

# Uniformly distributed periodic orbits of endomorphisms on torus

Shaobo Gan and Daohua Yu

July 30, 2024

## Abstract

We prove that any ergodic endomorphism on torus admits a sequence of periodic orbits uniformly distributed in the metric sense. As a corollary, an endomorphism on torus is ergodic if and only if the Haar measure can be approximated by periodic measures.

## 1 Introduction

For any natural number  $n \geq 1$ , denote by  $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$  the set of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Any  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$  can be naturally considered as a linear map from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.,  $v \mapsto Av$ ; since  $A(\mathbb{Z}^n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $A$  also induces a map from  $n$ -torus  $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$  to itself, i.e.,  $v \mapsto Av \pmod{1}$ , still denoted by  $A$ . If  $\det A \neq 0$ , such a map will be called an *endomorphism* on torus. The best known endomorphism may be Arnold's cat map on 2-torus, which is induced by  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Related to the sophisticated construction of Birkhoff sections in his thesis [11], Y. Shi raised the following question:

*Question 1.1* (Shi's question). Does Arnold's cat map admit a sequence of periodic orbits  $\{O_k\}$  such that

$$d(O_k)^2 T(O_k) \geq C$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ ? Here  $T(O_k)$  denotes the period of  $O_k$  and

$$d(O_k) = \min\{d(x, y) : x, y \in O_k, x \neq y\}.$$

In this paper, we will give an affirmative answer for Shi's question. In fact, we will prove a slightly general result, i.e., similar periodic orbits exist for any ergodic torus endomorphism. Here, "ergodic" means that the endomorphism is ergodic with respect to Leb, the Haar measure on torus.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $A$  be an ergodic endomorphism on  $n$ -torus. Then there are a positive number  $C$  and a sequence of periodic orbits  $O_k$ , such that*

$$d(O_k)^n T(O_k) \geq C \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} T(O_k) = +\infty. \quad (*)$$

*Remark 1.2.* For any periodic orbit  $O$  with period  $T$ , according to the definition of  $d(O)$  we know that  $\bigcup_{x \in O} B(x, \frac{d(O)}{2})$  are pairwise disjoint. So there is a constant  $C'$  independent of  $O$  such that  $d(O)^n T \leq C'$  by calculating the  $n$  dimensional volume.

**Definition 1.3.** A sequence of periodic orbits  $O_k$  satisfying (\*) will be called *uniformly distributed* (in the metric sense).

The following proposition justifies the above definition.

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $A$  be an ergodic endomorphism on  $n$ -torus and  $O_k$  a sequence of periodic orbits of  $A$ . Denote the periodic measure  $\mu_k = T(O_k)^{-1} \sum_{x \in O_k} \delta_x$ . If  $O_k$  is uniformly distributed, then  $\mu_k$  (weak\*) converges to Leb.*

As a corollary, we obtain the following periodic approximation result.

**Corollary 1.5.** *An endomorphism  $A$  on torus is ergodic if and only if  $A$  has a sequence of periodic measures converging to Leb.*

*Remark 1.6.* 1. Started from [12], there are lots of research on periodic approximation, e.g., [7, 8, 5], etc..

2. The quantity  $d(O)$  also appears in the study of ergodic optimization ([6]).

3. According to the proof of Proposition 1.4, any limit of  $\mu_k$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t. Leb. Hence, small perturbations of ergodic endomorphisms on torus may not admit a sequence of uniformly distributed periodic orbits.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will become the core of the paper. Here we give a sketch of the construction of  $O_k$ . The endomorphism on  $n$ -torus can be classified into two cases, one is irreducible, another is reducible. For the irreducible case, periodic orbits will come

from the eigenvectors of  $A$  modulo some primes, whose existences are guaranteed by Frobenius density theorem in number theory. By comparing their periods with the period of the linear recurrence sequence induced by  $A$  with initial condition  $0, \dots, 0, 1$ , we can see that one of these kinds of orbits has a big period. Then we use the structure theorem for modules over polynomial rings to deal with the reducible case.

## 2 Preliminary

In this section we list some basic results from number theory, including Lifting the Exponent Lemma (Theorem 2.1) and Frobenius Density Theorem (Theorem 2.2).

**Theorem 2.1.** [1] (*Lifting the Exponent Lemma*) Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $x, y, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n > 0$ . Suppose that  $p \mid x - y$  but  $p \nmid x, p \nmid y$ . Then

$$v_p(x^n - y^n) = v_p(x - y) + v_p(n),$$

where  $p^{v_p(m)} \mid m, p^{v_p(m)+1} \nmid m$ , for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Corollary 2.1.** Given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}, |a| \neq 1$ , and an odd prime  $p, (a, p) = 1$ , let  $d = \min\{n > 0 : p \mid a^n - 1\}$  and  $v_p(a^d - 1) = t$ . Then for any  $k \geq t, k \in \mathbb{Z}, p^k \mid a^n - 1$  if and only if  $p^{k-t} d \mid n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $p \mid a^n - 1, n = dl, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By using Lifting the Exponent Lemma to  $x = a^d, y = 1$ , we know that  $v_p(a^n - 1) = v_p(x^l - 1) = v_p(x - 1) + v_p(l) = t + v_p(l)$ . Thus  $v_p(a^n - 1) \geq k$  if and only if  $v_p(l) \geq k - t$ , if and only if  $p^{k-t} d \mid n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** ([10, Corollary 2]) (*Frobenius Density Theorem*) Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Denote by  $f(x) = |xI - A| = x^n - c_{n-1}x^{n-1} - \dots - c_1x - c_0$ . Assume that  $f(x)$  is irreducible on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The set of primes  $p$  for which  $f$  modulo  $p$  splits completely into linear factors has positive density.

**Corollary 2.2.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2, there are infinitely many primes  $p$  such that  $(p, D(f)) = 1, (p, c_0) = 1$  and  $f(x) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n (x - a_i) \pmod{p}$ , where

$$D(f) = \prod_{i < j} (y_i - y_j)^2, \quad f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - y_i), \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  has  $n$  nonzero distinct solutions  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , i.e.,

$$f(a_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad a_i \not\equiv a_j \pmod{p}, \quad i \neq j.$$

Furthermore, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$  has  $n$  nonzero distinct solutions  $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , i.e.,

$$f(b_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}, \quad b_i \not\equiv b_j \pmod{p}, \quad i \neq j.$$

*Proof.* Using Theorem 2.2 we get the existence of  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ . Since  $(p, D(f)) = 1$ , we have  $a_i \not\equiv a_j \pmod{p}$ , for any  $i \neq j$ . Thus  $f'(a_i) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $(p, c_0) = 1$ ,  $a_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Suppose that there is a solution  $x \equiv x_k \pmod{p^k}$  satisfying that  $x_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$  and  $f'(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Thus one can write  $f(x_k)$  as  $p^l r$ ,  $p \nmid r$  and  $l \geq k$ . Suppose  $t \equiv s \pmod{p}$  is the unique solution of

$$f'(x_k)t + r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Then, let  $x_{k+1} \equiv x_k + p^l s \pmod{p^{k+1}}$ . Now we have  $x_{k+1} \equiv x_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Since

$$f(x_{k+1}) \equiv f(x_k) + f'(x_k)sp^l \equiv p^l(r + f'(x_k)s) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k+1}},$$

$x \equiv x_{k+1}$  is a solution of  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k+1}}$  and  $f'(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . The conclusion follows by induction.  $\square$

*Remark 2.3.* If  $f(x) = |xI - A| = x^n - c_{n-1}x^{n-1} - \dots - c_1x - c_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$  has a solution  $x \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$ , then for  $v = (1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{n-1})^T \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  we have  $Bv \equiv av \pmod{p^k}$ , where  $B$  is the companion matrix of  $f(x)$ , i.e.,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ c_0 & c_1 & \dots & \dots & c_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here “ $T$ ” means transpose.

**Lemma 2.4.** Given  $e \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $e \neq 0$ ,  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , denote

$$P = P(e, A) = \begin{pmatrix} e \\ eA \\ \dots \\ eA^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (P)$$

If  $f(x) = |xI - A|$  is irreducible on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $P$  is invertible.

Here there is an abuse of notation: “ $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ” can be either a row vector or a column vector according to the context.

*Proof.* Denote

$$S = \{d(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] : ed(A) = 0\}.$$

Suppose that  $g(x) \in S$  satisfies that  $\deg g(x) = \min_{d \in S} \deg d(x)$ . We claim that  $S = (g(x))$ . For any  $h(x) \in S$ , there are  $s(x), r(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that  $h(x) = s(x)g(x) + r(x)$  and  $\deg r(x) < \deg g(x)$ . Since

$$er(A) = eh(A) - eg(A)s(A) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \deg r(x) < \deg g(x),$$

by the definition of  $g(x)$ , we know that  $r(x) = 0$ , i.e.,  $h(x) \in (g(x))$ .

Since  $f(A) = 0$ ,  $f(x) \in S = (g(x))$ . While  $f(x)$  is irreducible, it implies that  $f(x) = cg(x)$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ . So  $e, eA, \dots, eA^{n-1}$  must be linear independent on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e.,  $\det(P) \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $P$  is invertible.  $\square$

Similar to [3, Corollary 3], the following result gives the period of linear recurrence sequence module the power of prime which is proved here by another method.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Given  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , let  $f(x) = |xI - A| = x^n - c_{n-1}x^{n-1} - \dots - c_1x - c_0$ . Consider the linear recurrence sequence*

$$u_{n+k} = c_{n-1}u_{n+k-1} + \dots + c_1u_{k+1} + c_0u_k$$

with the initial condition  $u_0 = u_1 = \dots = u_{n-2} = 0$ ,  $u_{n-1} = 1$ . For any odd prime number  $p$  such that  $(p, \det(A)) = 1$ , denote by  $T_r$  the period of sequence  $\{u_k \pmod{p^r}\}$ . If the induced endomorphism on  $n$ -torus is ergodic, then there is  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T_1 = \dots = T_t$  and  $T_k = p^{k-t}T_1$  for any  $k > t$ .

*Proof.* Recall the notation introduced by Dedekind. Two integer polynomials  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  are congruent  $(\text{mod } m, c(x))$  if and only if  $a(x) - b(x)$  is divisible by  $c(x)$  modulo  $m$ , i.e.,  $a(x) - b(x) = c(x)q(x) + mr(x)$  with integer polynomials  $q(x)$  and  $r(x)$ .

By Fundamental theorem on purely periodic sequences in [13, page 606] and the choice of the initial data, we know that  $T_r$  is the minimal positive integer  $k$  such that

$$x^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p^r, f(x)}.$$

Since  $A$  is ergodic, any root of unity is not a root of  $f(x)$ . Therefore there are positive integer  $t < +\infty$  and  $p \nmid r(x)$  with  $\deg(r(x)) < \deg(f(x))$  such that  $x^{T_1} \equiv 1 + p^t r(x) \pmod{f(x)}$ . Then  $T_1 = \dots = T_t$ . Notice the fact if  $x^n \equiv 1 + p^m s(x) \pmod{f(x)}$  with  $p \nmid s(x)$  and  $m \geq 1$  then

$$x^{pn} \equiv (1 + p^m s(x))^p \equiv 1 + p^{m+1} s(x) \pmod{p^{m+2}, f(x)}.$$

It follows that  $T_k = p^{k-t} T_1$  for any  $k > t$ . □

### 3 Proof of main results

**Proof of Proposition 1.4:** Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$  induce an ergodic endomorphism on  $n$ -torus. Assume that the periodic orbits  $O_k$  are uniformly distributed with constant  $C > 0$ . Take a subsequence  $\mu_{n_k}$  of  $\mu_k$  such that  $\mu_{n_k} \rightarrow \mu$  ( $k \rightarrow +\infty$ ). Then  $\mu$  is an invariant probability measure of  $A$ . In the following we firstly prove that  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Leb by the uniform distribution of  $O_k$ . Then we know that  $\mu = \text{Leb}$  from [4, Page 15, Theorem 2], since  $A$  is ergodic w.r.t Leb.

Consider the semi-ring  $\mathcal{C} = \{(a, b] : a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n, b - a \in [0, 1]^n\}$  on  $\mathbb{T}^n$ , which generates the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathbb{T}^n$ , i.e.,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ . From the proof of Caratheodory extension theorem, we know that for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B) &= \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mu(B_k) : B_k \in \mathcal{C}, B \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{+\infty} B_k \right\}, \\ \text{Leb}(B) &= \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \text{Leb}(B_k) : B_k \in \mathcal{C}, B \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{+\infty} B_k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that for any  $R \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mu(R) \leq C_1 C^{-1} \text{Leb}(R)$ ,  $C_1 = 4^n n^{\frac{n}{2}}$ . Then we can get that  $\mu(B) \leq C_1 C^{-1} \text{Leb}(B)$  for any Borel measurable set  $B$ , which implies that  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Leb.

Now we prove the claim. Denote

$$R = \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i] \subset U = \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i, c_i) \quad (b_i < c_i).$$

Divide  $(a_i, c_i)$  into several parts such that each one has length  $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}d(O_{n_k})$ . Notice that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left( \left\lceil \frac{c_i - a_i}{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}d(O_{n_k})} \right\rceil + 1 \right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{2(c_i - a_i)}{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}d(O_{n_k})} = C_1 d(O_{n_k})^{-n} \text{Leb}(U).$$

There are at most  $C_1 d(O_{n_k})^{-n} \text{Leb}(U)$  numbers of blocks. Since the diameter of each block is small than  $\frac{1}{2}d(O_{n_k})$ , every block contains at most one element of  $O_{n_k}$ . So

$$\mu_{n_k}(U) \leq C_1 d(O_{n_k})^{-n} \text{Leb}(U) T(O_{n_k})^{-1} \leq C_1 C^{-1} \text{Leb}(U).$$

Thus

$$\mu(R) \leq \mu(U) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_{n_k}(U) \leq C_1 C^{-1} \text{Leb}(U).$$

Letting  $U \rightarrow R$ , we get that  $\mu(R) \leq C_1 C^{-1} \text{Leb}(R)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume that  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$  induces an ergodic endomorphism on  $n$ -torus. Moreover, assume that there exist  $P, B \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\det(P) \neq 0$  such that  $PA = BP$ . If  $B$  admits a sequence of uniformly distributed periodic orbits, then  $A$  admits a sequence of uniformly distributed periodic orbits.*

*Proof.* For any periodic orbit  $O$  of  $B$ , one has  $A(P^{-1}O) \subset P^{-1}(O)$ . Hence there exists  $O' \subset P^{-1}(O)$ , which is a periodic orbit of  $A$ , and  $T(O') = kT(O)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq |\det(P)|$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $P$  is a local diffeomorphism,  $d(O') \geq \|P\|^{-1}d(O)$ , the conclusion holds.  $\square$

Now we start the proof of Theorem 1.1. First we deal with the irreducible case.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Assume  $A$  is ergodic. If  $f(x) = |xI - A| = x^n - c_{n-1}x^{n-1} - \dots - c_1x - c_0$  is irreducible on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $A$  admits a sequence of uniformly distributed periodic orbits.*

*Proof.* If  $n = 1$ , choose odd prime  $p$  such that  $(p, c_0) = 1$ . Let  $v_k = p^{-k}$ ,  $O_k = \text{Orb}^+(v_k)$ . Then Corollary 2.1 ensures the conclusion holds.

Now assume  $n > 1$ . Take  $e \in \mathbb{Z}^n, e \neq 0$  and let  $P = P(e, A)$  be defined by (P). Then  $PA = BP$ , where  $B$  is the companion matrix of  $f(x)$ . By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 3.1, it is enough to prove the proposition for  $B$ .

Take an odd prime  $p$  satisfying Corollary 2.2. Then  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$  has solutions  $x \equiv b_i \pmod{p^k}$  and  $b_i \not\equiv b_j \pmod{p}$  for  $i \neq j$ . Denote  $w_i = (1, b_i, b_i^2, \dots, b_i^{n-1})^T$ , then  $Bw_i \equiv b_i w_i \pmod{p^k}$ . Take  $v^{(i)} = p^{-k} w_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Consider the forward orbit  $O_k^i$  of  $v^{(i)}$ . By Euler's Theorem,

$$B^{p^{k-1}(p-1)} w_i \equiv b_i^{p^{k-1}(p-1)} w_i \equiv w_i \pmod{p^k}.$$

Hence  $O_k^t$  is a periodic orbit. Given  $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , define

$$I(w) = w \wedge Bw \wedge \cdots \wedge B^{n-1}w = \det(w Bw \cdots B^{n-1}w).$$

Denote  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)^T \equiv B^i w_t - B^j w_t \pmod{p^k}$  such that  $|a_i| < \frac{p^k}{2}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} B^s a &= B^s (B^i w_t - B^j w_t + p^k C_1) \\ &= B^s (B^i - B^j) w_t + p^k C_2 \\ &= (B^i - B^j) (b_t)^s w_t + p^k C_3 \\ &= (b_t)^s (B^i w_t - B^j w_t) + p^k C_3 \\ &= (b_t)^s a + p^k C_4 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

Then

$$I(a) = a \wedge (b_t a + p^k m_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge ((b_t)^{n-1} a + p^k m_{n-1}) = a \wedge p^k m_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p^k m_{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k(n-1)}}$$

where  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . From Lemma 2.4, we know that  $I(a) \neq 0$ , so  $|I(a)| \geq p^{k(n-1)}$ . On the other hand, we have

$$|I(w)| = |w \wedge Bw \wedge \cdots \wedge B^{n-1}w| \leq |w| |Bw| \cdots |B^{n-1}w| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \|B^i\| |w|^n.$$

So

$$|a|^n \geq C_0 |I(a)| \geq C_0 p^{(n-1)k}.$$

We get the estimation of  $d(O_k^t)$  from below:

$$d(O_k^t)^n \geq C_0 \frac{p^{(n-1)k}}{p^{nk}} = C_0 p^{-k}.$$

Now we estimate the period  $T_k^{(t)}$  of  $O_k^t$ . Consider the linear recurrence sequence  $\{v_i\}$  satisfying that

$$v_{i+n} = c_{n-1} v_{i+(n-1)} + c_{n-2} v_{i+n-2} + \cdots + c_0 v_i. \quad (**)$$

Denote by  $\{v_i\}$  the (general) solution of  $(**)$  with the initial condition  $v = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$  and  $\{u_i\}$  the solution with the initial condition  $(u_0, \dots, u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}) = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ . In the following, we will write the general solution  $\{v_i\}$  as a linear combination of the special



solution  $\{u_i\}$ .

Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be the solution of  $xQ = v$ , where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & u_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & u_n & u_{n+1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & u_n & \cdots & u_{2n-4} & u_{2n-3} & u_{2n-2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -c_1 & -c_2 & \cdots & -c_{n-2} & -c_{n-1} & 1 \\ -c_2 & -c_3 & \cdots & -c_{n-1} & 1 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -c_{n-1} & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_m &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(u_m, u_{m+1}, \dots, u_{m+n-1})^T \\ &= (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})Q^{-1}(u_m, u_{m+1}, \dots, u_{m+n-1})^T \\ &= vQ^{-1}U_m \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U_m = (u_m, u_{m+1}, \dots, u_{m+n-1})^T.$$

Since  $\{b_t^m\}$  is the solution of  $(**) \pmod{p^k}$  with the initial condition  $v = (1, b_t, \dots, b_t^{n-1})$ , one has  $b_t^m \equiv (1, b_t, \dots, b_t^{n-1})Q^{-1}U_m \pmod{p^k}$ . It means that  $T_k^{(t)} \mid T_k^u$ , where  $T_k^u$  is the period of  $u_n \pmod{p^k}$ .

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1^m \\ b_2^m \\ \cdots \\ b_n^m \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_1 & \cdots & b_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_2^{n-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & b_n & \cdots & b_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u_m \\ u_{m+1} \\ \cdots \\ u_{m+n-1} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^k}.$$

Since

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_1 & \cdots & b_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_2^{n-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & b_n & \cdots & b_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \prod_{i < j} (b_j - b_i) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_1 & \cdots & b_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_2^{n-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & b_n & \cdots & b_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^m \\ b_2^m \\ \cdots \\ b_n^m \end{pmatrix} \equiv Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u_m \\ u_{m+1} \\ \cdots \\ u_{m+n-1} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^k}.$$

Thus  $u_m \equiv r_1 b_1^m + r_2 b_2^m + \cdots + r_n b_n^m \pmod{p^k}$  for some  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It implies that the period  $T_k^u$  of  $u_n \pmod{p^k}$  is a factor of  $[T_k^{(1)}, T_k^{(2)}, \dots, T_k^{(n)}]$ . Combining with  $T_k^{(i)} \mid T_k^u$ , we have that  $[T_k^{(1)}, T_k^{(2)}, \dots, T_k^{(n)}] = T_k^u$ . It means that there is  $i$  such that

$$v_p(T_k^{(i)}) = v_p(T_k^u) = k - t.$$

So there is a positive number  $C$  such that  $d(O_k^i)^n T_k^{(i)} \geq C$ . □

Now, suppose that  $f(x) = |I - xA|$  is reducible on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . From Gauss Lemma we know that there are two integer polynomial  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  such that  $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ . Furthermore  $f(x) = f_1(x)^{\alpha_1} f_2(x)^{\alpha_2} \cdots f_r(x)^{\alpha_r}$ , where  $f_i(x) (1 \leq i \leq r)$  are different integer polynomials and irreducible on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N} (1 \leq i \leq r)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Assume  $A$  is ergodic. If the minimal polynomial of  $A$  is  $|xI - A| = f(x) = x^n - c_{n-1}x^{n-1} - \cdots - c_1x - c_0$ , and  $f(x) = g(x)^r$ ,  $g(x) = x^m - d_{m-1}x^{m-1} - \cdots - d_1x - d_0$  is irreducible, then  $A$  admits a sequence of uniformly distributed periodic orbits.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be the companion matrix of  $g(x)$ . Denote

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} D & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & I & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & D & I \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Denote  $E = \text{diag}\{D, D, \dots, D\}$  with  $r$  numbers of  $D$ . Then  $B = E + N, EN = NE, N^r = 0$ , and for any polynomial  $h(x)$ ,  $h(B) = h(E) + h'(E)N + \cdots + ((r-1)!)^{-1}h^{(r-1)}(E)N^{r-1}$ . Combining with  $g(D) = 0$  and  $f(B) = g(B)^r = 0$ , we have  $(g^i)^{(i)}(D) \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ . Thus the minimal polynomial of  $B$  is  $f(x)$ . So there are  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $\alpha A^i (0 \leq i \leq n-1)$

and  $\beta B^i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) are linear independent respectively. Denote

$$Q = P(\alpha, A) \quad R = P(\beta, B).$$

Then we have

$$QA = A_*Q \quad \text{and} \quad RB = A_*R,$$

where  $A_*$  is the companion matrix of  $f(x)$ . So  $R^{-1}QA = R^{-1}A_*Q = BR^{-1}Q$ , by multiplying a constant, we get that there is  $P \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\det(P) \neq 0$  such that  $PA = BP$ .

Take primes  $p_1 > p_2 > \dots > p_r$  satisfying the requirement in Corollary 2.2 for  $|xI - D| = g(x)$ . Let

$$k_1 = k, k_{i+1} = \left\lfloor \frac{k_i \ln p_i}{\ln p_{i+1}} \right\rfloor \Rightarrow C^{-1} = \prod_{1 \leq t \leq r} p_t^{-1} < \frac{p_i^{k_i}}{p_j^{k_j}} < \prod_{1 \leq t \leq r} p_t = C$$

and if  $k'_1 = k + 1$ ,  $k'_{i+1} = \left\lfloor \frac{k'_i \ln p_i}{\ln p_{i+1}} \right\rfloor$ , we have

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} p_i^{k'_i} \leq (p_1^k)^r p_1^r \leq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} p_i^{k_i} p_1^r C^r.$$

This will be used later in the proof of Theorem 1.1, where

$$m_k = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} p_i^{k_i} \quad \text{and} \quad m_{k+1} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} p_i^{k'_i}.$$

There are  $w_i \in \mathbb{Z}^m - \{0\}$  and  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$Dw_i \equiv b_i w_i \pmod{p_i^{k_i}}, \quad p_i^{k_i} \mid C_i T(\text{Orb}^+(p_i^{-k_i} w_i)), \quad d(\text{Orb}^+(p_i^{-k_i} w_i))^m \geq C_i^{r-1} p_i^{-k_i},$$

where  $C_i, C'_i$  are constants independent of  $k$ . Let

$$v = (p_1^{-k_1} w_1, p_2^{-k_2} w_2, \dots, p_r^{-k_r} w_r).$$

Since

$$B^j = \begin{pmatrix} D^j & C_j^1 D^{j-1} & \dots & C_j^{r-1} D^{j-r+1} \\ 0 & D^j & \dots & C_j^{r-2} D^{j-r+2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & D^j \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C_j^i = \frac{j}{i} C_{j-1}^{i-1},$$

we have that  $B^{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} i C_i T(\text{Orb}^+(p_i^{-k_i} w_i))} v \equiv v \pmod{1}$ , i.e.,  $\text{Orb}^+(v)$  is a periodic orbit.

Suppose that  $B^l v \equiv v \pmod{1}$ . Since  $(p_i, p_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ , we have  $D^l(p_i^{-k_i} w_i) \equiv p_i^{-k_i} w_i \pmod{1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Thus  $T(\text{Orb}^+(p_i^{-k_i} w_i)) \mid l$ ,  $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} p_i^{k_i} \mid l \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} C_i$ . So the period  $T(\text{Orb}^+(v, B))$  satisfies that

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} p_i^{k_i} \left| T(\text{Orb}^+(v, B)) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} C_i. \right.$$

For any  $0 \leq j < i < T(\text{Orb}^+(v, B))$ , we estimate  $|B^i v - B^j v \pmod{1}|$  as follows. Denote  $v_t = p_t^{-k_t} w_t$ . If  $D^i v_r - D^j v_r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ , then  $|D^i v_r - D^j v_r \pmod{1}|^m \geq C_r^{t-1} p_r^{-k_r}$ . So

$$|B^i v - B^j v \pmod{1}|^n \geq |D^i v_r - D^j v_r \pmod{1}|^{mr} \geq (C_r^{t-1})^r (p_r^{-k_r})^r > (C_r^{t-1} C^{-1})^r \prod_{1 \leq t \leq r} p_t^{-k_t}.$$

If  $D^i v_r - D^j v_r \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ , suppose that  $t$  is the maximal number such that

$$\left( \sum_{s=0}^{r-t} C_i^s D^{i-s} v_{s+t} \right) - \left( \sum_{s=0}^{r-t} C_j^s D^{j-s} v_{s+t} \right) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{1}.$$

Then we have that for any  $t < l \leq r$ ,

$$\left( \sum_{s=0}^{r-l} C_i^s D^{i-s} v_{s+l} \right) - \left( \sum_{s=0}^{r-l} C_j^s D^{j-s} v_{s+l} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$$

Since  $(p_l^{k_l}, \prod_{s=1}^{r-l} p_{s+l}^{k_{s+l}}) = 1$ , there is  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a p_l^{k_l} + b \prod_{s=1}^{r-l} p_{s+l}^{k_{s+l}} = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} D^i v_l - D^j v_l &\equiv a p_l^{k_l} (D^i v_l - D^j v_l) + b \prod_{s=1}^{r-l} p_{s+l}^{k_{s+l}} (D^i v_l - D^j v_l) \\ &\equiv b \prod_{s=1}^{r-l} p_{s+l}^{k_{s+l}} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{r-l} C_i^s D^{i-s} v_{s+l} - \sum_{s=0}^{r-l} C_j^s D^{j-s} v_{s+l} \right) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{1} \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $T(\text{Orb}^+(v_l, D)) \mid i-j$ , and thus  $p_l^{k_l} \mid C_l(i-j)$ ,  $\prod_{t < l \leq r} p_l^{k_l} \mid (i-j) \prod_{t < l \leq r} C_l$ , i.e., there are constant  $k_{l,0}$  independent of  $k$ , such that for sufficiently large  $k$ ,

$$i \equiv j \pmod{\prod_{t < l \leq r} p_l^{k_l - k_{l,0}}}.$$

Thus

$$\prod_{t < l \leq r} p_l^{k_{l,0}} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{r-t} C_i^s D^{i-s} v_{s+t} - \sum_{s=1}^{r-t} C_j^s D^{j-s} v_{s+t} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}.$$

Denote  $L = \prod_{t < l \leq r} p_l^{k_{l,0}}$ . Since  $D(Lv_t) \equiv b_t(Lv_t) \pmod{p_t^{k_t}}$ , using method for the irreducible case, we can prove that  $|D^i(Lv_t) - D^j(Lv_t)|^m \geq C_t'^{-1} p_t^{-k_t}$  (or equal to zero). So for sufficiently large  $k$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & (L|B^i v - B^j v \pmod{1}|)^n \\ & \geq \left( L \left| \sum_{s=0}^{r-t} C_i^s D^{i-s} v_{s+t} - \sum_{s=0}^{r-t} C_j^s D^{j-s} v_{s+t} \pmod{1} \right| \right)^n \\ & \geq \left| L \left( \sum_{s=0}^{r-t} C_i^s D^{i-s} v_{s+t} - \sum_{s=0}^{r-t} C_j^s D^{j-s} v_{s+t} \right) \pmod{1} \right|^n \quad (\text{or } \geq 1) \\ & = |D^i(Lv_t) - D^j(Lv_t) \pmod{1}|^n \quad (\text{or } = 1) \\ & \geq C_t'^{-r} (p_t^{-k_t})^r \\ & \geq (C_t'^{-1} C^{-1})^r \prod_{1 \leq t \leq r} p_t^{-k_t}. \end{aligned}$$

So there is a constant  $C$  such that  $C \leq d(\text{Orb}^+(v, B))^n T(\text{Orb}^+(v, B))$ . From the conclusion for system  $B$  to system  $A$  is due to Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** If we consider  $d(\lambda)v$  as  $v d(A)$ , then there is a decomposition of  $V = \mathbb{Q}^n$  as

$$V = \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]v_1 \oplus \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]v_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]v_s$$

such that  $\{d(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda] : v_i d(A) = 0\} = (d_i(\lambda))$ , and  $d_i$  is a power of a monic irreducible polynomial (See [2, 14.8.3(b)]). Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $d_i(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ .

Let

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ \dots \\ P_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_i = \begin{pmatrix} v_i \\ v_i A \\ \dots \\ v_i A^{r_i-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad r_i = \deg(d_i(\lambda))$$

Then  $P$  is invertible, and  $PA = JP$ , where  $J = \text{diag}\{J_1, J_2, \dots, J_s\}$ , and the minimal polynomial of  $J_i$  is  $|\lambda I - J_i| = d_i(\lambda)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

Find  $m_1^{(1)}, m_1^{(2)}, \dots, m_1^{(s)}$  such that  $(m_1^{(i)}, m_1^{(j)}) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$  and for any  $k$ ,  $1 < \frac{m_{k+1}^{(i)}}{m_k^{(i)}} \leq C_i$ ,  $m_k^{(i)}$  and  $m_{k+1}^{(i)}$  have the same prime factors, there are  $u_i^k \in \mathbb{Q}^{r_i}$  s.t.  $m_k^{(i)} \mid C_i' T(\text{Orb}^+(u_i^k, J_i))$ ,

$d(\text{Orb}^+(u_i^k, J_i))^{r_i} \geq C_i'' (m_k^{(i)})^{-1}$ , where  $C_i$ ,  $C_i'$  and  $C_i''$  are constants independent of  $k$ . Let  $v = (u_1^{k_1}, u_2^{k_2}, \dots, u_s^{k_s})$ , and consider  $\text{Orb}^+(v, J)$ .

Firstly the period  $T$  of  $\text{Orb}^+(v, J)$  satisfies that  $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} m_{k_i}^{(i)} \mid \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} C_i' T$ , and thus

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} C_i' T \geq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} m_{k_i}^{(i)}.$$

Secondly,

$$|J^i v - J^j v \pmod{1}| \geq \max_{1 \leq t \leq s} |J_t^i u_t^{k_t} - J_t^j u_t^{k_t} \pmod{1}|,$$

while

$$|J_t^i u_t^{k_t} - J_t^j u_t^{k_t} \pmod{1}|^{r_t} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \geq C_t'' (m_{k_t}^{(t)})^{-1}.$$

We choose  $\{k_j\}$  as follows.

$$m_{k_{i+1}}^{(i+1)} \leq (m_{k_i}^{(i)})^{\frac{r_{i+1}}{r_i}} \leq m_{k_{i+1}+1}^{(i+1)}.$$

Then

$$m_{k_{i+1}}^{(i+1)} \leq (m_{k_i}^{(i)})^{\frac{r_{i+1}}{r_i}} \leq C_{i+1} m_{k_{i+1}}^{(i+1)}.$$

Then for the constant  $C = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} C_i^{\frac{1}{r_i}}$  we have

$$C^{-1} (m_{k_i}^{(i)})^{\frac{1}{r_i}} \leq (m_{k_j}^{(j)})^{\frac{1}{r_j}} \leq C (m_{k_i}^{(i)})^{\frac{1}{r_i}},$$

which means that

$$(m_{k_t}^{(t)})^{\frac{n}{r_t}} = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s} (m_{k_t}^{(t)})^{\frac{r_j}{r_t}} \leq \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s} C^{r_j} (m_{k_j}^{(j)}) = C^n \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s} m_{k_j}^{(j)}.$$

So

$$d(\text{Orb}^+(v, J))^n \geq \left( \min_{1 \leq t \leq s} \{(C_t'')^{\frac{1}{r_t}}\} \right)^n C^{-n} \left( \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s} m_{k_j}^{(j)} \right)^{-1}.$$

Combining the inequality of  $T(\text{Orb}^+(v, J))$  and  $d(\text{Orb}^+(v, J))$ , we get that

$$T(\text{Orb}^+(v, J)) d(\text{Orb}^+(v, J))^n \geq \left( \min_{1 \leq t \leq s} \{(C_t'')^{\frac{1}{r_t}}\} \right)^n C^{-n} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} C_i' \right)^{-1}.$$

From the conclusion for system  $J$  to system  $A$  is due to Lemma 3.1. □

To finish the proof of Corollary 1.5, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume that  $D \in M_s(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\det(D) \neq 0$  and  $|xI - D|$  is irreducible on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If there is*

a root  $r$  of  $|xI - D|$  which is also a root of unity, then  $D$  is periodic.

*Proof.* Suppose that there is a root  $r$  of  $g(x) = |xI - D|$  such that  $r^m = 1$  but  $r^k \neq 1$  for any  $1 \leq k < m$ . Then  $r^i, 0 \leq i < m$  are all the roots of  $x^m - 1$ , i.e.,  $r$  is a primitive  $m$ th root of unity. Since  $g(x)$  is irreducible,  $g(x)$  must equal to  $\prod_{1 \leq i < m, (i, m) = 1} (x - r^i)$ . Thus  $D$  has  $\varphi(m)$  numbers of different eigenvalues and all of them are primitive  $m$ th roots of unity. So  $D$  is similar to a diagonal matrix which consists of primitive  $m$ th roots of unity. It implies that  $D^m = I$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** Suppose that  $\zeta$  is a root of unity, and  $g(x) = x^s - d_{s-1}x^{s-1} - \dots - d_0$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $D$  be the companion matrix of  $g(x)$ . If  $\zeta$  is a root of  $f(x) = |xI - A|$ , then there is  $P \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\det(P) \neq 0$  such that  $PA = \begin{pmatrix} B & C \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} P$ .

*Proof.* If we consider  $d(x)v$  as  $vd(A)$ , then there is a decomposition of  $V = \mathbb{Q}^n$  as

$$V = \mathbb{Q}[x]v_1 \oplus \mathbb{Q}[x]v_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Q}[x]v_r$$

such that  $\{d(x) : v_i d(A) = 0\} = (d_i(x))$ ,  $d_1(x)|d_2(x)|\dots|d_r(x)$  (See [2, 14.8.3(a)]). Here  $f(x) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} d_i(x)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $d_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Since  $f(\zeta) = 0$  and  $d_1(x)|d_2(x)|\dots|d_r(x)$ , there is some  $i$  such that  $d_i(\zeta) = 0$ , thus  $d_r(\zeta) = 0$ . There is  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $d_r(x) = g(x)h(x)$ . Find  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n (1 \leq i \leq n - s)$  such that

$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-s}, v_r h(A), v_r h(A)A, \dots, v_r h(A)A^{s-1}\}$$

is a basis of  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ . Denote by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \dots \\ \alpha_{n-s} \\ v_r h(A) \\ \dots \\ v_r h(A)A^{s-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $PA = \begin{pmatrix} B & C \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} P$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 1.5.** According to Proposition 1.4, to finish the proof of Corollary 1.5, we only have to show that if  $A$  is not ergodic, then there does not exist any sequence of periodic measures converging to Leb.

Suppose on the contrary that there are periodic orbits  $O_n = \text{Orb}(v_n, A)$  with period  $T_n$  such that

$$\frac{1}{T_n} \sum_{i=0}^{T_n-1} \delta_{A^i v_n} \rightarrow \text{Leb} \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

Since  $A$  is not ergodic with respect to  $\text{Leb}$ , there is  $\zeta$  and  $m$  such that  $f(\zeta) = 0$ ,  $\zeta^m = 1$  but  $\zeta^k \neq 1$  for any  $1 \leq k < m$ , where  $f(x) = |xI - A|$ . Suppose that  $g(x) = x^s - d_{s-1}x^{s-1} - \dots - d_0$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . By Lemma 3.5, there is  $P \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\det(P) \neq 0$  such that  $PA = EP$ , where  $E = \begin{pmatrix} B & C \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $m$ th root of unity, by Lemma 3.4,  $D^m = I$ . Denote

$$\pi : \mathbb{R}^{n-s} \times \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^s, \quad \pi(u, v) = v.$$

Write

$$Pv_n = \begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ w_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_n \in \mathbb{Q}^s.$$

Since the minimal period of  $w_n$  under  $D$  is a common factor of  $m$  and  $T_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta_{D^i w_n} &= \frac{1}{T_n} \sum_{i=0}^{T_n-1} \delta_{D^i w_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{T_n} \sum_{i=0}^{T_n-1} \pi_* \delta_{E^i P v_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{T_n} \sum_{i=0}^{T_n-1} \pi_* \delta_{PA^i v_n} \\ &\rightarrow \pi_* P_* \text{Leb} \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

This is absurd. This finishes the proof Corollary 1.5. □

*Remark 3.6.* One may use the “weak specification” of ergodic endomorphism on torus ([9]) to give an alternative proof for the “only if” part of Corollary 1.5.

## 4 References

- [1] Andreescu, T.; Dospinescu, G.; Mushkarov, O.. Number theory: concepts and problems. XYZ press, LLC (2017)
- [2] Artin, M. Algebra. Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ (1991).



- [3] Bright, C. Modular periodicity of linear recurrence sequences.  
<https://cs.curtisbright.com/reports/PM434Project.pdf>
- [4] Cornfeld, I. P.; Fomin, S. V.; Sinai, Ya. G.. Ergodic theory. Translated from the Russian by A. B. Sosinskii Grundlehren Math. Wiss., 245 [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences] Springer-Verlag, New York (1982).
- [5] Díaz, L. J.; Gelfert, K.; Santiago, B.. Weak\* and entropy approximation of nonhyperbolic measures: a geometrical approach. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **169** (3), 507-545. (2020)
- [6] Huang, W.; Lian, Z.; Ma, X.; Xu, L.; Zhang, Y.. Ergodic optimization for a class of typical maps. *arXiv:1904.01915* (2019)
- [7] Katok, A.. Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, no.51, 137-173. (1980)
- [8] Liao, G.; Sun, W.; Tian, X.. Metric entropy and the number of periodic points. *Nonlinearity* **23** (7), 1547-1558. (2010)
- [9] Marcus, B.. A note on periodic points for ergodic toral automorphisms. *Monatsh. Math.* **89** (2), 121-129. (1980)
- [10] Rosen, M.. Polynomials modulo  $p$  and the theory of Galois sets. *Theory and applications of finite fields*, 163-178. *Contemp. Math.*, 579. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2012)
- [11] Shi, Y.. Partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on Heisenberg nilmanifolds and holonomy maps. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **352** (9), 743-747. (2014)
- [12] Sigmund, K.. Generic properties of invariant measures for Axiom A diffeomorphisms. *Invent. Math.* **11**, 99-109. (1970)
- [13] Ward, M.. The arithmetical theory of linear recurring series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **35** (3), 600-628. (1933)

Shaobo Gan, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China  
 email: gansb@pku.edu.cn

Daohua Yu, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China  
 email: yudh@pku.edu.cn

This work is partially supported by National Key R&D Program of China 2022YFA1005801 and NSFC 12161141002.