

COUNTING RATIONAL POINTS ON HIRZEBRUCH–KLEINSCHMIDT VARIETIES OVER NUMBER FIELDS

SEBASTIÁN HERRERO, TOBÍAS MARTÍNEZ, AND PEDRO MONTERO

ABSTRACT. We study the asymptotic growth of the number of rational points of bounded height on smooth projective split toric varieties with Picard rank 2 over number fields, with respect to Arakelov height functions associated with big metrized line bundles. We show that these varieties can be naturally decomposed into a finite disjoint union of subvarieties, where explicit asymptotic formulas for the number of rational points of bounded height can be given. Additionally, we present various examples, including the case of Hirzebruch surfaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgments	6
2. Preliminaries	7
3. Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt varieties	10
4. Hermitian vector bundles over arithmetic curves	13
5. Height zeta function of the projective space	20
6. Counting rational points on Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt varieties	24
7. Example: Hirzebruch surfaces	35
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

In [Sch79], Schanuel considered the projective space \mathbb{P}^n over a number field K with height function $H([x_0, \dots, x_n]) := \prod_{v \in \text{Val}(K)} \max\{|x_i|_v\}$ and proved that the number

$$N(\mathbb{P}^n, H, B) := \#\{P \in \mathbb{P}^n(K) : H(P) \leq B\},$$

of rational points of height bounded by $B > 0$, has the following asymptotic behavior:

$$N(\mathbb{P}^n, H, B) = CB^{n+1} + \begin{cases} O(B \log B) & \text{if } n = 1, \\ O(B^n) & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $C = C(K, n)$ is an explicit constant depending on the field K and the dimension n . In this case, the height function H coincides with the one obtained by metrizing the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ in the sense of [FMT89]. With this observation and certain calculations performed on a cubic surface by Manin in [FMT89, Appendix], it was conjectured that on a Fano variety X with a dense set of rational points $X(K)$, excluding some degenerate cases, when considering the height function H induced by a natural

metrization of the anticanonical line bundle $-K_X$, the number $N(X, H, B)$ of rational points of height bounded by B should satisfy

$$N(X, H, B) \sim CB(\log B)^{\mathrm{rk}\mathrm{Pic}(X)-1} \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty,$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

In 1996, Batyrev and Tschinkel [BT96b] provided a counterexample to the first version of Manin's conjecture. Nowadays, the current expectation is as follows (see e.g. [Pey03, *Formule empirique* 5.1] or [ADHL15, Conjecture 6.3.1.5] for a detailed discussion and the precise definition of the relevant concepts).

Conjecture 1.1 (Manin–Peyre). *Let X be an almost Fano variety¹ over a number field K , with dense set of rational points $X(K)$, finitely generated $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X_{\overline{K}})$ and trivial Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(X_{\overline{K}})$. Let $H = H_{-K_X}$ be the anticanonical height function, and assume that there is an open subset U of X that is the complement of the weakly accumulating subvarieties on X with respect to H . Then, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$N(U, H_{-K_X}, B) \sim CB(\log B)^{\mathrm{rk}\mathrm{Pic}(X)-1} \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, the leading constant is of the form

$$C = \alpha(X)\beta(X)\tau_H(X),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(X) &:= \frac{1}{(\mathrm{rk}\mathrm{Pic}(X) - 1)!} \int_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)^\vee} e^{-\langle -K_X, \mathbf{y} \rangle} d\mathbf{y}, \\ \beta(X) &:= \#H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{K}})), \end{aligned}$$

and $\tau_H(X)$ is the Tamagawa number of X with respect to H (as defined by Peyre in [Pey03, Section 4]).

There is also a conjecture, originating in the work of Batyrev and Manin [BM90], concerning the asymptotic growth of the number

$$N(U, H_L, B) = \#\{P \in U(K) : H_L(P) \leq B\},$$

when considering height functions H_L associated to big metrized line bundles L on a variety X as above, and for appropriate open subsets $U \subseteq X$. More precisely, if we denote by $\tau \prec \sigma$ whenever τ is a face of a cone σ , then one defines the following classical numerical invariants for a line bundle class L on X :

$$\begin{aligned} a(L) &:= \inf\{a \in \mathbb{R} : aL + K_X \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)\}, \\ b(L) &:= \max\{\mathrm{codim}(\tau) : a(L)L + K_X \in \tau \prec \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which measure the position of L inside the effective cone $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$. With this notation, the more general version of the above conjecture states that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$N(U, H_L, B) \sim CB^{a(L)}(\log B)^{b(L)-1} \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1)$$

We refer the reader to [BT98] for extensions, and a conjectural description of the leading constant C in terms of geometric, cohomological and adelic invariants associated to U and L .

The above conjectures have been proven by various authors, either in specific examples or in certain families of varieties (see for instance [Pey03], [Tsc03, Section 3], [Bro07] and [Tsc09, Section 4] for accounts of such results).

The motivation for the present paper stems from the groundbreaking work of Batyrev and Tschinkel [BT98], who demonstrated the Manin–Peyre conjecture for smooth projective toric varieties using harmonic analysis techniques, with U the dense toric orbit and H the anticanonical height function. We

¹Following [Pey03, *Définition* 3.1], an almost Fano variety is a smooth, projective, geometrically integral variety X defined over a field K , with $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, torsion-free geometric Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{K}})$ and $-K_X$ big.

also refer to [BT96a] for a similar result concerning (1) (for some $C > 0$) on toric varieties with height functions associated to big metrized line bundles.

In this paper, we focus on smooth projective split toric varieties with Picard rank 2 over a number field K , and consider Arakelov height functions associated to big metrized line bundles. Our results show that these varieties can be naturally decomposed into a finite disjoint union of subvarieties where explicit asymptotic formulas for the number of rational points of bounded height can be given, in the spirit of Schanuel's work [Sch79]. Hence, in this setting, we go beyond the scope of the classical conjectures mentioned above. We achieve these results by using suitable algebraic models for such varieties and by performing explicit computations on the associated height zeta functions.

In order to be more precise, we recall a geometric result due to Kleinschmidt [Kle88] stating that all smooth projective toric varieties of Picard rank 2 are (up to isomorphism) of the form

$$X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_r)),$$

where $r \geq 1$, $t \geq 2$, and $0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$ are integers. For computational convenience, we choose a different normalization and put

$$X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r) := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(-a_r) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_{r-1} - a_r)) \simeq X,$$

with $d := r + t - 1$ the dimension of $X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$. We refer to these varieties as *Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt varieties*.

If $X = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$, then we consider the projective subbundle $F := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(-a_r) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_{r-1} - a_r))$ and note that $F \simeq X_{d-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$ when $r > 1$, while $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^{t-1}$ when $r = 1$. Then, we define the *good open subset* of X as

$$U_d(a_1, \dots, a_r) := X \setminus F.$$

We note that this open subset is larger than the dense toric orbit of X .

Our main result gives an asymptotic formula for $N(U_d(a_1, \dots, a_r), H_L, B)$ of the form (1), with an explicit constant $C = C_{L,K}$, for every big line bundle class $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ that we equip with a “standard metrization”. This general result is given in Section 6.1 (see Theorems 6.3 and 6.9). For simplicity, we present here the result for $L = -K_X$, noting that the anticanonical line bundle on smooth projective toric varieties is always big.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $X = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ be a Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety of dimension $d = r + t - 1$ over a number field K , let $H = H_{-K_X}$ denote the anticanonical height function on $X(K)$, and let $U = U_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ be the good open subset of X . Then, we have*

$$N(U, H, B) \sim CB \log(B) \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty,$$

with

$$C := \frac{R_K^2 h_K^2 |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{d+2}{2}}}{w_K^2 (r+1)(t+(r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|) \xi_K(r+1) \xi_K(t)}, \quad (2)$$

where R_K, h_K, Δ_K are the regulator, class number and discriminant of K , respectively, $|\mathbf{a}| := \sum_{i=1}^r a_i$ and

$$\xi_K(s) := \left(\frac{\Gamma(s/2)}{2\pi^{s/2}} \right)^{r_1} \left(\frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2\pi)^s} \right)^{r_2} \zeta_K(s),$$

with r_1 and r_2 the number of real and complex Archimedean places of K , respectively, and ζ_K the Dedekind zeta function of K .

Remark 1.3. In Section 3.2 we show that

$$\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{(r+1)(t+(r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|)}.$$

Also, since X is a split toric variety, we have $\beta(X) = 1$ (this is well-known and follows e.g. from [BT98, Remark 1.7 and Corollary 1.18] and [PRR23, Lemma 2.21]). Hence, Theorem 1.2 together with the main

theorem of [BT98] implies that the Tamagawa number of X with respect to the anticanonical height function H_{-K_X} is

$$\tau_H(X) = \frac{R_K^2 h_K^2 |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{(d+2)}{2}}}{w_K^2 \xi_K(r+1) \xi_K(t)}.$$

Since the good open subset U in Theorem 1.2 is obtained by removing from $X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ the subbundle F , which is either another Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety or a projective space, we can also describe the asymptotic behaviour of the number $N(F, H, B)$, provided the restriction $-K_X|_F$ is big in $\text{Pic}(F)$ (it is easily seen that when this is not the case, one has $N(F, H, B) = \infty$ for every $B \geq 1$). In general, the restriction $-K_X|_F$ does not coincide with the anticanonical class $-K_F$ of F (see Section 3.3), and this is the main reason why we are lead to study the asymptotic behaviour of $N(U, H_L, B)$ for height functions H_L associated to general big line bundle classes. These ideas are illustrated in the following example.

Example 1.4. Given integers $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2$ consider the Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt threefold

$$X := X_3(a_1, a_2) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1 - a_2)),$$

with projection map $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and good open subset $U = U_3(a_1, a_2)$. Using results from Sections 3.1 and 3.3, we get that $-K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(3) \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2 + 2a_2 - a_1))$, and the restriction $-K_X|_F$ of the anticanonical divisor of X to the projective subbundle $F = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1 - a_2))$ corresponds, under the isomorphism $F \simeq X_2(a_1) =: X'$, to the line bundle $L := \mathcal{O}_{X'}(3) \otimes (\pi')^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2 + 2a_1 - a_2))$, where $\pi' : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the corresponding projection map. If we write $X' = U' \sqcup F'$ with $U' = U_2(a_1)$ the good open subset of X' and F' its complement in X' , then we have $F' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, and the restriction $L|_{F'}$ corresponds to the line bundle $M := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2 - a_1 - a_2)$. Hence, we have a disjoint decomposition

$$X \simeq U \sqcup U' \sqcup F' \simeq U_3(a_1, a_2) \sqcup U_2(a_1) \sqcup \mathbb{P}^1, \quad (3)$$

and denoting by $H = H_{-K_X}$ the anticanonical height function, we obtain

$$N(U, H, B) \sim CB \log(B) \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty,$$

with an explicit constant $C > 0$ by Theorem 1.2. Now, by Lemma 3.8 in Section 3.3 the line bundle L is big if and only if $a_2 < 2a_1 + 2$, in which case Theorem 6.3 in Section 6 gives

$$N(U', H, B) = N(U_2(a_1), H_L, B) \sim C' B^{\frac{a_1+2}{2a_1+2-a_2}} \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty,$$

with another explicit constant $C' > 0$. Finally, by Lemma 3.9 M is big if and only if $a_1 + a_2 < 2$, in which case Schanuel's estimate, in the form of Corollary 5.5 in Section 5, gives

$$N(F', H, B) = N(\mathbb{P}^1, H_M, B) \sim C'' B^{\frac{2}{2-a_1-a_2}} \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty,$$

with yet another explicit constant $C'' > 0$. We can then distinguish several different cases in order to compare the contribution of each of the subsets U, U', F' in the decomposition (3) to the asymptotic growth of the number of rational points of bounded anticanonical height on X , as represented in the following table.

Case	Is L big?	Is M big?	Comparison
$(a_1, a_2) = (0, 0)$	Yes	Yes	$N(F', H, B) = o(N(U', H, B))$ $N(U', H, B) = o(N(U, H, B))$ $N(U, H, B) \sim CB \log(B)$
$(a_1, a_2) = (0, 1)$	Yes	Yes	$N(U, H, B) = o(N(U', H, B))$ $N(U', H, B) \sim C' B^2$ $N(F', H, B) \sim C'' B^2$
$1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < 2a_1 + 2$	Yes	No	$N(F', H, B) = \infty$ $N(U, H, B) = o(N(U', H, B))$ $N(U', H, B) \sim C' B^{\frac{a_1+2}{2a_1+2-a_2}}$
$1 \leq a_1 = a_2$	Yes	No	$N(F', H, B) = \infty$ $N(U', H, B) = o(N(U, H, B))$ $N(U, H, B) \sim CB \log(B)$
$2a_1 + 2 \leq a_2$	No	No	$N(F', H, B) = \infty$ $N(U', H, B) = \infty$ $N(U, H, B) \sim CB \log(B)$

Since the values $r = t = 2$ are fixed, the constants C, C', C'' above depend only on the base field K and on the coefficients a_1, a_2 . In order to give a concrete numerical example, let us for simplicity assume $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and choose $(a_1, a_2) = (0, 1)$. Using that $\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(s) = (2\pi^{s/2})^{-1}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s)$, we get

$$C = \frac{\pi^2}{6\zeta(3)\zeta(2)} = \frac{1}{\zeta(3)} = 0.83190737\dots,$$

while the values of the constants C' and C'' can be extracted from Example 6.10 and Corollary 5.5, and are given by

$$C' = \frac{3}{\pi} \left(1 + \frac{945\zeta(3)}{16\pi^3} \right) = 3.14147564\dots, \quad C'' = \frac{\pi}{2\zeta(2)} = \frac{3}{\pi} = 0.95492965\dots$$

In particular, this shows that U' “contributes more” than F' to the number of rational points of bounded anticanonical height on $X_3(0, 1)$.

In the general case, our strategy to study the number of rational points of bounded height on a Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety is as follows: Given $X = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ we start by writing

$$X \simeq U_d(a_1, \dots, a_r) \sqcup U_{d-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \sqcup \dots \sqcup U_t(a_1) \sqcup \mathbb{P}^{t-1}. \quad (4)$$

Then, starting with the anticanonical height function H_{-K_X} on $X(K)$, we give simple criteria to decide if the induced height functions H_i on $U_{t+i-1}(a_1, \dots, a_i)(K)$ (for $1 \leq i \leq r$), and H_0 on $\mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)$, are associated to big line bundle classes in the corresponding Picard groups. Finally, using Theorems 6.3 and 6.9 in Section 6.1, together with Schanuel’s estimate (Corollary 5.5 in Section 5), we can give explicit asymptotic formulas for the numbers $N(U_{d+i-r}(a_1, \dots, a_i), H_i, B)$ and $N(\mathbb{P}^{t-1}, H_0, B)$, obtaining the counting of rational points of bounded height on each piece of the decomposition (4). This approach also works if we start with a height function H_L on $X(K)$ associated to any $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ big.

Remark 1.5. Assume $a_1 = \dots = a_j = 0$ and $0 < a_{j+1} \leq \dots \leq a_r$, for some $j \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$. In this case, instead of (4), one can rather write

$$X \simeq U_d(a_1, \dots, a_r) \sqcup U_{d-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \sqcup \dots \sqcup U_{t+j}(a_1, \dots, a_{j+1}) \sqcup (\mathbb{P}^{t-1} \times \mathbb{P}^j), \quad (5)$$

and proceed as above by giving explicit asymptotic formulas for the numbers $N(U_{d+i-r}(a_1, \dots, a_i), H_i, B)$ (for $j+1 \leq i \leq r$) and $N(\mathbb{P}^{t-1} \times \mathbb{P}^j, H_j, B)$. This variant seems more natural to us in this case since there is no gain in removing a closed subvariety of $X_{t+j-1}(a_1, \dots, a_j) = \mathbb{P}^{t-1} \times \mathbb{P}^j$ when counting rational points of bounded height on this particular component of the decomposition (5). For instance, in the case of $X_3(0, 1)$ discussed in Example 1.4, one can write $X_3(0, 1) \simeq U_3(0, 1) \sqcup (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ and compute $N(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, H_L, B)$ directly using Theorem 6.9 in Section 6.1.

We refer the reader to Section 7 where we apply the above strategy to the case of Hirzebruch surfaces $X = X_2(a)$ with $a > 0$ an integer. In particular, we recover a classical example going back to Serre [Ser89], and revisited by Batyrev and Manin in [BM90] and by Peyre in [Pey02] (see Remark 7.1).

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 (and its extension to general big line bundles) is based on the analytic properties of the associated height zeta functions, which we relate to height zeta functions of projective spaces and to the zeta function ξ_K of the base field K . Then, as usual, a direct application of a Tauberian theorem leads to the desired results. The analytic continuation and identification of the first pole of our height zeta functions are achieved through explicit computations and by exploiting the concrete algebraic models of our Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt varieties. As such, it would be interesting to investigate whether the techniques used in this paper can be applied to other families of algebraic varieties.

Remark 1.6. (1) Most of the geometric ideas presented in this work, particularly the decompositions (4) and (5), stem from our research on the analogous problem in the case of Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt varieties over global function fields, which is studied in detail in the companion paper [HMM24].

(2) When working on this project, we came across an unpublished manuscript by Maruyama [Mar15], which proposes an asymptotic formula for the number of rational points of bounded anticanonical height on Hirzebruch surfaces. Unfortunately, the proposed result is incorrect due to convergence issues with the relevant height zeta function that occur when one does not remove the corresponding subbundle F of the Hirzebruch surface $X_2(a)$, as we have done here in greater generality. Nevertheless, we remark that the approach used in loc. cit. to study the analytic properties of height zeta functions of projective bundles has served as an inspiration for the present work (see Section 5).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we establish notation, which in most cases is classical in number theory and algebraic geometry. We then provide a brief introduction to toric varieties and toric vector bundles, including the construction of the fan of the projectivization of a toric bundle. This construction is used in Section 3 to compute relevant geometric invariants of Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt varieties, including a description of all big line bundles. In Section 4 we revisit the theory of Hermitian vector bundles over arithmetic curves and the notion of Arakelov degree. Additionally, we state the Poisson–Riemann–Roch formula, and demonstrate several estimates on the number of non-zero sections of a Hermitian vector bundle that are key in our proofs. In Section 5 we define a “standard height function” on the set of rational points of the projective space \mathbb{P}^n , in terms of the Arakelov degree of tautological Hermitian line bundles. With these ideas in mind, we revisit Maruyama’s proof of Schanuel’s estimate on the number of rational points of bounded standard height on projective spaces (see Corollary 5.5). Finally, in Section 6, we proceed to state and prove our main results on the asymptotic growth of the number of rational points of bounded height on Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt varieties, with respect to height functions associated to big line bundles (Theorems 6.3 and 6.9), and in Section 7 we apply these theorems to Hirzebruch surfaces in order to further illustrate the scope of our results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The second author thanks DAN LOUGHRAN for a warm reception during his academic stay at the University of Bath, and for useful discussions and comments. The authors thank GIANCARLO LUCCHINI-ARTECHE for helpful comments on the first Galois cohomology group of an algebraic variety.

S. Herrero’s research is supported by ANID FONDECYT Iniciación grant 11220567 and by SNF grant CRSK-2_220746. T. Martínez’s research is supported by ANID FONDECYT Iniciación grant 11220567, CONICYT-PFCHA Doctorado Nacional 2020-21201321, and by UTFSM/DPP Programa de Incentivo a la Investigación Científica (PIIC) Convenio N°026/2022. P. Montero’s research is supported by ANID FONDECYT Regular grants 1231214 and 1240101.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Basic notation. Throughout this article we let K denote a number field of degree n_K over \mathbb{Q} . Associated to K we have the following objects:

- The ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K and the associated arithmetic curve $S := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.
- The number w_K of roots of unity in K .
- The discriminant Δ_K , regulator R_K and class number h_K .
- The set of discrete valuations $\text{Val}_f(K)$, which is in bijection with the set of non-zero prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$.
- The set Σ_K of field embeddings $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and r_1, r_2 the number of real and complex Archimedean places, respectively. In particular, $\#\Sigma_K = n_K = r_1 + 2r_2$.
- Given $v \in \text{Val}_f(K)$ we denote by K_v the corresponding completion, and for $x \in K_v$ we put $|x|_v := |\text{Nr}_{K_v|\mathbb{Q}_p}(x)|_p$ where p is the unique prime associated to the restriction of v to \mathbb{Q} , and $|\cdot|_p$ denotes the standard p -adic norm (namely, with $|p|_p = p^{-1}$). Similarly, given $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$ we denote by K_σ the completion of K with respect to the norm $|x|_\sigma := |\sigma(x)|$ where $|\cdot|$ stands for the usual Euclidean norm on \mathbb{C} (namely, $|x| = \sqrt{x\bar{x}}$). With this normalization, the product formula

$$\prod_{v \in \text{Val}_f(K)} |x|_v \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} |x|_\sigma = 1$$

holds for every $x \in K, x \neq 0$.

- $\eta : \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the morphism of schemes induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$.

For a vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$, we write $|\mathbf{a}| := \sum_{i=1}^r a_i$.

In this article all varieties will be assumed to be irreducible, reduced and separated schemes of finite type over the base number field K . For simplicity we write \mathbb{A}^n and \mathbb{P}^n for the affine and projective space of dimension n over K , and products of varieties are to be understood as fiber products over $\text{Spec}(K)$. The sheaf of regular functions on a variety X is denoted by \mathcal{O}_X .

For a K -vector space V , we denote by $\mathbb{P}(V)$ the projective space of lines in V .

2.2. A Tauberian theorem. As mentioned in the Introduction, our main results follow from the analytic properties of certain height zeta functions, by using a Tauberian theorem. We will use the following formulation, which follows from [Del54, Théorème III].

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a countable set, $H : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ a function, and suppose that*

$$Z(s) := \sum_{x \in X} H(x)^{-s}$$

is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s) > a > 0$ and

$$Z(s) = \frac{g(s)}{(s-a)^b},$$

where b is a positive integer and $g(s)$ is a holomorphic function in the half-plane $\Re(s) > a - \varepsilon$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, with $g(a) \neq 0$. Then, for every $B > 0$ the cardinality

$$N(X, H, B) := \#\{x \in X : H(x) \leq B\}$$

is finite, and

$$N(X, H, B) \sim \frac{g(a)}{(b-1)! a} B^a (\log B)^{b-1} \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty.$$

2.3. Toric varieties. We refer the reader to [CLS11] for the general theory of toric varieties. Here we fix the notation that will be used along the article.

Let $N \simeq \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a rank d lattice and $M = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ its dual lattice. Let us denote by $\mathbb{T} = \text{Spec}(K[M]) \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^d$ the corresponding split algebraic torus, where $K[M]$ is the K -algebra generated by M as a semigroup. We identify the lattice M with the group of characters of the torus \mathbb{T} and N with the one-parameter subgroups of \mathbb{T} .

Let Σ be a fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes \mathbb{R}$. This is, Σ is a finite collection of strongly convex, rational polyhedral cones $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing all the faces of its elements, and such that for every $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$, the intersection $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ is a face of both σ_1 and σ_2 (hence it is also in Σ). We denote by $\Sigma(1)$ the set of rays (i.e., one-dimensional cones) in Σ . More generally, for $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we denote by $\sigma(1) = \sigma \cap \Sigma(1)$ the set of rays on σ and, by abuse of notation, we identify rays with their primitive generators, i.e., with the unique primitive element $u_{\rho} \in N$ that generates the ray $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$. Also, given vector $v_1, \dots, v_r \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ we denote by $\text{cone}(v_1, \dots, v_r)$ the cone that they generate.

Given a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$, its dual $\sigma^{\vee} := \{m \in M : \langle m, n \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } n \in \sigma\}$ is a cone in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $U_{\sigma} = \text{Spec}(K[\sigma^{\vee} \cap M])$ is the associated affine toric variety. The toric variety X_{Σ} associated to Σ is obtained by gluing the affine toric varieties $\{U_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ along $U_{\sigma_1} \cap U_{\sigma_2} \simeq U_{\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2}$. It is a normal and separated variety that contains a maximal torus $U_{\{0\}} \simeq \mathbb{T}$ as an open subset and admits an effective regular action of the torus \mathbb{T} extending the natural action of the torus over itself. The toric variety X_{Σ} is smooth if and only if Σ is regular, meaning that every cone in Σ is generated by vectors that are part of a basis of N .

On a toric variety X_{Σ} , each ray $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ corresponds to a prime \mathbb{T} -invariant Weil divisor D_{ρ} , and the classes of D_{ρ} with $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ generate the class group $\text{Cl}(X_{\Sigma})$. In particular, every Weil divisor D on X is linearly equivalent to $\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho} D_{\rho}$ for some integers $a_{\rho} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, the classes of the \mathbb{T} -invariant Cartier divisor generate the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X_{\Sigma})$. If the fan Σ contains a cone of maximal dimension $d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(N_{\mathbb{R}})$, then $\text{Pic}(X_{\Sigma})$ is a free abelian group of rank $\#\Sigma(1) - d$.

The relevant toric varieties appearing in this paper are all smooth. We recall that on smooth varieties every Weil divisor is Cartier, and in particular $\text{Cl}(X_{\Sigma}) \simeq \text{Pic}(X_{\Sigma})$.

2.4. Toric vector bundles. Let X be an algebraic variety. A variety V is a vector bundle of rank r over X if there is a morphism $\pi : V \rightarrow X$ and an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X such that:

- (1) For every $i \in I$, there exists an isomorphism

$$\varphi_i : \pi^{-1}(U_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_i \times \mathbb{A}^r,$$

such that $p_1 \circ \varphi_i = \pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U_i)}$, where p_1 denotes the projection onto the first coordinate.

- (2) For every pair $i, j \in I$ there exists $g_{ij} \in \text{GL}_r(\mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap U_j))$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{A}^r \\ & \nearrow \varphi_i|_{\pi^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)} & \uparrow \text{Id} \times g_{ij} \\ \pi^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j) & & \\ & \searrow \varphi_j|_{\pi^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)} & \\ & & (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{A}^r \end{array}$$

The data $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}_{i \in I}$ satisfying (1) and (2) is called a trivialization for $\pi : V \rightarrow X$. The g_{ij} are called transition matrices, and $V_p := \pi^{-1}(p) \simeq \mathbb{A}^r$ is called the fiber at $p \in X$.

Let $\pi : V \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of rank r and $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be a trivialization with transition matrices g_{ij} . Then, the functions $\text{Id} \times g_{ij}$ induce isomorphisms

$$\text{Id} \times \bar{g}_{ij} : (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1},$$

where $\bar{g}_{ij} \in \text{PGL}_r(\mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap U_j))$ is the projective map induced by g_{ij} . This gives gluing data for a variety $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and π induces a morphism

$$\bar{\pi} : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow X,$$

with trivializations $\{(U_i, \bar{\varphi}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ for $\mathbb{P}(V)$ where

$$\bar{\varphi}_i : \bar{\pi}^{-1}(U_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_i \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}.$$

The algebraic variety $\mathbb{P}(V)$ constructed in this way is called the projective bundle associated to V .

If \mathcal{E} is a locally free sheaf over X of rank r , then \mathcal{E} is the sheaf of sections of a vector bundle $\pi_{\mathcal{E}} : V_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow X$ of rank r . In this case, we define the projectivization of \mathcal{E} to be

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) := \mathbb{P}(V_{\mathcal{E}}^{\vee}),$$

where $V_{\mathcal{E}}^{\vee}$ denotes the vector bundle dual to $V_{\mathcal{E}}$. The projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ has fiber over $p \in X$ given by $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})_p = \mathbb{P}(W_p)$ where $W_p = (V_{\mathcal{E}}^{\vee})_p$.

Let X be a toric variety defined by a fan Σ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ as in Section 2.3. A toric vector bundle over X is a vector bundle $\pi : V \rightarrow X$ such that the action of \mathbb{T} on X extends to an action on V in such a way that π is \mathbb{T} -equivariant and the action is linear on the fibers. The algebraic variety V is not toric in general, and Oda [Oda78, Section 7.6] notes that the toric vector bundles which are toric varieties are precisely the decomposables ones, i.e., those of the form $V_{\mathcal{E}}$ with $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(D_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(D_r)$ for some \mathbb{T} -invariant Cartier divisors D_0, \dots, D_r on X .

For decomposables toric vector bundles $V_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow X$ of rank $r + 1$, we can construct the fan that defines the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ as a toric variety following [CLS11, §7.3]: Let D_0, \dots, D_r be \mathbb{T} -invariant Cartier divisors, and write $D_i = \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{i\rho} D_{\rho}$ with $a_{i\rho} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. To construct the fan of $V_{\mathcal{E}}$ we work in the vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$. We will denote by $\{e_0, \dots, e_r\}$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{r+1} \subset N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ and write the elements of $N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ in the form $u + \lambda_0 e_0 + \cdots + \lambda_r e_r$, with $u \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, given $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we define $\bar{\sigma} \subset N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ to be the Minkowski sum

$$\bar{\sigma} := \text{cone}(u_{\rho} - a_{0\rho} e_0 - \cdots - a_{r\rho} e_r : \rho \in \sigma(1)) + \text{cone}(e_0, \dots, e_r),$$

where $u_{\rho} \in N$ is the primitive generator of the ray $\rho \in \sigma(1)$. The set of cones $\{\bar{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$, together with their faces, defines a fan $\bar{\Sigma}$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ for a toric variety $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ with a vector bundle structure $X_{\bar{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ whose sheaf of sections is isomorphic to \mathcal{E} , hence $X_{\bar{\Sigma}} \simeq V_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Now consider $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$, which is a projective bundle with fibers isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^r . To construct the fan of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ we need to consider the dual sheaf $\mathcal{E}^{\vee} = \mathcal{O}_X(-D_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-D_r)$ and the associated vector bundle $V_{\mathcal{E}^{\vee}} = V_{\mathcal{E}}^{\vee}$. By the above construction, the fan of $V_{\mathcal{E}^{\vee}}$ is built from the cones

$$\text{cone}(u_{\rho} + a_{0\rho} e_0 + \cdots + a_{r\rho} e_r : \rho \in \sigma(1)) + \text{cone}(e_0, \dots, e_r),$$

and their faces, where σ ranges over all the cones $\sigma \in \Sigma$. The fan of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is obtained as follows: for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ we put $F_i = \text{cone}(e_0, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_r)$, where \hat{e}_i means that we omit the vector e_i , and define

$$\bar{\sigma}_i := \text{cone}(u_{\rho} + a_{0\rho} e_0 + \cdots + a_{r\rho} e_r : \rho \in \sigma(1)) + F_i \subset N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}^{r+1}.$$

Let σ_i be the image of $\bar{\sigma}_i$ under the canonical projection $N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r+1} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \bar{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\bar{N}_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R}^{r+1} / \mathbb{R}(e_0 + e_1 + \cdots + e_r)$. Then, we have the following result (see [CLS11, Proposition 7.3.3]).

Proposition 2.2. *The cones $\{\sigma_i\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma, i \in \{0, \dots, r\}}$ and their faces form a fan $\Sigma_{\mathcal{E}}$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \bar{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ whose associated toric variety $X_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{E}}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$.*

In practice, we will replace $\overline{N}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^{r+1}/\mathbb{R}(e_0 + e_1 + \dots + e_r)$ by \mathbb{R}^r with basis e_1, \dots, e_r and define $e_0 := -e_1 - \dots - e_r$. Hence, we consider $F_i = \text{cone}(e_0, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_r) \subset \mathbb{R}^r$, and for a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we get

$$\sigma_i = \text{cone}(u_\rho + (a_{1\rho} - a_{0\rho})e_1 + \dots + (a_{r\rho} - a_{0\rho})e_r : \rho \in \sigma(1)) + F_i \subset N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^r.$$

The cones σ_i and their faces define a fan $\Sigma_{\mathcal{E}}$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^r$ for $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$.

3. HIRZEBRUCH–KLEINSCHMIDT VARIETIES

Given integers $r \geq 1, t \geq 2, 0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_r$, consider the vector bundle

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_r).$$

Here, as usual, we put $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_i) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_i H_0)$ where $H_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{t-1}$ is a hyperplane, which we can choose as $H_0 = \{x_0 = 0\}$ in homogeneous coordinates $[x_0 : \dots : x_t]$.

We can use Proposition 2.2 to describe the fan $\Sigma_{\mathcal{E}}$ of the smooth toric variety $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. For this, it is enough to describe the maximal cones in $\Sigma_{\mathcal{E}}$. Consider $N \oplus \overline{N} = \mathbb{Z}^{t-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^r$ with canonical bases $\{u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}\}$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ for N and \overline{N} , respectively. As before, we set $u_0 := -u_1 - \dots - u_{t-1}$ and $e_0 := -e_1 - \dots - e_r$. Note that $\{u_0, \dots, u_{t-1}\}$ is the set of primitive generators of the rays of a fan for the toric variety \mathbb{P}^{t-1} with u_0 corresponding to the divisor H_0 .

Put $a_0 := 0$ and $D_i := a_i H_0$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. As in Section 2.4, we write $D_i = \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{i\rho} D_\rho$. For $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ with $u_\rho = u_i$ we define

$$v_i := u_\rho + (a_{1\rho} - a_{0\rho})e_1 + \dots + (a_{r\rho} - a_{0\rho})e_r = \begin{cases} u_0 + a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_r e_r & \text{if } i = 0, \\ u_i & \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}. \end{cases}$$

Since the maximal cones of \mathbb{P}^{t-1} are $\{\text{cone}(u_0, \dots, \hat{u}_i, \dots, u_{t-1})\}_{i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}}$, we see that the maximal cones of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{E}}$ are

$$\text{cone}(v_0, \dots, \hat{v}_j, \dots, v_{t-1}) + \text{cone}(e_0, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_r),$$

for $j \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. Therefore, the primitive generators of the rays of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{E}}$ are $v_0, \dots, v_{t-1}, e_0, e_1, \dots, e_r$ (compare with [Kle88, p. 256]).

Note that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ has dimension

$$d := \dim(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) = r + t - 1.$$

Since $\Sigma_{\mathcal{E}}$ contains cones of maximal dimension d and $\#\Sigma_{\mathcal{E}} = d + 2$, we conclude that $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$. Conversely, Kleinschmidt [Kle88] proved the following classification result of smooth projective² varieties of Picard rank 2.

Theorem 3.1 (Kleinschmidt). *Let X_Σ be a smooth projective toric variety with $\text{Pic}(X_\Sigma) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then, there exists integers $r \geq 1, t \geq 2, 0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_r$ with $r + t - 1 = d = \dim(X_\Sigma)$ such that*

$$X_\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_r)).$$

We now recall the definition of Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety given in the Introduction, which is the main family of algebraic varieties studied in this paper.

Definition 3.2. Given integers $r \geq 1, t \geq 2$ and $0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_r$, the *Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety* $X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ is defined as

$$X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r) := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(-a_r) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_1 - a_r) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_{r-1} - a_r)),$$

where $d = \dim(X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)) = r + t - 1$. We denote by $\pi : X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{t-1}$ the associated projective bundle.

²Actually, the classification in [Kle88] does not assume the projectivity hypothesis.

Remark 3.3. Recall that for any line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ and every locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} on an algebraic variety X there is a canonical isomorphism of projective bundles $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ (see e.g. [CLS11, Lemma 7.0.8(b)]). In particular,

$$X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_r)).$$

We choose the description in Definition 3.2 because it allows for a simpler characterization of the cone of effective divisors (see Proposition 3.4 below).

Note that the minimal generators of the rays in the fan of $X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ are the vectors

$$w_i := \begin{cases} u_0 - a_r e_1 + (a_1 - a_r) e_2 + \dots + (a_{r-1} - a_r) e_r & \text{if } i = 0, \\ u_i & \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}, \end{cases}$$

together with the primitive elements e_0, \dots, e_r . From now on we denote by D_i the divisor on $X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ corresponding to the minimal generator w_i , for $i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$, and by E_j the corresponding divisor corresponding to e_j , for $j \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{O}_X(D_i) \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(1)$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(E_0) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ (e.g., by using local trivializations).

3.1. Effective divisors. The following description of the cone of effective divisors on $X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ is a natural extension to higher dimensions of the description for Hirzebruch surfaces (see e.g. [Har77, Chapter V, Corollary 2.18]).

Proposition 3.4. *Let $X = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ be a Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety and let us denote by f the class of $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(1)$ and by h the class of $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, both in $\text{Pic}(X)$. Then:*

- (1) $\text{Pic}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}h \oplus \mathbb{Z}f$.
- (2) The anticanonical divisor class of X is given by

$$-K_X = (r+1)h + ((r+1)a_r + t - |\mathbf{a}|)f,$$

where $|\mathbf{a}| = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i$.

- (3) The cone of effective divisors of X is given by

$$\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) = \{\lambda h + \mu f : \lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0\} \subset \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

where $\text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} := \text{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We follow [Hua21, Section 7]. With the above notation we have that the vectors $w_1, \dots, w_{t-1}, e_1, \dots, e_r$ form a basis for $\mathbb{Z}^{t-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^r$. For $1 \leq i \leq t-1, 1 \leq j \leq r$ we denote by w_i^*, e_j^* the corresponding dual basis elements. We then compute the divisors of the characters $\chi^{w_i^*}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$, which are

$$\text{div}(\chi^{w_i^*}) = \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \langle w_i^*, w_k \rangle D_k + \sum_{k=0}^r \langle w_i^*, e_k \rangle E_k = -D_0 + D_i,$$

and similarly the divisors of the characters $\chi^{e_j^*}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ are

$$\text{div}(\chi^{e_j^*}) = (a_{j-1} - a_r)D_0 - E_0 + E_j$$

(recalling that $a_0 := 0$). Therefore, in $\text{Pic}(X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r))$ we have the relations

$$D_i = D_0 \text{ and } E_j = E_0 + (a_r - a_{j-1})D_0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t-1, 1 \leq j \leq r. \quad (6)$$

In particular, $\text{Pic}(X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot E_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot D_0 = \mathbb{Z}h \oplus \mathbb{Z}f$. This proves item (1).

It follows from [CLS11, Theorem 8.2.3] that the anticanonical divisor class of $X = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ is given by the class

$$\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} D_i + \sum_{j=0}^r E_j = (r+1)E_0 + ((r+1)a_r + t - |\mathbf{a}|)D_0.$$

This proves item (2).

Finally, by [CLS11, Lemma 15.1.8] the effective cone equals the cone generated by the classes of the divisors D_i and E_j . Hence, item (3) is a consequence of (6). This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

It follows from [Laz04a, Theorem 2.2.26] that a divisor class in $\text{Pic}(X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r))$ is big if and only if it lies in the interior of the effective cone $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)$. Hence, we get the following corollary from Proposition 3.4.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $L = \lambda h + \mu f$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\{h, f\}$ is the basis of $\text{Pic}(X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r))$ given in Proposition 3.4. Then, L is big if and only if $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$.*

In particular, Proposition 3.4 implies that the anticanonical divisor class in a Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety is big. This is true for any smooth projective toric variety.

3.2. Peyre’s α -constant. Let us recall that Peyre’s α -constant of an almost Fano³ variety X is defined as

$$\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{(\text{rk Pic}(X) - 1)!} \int_{\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)^\vee} e^{-\langle -K_X, \mathbf{y} \rangle} d\mathbf{y},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the natural pairing $\text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \times \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $d\mathbf{y}$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee$ normalized to give covolume 1 to the lattice $\text{Pic}(X)^\vee$ (see e.g. [PT01, Definition 2.5]).

A straightforward computation using Proposition 3.4 give us the following result.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $X = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ be a Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety. Then, its α -constant is given by*

$$\alpha(X) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-(r+1)y_1 - ((r+1)a_r + t - |\mathbf{a}|)y_2} dy_1 dy_2 = \frac{1}{(r+1)((r+1)a_r + t - |\mathbf{a}|)}.$$

3.3. Restriction of big line bundles. Given integers $r \geq 1$, $t \geq 2$ and $0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_r$ we defined the Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety $X = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ of dimension $d = r + t - 1$ as the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ where

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(-a_r) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_1 - a_r) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_{r-1} - a_r)).$$

Definition 3.7. Put

$$\mathcal{Y} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(-a_r) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_1 - a_r) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_{r-1} - a_r)$$

and define, as in the Introduction, the projective subbundle $F := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \subset X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$.

Note that, when $r \geq 2$ we have (see Remark 3.3)

$$F \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_r - a_{r-1})) = X_{d-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}), \quad (7)$$

hence F is a Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety of dimension $d - 1$, while in the case $r = 1$ we have that $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^{t-1}$ is a projective space.

Denote by $\iota : F \rightarrow X$ the inclusion map. Given a class $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$, we denote by $L|_F := \iota^*L$ its restriction to F .

In this section we prove the following results concerning the restriction to F of line bundles on X .

³Every smooth projective toric variety is almost Fano.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume $r \geq 2$, let $X = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$, $X' = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$, and let $\{h, f\}, \{h', f'\}$ be the bases of $\text{Pic}(X)$ and $\text{Pic}(X')$, respectively, given in Proposition 3.4. If $L = \lambda h + \mu f \in \text{Pic}(X)$, then $L|_F \in \text{Pic}(F)$ corresponds under the canonical isomorphism (7) to the class*

$$\lambda h' + (\mu - \lambda(a_r - a_{r-1}))f' \in \text{Pic}(X').$$

In particular, $L|_F$ is a big line bundle class in $\text{Pic}(F)$ if and only if $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > \lambda(a_r - a_{r-1})$.

Proof. On the one hand, given a closed immersion $\varphi : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ (for some $N > 0$) we have

$$h|_F = \iota^* h = \iota^*(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) = \iota^*(\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1))) = (\varphi \circ \iota)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)) = \mathcal{O}_F(1).$$

Now, under the isomorphism (7), the class $\mathcal{O}_F(1) \in \text{Pic}(F)$ corresponds to $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(1) \otimes (\pi')^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_{r-1} - a_r)$, where $\pi' : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{t-1}$ is the projection map of X' (see [Har77, Chapter 2, Lemma 7.9]). This shows that $h|_F$ corresponds to $h' + (a_{r-1} - a_r)f'$. On the other hand, since (7) is an isomorphism of projective bundles over \mathbb{P}^{t-1} , we have that $f|_F = (\pi|_F)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(1))$ corresponds to $(\pi')^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(1)) = f'$. This implies that $L|_F = \lambda h|_F + \mu f|_F$ corresponds to

$$\lambda(h' + (a_{r-1} - a_r)f') + \mu f' = \lambda h' + (\mu - \lambda(a_r - a_{r-1}))f'.$$

Finally, the last statement follows from Corollary 3.5. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Assume $r = 1$, i.e. $X = X_d(a)$ with $a \geq 0$ an integer. If $L = \lambda h + \mu f \in \text{Pic}(X)$, then $L|_F$ corresponds under the isomorphism $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^{t-1}$ to the class of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu - a\lambda) \in \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})$. In particular, $L|_F$ is big if and only if $\mu > a\lambda$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the case $r \geq 2$, but now we use that $h|_F = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(-a))$ corresponds to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(-a)$, while $f|_F$ corresponds to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(1)$. We omit the details for brevity. \square

Remark 3.10. When applied to the anticanonical class $-K_X = (r+1)h + ((r+1)a_r + t - |\mathbf{a}|)$ (see Proposition 3.4(3)), Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 show that $-K_X$ remains big when restricted to each component in the decomposition (4) if and only if $t > |\mathbf{a}|$, and this is exactly the case when $X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ is Fano according to [Kle88, Theorem 2(2)].

4. HERMITIAN VECTOR BUNDLES OVER ARITHMETIC CURVES

In this section we follow closely the presentation in [Bos20]. Let us recall that $S = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Definition 4.1. A *Hermitian vector bundle* \overline{E} over S is a pair (E, h) where E is a finitely generated projective \mathcal{O}_K -module and $h = \{h_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K}$ is a family of positive definite Hermitian forms over the family of complex vector spaces $\{E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K}$, which are invariant under conjugation, i.e., $\|e \otimes_{\sigma} \overline{\lambda}\|_{\sigma} = \|e \otimes_{\sigma} \lambda\|_{\sigma}$, for all $e \in E, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\sigma} := \sqrt{h_{\sigma}(\cdot, \cdot)}$ is the usual Hermitian norm associated to h_{σ} . An element of E is called a *rational section*.

The rank of $\overline{E} = (E, h)$ is defined as the rank of E as \mathcal{O}_K -module, i.e., as the dimension of the complex vector spaces $E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C}$. A morphism between two Hermitian vector bundles $\overline{E}_1 = (E_1, h_1)$ and $\overline{E}_2 = (E_2, h_2)$ is a \mathcal{O}_K -homomorphism $\varphi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that $\|\varphi(e \otimes_{\sigma} \lambda)\|_{2, \sigma} \leq \|e \otimes_{\sigma} \lambda\|_{1, \sigma}$ for all $e \in E, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$. An isomorphism of Hermitian vector bundles is a bijective morphism inducing an isometry $E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow E_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C}$ for every σ .

We denote by $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(S)$ the set of *Hermitian line bundles* (i.e., Hermitian vector bundles of rank 1) over S up to isomorphism. Note that a Hermitian line bundle is uniquely determined by the underlying projective \mathcal{O}_K -module and the values $\|1\|_{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$.

Example 4.2. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. The *trivial Hermitian vector bundle* $\overline{\mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus r}} := (\mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus r}, h)$ over S is defined by considering for each $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$ the Hermitian form

$$h_{\sigma}(a \otimes_{\sigma} \lambda_1, b \otimes_{\sigma} \lambda_2) := \lambda_1 \overline{\lambda_2} \langle \sigma(a), \overline{\sigma(b)} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r},$$

where for $a = (a_i) \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus r}$ we put $\sigma(a) := (\sigma(a_i)) \in \mathbb{C}^r$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r}$ denotes the standard bilinear form in \mathbb{C}^r .

4.1. Operations with Hermitian vector bundles. It is possible to extend the usual constructions of linear algebra to Hermitian vector bundles. Here we present the ones that will be needed in this paper.

Let $\overline{E}_1 = (E_1, h_1)$ and $\overline{E}_2 = (E_2, h_2)$ be Hermitian vector bundles over S .

- **Direct sum.** We define $\overline{E}_1 \oplus \overline{E}_2$ as the pair (E, h) where $E := E_1 \oplus E_2$, and over

$$(E_1 \oplus E_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C} \simeq (E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C}) \oplus (E_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C})$$

we define

$$h_\sigma(((e_1 \otimes_\sigma \lambda_1), (d_1 \otimes_\sigma \mu_1)), ((e_2 \otimes_\sigma \lambda_2), (d_2 \otimes_\sigma \mu_2))) := h_{1, \sigma}(e_1 \otimes_\sigma \lambda_1, e_2 \otimes_\sigma \lambda_2) + h_{2, \sigma}(d_1 \otimes_\sigma \mu_1, d_2 \otimes_\sigma \mu_2).$$

We have $\text{rk}(\overline{E}_1 \oplus \overline{E}_2) = \text{rk}(\overline{E}_1) + \text{rk}(\overline{E}_2)$.

- **Tensor product.** Define $\overline{E}_1 \otimes \overline{E}_2$ as the pair (E, h) , where $E := E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} E_2$, and over

$$(E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} E_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C} \simeq (E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (E_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C})$$

we define

$$h_\sigma((e_1 \otimes_\sigma \lambda_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes_\sigma \lambda_2), (d_1 \otimes_\sigma \mu_1 \otimes d_2 \otimes_\sigma \mu_2)) := h_{1, \sigma}(e_1 \otimes_\sigma \lambda_1, d_1 \otimes_\sigma \mu_1) h_{2, \sigma}(e_2 \otimes_\sigma \lambda_2, d_2 \otimes_\sigma \mu_2).$$

Then, $\text{rk}(\overline{E}_1 \otimes \overline{E}_2) = \text{rk}(\overline{E}_1) \text{rk}(\overline{E}_2)$.

- **Dual.** Given a Hermitian vector space (V, h) , we can identify V with its dual $V^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$ by means of the application $v \mapsto H_v$, where H_v is the functional defined by $H_v(u) = h(u, v)$. With this identification, V^\vee inherits a Hermitian structure given by $h_{V^\vee}(H_u, H_v) := \overline{h(u, v)}$. We thus define \overline{E}_1^\vee as the pair (E, h) where $E = E_1^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(E, \mathcal{O}_K)$ and h is the family of Hermitian forms defined in

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(E, \mathcal{O}_K) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C} &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C}) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \\ &= (E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C})^\vee \end{aligned}$$

as we explained before for Hermitian vector spaces. In particular, $\text{rk}(\overline{E}_1^\vee) = \text{rk}(\overline{E}_1)$.

- **Alternating products.** Given $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, define $\bigwedge^m \overline{E}_1$ as the pair (E, h) where $E = \bigwedge^m E_1$ and h is the family of Hermitian forms defined by

$$h_\sigma(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m, d_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d_m) := \det(h_{1, \sigma}(e_i, d_j)).$$

We have $\text{rk}(\bigwedge^m \overline{E}_1) = \binom{\text{rk}(E_1)}{m}$. In particular, the *determinant* $\det(\overline{E}_1) := \bigwedge^{\text{rk}(E_1)} \overline{E}_1$ is a Hermitian line bundle.

- **Direct image.** Recall that $\eta : \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the morphism induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$. Given a Hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E}_1 = (E_1, h_1)$ over S , we can define a Hermitian vector bundle $\eta_* \overline{E}_1 = (E, h)$ over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ in the following way: Consider $E = E_1$ but as a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot \text{rk } E$, and note that

$$E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = E_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \simeq E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} (\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\sigma} (E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C}).$$

Then, given $a = (a_\sigma), b = (b_\sigma) \in \bigoplus_{\sigma} (E_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathbb{C})$, we define $h(a, b) := \sum_{\sigma} h_{1, \sigma}(a_\sigma, b_\sigma)$.

Remark 4.3. The set $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(S)$ has a group structure induced by the tensor product. The inverse element is induced by the dual and the identity element is the class of the trivial Hermitian line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{O}_K}$ defined in Example 4.2.

Example 4.4. For an integer $n \geq 1$ consider the trivial Hermitian vector bundle $\overline{\mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus n+1}}$ (see Example 4.2) and the projective space of lines $\mathbb{P}^n(K) = \mathbb{P}(K^{\oplus n+1})$. Each line $\ell \subset K^{\oplus n+1}$ defines a finitely generated projective \mathcal{O}_K -module $\mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus n+1} \cap \ell$ whose corresponding complexifications are metrized using the restriction of the ambient Hermitian forms. Then, for each point $P = \ell \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)$ we get a Hermitian line bundle denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)_P}$. Its dual is denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)_P}$. The metric on $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)_P} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K,\sigma}} \mathbb{C}$ constructed in this way is the Fubini–Study metric (see e.g. [Laz04b, Example 1.2.45]). As usual, by taking duals and tensor powers, we can define for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ the Hermitian line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a)_P}$.

Remark 4.5. Note the analogy between the construction in the example above and the classical construction of the tautological line bundle of \mathbb{P}^n . In particular, we can interpret $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)_P}$ as $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)_P} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus n+1}}$ where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$ is the tautological geometric line bundle over the projective space \mathbb{P}^n .

4.2. Arakelov degree. In this section, we will review some of the important properties of the Arakelov degree of Hermitian vector bundles.

Definition 4.6. Let $\overline{L} = (L, h)$ be a Hermitian line bundle over S and $s \in L \setminus \{0\}$ a non-trivial rational section. The *Arakelov degree of the line bundle \overline{L}* is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\deg}(\overline{L}) &:= \log |L/\mathcal{O}_K s| - \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \log \|s\|_{\sigma} \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(s) \log N(\mathfrak{p}) - \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \log \|s\|_{\sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathfrak{p} runs over all non-zero prime ideals of \mathcal{O}_K , $N(\mathfrak{p}) = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}|$ is the norm of the ideal \mathfrak{p} and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(s)$ denotes the \mathfrak{p} -adic valuation of s seen as a section of the invertible sheaf over S associated to L . More concretely, if we consider the localization $L_{\mathfrak{p}} := L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$, then $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of rank one and therefore there exists an isomorphism (a trivialization) $i_{\mathfrak{p}} : L_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$. Then, $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(s) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(i_{\mathfrak{p}}(s \otimes 1))$ via this identification. It follows from the product formula that the definition above is independent of the choice of the non-trivial section s .

The *Arakelov degree of a Hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E} = (E, h)$* over S is defined as

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{E}) := \widehat{\deg}(\det(\overline{E})),$$

and its *norm* is defined as $N(\overline{E}) := e^{\widehat{\deg}(\overline{E})} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Example 4.7. For the trivial Hermitian vector bundle $\overline{\mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus r}} = (\mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus r}, h)$, we have $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus r}}) = 0$. Indeed, by definition $\det(\overline{\mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus r}}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}_K}$. Thus, choosing $s = 1$ we see immediately that $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_K}) = 0$.

Example 4.8. Let $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)_P}$ defined as in Example 4.4, and let $P = [x_0, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)$. Then, it follows from [Mor14, Proposition 9.10] that

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)_P}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \log \max_i \{|x_i|_{\mathfrak{p}}\} + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \log \sqrt{\sum_i |x_i|_{\sigma}^2}.$$

The following example can be found in [Bos20, Section 1.2.2].

Example 4.9. Consider the *canonical module* defined as

$$\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{O}_K, \mathbb{Z}),$$

which is a projective \mathcal{O}_K -module by defining $a \cdot f$ via $(a \cdot f)(b) := f(ab)$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K$, $f \in \omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}$. The Hermitian bundle $\overline{\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}} = (\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}, h)$ is defined by imposing $\|\text{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\|_{\sigma} = 1$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$, where $\text{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is the usual trace map. We call this Hermitian bundle over S the *canonical Hermitian bundle*. It has Arakelov degree $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}}) = \log |\Delta_K|$.

We refer the reader to [Bos20, Section 1.3.1] for the following properties of the Arakelov degree.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $\overline{E}, \overline{F}$ be Hermitian vector bundles over S . Then:*

- (1) $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) = \text{rk } F \cdot \widehat{\deg}(\overline{E}) + \text{rk } E \cdot \widehat{\deg}(\overline{F})$.
- (2) $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{E} \oplus \overline{F}) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{E}) + \widehat{\deg}(\overline{F})$.
- (3) $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{E}^\vee) = -\widehat{\deg}(\overline{E})$.

4.3. Arakelov divisors over S . In this section we recall the language of Arakelov divisors and their relationship with Hermitian line bundles.

Definition 4.11. An *Arakelov divisor* over S is a formal finite sum

$$D = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} x_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p} + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} x_{\sigma} \sigma, \quad (8)$$

with $x_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $x_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $x_{\overline{\sigma}} = x_{\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$.

Following [Neu99, Chapter I, §5] we define

$$K_{\mathbb{R}}^+ := \left\{ (x_{\sigma}) \in \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \mathbb{R} : x_{\overline{\sigma}} = x_{\sigma} \right\}.$$

We then have an isomorphism of groups

$$\text{Div}(K) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \mathbb{Z} \right) \times K_{\mathbb{R}}^+, \quad \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} x_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p} + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} x_{\sigma} \sigma \mapsto \left((x_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K}, (x_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \right).$$

On $K_{\mathbb{R}}^+$ we consider the *canonical inner product*

$$\langle (x_{\sigma}), (y_{\sigma}) \rangle_{K_{\mathbb{R}}^+} := \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} n_{\sigma} x_{\sigma} y_{\sigma},$$

where $n_{\sigma} = 1$ or 2 depending on whether σ is real or complex. This induces a canonical measure on $K_{\mathbb{R}}^+$ giving volume 1 to any cube generated by an orthonormal basis.

We endow $\text{Div}(K)$ with the product topology of the discrete topology on \mathbb{Z} and the Euclidean topology on $K_{\mathbb{R}}^+$, and with the product measure of the counting measure on \mathbb{Z} and the canonical measure on $K_{\mathbb{R}}^+$.

Remark 4.12. In [vdGS00] Arakelov divisors are defined as formal sums as in (8), but with σ running over the Archimedean places of K . If we denote by $v_{\sigma} = v_{\overline{\sigma}}$ the Archimedean place associated to a pair of conjugated complex embeddings $\sigma, \overline{\sigma} \in \Sigma_K$, then the map $x_{\sigma} \sigma + x_{\overline{\sigma}} \overline{\sigma} \mapsto 2x_{v_{\sigma}}$ induces an equivalence between the two notions of Arakelov divisor, which is compatible with the constructions presented in this section. In particular, the canonical measure on $K_{\mathbb{R}}^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$ corresponds to the usual Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$.

Definition 4.13. The *degree* of the Arakelov divisor D is the real number

$$\deg(D) := \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \log(\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{p})) x_{\mathfrak{p}} + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} x_{\sigma},$$

and we define the *norm* of D as $\mathbf{N}(D) := e^{\deg(D)}$.

Notation 4.14. Given $f \in K^{\times}$, its associated *principal Arakelov divisor* is defined as

$$\text{div}(f) := \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} x_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p} + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} x_{\sigma} \sigma,$$

with $x_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)$ and $x_{\sigma} = -\log |\sigma(f)|$. The quotient group of $\text{Div}(K)$ by its subgroup of principal Arakelov divisors is denoted by $\text{Pic}(K)$ and is called the *Picard–Arakelov group*.

To each Arakelov divisor $D = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} x_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p} + \sum_{\sigma} x_{\sigma} \sigma$, we can associated a fractional ideal of K by means of $D \mapsto I_D = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{-x_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Then, we have a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Div}(K) \rightarrow J(K),$$

where $J(K)$ is the group of fractional ideals of K . In particular, if the Archimedean part of D is zero, then $N(D) = N(I_D)^{-1}$. Moreover, we have the following result (see e.g. [Neu99, Chapter III, Proposition 1.11 and Theorem 1.12]).

Lemma 4.15. *If we denote by $\mathrm{Pic}^0(K)$ the subgroup of degree zero Arakelov divisor classes in $\mathrm{Pic}(K)$ and by $\mathrm{Cl}(K)$ the ideal class group of the number field K , then we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(K) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $H := \{(x_{\sigma}) \in K_{\mathbb{R}}^+ : \sum_{\sigma} x_{\sigma} = 0\}$ and $\Gamma := \mathrm{Log}(\mathcal{O}_K^{\times})$ where $\mathrm{Log}(a) = (\log |\sigma(a)|)$ for $a \in K^{\times}$. In particular, $\mathrm{Pic}^0(K)$ is compact.

We endow $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ with the quotient measure of the product measure on $\mathrm{Div}(X)$. On $\mathrm{Div}^0(X)$ we consider the unique measure satisfying

$$\int_{\mathrm{Div}(X)} f(D) \, dD = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathrm{Div}^0(X)} f(D_0 + xU) \, dD_0 \, dx \quad (9)$$

for all $f \in L^1(\mathrm{Div}(X))$, where U is the divisor⁴

$$U := \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \sigma,$$

and endow $\mathrm{Pic}^0(K)$ with the corresponding quotient measure. The above lemma implies that the volume of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(K)$ equals

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{Pic}^0(K)) = h_K \mathrm{vol}(H/\Gamma) = h_K R_K \sqrt{r_1 + r_2}, \quad (10)$$

where R_K and h_K are the regulator and the class number of K , respectively (see [Neu99, Chapter III, Proposition 7.5]).

In Sections 5 and 6 we will make use of the following formula.

Lemma 4.16. *Given a positive function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathrm{Pic}(K)} f(\deg(D)) \, dD = h_K R_K \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, dx.$$

Proof. Property (9) implies

$$\int_{\mathrm{Pic}(K)} f(\deg(D)) \, dD = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathrm{Pic}^0(K)} f(x \deg(U)) \, dD \, dx = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{Pic}^0(K))}{\deg(U)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, dx.$$

Then, the result follows from (10) together with $\deg(U) = \sqrt{r_1 + r_2}$. This proves the lemma. \square

It is worth mentioning that given a Hermitian line bundle $\bar{L} = (L, h)$ over S , we can associate to it an Arakelov divisor in the following way: Let $s \in L$ be a non-trivial rational section and define

$$\mathrm{div}(s) := \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(s) \mathfrak{p} + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} (-\log |s|_{\sigma}) \sigma.$$

The class $D_{\bar{L}}$ of $\mathrm{div}(s)$ in $\mathrm{Pic}(K)$ is independent of the choice of the section s . Moreover, the degree $\widehat{\deg}(\bar{L})$ of the Hermitian line bundle \bar{L} over S is equal to the degree $\deg(D_{\bar{L}})$ of the Arakelov divisor class $D_{\bar{L}}$.

⁴ U corresponds to a vector in $K_{\mathbb{R}}^+$ that is orthogonal to H and has norm 1 with respect to the canonical inner product.

Conversely, following [Bos20, p. 32], given an Arakelov divisor $D = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} x_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p} + \sum_{\sigma} x_{\sigma} \sigma$, we can construct a Hermitian line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{O}(D)} = (\mathcal{O}_K(D), h = \{h_{\sigma}\})$ by defining $\mathcal{O}_K(D) := I_D = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{-x_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, and for each embedding $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$ imposing that $\|1\|_{\sigma} = e^{-x_{\sigma}}$.

Notation 4.17. For an Arakelov divisor D and a rational section $f \in I_D$ we write

$$\|f\|_D = \|f\|_{\overline{\mathcal{O}(D)}} = \sqrt{\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \|f\|_{\sigma}^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} |\sigma(f)|^2 e^{-2x_{\sigma}}}.$$

Note that given Hermitian line bundles $\overline{L}_1 = (L_1, h_1), \overline{L}_2 = (L_2, h_2)$ with trivializations $i_{\mathfrak{p}} : L_{1,\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$ and $j_{\mathfrak{p}} : L_{2,\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$, the corresponding trivializations for $\overline{L}_1 \otimes \overline{L}_2, k_{\mathfrak{p}} : (L_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} L_2)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$ are given by $k_{\mathfrak{p}}(s \otimes t) = i_{\mathfrak{p}}(s)j_{\mathfrak{p}}(t)$. Thus, $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(s \otimes t) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(s) + v_{\mathfrak{p}}(t)$. This shows that the map $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(K)$ given by $\overline{L} \mapsto D_{\overline{L}}$ is a group homomorphism.

From the above discussion, we conclude the following.

Proposition 4.18. *The map $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(K), \overline{L} \mapsto D_{\overline{L}}$ is a group isomorphism.*

By abuse of notation, we will employ this isomorphism to treat Arakelov divisor classes as Hermitian line bundles (and vice versa) when the context does not lead to confusion. For example, for an Arakelov divisor class $D \in \text{Pic}(K)$ and $\overline{L} \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(S)$, we write $D \otimes \overline{L}$ to refer to the element $\overline{\mathcal{O}(D)} \otimes \overline{L} \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(S)$.

4.4. The Poisson–Riemann–Roch formula.

Definition 4.19. Let $\overline{E} = (E, h)$ be a Hermitian vector bundle over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, and define

$$h^0(\overline{E}) := \log \sum_{v \in E} e^{-\pi \|v\|_{\overline{E}}^2},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\overline{E}}$ denotes the norm on $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ associated to h . More generally, for a Hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E} = (E, h)$ over S we put

$$h^0(\overline{E}) := h^0(\eta_* \overline{E}).$$

We also define the *number of non-trivial sections* of \overline{E} by

$$\varphi(\overline{E}) := e^{h^0(\overline{E})} - 1.$$

It is worth mentioning that the previous definition coincides with the one given in [vdGS00, Section 3] for Arakelov divisors. More precisely, the authors consider an Arakelov divisor $D = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} x_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p} + \sum_{\sigma} x_{\sigma} \sigma$, and define $h^0(D) = \log k^0(D)$ where

$$k^0(D) := \sum_{f \in I_D} e^{-\pi \|f\|_D^2}.$$

Then, a simple computation shows that $h^0(\overline{\mathcal{O}(D)}) = h^0(D)$.

Lemma 4.20. *For \overline{E} and \overline{F} Hermitian vector bundles over S , we have that*

- (1) $h^0(\overline{E} \oplus \overline{F}) = h^0(\overline{E}) + h^0(\overline{F})$, and
- (2) $\varphi(\overline{E} \oplus \overline{F}) = \varphi(\overline{E}) + \varphi(\overline{F}) + \varphi(\overline{E})\varphi(\overline{F})$.

Proof. Since $\eta_*(\overline{E} \oplus \overline{F}) = \eta_*(\overline{E}) \oplus \eta_*(\overline{F})$, it is enough to prove item (1) for Hermitian vector bundles over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. In that case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(x,y) \in E \oplus F} e^{-\pi \|(x,y)\|_{\overline{E} \oplus \overline{F}}^2} &= \sum_{(x,y) \in E \oplus F} e^{-\pi (\|x\|_{\overline{E}}^2 + \|y\|_{\overline{F}}^2)} \\ &= \left(\sum_{x \in E} e^{-\pi \|x\|_{\overline{E}}^2} \right) \left(\sum_{y \in F} e^{-\pi \|y\|_{\overline{F}}^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking logarithms we conclude (1). Item (2) is a direct consequence of (1). This proves the lemma. \square

The following formula follows from Lemma 4.20(2) by induction.

Corollary 4.21. *Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the elementary symmetric polynomials in n variables and let $\overline{E}_1, \dots, \overline{E}_n$ be Hermitian vector bundles over S . Then*

$$\varphi(\overline{E}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{E}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\varphi(\overline{E}_1), \dots, \varphi(\overline{E}_n)).$$

We can now state the Poisson–Riemann–Roch formula for Hermitian vector bundles over the arithmetic curve S . See [Bos20, Section 2.2.2] for details.

Theorem 4.22. *Let \overline{E} be a Hermitian vector bundle over S . Then*

$$h^0(\overline{E}) - h^0(\overline{\omega}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \otimes \overline{E}^\vee) = \widehat{\text{deg}}(E) - \frac{1}{2}(\log |\Delta_K|) \cdot \text{rk}(\overline{E}).$$

Equivalently, we have $\varphi(\overline{E}) = \left(\varphi(\overline{E}^\vee \otimes \overline{\omega}_{\mathcal{O}_K}) + 1 \right) \text{N}(\overline{E}) |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{\text{rk}(\overline{E})}{2}} - 1$.

In [vdGS00, Section 5, Corollary 1] the authors prove the following bound for the number of non-trivial sections of Arakelov divisors with bounded degree.

Proposition 4.23. *Let $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and let D be an Arakelov divisor over S with $\text{deg}(D) \leq C$. Then*

$$\varphi(D) := \varphi(\overline{\mathcal{O}(D)}) \leq \beta e^{-\pi n_K e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} \text{deg}(D)}},$$

for some $\beta > 0$ depending on C and K , where $n_K = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Remark 4.24. In the proof of [vdGS00, Section 5, Corollary 1], the authors assumed that $\text{deg}(D) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log(|\Delta_K|)$. Their proof can be adapted to Arakelov divisors with $\text{deg}(D) \leq C$ by considering

$$u = \frac{1}{n_K}(C - \text{deg}(D)) \text{ and } D' = D + \sum_{\sigma} u\sigma,$$

instead of the u and D' used in their proof of [vdGS00, Proposition 2].

In order to deal with Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt varieties, we will need the following bound.

Proposition 4.25. *Let \overline{E} be a split Hermitian vector bundle over S , i.e., $\overline{E} = \overline{L}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{L}_r$ where each \overline{L}_i is a Hermitian line bundle, and let $\overline{L} \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(S)$ such that $\widehat{\text{deg}}(\overline{L}) \leq C$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exist $\beta, \gamma > 0$ depending on C, K and \overline{E} , such that*

$$\varphi(\overline{E} \otimes \overline{L}) \leq \beta e^{-\gamma e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} \widehat{\text{deg}}(\overline{L})}}$$

where $n_K = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Proof. We have

$$\varphi(\overline{E} \otimes \overline{L}) = \varphi((\overline{L}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \overline{L}_r) \otimes \overline{L}).$$

As $\varphi((\overline{L}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \overline{L}_r) \otimes \overline{L})$ depends polynomially on $\varphi(\overline{L}_i \otimes \overline{L})$ by Corollary 4.21, it is enough to prove the bound in the particular case $\overline{E} = \overline{L}_i$. Since $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{L}_i \otimes \overline{L}) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{L}_i) + \widehat{\deg}(\overline{L}) \leq C + \widehat{\deg}(\overline{L}_i)$, it follows from Proposition 4.23 that there exists $\beta_i > 0$, depending on C , K and \overline{L}_i , such that

$$\varphi(\overline{L}_i \otimes \overline{L}) \leq \beta_i e^{-\pi n_K e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} \widehat{\deg}(\overline{L}_i \otimes \overline{L})}} = \beta_i e^{-\gamma_i e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} \widehat{\deg}(\overline{L})}}$$

were $\gamma_i := \pi n_K e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} \widehat{\deg}(\overline{L}_i)}$. This proves the desired result. \square

In the particular case when \overline{L} is a Hermitian line bundle, the following uniform bound can be obtained (see [Bos20, Proposition 2.7.3]).

Proposition 4.26. *Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and \overline{L} be a Hermitian line bundle over S such that $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{L}) \leq \theta$. Then $h^0(\overline{L}) \leq 1 + \theta$. In particular, $\varphi(\overline{L}) \leq e^{1+\theta}$.*

5. HEIGHT ZETA FUNCTION OF THE PROJECTIVE SPACE

In this section, we introduce a zeta function of the field K defined in [vdGS00]. This zeta function will allow us to study the analytic properties of the height zeta function of the projective space via a suitable integral representation.

We first define the *effectivity* $e(D)$ of an Arakelov divisor $D = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} x_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p} + \sum_{\sigma} x_{\sigma} \sigma$ in $\text{Div}(K)$ as

$$e(D) := \begin{cases} e^{-\pi \|1\|_D^2} = e^{-\pi \sum_{\sigma} e^{-2x_{\sigma}}} & \text{if } x_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 0 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In [vdGS00, Section 4], the authors define the zeta function associated to K as

$$\xi_K(s) := \int_{\text{Div}(K)} N(D)^{-s} e(D) \, dD, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(s) > 1,$$

and they prove that⁵ $\xi_K(s) = 2^{-r_1} (\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2))^{r_1} ((2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s))^{r_2} \zeta_K(s)$, where

$$\zeta_K(s) := \sum_{\{0\} \neq J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} N(J)^{-s}$$

is the Dedekind zeta function of the field K . In particular, $\xi_K(s)$ has meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, the authors show that

$$\xi_K(s) = \frac{1}{w_K} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)} N(D)^{-s} \varphi(D) \, dD. \quad (11)$$

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Given $P = [x_0, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)$, we define the *standard height* of P as

$$H_{\mathbb{P}^n}(P) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \max_i \{|x_i|_{\mathfrak{p}}\} \cdot \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \sqrt{\sum_i |x_i|_{\sigma}^2}.$$

It follows from Example 4.8 that

$$H_{\mathbb{P}^n}(P) = N(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)}_P) \text{ for every } P \in \mathbb{P}^n(K).$$

The associated *height zeta function* is

$$Z_{\mathbb{P}^n}(s) := \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^n}(P)^{-s} = \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)} N(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)}_P)^{-s},$$

⁵There is a misprint in the first power of 2 appearing in the third line of the computation leading to the formula for $\xi_K(s)$ in [vdGS00, p. 388]. In the computation of the integral over t_{σ} for σ real, the factor 2 should appear in the denominator.

defined for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(s) > n + 1$ (the series converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of this domain). We will study this function by means of Arakelov geometry.

As in [Mar15, Section 3.2], we will work with a K -vector space V of dimension $n + 1$ that contains a complete \mathcal{O}_K -lattice E which is the underlying finitely generated projective \mathcal{O}_K -module of a Hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E} = (E, h)$. Analogous to the construction carried out in Example 4.4, given a point $P \in \mathbb{P}(V)$, we define its height by

$$H_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(P) := N(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)_P}).$$

We also denote by $Z_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(s)$ the corresponding height zeta function. In particular, considering $V = K^{\oplus(n+1)}$ we have $H_{\mathbb{P}(K^{\oplus(n+1)})}(P) = H_{\mathbb{P}^n}(P)$.

Recall that if $D \in \text{Pic}(K)$, we denote the Hermitian line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{O}(D)}$ simply by D . As explained before, the key idea is to express the height zeta function $Z_{\mathbb{P}^n}(s)$ as a suitable integral. To do so, we note that (11) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} w_K \xi_K(s) H_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(P)^{-s} &= \int_{\text{Pic}(K)} N(D)^{-s} \varphi(D) H_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(P)^{-s} dD \\ &= \int_{\text{Pic}(K)} (N(D) N(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)_P}))^{-s} \varphi(D) dD \\ &= \int_{\text{Pic}(K)} N(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)_P})^{-s} \varphi(D) dD \\ &= \int_{\text{Pic}(K)} N(D)^{-s} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-1)_P}) dD. \end{aligned}$$

If we fix $D \in \text{Pic}(K)$ and we let P run through $\mathbb{P}(V)$, then $D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-1)_P}$ runs through all subline bundles of $\overline{\mathcal{O}(D)} \otimes \overline{E}$. Therefore, the above formula implies that

$$\begin{aligned} w_K \xi_K(s) Z_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(s) &= \int_{\text{Pic}(K)} N(D)^{-s} \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}(V)} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-1)_P}) dD \\ &= \int_{\text{Pic}(K)} N(D)^{-s} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{E}) dD. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Notation 5.1. We denote by

$$\text{Pic}(K)_- := \left\{ D \in \text{Pic}(K) : N(D) \leq \sqrt{|\Delta_K|} \right\}$$

the set of Arakelov divisor classes with norm bounded above by $\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let V be a K -vector space of dimension $n + 1$ containing a complete \mathcal{O}_K -lattice E which is the underlying finitely generated projective \mathcal{O}_K -module of a Hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E} = (E, h)$. Then:*

(1) *The integral*

$$\int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{-s} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{E}) dD$$

converges absolutely and uniformly for s in compact subsets of \mathbb{C} .

(2) For $\Re(s) > n + 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_K \xi_K(s) Z_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(s) &= \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{-s} \varphi(D \otimes \bar{E}) \, dD \\ &\quad + N(\bar{E}) |\Delta_K|^{\frac{(n+1)}{2}-s} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{s-(n+1)} \varphi(D \otimes \bar{E}^\vee) \, dD \\ &\quad + R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{s}{2}} \left(\frac{N(\bar{E})}{s - (n+1)} - \frac{1}{s} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Item (1) follows from Proposition 4.25. Indeed, since Arakelov divisor classes $D \in \text{Pic}(K)_-$ satisfy $\widehat{\deg}(D) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log(|\Delta_K|)$, there are constants $\beta, \gamma > 0$ depending on K and \bar{E} such that

$$\int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} |N(D)^{-s}| \varphi(D \otimes \bar{E}) \, dD \leq \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} |N(D)^{-s}| \beta e^{-\gamma e^{-\frac{2}{nK} \widehat{\deg}(D)}} \, dD.$$

Using Lemma 4.16 we get

$$\int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} |N(D)^{-s}| \varphi(D \otimes \bar{E}) \, dD \leq R_K h_K \beta \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} \log(|\Delta_K|)} e^{-\Re(s)x - \gamma e^{-\frac{2}{nK}x}} \, dx,$$

and this last integral converges uniformly for s in compact subsets of \mathbb{C} . This proves item (1).

Now, if we define $\text{Pic}(K)_+ := \{D \in \text{Pic}(K) : N(D) \geq \sqrt{|\Delta_K|}\}$, then by (12) we have

$$w_K \xi_K(s) Z_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(s) = \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{-s} \varphi(D \otimes \bar{E}) \, dD + \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_+} N(D)^{-s} \varphi(D \otimes \bar{E}) \, dD.$$

In order to compute the integral over $\text{Pic}(K)_+$, we consider the change of variables $D \mapsto \omega_{\mathcal{O}_K} \otimes D^\vee$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_+} N(D)^{-s} \varphi(D \otimes \bar{E}) \, dD &= \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K} \otimes D^\vee)^{-s} \varphi(\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K} \otimes D^\vee \otimes \bar{E}) \, dD \\ &= \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} |\Delta_K|^{-s} N(D)^s \varphi(\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K} \otimes D^\vee \otimes \bar{E}) \, dD. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.22 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(D^\vee \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{O}_K} \otimes \bar{E}) &= e^{h^0(\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K} \otimes (D \otimes \bar{E}^\vee)^\vee)} - 1 \\ &= e^{h^0(D \otimes \bar{E}^\vee) - \deg(D \otimes \bar{E}^\vee) + \log |\Delta_K| \cdot \frac{\text{rk}(D \otimes \bar{E}^\vee)}{2}} - 1 \\ &= \left(\varphi(D \otimes \bar{E}^\vee) + 1 \right) N(D)^{-(n+1)} N(\bar{E}) |\Delta_K|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_+} N(D)^{-s} \varphi(D \otimes \bar{E}) \, dD &= \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^s |\Delta_K|^{-s} \left(\left(\varphi(D \otimes \bar{E}^\vee) + 1 \right) N(D)^{-(n+1)} N(\bar{E}) |\Delta_K|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} - 1 \right) \, dD \\ &= N(\bar{E}) |\Delta_K|^{\frac{n+1}{2}-s} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{s-(n+1)} \varphi(D \otimes \bar{E}^\vee) \, dD \\ &\quad + N(\bar{E}) |\Delta_K|^{\frac{n+1}{2}-s} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{s-(n+1)} \, dD \\ &\quad - |\Delta_K|^{-s} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^s \, dD. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $\Re(s) > n + 1$ we have (using Lemma 4.16)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} \mathbf{N}(D)^{s-(n+1)} \mathbf{d}D &= \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} e^{(s-(n+1)) \deg(D)} \mathbf{d}D \\ &= R_K h_K \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} \log(|\Delta_K|)} e^{(s-(n+1))x} \mathbf{d}x \\ &= R_K h_K \frac{|\Delta_K|^{\frac{s-(n+1)}{2}}}{s - (n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, for $\Re(s) > 0$, we have

$$\int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} \mathbf{N}(D)^s \mathbf{d}D = R_K h_K \frac{|\Delta_K|^{\frac{s}{2}}}{s}.$$

This proves item (2) and completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Remark 5.3. Proposition 5.2 also holds in the case $n = 0$, $V = K$ and $E = \overline{\mathcal{O}_K}$, in which case $Z_{\mathbb{P}(V)} = 1$. In particular:

$$\begin{aligned} w_K \xi_K(s) &= \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} \mathbf{N}(D)^{-s} \varphi(D) \mathbf{d}D + |\Delta_K|^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} \mathbf{N}(D)^{s-1} \varphi(D) \mathbf{d}D \\ &\quad + R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{s}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{s} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and this gives the meromorphic continuation of $\xi_K(s)$ to \mathbb{C} (as in [vdGS00, Section 4]).

As consequence of Proposition 5.2 we have the following result (see [Mar15, Theorem 3.2]).

Theorem 5.4 (Maruyama). *Let V be a K -vector space of dimension $n + 1$ containing a complete \mathcal{O}_K -lattice E which is the underlying finitely generated projective \mathcal{O}_K -module of a Hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E} = (E, h)$. Then, the function $Z_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(s)$ has meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, which is holomorphic for $\Re(s) > 1$, $s \neq n + 1$, and with a simple pole at $s = n + 1$. Moreover, we have*

$$\text{Res}_{s=n+1} Z_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(s) = \frac{R_K h_K \mathbf{N}(\overline{E})}{w_K |\Delta_K|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \xi_K(n+1)}.$$

Choosing $V = K^{\oplus(n+1)}$ and $\overline{E} = \overline{\mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus(n+1)}}$, in which case $\mathbf{N}(\overline{E}) = 1$, we obtain the following corollary as an application of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 5.5 (Schanuel's estimate). *Let $N(\mathbb{P}^n, B) := \#\{P \in \mathbb{P}^n(K) : H_{\mathbb{P}^n}(P) \leq B\}$. Then*

$$N(\mathbb{P}^n, B) \sim C B^{n+1} \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty,$$

with

$$C := \frac{R_K h_K}{(n+1) w_K |\Delta_K|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \xi_K(n+1)}.$$

Remark 5.6. Note that the asymptotic constant given above is in general different from to the one obtained by Schanuel in [Sch79]. This is due to the fact that Schanuel uses an ℓ^∞ norm on the non-Archimedean places, while we use an ℓ^2 norm. Also, compare this result with the one obtained by Guignard in [Gui17, Cor. 3.4.2], taking into account that Guignard defines $\mathbf{N}(\overline{E}) = e^{-\widehat{\deg}(\overline{E})}$.

6. COUNTING RATIONAL POINTS ON HIRZEBRUCH–KLEINSCHMIDT VARIETIES

In this section, we construct an Arakelov height function H_L associated to a big line bundle class $L \in \text{Pic}(X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r))$, and describe the asymptotic growth of the number $N(U, H_L, B) := \#\{P \in U(K) : H_L(P) \leq B\}$, where $U = U_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ is the good open subset of $X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$, as defined in the Introduction. The main results are Theorems 6.3 and 6.9, which are used in Section 6.2 to prove Theorem 1.2. Finally, in Section 6.3, we briefly discuss subvarieties that accumulate more rational points than others and provide criteria to determine when this occurs.

6.1. Heights induced by big line bundles. Let $X = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ be a Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety of dimension $d = r + t - 1$ defined over the number field K (see Definition 3.2). Recall that

$$\pi : X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(-a_r) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_1 - a_r) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_{r-1} - a_r)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{t-1}$$

is a projective vector bundle over \mathbb{P}^{t-1} .

Let us define

$$\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_r) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_r - a_{r-1}), \quad (14)$$

and recall that for $P \in X(K)$ the fiber

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-1)_P = \ell \subseteq (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(-a_r) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_1 - a_r) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_{r-1} - a_r))_{\pi(P)}^{\vee},$$

is given by the one-dimensional subspace ℓ of the $(r + 1)$ -dimensional vector space

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(-a_r) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_{r-1} - a_r))_{\pi(P)}^{\vee} = \mathcal{W}_{\pi(P)},$$

corresponding to the point P in $\pi^{-1}(\pi(P)) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_{\pi(P)})$. The vector space $\mathcal{W}_{\pi(P)}$ contains the Hermitian vector bundle

$$\overline{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}})_{\pi(P)}} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_r)_{\pi(P)}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_r - a_{r-1})_{\pi(P)}},$$

which we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{W}_{\pi(P)}}$ for simplicity. By endowing $\ell \cap \overline{\mathcal{W}_{\pi(P)}}$ with the restriction of the Hermitian forms on $\overline{\mathcal{W}_{\pi(P)}}$, we obtain the Hermitian line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X(-1)_P}$. As usual, by taking duals and tensor powers we define $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X(a)_P}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Given $L = \lambda h + \mu f \in \text{Pic}(X)$ big and $P \in X(K)$, we put

$$\overline{L}_P := \overline{\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)_P} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu)_{\pi(P)}}.$$

This induces an *adelic metric* on L as defined in [Pey02, Définition 1.4]. We refer to this as the *standard metric* on L .

We can now define the *standard height function* H_L over $X(K)$ associated to L as

$$H_L(P) := N(\overline{L}_P).$$

More explicitly, we have

$$H_L(P) = e^{\lambda \widehat{\deg}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_X(1)_P})} e^{\mu \widehat{\deg}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(1)_{\pi(P)}})} = H_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_{\pi(P)})}(P)^{\lambda} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\pi(P))^{\mu}. \quad (15)$$

Associated to $L = \lambda h + \mu f$ as above, we define

$$\lambda_L := \frac{r+1}{\lambda}, \quad \mu_L := \frac{(r+1)a_r + t - |\mathbf{a}|}{\mu}. \quad (16)$$

Then, it easily follows from Proposition 3.4 that

$$a(L) = \max\{\lambda_L, \mu_L\} \quad \text{and} \quad b(L) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \lambda_L = \mu_L, \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda_L \neq \mu_L. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

As in the Introduction, we restrict our attention to rational points in a specific open subset $U \subseteq X$. This is done in order to ensure that $N(U, H_L, B)$ is finite for all $B > 0$, and to avoid possible proper subvarieties with too many rational points.

Recall that in Section 3.3 we defined the projective subbundle $F = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \subset X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ where

$$\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(-a_r) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_1 - a_r) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_{r-1} - a_r).$$

The following definition was given in the Introduction.

Definition 6.1. Given integers $r \geq 1$, $t \geq 2$ and $0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$, we define the *good open subset* of $X = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ as

$$U_d(a_1, \dots, a_r) := X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r) \setminus F.$$

Remark 6.2. Given a big line bundle class $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$, it is known that there exists a dense open subset $U_L \subseteq X$ such that $N(U_L, H_L, B)$ is finite for every $B > 0$ (see e.g. [Pey21, Proposition 2.12]). Our good open subset U serves as such a dense open U_L for every big L .

The first main result of this section is the following theorem, where we assume $a_r > 0$. The easier case when $a_r = 0$ is presented later in this section (see Theorem 6.9). Recall that, for $m \geq 1$, we defined $Z_{\mathbb{P}^m}(s)$ as the height zeta function of the projective space \mathbb{P}^m with respect to the standard height function (see Section 5). Here, we extend this definition by putting $Z_{\mathbb{P}^m}(s) := 1$ (resp. 0) if $m = 0$ (resp. $m = -1$).

Theorem 6.3. Let $X = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ be a Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety over the number field K of dimension $d = r + t - 1$, and let $L = \lambda h + \mu f \in \text{Pic}(X)$ big. Assume $a_r > 0$. Then, we have

$$N(U, H_L, B) \sim C_{L,K} B^{a(L)} \log(B)^{b(L)} \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty,$$

with $C_{L,K}$ given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{R_K^2 h_K^2 |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{(d+2)}{2}}}{w_K^2 (r+1) \mu \xi_K (r+1) \xi_K(t)} & \text{if } \lambda_L = \mu_L, \\ \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{r+1}{2}}}{w_K (r+1) \xi(r+1)} Z_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu \lambda_L + |\mathbf{a}| - (r+1)a_r) & \text{if } \lambda_L > \mu_L, \\ \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{t-N_X+(r+1)}{2}} \xi_K(\lambda \mu_L + N_X - (r+1))}{w_K ((r+1)a_r + t - |\mathbf{a}|) \xi_K(\lambda \mu_L) \xi_K(t)} \\ \quad \times (Z_{\mathbb{P}^{N_X-1}}(\lambda \mu_L + N_X - (r+1)) - Z_{\mathbb{P}^{N_X-2}}(\lambda \mu_L + N_X - (r+1))) & \text{if } \lambda_L < \mu_L, \end{cases}$$

where $N_X := \#\{i \in \{1, \dots, r\} : a_i = a_r\}$.

In the proof of Theorem 6.3 below, we study the analytic properties of the height zeta function

$$Z_{U,L}(s) := \sum_{P \in U(K)} H_L(P)^{-s}$$

associated to the big line bundle class L and the good open subset $U := U_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$, and we make use of the following three lemmas.

Lemma 6.4. For an integer $m \geq 0$, define

$$\varphi_m(D) := \begin{cases} \varphi(D) & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \varphi(D) \varphi(D^{\oplus m}) & \text{if } m \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Then, the following properties hold:

- (1) For $D \in \text{Pic}(K)_-$ we have $\varphi(D^{\oplus m}) = O(1)$, with an implicit constant depending only on m and on the base field K .
- (2) The integral

$$\int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{-s} \varphi_m(D) dD$$

converges absolutely and uniformly for s in compact subsets of \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Item (1) follows from Proposition 4.25 since $\varphi(D^{\oplus n}) = \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus n}})$ is bounded above, for $D \in \text{Pic}(K)_-$, by a constant depending only on K and n , hence we can use this fact for $n = 1$ and $n = m$. Item (2) follows directly from Proposition 5.2(1) and the fact that $\varphi(D^{\oplus m})$ is bounded. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 6.5. *Given positive integers $0 < b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_n$ and $Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}$, define*

$$\overline{E}_Q := \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}(b_1)}(Q)} \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}(b_n)}(Q))},$$

and $|\mathbf{b}| := \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$. Then, for every integer $m \geq 0$, every compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and every $s \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{-s} \varphi_m(D) \varphi(D \otimes \overline{E}_Q) \, dD \\ &= |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{n}{2}} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|\mathbf{b}|} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{n-s} \varphi_m(D) \, dD + O(H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|\mathbf{b}|-b_1}), \end{aligned}$$

with an implicit constant depending only on $m, \mathcal{K}, b_1, \dots, b_n$ and on the base field K , where $\varphi_m(D)$ is defined in (18).

Proof. Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} I_Q &:= \{D \in \text{Pic}(K)_- : N(D)H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{b_1} \leq \sqrt{|\Delta_K|}\}, \\ II_Q &:= \{D \in \text{Pic}(K)_- : \sqrt{|\Delta_K|} \leq N(D)H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{b_1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ put $\overline{E}_Q^{(i)} := \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}(b_i)}(Q)}$. Fix a compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and assume $s \in \mathcal{K}$. In what follows, all terms of the form $O(\dots)$ are meant to have implicit constants depending only on $m, \mathcal{K}, b_1, \dots, b_n$ and the base field K .

First, from Lemma 6.4(1) with $m = 1$ it follows that there exists a constant $C_1 \geq 1$, depending only on the base field K , such that $\varphi(D) \leq C_1$ for all $D \in \text{Pic}(K)_-$. Equivalently, $\varphi\left(D \otimes \overline{E}_Q^{(1)}\right) \leq C_1$ for all $D \in I_Q$. Also, by Proposition 4.26 we have

$$\varphi\left(D \otimes \overline{E}_Q^{(i)}\right) \leq e^{1+\frac{1}{2}\log(|\Delta_K|)+b_i \log(H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q))} = e|\Delta_K|^{\frac{1}{2}} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{b_i},$$

for all $D \in \text{Pic}(K)_-$ and $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Together with Corollary 4.21, these estimates imply

$$\varphi(D \otimes \overline{E}_Q) \leq 2^n C_1 (e|\Delta_K|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{n-1} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|\mathbf{b}|-b_1},$$

for all $D \in I_Q$. Hence, by Lemma 6.4(2) we conclude

$$\int_{I_Q} N(D)^{-s} \varphi_m(D) \varphi(D \otimes \overline{E}_Q) \, dD = O(H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|\mathbf{b}|-b_1}). \quad (19)$$

Now, by Proposition 4.23 there exists a constant $\beta \geq 1$, depending only on K , such that $\varphi(D) \leq \beta e^{-\pi n_K e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} \deg(D)}}$ for all $D \in \text{Pic}(K)_-$, with $n_K = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ as usual. Together with Lemma 6.4(1), this implies

$$\left| \int_{I_Q} N(D)^{n-s} \varphi_m(D) \, dD \right| \leq \beta' \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} \log(|\Delta_K|) - b_1 \log(H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q))} e^{x(n - \Re(s)) - \pi n_K e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} x}} \, dx,$$

for some constant $\beta' > 0$ depending only on K and m . Put $C_2 := \frac{|\mathbf{b}|}{b_1}$, and let $T < 0$ such that

$$x(n - \Re(s)) - \pi n_K e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} x} \leq C_2 x \quad \text{for all } x \in]-\infty, T] \text{ and } s \in \mathcal{K}.$$

If $\frac{1}{2} \log(|\Delta_K|) - b_1 \log(H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)) \leq T$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{I_Q} N(D)^{n-s} \varphi_m(D) \, dD \right| &\leq \beta' \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} \log(|\Delta_K|) - b_1 \log(H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q))} e^{C_2 x} \, dx \\ &= \frac{\beta' (|\Delta_K|)^{\frac{C_2}{2}}}{C_2 H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{C_2 b_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, on the one hand, assuming $\frac{1}{2} \log(|\Delta_K|) - b_1 \log(H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)) \leq T$ we get

$$H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|b|} \left| \int_{I_Q} N(D)^{n-s} \varphi_m(D) \, dD \right| = O(1). \quad (20)$$

On the other hand, if $\frac{1}{2} \log(|\Delta_K|) - b_1 \log(H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)) \geq T$ then $H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)$ is bounded above by a constant that depends only on K and T , hence in that case (20) also holds thanks to Lemma 6.4(2).

Now we look at integrals over Π_Q . First, we use Theorem 4.22 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{E_Q}) &= (\varphi(D^\vee \otimes \overline{E_Q}^\vee \otimes \overline{\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}}) + 1) N(D)^n H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|b|} |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{n}{2}} - 1 \\ &= N(D)^n H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|b|} |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{n}{2}} - 1 + N(D)^n H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|b|} |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \varphi(D^\vee \otimes \overline{E_Q}^\vee \otimes \overline{\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Pi_Q} N(D)^{-s} \varphi_m(D) \varphi(D \otimes \overline{E_Q}) \, dD \\ &= |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{n}{2}} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|b|} \int_{\Pi_Q} N(D)^{n-s} \varphi_m(D) \varphi(D^\vee \otimes \overline{E_Q}^\vee \otimes \overline{\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}}) \, dD \\ &\quad + |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{n}{2}} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|b|} \int_{\Pi_Q} N(D)^{n-s} \varphi_m(D) \, dD \\ &\quad - \int_{\Pi_Q} N(D)^{-s} \varphi_m(D) \, dD. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

From Lemma 6.4(2) we know that

$$\int_{\Pi_Q} N(D)^{-s} \varphi_m(D) \, dD = O(1). \quad (22)$$

Now, note that for $D \in \Pi_Q$ we have $D^\vee \otimes (\overline{E_Q^{(i)}})^\vee \otimes \overline{\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}} \in \text{Pic}(K)_-$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, hence by Proposition 4.23 we get

$$\varphi(D^\vee \otimes (\overline{E_Q^{(i)}})^\vee \otimes \overline{\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}}) \leq \beta e^{-\pi n_K (|\Delta_K|^{-1} N(D) H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{b_i})^{\frac{2}{n_K}}} \leq \beta e^{-C_3 (N(D) H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{b_1})^{\frac{2}{n_K}}},$$

where $\beta \geq 1$ is the same constant as before and $C_3 := \pi n_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{2}{n_K}}$. Combined with Corollary 4.21, we conclude

$$\varphi(D^\vee \otimes \overline{E_Q}^\vee \otimes \overline{\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}}) \leq 2^n \beta^n e^{-n C_3 (N(D) H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{b_1})^{\frac{2}{n_K}}},$$

for all $D \in \Pi_Q$. Letting $C_4 > 0$ be such that $x e^{-n C_3 x^{\frac{2}{n_K}}} \leq C_4$ for all $x \geq 0$, we get

$$N(D) H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{b_1} e^{-n C_3 (N(D) H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{b_1})^{\frac{2}{n_K}}} \leq C_4,$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|\mathbf{b}|} \int_{\Pi_Q} N(D)^{n-s} \varphi_m(D) \varphi(D^\vee \otimes E_Q^\vee \otimes \overline{\omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}}) \, dD \\ & \leq C_4 2^n \beta^n H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|\mathbf{b}|-b_1} \int_{\Pi_Q} N(D)^{n-1-s} \varphi_m(D) \, dD = O(H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{|\mathbf{b}|-b_1}), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

by Lemma 6.4(2). The desired result then follows from (19), (20), (21), (22) and (23). This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Given negative integers $0 > b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n$ and $Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}$, define*

$$\overline{E_Q} := \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(b_1)_Q} \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(b_n))_Q}.$$

Then, there exist $\beta, \gamma > 0$, depending only on K and n , such that for every $D \in \text{Pic}(K)_-$ we have

$$\varphi(D \otimes \overline{E_Q}) \leq \beta e^{-\gamma e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} \widehat{\deg}(D)}} e^{-\gamma H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{\frac{2}{n_K}}},$$

where $n_K = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ as usual.

Proof. By Corollary 4.21 it is enough to prove the lemma in the case $n = 1$. Since

$$\widehat{\deg}(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(b_1)_Q}) = \widehat{\deg}(D) + b_1 \log(H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log(|\Delta_K|),$$

we can use Proposition 4.25. This shows that there exists a constant $\beta > 0$, depending only on K , such that

$$\varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(b_1)_Q}) \leq \beta e^{-\pi n_K e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} (\widehat{\deg}(D) + b_1 \log(H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)))}} = \beta e^{-\pi n_K e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} \widehat{\deg}(D)}} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{\frac{2}{n_K}},$$

where in the last inequality we used that $b_1 \leq -1$. Putting $A := e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} \widehat{\deg}(D)}$ and $B := H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{\frac{2}{n_K}}$, we see that $A \geq |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{1}{n_K}}$ and $B \geq 1$, hence there exists a constant $\rho > 0$, depending only on K , such that $AB \geq \rho(A + B)$. This implies

$$\varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(b_1)_Q}) \leq \beta e^{-\gamma e^{-\frac{2}{n_K} \widehat{\deg}(D)}} e^{-\gamma H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{\frac{2}{n_K}}},$$

with $\gamma = \pi n_K \rho$. This proves the lemma. \square

Notation 6.7. In the proof of Theorem 6.3 below, we put $\varphi(D^{\oplus m}) := 0$ when $m = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 6.3. Given $P \in X(K)$ we put $Q := \pi(P)$. Then, we have $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_Q)(K)$ with \mathcal{W} defined in (14). Moreover, if $P \in F(K)$ then $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee)(K)$ and $H_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_Q)}(P) = H_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee)}(P)$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that $\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee \subseteq \mathcal{W}_Q$, which implies that $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee}(-1)_P} = \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_Q}(-1)_P}$. Then, taking duals and norms leads to the equality of heights. From this and (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{U,L}(s) &= \sum_{P \in U(K)} \left(H_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_{\pi(P)})}(P)^\lambda H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\pi(P))^\mu \right)^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{-\mu s} \left(\sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_Q)(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_Q)}(P)^{-\lambda s} - \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee)(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee)}(P)^{-\lambda s} \right) \\ &= \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{-\mu s} \left(Z_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_Q)}(\lambda s) - Z_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee)}(\lambda s) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, fixing $Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)$ and using Proposition 5.2(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & w_K \xi_K(\lambda s) Z_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_Q)}(\lambda s) \\ &= R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{\lambda s}{2}} \left(\frac{N(\overline{\mathcal{W}_Q})}{\lambda s - (r+1)} - \frac{1}{\lambda s} \right) + \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{-\lambda s} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{W}_Q}) dD \\ &\quad + N(\overline{\mathcal{W}_Q}) |\Delta_K|^{\frac{r+1}{2} - \lambda s} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{\lambda s - (r+1)} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{W}_Q}^\vee) dD. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, since \mathcal{Y}^\vee has rank r , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & w_K \xi_K(\lambda s) Z_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee)}(\lambda s) \\ &= R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{\lambda s}{2}} \left(\frac{N(\overline{\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee})}{\lambda s - r} - \frac{1}{\lambda s} \right) + \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{-\lambda s} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee}) dD \\ &\quad + N(\overline{\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee}) |\Delta_K|^{\frac{r}{2} - \lambda s} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{\lambda s - r} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{Y}_Q}) dD. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can write

$$w_K \xi_K(\lambda s) Z_{U,L}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^5 F_j(s),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(s) &:= \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{\lambda s}{2}}}{\lambda s - (r+1)} \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{-\mu s} N(\overline{\mathcal{W}_Q}), \\ F_2(s) &:= -\frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{\lambda s}{2}}}{\lambda s - r} \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{-\mu s} N(\overline{\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee}), \\ F_3(s) &:= \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{-\mu s} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{-\lambda s} \left(\varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{W}_Q}) - \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee}) \right) dD, \\ F_4(s) &:= |\Delta_K|^{\frac{r+1}{2} - \lambda s} \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{-\mu s} N(\overline{\mathcal{W}_Q}) \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{\lambda s - (r+1)} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{W}_Q}^\vee) dD, \\ F_5(s) &:= -|\Delta_K|^{\frac{r}{2} - \lambda s} \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{-\mu s} N(\overline{\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee}) \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{\lambda s - r} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{Y}_Q}) dD. \end{aligned}$$

We are going to analyze each of these functions separately. First, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} N(\overline{\mathcal{Y}_Q^\vee}) &= N(\overline{\mathcal{W}_Q}) = N(\overline{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}})_Q \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_r)_Q \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_r - a_{r-1})_Q}) \\ &= H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{(r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

This implies

$$F_1(s) = \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{\lambda s}{2}}}{\lambda s - (r+1)} Z_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu s + |\mathbf{a}| - (r+1)a_r),$$

hence by Theorem 5.4 the function $F_1(s)$ is holomorphic in $\Re(s) > \frac{1+(r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|}{\mu}$, $s \neq \lambda_L$, $s \neq \mu_L$. Similarly,

$$F_2(s) = -\frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{\lambda s}{2}}}{\lambda s - r} Z_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu s + |\mathbf{a}| - (r+1)a_r),$$

hence $F_2(s)$ is holomorphic in $\Re(s) > \frac{1+(r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|}{\mu}$, $s \neq \frac{r}{\lambda}$, $s \neq \mu_L$.

We now focus on the function $F_3(s)$. First, note that $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_Q = \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_Q^\vee \oplus \overline{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}})_Q}$ and hence, using Lemma 4.20(2), we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{W}}_Q) &= \varphi(D \otimes (\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_Q^\vee \oplus \overline{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}})_Q})) \\ &= \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_Q^\vee) + \varphi(D \otimes \overline{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}})_Q}) + \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_Q^\vee) \varphi(D \otimes \overline{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}})_Q}) \\ &= \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_Q^\vee) + \varphi(D) + \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_Q^\vee) \varphi(D). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $F_3(s) = G_1(s) + G_2(s)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(s) &:= \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{-\mu s} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{-\lambda s} \varphi(D) \, dD \\ &= Z_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu s) \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{-\lambda s} \varphi(D) \, dD, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G_2(s) := \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{-\mu s} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{-\lambda s} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_Q^\vee) \varphi(D) \, dD.$$

By Lemma 6.4(1) with $m = 0$, together with Theorem 5.4, the function $G_1(s)$ is holomorphic in $\Re(s) > \frac{t}{\mu}$. In order to analyze the function $G_2(s)$, put $a_0 := 0$ and recall that $N_X = \#\{i \in \{1, \dots, r\} : a_i = a_r\}$, so we can write

$$\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_Q^\vee = \overline{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}})_Q}^{\oplus(N_X-1)} \oplus \overline{E}_Q$$

where \overline{E}_Q is the direct sum of the line bundles $\overline{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(a_r - a_i))_Q}$ over $i \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ with $a_i < a_r$. Using Lemma 4.20(2) again, we have

$$\varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_Q^\vee) = \varphi(D^{\oplus(N_X-1)}) + \varphi(D \otimes \overline{E}_Q) + \varphi(D^{\oplus(N_X-1)}) \varphi(D \otimes \overline{E}_Q).$$

We now use Lemma 6.5 with $n = r - (N_X - 1)$, $b_1 = a_r - a_{r-N_X}$, $b_2 = a_r - a_{r-N_X-1}, \dots, b_n = a_r$, and $m = 0$, and also with $m = N_X - 1$ if $N_X > 1$, in order to write

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(s) &= \widetilde{G}_2(s) + |\Delta_K|^{\frac{N_X-(r+1)}{2}} \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{-\mu s + (r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{r-(N_X-1)-\lambda s} \varphi(D) (1 + \varphi(D^{\oplus(N_X-1)})) \, dD \\ &= \widetilde{G}_2(s) + |\Delta_K|^{\frac{N_X-(r+1)}{2}} Z_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu s + |\mathbf{a}| - (r+1)a_r) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{r-(N_X-1)-\lambda s} \varphi(D) (1 + \varphi(D^{\oplus(N_X-1)})) \, dD, \end{aligned}$$

with $\widetilde{G}_2(s)$ an analytic function on $\Re(s) > \frac{t+(r+1)a_r-|\mathbf{a}|-b_1}{\mu} = \frac{t+ra_r+a_{r-N_X}-|\mathbf{a}|}{\mu}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} F_3(s) &= G_1(s) + \widetilde{G}_2(s) + |\Delta_K|^{\frac{N_X-(r+1)}{2}} Z_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu s + |\mathbf{a}| - (r+1)a_r) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{r-(N_X-1)-\lambda s} \varphi(D) (1 + \varphi(D^{\oplus(N_X-1)})) \, dD, \end{aligned}$$

with $G_1(s) + \widetilde{G}_2(s)$ analytic in $\Re(s) > \frac{t+ra_r+a_{r-N_X}-|\mathbf{a}|}{\mu}$.

In order to analyze the function $F_4(s)$, we start by using (24) to write

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(s) &= |\Delta_K|^{\frac{r+1}{2}-\lambda s} \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{-\mu s + (r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{\lambda s - (r+1)} \varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{W}}_Q) \, dD. \end{aligned}$$

Now we write

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}}_Q^\vee = \overline{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}})_{\mathcal{Q}}}^{\oplus N_X} \oplus \overline{E}_Q^\vee$$

with \overline{E}_Q^\vee the sum of the line bundles $\overline{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}})_{\mathcal{Q}}}(a_i - a_r)$ over $i \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ with $a_i < a_r$. By Lemma 4.20(2) we have

$$\varphi(D \otimes \overline{\mathcal{W}}_Q^\vee) = \varphi(D^{\oplus N_X}) + \varphi(D \otimes \overline{E}_Q^\vee) + \varphi(D^{\oplus N_X})\varphi(D \otimes \overline{E}_Q^\vee).$$

Hence, we can write $F_4(s) = G_3(s) + G_4(s)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} G_3(s) &:= |\Delta_K|^{\frac{r+1}{2}-\lambda s} Z_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu s + |\mathbf{a}| - (r+1)a_r) \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} \mathbf{N}(D)^{\lambda s - (r+1)} \varphi(D^{\oplus N_X}) \, dD, \\ G_4(s) &:= |\Delta_K|^{\frac{r+1}{2}-\lambda s} \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{t-1}(K)} H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(Q)^{-\mu s + (r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} \mathbf{N}(D)^{\lambda s - (r+1)} \left(\varphi(D \otimes \overline{E}_Q^\vee) + \varphi(D^{\oplus N_X})\varphi(D \otimes \overline{E}_Q^\vee) \right) \, dD. \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, by Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 5.2(1), the series $G_3(s)$ extends to a holomorphic function in $\Re(s) > \frac{1+(r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|}{\mu}$, $s \neq \mu_L$. On the other hand, using Lemmas 6.6 and 6.4(1) to bound $\varphi(D \otimes \overline{E}_Q^\vee)$ and $\varphi(D^{\oplus N_X})$, respectively, we see that the series $G_4(s)$ converges absolutely and uniformly for s in compact subsets of \mathbb{C} , hence $G_4(s)$ extends to an entire function. We conclude that $F_4(s)$ is holomorphic in $\Re(s) > \frac{1+(r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|}{\mu}$, $s \neq \mu_L$.

Finally, the analysis of the function $F_5(s)$ is analogous to that of $F_4(s)$, and we get that $F_5(s) = G_5(s) + G_6(s)$ with

$$G_5(s) := -|\Delta_K|^{\frac{r}{2}-\lambda s} Z_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu s + |\mathbf{a}| - (r+1)a_r) \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} \mathbf{N}(D)^{\lambda s - r} \varphi(D^{\oplus (N_X - 1)}) \, dD,$$

and $G_6(s)$ entire. In particular, $F_5(s)$ is holomorphic in $\Re(s) > \frac{1+(r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|}{\mu}$, $s \neq \mu_L$.

Putting everything together, and recalling that $t \geq 2$ and $a_r \geq 1$, we obtain the following:

(1) If $\lambda_L = \mu_L$, then $Z_{U,L}(s)$ is holomorphic in

$$\Re(s) > \max \left\{ \frac{1 + (r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|}{\mu}, \frac{r}{\lambda}, \frac{t + ra_r + a_{r-N_X} - |\mathbf{a}|}{\mu} \right\}, s \neq \lambda_L$$

and it has a pole of order two at $s = \lambda_L$ (coming from F_1) with

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \lambda_L} (s - \lambda_L)^2 Z_{U,L}(s) = \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{r+1}{2}}}{w_K \lambda \mu \xi(r+1)} \text{Res}_{s=t} Z_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(s) = \frac{R_K^2 h_K^2 |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{(d+2)}{2}}}{w_K^2 \lambda \mu \xi_K(r+1) \xi_K(t)}.$$

(2) If $\lambda_L > \mu_L$, then $Z_{U,L}(s)$ has holomorphic continuation to

$$\Re(s) > \max \left\{ \frac{r}{\lambda}, \mu_L \right\}, s \neq \lambda_L$$

and it has a simple pole at $s = \lambda_L$ (coming from F_1) with

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \lambda_L} (s - \lambda_L) Z_{U,L}(s) = \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{r+1}{2}}}{w_K \lambda \xi(r+1)} Z_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu \lambda_L + |\mathbf{a}| - (r+1)a_r).$$

(3) If $\lambda_L < \mu_L$ then $Z_{U,L}(s)$ is holomorphic in

$$\Re(s) > \max \left\{ \lambda_L, \frac{1 + (r+1)a_r - |\mathbf{a}|}{\mu}, \frac{t + ra_r + a_{r-N_X} - |\mathbf{a}|}{\mu} \right\}, s \neq \mu_L$$

and it has a possible singularity at $s = \mu_L$ (coming from F_1, F_2, F_3, G_3 and G_5) with

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow \mu_L} (s - \mu_L) Z_{U,L}(s) &= \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{w_K^2 \mu \xi_K(\lambda \mu_L) \xi_K(t)} \left(\frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{\lambda \mu_L}{2}}}{\lambda \mu_L - (r+1)} - \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{\lambda \mu_L}{2}}}{\lambda \mu_L - r} \right. \\ &\quad + |\Delta_K|^{\frac{N_X - (r+1)}{2}} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{r - (N_X - 1) - \lambda \mu_L} \varphi(D) (1 + \varphi(D^{\oplus(N_X - 1)})) \, dD \\ &\quad + |\Delta_K|^{\frac{r+1}{2} - \lambda \mu_L} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{\lambda \mu_L - (r+1)} \varphi(D^{\oplus N_X}) \, dD \\ &\quad \left. - |\Delta_K|^{\frac{r}{2} - \lambda \mu_L} \int_{\text{Pic}(K)_-} N(D)^{\lambda \mu_L - r} \varphi(D^{\oplus(N_X - 1)}) \, dD \right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, in this case we can write (using Lemma 4.20(2))

$$\varphi(D)(1 + \varphi(D^{\oplus(N_X - 1)})) = \varphi(D^{\oplus N_X}) - \varphi(D^{\oplus(N_X - 1)}),$$

and use Proposition 5.2(2), together with formula (13) when $N_X = 1$ or 2, to get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow \mu_L} (s - \mu_L) Z_{U,L}(s) &= \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{w_K^2 \mu \xi_K(\lambda \mu_L) \xi_K(t)} w_K |\Delta_K|^{\frac{N_X - (r+1)}{2}} \xi_K(\lambda \mu_L + N_X - (r+1)) \\ &\quad \times (Z_{\mathbb{P}^{N_X - 1}}(\lambda \mu_L + N_X - (r+1)) - Z_{\mathbb{P}^{N_X - 2}}(\lambda \mu_L + N_X - (r+1))). \end{aligned}$$

Since this value is positive, we conclude that $Z_{U,L}(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = \mu_L$ in this case.

Then, the asymptotic formula for $N(U, H|_L, B)$ follows from these properties, together with (17) and Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 6.8. The different cases that appear in Theorem 6.3 give a subdivision of the *big cone* of X , i.e., the interior of Λ_{eff} (see Figure 1 for an illustration). The line bundles L contained in the ray passing through the anticanonical class have height zeta functions with a double pole at $s = \lambda_L = \mu_L$, while line bundles outside this ray have $\lambda_L \neq \mu_L$ and have height zeta functions with a simple pole at $s = \max\{\lambda_L, \mu_L\}$.




FIGURE 1. Subdivision of the big cone of X .

In Theorem 6.3 we have omitted the case when $a_r = 0$. This is because in the case $a_r = 0$ we have $X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{t-1} \times \mathbb{P}^r$, and there is no need to remove a proper subvariety of X to obtain the

“correct” growth of the number of rational points of bounded height. Note that, in this case, we have

$$\lambda_L = \frac{r+1}{\lambda}, \quad \mu_L = \frac{t}{\mu}.$$

Theorem 6.9. *Let $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^{t-1} \times \mathbb{P}^r$ be a Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety over the number field K with $a_r = 0$, and let $L = \lambda h + \mu f$ be a big line bundle class in $\text{Pic}(X)$. Then, we have*

$$N(X, H_L, B) \sim C_{L,K} B^{a(L)} \log(B)^{b(L)} \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty,$$

with $C_{L,K}$ given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{R_K^2 h_K^2 |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{(d+2)}{2}}}{w_K^2 (r+1) \mu \xi_K (r+1) \xi_K(t)} & \text{if } \lambda_L = \mu_L, \\ \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{r+1}{2}}}{w_K (r+1) \xi(r+1)} Z_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu \lambda_L) & \text{if } \lambda_L > \mu_L, \\ \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{w_K t \xi_K(t)} Z_{\mathbb{P}^r}(\lambda \mu_L) & \text{if } \lambda_L < \mu_L. \end{cases}$$

One can adapt the proof of Theorem 6.3 to give a proof of Theorem 6.9. Instead of doing that, we present a simpler argument based only on the analytic properties of the height zeta functions of the projective spaces \mathbb{P}^{t-1} and \mathbb{P}^r .

Proof. We have

$$Z_{X,L}(s) := \sum_{P \in X(K)} H_L(P)^{-s} = Z_{\mathbb{P}^r}(\lambda s) Z_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}(\mu s).$$

Then, using Theorem 5.4 we see that $Z_{X,L}(s)$ is holomorphic in

$$\Re(s) > \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda}, \frac{1}{\mu} \right\}, \quad s \neq \lambda_L, \quad s \neq \mu_L,$$

and it has a double pole at $s = \lambda_L$ if $\lambda_L = \mu_L$, and a simple pole at $s = \max\{\lambda_L, \mu_L\}$ if $\lambda_L \neq \mu_L$. Then, the result follows by using Theorem 5.4 to compute $\lim_{s \rightarrow a(L)} (s - a(L))^{b(L)} Z_{X,L}(s)$, and using Theorem 2.1. We leave the details to the reader. \square

Example 6.10. Consider the variety $X_2(0) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $L = 3h + f$, so that $\lambda_L = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\mu_L = 2$. Then, we get

$$N(X, H_L, B) \sim C_{L,K} B^2 \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty,$$

with $C_{L,K} = \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-1}}{w_K 2 \xi_K(2)} Z_{\mathbb{P}^1}(6)$. In the case $K = \mathbb{Q}$, a simple computation gives

$$Z_{\mathbb{P}^1}(s) = 2 + 2 \frac{\zeta(s/2)}{\zeta(s)} L_{-4}(s/2), \quad \text{where } L_{-4}(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-4}{n} \right) n^{-s}.$$

Hence, using that $L_{-4}(3) = \frac{\pi^3}{32}$ (see e.g [Coh07, p. 189]) and $\zeta(6) = \frac{\pi^6}{945}$, we get

$$C_{L,\mathbb{Q}} = \frac{6}{\pi} \left(1 + \frac{945 \zeta(3)}{32 \pi^3} \right) = 4.09640530 \dots$$

Note that $C_{L,\mathbb{Q}} = C' + C''$ where C', C'' are the constants appearing at the end of Example 1.4 in the Introduction (because $X_2(0) \simeq U' \sqcup F'$ in the notation used there).

6.2. The anticanonical height - Proof of Theorem 1.2. In the case $\lambda = r+1$ and $\mu = (r+1)a_r + t - |\mathbf{a}|$ we get $L = -K_X$ by Proposition 3.4(2), hence H_L is the anticanonical height function $H = H_{-K_X}$. Moreover, $\lambda_L = \mu_L = 1$ according to (16). When $a_r > 0$, Theorem 6.3 gives the asymptotic formula

$$N(U, H, B) \sim CB \log(B) \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty,$$

with C given by (2). Assume $a_r = 0$. Then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^{t-1} \times \mathbb{P}^r$ and $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^{t-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ if $r \geq 2$, while $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^{t-1}$ if $r = 1$. By Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9, together with Theorem 6.9 and Corollary 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(X, H, B) &\sim CB \log(B), \\ N(F, H, B) &\sim C_r B \end{aligned}$$

as $B \rightarrow \infty$, with the same C as before and C_r another explicit constant⁶. In any case, this implies

$$N(U, H, B) = N(X, H, B) - N(F, H, B) \sim CB \log(B) \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty.$$

This proves Theorem 1.2.

6.3. Accumulation of rational points. In the literature, there are different notions that capture the idea of subvarieties having too many rational points. Since our aim in this paper is to give explicit asymptotic formulas that allow for quantitative comparisons, we introduce the following relative notion of subvarieties accumulating more rational points than others.

Definition 6.11. Let $Y_1, Y_2 \subseteq X$ be two subvarieties of a Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety X , and let $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ be a big line bundle class. Assume that $\#Y_1(K) = \#Y_2(K) = \infty$ and that $N(Y_1, H_L, B)$ and $N(Y_2, H_L, B)$ are both finite for every $B > 0$. Then, we say that Y_1 *strongly accumulates more rational points of bounded H_L -height than Y_2* if

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(Y_2, H_L, B)}{N(Y_1, H_L, B)} = 0. \quad (25)$$

Theorems 6.3 and 6.9 lead to the following corollary.

Corollary 6.12. *Let $X = X_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ be a Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt variety over the number field K with $a_r > 0$ and good open subset $U = U_d(a_1, \dots, a_r)$, and let $L = \lambda h + \mu f$ be a big line bundle class in $\text{Pic}(X)$. Then, the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If $r > 1$, assume $\mu > \lambda(a_r - a_{r-1})$ so that $L|_F$ is big on the subvariety $F \simeq X_{d-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$ of X . Then, the good open subset $U' \simeq U_{d-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$ of F strongly accumulates more rational points of bounded H_L -height than U if and only if*

$$\max\{\lambda_L, \mu_L\} < \mu_{L|_F}, \quad (26)$$

where $\mu_{L|_F} = \frac{ra_{r-1} + t - |\mathbf{a}| + a_r}{\mu - \lambda(a_r - a_{r-1})}$.

- (2) *If $r = 1$, assume $\mu > \lambda a_1$ so that $L|_F$ is big on $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^{t-1}$. Then, F strongly accumulates more rational points of bounded H_L -height than U if and only if (26) holds, where $\mu_{L|_F} = \frac{t}{\mu - a_1}$.*

Proof. Assume $r > 1$ and $\mu > \lambda(a_r - a_{r-1})$. By Theorem 6.3 the good open subset U' of F strongly accumulates more rational points of bounded H_L -height than U if and only if

$$\max\{\lambda_L, \mu_L\} < \max\{\lambda_{L|_F}, \mu_{L|_F}\}, \text{ or } \max\{\lambda_L, \mu_L\} = \lambda_{L|_F} = \mu_{L|_F} \text{ and } \lambda_L \neq \mu_L.$$

Since $\lambda_{L|_F} = \frac{r}{\lambda} < \frac{r+1}{\lambda} = \lambda_L$, we see that the second case cannot occur. This proves (1).

Now, if $r = 1$ and $\mu > \lambda a_1$, then by Lemma 3.9 the height function H_L restricted to F corresponds to the power $H_{\mathbb{P}^{t-1}}^{\mu - \lambda a_1}$ of the standard height function of \mathbb{P}^{t-1} . By Theorems 6.3 and Corollary 5.5 we see that F strongly accumulates more rational points of bounded H_L -height than U if and only if (26) holds. This completes the proof of the corollary. \square

⁶The exact value is $C_r = \frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{w_K t \xi_K(t)} Z_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(r+1)$ if $r \geq 2$, and $\frac{R_K h_K |\Delta_K|^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{w_K t \xi_K(t)}$ if $r = 1$.

Remark 6.13. One can also define a weaker relative notion of subvarieties accumulating more rational points than others, by replacing condition (25) with

$$0 < \limsup_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(Y_2, H_L, B)}{N(Y_1, H_L, B)} < 1.$$

Then, in all possible cases, one can use the explicit formulas in Theorems 6.3, 6.9 and Corollary 5.5 to decide when U' (resp. F) weakly accumulates more rational points of bounded H_L -height than U . For instance, in the case $(a_1, a_2) = (0, 1)$ of Example 1.4, we saw that U' weakly accumulates more rational points of bounded anticanonical height than F' .

7. EXAMPLE: HIRZEBRUCH SURFACES

For an integer $a > 0$ consider the *Hirzebruch surface*

$$X = X_2(a) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a)),$$

which we consider as a variety over $K = \mathbb{Q}$ for simplicity. In the basis $\{h, f\}$ of $\text{Pic}(X)$ given in Proposition 3.4, choose a big line bundle class $L = \lambda h + \mu f$. We then have

$$\lambda_L = \frac{2}{\lambda}, \quad \mu_L = \frac{a+2}{\mu}.$$

We write $U = U_2(a)$ and $F = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a))$, so that

$$X = U \sqcup F \simeq U_2(a) \sqcup \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Using that $\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(s) = (2\pi^{s/2})^{-1} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s)$ and $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$, we get by Theorem 6.3 the asymptotic formula

$$N(U, H_L, B) \sim C_L \begin{cases} B^{\lambda_L} \log(B), & \text{if } \lambda_L = \mu_L, \\ B^{\max\{\lambda_L, \mu_L\}}, & \text{if } \lambda_L \neq \mu_L, \end{cases}$$

as $B \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$C_L = \begin{cases} \frac{18}{\pi^2 \mu} & \text{if } \lambda_L = \mu_L, \\ \frac{3}{\pi} Z_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mu \lambda_L - a) & \text{if } \lambda_L > \mu_L, \\ \frac{6 \xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda \mu_L - 1)}{\pi(a+2) \xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda \mu_L)} & \text{if } \lambda_L < \mu_L. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

Now, the restriction $L|_F$ of the line bundle class L to $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ is big if and only if $\mu > \lambda a$. In this case, by Corollary 5.5, we have

$$N(F, H_L, B) \sim \frac{3}{\pi} B^{\frac{2}{\mu - \lambda a}} \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty.$$

As in Corollary 6.12(2), we have that F strongly accumulates more points of bounded H_L -height than U if and only if

$$\max \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda}, \frac{a+2}{\mu} \right\} < \frac{2}{\mu - \lambda a},$$

which is easily seen to be equivalent

$$\lambda a < \mu < \lambda(a+1).$$

Finally, we turn our attention to the numerical value of the constant C_L in (27). Assuming $a = 1$ for simplicity, we get the following first values of C_L depending on the choice of $L = \lambda h + \mu f$. Here, as in Example 6.10, we use the Dirichlet L -function $L_{-4}(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-4}{n}\right) n^{-s}$.

λ	μ	Case	C_L
1	1	$\lambda_L < \mu_L$	$\frac{2\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(2)}{\pi\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(3)} = \frac{2\pi}{3\zeta(3)} = 1.74234272\dots$
1	2	$\lambda_L > \mu_L$	$\frac{3}{\pi} Z_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3) = \frac{6}{\pi} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta(3/2)L_{-4}(3/2)}{\zeta(3)}\right) = 5.49807267\dots$
1	3	$\lambda_L > \mu_L$	$\frac{3}{\pi} Z_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5) = \frac{6}{\pi} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta(5/2)L_{-4}(5/2)}{\zeta(5)}\right) = 4.25372490\dots$
2	1	$\lambda_L < \mu_L$	$\frac{2\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(5)}{\pi\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(6)} = \frac{2835\zeta(5)}{4\pi^6} = 0.76443811\dots$
2	2	$\lambda_L < \mu_L$	$\frac{2\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(2)}{\pi\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(3)} = \frac{2\pi}{3\zeta(3)} = 1.74234272\dots\dots$
2	3	$\lambda_L = \mu_L$	$\frac{6}{\pi^2} = 0.60792710\dots$
3	1	$\lambda_L < \mu_L$	$\frac{2\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(8)}{\pi\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(9)} = \frac{32\pi^7}{165375\zeta(9)} = 0.58325419\dots$
3	2	$\lambda_L < \mu_L$	$\frac{2\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(7/2)}{\pi\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(9/2)} = \frac{2\zeta(7/2)\Gamma(7/4)}{\sqrt{\pi}\zeta(9/2)\Gamma(9/4)} = 0.97781868\dots$
3	3	$\lambda_L < \mu_L$	$\frac{2\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(2)}{\pi\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(3)} = \frac{2\pi}{3\zeta(3)} = 1.74234272\dots\dots$

Remark 7.1. The case $X_2(1) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))$ was already studied by Serre (see [Ser89, Section 2.12]), and revisited by Batyrev and Manin in [BM90, Section 1.6] and by Peyre in [Pey02, Proposition 2.7]. Following the notation in [Pey02], one realizes $X_2(1)$ as the variety

$$V = \{([y_0, y_1, y_2], [z_0, z_1]) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 : y_0 z_1 = y_1 z_0\}.$$

Then, for integers r, s , the height function $H_{r,s}$ on $V(\mathbb{Q})$ defined by

$$H_{r,s}([y_0, y_1, y_2], [z_0, z_1]) := \sqrt{y_0^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2}^{r+s} \sqrt{z_0^2 + z_1^2}^{-s},$$

for $(y_0, y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and $(z_0, z_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ primitive, corresponds to our height function H_L with $L = (r+s)h + rf$. Moreover, the divisor $E \subset V$ defined in loc. cit. by $y_0 = y_1 = 0$ corresponds to our subbundle F . In the particular case of $r = 1$ and $s = 0$, corresponding to $\lambda = \mu = 1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} N(V \setminus E, H_{1,0}, B) &\sim \frac{1}{2} \# \left\{ (y_0, y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \text{ primitive, such that } \sqrt{y_0^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2} \leq B \right\} \\ &\sim \frac{2\pi}{3\zeta(3)} B^3 \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

(see, e.g. [CCU07]). This matches our computations, since in the case $\lambda = \mu = 1$ we get

$$N(U, H_L, B) \sim C_L B^3, \quad C_L = \frac{2\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(2)}{\pi\xi_{\mathbb{Q}}(3)} = \frac{2\pi}{3\zeta(3)}.$$

REFERENCES

- [ADHL15] Ivan Arzhantsev, Ulrich Derenthal, Jürgen Hausen, and Antonio Laface. *Cox rings*, volume 144 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [BM90] V. V. Batyrev and Yu. I. Manin. Sur le nombre des points rationnels de hauteur bornée des variétés algébriques. *Math. Ann.*, 286(1-3):27–43, 1990.
- [Bos20] Jean-Benoît Bost. *Theta invariants of Euclidean lattices and infinite-dimensional Hermitian vector bundles over arithmetic curves*, volume 334 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- [Bro07] T. D. Browning. An overview of Manin’s conjecture for del Pezzo surfaces. In *Analytic number theory*, volume 7 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 39–55. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [BT96a] V. Batyrev and Yu. Tschinkel. Height zeta functions of toric varieties. *J. Math. Sci.*, 82(1):3220–3239, 1996. Algebraic geometry, 5.
- [BT96b] Victor V. Batyrev and Yuri Tschinkel. Rational points on some Fano cubic bundles. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 323(1):41–46, 1996.
- [BT98] Victor V. Batyrev and Yuri Tschinkel. Tamagawa numbers of polarized algebraic varieties. Number 251, pages 299–340. 1998. *Nombre et répartition de points de hauteur bornée* (Paris, 1996).
- [CCU07] Fernando Chamizo, Elena Cristóbal, and Adrián Ubis. Visible lattice points in the sphere. *J. Number Theory*, 126(2):200–211, 2007.

- [CLS11] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck. *Toric varieties*, volume 124 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [Coh07] Henri Cohen. *Number theory. Vol. II. Analytic and modern tools*, volume 240 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2007.
- [Del54] Hubert Delange. Généralisation du théorème de Ikehara. *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 3e série, 71(3):213–242, 1954.
- [FMT89] Jens Franke, Yuri I. Manin, and Yuri Tschinkel. Rational points of bounded height on Fano varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 95(2):421–435, 1989.
- [Gui17] Quentin Guignard. Counting algebraic points of bounded height on projective spaces. *J. Number Theory*, 170:103–141, 2017.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*, volume No. 52 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [HMM24] Sebastián Herrero, Tobías Martínez, and Pedro Montero. Counting rational points on Hirzebruch–Kleinschmidt varieties over global function fields. In preparation, 2024.
- [Hua21] Zhizhong Huang. Rational approximations on toric varieties. *Algebra Number Theory*, 15(2):461–512, 2021.
- [Kle88] Peter Kleinschmidt. A classification of toric varieties with few generators. *Aequationes Math.*, 35(2-3):254–266, 1988.
- [Laz04a] R. Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [Laz04b] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [Mar15] Takuya Maruyama. Height zeta functions of projective bundles, 2015.
- [Mor14] Atsushi Moriawaki. *Arakelov geometry*, volume 244 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014. Translated from the 2008 Japanese original.
- [Neu99] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic number theory*, volume 322 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder.
- [Oda78] Tadao Oda. *Torus embeddings and applications*, volume 57 of *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978. Based on joint work with Katsuya Miyake.
- [Pey02] Emmanuel Peyre. Points de hauteur bornée et géométrie des variétés (d'après Y. Manin et al.). Number 282, pages Exp. No. 891, ix, 323–344. 2002. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 2000/2001.
- [Pey03] Emmanuel Peyre. Points de hauteur bornée, topologie adélique et mesures de Tamagawa. volume 15, pages 319–349. 2003. Les XXIIèmes Journées Arithmétiques (Lille, 2001).
- [Pey21] Emmanuel Peyre. Chapter V: Beyond heights: slopes and distribution of rational points. In *Arakelov geometry and Diophantine applications*, volume 2276 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 215–279. Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021.
- [PRR23] Vladimir Platonov, Andrei Rapinchuk, and Igor Rapinchuk. *Algebraic groups and number theory. Volume 1*, volume 205 of *Camb. Stud. Adv. Math.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2nd revised edition of the book originally published in one volume edition, 2023.
- [PT01] Emmanuel Peyre and Yuri Tschinkel. Tamagawa numbers of diagonal cubic surfaces, numerical evidence. *Math. Comp.*, 70(233):367–387, 2001.
- [Sch79] Stephen Hoel Schanuel. Heights in number fields. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 107(4):433–449, 1979.
- [Ser89] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Lectures on the Mordell-Weil theorem*, volume E15 of *Aspects of Mathematics*. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1989.
- [Tsc03] Yuri Tschinkel. Fujita's program and rational points. In *Higher dimensional varieties and rational points (Budapest, 2001)*, volume 12 of *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, pages 283–310. Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [Tsc09] Yuri Tschinkel. Algebraic varieties with many rational points. In *Arithmetic geometry*, volume 8 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 243–334. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [vdGS00] Gerard van der Geer and René Schoof. Effectivity of Arakelov divisors and the theta divisor of a number field. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 6(4):377–398, 2000.

UNIVERSIDAD DE SANTIAGO DE CHILE, DEPT. DE MATEMÁTICA Y CIENCIA DE LA COMPUTACIÓN, AV. LIBERTADOR BERNARDO O'HIGGINS 3363, SANTIAGO, CHILE, AND ETH, MATHEMATICS DEPT., CH-8092, ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

Email address: `sebastian.herrero.m@gmail.com`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD TÉCNICA FEDERICO SANTA MARÍA, AV. ESPAÑA 1680, VALPARAÍSO, CHILE

Email address: `tobias.martinez@usm.cl`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD TÉCNICA FEDERICO SANTA MARÍA, AV. ESPAÑA 1680, VALPARAÍSO, CHILE

Email address: `pedro.montero@usm.cl`