ON *k*-SMOOTHNESS OF OPERATORS BETWEEN BANACH SPACES

DEBMALYA SAIN, SHAMIM SOHEL AND KALLOL PAUL

ABSTRACT. We explore the k-smoothness of bounded linear operators between Banach spaces, using the newly introduced notion of index of smoothness. The characterization of the k-smoothness of operators between Hilbert spaces follows as a direct consequence of our study. We also investigate the k-smoothness of operators between polyhedral Banach spaces. In particular, we show that the k-smoothness of rank 1 operators between polyhedral spaces depends heavily on the dimension of the corresponding spaces rather than the geometry of the spaces. The results obtained in this article generalize and improve upon the existing results in the k-smoothness of operators between Banach spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of k-smoothness plays an important role in understanding the geometry of Banach spaces. In recent years the study has gained momentum and the ksmoothness of bounded linear operators between Hilbert spaces has been completely characterized in [13, 33]. However, the k-smoothness of operators between Banach spaces seems much more involved and it is still far from being well-understood. It should be noted that some progress has been made in this direction, for which we refer the readers to [3, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14]. In this article, we continue the study of the k-smoothness of operators between arbitrary Banach spaces. This gives us a better insight into the geometric structures of the unit sphere of operator spaces. Before proceeding further, let us fix the relevant notations and terminologies.

We use the symbols \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} to denote Banach spaces over the field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is either the complex field \mathbb{C} or the real field \mathbb{R} . Let $B_{\mathbb{X}} = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : ||x|| \leq 1\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{X}} = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : ||x|| = 1\}$ denote the unit ball and unit sphere of \mathbb{X} , respectively. The dual space of \mathbb{X} is denoted by \mathbb{X}^* . Let $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ and $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators and compact linear operators from \mathbb{X} to \mathbb{Y} , respectively. If $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{Y}$, then we write $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) = \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X})$ and $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) = \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X})$.

For a non-empty convex subset A of X, an element $z \in A$ is said to be an extreme point of A, whenever z = (1-t)x + ty, for some $t \in (0, 1)$ and $x, y \in A$, implies that x = y = z. The set of all extreme points of a convex set A is denoted by Ext(A). The space X is said to be strictly convex if $Ext(B_X) = S_X$, i.e., if every element of

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B20, Secondary 47B01, 47L05.

Key words and phrases. k-smoothness; M-ideal; extreme contraction; coproximinal subspace; Banach space; polyhedral space.

Debmalya Sain feels elated to acknowledge the inspiring presence of his childhood friend Arijeet Roy Chowdhury in his mathematical journey. The second author would like to thank CSIR, Govt. of India, for the financial support in the form of Senior Research Fellowship under the mentorship of Prof. Kallol Paul.

the unit sphere is an extreme point of the unit ball. A finite-dimensional space X is said to be a polyhedral if $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a polyhedron i.e., if $Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ is finite. An operator $T \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ is said to be an extreme contraction if T is an extreme point of $B_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$. For $T \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$, M_T denotes the collection of all unit vectors of \mathbb{X} at which T attains its norm, i.e., $M_T = \{x \in S_{\mathbb{X}} : ||Tx|| = ||T||\}$. Also, the kernel of T, denoted by ker T, is defined as ker $T := \{x \in \mathbb{X} : Tx = 0 \in \mathbb{Y}\}$. An element $f \in S_{\mathbb{X}^*}$ is said to be a support functional at $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{X}$ if f(x) = ||x||. The set of all support functionals at $x \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$, denoted by J(x), is defined as $J(x) = \{f \in S_{\mathbb{X}^*} : f(x) = 1\}$. It is easy to observe that J(x) is a closed weak^{*}- compact convex subset of \mathbb{X}^* . An element $x \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$ is said to be smooth if J(x) is singleton. The space \mathbb{X} is said to be smooth if each $x \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$ is smooth. We say that $x \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$ is k-smooth if dim span J(x) = k. We say that the order of smoothness of $x \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$ is k, if x is ksmooth. The notion of k-smoothness or multi-smoothness was introduced by Khalil and Saleh [8] as a generalized notion of smoothness. Our aim in this article is to study the k-smoothness of an operator T in $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$. As we will see, the roles of J(T)and Ext(J(T)) are very important in the whole scheme of things. The following lemma is useful in this connection and will be used repeatedly. Let us first recall that a closed subspace \mathbb{V} of \mathbb{X} is said to be an *M*-ideal of \mathbb{X} if $\mathbb{X}^* = \mathbb{V}^* \oplus_1 \mathbb{V}^{\perp}$, where $\mathbb{V}^{\perp} = \{x^* \in \mathbb{X}^* : \mathbb{V} \subset ker \; x^*\}$ and if $x^* = x_1^* + x_2^*$ is the unique decomposition of x^* in \mathbb{X}^* , then $||x^*|| = ||x_1^*|| + ||x_2^*||$.

Lemma 1.1. [32, Lemma 3.1] Suppose that \mathbb{X} is a reflexive Banach space and \mathbb{Y} is a Banach space. Also assume that $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ is an *M*-ideal in $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$. Let $T \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}), ||T|| = 1$ and $dist(T, \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})) < 1$. Then $M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) \neq \emptyset$ and

 $Ext(J(T)) = \{y^* \otimes x \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})^* : x \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}), y^* \in Ext \ J(Tx)\},\$

where $y^* \otimes x : \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) \to \mathbb{K}$ is defined by $y^* \otimes x(S) = y^*(Sx)$ for every $S \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$.

For more information on tensor products, the readers are referred to [19].

The concepts of Birkhoff-James orthogonality and Auerbach basis play an important role in our study. Let us now recall from pioneering article [2, 7] that an element $x \in \mathbb{X}$ is said to be Birkhoff-James orthogonal to $y \in \mathbb{X}$ if $||x + \lambda y|| \ge ||x||$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. Symbolically, it is written as $x \perp_B y$. A Schauder basis \mathcal{B} of a Banach space \mathbb{X} is called an Auerbach basis if for any $x \in \mathcal{B}$, ||x|| = 1 and $x \perp_B span\{\mathcal{B} \setminus \{x\}\}$. Also the basis \mathcal{B} of \mathbb{X} is said to be a strong Auerbach basis of \mathbb{X} if for any $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$, $span \mathcal{C} \perp_B span\{\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{C}\}$. In the Banach space $\ell_p^n(\mathbb{R})$, it is easy to see that $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ is a strong Auerbach basis, where $e_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ with 1 in *i*-th position.

Let $((\alpha_i \beta_j))_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le p}$ denote the *np*-tuple of scalars

 $(\alpha_1\beta_1, \alpha_1\beta_2, \ldots, \alpha_1\beta_p, \alpha_2\beta_1, \alpha_2\beta_2, \ldots, \alpha_2\beta_p, \ldots, \alpha_n\beta_1, \alpha_n\beta_2, \ldots, \alpha_n\beta_p),$

which is an element of \mathbb{K}^{np} . Moreover, $\widetilde{e_{ij}} = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{K}^{np}$ where 1 is in *ij*-th position. Clearly $\{\widetilde{e_{ij}} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq p\}$ is the standard ordered basis of \mathbb{K}^{np} . To study the *k*-smoothness of an operator, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 1.2. Let \mathbb{X} , \mathbb{Y} be Banach spaces and let $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$. Let R be a subset of $S_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $R \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis of span R. Suppose that $\mathbb{W} = span\{y^* \in J(Tv), v \in R \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})\}$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{Y}^* and let $\{y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_p^*\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{W} . Then the index of smoothness of T with respect to R, denoted by $i_R(T)$, is defined as the dimension of Z, where

$$Z = span \left\{ ((\alpha_i \beta_j))_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le p} \in \mathbb{K}^{pn} : \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i \in R \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}), \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j y_j^* \in Ext(J(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i T v_i)) \right\}.$$

Later, we will show that the index of smoothness of T with respect to R depends neither on the choice of basis of span R nor on the basis of W. The present article is divided into three sections including the introductory one. In section I, we characterize the k-smoothness of an operator in terms of our newly introduced index of smoothness. We also characterize the k-smoothness of an operator on a strictly convex, smooth Banach space whose norm attainment set is the unit sphere of a subspace. In section II, we study the k-smoothness of operators between polyhedral Banach spaces. Here we also observe some interesting properties of rank 1 operators, which provide better insights into the geometry of operator space. Surprisingly, we will see that the k-smoothness of a rank 1 operator between finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces depends heavily on the dimensions of the spaces rather than the geometry. We end this section by recalling the definition of coproximinal subspace, which arises naturally in our study.

Definition 1.3. [4, 16] Let \mathbb{X} be a Banach space and let \mathbb{Y} be a subspace of \mathbb{X} . Given any $x \in \mathbb{X}$, we say that $y_0 \in \mathbb{Y}$ is a best coapproximation to x out of \mathbb{Y} if $||y_0 - y|| \le ||x - y||$, for all $y \in \mathbb{Y}$. A subspace \mathbb{Y} of the Banach space \mathbb{X} is said to be coproximinal if a best coapproximation to any element of \mathbb{X} out of \mathbb{Y} exists.

It should be noted that $y_0 \in \mathbb{Y}$ is a best coapproximation to $x \in \mathbb{X}$ out of \mathbb{Y} if and only if $\mathbb{Y} \perp_B (x - y_0)$.

2. MAIN RESULT

SECTION-I

We begin this section with a result that characterizes extreme support functionals of an operator, under some additional conditions. For this purpose, we require the following fundamental characterization of Birkhoff-James orthogonality by James [7].

Lemma 2.1. [7] Let \mathbb{X} be a Banach space and let $x, y \in \mathbb{X}$. Then $x \perp_B y$ if and only if there exists $f \in S_{\mathbb{X}^*}$ such that f(x) = ||x|| and f(y) = 0.

Theorem 2.2. Let \mathbb{X} be a reflexive strictly convex Banach space and let \mathbb{Y} be a smooth Banach space. Suppose that $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$ and $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})$ is an *M*-ideal of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})$ with $dist(T,\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})) < 1$. Then $g \in J(T)$ is an element of Ext(J(T)) if and only if there exists a fixed element $x_0 \in M_T$ such that $Tx_0 \perp_B Ax_0$, for every $A \in ker g$.

Proof. Observe that by the strict convexity of \mathbb{X} , $M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) = M_T$ and by the smoothness of \mathbb{Y} , we get that J(y) is a singleton set for each $y \in \mathbb{Y}$. Using Lemma 1.1, we obtain

 $Ext(J(T)) = \{y^* \otimes x : x \in M_T, \{y^*\} = J(Tx)\}.$

We first prove the sufficient part of the theorem. Suppose that $g \in J(T)$ is such that there exists a fixed element $x_0 \in M_T$ satisfying $Tx_0 \perp_B Ax_0$, for every $A \in ker g$. Since $Tx_0 \perp_B Ax_0$ and \mathbb{Y} is smooth, using Lemma 2.1 we get a bounded

linear functional y_0^* on \mathbb{Y} such that $y_0^*(Tx_0) = ||Tx_0|| = 1$ and $y_0^*(Ax_0) = 0$. Thus $y_0^* \otimes x_0(T) = ||T|| = g(T)$ and $y_0^* \otimes x_0(A) = 0$ for every $A \in \ker g$. This shows that $g = y_0^* \otimes x_0$, where $x_0 \in M_T$ and $J(Tx_0) = \{y_0^*\}$ and so $g \in Ext(J(T))$. For the necessary part, we suppose that $g \in Ext(J(T))$. So, g can be written as $g = y_0^* \otimes x_0$, for some $x_0 \in M_T$ and $\{y_0^*\} = J(Tx)$. Then $y_0^*(Tx_0) = ||Tx_0||$ and $y_0^*(Ax_0) = y_0^* \otimes x_0(A) = g(A) = 0$, for every $A \in \ker g$. Using Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $Tx_0 \perp_B Ax_0$, for every $A \in \ker g$.

The above theorem fulfills the purpose of characterizing the extreme support functionals of a bounded linear operator in a special setting. Moreover, it provides two strengthened versions of the Bhatia-Šemrl Theorem [1, Th. 1.1] on Banach spaces, under these conditions. Let us first recall the Bhatia-Šemrl Theorem.

Theorem 2.3. (Bhatia-Šemrl Theorem) Let \mathbb{H} be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space and let $T, A \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{H})$. Then $T \perp_B A$ if and only if there exists $x \in M_T$ such that $\langle Tx, Ax \rangle = 0$.

Although the Bhatia- Šemrl Theorem has been presented in the setting of Hilbert spaces, it has also been studied in the Banach space setting by many authors. In [26, Th. 2.1], the authors generalized the above theorem for linear operators on finite-dimensional real Banach spaces:

Theorem 2.4. [26, Th. 2.1] Let \mathbb{X} be a finite-dimensional real Banach space. Let $T \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X})$ be such that $M_T = \pm D$, where D is a closed, connected subset of $S_{\mathbb{X}}$. Then for $A \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X})$ with $T \perp_B A$, there exists $x \in D$ such that $Tx \perp_B Ax$.

The Bhatia-Šemrl Theorem in the setting of complex Banach spaces has been studied in [21, Th. 4.3]. We refer the readers to [24, 26, 28] and the references therein for further reading in this context. A bounded linear operator T is said to satisfy Bhatia-Šemrl (BŠ) property [27] if for each bounded linear operator A, $T \perp_B A$ implies there exists $x \in M_T$ (x depending on A) such that $Tx \perp_B Ax$. Investigations of operators satisfying BŠ property have been conducted in [9, 20, 29]. We next present the promised strengthening of the Bhatia-Šemrl Theorem, under certain additional conditions.

Theorem 2.5. Let X be a reflexive strictly convex Banach space and let Y be a smooth Banach space. Suppose that $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(X,Y)}$ and $\mathbb{K}(X,Y)$ is an *M*-ideal of $\mathbb{L}(X,Y)$ with $dist(T,\mathbb{K}(X,Y)) < 1$. Then

- (i) for a subspace \mathbb{W} of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$, there exists a fixed $x_0 \in M_T$ such that $Tx_0 \perp_B Ax_0$, for any $A \in \mathbb{W}$ if and only if $\mathbb{W} \subset \ker g$, for some $g \in Ext(J(T))$.
- (ii) a subspace \mathbb{W} of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ satisfies $Tx \perp_B Ax$, for every $x \in M_T$ and for every $A \in \mathbb{W}$ if and only if $\mathbb{W} \subset \bigcap_{q \in Ext(J(T))} ker g$.

Proof. Since X is strictly convex and Y is smooth, using Lemma 1.1, we obtain that

$$Ext(J(T)) = \left\{ y^* \otimes x : x \in M_T, \{y^*\} = J(Tx) \right\}.$$

(i) The sufficient part of the proof is immediate from Theorem 2.2, so we only prove the necessary part. Suppose that $J(Tx_0) = \{y_0^*\}$ and $g = y_0^* \otimes x_0$. Since for any $A \in \mathbb{W}$, $Tx_0 \perp_B Ax_0$, so using Lemma 2.1, we get $y_0^*(Ax_0) = 0$. Therefore, for any $A \in \mathbb{W}$, $g(A) = y_0^* \otimes x_0(A) = y_0^*(Ax_0) = 0$, which implies that $\mathbb{W} \subset \ker g$ and the fact that $g \in Ext(J(T))$ completes the theorem.

(ii) The necessary part follows easily from the proof of (i). For the converse part, let \mathbb{W} be a subspace of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ such that $\mathbb{W} \subset \bigcap_{g \in Ext(J(T))} ker g$. Let $x \in M_T$ be arbitrary but fixed after choice. Consider $J(Tx) = \{y^*\}$. Then $y^* \otimes x$ is an extreme supporting functional at T. So for each $A \in \mathbb{W}$, we get that $y^* \otimes x(A) = 0$. Thus $y^*(Ax) = y^* \otimes x(A) = 0$ and $y^*(Tx) = y^* \otimes x(T) = ||T|| = 1$, and so by applying Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $Tx \perp_B Ax$.

Remark 2.6. It is worth mentioning that characterization of $T \perp_B A$ in the Hilbert space setting was also investigated in [17, 18]. For a more general study of the same problem, in the setting of complex Banach spaces, the readers are referred to [21]. In this connection, we also note that the conjecture posed in [27] still remains an open question. The conjecture is stated as :

A linear operator T on an n-dimensional real normed linear space X satisfies the BŠ property if and only if the set of unit vectors on which T attains norm is connected in the corresponding projective space $\mathbb{R}P^{n-1} \equiv S_X \setminus \{x \sim -x\}$.

Going back to the study of k-smoothness of operators, we recall the following well known result.

Proposition 2.7. Suppose that \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} are Banach spaces. If $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a linearly independent subset of \mathbb{X} and $\{y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_p^*\}$ is a linearly independent subset of \mathbb{Y}^* then $\{y_i^* \otimes x_j : 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is a linearly independent subset of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})^*$.

In the next proposition we give an estimation of the number of linearly independent elements in Ext(J(T)) for a bounded linear operator on a Banach space, not necessarily finite-dimensional. See [12, Th. 2.2] for an analogous result on finite-dimensional Banach spaces.

Proposition 2.8. Let \mathbb{X} be a reflexive Banach space and let \mathbb{Y} be any Banach space. Suppose that $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$ and $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})$ is an *M*-ideal of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})$ with $dist(T, \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})) < 1$. Suppose that $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r\}$ is a maximal linearly independent set in $M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ and Tx_i is m_i -smooth, for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then dim $span(J(T)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^r m_i$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{y_{i1}^*, y_{i2}^*, \ldots, y_{im_i}^*\}$ is a linearly independent set in $Ext(J(Tx_i))$, for any $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $H = span M_T$. Clearly, H is a finite-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{X} . From Lemma 1.1 we get,

$$Ext(J(T)) = \bigg\{ y^* \otimes x : x \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}), y^* \in Ext(J(Tx)) \bigg\}.$$

Since $x_i \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ and $y_{ij}^* \in Ext(J(Tx_i))$, then $y_{ij}^* \otimes x_i \in Ext(J(T))$, for any $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq m_i$. Clearly, $R = \{y_{ij}^* \otimes x_i : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq m_i\}$ is a subset of the space $\mathbb{Y}^* \otimes H$. To prove the theorem it is enough to show that the set R is linearly independent. Let $\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} c_{ij} y_{ij}^* \otimes x_i = 0$, where $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}$, for any $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq m_i$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a Hamel basis of \mathbb{Y} . For any $1 \leq t \leq r, \alpha \in \Lambda$, we define $A_{t\alpha} : H \to \mathbb{Y}$ as follows:

$$A_{t\alpha}(x_t) = e_{\alpha} \qquad A_{t\alpha}(x_i) = 0, \ \forall \ i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \setminus \{t\}.$$

Since *H* is finite-dimensional, clearly $A_{t\alpha}$ is bounded. Then for any $1 \le t \le r$ and for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} c_{ij} y_{ij}^* \otimes x_i(A_{t\alpha}) = 0 \implies \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} c_{ij} y_{ij}^*(A_{t\alpha}(x_i)) = 0 \implies \sum_{j=1}^{m_t} c_{tj} y_{tj}^*(e_\alpha) = 0$. Therefore, for any $1 \le t \le r$, $\sum_{j=1}^{m_t} c_{ij} y_{tj}^* = 0 \implies c_{tj} = 0$, for any $1 \le j \le m_t$. Consequently, *R* is linearly independent and this completes the theorem. \Box

In the following theorem we characterize the k-smoothness of rank 1 operators.

Theorem 2.9. Let X be a reflexive Banach space and let Y be any Banach space. Suppose that $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(X,Y)}$ is of rank 1. Let $\mathbb{K}(X,Y)$ be an *M*-ideal in $\mathbb{L}(X,Y)$ and $dist(T,\mathbb{K}(X,Y)) < 1$. Let the cardinality of a maximal linearly independent set of $M_T \cap Ext(B_X)$ be n and let $T(M_T) = \{\lambda y : |\lambda| = 1\}$, for some $y \in S_Y$. Then T is k-smooth if and only if y is m-smooth and mn = k.

Proof. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a maximal linearly independent set of $M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$. Observe that $y^* \in J(y)$ if and only if $\frac{1}{\lambda}y^* \in J(\lambda y)$, whenever $|\lambda| = 1$. Also $T(M_T) = \{\lambda y : |\lambda| = 1\}$. So using Lemma 1.1, we get

$$span \ Ext(J(T)) = span \left\{ y^* \otimes x : x \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}), y^* \in Ext(J(Tx)) \right\}$$
$$= span \left\{ y^* \otimes x : x \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}), y^* \in Ext(J(y)) \right\}.$$

Let us now prove the sufficient part of the theorem. Suppose that $y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_m^* \in S_{\mathbb{Y}^*}$ are linearly independent extreme support functionals of $y \in S_{\mathbb{Y}}$. Observe that $y_j^* \otimes x_i \in Ext(J(T))$, for each i, j where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. Moreover, $\{y_j^* \otimes x_i : 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is linearly independent. Let $x \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}), y^* \in Ext(J(y))$ and suppose that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i, y^* = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j y_j^*$, for some $c_i, d_j \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $y^* \otimes x \in Ext(J(T))$. Now, $y^* \otimes x = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j y_j^* \otimes \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n d_j c_i y_j^* \otimes x_i \in span\{y_j^* \otimes x_i : 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Therefore dim span $Ext(J(T)) = \dim span\{y_j^* \otimes x_i : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\} = mn$. This completes the proof.

We next prove the necessary part. First of all we assume that $\dim \operatorname{span} J(y)$ is not finite and let $\{y_{\alpha}^* : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a linearly independent set in $\operatorname{span} J(y)$. Then following the similar arguments given in the sufficient part we show that $\{y_{\alpha}^* \otimes x_i : \alpha \in \Lambda, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a linearly independent set in $\operatorname{span} J(y)$. That contradicts that order of smoothness of T is finite. Now suppose that $\dim \operatorname{span} J(y) = m$. Again following the similar arguments given in the sufficient part we can show that k = mn.

Using Theorem 2.9 and [33, Th. 4.2], we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.10. Let \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional Banach spaces such that $\dim \mathbb{X} = n$, $\dim \mathbb{Y} = m$. Suppose that $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$ is an operator of rank 1 such that $T(M_T) = \{\lambda y : |\lambda| = 1\}$, for some $y \in S_{\mathbb{Y}}$. If $\dim \operatorname{span}(M_T) = n$ and y is *m*-smooth, then T is an extreme contraction.

Next we show that the newly introduced notion of index of smoothness of an operator with respect to a set is basis invariant.

Proposition 2.11. Let \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} be Banach spaces and let $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$. Let R be a subset of $S_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $R \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\mathbb{W} = span\{f \in J(Tv) : v \in I(Tv) \}$

 $R \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{X}^* . Then the index of smoothness of T with respect to R is independent of the choice of basis of span R as well as the basis of \mathbb{W} .

Proof. Suppose that $\{y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_m^*\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{W} and $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a basis of span R. Suppose that $i_R(T) = k$ with respect to these bases. Let $Z = span\left\{((\alpha_i\beta_j))_{1\leq i\leq n, 1\leq j\leq m} \in \mathbb{K}^{mn} : \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \in R \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}), \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j^* \in Ext(J(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Tx_i))\right\}$. Assume that $B = \{((\alpha_i^l\beta_j^l))_{1\leq i\leq n, 1\leq j\leq m} : 1 \leq l \leq k\}$ is a basis of Z. Let $\{x'_1, x'_2, \ldots, x'_n\}$ be another basis of span R and let $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} x'_j$, for some scalars c_{ij} . Clearly, $C = (c_{ji})_{1\leq i, j\leq n}$ is an invertible matrix. It is easy to observe that whenever $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x'_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i x_i$, then $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)^T = C(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_n)^T$ (here A^T is the transpose of a matrix A). Consider

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & C & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & C \end{pmatrix}_{nm \times nm}$$

We observe that for any $1 \leq l \leq k$, $((\gamma_i^l \beta_j^l))_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m} = P((\alpha_i^l \beta_j^l))_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$. Since, B is linearly independent and P is invertible, then $\{((\gamma_i^l \beta_j^l))_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq p} : 1 \leq l \leq k\}$ is linearly independent. Let $i_R(T) = k'$ with respect to the bases $\{y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_m^*\}$ and $\{x_1', x_2', \ldots, x_n'\}$. Thus we get $k' \geq k$ and proceeding similarly we can show that $k \geq k'$. Thus $i_R(T)$ is invariant with respect to the basis of span R. In the same fashion we can show that $i_R(T)$ is invariant with respect to the basis of \mathbb{W} .

We are now in a position to characterize the k-smoothness of an operator in terms of the index of smoothness.

Theorem 2.12. Let \mathbb{X} be a reflexive Banach space and let \mathbb{Y} be any Banach space. Suppose that $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$ and $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})$ is an *M*-ideal in $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})$ with $dist(T, \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})) < 1$. Suppose that dim $spanM_T = n$ and $span\{g \in J(Tx), x \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})\}$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{X}^* . Then *T* is *k*-smooth if and only if $i_{M_T}(T) = k$.

Proof. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a maximal linearly independent set of M_T . Suppose that $\{y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_m^*\}$ is a basis of $span\{y^* \in J(Tx), x \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})\}$. Using Lemma 1.1 we get,

$$Ext(J(T))$$

$$= \left\{ y^* \otimes x : x \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}), y^* \in Ext(J(Tx)) \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j^* \otimes \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i : \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}), \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j^* \in Ext\left(J(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Tx_i)\right) \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_j y_j^* \otimes x_i : \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}), \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j^* \in Ext\left(J(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Tx_i)\right) \right\}$$

Suppose that $W_1 = span \ Ext(J(T))$ and $W_2 = span\left\{ ((\alpha_i\beta_j))_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m} \in \mathbb{K}^{mn} :$ $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}), \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j^* \in Ext(J(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i T x_i)) \right\}$. To prove the theorem we only need to show that $\dim W_1 = \dim W_2$. Suppose that $\dim W_1 = k$ and let $S = \{\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{1i}\beta_{1j}y_j^* \otimes x_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{2i}\beta_{2j}y_j^* \otimes x_i, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ki}\beta_{kj}y_j^* \otimes x_i\}$ be a linearly independent set in W_1 . Then clearly, $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ti}x_i \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_{tj}y_j^* \in Ext(J(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ti}T x_i))$, for each $t, 1 \le t \le k$. Suppose that for some $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{K}, \sum_{t=1}^k c_t((\alpha_{ti}\beta_{tj}))_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m} = 0 \implies \sum_{t=1}^k c_t \alpha_{ti}\beta_{tj} = 0$, for each $i, 1 \le i \le n$ and $j, 1 \le j \le m$. Then for any $A \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{k} c_t \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ti} \beta_{tj} y_j^* \otimes x_i \right) A = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_j^* \left(A \left(\sum_{t=1}^{k} c_t \alpha_{ti} \beta_{tj} x_i \right) \right) = 0.$$

Since S is a linearly independent set in W_1 , we get $c_t = 0$, for each $t, 1 \leq t \leq k$. Therefore, $\{((\alpha_{ti}\beta_{tj}))_{1\leq i\leq n, 1\leq j\leq m}: 1\leq t\leq k\}$ is a linearly independent set in W_2 . So, dim $W_1 = k \leq dim W_2$. Now assume that dim $W_2 = r$ and $\{((\alpha_{ti}\beta_{tj}))_{1\leq i\leq n, 1\leq j\leq m}: 1\leq t\leq r\}$ is a basis of W_2 . Then it is immediate that

$$\dim span\{(\overline{\alpha_{1i}\beta_{1j}}, \overline{\alpha_{2i}\beta_{2j}}, \dots, \overline{\alpha_{ri}\beta_{rj}}): 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m\} = r.$$

Suppose that for some $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r \in \mathbb{K}$, $\sum_{t=1}^r d_t (\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ti} \beta_{tj} y_j^* \otimes x_i) = 0 \implies \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{t=1}^r d_t \alpha_{ti} \beta_{tj}) y_j^* \otimes x_i = 0$. Using Proposition 2.7, for any $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, \sum_{t=1}^r d_t \alpha_{ti} \beta_{tj} = 0 \implies \langle (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r), (\overline{\alpha_{1i} \beta_{1j}}, \overline{\alpha_{2i} \beta_{2j}}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha_{ri} \beta_{rj}}) \rangle = 0$. Since dim span $\{ (\alpha_{1i} \beta_{1j}, \alpha_{2i} \beta_{2j}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha_{ri} \beta_{rj}}) : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \} = r$, it is easy to verify that $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r) = 0 \implies d_i = 0$, for any $1 \leq i \leq r$. This implies that $\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ti} \beta_{tj} y_j^* \otimes x_i : 1 \leq t \leq r \}$ is a linearly independent set in W_1 . Thus dim $W_2 = r \leq \dim W_1$. Therefore, dim $W_1 = \dim W_2$, as required.

The study of extreme contractions between Banach spaces is an active area of research. For some of the recent results in this direction, the readers are referred to [11, 22, 25, 30]. Using [11, Th. 2.2], the next result follows immediately as a corollary to the above theorem, which characterizes the extreme contractions between polyhedral Banach spaces, in terms of the index of smoothness.

Corollary 2.13. Let \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces and let $\dim \mathbb{X} = m, \dim \mathbb{Y} = n$. Let $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$. Then T is an extreme contraction if and only if $i_{M_T}(T) = mn$.

Before we present the connection between k-smoothness of an operator with coproximinality, let us first characterize the coproximinal subspaces in smooth Banach space.

Lemma 2.14. Let X be a smooth Banach space and let Y be an n-dimensional subspace of X. Then Y is coproximinal if and only if dim span $\{y^* \in S_{X^*} : y^* \in J(y), y \in Y\} = n$.

Proof. Let $S = \{y^* \in S_{X^*} : y^* \in J(y), y \in \mathbb{Y}\}$ and let $W = \bigcap_{y^* \in S} ker y^*$. Let us first observe that $\mathbb{Y} \cap W = \{0\}$. If possible let, $y(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{Y} \cap W$ and let $J(y) = \{y^*\}$, as \mathbb{X} is smooth. Then $y^* \in S$ and since $y \in W$, we obtain that $y^*(y) = 0$, a contradiction to the fact that $y^* \in J(y)$.

We first prove the necessary part. Since \mathbb{Y} is coproximinal, for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$ there exists $y_0 \in \mathbb{Y}$ such that y_0 is the best coapproximation to x out of \mathbb{Y} , and so $\mathbb{Y} \perp_B x - y_0$. Then for each $y \in \mathbb{Y}, y \perp_B x - y_0$. Since \mathbb{X} is smooth, for each $y^* \in S$, there exists $y \in S_{\mathbb{Y}}$ such that $J(y) = \{y^*\}$ and therefore, using Lemma 2.1 we obtain $y^*(x - y_0) = 0$. Then $x - y_0 \in W$, and $x = (x - y_0) + y_0$, where $x - y_0 \in W, y_0 \in \mathbb{Y}$. Thus $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{Y} + W$. As $\mathbb{Y} \cap W = \{0\}$, we have $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{Y} \oplus W$. This implies that W is a subspace of codimension n and consequently, dim span S = n.

Now we prove the sufficient part. Assume that dim span S = n. Then W is a subspace of X of codimension n. Since $\dim \mathbb{Y} = n$, $\dim \mathbb{X}/W = n$ and $\mathbb{Y} \cap W = \{0\}$, therefore, $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{Y} \oplus W$. Therefore, for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$, there exists $y_0 \in \mathbb{Y}$ such that $x - y_0 \in W$. Let $y \in \mathbb{Y}$ and suppose that $J(y) = \{y^*\}$, clearly, $y^* \in S$ and $y^*(x-y_0) = 0$. Therefore, from Lemma 2.1, $y \perp_B x - y_0$. As y is chosen arbitrarily, $\mathbb{Y} \perp_B x - y_0$, which implies that y_0 is a best coapproximation to x out of \mathbb{Y} , and consequently, \mathbb{Y} is coproximinal subspace of \mathbb{X} .

Using Lemma 2.14 and Theorem 2.12, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.15. Let X be a reflexive smooth Banach space and let Y be a Banach space. Suppose that $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$ and $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})$ is an *M*-ideal in $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})$ with $dist(T, \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})) < 1$. Also assume that the cardinality of a maximal linearly independent subset of M_T is n and $span\{T(M_T)\}\$ is a coproximinal subspace of \mathbb{Y} . Then T is k-smooth if and only if $i_{M_T}(T) = k$.

A complete characterization of k-smoothness for Hilbert space operators was given in [13, Th. 2.1]. Using the notions developed in this article, we extend the result substantially for operators between Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.16. Let X, Y be strictly convex, smooth, reflexive Banach spaces. Suppose $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$ and $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})$ is an *M*-ideal in $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})$ with $dist(T,\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})) < 1$. Let M_T be the unit sphere of an n-dimensional subspace X_0 of X. Suppose that $T(\mathbb{X}_0)$ is a coproximinal subspace of \mathbb{Y} and $T(\mathbb{X}_0)$ has a strong Auerbach basis. Then

- (i) T is at least (ⁿ⁺¹₂)-smooth.
 (ii) T is n²-smooth, when X, Y are over complex field.

Proof. Observe that $T(\mathbb{X}_0)$ is an *n*-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{Y} . Suppose that $\mathcal{B} =$ $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\}$ is a strong Auerbach basis of $T(\mathbb{X}_0)$. Let $T(x_i) = y_i$, for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Clearly, $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ is linearly independent. Let $W = span\{y^* \in X\}$ $S_{\mathbb{Y}^*}: y^* \in J(y), y \in T(\mathbb{X}_0)$. Since $T(\mathbb{X}_0)$ is coproximinal subspace in \mathbb{Y} , using Lemma 2.14, we infer that W is an n-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{Y}^* . Since \mathcal{B} is a strong Auerbach basis of $T(\mathbb{X}_0)$, there exists $y_i^* \in S_{\mathbb{Y}^*}$ such that $y_i^*(y_i) = 1$ and $y_i^*(y_j) = 0$, for each $1 \le i \ne j \le n$. Clearly, $\{y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_n^*\}$ is a basis of W. We show that $\{y_1^*, y_2^*, \dots, y_n^*\}$ is a strong Auerbach basis of W.

Consider $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. First we claim that the norm attainment set of $g = \sum_{t=1}^{r} \delta_{i_t} y_{i_t}^* \in S_{\mathbb{Y}^*}$, for some $\delta_{i_t} \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, is of the form $\{\sum_{t=1}^{r} \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t}\}$, set of $y = \sum_{t=1} \delta_{i_t} y_{i_t} \in S_{\mathbb{Y}^*}$, for some $\delta_{i_t} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, is of the form $\{\sum_{t=1} \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t}\}$, for some scalars $\lambda_{i_t} \in \mathbb{K}$. Since \mathbb{Y} is strictly convex, M_g is unique up to scalar multiplication. Suppose on the contrary that $M_g = \{\sum_{t=1}^r \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t} + \sum_{l=1}^s \lambda_{j_l} y_{j_l}\}$, for some $\{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s\} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r\}$ and $\lambda_{j_l} \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, for any $1 \leq l \leq s$. Observe that $g(\sum_{t=1}^r \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t}) = g(\sum_{t=1}^r \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t} + \sum_{l=1}^s \lambda_{j_l} y_{j_l}) = 1$ and so $\|\sum_{t=1}^r \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t}\| \geq 1$. If $\|\sum_{t=1}^r \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t}\| = 1$, it contradicts that M_g is unique up to scalar multiplication (as $\lambda_{j_l} \neq 0$). If $\|\sum_{t=1}^r \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t}\| > 1$, then $\|\sum_{t=1}^r \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t} + \sum_{t=1}^r \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t}\| = 1$. $\sum_{l=1}^{s} \lambda_{j_l} y_{j_l} \| = 1 < \| \sum_{t=1}^{r} \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t} \|, \text{ which implies that } \sum_{t=1}^{r} \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t} \not\perp_B \sum_{l=1}^{s} \lambda_{j_l} y_{j_l}.$ Clearly, this contradicts the fact that \mathcal{B} is a strong Auerbach basis. This proves our claim. Now, $y_k^* (\sum_{t=1}^{r} \lambda_{i_t} y_{i_t}) = 0$, for any $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r\}$, and so using [23, Th. 3.2] it is easy to conclude that

$$span\{y_{i_1}^*, y_{i_2}^*, \dots, y_{i_r}^*\} \perp_B span\{\{y_1^*, y_2^*, \dots, y_n^*\} \setminus \{y_{i_1}^*, \dots, y_{i_r}^*\}\}.$$

Thus, $\{y_1^*, y_2^*, \dots, y_n^*\}$ is a strong Auerbach basis of W.

We next claim that the support functional for an element $y = \sum_{t=1}^{r} c_{i_t} y_{i_t} \in S_{T(\mathbb{X}_0)}, c_{i_t} \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, is of the form $\sum_{t=1}^{r} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^*$, for some scalars $d_{i_t} \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose on the contrary that $J(y) = \{\sum_{t=1}^{r} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^* + \sum_{l=1}^{s} d_{j_l} y_{j_l}^*\}$, for some $d_{j_l} \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, where $\{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s\} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r\}$. Then $\sum_{t=1}^{r} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^* (y) = (\sum_{t=1}^{r} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^* + \sum_{l=1}^{s} d_{j_l} y_{j_l}^*)(y) = 1$. Clearly, $\|\sum_{t=1}^{r} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^*\| \ge 1$. If $\|\sum_{t=1}^{r} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^*\| = 1$, then it contradicts that J(y) is a singleton, as \mathbb{Y} is mooth. If $\|\sum_{t=1}^{r} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^*\| > 1$, then $\|\sum_{t=1}^{r} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^* + \sum_{l=1}^{s} d_{j_l} y_{j_l}^*\| = 1 < \|\sum_{t=1}^{r} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^*\|$, which implies $\sum_{t=1}^{r} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^* \neq B$. $\sum_{l=1}^{s} d_{j_l} y_{j_l}^*$, contradicting that $\{y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_n^*\}$ is a strong Auerbach basis. Thus $J(y) = \{\sum_{t=1}^{r} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^*\}$. Next, if possible let $d_{i_r} = 0$. Then $\sum_{t=1}^{r-1} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^*$ is a support functional of $\sum_{t=1}^{r} c_{i_t} y_{i_t}$. Since \mathbb{Y} is strictly convex, $M_{\sum_{t=1}^{r-1} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^*}$ is unit scalar multiple of $\sum_{t=1}^{r} d_{i_t} y_{i_t}^*$ is of the form $\sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \sigma_{i_t} y_{i_t}$, for some $\sigma_{i_t} \in \mathbb{K}$. Thus the claim is established.

Let
$$Z = span \left\{ ((\alpha_i \beta_j))_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le n} \in \mathbb{K}^{n^2} : \|\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i\| = 1, \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j y_j^* \in \mathbb{K}^n \right\}$$

 $Ext(J(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}y_{i})) \bigg\}. \text{ Then } i_{S_{\mathbb{X}_{0}}}(T) = \dim Z. \text{ Choose } \alpha_{r} = 1, \alpha_{t} = 0, \text{ for any } t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{r\}. \text{ If } \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j}y_{j}^{*} \text{ is a support functional of } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}T(x_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}y_{i} = y_{r}, \text{ then it is easy to observe that } \beta_{r} = 1, \beta_{t} = 0, \text{ for any } t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{r\}. \text{ Therefore, } (0, 0, \ldots, 0, \alpha_{r}\beta_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0) = \widetilde{e_{rr}} \in Z, \text{ for any } 1 \leq r \leq n. \text{ Let } r, s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \text{ such that } r \neq s. \text{ Choose } \alpha_{r} \neq 0, \alpha_{s} \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_{j} = 0, \text{ for any } j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{r, s\} \text{ such that } \|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}x_{i}\| = 1. \text{ Then } J(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}Tx_{i}) = J(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}y_{i}) = J(\alpha_{r}y_{r} + \alpha_{s}y_{s}) = \{\beta_{r}y_{r}^{*} + \beta_{s}y_{s}^{*}\}, \text{ where } \beta_{r}, \beta_{s} \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}. \text{ Therefore, } \alpha_{r}\beta_{r}\widetilde{e_{rr}} + \alpha_{r}\beta_{s}\widetilde{e_{rs}} + \alpha_{s}\beta_{r}\widetilde{e_{sr}} + \alpha_{s}\beta_{s}\widetilde{e_{ss}} \in Z. \text{ Then clearly, } \alpha_{r}\beta_{s}\widetilde{e_{rs}} + \alpha_{s}\beta_{r}\widetilde{e_{sr}} \in Z. \text{ Therefore, for any } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, \alpha_{i}\beta_{j}\widetilde{e_{ij}} + \alpha_{j}\beta_{i}\widetilde{e_{ji}} \in Z, \text{ which implies that } dim Z \geq n + \frac{n^{2}-n}{2} = \binom{n+1}{2}. \text{ Thus } i_{S_{\mathbb{X}_{0}}}(T) \geq \binom{n+1}{2} \text{ and so using Theorem 2.12 we get (i).}$

For the proof of (ii), consider $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Now if $\alpha_r \neq 0, \alpha_s \neq 0$ and $\alpha_t = 0, \forall t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{r, s\}$, then $\alpha_r \beta_s \widetilde{e_{rs}} + \alpha_s \beta_r \widetilde{e_{sr}} \in Z$. Suppose that $\alpha_r \beta_s = k \alpha_s \beta_r$, where $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Take $\alpha'_r = \frac{\sqrt{-k}}{\|\sqrt{-kx_r + x_s}\|}, \alpha'_s = \frac{1}{\|\sqrt{-kx_r + x_s}\|}$. Clearly $\alpha'_r x_r + \alpha'_s x_s \in S_{\mathbb{X}_0}$. Let $J(\alpha'_r y_r + \alpha'_s y_s) = \{\beta'_r y_r^* + \beta'_s y_s^*\}$. This implies $\alpha'_r \beta'_r + \alpha'_s \beta'_s = 1$. It is easy to to verify that $\{\alpha_r \beta_s \widetilde{e_{rs}} + \alpha_s \beta_r \widetilde{e_{sr}}, \alpha'_r \beta'_s \widetilde{e_{rs}} + \alpha'_s \beta'_r \widetilde{e_{sr}}\}$ is a linearly independent set in Z, and so $\widetilde{e_{rs}}, \widetilde{e_{sr}} \in Z$. Therefore for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}, \ \widetilde{e_{ij}} \in Z$. So, $\dim Z = n^2$. Thus $i_{S_{\mathbb{X}_0}}(T) = n^2$ and so using Theorem 2.12 we get (ii).

Using the above theorem, the following corollary can be obtained by means of a straightforward calculation.

Corollary 2.17. [13, Th. 2.1] Let $\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2$ be Hilbert spaces over the field K and let $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{H}_1,\mathbb{H}_2)}$. Let M_T be the unit sphere of an *n*-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{H}_1 and let $||T||_{\mathbb{H}_1^{\perp}} < 1$. Then

- (i) T is (ⁿ⁺¹₂)-smooth, if K = ℝ.
 (ii) T is n²-smooth, if K = ℂ.

As an application of Theorem 2.16, we find the k-smoothness of some special operators on ℓ_p^n spaces. Observe that the standard ordered basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ of ℓ_p^n is a strong Auerbach basis of ℓ_p^n , where $e_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ with 1 in *i*-th position and 0 elsewhere.

Theorem 2.18. Let $T \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_p^n)$, where $1 , <math>p \neq 2$ and let ||T|| = 1. Suppose that M_T is the unit sphere of an m-dimensional subspace \mathbb{X} of ℓ_n^n , where $\mathbb{X} = span \ \mathcal{B}_1 \ and \ \mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}.$ If $T|_{\mathbb{X}} = I|_{\mathbb{X}}$, then T is m^2 -smooth.

Proof. Without loss generality we assume that $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m\}$. Let $\mathbb{Y} =$ span $\{e_{m+1}, e_{m+2}, \ldots, e_n\}$. Then $\ell_p^n = \mathbb{X} \oplus \mathbb{Y}$ and clearly, $\mathbb{X} \perp_B \mathbb{Y}$. So, from [15, Lemma 1.3], X is a coproximinal subspace of ℓ_p^n . Therefore, $span\{T(M_T)\} =$ $T(\mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{X} = span\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ is a coproximinal subspace of ℓ_p^n and it is immediate that $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m\}$ is a strong Auerbach basis of X. Then from Theorem 2.16, T is m^2 -smooth, whenever $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. We just need to show that T is m^2 smooth whenever $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\{e_i^*\} = J(e_j)$, for each $j, 1 \leq j \leq m$. So, we have to show that $\dim Z = m^2$, where $Z = span\{((\alpha_i \beta_j))_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \in$ Bo, we have to show that am Z = m, where $Z = c_{part} ((-i_{r}f))^{1} \leq i_{r} \leq j_{r} \leq m$ $\mathbb{R}^{m^{2}} : \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}e_{i} \in M_{T}, \{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j}e_{j}^{*}\} = J(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}e_{i})\}.$ It is easy to see that $\beta_{j} = \frac{\alpha_{j}|\alpha_{j}|^{p-2}}{(|\alpha_{1}|^{p}+|\alpha_{2}|^{p}+\ldots+|\alpha_{m}|^{p})^{1-\frac{1}{p}}},$ for any $1 \leq j \leq m$. Following the arguments as given in the proof of Theorem 2.16, we observe that $\widetilde{e_{it}} \in Z$, for any $1 \leq j \leq m$. as given in the proof of Theorem 2.10, we observe that $e_{tt} \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any $1 \leq t \leq m$ and $\alpha_r \beta_s \widetilde{e_{rs}} + \alpha_s \beta_r \widetilde{e_{sr}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any $1 \leq r \neq s \leq m$, which implies, $\frac{\alpha_r \alpha_s |\alpha_r|^{p-2}}{c} \widetilde{e_{rs}} + \frac{\alpha_r \alpha_s |\alpha_s|^{p-2}}{c} \widetilde{e_{sr}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $c = (|\alpha_1|^p + |\alpha_2|^p + \ldots + |\alpha_m|^p)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$. Take $(\alpha'_r, \alpha'_s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(\alpha_r, \alpha_s), (0, 0)\}$ such that $\frac{|\alpha_r|}{|\alpha_s|} \neq \frac{|\alpha'_r|}{|\alpha'_s|}$. Then it is easy to observe that $\{\frac{\alpha_r \alpha_s |\alpha_r|^{p-2}}{c} \widetilde{e_{rs}} + \frac{\alpha_r \alpha_s |\alpha_s|^{p-2}}{c} \widetilde{e_{sr}}, \frac{\alpha'_r \alpha'_s |\alpha'_r|^{p-2}}{c} \widetilde{e_{rs}} + \frac{\alpha'_r \alpha'_s |\alpha'_s|^{p-2}}{c} \widetilde{e_{sr}}\}$ is a linearly independent set in \mathbb{Z} , which implies that $\widetilde{e_{rs}}, \widetilde{e_{sr}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any $1 \leq r, s \leq m$. Therefore, $\dim Z = m^2$. This completes the theorem. \square

From Theorem 2.18 and [13, Th. 2.1], the following result is immediate, which characterizes the real Hilbert spaces among the $\ell_n^n(\mathbb{R})$ spaces.

Theorem 2.19. Let $1 . Let <math>T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\ell_p^n(\mathbb{R}))}$ be such that M_T is the unit sphere of an m-dimensional subspace of $\ell_p^n(\mathbb{R})$. Then T is $\binom{m+1}{2}$ -smooth if and only *if* p = 2.

The question that arises naturally in this connection is given in the form of the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.20. Let X be a real Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) X is a Hilbert space
- (ii) $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X})}$ is $\binom{n+1}{2}$ -smooth if and only if $\dim \operatorname{span}(M_T) = n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.12 can be applied to determine the order of smoothness of certain linear operators. This is illustrated in the following example.

Example 2.21. Consider $T \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_p^2(\mathbb{R}), \ell_q^2(\mathbb{R}))$ defined as $T(x, y) = \frac{1}{2^q}(x+y, x-y)$. Then $M_T = \{\pm(1,0), \pm(0,1), \pm(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}), \pm(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}, -\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}})\}$. Clearly $T(1,0) = (\frac{1}{2^q}, \frac{1}{2^q}), T(0,1) = (\frac{1}{2^q}, -\frac{1}{2^q}), T(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}) = (1,0), T(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}, -\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}) = (0,1)$. Suppose that $J(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{q}}}) = \{f_1\}, J(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{q}}}, -\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{q}}}) = \{f_2\}, J(1,0) = \{f_3\}, J(0,1) = \{f_4\}$. Let ψ be the canonical isometric isomorphism from $(\ell_q^2)^*$ to ℓ_p^2 . Then it is easy to verify that $\psi(f_1) = (\frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{q}}}, \frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{q}}}), \psi(f_2) = (\frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{q}}}, -\frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{q}}}), \psi(f_3) = (1,0), \psi(f_4) = (0,1)$. Take $\{(1,0), (0,1)\}$ as a maximal linearly independent set in M_T . Then

$$i_{M_T}(T) = \dim \ span\{(1,0,0,0), (0,0,0,1), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\} = 3.$$

Therefore, from Theorem 2.12, T is 3-smooth.

We end this section with the following remark.

Remark 2.22. In [6, p. 2], the author proposed the following problem:

For Banach spaces \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} , let $T \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ with ||T|| = 1. Is it true that T is a multismooth point of finite order k in $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ if and only if T^* attains its norm at only finitely many independent vectors, say at $y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_r^* \in Ext(B_{\mathbb{Y}^*})$ such that each $T^*y_i^*$ is a multismooth point of finite order, say m_i , in \mathbb{X}^* , where $k = m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_r$?

In [33], the author showed that answer to the above query is negative. It should be noted that in the finite-dimensional case, the order of smoothness of T is same as the order of smoothness of T^* ([13, Prop. 3.8]). Theorem 2.18 also shows that the above formulation is incorrect. Indeed, the cardinality of a maximal linearly independent set in M_T is m but T is m^2 -smooth, irrespective of the the underlying field being real or complex. Moreover, in [6, p. 2], the author essentially tried to establish a connection between the order of smoothness of an operator T and its norm attainment set M_T . Such a connection has been presented in this paper, by means of the definition of 'index of smoothness' (Defn. 1.2) in Theorem 2.12.

Section-II

This section is devoted exclusively to linear operators between finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces. It should be noted that we only consider polyhedral Banach spaces over the real field. We begin this section with following well known definition for the sake of completeness.

Definition 2.23. Let \mathbb{X} be an *n*-dimensional Banach space. A convex set $F \subset S_{\mathbb{X}}$ is said to be a face of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ if for some $x_1, x_2 \in S_{\mathbb{X}}, (1-t)x_1 + tx_2 \in F$ implies that $x_1, x_2 \in F$, where 0 < t < 1. The dimension dim(F) of the face F is defined as the dimension of the subspace generated by the differences v - w of vectors $v, w \in F$. If dim(F) = i, then F is called an *i*-face of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$. (n-1)- faces of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ are called facets of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ and 1-faces of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ are called edges of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$.

Next we characterize k-smoothness of an element in a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space which extends [3, Th. 2.1].

Theorem 2.24. Let X be an *n*-dimensional polyhedral Banach space and let $x \in S_X$. Then x is k-smooth if and only if x is an interior point of an (n - k)-face of B_X .

Proof. We first observe that for each $x \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$, J(x) is convex closed subset of $B_{\mathbb{X}^*}$. It is easy to observe that J(x) is a face of $B_{\mathbb{X}^*}$, for if $(1-t)x_1^* + tx_2^* \in J(x)$, where $x_1^*, x_2^* \in S_{\mathbb{X}^*}$ then $((1-t)x_1^* + tx_2^*)x = 1 \implies x_1^*(x) = x_2^*(x) = 1 \implies x_1^*, x_2^* \in J(x).$ So, $Ext(J(x)) \subset Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}^*})$. We now prove the necessary part of the theorem. Since x is k-smooth, dim span(Ext(J(x))) = k. Let f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k be k linearly independent elements from $Ext(J(x)) \subset Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}^*})$. Let F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_k be the facets of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ corresponding to the extreme functionals f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k respectively, see [31, Lemma 2.1]. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F_i \neq \emptyset$, as $x \in F_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Clearly, $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F_i$ is a (n-k)-face of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$. We claim that $x \in int(F)$. If not, then $x \in G$, where G is a (n-k-1)-face of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$. So there exists a facet $F_{k+1} \notin \{\pm F_1, \pm F_2, \ldots, \pm F_k\}$ of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $G = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} F_i$. Let f_{k+1} be the extreme functional corresponding to F_{k+1} . Using [31, Lemma 2.1] it is clear that $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{k+1} \in Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}^*})$ are linearly independent. Moreover, $f_i(x) = 1$, for any $1 \le i \le k+1$, which shows the existence of (k+1)-number of linearly independent support functionals at x. This contradicts the fact that x is a k-smooth point. This completes the necessary part of the theorem. The sufficient part follows from similar arguments as given in the proof of the necessary part. \square

We next discuss a step-by-step method to find the order of smoothness of an operator between finite-dimensional polyhedral spaces.

Problem Let \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces and let $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$. Determine the order of smoothness of T.

Using the Theorem 2.12 we will solve the above problem in the following three steps:

Step 1: In the first step we determine $M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$. Let $Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) = \{\pm x_1, \pm x_2, \ldots, \pm x_r\}$ and we determine Tx_i , for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ to check that for which x_i 's $||Tx_i|| = ||T||$. Assume that $M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) = \{\pm x_1, \pm x_2, \ldots, \pm x_t\}$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$ is a maximal linearly independent set of $M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$.

Step 2: Let $Tx_i = y_i$, for any $1 \le i \le t$ and let y_i be m_i -smooth, for any $1 \le i \le t$. Suppose that $\{y_{i1}^*, y_{i2}^*, \ldots, y_{im_i}^*\}$ is a linearly independent set in $Ext(J(y_i))$, for any $1 \le i \le t$. It should be noted that as $J(y_i)$ is a face of $B_{\mathbb{Y}^*}$, $\{y_{i1}^*, y_{i2}^*, \ldots, y_{im_i}^*\} \subset Ext(B_{\mathbb{Y}^*})$. So, to find a linearly independent set of $Ext(J(y_i))$, we just need to determine the linearly independent elements y_{ij}^* of $Ext(B_{\mathbb{Y}^*})$ such that $y_{ij}^*(y_i) = 1$. Let $\{y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_s^*\}$ be a maximal linearly independent set of $\{y^* \in S_{\mathbb{Y}^*} : y^* \in J(y_i), 1 \le i \le t\}$.

Step 3: Suppose that $x_j = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_{ij} x_i$ and $y_{ij}^* = \sum_{l=1}^s \beta_l^{ij} y_l^*$, for each $i, 1 \le i \le k$ and $j, 1 \le j \le t$. Then $i_{M_T}(T) = \dim \operatorname{span} Z$, where $Z = \{((\alpha_{qi} \beta_j^{ql}))_{1 \le j \le s, 1 \le i \le k} \in \mathbb{K}^{ks} : 1 \le l \le m_i, 1 \le q \le t\}$. Since $Z \in \mathbb{K}^{ks}$ is finite, it is straightforward to calculate dim span Z, and from Theorem 2.12, we determine the index of smoothness of T. Moreover, note that $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$ is linearly independent and so using Proposition 2.7, we conclude that $\{((\alpha_{qi} \beta_j^{ql}))_{1 \le j \le s, 1 \le i \le k} \in \mathbb{K}^{ks} : 1 \le l \le m_i, 1 \le q \le k\}$

is a linearly independent set of Z. Thus $i_{M_T}(T) \ge \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$.

Let us now discuss the algorithm given above through an explicit example, to further illustrate its advantage from a computational point of view.

Example 2.25. Let X be a 3-dimensional polyhedral Banach space such that $Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) = \{\pm(1,0,0), \pm(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0), \pm(0,1,0), \pm(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0), \pm(0,0,1)\}$ and let $\mathbb{Y} = \ell_{\infty}^3$. Consider $T \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})$ such that

$$T(v_1, v_2, v_3) = (v_1 + (\sqrt{2} - 1)v_2 + v_3, (\sqrt{2} - 1)v_1 + v_2 - v_3, v_1 + v_3).$$

Then

$$M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) = \{\pm(1,0,0), \pm(0,1,0), \pm(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0), \pm(0,0,1)\}.$$

Clearly, ||T|| = 1. Let Z be the set defined in the Step 3 of the above problem. Let ψ be the isometric isomorphism between $(\ell_{\infty}^3)^*$ and ℓ_1^3 . Let $e_i^* \in S_{\mathbb{Y}^*}$ such that $\psi(e_i^*) = e_i$, where $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is the standard ordered basis of ℓ_1^3 . Then clearly, $Ext(B_{\mathbb{Y}^*}) = \{\pm e_1^*, \pm e_2^*, \pm e_3^*\}$ and $\{e_1^*, e_2^*, e_3^*\}$ is a basis of $\{f \in J(T(v)) : v \in M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})\}$. Then $Z = \{\widetilde{e_{11}}, \widetilde{e_{13}}, \widetilde{e_{22}}, \widetilde{e_{31}}, -\widetilde{e_{32}}, \widetilde{e_{33}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\widetilde{e_{11}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\widetilde{e_{21}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\widetilde{e_{11}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\widetilde{e_{22}}\}$. Clearly, $\dim Z = 7$, implies that index of smoothness of T with respect to M_T is 7, therefore, T is 7-smooth.

Remark 2.26. Let $T \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_1^n, \mathbb{Y}), ||T|| = 1$. In [12, Cor. 2.3] it is proved that T is k-smooth if and only if $M_T \cap Ext(B_{\ell_1^n}) = \{\pm x_1, \pm x_2, \ldots, \pm x_r\}$ for some $1 \le r \le n$, Tx_i is m_i -smooth for each $1 \le i \le r$ and $m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_r = k$. This result now follows easily from the above Problem, since $M_T \cap Ext(B_{\ell_1^n})$ is clearly a linearly independent set

The next two results together characterize the order of smoothness of a particular class of operators between polyhedral Banach spaces, which includes the rank 1 operators.

Proposition 2.27. Let \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces such that dim $\mathbb{X} = n$, dim $\mathbb{Y} = m$. Let $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$ be such that $M_T = \pm F$ and $|T(M_T)| = 2$, where F is a face of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$. If T is k-smooth then $k \in \{pq : 1 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq q \leq m\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $T(M_T) = \{\pm w\}$ and $M_T = \pm F$, where F is a face of B_X and $w \in S_{\mathbb{Y}}$. Let us assume that $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p\}$ is a maximal linearly independent set of $F \cap Ext(B_X)$ and let w be a q-smooth point, where $1 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq q \leq m$. Suppose that $y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_q^*$ are linearly independent support functionals of w. Then using Lemma 1.1, it can be easily verified that $\{y_i^* \otimes x_j : 1 \leq i \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq p\}$ is a maximal linearly independent subset of Ext(J(T)). Therefore, T is pq-smooth. \Box

Theorem 2.28. Let \mathbb{X} , \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces such that dim $\mathbb{X} = n$, dim $\mathbb{Y} = m$. Let $k \in \{pq : 1 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq q \leq m\}$. Then there exists a k-smooth operator $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$ such that $M_T = \pm F$ and $|T(M_T)| = 2$, where F is a face of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$.

Proof. Let k = pq, for some $1 \le p \le n, 1 \le q \le m$. We choose a (p-1)-face F of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ and choose $u \in S_{\mathbb{Y}}$ such that u is q-smooth. Then dim span J(u) = q. Let $y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_q^* \in Ext J(u)$ be such that span $J(u) = span \{y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_q^*\}$. Suppose that $F \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r\}$. It is easy to observe that the cardinality of a

14

maximal linearly independent set in $F \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ is p. Without loss of generality we assume that $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p\}$ is a linearly independent set in $F \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$. For each $t, p+1 \leq t \leq r$, let $x_t = \sum_{j=1}^p c_{tj} x_j$. We claim that $\sum_{j=1}^p c_{tj} = 1$. Suppose that $f \in S_{\mathbb{X}^*}$ is such that f(x) = 1, for each $x \in F$ and f(z) < 1, for any $z \in S_{\mathbb{X}} \setminus F$. Note that the existence of such a functional is guaranteed from the definition of a face. Now, $1 = f(x_t) = f(\sum_{j=1}^p c_{tj} x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^p c_{tj} f(x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^p c_{tj}$, for each $t, p+1 \leq t \leq r$. Let \mathcal{B} be a basis of \mathbb{X} such that $x_j \in \mathcal{B} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p, \widehat{x}_{p+1}, \ldots, \widehat{x}_n\}$, for any $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$, where $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p\} \in ker f$. Take $\widetilde{x} \in Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) \setminus \{\pm F\}$ and let $\widetilde{x} = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i x_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^n c_j \widehat{x}_j$, where $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$1 > f(\tilde{x}) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i x_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} c_j \hat{x}_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i f(x_i) + \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} c_j f(\tilde{x}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i.$$

So, for any $\widetilde{x} \in Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) \setminus \{\pm F\}$, if $\widetilde{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i x_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} c_j \widehat{x}_j$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i < 1$. Consider $T \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ such that $Tx_i = u$, for any $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $T\widehat{x}_i = 0$, for any $p+1 \leq i \leq n$. Observe that for any $1 \leq t \leq r$, $\|Tx_t\| = \|\sum_{j=1}^{p} c_{tj}Tx_j\| = \|\sum_{j=1}^{p} c_{tj}u\| = |\sum_{j=1}^{p} c_{tj}|\|u\| = 1$, implies that $\pm F \subset M_T$. Now for any $\widetilde{x} \in Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) \setminus \{\pm F\}$ with $\widetilde{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i x_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} c_j \widehat{x}_j$, it is clear that $\|T\widetilde{x}\| = \|T(\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i x_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} c_j \widehat{x}_j)\| = \|T(\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i x_i\|)\| = \|\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i u\| \leq |\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i| < 1$. This implies $\|T\| = 1$ and $M_T = \pm F$. Clearly, $T(M_T) \cap S_{\mathbb{Y}} = \{\pm u\}$. Then from Lemma 1.1, $Ext(J(T)) = \{y_j^* \otimes x_i : 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq q\}$, and by similar arguments given in the proof of Theorem 2.9 we easily obtain that T is pq-smooth. This completes the theorem. \Box

Theorem 2.29. Let \mathbb{X} , \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces such that dim $\mathbb{X} = n$, dim $\mathbb{Y} = m$. Then there exists a rank 1 operator $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$ which is k-smooth if and only if $k \in \{pq : 1 \le p \le n, 1 \le q \le m\}$.

Proof. As rank T = 1, we assume that $T(M_T) = \{\pm w\}$, for some $w \in S_{\mathbb{Y}}$. Now take $S_1 = \{x \in M_T : T(x) = w\}$ and $S_2 = \{x \in M_T : T(x) = -w\}$. Clearly, $S_2 = -S_1$. It is easy to observe that S_1 and S_2 are convex. Let $(1-t)x_1+tx_2 \in S_1$, where $x_1, x_2 \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$. Then $T((1-t)x_1+tx_2) = w \implies (1-t)Tx_1+tTx_2 = w \implies Tx_1 = Tx_2 = w$, as rank T = 1. This implies that $x_1, x_2 \in S_1$ and consequently, S_1 is a face of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$. So, $M_T = \pm S_1$. Therefore, from Theorem 2.28, we obtain the result immediately.

The significance of Theorem 2.29 is that the order of smoothness of a rank one operator T does not depend on the geometric structure of the spaces within the realm of polyhedral Banach spaces. The order of smoothness only depends on the dimension of the underlying spaces. It is now immediate that for any integer k less than or equal to n, where $\dim \mathbb{X} = n$, there exists a rank 1 unit norm operator in $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ which is k-smooth. Moreover, Theorem 2.29 provides us with an interesting insight on the positions of the rank 1 operators on the unit ball of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$. The famous Bertrand's Postulate [5] (though the result is proved it is still popularly known as a postulate!) ensures that for any n > 1, there always exists a prime number p between n and 2n. The following geometric observation is immediate from Theorem 2.29, by using Theorem 2.24 and the Bertrand's Postulate.

Corollary 2.30. Let \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces such that dim $\mathbb{X} = n > 1$, dim $\mathbb{Y} = m > 1$. Then

- (i) the rank 1 unit norm operators are only in the interior of the (mn-pq)-faces of $B_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$, where $1 \le p \le n, 1 \le q \le m$.
- (ii) for each prime number p with $\max\{m, n\} \le p \le mn$, there does not exist any rank 1 operator T in $S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{Y})}$ such that T is p-smooth.

Since $(mn-1) \notin \{pq, 1 \le p \le m, 1 \le q \le n\}$, whenever m, n > 1, using Theorem 2.24, the following geometric observation is immediate.

Corollary 2.31. Let \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces such that $min\{\dim \mathbb{X}, \dim \mathbb{Y}\} > 1$. Then there exists no rank 1 operator in the interior of any edge of the unit ball of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$.

In the following observation we find the exact number of faces of $B_{\mathbb{L}(\ell_1^n,\ell_\infty^m)}$ that do not contain a rank 1 operator.

Corollary 2.32. Let $S = \{1, 2, ..., mn\} \setminus \{pq : 1 \le p \le n, 1 \le q \le m\}$. Then there exists $\sum_{k \in S} {mn \choose k} 2^k$ number of faces of $B_{\mathbb{L}(\ell_1^n, \ell_\infty^m)}$ whose interior does not contain a rank 1 operator.

Proof. From [30, Remark 2.19], we observe that $\mathbb{L}(\ell_1^n, \ell_\infty^m)$ is isometrically isomorphic to ℓ_∞^{mn} . Let $k \in S$. Using Theorem 2.24 and Theorem 2.29, it suffices to show that the number of (mn - k)-face of $B_{\ell_\infty^{mn}}$ is $\binom{mn}{k} 2^k$. Let F be an (mn - k)-face of $B_{\ell_\infty^{mn}}$. Then there exists $Q \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, mn\}$ such that for any $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{mn}) \in int(F)$ we have $|x_j| = 1, j \in Q$ and $|x_j| < 1$, for any $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, mn\} \setminus Q$. Observe that there are exactly $\binom{mn}{k}$ possible choices of Q. Moreover, each choice of Q corresponds to 2^k distinct (mn - k)-faces of $B_{\ell_\infty^{mn}}$. Also note that any (mn - k)-face of $B_{\ell_\infty^{mn}}$ does not correspond to two distinct choice of Q. Therefore, the total number of (mn - k)-faces of $B_{\ell_\infty^{mn}}$ is $\binom{mn}{k} 2^k$.

We end this article with the following remark which highlights the main findings of the present article.

Remark 2.33. Under the condition that X is reflexive, $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ is an *M*-ideal of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ and $dist(T, \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})) < 1$, we proved (see Theorem 2.12) that *T* is *k*-smooth if and only if $i_{M_T}(T) = k$. Observe that as given in Definition 1.2, determining the value of $i_{M_T}(T)$ is essentially equivalent to determining the dimension of a particular subspace of some \mathbb{K}^n , where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, or, \mathbb{C} . It is clear that the particular subspace under consideration depends on the geometries of both X and Y. Whenever X, Y are finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces, we demonstrate an explicit way to determine the value of $i_{M_T}(T)$ for any $T \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$. This is illustrated step by step in Section-II. Therefore, it follows that the problem of determining the order of smoothness of an operator between finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces. In [13, Th. 2.1], authors characterized *k*-smoothness of a linear operator defined on a finite-dimensional Banach space. However, determining the value of $i_{M_T}(T)$ helps us to extend [13, Th. 2.1] to the setting of an arbitrary Banach space.

Declarations of interest: None.

16

References

- Bhatia, R. and Šemrl, P., Orthogonality of matrices and distance problem, Linear Algebra Appl., 287 (1999), 77–85.
- [2] Birkhoff, G., Orthogonality in linear metric spaces, Duke Math. J. 1 (1935), 169–172.
- [3] Dey, S., Mal, A. and Paul, K., k-smoothness on polyhedral Banach space, Colloq. Math., 169 (2022) 25-37.
- [4] Franchetti, C. and Furi, M., Some characteristic properties of real Hilbert spaces, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures. Appl., 17 (1972), 1045-1048.
- [5] Meher, J. and Murty, M. Ram., Ramanujan's proof of Bertrand's Postulate, Amer. Math. Monthly, 120 (2013) 650-653.
- [6] Hamarsheh, A. S., k-smooth points in some Banach spaces, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., Vol. 2015, Article ID 394282, 4 pages.
- [7] James, R. C., Orthogonality and linear functionals in normed linear spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 61 (1947b), 265-292.
- [8] Khalil, R. and Saleh, A., Multi-smooth points of finite order, Missouri J. Math. Sci., 17 (2005) 76-87.
- [9] Kim, S.K. and Lee, H. J., The Birkhoff-James orthogonality of operators on infinite dimensional Banach spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 582 (2019), 440-451.
- [10] Lin, B. and Rao, T. S. S. R. K., Multismoothness in Banach Spaces, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2007 (2007) 12 pages.
- [11] Mal, A., Paul. K. and Dey, S., Characterization of extreme contractions through k-smoothness of operators, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, (2021) DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2021.1913086
- [12] Mal, A. and Paul, K., Characterization of k-smooth operators between Banach spaces, Linear Algebra Appl., 586 (2020) 296-307.
- [13] Mal, A., Dey, S. and Paul, K., Characterization of k-smoothness of operators defined between infinite-dimensional spaces, Linear Multilinear Algebra, (2020) DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2020.18441
- [14] A. Mal, K. Paul and D. Sain, Birkhoff-James orthogonality and geometry of operator spaces, Infosys Science Foundation Series, Springer Singapore, 2024. ISBN 978-981-99-7110-7, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-7111-4.
- [15] Mazaheri, H. and Nasri, M., Complemented subspaces in the normed spaces, Int. Math. Forum, 2 (2007), 747-751.
- [16] Papini, P. L. and Singer, I., Best coapproximation in normed linear spaces, Mh. Math., 88 (1979) 27-44.
- [17] Paul, K., Translable radii of an operator in the direction of another operator, Scientiae Mathematicae, 2(1) (1999) pp. 119-122.
- [18] Paul, K., Hossein, M. Sk., and Das, K. C., Orthogonality on B(H,H) and Minimal-norm Operator, Journal of Analysis and Applications, 6 (2008) 169-178.
- [19] Ryan, R. A., Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2002 xiv+225 pp. ISBN: 1-85233-437-146B28.
- [20] Ray, A., Sain, D., Dey, S. and Paul, K., Some remarks on orthogonality of bounded linear operators, J. Convex Anal., 29 (2022) No. 1, 165-181.
- [21] Roy, S., Bagchi, S. and Sain, D., Birkhoff-James orthogonality in complex Banach spaces and Bhatia-Šemrl Theorem revisited, Mediterr. J. Math., (2022) https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-022-01979-7.
- [22] A. Ray, Roy, S., Bagchi, S. and Sain, D., Extreme contractions on finite-dimensional polygonal Banach spaces. II, J. Operator Theory, 84(1) (2020) 127-137.
- [23] Sain, D., On best approximations to compact operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 149 (2021) No. 10, 4273-4286.
- [24] Sain, D., Birkhoff-James orthogonality of linear operators on finite dimensional Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 447 (2017) 860-866.
- [25] Sain, D., On extreme contractions and the norm attainment set of a bounded linear operator, Ann. Funct. Anal., 10(1) (2019) 135-143.
- [26] Sain, D. and Paul, K., Operator norm attainment and inner product spaces, Linear Algebra Appl., 439 (2013) 2448-2452.

- [27] Sain, D., Paul, K. and Hait, S., Operator norm attainment and Birkhoff-James orthogonality, Linear Algebra Appl., 476 (2015), 85-97.
- [28] Sain, D., Roy, S. and Paul, K., A Topological Generalization of Orthogonality in Banach Spaces and some Applications, J. Convex Anal. 29 (2022), No. 3, 703–716.
- [29] Sain, D., Ray, A., Dey, S. and Paul, K., Some remarks on orthogonality of bounded linear operators-II, Acta. Sci. Math. 88 (2022), 807-820.
- [30] Sohel, S., Sain, D. and Paul, K., On subspaces of ℓ_∞ and extreme contraction in L(X, ℓⁿ_∞),, Monatsh. Math., 202 (2023), 621-636.
- [31] Sain, D., Paul, K., Bhunia, P. and Bag, S., On the numerical index of polyhedral Banach space, Linear Algebra Appl., 577 (2019), 121-133.
- [32] Wójcik, P., Birkhoff orthogonality in classical M-ideals, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 103 (2017), 279-288.
- [33] Wójcik, P., k-smoothness: an answer to an open problem, Math. Scand., **123** (2018), 85-90.

(Sain) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, RAICHUR, KARNATAKA 584135, INDIA

 $Email \ address: \verb"saindebmalya@gmail.com" \\$

(Sohel) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA 700032, INDIA. *Email address:* shamimsohel110gmail.com

(Paul) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA 700032, INDIA. *Email address:* kalloldada@gmail.com