b \circ (b^{-1} \rightarrow c)) = (a \rightarrow b) \circ ((a \leftarrow b) \rightarrow (b^{-1} \rightarrow c)) \quad (\text{by (B6)}) \\ &= (a \rightarrow b) \circ ((a \rightarrow b)^{-1} \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 6.4 (3)}) \\ &= (a \rightarrow b) + (a \rightarrow c) = (a \triangleright b) + (a \triangleright c). \end{aligned}$$

This gives (P1). (P2) and (P3) follow from the equations

$$\begin{aligned} (a + (a \triangleright b)) \triangleright c &= (a + (a \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow c = (a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b))) \rightarrow c \\ &= (a \circ ((a^{-1} \circ a) \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow c = (a \circ (a^0 \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow c \quad (\text{by (B2)}) \\ &= (a \circ b) \rightarrow c = a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) = a \triangleright (b \triangleright c) \quad (\text{by (B9) and (B2)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a + (a \triangleright b^0) &= a + (a \rightarrow b^0) = a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b^0)) = a \circ ((a^{-1} \circ a) \rightarrow b^0) \quad (\text{by (B2)}) \\ &= a \circ (a^0 \rightarrow b^0) = a \circ b^0 = b^0 \circ a = b^0 \circ (b^{00} \rightarrow a) \quad (\text{by (B9)}) \\ &= b^0 \circ (b^0 \rightarrow a) = b^0 \circ (b^0 \rightarrow (b^0 \rightarrow a)) \quad (\text{by (B2)}) \\ &= b^0 + (b^0 \rightarrow a) = b^0 + (b^0 \triangleright a). \end{aligned}$$

To see (P4), we first observe that $H_a = \{x \in T \mid x^0 = a^0\}$ and $H_a = H_{a^{-1}}$. Let $L_a^\triangleright : T \rightarrow T, b \mapsto a \triangleright b$ and $b \in H_a, c \in T$. Then $b^0 = a^0$. By Lemma 6.4 (1), we have

$$(L_a^\triangleright(b))^0 = (a \triangleright b)^0 = (a \rightarrow b)^0 = a^0 \circ b^0 = a^0 \circ a^0 = a^0.$$

So $L_a^\triangleright(b) \in H_a$. Similarly, we can obtain $L_{a^{-1}}^\triangleright(b) \in H_a$. By (B2) and (B1), we have

$$L_a^\triangleright L_{a^{-1}}^\triangleright(b) = a \triangleright (a^{-1} \triangleright b) = a \rightarrow (a^{-1} \rightarrow b) = (a \circ a^{-1}) \rightarrow b = a^0 \rightarrow b = b^0 \rightarrow b = b,$$

$$L_{a^{-1}}^\triangleright L_a^\triangleright(b) = a^{-1} \triangleright (a \triangleright b) = a^{-1} \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) = (a^{-1} \circ a) \rightarrow b = a^0 \rightarrow b = b^0 \rightarrow b = b.$$

Thus $(L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a})^{-1} = L_{a^{-1}}^\triangleright|_{H_a}$. By (P1), it follows that $L_a^\triangleright|_{H_a} \in \text{Aut}(H_a, +)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} a^0 + (a \triangleright b) &= a^0 \circ ((a^0)^{-1} \rightarrow (a \triangleright b)) = a^0 \circ (a^0 \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b)) \\ &= a^0 \circ ((a^0 \circ a) \rightarrow b) = a^0 \circ (a \rightarrow b) = a \rightarrow b = a \triangleright b. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(T, +, \triangleright)$ forms a strong post Clifford semigroup. If $\psi : (T, \circ, R_T) \rightarrow (S, \circ, R_S)$ is a braided Clifford semigroup homomorphism, then $\psi(a \circ b) = \psi(a) \circ \psi(b)$ and $(\psi \times \psi)R_T = R_S(\psi \times \psi)$. For all $a, b \in T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi \times \psi)R_T(a, b) &= (\psi \times \psi)(a \rightarrow b, a \leftarrow b) = (\psi(a \rightarrow b), \psi(a \leftarrow b)), \\ R_S(\psi \times \psi)(a, b) &= R_S(\psi(a), \psi(b)) = (\psi(a) \rightarrow \psi(b), \psi(a) \leftarrow \psi(b)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a \triangleright b) &= \psi(a \rightarrow b) = \psi(a) \rightarrow \psi(b) = \psi(a) \triangleright \psi(b), \\ \psi(a + b) &= \psi(a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow b)) = \psi(a) \circ (\psi(a)^{-1} \rightarrow \psi(b)) = \psi(a) \circ \psi(b). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ψ is a post Clifford semigroup homomorphism. \square

Theorem 6.7. *The category SPCS of strong post Clifford semigroups together with post Clifford semigroup homomorphisms is isomorphic to the category BCS of braided Clifford semigroups together with braided Clifford semigroup homomorphisms.*

Proof. Define $\mathcal{Y} : \text{SPCS} \rightarrow \text{BCS}$ as follows: For any $(T, +, \triangleright), (S, +, \triangleright) \in \text{obj}(\text{SPCS})$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}((T, +, \triangleright), (S, +, \triangleright))$, let $\mathcal{Y}(T, +, \triangleright) = (T, \circ, R_T)$ and $\mathcal{Y}(\psi) = \psi$. On the other hand, define $\mathcal{P} : \text{BCS} \rightarrow \text{SPCS}$ as follows: For any $(T, \circ, R_T), (S, \circ, R_S) \in \text{obj}(\text{BCS})$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}((T, \circ, R_T), (S, \circ, R_S))$, let $\mathcal{P}(T, \circ, R_T) = (T, +, \triangleright)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\psi) = \psi$. By Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6, it is easy to see that \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{P} are functors.

Now let $(T, +, \triangleright)$ be a post Clifford semigroup and denote $\mathcal{Y}(T, +, \triangleright) = (T, \circ, R_T)$ and $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Y}(T, +, \triangleright) = (T, +', \triangleright')$. Let $a, b \in T$. By Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6, we have $a \triangleright' b = a \rightarrow b = a^0 + a \triangleright b = a \triangleright b$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a +' b &= a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow b) = a \circ a^0 \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow b) = a \circ (a^0 + (a^{-1} \rightarrow b)) \\ &= a \circ ((a^{-1})^0 + (a^{-1} \triangleright b)) = a \circ (a^{-1} \triangleright b) = a + a \triangleright (a^{-1} \triangleright b) \\ &= a + (a \circ a^{-1}) \triangleright b = a + a^0 \triangleright b = a + a^0 + (a^0 \triangleright b) = a + b + (b \triangleright a^0) \quad (\text{by (P3)}) \\ &= a + b + b^0 + (b \triangleright a)^0 = a + b + (b + b \triangleright a)^0 = a + b + (b \circ a)^0 \\ &= a + b + b^0 + a^0 = a + b. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\triangleright' = \triangleright$ and $+' = +$. Thus $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Y} = \text{id}_{\text{SPCS}}$.

On the other hand, let (T, \circ, R_T) be a braided Clifford semigroup and $\mathcal{P}(T, \circ, R_T) = (T, +, \triangleright)$ and $\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{P}(T, \circ, R_T) = (T, \circ', R'_T)$. Let $a, b \in T$. By Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6, (B2), (B9) and Lemma 6.4,

$$(12) \quad a \circ' b = a + (a \triangleright b) = a + (a \rightarrow b) = a \circ (a^{-1} \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b)) = a \circ (a^0 \rightarrow b) = a \circ b.$$

$$\begin{aligned} R'_T(a, b) &= (a^0 + (a \triangleright b), (a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ' a \circ' b) = (a^0 + (a \triangleright b), (a \triangleright b)^{-1} \circ a \circ b) \\ (13) \quad &= (a^0 + (a \rightarrow b), (a \rightarrow b)^{-1} \circ a \circ b) \\ &= (a^0 \circ (a \rightarrow b), (a \rightarrow b)^{-1} \circ (a \rightarrow b) \circ (a \leftarrow b)) \\ &= (a \rightarrow b, (a \rightarrow b)^0 \circ (a \leftarrow b)) = (a \rightarrow b, a \leftarrow b) = R_T(a, b). \quad (\text{by (B8)}) \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $\circ' = \circ$ and $R'_T = R_T$. Thus $\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{P} = \text{id}_{\text{BCS}}$. \square

In view of Lemma 6.5 and (12) and (13), we have the following result.

Corollary 6.8. *Let (T, \circ, R_T) be a braided Clifford semigroup. Then R_T is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation on T .*

Acknowledgment: The authors express their profound gratitude to Professor Li Guo at Rutgers University for his encouragement and help. The paper is supported partially by the Nature Science Foundations of China (12271442, 11661082).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. J. H. Al-Kaabi, K. Ebrahimi-Fard, D. Manchon, Free post-groups, post-groups from group actions, and post-Lie algebras, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 198 (2024), 105129.
- [2] C. Bai, L. Guo, Y. Sheng, R. Tang, Post-groups, (Lie-)Butcher groups and the Yang–Baxter equation, *Mathematische Annalen* 388 (2024) 3127–3167.
- [3] R. J. Baxter, Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model, *Ann. Phys.* 70 (1972) 193–228.
- [4] V. G. Bardakov, V. Gubarev, Rota–Baxter groups, skew left braces, and the Yang–Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* 596 (2022) 328–351.
- [5] V. G. Bardakov, V. Gubarev, Rota–Baxter operators on groups, *Proc. Math. Sci.* 133 (2023) Article number 4.
- [6] V. G. Bardakov, I. M. Nikonov, Relative Rota–Baxter operators on groups and Hopf algebras, (2023) [arXiv:2311.09311v1](#).
- [7] V. G. Bardakov, T. A. Kozlovskaya, P. P. Sokolov, K. V. Zimireva, M. N. Zonov, Some properties of relative Rota–Baxter operators on groups, (2024) [arXiv:2404.12632v1](#).
- [8] P. Belwal, N. Rathee, M. Singh, Cohomology and extensions of relative Rota–Baxter groups, (2023) [arXiv:2309.00692v1](#).
- [9] P. Belwal, N. Rathee, A Wells-like exact sequence for abelian extensions of relative Rota–Baxter groups, (2024) [arXiv:2401.14058v1](#).
- [10] P. Belwal, N. Rathee, M. Singh, Schur multiplier and Schur covers of relative Rota–Baxter groups, *Journal of Algebra* 657 (2024) 327–362.
- [11] A. Caranti, L. Stefanello, Skew braces from Rota–Baxter operators: a cohomological characterisation and some examples, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata (1923-)* 202 (2023) 1–13.
- [12] F. Catino, I. Colazzo, P. Stefanelli, Semi-braces and the Yang–Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* 483 (2017) 163–187.
- [13] F. Catino, I. Colazzo, P. Stefanelli, Set-theoretic solutions to the Yang–Baxter equation and generalized semi-braces, *Forum Math.* 33 (2021) 757–772.
- [14] F. Catino, M. Mazzotta, M. M. Miccoli, P. Stefanelli, Set-theoretic solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation associated to weak braces, *Semigroup Forum* 104 (2022) 228–255.
- [15] F. Catino, M. Mazzotta, P. Stefanelli, Inverse semi-braces and the Yang–Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* 573 (2021) 576–619.
- [16] F. Catino, M. Mazzotta, P. Stefanelli, Rota–Baxter operators on Clifford semigroups and the Yang–Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* 622 (2023) 587–613.
- [17] F. Catino, M. Mazzotta, P. Stefanelli, Solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation and strong semilattices of skew braces, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 21 (2024) 67, 22pp.
- [18] F. Cedó, E. Jespers, J. Okniński, Braces and the Yang–Baxter equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 327 (1) (2014) 101–116.
- [19] I. Colazzo, E. Jespers, A. Van Antwerpen, C. Verwimp, Left non-degenerate set-theoretic solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation and semitrusses, *J. Algebra* 610 (2022) 409–462.
- [20] A. Das, N. Rathee, Extensions and automorphisms of Rota–Baxter groups, *J. Algebra* 636 (2023) 626–665.
- [21] V. G. Drinfeld, On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory, in: P. P. Kulish (Ed.), *Quantum Groups*, in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1510, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1992, pp. 1–8.
- [22] P. Etingof, T. Schedler, A. Soloviev, Set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang–Baxter equation, *Duke Math. J.* 100 (2) (1999) 169–209.
- [23] A. Galt, V. Gubarev, Rota–Baxter operators on dihedral and alternating groups, (2024) [arXiv:2404.14078v1](#).
- [24] X. Gao, L. Guo, Y. Liu, Z. Zhu, Operated groups, differential groups and Rota–Baxter groups with an emphasis on the free objects, *Commun. Algebra* 51 (2023) 4481–4500.
- [25] X. Gao, L. Guo, Z. Han, Rota–Baxter groups with weight zero and integration on topological groups, (2024) [arXiv:2405.11288v1](#)
- [26] X. Gao, L. Guo, Z. Han, Y. Zhang, Rota–Baxter operators, differential operators, pre- and Novikov structures on groups and Lie algebras, (2024) or [arXiv:2408.06096v2](#)
- [27] T. T. Gateva-Ivanova, M. Van den Bergh, Semigroups of I-type, *J. Algebra* 206 (1) (1998) 97–112.
- [28] L. Guarnieri, L. Vendramin, Skew braces and Yang–Baxter equation, *Math. Comp.* 86 (2017) 2519–2534.
- [29] L. Guo, H. Lang, Y. Sheng, Integration and geometrization of Rota–Baxter Lie algebras, *Adv. Math.* 387 (2021) 107834.

- [30] J. M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 12, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995, Oxford Science Publications.
- [31] J. Jiang, Y. Sheng, C. Zhu, Lie theory and cohomology of relative Rota–Baxter operators, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 109 (2024) e12863.
- [32] M. V. Lawson, *Inverse semigroups, the theory of partial symmetries*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.
- [33] Z. Li, S. Wang, Rota–Baxter systems and skew trusses, *J. Algebra* 623 (2023) 447–480.
- [34] Q. X. Liu, S. F. Wang, Set-theoretic solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation and regular \star -semibraces. (2024) [arXiv:2407.12533v1](#)
- [35] J. H. Lu, M. Yan, Y. C. Zhu, On the set-theoretical Yang–Baxter equation, *Duke Math. J.* 104 (1) (2000) 1–18.
- [36] M. Mazzotta, B. Rybolowicz, P. Stefanelli, Deformed solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation associated to dual weak braces, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata (1923-)* (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10231-024-01502-7>
- [37] M. M. Miccoli. Almost semi-braces and the Yang–Baxter equation, *Note Mat.* 38(1) (2018) 83–88.
- [38] M. M. Miccoli, Involution semi-braces and the Yang–Baxter equation, *Note Mat.* 42 (2022) 63–73.
- [39] N. Rathee, M. Singh, Relative Rota–Baxter groups and skew left braces, *Forum Math.* (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1515/forum-2024-0020>.
- [40] N. Rathee, Rota–Baxter operators and skew left brace structures over Heisenberg Group, (2024) [arXiv:2402.15428](#).
- [41] W. Rump, A decomposition theorem for square-free unitary solutions of the quantum Yang–Baxter equation, *Adv. Math.* 193 (2005) 40–55.
- [42] W. Rump, Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang–Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* 307 (1) (2007) 153–170.
- [43] A. Soloviev, Non-unitary set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang–Baxter equation, *Math. Res. Lett.* 7 (5-6) (2000) 577–596.
- [44] M. Takeuchi, Survey on matched pairs of groups—an elementary approach to the ESS-LYZ theory. *Banach Center Publ.* 61 (2001), 305–331.
- [45] S. Wang, (Weak)Twisted post-groups, skew trusses and rings, (2023) [arXiv:2307.10535v1](#).
- [46] C. N. Yang, Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 19 (1967) 1312–1315.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, YUNNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, KUNMING, YUNNAN 650500, CHINA
Email address: 3417259364@qq.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, YUNNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, KUNMING, YUNNAN 650500, CHINA
Email address: wsf1004@163.com