

NUMBER OF ROOTS OF THE CONTINUANT OVER A FINITE LOCAL RING

FLAVIEN MABILAT

RÉSUMÉ. L'objectif de cet article est d'obtenir une formule donnant, pour un entier naturel n , le nombre de racines du $n^{\text{ième}}$ polynôme continuant lorsque l'on se place sur un anneau local fini. On donnera en particulier des formules permettant de compter les racines du continuant sur les anneaux locaux \mathbb{F}_q , $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ et $\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle}$. Pour finir, on donnera, grâce aux méthodes utilisées pour le continuant, une preuve bien plus courte des formules donnant le nombre de λ -quiddités (qui sont les solutions d'une équation matricielle apparaissant lors de l'étude des frises de Coxeter) sur les anneaux $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$.

ABSTRACT. The aim of this article is to obtain a formula giving, for a positive integer n , the number of roots of the n^{th} continuant polynomial over a finite local ring. In particular, we will give counting formulae for the roots of the continuant over the local rings \mathbb{F}_q , $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle}$. To conclude, the methods used for the continuant will allow us to give a new and short proof of the counting formulae for λ -quiddities (which are the solutions of a matrix equation appearing in the study of Coxeter's friezes) over the rings $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$.

« C'est encore en méditant l'objet que le sujet a le plus de chance de s'approfondir. »
Gaston Bachelard, *Le Nouvel Esprit scientifique*.

1. INTRODUCTION

Continuants are multivariate polynomials defined recursively over a commutative and unitary rings A . More precisely, we set $K_{-1} := 0_A$, $K_0 := 1_A$ and for $i \geq 1$

$$K_i(X_1, \dots, X_i) := \begin{vmatrix} X_1 & 1_A & & & \\ 1_A & X_2 & 1_A & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1_A & X_{i-1} & 1_A \\ & & & & 1_A & X_i \end{vmatrix}.$$

$K_i(X_1, \dots, X_i)$ is the i^{th} continuant and, if there no ambiguity, we will omit the i^{th} and we will only use the word continuant. To have a concrete expression of these polynomials, one can use Euler's algorithm (see [3, 7]). Besides, for $n \geq 1$, $K_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ verify the two following equalities:

$$K_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_1 K_{n-1}(X_2, \dots, X_n) - K_{n-2}(X_3, \dots, X_n),$$

$$K_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_n K_{n-1}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) - K_{n-2}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}).$$

These polynomial, which was already known to Euler (see [7]), are involved in the study of many mathematical objects, such as Hirzebruch-Jung continued fractions, discrete Sturm-Liouville equations

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 13P15, 05E16, 05A19, 20H05.

Key words and phrases. continuant, λ -quiddity, modular group, local rings.

or Coxeter's friezes (see the introduction of [3] for more details). In particular, one of the most interesting property of them is their intervention in the expression of the entries of the following matrix :

$$M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \begin{pmatrix} a_n & -1_A \\ 1_A & 0_A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{n-1} & -1_A \\ 1_A & 0_A \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -1_A \\ 1_A & 0_A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed, for all $n \geq 1$ and for all $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, we have the following equality (see [3]) :

$$M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \begin{pmatrix} K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) & -K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) & -K_{n-2}(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrices $M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ play a central role in many topics. In particular, the following equation is very important.

$$(E_A) \quad M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \pm Id.$$

Indeed, its solutions are closely linked to the construction of Coxeter's friezes (see for instance [5]) and to the description of the congruence subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (see for example [9, 11]). We will say that a solution (a_1, \dots, a_n) of (E_A) is a λ -quiddity of size n over A . It exists several ways to study these objects. However, in this article we will only look for counting formulae (see also [2, 4, 12]).

Let A a finite commutative and unitary ring. Our main objective here is to find the number of roots of the continuant $K_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ over A . For this, we write, for $n \geq 1$ and $a \in A$,

$$R_n^a(A) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n, K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a\}$$

and $r_n(A) := |R_n^{0_A}(A)|$. Besides, the elements and the results developed for this task will allow us to obtain some elements about λ -quiddities. Consequently, we will also use the following notations. For $n \geq 1$ and $B \in SL_2(A)$, we define the set $\Omega_n^B(A) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n, M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = B\}$ and, if u is an invertible element of A and $B = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0_A \\ 0_A & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, we write $w_n^u(A) := |\Omega_n^B(A)|$.

In all this article, \mathbb{N} is the set of non-negative integers. $(A, +, \times)$ is a commutative and unitary rings different from $\{0_A\}$. 0_A is the identity element of $+$ and 1_A is the identity element of \times . If $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $l_A := \sum_{i=1}^l 1_A$. $U(A)$ is the unit group of A . If $N \geq 2$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $\bar{a} := a + N\mathbb{Z}$.

Our first aim will be to find an explicit formula for $\sum_{v \in U(A)} w_n^v(A)$. More precisely, after some preliminary elements on local rings and matrices $M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, we will prove, in the section 2.4, the result below :

Theorem 1.1. *Let A a finite local ring and $n \geq 3$. Let $\omega = \sqrt{|U(A)|^2 + 4|A - U(A)||A|}$, $\eta = \frac{|U(A)| + \omega}{2}$ and $\tau = \frac{|U(A)| - \omega}{2}$. We have the following formula :*

$$\sum_{v \in U(A)} w_n^v(A) = \frac{|U(A)|\omega(\tau^{n-3} + \eta^{n-3}) + (2|A - U(A)||A| + |U(A)|^2)(\eta^{n-3} - \tau^{n-3})}{2\omega}.$$

In the third section, we will consider the number of roots of the continuant. More precisely, we will prove the two following results.

Theorem 1.2. *Let A a finite local ring and $n \geq 1$.*

$$r_n(A) = |R_n^{0_A}(A)| = \frac{|A|^n - \sum_{u \in U(A)} |w_{n+2}^u(A)|}{|A - U(A)|}.$$

Besides, if n is odd, we have : $r_n(A) = \frac{|A|^n - |U(A)|w_{n+2,A}^1}{|A - U(A)|}$.

If we combine the two results above, we can obtain the values of $r_n(A)$ over any finite local rings. For instance, we have :

Corollary 1.3. *i) Let $n \geq 1$. Let q a power of a prime number p .*

$$r_n(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{q^n + (-1)^{n+1}}{q + 1}.$$

ii) Let $n \geq 1$, p a prime number and $m \geq 2$.

$$r_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{p^{(m-1)(n-1)}(p^n + (-1)^{n+1})}{p + 1}.$$

iii) Let $n \geq 1$, q a power of a prime number prime number and $m \geq 1$.

$$r_n\left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle}\right) = \frac{q^{(m-1)(n-1)}(q^n + (-1)^{n+1})}{q + 1}.$$

In section 3.3, we will give two different proofs of this corollary. The first one will use theorem 1.1 and the second one will not use them.

Moreover, theorems 1.1 and 1.2 allow us to have the number of roots of the continuant over any finite commutative and unitary rings since they are all isomorphic to a direct product of finite local rings (see Theorem 2.5).

To conclude, we will give in the last section a new and short proof of the counting formulae for λ -quiddities over the rings $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ (see [2] Theorem 1.2). More precisely, we will prove :

Theorem 1.4. *i) Let p an odd prime number, $m \geq 2$ and $n = 2l \geq 4$.*

— *Suppose l even.*

$$w_{n+2}^{\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{p^{(m-1)(n-1)}(p^{l+1} - 1)(p^l - 1)}{p^2 - 1},$$

$$w_{n+2}^{\overline{-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = p^{(m-1)(n-1)} \left(\frac{(p^{l+1} - 1)(p^l - 1)}{p^2 - 1} + p^l \right) + \frac{p^{(m-1)(n-1-l)} - 1}{p^{n-1-l} - 1} p^{ml-1}(p - 1).$$

— *Suppose l odd.*

$$w_{n+2}^{\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = p^{(m-1)(n-1)} \left(\frac{(p^{l+1} - 1)(p^l - 1)}{p^2 - 1} + p^l \right) + \frac{p^{(m-1)(n-1-l)} - 1}{p^{n-1-l} - 1} p^{ml-1}(p - 1),$$

$$w_{n+2}^{\overline{-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{p^{(m-1)(n-1)}(p^{l+1} - 1)(p^l - 1)}{p^2 - 1}.$$

ii) Let $m \geq 3$ and $n = 2l \geq 4$.

— *Suppose l even.*

$$w_{n+2}^{\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) = 2^{(m-2)(n-1)} \frac{4^n - 2^n}{3}; \quad w_{n+2}^{\overline{-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = 2^{(m-2)(n-1)} \frac{4^n + 2^{n+1}}{3} + \frac{2^{(m-2)(n-1-l)} - 1}{2^{n-1-l} - 1} 2^{ml-1}.$$

— *Suppose l odd.*

$$w_{n+2}^{\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) = 2^{(m-2)(n-1)} \frac{4^n + 2^{n+1}}{3} + \frac{2^{(m-2)(n-1-l)} - 1}{2^{n-1-l} - 1} 2^{ml-1}; \quad w_{n+2}^{\overline{-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = 2^{(m-2)(n-1)} \frac{4^n - 2^n}{3}.$$

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

The aim of this section is to give some elements which will be useful in the next section.

2.1. Local rings. We begin by recalling some elements about local rings.

Definition 2.1. Let A a commutative and unitary ring. A is said to be a local ring if it has a unique maximal ideal.

Theorem 2.2. Let A a commutative and unitary ring. The following statement are equivalent :

- A is a local ring;
- $A - U(A)$ is an ideal;
- if $a \in A$, then a or $1_A - a$ is invertible.

In particular, if A is a local ring then for all $a \in A - U(A)$, $1_A - a$ and $1_A + a$ are invertible (indeed, if $a \in A - U(A)$ then $-a \in A - U(A)$ and $1_A + a = 1_A - (-a) \in U(A)$).

Proposition 2.3 ([1] proposition 5). The order of a finite local ring is a prime power order.

The next results gives us a list of classical finite local rings.

Proposition 2.4. i) A finite field is a local ring.

ii) Let p a prime number and $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ is a finite local ring.

iii) Let A a finite local ring and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. $\frac{A[X]}{\langle X^n \rangle}$ is a finite local ring.

iv) $\frac{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}[X]}{\langle X^2+X+1 \rangle}$, $\frac{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}[X]}{\langle X^2, 2X \rangle}$ and $\frac{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]}{\langle X^2, Y^2 \rangle}$ are finite local rings.

v) A quotient of a local ring is a local ring.

vi) A ring isomorphic to a local ring is a local ring.

We can also find commutative and unitary rings which don't satisfy the conditions given in theorem 2.2.

Examples. — \mathbb{Z} is not a local ring, since, for instance, $1 - 3 = -2 \neq \pm 1$.

— $A = \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ is not a local ring. Indeed, $\bar{3}$ is a non invertible element of A and $(\bar{1} - \bar{3}) = -\bar{2}$ is also a non invertible element of A .

— $A = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is not a local ring. Indeed, $(\bar{1}, \bar{0})$ is a non invertible element of A and $(\bar{1}, \bar{1}) - (\bar{1}, \bar{0}) = (\bar{0}, \bar{1})$ is also a non invertible element of A .

— \mathbb{K} a commutative field. $A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0_{\mathbb{K}} \\ 0_{\mathbb{K}} & y \end{pmatrix}, (x, y) \in \mathbb{K}^2 \right\}$ is a commutative and unitary ring.

However, $\begin{pmatrix} 1_{\mathbb{K}} & 0_{\mathbb{K}} \\ 0_{\mathbb{K}} & 0_{\mathbb{K}} \end{pmatrix} \in A - U(A)$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1_{\mathbb{K}} & 0_{\mathbb{K}} \\ 0_{\mathbb{K}} & 1_{\mathbb{K}} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1_{\mathbb{K}} & 0_{\mathbb{K}} \\ 0_{\mathbb{K}} & 0_{\mathbb{K}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{\mathbb{K}} & 0_{\mathbb{K}} \\ 0_{\mathbb{K}} & 1_{\mathbb{K}} \end{pmatrix} \in A - U(A)$. So, A is not a local ring.

The theorem below shows us that finite local rings are very important in the theory of finite commutative and unitary rings.

Theorem 2.5 ([1] Theorem 1). Let A a finite commutative and unitary ring. A is isomorphic to a direct product of finite local rings.

2.2. Some elements about the matrices $M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. The objective of this section is to give some formulae verifying by the matrices $M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ which will be useful in the next section.

Lemma 2.6. Let A be a commutative and unitary ring and $(a, b, c, u, v) \in A^5$.

i) $M_3(a, 1_A, b) = M_2(a - 1_A, b - 1_A)$.

ii) $M_3(a, 0_A, b) = -M_1(a + b)$.

iii) $wv - 1_A$ invertible. $M_4(a, u, v, b) = M_3(a + (1_A - v)(uv - 1_A)^{-1}, uv - 1_A, b + (1_A - u)(uv - 1_A)^{-1})$.

iv) We suppose v invertible and $x = ((vb - 1_A)(uv - 1_A) - 1_A)v^{-1}$ invertible.

$$M_5(a, u, v, b, c) = M_3(a - (vb - 2_A)x^{-1}, x, c - (uv - 2_A)x^{-1}).$$

Proof. i), ii), and iii) come from [5] section 4. iv) comes from [2] (lemma 2.3). □

Lemma 2.7. Let A be a commutative and unitary ring, $(\lambda, u) \in U(A)^2$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0_A \\ 0_A & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$.

i) Let $n = 2l \geq 2$. Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$. If $M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = B$ then we have the following equality :

$$M_n(\lambda a_1, \lambda^{-1} a_2, \dots, \lambda a_{2l-1}, \lambda^{-1} a_{2l}) = B.$$

ii) ([2] lemma 2.5) Let $n = 2l + 1 \geq 3$. Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$. If $M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = B$ then we have the following equality :

$$M_n(\lambda a_1, \lambda^{-1} a_2, \dots, \lambda a_{2l-1}, \lambda^{-1} a_{2l}, \lambda a_{2l+1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda u & 0_A \\ 0_A & \lambda^{-1} u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. i) comes from a direct adaptation of [6] lemma 2.16. □

2.3. Number of λ -quiddities over a finite local rings. The aim of this subpart is to recall the results which have been already proved. First, we consider the case of finite fields.

Theorem 2.8 (Morier-Genoud, [12] Theorem 1). Let q be the power of a prime number p and $n > 4$.

i) n odd. $w_n^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q^2-1}$.

ii) If n is even then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $n = 2m$.

- If $p = 2$, $w_{2m}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) = w_{2m}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{(q^m-1)(q^{m-1}-1)}{q^2-1} + q^{m-1}$.
- If $p > 2$ and m even we have : $w_{2m}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{(q^m-1)(q^{m-1}-1)}{q^2-1}$.
- If $p > 2$ and $m \geq 3$ odd we have : $w_{2m}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{(q^m-1)(q^{m-1}-1)}{q^2-1} + q^{m-1}$.

Theorem 2.9 ([6] Theorem 1.1). Let q be the power of a prime number $p > 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 4$.

i) If n is odd then we have $w_n^1(\mathbb{F}_q) = w_n^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q^2-1}$.

ii) If n is even then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $n = 2m$.

- If m is even we have : $w_{2m}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{(q^m-1)(q^{m-1}-1)}{q^2-1} + q^{m-1}$.
- If $m \geq 3$ is odd we have : $w_{2m}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{(q^m-1)(q^{m-1}-1)}{q^2-1}$.

Remark. The previous formulae are still true if $n \in \{2, 3, 4\}$.

Theorem 2.10 ([10] Theorem 1.4). Let $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$. We have the following equality :

$$w_{2n+1}^{\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) = w_{2n+1}^{\overline{-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{2^{2mn-2n-2m-1}(2^{2n+3} - 8)}{3}.$$

Remark. The previous formula is still true in the case $m = 1$.

Theorem 2.11 ([6] Theorem 1.3). Let $n = 2m \geq 3$ even.

- If m is even we have $w_n^{\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{4^{n-2}+2^{n-1}}{3}$ and $w_n^{\overline{-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{4^{n-2}-2^{n-2}}{3}$.

— If m is odd we have $w_n^{\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{4^{n-2}-2^{n-2}}{3}$ and $w_n^{\overline{-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{4^{n-2}+2^{n-1}}{3}$.

Theorem 2.12 (Böhmler-Cuntz, [2] Theorem 1.1). *Let A be a finite local ring, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, and $n > 1$ with n odd or $(-1)^{n/2} \neq \epsilon$.*

$$w_n^{\epsilon 1_A}(A) = w_n^{\epsilon 1_{A/I}} \left(\frac{A}{I} \right) |A - U(A)|^{n-3}.$$

If we combine this result with the theorem 2.5, we obtain the number of λ -quiddities of odd size over any finite commutative and unitary ring. Besides, we immediately have the following corollary :

Corollary 2.13. *i) Let q a power of a prime number, $m \geq 1$, $A = \frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle}$ and $n \geq 1$.*

$$w_{n+2}^{\overline{1}}(A) = \frac{q^{nm+1} - q^{n(m-1)}}{q^{m-1}(q^2 - 1)}.$$

ii) ([2] corollary 3.3) Let p a prime number, $n \geq 1$ odd and $m \geq 2$.

$$w_{n+2}^{\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{p^{nm+1} - p^{n(m-1)}}{p^{m-1}(p^2 - 1)}.$$

We will also give another proof of i) and two other proofs of ii) which will not use theorem 2.12 (see the two next sections).

2.4. Proof of theorem 1.1. The aim of this section is to prove the counting formula for $\sum_{u \in U(A)} w_n^u(A)$. The proof given below is an adaptation of the proof of [10] theorem 1.4 i).

Proof of theorem 1.1. Let A a finite local ring, $B \in SL_2(A)$ and $n > 4$. To simplify our proof, we define the following objects :

- $\Omega_n^B(A) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n, M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = B\}$;
- $\Delta_n^B(A) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega_n^B(A), a_2 \in U(A)\}$;
- $\Lambda_n^B(A, a) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega_n^B(A), a_2 = a\}$;
- $V(A) := \{(u, v) \in A \times U(A), uv - 1_A \in A - U(A)\}$;
- $\psi : V(A) \times A \longrightarrow U(A)$
 $((u, v), w) \longmapsto ((vw - 1_A)(uv - 1_A) - 1_A)v^{-1}$;
- $T(A, x) := \{((u, v), w) \in V(A) \times A, \psi(u, v, w) = x\}, x \in U(A)$.

ψ is well defined. Indeed, let $(u, v) \in V(A)$ and $w \in A$. The choice of u and v implies $(uv - 1_A) \notin U(A)$. So, $(vw - 1_A)(uv - 1_A) \notin U(A)$. Since A is a local ring, $((vw - 1_A)(uv - 1_A) - 1_A) \in U(A)$. Hence, $((vw - 1_A)(uv - 1_A) - 1_A)v^{-1}$ is invertible. Besides, if $(u, v) \in V(A)$ then $u \in U(A)$ since A is a local ring. We consider the following applications :

$$\delta : V(A) \longrightarrow U(A) \times (A - U(A))$$

$$(u, v) \longmapsto (u, uv - 1_A)$$

and

$$\tilde{\delta} : U(A) \times (A - U(A)) \longrightarrow V(A)$$

$$(u, x) \longmapsto (u, u^{-1}(x + 1_A)) .$$

δ and $\tilde{\delta}$ are reciprocal bijections. Hence, $|V(A)| = |U(A)| |A - U(A)|$.

First step : We prove the following recursive formula :

$$|\Delta_n^B(A)| = |U(A)| |\Delta_{n-1}^B(A)| + |A - U(A)| |A| |\Delta_{n-2}^B(A)| .$$

We have the following equalities :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_n^B(A) &= \bigsqcup_{u \in U(A)} \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega_n^B(A), a_2 = u\} \\
 &= \bigsqcup_{u \in U(A)} \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega_n^B(A), a_2 = u \text{ and } ua_3 - 1_A \in U(A)\} \\
 &\quad \bigsqcup_{u \in U(A)} \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega_n^B(A), a_2 = u \text{ and } ua_3 - 1_A \in A - U(A)\} \\
 &= \bigsqcup_{u \in U(A)} \underbrace{\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega_n^B(A), a_2 = u \text{ and } ua_3 - 1_A \in U(A)\}}_{X_n^B(u)} \\
 &\quad \bigsqcup_{(u,v) \in V(A)} \underbrace{\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega_n^B(A), a_2 = u, a_3 = v\}}_{Y_n^B(u,v)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $u \in U(A)$. First, we consider $X_n^B(u)$. By lemma 2.6 iii), we can define the two following applications:

$$f_{n,u} : \begin{array}{ccc} X_n^B(u) & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{n-1}^B(A) \\ (a_1, u, a_3, \dots, a_n) & \longmapsto & (a_1 + (1_A - a_3)(ua_3 - 1_A)^{-1}, ua_3 - 1_A, a_4 + (1_A - u)(ua_3 - 1_A)^{-1}, a_5, \dots, a_n) \end{array}$$

and

$$g_{n,u} : \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{n-1}^B(A) & \longrightarrow & X_n^B(u) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) & \longmapsto & (a_1 + (u^{-1}(a_2 + 1_A) - 1_A)a_2^{-1}, u, u^{-1}(a_2 + 1_A), a_3 + (u - 1_A)a_2^{-1}, a_4, \dots, a_{n-1}) \end{array} .$$

$f_{n,u}$ and $g_{n,u}$ are reciprocal bijections. Thus, $|X_n^B(u)| = |\Delta_{n-1}^B(A)|$.

Now, we set $v \in A$ such that $(u, v) \in V(A)$. We consider $Y_n^B(u, v)$. We have :

$$Y_n^B(u, v) = \bigsqcup_{w \in A} \underbrace{\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega_n^B(A), a_2 = u, a_3 = v \text{ and } a_4 = w\}}_{Z_n^B(u,v,w)}.$$

Thus, we will consider the sets $Z_n^B(u, v, w)$. Let $w \in A$ and $x = \psi(u, v, w)$. By lemma 2.6 iv), we can define the two following applications :

$$h_{n,u,v,w} : \begin{array}{ccc} Z_n^B(u, v, w) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_{n-2}^B(A, x) \\ (a_1, u, v, w, a_5, \dots, a_n) & \longmapsto & (a_1 - (vw - 2_A)x^{-1}, x, a_5 - (uv - 2_A)x^{-1}, a_6, \dots, a_n) \end{array}$$

$$\text{and } k_{n,u,v,w} : \begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_{n-2}^B(A, x) & \longrightarrow & Z_n^B(u, v, w) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}) & \longmapsto & (a_1 + (vw - 2_A)x^{-1}, u, v, w, a_3 + (uv - 2_A)x^{-1}, a_4, \dots, a_{n-2}) \end{array} .$$

$h_{n,u,v,w}$ and $k_{n,u,v,w}$ are reciprocal bijections. Hence, $|Z_n^B(u, v, w)| = |\Lambda_{n-2}^B(A, x)|$.

To conclude, we need some elements about the sets $T(A, x)$. First, we have :

$$V(A) \times A = \bigsqcup_{x \in U(A)} \{((u, v), w) \in V(A) \times A, \psi(u, v, w) = x\}.$$

Let $x \in U(A)$. We define two applications :

$$\alpha_x : \begin{array}{ccc} T(A, 1_A) & \longrightarrow & T(A, x) \\ ((u, v), w) & \longmapsto & ((ux, vx^{-1}), wx) \end{array} \quad \beta_x : \begin{array}{ccc} T(A, x) & \longrightarrow & T(A, 1_A) \\ ((u, v), w) & \longmapsto & ((ux^{-1}, vx), wx^{-1}) \end{array} .$$

α_x and β_x are well defined and are reciprocal bijections. Hence, $|T(A, x)| = |T(A, 1_A)|$. Moreover,

$$|V(A) \times A| = \sum_{u \in U(A)} |T(A, u)| = |U(A)| |T(A, 1_A)|.$$

So, $|T(A, x)| = |T(A, 1_A)| = |A - U(A)||A|$.

All these formulae allow us to have the following equalities :

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Delta_n^B(A)| &= \sum_{u \in U(A)} |X_n^B(u)| + \sum_{(u,v) \in V(A)} |Y_n^B(u,v)| \\
&= \sum_{u \in U(A)} |\Delta_{n-1}^B(A)| + \sum_{(u,v) \in V(A)} \left(\sum_{w \in A} |Z_n^B(u,v,w)| \right) \\
&= |U(A)| |\Delta_{n-1}^B(A)| + \sum_{x \in U(A)} \left(\sum_{((u,v),w) \in T(A,x)} |Z_n^B(u,v,w)| \right) \\
&= |U(A)| |\Delta_{n-1}^B(A)| + \sum_{x \in U(A)} \left(\sum_{((u,v),w) \in T(A,x)} |\Lambda_{n-2}^B(A,x)| \right) \\
&= |U(A)| |\Delta_{n-1}^B(A)| + \sum_{x \in U(A)} |T(A,x)| |\Lambda_{n-2}^B(A,x)| \\
&= |U(A)| |\Delta_{n-1}^B(A)| + |T(A, 1_A)| \sum_{x \in U(A)} |\Lambda_{n-2}^B(A,x)| \\
&= |U(A)| |\Delta_{n-1}^B(A)| + |T(A, 1_A)| |\Delta_{n-2}^B(A)| \\
&= |U(A)| |\Delta_{n-1}^B(A)| + |A - U(A)||A| |\Delta_{n-2}^B(m)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Second step : We give a complete formula for $|\Delta_{n-1}^B(A)|$ in the case B diagonal.

Let $u \in U(A)$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0_A \\ 0_A & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. First, we give a description of $\Delta_3^B(A)$ and $\Delta_4^B(A)$.

i) $M_3(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_2 a_3 - a_1 - a_3 & -a_2 a_3 + 1_A \\ a_1 a_2 - 1_A & -a_2 \end{pmatrix}$. So $\Delta_3^B(A) = \{(-u, -u^{-1}, -u)\}$ and $|\Delta_3^B(A)| = 1$.

ii) $M_4(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 - a_3 a_4 - a_1 a_4 - a_1 a_2 + 1_A & -a_2 a_3 a_4 + a_2 + a_4 \\ a_1 a_2 a_3 - a_1 - a_3 & -a_2 a_3 + 1_A \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, we have the following equalities : $\Delta_4^B(A) = \{((1-u)v^{-1}, v, (1-u^{-1})v^{-1}, -uv), v \in U(A)\}$ and $|\Delta_4^B(A)| = |U(A)|$.

Let $\omega := \sqrt{|U(A)|^2 + 4|A - U(A)||A|}$, $\eta := \frac{|U(A)| + \omega}{2}$ and $\tau := \frac{|U(A)| - \omega}{2}$. Let $A := \begin{pmatrix} |U(A)| & |A - U(A)||A| \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $P := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{\eta}{|A - U(A)||A|} & -\frac{\tau}{|A - U(A)||A|} \end{pmatrix}$. P is invertible and $P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\omega - |U(A)|}{2\omega} & \frac{-|A - U(A)||A|}{\omega} \\ \frac{\omega + |U(A)|}{2\omega} & \frac{|A - U(A)||A|}{\omega} \end{pmatrix}$. The characteristic polynomial of A is $\chi_A(X) = (X - \eta)(X - \tau)$. We have, $A = P \begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \eta \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$.

By the first step, we have the following equality :

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\Delta_n^B(A)| \\ |\Delta_{n-1}^B(A)| \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} |\Delta_{n-1}^B(A)| \\ |\Delta_{n-2}^B(A)| \end{pmatrix} = A^{n-4} \begin{pmatrix} |\Delta_4^B(A)| \\ |\Delta_3^B(A)| \end{pmatrix} = P \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{n-4} & 0 \\ 0 & \eta^{n-4} \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} |U(A)| \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$|\Delta_n^B(A)| = \frac{|U(A)|\omega(\tau^{n-4} + \eta^{n-4}) + (2|A - U(A)||A| + |U(A)|^2)(\eta^{n-4} - \tau^{n-4})}{2\omega}.$$

Third step : We deduce the desired value.

Let $v \in U(A)$, $n \geq 2$ and $B_v = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0_A \\ 0_A & v^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Lemma 2.7 ii) and lemma 2.6 i) allow us to define the two following applications :

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{n,v} : \quad & \Lambda_{2n+1}^{Id}(A, v) \longrightarrow \Omega_{2n}^{B_v}(A) \\ & (a_1, v, a_3, \dots, a_{2n+1}) \longmapsto (a_1 v - 1_A, a_3 v - 1_A, a_4 v^{-1}, a_5 v, \dots, a_{2n+1} v) \\ \text{and } \theta_{n,v} : \quad & \Omega_{2n}^{B_v}(A) \longrightarrow \Lambda_{2n+1}^{Id}(A, v) \\ & (a_1, \dots, a_{2n}) \longmapsto ((a_1 + 1_A)v^{-1}, v, (a_2 + 1_A)v^{-1}, a_3 v, \dots, a_{2n} v^{-1}) . \end{aligned}$$

$\vartheta_{n,v}$ and $\theta_{n,v}$ are reciprocal bijections. Thus, $|\Omega_{2n}^{B_v}(A)| = |\Lambda_{2n+1}^{Id}(A, v)|$.

Hence, $|\Delta_{2n+1}^{Id}(A)| = \sum_{v \in U(A)} |\Lambda_{2n+1}^{Id}(A, v)| = \sum_{v \in U(A)} |\Omega_{2n}^{B_v}(A)| = \sum_{v \in U(A)} w_{2n}^v(A)$.

A similar argument gives $|\Omega_{2n+1}^{B_v}(A)| = |\Lambda_{2n+2}^{B_v}(A, u)|$ for all $u \in U(A)$. So, $|U(A)| |\Omega_{2n+1}^{B_v}(A)| = |\Delta_{2n+2}^{B_v}(A)|$ and $\sum_{v \in U(A)} w_{2n+1}^v(A) = |\Delta_{2n+2}^{B_v}(A)|$ (since $|\Delta_{2n+2}^{B_v}(A)|$ does not depend on v).

If we combine these formulae with the result of the second step, we obtain for all $n \geq 4$:

$$\sum_{v \in U(A)} w_n^v(A) = \frac{|U(A)| \omega(\tau^{n-3} + \eta^{n-3}) + (2|A - U(A)| |A| + |U(A)|^2)(\eta^{n-3} - \tau^{n-3})}{2\omega}.$$

Besides, this formula is still true if $n = 3$. □

3. NUMBER OF ROOTS OF THE CONTINUANT OVER A FINITE LOCAL RING

The aim of this section is to obtain a formula which gives the number of roots of the continuant over a finite local ring.

3.1. Some elements about the roots of the continuant. The aim of this subpart is to collect several results which will be very useful in the proofs of our main results. We begin by the characterization of the roots of $K_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ for the small values of n .

Proposition 3.1. *Let A a commutative and unitary ring.*

i) a_1 is a root of $K_1(X)$ if and only if $a_1 = 0_A$.

ii) (a_1, a_2) is a root of $K_2(X_1, X_2)$ if and only if $(a_1, a_2) \in U(A)^2$ and $a_2 = a_1^{-1}$. In particular, if A is finite then $K_2(X_1, X_2)$ has $|U(A)|$ roots over A .

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the formulae $K_1(X) = X$ and $K_2(X_1, X_2) = X_1 X_2 - 1_A$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let A a commutative and unitary ring. Let $x \in A$, $u \in U(A)$ and $a \in A - U(A)$.*

i) Let $n \geq 1$. $R_n^x(A) \neq \emptyset$.

ii) Let $n \geq 2$. It exists $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ such that $K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a$ and $K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = u$.

Proof. i) By induction on n . $K_1(x) = x$, $K_2(x + 1_A, 1_A) = x$ and so $R_1^x(A), R_2^x(A) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose it exists $n \geq 2$ such that $R_n^x(A) \neq \emptyset$. It exists $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ such that $K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = x$. By lemma 2.6 i), $M_{n+1}(a_1 + 1_A, 1_A, a_2 + 1_A, \dots, a_n) = M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Hence, $K_{n+1}(a_1 + 1_A, 1_A, a_2 + 1_A, \dots, a_n) = K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = x$ and $R_{n+1}^x(A) \neq \emptyset$. By induction, $R_n^x(A) \neq \emptyset$.

ii) By induction on n . $K_2(u, (a + 1_A)u^{-1}) = a$ and $K_1(u) = u$. Suppose it exists $n \geq 2$ such that it exists $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ such that $K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a$ and $K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = u$. By lemma 2.6 i), $M_{n+1}(a_1 + 1_A, 1_A, a_2 + 1_A, \dots, a_n) = M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Hence, $K_{n+1}(a_1 + 1_A, 1_A, a_2 + 1_A, \dots, a_n) = K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a$ and $K_n(a_1 + 1_A, 1_A, a_2 + 1_A, \dots, a_{n-1}) = K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = u$. By induction, the result is true. \square

The next result gives us a link between the sets $|R_n^u(A)|$ and the elements developed in the previous section.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $u \in U(A)$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0_A \\ 0_A & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. $|R_n^u(A)| = |\Omega_{n+2}^{-B^{-1}}(A)|$.*

Proof. We define the following application :

$$\Theta_{n,u} : \begin{array}{ccc} R_n^u(A) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{n+2}^{-B^{-1}}(A) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) & \longmapsto & (u^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n), a_1, \dots, a_n, u^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})) \end{array} .$$

Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R_n^u(A)$. We have the following equalities :

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= K_{n+1}(u^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n), a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ &= u^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n)K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) - K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ &= u^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n)u - K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ &= K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n) - K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ &= 0_A. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_2 &= K_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_n, u^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})) \\ &= u^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) - K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &= u^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})u - K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &= K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) - K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &= 0_A. \end{aligned}$$

So, $M := M_{n+2}(u^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n), a_1, \dots, a_n, u^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0_A \\ 0_A & -u \end{pmatrix}$, with $x \in A$.

Since $\det(M) = 1_A$, x is necessarily equal to $-u^{-1}$. Thus, $M = -B^{-1}$ and $\Theta_{n,u}$ is well defined. Now,

we define the following application : $\tilde{\Theta}_{n,u} : \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{n+2}^{-B^{-1}}(A) & \longrightarrow & R_n^u(A) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}) & \longmapsto & (a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) \end{array} .$

Let $(a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}) \in \Omega_{n+2}^{-B^{-1}}(A)$.

$$M_{n+2}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}) = \begin{pmatrix} K_{n+2}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}) & -K_{n+1}(a_2, \dots, a_{n+2}) \\ K_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) & -K_n(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -u^{-1} & 0_A \\ 0_A & -u \end{pmatrix} .$$

Hence, $K_n(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) = u$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_{n,u}$ is well defined.

Besides, $\tilde{\Theta}_{n,u}$ and $\Theta_{n,u}$ are reciprocal bijections. Indeed, if $(a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}) \in \Omega_{n+2}^{-B^{-1}}(A)$ then we have $0_A = a_1K_n(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) - K_{n-1}(a_3, \dots, a_{n+1})$ and $a_1 = u^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_3, \dots, a_{n+1})$. A similar calculus gives $a_{n+2} = u^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n)$.

So, $|R_n^u(A)| = |\Omega_{n+2}^{-B^{-1}}(A)|$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $u \in U(A)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ odd. $|R_n^u(A)| = |\Omega_{n+2}^{Id}(A)|$.*

Proof. Let $n = 2l + 1$, Let $v = -u^{-1}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0_A \\ 0_A & v^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. We define the two following applications :

$$\xi_{n,v} : \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{n+2}^{Id}(A) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{n+2}^B(A) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_{2l+3}) & \longmapsto & (va_1, v^{-1}a_2, \dots, va_{2l-1}, v^{-1}a_{2l+2}, va_{2l+3}) \end{array}$$

and

$$\zeta_{n,v} : \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{n+2}^B(A) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{n+2}^{Id}(A) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_{2l+3}) & \longmapsto & (v^{-1}a_1, va_2, \dots, v^{-1}a_{2l-1}, va_{2l+2}, v^{-1}a_{2l+3}) \end{array} .$$

Since n is odd, $\xi_{n,v}$ and $\zeta_{n,v}$ are well defined (lemma 2.7 ii). Besides, they are reciprocal bijections. Thus, $|\Omega_{n+2}^B(A)| = |\Omega_{n+2}^{Id}(A)|$. So, by lemma 3.3, $|R_n^u(A)| = |\Omega_{n+2}^B(A)| = |\Omega_{n+2}^{Id}(A)|$. \square

The following result will be an important element in the proofs of the counting formulae given in the introduction.

Proposition 3.5. *Let A a finite local ring, $a \in A - U(A)$ and $n \geq 2$. We have the following equality.*

$$|R_n^a(A)| = |R_n^{0_A}(A)| .$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $n \geq 2$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$. Suppose $K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = x \notin U(A)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \begin{pmatrix} K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) & -K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) & -K_{n-2}(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} x & -K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) & -K_{n-2}(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $1_A = \det(M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = -x \times K_{n-2}(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) + K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n)K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$. So, $K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n)K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = 1_A + x \times K_{n-2}(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$.

Besides, $x \times K_{n-2}(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A - U(A)$. Indeed, if $x \times K_{n-2}(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in U(A)$ then it exists $u \in U(A)$ such that $1_A = (x \times K_{n-2}(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}))u = x(K_{n-2}(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \times u)$ and so $x \in U(A)$.

Since A is a local ring, $K_{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n)K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in U(A)$. In particular, $K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is an invertible element of A .

Hence, for all $a \in A - U(A)$, we have the following equality :

$$R_n^a(A) = \bigsqcup_{u \in U(A)} \underbrace{\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n, K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a \text{ and } K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = u\}}_{S_n^{a,u}(A)} .$$

By lemma 3.2 ii), $S_n^{a,u}(A) \neq \emptyset$ for all $u \in U(A)$.

Let $a \in A - U(A)$ and $u \in U(A)$. We define the two following applications :

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n,a,u} : \begin{array}{ccc} S_n^{0_A,u}(A) & \longrightarrow & S_n^{a,u}(A) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) & \longmapsto & (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n + au^{-1}) \end{array} , \\ \kappa_{n,a,u} : \begin{array}{ccc} S_n^{a,u}(A) & \longrightarrow & S_n^{0_A,u}(A) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) & \longmapsto & (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n - au^{-1}) \end{array} . \end{aligned}$$

Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S_n^{0_A,u}(A)$. We have the following equalities :

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n,a,u}(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= K_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n + au^{-1}) \\ &= (a_n + au^{-1})K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) - K_{n-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}) \\ &= a_n K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) - K_{n-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}) + au^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &= K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) + au^{-1}u \\ &= 0_A + a \\ &= a . \end{aligned}$$

Besides, we obviously have $K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = u$. So, $\gamma_{n,a,u}$ is well-defined.

Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S_n^{a,u}(A)$. We have the following equalities :

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{n,a,u}(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= K_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n - au^{-1}) \\ &= (a_n - au^{-1})K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) - K_{n-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}) \\ &= a_n K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) - K_{n-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}) - au^{-1}K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &= K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) - au^{-1}u \\ &= a - a \\ &= 0_A. \end{aligned}$$

Besides, we obviously have $K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = u$. So, $\kappa_{n,a,u}$ is well-defined.

Moreover, $\gamma_{n,a,u}$ and $\kappa_{n,a,u}$ are reciprocal bijections. Hence, $|S_n^{0A,u}(A)| = |S_n^{a,u}(A)|$.

So, we have :

$$|R_n^a(A)| = \left| \bigsqcup_{u \in U(A)} S_n^{a,u}(A) \right| = \sum_{u \in U(A)} |S_n^{a,u}(A)| = \sum_{u \in U(A)} |S_n^{0A,u}(A)| = \left| \bigsqcup_{u \in U(A)} S_n^{0A,u}(A) \right| = |R_n^{0A}(A)|.$$

□

3.2. Proof of theorem 1.2. The objective of this section is to study the number of roots of the continuant over finite local rings.

Proof of theorem 1.2. Let A a finite local ring and $n \geq 2$. We have the following equality :

$$\begin{aligned} A^n &= \bigsqcup_{u \in U(A)} \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n, K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = u\} \bigsqcup_{a \in A-U(A)} \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n, K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a\} \\ &= \bigsqcup_{u \in U(A)} R_n^u(A) \bigsqcup_{a \in A-U(A)} R_n^a(A). \end{aligned}$$

Besides, by proposition 3.5,

$$\left| \bigsqcup_{a \in A-U(A)} R_n^a(A) \right| = \sum_{a \in A-U(A)} |R_n^a(A)| = \sum_{a \in A-U(A)} |R_n^{0A}(A)| = |A - U(A)| |R_n^{0A}(A)|.$$

Hence, $|A|^n = \sum_{u \in U(A)} |R_n^u(A)| + |A - U(A)| |R_n^{0A}(A)| = \sum_{u \in U(A)} w_{n+2}^{-u-1}(A) + |A - U(A)| r_n(A)$ (lemma 3.3). Thus,

$$r_n(A) = \frac{|A|^n - \sum_{u \in U(A)} w_{n+2}^{-u-1}(A)}{|A - U(A)|} = \frac{|A|^n - \sum_{u \in U(A)} w_{n+2}^u(A)}{|A - U(A)|}.$$

Suppose n odd.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bigsqcup_{u \in U(A)} R_n^u(A) \right| &= \sum_{u \in U(A)} |R_n^u(A)| \\ &= \sum_{u \in U(A)} |\Omega_{n+2}^{Id}(A)| \quad (\text{lemma 3.4}) \\ &= |U(A)| w_{n+2}^1(A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $|A|^n = |U(A)| w_{n+2}^1(A) + |A - U(A)| |R_n^{0A}(A)|$. Thus, $r_n(A) = |R_n^{0A}(A)| = \frac{|A|^n - |U(A)| w_{n+2}^1(A)}{|A - U(A)|}$.

Besides, this formula is still true if $n = 1$.

□

Remark. It exists infinite sets R (closed under $+$) such that there is a finite number of λ -quiddities over R of fixed size n and an infinite number of roots of the continuant $K_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ over R . For instance, let $n = 5$ and $R = \mathbb{N}^*$. There is five λ -quiddities over \mathbb{N}^* (see [4]). $K_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ has infinitely many roots over \mathbb{N}^* , since for example $(1, 1, a, 1, 1)$ is a root for all $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

3.3. Counting formulae over finite fields, over $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ and over $\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle}$. First, we give a proof based on theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Proof of corollary 1.3. i) Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q$ with q a prime power number and $n \geq 1$. A is a finite local ring (proposition 2.4) and $|U(A)| = q - 1$. $\omega = \sqrt{(q-1)^2 + 4q} = \sqrt{(q+1)^2} = q+1$, $\eta = q$ and $\tau = -1$ (notations of theorem 1.1). Hence, by theorem 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in U(A)} w_{n+2,A}^u &= \frac{(q-1)(q+1)((-1)^{n-1} + q^{n-1}) + (2q + (q-1)^2)(q^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1})}{2(q+1)} \\ &= \frac{(q^2-1)(q^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1}) + (q^2+1)(q^{n-1} + (-1)^n)}{2(q+1)} \\ &= \frac{q^{n+1} + (-1)^{n-1}q^2 - q^{n-1} + (-1)^n + q^{n+1} - (-1)^{n-1}q^2 + q^{n-1} + (-1)^n}{2(q+1)} \\ &= \frac{q^{n+1} + (-1)^n}{q+1}. \end{aligned}$$

So, by theorem 1.2,

$$r_n(A) = q^n - \frac{q^{n+1} + (-1)^n}{q+1} = \frac{q^n + (-1)^{n+1}}{q+1}.$$

ii) Let $A = \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ with p a prime number, $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$. A is a finite local ring (proposition 2.4) and $|U(A)| = p^{m-1}(p-1)$. $\omega = \sqrt{p^{2m-2}(p-1)^2 + 4p^{2m-1}} = \sqrt{p^{2m-2}((p-1)^2 + 4p)} = p^{m-1}(p+1)$, $\eta = \frac{p^{m-1}(p-1) + p^{m-1}(p+1)}{2} = p^m$ and $\tau = \frac{p^{m-1}(p-1) - p^{m-1}(p+1)}{2} = -p^{m-1}$ (notations of theorem 1.1). Hence, by theorem 1.1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in U(A)} w_{n+2,A}^u &= \frac{p^{m-1}(p-1)p^{m-1}(p+1)(\tau^{n-1} + \eta^{n-1}) + (2p^{2m-1} + p^{2m-2}(p-1)^2)(\eta^{n-1} - \tau^{n-1})}{2p^{m-1}(p+1)} \\ &= \frac{p^{2m-2}(p^2-1)(\tau^{n-1} + \eta^{n-1}) + (2p^{2m-1} + p^{2m-2}(p-1)^2)(\eta^{n-1} - \tau^{n-1})}{2p^{m-1}(p+1)} \\ &= \frac{p^{2m-2}(p^2-1)(\tau^{n-1} + \eta^{n-1}) + (2p^{2m-1} + p^{2m} - 2p^{2m-1} + p^{2m-2})(\eta^{n-1} - \tau^{n-1})}{2p^{m-1}(p+1)} \\ &= \frac{p^{2m-2}(p^2-1)(\tau^{n-1} + \eta^{n-1}) + p^{2m-2}(p^2+1)(\eta^{n-1} - \tau^{n-1})}{2p^{m-1}(p+1)} \\ &= \frac{p^{m+1}\eta^{n-1} - p^{m-1}\tau^{n-1}}{p+1} \\ &= \frac{p^{m+1}p^{m(n-1)} + (-1)^n p^{m-1}p^{(n-1)(m-1)}}{p+1} \\ &= \frac{p^{mn+1} + (-1)^n p^{(n-1)(m-1)+m-1}}{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

So, by theorem 1.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
r_n(A) &= \frac{1}{p^{m-1}} \left(p^{mn} - \frac{p^{mn+1} + (-1)^n p^{(n-1)(m-1)+m-1}}{p+1} \right) \\
&= p^{mn-m+1} - \frac{p^{mn-m+2} + (-1)^n p^{(n-1)(m-1)}}{p+1} \\
&= \frac{p^{mm-m+2} + p^{nm-m+1} - p^{nm-m+2} + (-1)^{n+1} p^{(n-1)(m-1)}}{p+1} \\
&= \frac{p^{(n-1)(m-1)}(p^n + (-1)^{n+1})}{p+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

iii) Similar calculus give the result. □

Now, we will give another proof of this result which will not use theorem 1.1. For this, we begin by looking for an explicit formula for $|R_n^u(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ in the cases u invertible.

Lemma 3.6. *Let q a power of a prime number, $u \in U(A)$ with $u \neq \pm 1_A$ and $n = 2m \geq 4$.*

$$|R_{2m}^u(A)| = \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)}{q^2 - 1}.$$

Proof. Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q$, $v = -u^{-1}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0_A \\ 0_A & v^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ and $n = 2m$. We have the following equality :

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_n^B(A) &= \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega_n^B(A), a_2 = 0_A\} \sqcup \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega_n^B(A), a_2 \neq 0_A\} \\
&= \bigsqcup_{a \in A} \underbrace{\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega_n^B(A), a_1 = a \text{ and } a_2 = 0_A\}}_{\Psi_a} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{b \in A - \{0_A\}} \underbrace{\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega_n^B(A), a_2 = b\}}_{\Theta_b}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $a \in A$. By lemma 2.6 ii), we can define the two following applications :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\varphi_{n,a} : & \Psi_a & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{n-2}^{-B}(A) & \phi_{n,a} : & \Omega_{n-2}^{-B}(A) & \longrightarrow & \Psi_a \\
(a, 0_A, a_3, \dots, a_{2n}) & \longmapsto & (a + a_3, \dots, a_{2n}) & , & (a_1, \dots, a_{2n}) & \longmapsto & (a, 0_A, a_1 - a, a_2, \dots, a_{2n})
\end{array}$$

$\varphi_{n,a}$ and $\phi_{n,a}$ are reciprocal bijections. Hence, $|\Psi_a| = |\Omega_{n-2}^{-B}(A)|$.

Let $b \in A - \{0_A\}$. By lemma 2.7 i), we can define the two following applications:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\vartheta_{n,b} : & \Theta_b & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{n-1}^B(A) \\
(a_1, b, a_3, \dots, a_{2n}) & \longmapsto & (a_1 b - 1_A, a_3 b - 1_A, a_4 b^{-1}, a_5 b, \dots, a_{2n} b^{-1}) \\
\text{and } \theta_{n,b} : & \Omega_{n-1}^B(A) & \longrightarrow & \Theta_b \\
(a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1}) & \longmapsto & ((a_1 + 1_A) b^{-1}, b, (a_2 + 1_A) b^{-1}, a_3 b, \dots, a_{2n-1} b)
\end{array}$$

These applications are reciprocal bijections. Thus, $|\Theta_b| = |\Omega_{n-1}^B(A)|$.

So, we obtain the following recursive formulae :

$$w_{2m}^v(A) = (q-1)w_{2m-1}^v(A) + qw_{2m-2}^{-v}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad w_{2m}^{-v}(A) = (q-1)w_{2m-1}^{-v}(A) + qw_{2m-2}^v(A).$$

Now, we will prove by induction the equality $w_{2l}^v(A) = w_{2l}^{-v}(A)$. Since $u \neq \pm 1_A$, $v \neq \pm 1_A$ and we have $w_2^v(A) = w_2^{-v}(A) = 0$. Suppose it exists $l \geq 1$ such that $w_{2l}^v(A) = w_{2l}^{-v}(A)$. By lemma 3.4, $w_{2l+1}^{-v}(A) = w_{2l+1}^v(A) = w_{2l+1}^A(A)$. So, the two recursive formulae give us $w_{2l+2}^v(A) = w_{2l+2}^{-v}(A)$. Hence, by induction, we have, for all $l \geq 1$, $w_{2l}^v(A) = w_{2l}^{-v}(A)$.

So, if we use the recursive formula and the theorem 2.8, we have the following equalities :

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{2m}^v(A) &= (q-1)w_{2m-1}^v(A) + qw_{2m-2}^v(A) \\
 &= (q-1)w_{2m-1}^{1A}(A) + q((q-1)w_{2m-3}^v(A) + qw_{2m-4}^v(A)) \\
 &= \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} q^k(q-1)w_{2m-(2k+1)}^{1A}(A) + q^{m-1}w_2^v(A) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} q^k(q-1)\frac{q^{2m-2k-2}-1}{q^2-1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{q+1} \left(q^{2m-2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \frac{1}{q^k} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} q^k \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{q+1} \left(q^{2m-2} \frac{1-q^{1-m}}{1-q^{-1}} - \frac{1-q^{m-1}}{1-q} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{q+1} \left(q^{2m-1} \frac{1-q^{1-m}}{q-1} - \frac{q^{m-1}-1}{q-1} \right) \\
 &= \frac{q^{2m-1} - q^m - q^{m-1} + 1}{q^2 - 1} \\
 &= \frac{(q^m - 1)(q^{m-1} - 1)}{q^2 - 1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 3.3, $|R_{2m}^u(A)| = w_{2m+2}^v(A) = \frac{(q^{m+1}-1)(q^m-1)}{q^2-1}$.

□

Lemma 3.7. *Let $n \geq 1$, $m \geq 2$ and p a prime number. $r_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = p^{n-1}r_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. Let $N = p^m$. We define the following application :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \iota : \quad & (\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}((\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^n) \\
 (a_1 + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}, \dots, a_n + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}) & \longmapsto \{(\overline{b_1}, \dots, \overline{b_n}), \exists k_i \in \llbracket 0; p-1 \rrbracket \overline{b_i} = \overline{a_i + \frac{k_i N}{p}}\} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Our first aim is to prove $\bigsqcup_{z \in R_n^0(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})} \iota(z) = \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{p-1} \overline{R_n^p}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n, c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ such that $z := (a_1 + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}, \dots, a_n + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}) \neq z' := (c_1 + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}, \dots, c_n + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z})$. Suppose $\iota(z) \cap \iota(z') \neq \emptyset$. It exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $a_j + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z} \neq c_j + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}$ and it exists $(\overline{b_1}, \dots, \overline{b_n}) \in \iota(z) \cap \iota(z')$. So, it exists $(k, l) \in \llbracket 0; p-1 \rrbracket^2$ such that $\overline{b_j} = \overline{a_j + \frac{kN}{p}}$ and $\overline{b_j} = \overline{c_j + \frac{lN}{p}}$. Thus, $a_j + \frac{kN}{p} + N\mathbb{Z} = c_j + \frac{lN}{p} + N\mathbb{Z}$ and $a_j + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z} = c_j + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $\iota(z) \cap \iota(z') = \emptyset$.

Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \llbracket 0; p-1 \rrbracket^n$. $K_n(a_1 + \epsilon_1 \frac{N}{p}, \dots, a_n + \epsilon_n \frac{N}{p}) \equiv K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \left[\frac{N}{p} \right]$.

Suppose $(a_1 + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}, \dots, a_n + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}) \in R_n^0(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})$ then $K_n(a_1 + \epsilon_1 \frac{N}{p}, \dots, a_n + \epsilon_n \frac{N}{p}) \equiv 0 \left[\frac{N}{p} \right]$. Thus, it exists $k \in \llbracket 0; p-1 \rrbracket$ such that $K_n(a_1 + \epsilon_1 \frac{N}{p}, \dots, a_n + \epsilon_n \frac{N}{p}) \equiv \frac{kN}{p} [N]$. Hence, $(a_1 + \epsilon_1 \frac{N}{p}, \dots, a_n + \epsilon_n \frac{N}{p}) \in \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{p-1} \overline{R_n^p}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, that is to say $\iota \left((a_1 + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}, \dots, a_n + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}) \right) \subset \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{p-1} \overline{R_n^p}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

Suppose $(\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}) \in \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{p-1} \overline{R_n^p}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. It exists $k \in \llbracket 0; p-1 \rrbracket$ such that $K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \equiv \frac{kN}{p} [N]$. So, $K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \equiv 0 \left[\frac{N}{p} \right]$. Thus, $(a_1 + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}, \dots, a_n + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}) \in R_n^0(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})$ and $(\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}) \in \iota((a_1 + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}, \dots, a_n + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}))$.

So, $\bigsqcup_{z \in R_n^0(\mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})} \iota(z) = \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{p-1} R_n^{\frac{kN}{p}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

Besides, $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ is a local ring and for all $k \in \llbracket 0; p-1 \rrbracket$ $\frac{kN}{p}$ is non invertible (since $m \geq 2$). So, by proposition 3.5, we have for all $k \in \llbracket 0; p-1 \rrbracket$:

$$\left| R_n^{\bar{0}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| = \left| R_n^{\frac{kN}{p}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right|.$$

If we collect all the precedings elements, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} p r_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) &= p \left| R_n^{\bar{0}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left| R_n^{\frac{kN}{p}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \\ &= \left| \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{p-1} R_n^{\frac{kN}{p}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \\ &= \left| \bigsqcup_{z \in R_n^0(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})} \iota(z) \right| \\ &= \sum_{z \in R_n^0(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})} |\iota(z)| \\ &= \sum_{z \in R_n^0(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})} p^n \\ &= p^n r_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $r_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = p^{n-1} r_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})$. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let $n \geq 1$, $m \geq 2$ and q a power of prime number. $r_n \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle} \right) = q^{n-1} r_n \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^{m-1} \rangle} \right)$.*

Proof. The arguments are overall the same as those used to prove the previous proposition. We define the following application :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\iota} : \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^{m-1} \rangle} \right)^n &\longrightarrow \mathcal{P} \left(\left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle} \right)^n \right) \\ (P_1(X) + \langle X^{m-1} \rangle, \dots, P_n(X) + \langle X^{m-1} \rangle) &\longmapsto \{(Q_1(X) + \langle X^m \rangle, \dots, Q_n(X) + \langle X^m \rangle), \exists c_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \cdot \\ &\quad Q_i(X) + \langle X^m \rangle = P_i(X) + c_i X^{m-1} + \langle X^m \rangle\} \end{aligned}$$

We have the following equality :

$$\bigsqcup_{z \in R_n^0(\mathbb{F}_q[X]/\langle X^{m-1} \rangle)} \tilde{\iota}(z) = \bigsqcup_{c \in \mathbb{F}_q} R_n^{cX^{m-1} + \langle X^m \rangle} \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle} \right).$$

Besides, $\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle}$ is a local ring and for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ $cX^{m-1} + \langle X^m \rangle$ is non invertible (since $m \geq 2$). So, by proposition 3.5, we have for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$:

$$\left| R_n^0 \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle} \right) \right| = \left| R_n^{cX^{m-1} + \langle X^m \rangle} \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle} \right) \right|.$$

If we collect all the precedings elements, we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
q r_n \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle} \right) &= q \left| R_n^0 \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle} \right) \right| = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_q} \left| R_n^{cX^{m-1} + \langle X^m \rangle} \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle} \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \bigsqcup_{c \in \mathbb{F}_q} R_n^{cX^{m-1} + \langle X^m \rangle} \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle} \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \bigsqcup_{z \in R_n^0 \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^{m-1} \rangle} \right)} \tilde{i}(z) \right| \\
&= \sum_{z \in R_n^0 \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^{m-1} \rangle} \right)} |\tilde{i}(z)| \\
&= \sum_{z \in R_n^0 \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^{m-1} \rangle} \right)} q^n \\
&= q^n r_n \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^{m-1} \rangle} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $r_n \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle} \right) = q^{n-1} r_n \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^{m-1} \rangle} \right)$.

□

Second proof of corollary 1.3. i) Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q$ and $n \geq 3$. A is a finite local ring. First, we suppose n odd. By theorem 1.2, $r_n(A) = q^n - (q-1)w_{n+2,A}^1$. By theorem 2.8,

$$r_n(A) = q^n - (q-1) \frac{q^{n+1} - 1}{q^2 - 1} = q^n - \frac{q^{n+1} - 1}{q+1} = \frac{q^n + 1}{q+1}.$$

Now, we suppose $n = 2m$ even. By theorem 1.2, $r_n(A) = q^n - \sum_{u \in U(A)} |R_n^u(A)|$. We suppose $p \neq 2$. If we use lemma 3.6, and theorems 1.2, 2.8 and 2.9, we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned}
r_n(A) &= q^n - \sum_{u \neq 0,1,-1} \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)}{q^2 - 1} - q^m - 2 \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)}{q^2 - 1} \\
&= q^n - (q-3) \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)}{q^2 - 1} - q^m - 2 \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)}{q^2 - 1} \\
&= q^n - q^m - \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)(q-3+2)}{q^2 - 1} \\
&= q^n - q^m - \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)}{q+1} \\
&= q^n - \frac{q^{n+1} + 1}{q+1} \\
&= \frac{q^n - 1}{q+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We suppose $p = 2$. If we use lemma 3.6, and theorems 1.2 and 2.8, we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned}
r_n(A) &= q^n - \sum_{u \neq 0,1} \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)}{q^2 - 1} - q^m - \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)}{q^2 - 1} \\
&= q^n - (q - 2) \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)}{q^2 - 1} - q^m - \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)}{q^2 - 1} \\
&= q^n - q^m - \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)(q - 2 + 1)}{q^2 - 1} \\
&= q^n - q^m - \frac{(q^{m+1} - 1)(q^m - 1)}{q + 1} \\
&= q^n - \frac{q^{n+1} + 1}{q + 1} \\
&= \frac{q^n - 1}{q + 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $r_n(A) = \frac{q^n + (-1)^{n+1}}{q+1}$. Besides, this formula is still true if $n = 1$ or $n = 2$.

ii) Let p a prime number, $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, $A = \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$. By lemma 3.7, we have

$$r_n(A) = p^{n-1} r_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) = \dots = p^{(m-1)(n-1)} r_n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}).$$

By the case i), we have $r_n(A) = \frac{p^{(m-1)(n-1)}(p^n + (-1)^{n+1})}{p+1}$.

iii) Let q a power of a prime number, $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, $A = \frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle}$. By lemma 3.8, we have

$$r_n(A) = q^{n-1} r_n \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^{m-1} \rangle} \right) = \dots = q^{(m-1)(n-1)} r_n \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X \rangle} \right) = q^{(m-1)(n-1)} r_n(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

By the case i), we have $r_n(A) = \frac{q^{(m-1)(n-1)}(q^n + (-1)^{n+1})}{q+1}$. □

Remark. This result allows us to have the number of roots of the continuant over any finite commutative and unitary rings of order p^2 , since these are isomorphic to one of the following rings : \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $\frac{\mathbb{F}_p[X]}{\langle X^2 \rangle}$ (see [8]).

Now, we can give another proof of the counting formulae for $w_{n+2}^1 \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle} \right)$ and $w_{n+2}^{\bar{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})$.

Second proof of corollary 2.13. i) Let q a power of a prime number, $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$ odd, $A = \frac{\mathbb{F}_q[X]}{\langle X^m \rangle}$. A is a local ring (proposition 2.4). By theorem 1.2 and the second proof of corollary 1.3 iii), we have the following equality :

$$\frac{q^{mn} - q^{m-1}(q-1)w_{n+2}^{1_A}(A)}{q^{m-1}} = r_n(A) = \frac{q^{(m-1)(n-1)}(q^n + 1)}{q + 1}.$$

Hence, we obtain the formula below :

$$w_{n+2}^{1_A}(A) = \frac{q^{nm}(q+1) - q^{(m-1)(n-1)+(m-1)}(q^n + 1)}{q^{m-1}(q+1)(q-1)} = \frac{q^{nm+1} + q^{nm} - q^{nm} - q^{nm-n}}{q^{m-1}(q^2 - 1)} = \frac{q^{nm+1} - q^{n(m-1)}}{q^{m-1}(q^2 - 1)}.$$

ii) Let p a prime number, $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$ odd, $A = \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$. A is a local ring (proposition 2.4). By theorem 1.2 and the second proof of corollary 1.3 ii), we have the following equality :

$$\frac{p^{mn} - p^{m-1}(p-1)w_{n+2}^{\bar{1}}(A)}{p^{m-1}} = r_n(A) = \frac{p^{(m-1)(n-1)}(p^n + 1)}{p + 1}.$$

Hence, we obtain the formula below :

$$w_{n+2}^{\bar{1}}(A) = \frac{p^{nm}(p+1) - p^{(m-1)(n-1)+(m-1)}(p^n+1)}{p^{m-1}(p+1)(p-1)} = \frac{p^{nm+1} + p^{nm} - p^{nm} - p^{nm-n}}{p^{m-1}(p^2-1)} = \frac{p^{nm+1} - p^{n(m-1)}}{p^{m-1}(p^2-1)}.$$

□

3.4. Numerical applications. We can also have other formulae. Indeed, with the Chinese remainder theorem, we can easily prove the following result :

Proposition 3.9. *Let $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ with p_i distinct prime numbers and $\alpha_i \geq 1$. Let $n \geq 1$.*

$$r_n(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = \prod_{i=1}^r r_n(\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{\alpha_i}\mathbb{Z}).$$

The tables below give some values of $r_n(A)$.

$n \backslash A$	\mathbb{F}_2	\mathbb{F}_3	\mathbb{F}_4	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	\mathbb{F}_5	$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$	\mathbb{F}_7	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	\mathbb{F}_9	$\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$
3	3	7	13	12	21	21	43	48	73	63
4	5	20	51	40	104	100	300	320	656	540
5	11	61	205	176	521	671	2101	2816	5905	4941
6	21	182	819	672	2604	3822	14 706	21 504	53 144	44 226
7	43	547	3277	2752	13 021	23 521	102 943	176 128	478 297	398 763
8	85	1640	13 107	10 880	65 104	139 400	720 600	1 392 640	4 304 672	3 586 680

$n \backslash A$	$\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$	\mathbb{F}_{11}	$\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$	\mathbb{F}_{16}	$\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$	$\frac{\mathbb{F}_4[X]}{\langle X^2 \rangle}$
3	63	111	84	241	192	208
4	520	1220	800	3855	2560	3264
5	5731	13 421	10 736	61 681	45 056	52 480
6	54 684	147 630	122 304	986 895	688 128	838 656
7	559 903	1 623 931	1 505 344	15 790 321	11 272 192	13 422 592
8	5 533 840	17 863 240	17 843 200	252 645 135	178 257 920	214 745 088

$A \backslash n$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\frac{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}[X]}{\langle X^2, 2X \rangle}$	4	48	320	2816	21 504	176 128	1 392 640
$\frac{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]}{\langle X^2, Y^2 \rangle}$	8	192	2560	45 056	688 128	11 272 192	178 257 920
$\frac{\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}[X]}{\langle X^2 + X + \bar{1} \rangle}$	12	208	3264	52 480	838 656	13 422 592	214 745 088

4. NUMBER OF λ -QUIDDITIES OVER $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$

The aim of this section is to prove theorem 1.4. Note that the resolution of (E_A) over $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ is closely linked to the the different writings of the elements of the following congruence subgroup :

$$\hat{\Gamma}(N) := \{C \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), C \equiv \pm Id[N]\}.$$

Indeed, we know that all the matrices of the modular group can be written in the form $M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, with a_i a positive integer. Since this expression is not unique, we are naturally led to look for all the writings of this form for a given matrix, or a set of matrices.

4.1. Preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n, m \geq 2$, p a prime number and $N = p^m$. Let $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$.*

— $n = 2l \geq 4$ even.

$$w_{n+2}^{\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = p^{n-1} w_{n+2}^{\epsilon}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + p^{m(l-1)} \left| R_2^{(-1)^{l\bar{\epsilon}}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| - p^{m(l-1)-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left| R_2^{(-1)^{l(\bar{\epsilon}+kp^{m-1})}(-1)^{l+1}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right|.$$

— $n \geq 3$ odd.

$$w_{n+2}^{\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = p^{n-1} w_{n+2}^{\epsilon}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. Let $N := p^m$, $U := U(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\bar{u} \in U$ and $W := (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} - U) - \{\bar{0}\}$. Let $(a, b) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^2$, we set $S_n^{a,b}(N) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^n, K_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a \text{ and } K_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = b\}$ and, if a is invertible, $B_a = \begin{pmatrix} a & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. To simplify the notations, we will write $R_n^{-u}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})$ instead of $R_n^{-u+p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})$ and $w_{n+2}^u(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})$ instead of $w_{n+2}^{u+p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})$.

We define the following application :

$$\begin{aligned} \iota : \quad & (\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})^n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}((\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^n) \\ (a_1 + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}, \dots, a_n + \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}) & \longmapsto & \{(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_n), \exists k_i \in \llbracket 0; p-1 \rrbracket \bar{b}_i = \overline{a_i + \frac{k_i N}{p}}\} \end{aligned}$$

We have $\bigsqcup_{z \in R_n^{-u}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})} \iota(z) = \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{p-1} R_n^{-u - \frac{kN}{p}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ (the proof is the same as that carried out in lemma 3.7).

Let $k \in \llbracket 0; p-1 \rrbracket$ and $x = \overline{u + \frac{kN}{p}}$. We have the following equality :

$$R_n^{-x}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = \bigsqcup_{v \in U} S_n^{-x,v}(N) \bigsqcup_{w \in W} S_n^{-x,w}(N) \bigsqcup S_n^{-x,\bar{0}}(N).$$

Let $v \in U$. Suppose $S_n^{-\bar{u},v}(N) \neq \emptyset$. The following application is well-defined (the proof is the same as that carried out in proposition 3.5) :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n,u,v} : \quad & S_n^{-\bar{u},v}(N) & \longrightarrow & S_n^{-x,v}(N) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) & \longmapsto & (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n - v^{-1}(\overline{kp^{m-1}})) \end{aligned}$$

Besides, $S_n^{-x,v}(N) \neq \emptyset$ and we can define :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{n,u,v} : \quad & S_n^{-x,v}(N) & \longrightarrow & S_n^{-\bar{u},v}(N) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) & \longmapsto & (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n + v^{-1}(\overline{kp^{m-1}})) \end{aligned}$$

$\sigma_{n,u,v}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{n,u,v}$ are reciprocal bijections. Hence, $|S_n^{-\bar{u},v}(N)| = |S_n^{-x,v}(N)|$.

Let $w \in W$. It exists $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l \in \llbracket 1; m-1 \rrbracket$ such that $w = \overline{hp^l}$ and p does not divide h . Suppose $S_n^{-\bar{u},w}(N) \neq \emptyset$. The following application is well-defined (the proof is the same as that carried out in proposition 3.5) :

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{n,u,w} : \quad & S_n^{-\bar{u},w}(N) & \longrightarrow & S_n^{-x,w}(N) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) & \longmapsto & (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n - \overline{(h^{-1}kp^{m-1-l})}) \end{aligned}$$

Besides, $S_n^{-x,w}(N) \neq \emptyset$ and we can define :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}_{n,u,w} : S_n^{-x,w}(N) &\longrightarrow S_n^{-\bar{u},w}(N) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) &\longmapsto (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n + \overline{(h^{-1}kp^{m-1}-l)}) \end{aligned} .$$

$\tau_{n,u,v}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{n,u,v}$ are reciprocal bijections. Thus, $|S_n^{-\bar{u},w}(N)| = |S_n^{-x,w}(N)|$.

Moreover, let $z \in U$, we have the following equality :

$$S_n^{z,\bar{0}} = \bigsqcup_{y \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \underbrace{\left\{ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^n, M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \begin{pmatrix} z & y \\ \bar{0} & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}}_{T_{n,z,y}},$$

since $\det(M_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \bar{1}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $\Omega_n^{Bz}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset$. The following application is well-defined :

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{n,z,y} : \Omega_n^{Bz}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) &\longrightarrow T_{n,z,y}(N) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) &\longmapsto (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n + yz) \end{aligned} .$$

Besides, $T_{n,z,y}(N) \neq \emptyset$ and we can define :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\zeta}_{n,z,y} : T_{n,z,y}(N) &\longrightarrow \Omega_n^{Bz}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) &\longmapsto (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n - yz) \end{aligned} .$$

$\zeta_{n,z,y}$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_{n,z,y}$ are reciprocal bijections. Hence, $|\Omega_n^{Bz}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| = |T_{n,z,y}(N)|$. So, if $n \geq 3$, we have, by lemma 3.3 :

$$\left| S_n^{-\bar{u},\bar{0}} \right| = p^m |\Omega_n^{B-\bar{u}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| = p^m \left| R_{n-2}^{\bar{u}-1}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| .$$

If we collect all the precedings elements and if we use lemma 3.3, we have for all $n \geq 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n^{-x}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| &= \sum_{v \in U} |S_n^{-x,v}(N)| + \sum_{w \in W} |S_n^{-x,w}(N)| + \left| S_n^{-x,\bar{0}}(N) \right| \\ &= \sum_{v \in U} |S_n^{-\bar{u},v}(N)| + \sum_{w \in W} |S_n^{-\bar{u},w}(N)| + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} |T_{n,-x,y}(N)| \\ &= \sum_{v \in U} |S_n^{-\bar{u},v}(N)| + \sum_{w \in W} |S_n^{-\bar{u},w}(N)| + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} |\Omega_n^{B-x}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| \\ &= \left| \bigsqcup_{v \in U} S_n^{-\bar{u},v}(N) \bigsqcup_{w \in W} S_n^{-\bar{u},w}(N) \bigsqcup S_n^{-\bar{u},\bar{0}}(N) \right| - \left| S_n^{-\bar{u},\bar{0}}(N) \right| + p^m |\Omega_n^{B-x}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| \\ &= \left| R_n^{-\bar{u}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| + p^m \left(\left| R_{n-2}^{x-1}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| - \left| R_{n-2}^{\bar{u}-1}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Now, we suppose $\bar{u} = \bar{\epsilon}$. We have $|R_n^{-x}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| = |R_n^{-\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| + p^m \left(\left| R_{n-2}^{x-1}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| - \left| R_{n-2}^{\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \right)$. Since, $x^{-1} = \overline{(\epsilon + kp^{m-1})^{-1}} = \overline{\epsilon + (p-k)p^{m-1}}$, the previous recursive formula is still true if we replace $-x$ by x^{-1} , that is to say $\left| R_{n-2}^{-(-x^{-1})}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| = |R_{n-2}^{\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| + p^m \left(\left| R_{n-4}^{-x}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| - \left| R_{n-4}^{-\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \right)$ (if $n \geq 5$).

Suppose $n = 2l \geq 4$ even. We have the following equalities :

$$\left| R_n^{-x}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| = \dots = |R_n^{-\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| + p^{m(l-1)} \left(\left| R_2^{(-1)^l x^{(-1)^{l+1}}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| - \left| R_2^{(-1)^l \bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \right) .$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left| R_n^{-\epsilon - kp^{m-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| &= p |R_n^{-\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| - p^{m(l-1)+1} \left| R_2^{(-1)^l \bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \\ &\quad + p^{m(l-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left| R_2^{(-1)^l (\epsilon + kp^{m-1})^{(-1)^{l+1}}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| . \end{aligned}$$

Besides,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left| R_n^{\overline{-\epsilon - kp^{m-1}}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| = \left| \bigsqcup_{z \in R_n^{-\epsilon}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})} \iota(z) \right| = \sum_{z \in R_n^{-\epsilon}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})} |\iota(z)| = p^n |R_n^{-\epsilon}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})|.$$

Thus, by lemma 3.3, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} w_{n+2}^{\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) &= |R_n^{-\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| \\ &= p^{n-1} |R_n^{-\epsilon}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})| + p^{m(l-1)} \left| R_2^{(-1)^{l\bar{\epsilon}}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \\ &\quad - p^{m(l-1)-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left| R_2^{(-1)^{l(\bar{\epsilon} + kp^{m-1})}(-1)^{l+1}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \\ &= p^{n-1} w_{n+2}^{\epsilon}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + p^{m(l-1)} \left| R_2^{(-1)^{l\bar{\epsilon}}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \\ &\quad - p^{m(l-1)-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left| R_2^{(-1)^{l(\bar{\epsilon} + kp^{m-1})}(-1)^{l+1}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $n = 2l + 1 \geq 3$ odd. Since, $|R_1^a(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| = 1$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, the same elements give us $|R_n^{-x}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| = |R_n^{-\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})|$ and $w_{n+2}^{\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = p^{n-1} w_{n+2}^{\epsilon}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z})$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $m \geq 2$, p a prime number and $k \in \llbracket 0; p-1 \rrbracket$.*

$$\begin{aligned} - p \text{ odd. } w_4^{-\bar{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) &= \left| R_2^{\overline{1+kp^{m-1}}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| = p^{m-1}(p-1). \\ - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left| R_2^{\overline{-1-jp^{m-1}}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| &= p^m(m(p-1)+1); \\ w_4^{\bar{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) &= \left| R_2^{-\bar{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| = p^{m-1}(p(m+1)-m). \\ - m \geq 3, w_4^{-\bar{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) &= \left| R_2^{\bar{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| = \left| R_2^{\overline{1+2^{m-1}}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| = 2^m. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. i) $x = \overline{2 + kp^{m-1}}$ is invertible (since p is odd). Hence, $R_2(\overline{1 + kp^{m-1}}) = \{(a, a^{-1}x), a \in U(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})\}$. Thus, $\left| R_2^{\overline{1+kp^{m-1}}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| = |U(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})| = p^{m-1}(p-1)$ and $w_4^{-\bar{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = \left| R_2^{\bar{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) \right|$ (lemma 3.3).

ii) $\bigsqcup_{j=0}^{p-1} R_2^{\overline{-1-jp^{m-1}}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = \{(0 + p^m\mathbb{Z}, b + p^m\mathbb{Z}), 0 \leq b < p^m\} \sqcup \{(a + p^m\mathbb{Z}, 0 + p^m\mathbb{Z}), 0 < a < p^m\} \sqcup \{(ap^u + p^m\mathbb{Z}, bp^v + p^m\mathbb{Z}), 0 \leq u \leq m-1, m-1-u \leq v \leq m-1, p \nmid a, p \nmid b, 0 < ap^u < p^m, 0 < bp^v < p^m\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left| R_2^{\overline{-1-jp^{m-1}}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| &= \left| \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{p-1} R_2^{\overline{-1-jp^{m-1}}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| \\ &= p^m + p^m - 1 + \sum_{u=0}^{m-1} \sum_{v=m-1-u}^{m-1} p^{m-u-1}(p-1)p^{m-v-1}(p-1) \\ &= 2p^m - 1 + (p-1)^2 p^{2m-2} \sum_{u=0}^{m-1} p^{-u} \sum_{v=m-u-1}^{m-1} p^{-v} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \left| R_2^{-1-jp^{m-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| &= 2p^m - 1 + (p-1)^2 p^{2m-2} \sum_{u=0}^{m-1} p^{-u} p^{u+1-m} \frac{1-p^{-u-1}}{1-p^{-1}} \\
&= 2p^m - 1 + (p-1)^2 p^{m-1} \sum_{u=0}^{m-1} \frac{p-p^{-u}}{p-1} \\
&= 2p^m - 1 + (p-1)p^{m-1} \sum_{u=0}^{m-1} (p-p^{-u}) \\
&= 2p^m - 1 + (p-1)p^{m-1} \left(mp - \frac{1-p^{-m}}{1-p^{-1}} \right) \\
&= 2p^m - 1 + p^{m-1} (mp(p-1) - p + p^{-m+1}) \\
&= p^m (m(p-1) + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

A similar calculus gives us $w_4^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = \left| R_2^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| = p^{m-1}(p(m+1) - m)$.

iii) Let $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. $R_2^{1+\epsilon 2^{m-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) = \{((2 + \epsilon 2^{m-1})a^{-1}, a), a \in U(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z})\} \sqcup \{(a, (2 + \epsilon 2^{m-1})a^{-1}), a \in U(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z})\}$. Indeed, if $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in (R_2^{1+\epsilon 2^{m-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}))^2$ then ab is even but is not divisible by 4 (since $m \geq 3$). Hence, a or b is odd. Thus, $w_4^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) = \left| R_2^{1+\epsilon 2^{m-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| = 2 \times 2^{m-1} = 2^m$. \square

4.2. Proof of the counting formulae. If we collect all the precedings elements, we can prove the main result of this section.

Proof of theorem 1.4 and third proof of the second point of corollary 2.13 . i) Let $n \geq 3$ odd and $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$. By lemma 4.1 and Theorem 2.8, we have the equalities below.

$$w_{n+2}^{\bar{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = p^{n-1} w_{n+2}^{\epsilon}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) = p^{(m-1)(n-1)} w_{n+2}^{\epsilon}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \frac{p^{nm+1} - p^{n(m-1)}}{p^{m-1}(p^2 - 1)}.$$

ii) Let $n = 2l \geq 4$, l even, $m \geq 2$ and $N = p^m$ with p an odd prime number. By lemma 4.1 and lemma 4.2, we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{n+2}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) &= p^{n-1} w_{n+2}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + p^{m(l-1)} \left| R_2^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| - p^{m(l-1)-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left| R_2^{(1+kp^{m-1})^{-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \\
&= p^{n-1} w_{n+2}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + p^{m(l-1)} \left| R_2^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| - p^{m(l-1)-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left| R_2^{(1+(p-k)p^{m-1})}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \\
&= p^{n-1} w_{n+2}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + p^{m(l-1)} \left| R_2^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| - p^{m(l-1)-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left| R_2^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| \\
&= p^{n-1} w_{n+2}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) \\
&= \dots = p^{(m-1)(n-1)} w_{2(l+1)}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}).
\end{aligned}$$

iii) Let $n = 2l \geq 4$, l even, $m \geq 2$ and $N = p^m$, with p an odd prime number. By lemma 4.1 and lemma 4.2, we have :

$$w_{n+2}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) = p^{n-1} w_{n+2}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + p^{m(l-1)} \left| R_2^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right| - p^{m(l-1)-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left| R_2^{-1+(p-k)p^{m-1}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{n+2}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) &= p^{n-1}w_{n+2}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + p^{m(l-1)}p^{m-1}(p(m+1) - m) - p^{m(l-1)-1}p^m(m(p-1) + 1) \\
&= p^{n-1}w_{n+2}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + p^{ml-1}((p(m+1) - m) - (m(p-1) + 1)) \\
&= p^{n-1}w_{n+2}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + p^{ml-1}(p-1) \\
&= \dots = p^{(m-1)(n-1)}w_{2(l+1)}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} p^{j(n-1)}p^{(m-j)l-1}(p-1) \\
&= \dots = p^{(m-1)(n-1)}w_{2(l+1)}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) + p^{ml-1}(p-1) \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} p^{j(n-1-l)} \\
&= p^{(m-1)(n-1)}w_{2(l+1)}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) + \frac{p^{(m-1)(n-1-l)} - 1}{p^{n-1-l} - 1} p^{ml-1}(p-1).
\end{aligned}$$

iv) Let $n = 2l \geq 4$, l even and $m \geq 3$. By lemma 4.1 and lemma 4.2, we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{n+2}^{\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) &= 2^{n-1}w_{n+2}^1(\mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + 2^{m(l-1)} \left| R_2^{\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| - 2^{m(l-1)-1} \sum_{k=0}^1 \left| R_2^{\overline{1+(2-k)2^{m-1}}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| \\
&= 2^{n-1}w_{n+2}^1(\mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + 2^{m(l-1)}2^m - 2^{m(l-1)-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} 2^m \\
&= 2^{n-1}w_{n+2}^1(\mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) \\
&= \dots = 2^{(m-2)(n-1)}w_{2(l+1)}^1(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}).
\end{aligned}$$

v) Let $n = 2l \geq 4$, l even and $m \geq 3$. By lemma 4.1 and lemma 4.2, we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{n+2}^{-\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) &= 2^{n-1}w_{n+2}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + 2^{m(l-1)} \left| R_2^{-\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| - 2^{m(l-1)-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left| R_2^{-\overline{1+(p-k)2^{m-1}}}(\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) \right| \\
&= 2^{n-1}w_{n+2}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + 2^{m(l-1)}2^{m-1}(2(m+1) - m) - 2^{m(l-1)-1}2^m(m(2-1) + 1) \\
&= 2^{n-1}w_{n+2}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + 2^{ml-1}((2(m+1) - m) - (m(2-1) + 1)) \\
&= 2^{n-1}w_{n+2}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}) + 2^{ml-1} \\
&= \dots = 2^{(m-2)(n-1)}w_{2(l+1)}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-3} 2^{j(n-1-l)}2^{ml-1} \\
&= 2^{(m-2)(n-1)}w_{2(l+1)}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) + \frac{2^{(m-2)(n-1-l)} - 1}{2^{n-1-l} - 1} 2^{ml-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we combine these formulae with theorems 2.8, 2.9 and 2.11, we obtain the formulae given in the theorem. Similar calculus give us the remaining formulae. Note that the formulae for $w_4^{\pm\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})$ are given in lemma 4.2. □

4.3. Numerical applications. The table below gives some values of $w_n^{\overline{1}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

$n \backslash N$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/27\mathbb{Z}$
4	20	21	48	63	81
5	80	90	320	450	810
6	640	702	5120	7722	18 954
7	5376	7371	86 016	154 791	597 051
8	45 312	70 227	1 452 032	3 019 761	17 078 283

The table below gives some values of $w_n^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

$n \backslash N$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/27\mathbb{Z}$
4	8	6	16	18	18
5	80	90	320	450	810
6	800	999	6528	10 989	27 459
7	5376	7371	86 016	154 791	597 051
8	43 008	63 180	1 376 256	2 716 740	15 352 740

REFERENCES

[1] C. Bardavid, É. Pité, *Structure des anneaux commutatifs finis*, Revue de la Filière Mathématiques, Vol. 130 no. 4, (2020).

[2] B. Böhmeler, M. Cuntz, *Frieze patterns over finite commutative local rings*, (2024), arXiv:2407.12596.

[3] C. Conley, V. Ovsienko, *Rotundus : triangulations, Chebyshev polynomials, and Pfaffians*, The Mathematical Intelligencer, Vol. 40 no. 3, (2018), pp 45-50.

[4] C. Conley, V. Ovsienko, *Quiddities of polygon dissections and the Conway-Coxeter frieze equation*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Vol. 24 no. 4, (2023), pp 2125-2170.

[5] M. Cuntz, T. Holm, *Frieze patterns over integers and other subsets of the complex numbers*, J. Comb. Algebra., Vol. 3 no. 2, (2019), pp 153-188.

[6] M. Cuntz, F. Mabilat, *Comptage des quiddités sur les corps finis et sur quelques anneaux $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$* , Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse, to appear, arXiv:2304.03071, hal-04057675.

[7] L. Euler. *Introductio in analysin infinitorum, Tomus primus*. Lausannae : apud Marcum Michaelum Bousquet et socios, 1748.

[8] B. Fine, *Classification of finite rings of order p^2* , Mathematics Magazine, Vol. 66 no. 4, (1993), pp 248-252.

[9] F. Mabilat, *Solutions monomiales minimales irréductibles dans $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$* , Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques, Vol. 194, Article 103456, (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bulsci.2024.103456>, hal-03573421, arXiv:2202.07279.

[10] F. Mabilat, *Some counting formulae for λ -quiddities over the rings $\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}$* , Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, to appear, arXiv:2402.09968.

[11] F. Mabilat, *Étude des liens entre la taille et l'irréductibilité des solutions monomiales minimales dans $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$* , (2024), arXiv:2406.18188.

[12] S. Morier-Genoud, *Counting Coxeter's friezes over a finite field via moduli spaces*, Algebraic combinatoric, Vol. 4 no. 2, (2021), pp 225-240.

Email address: flavien.mabilat@univ-reims.fr