

# EXCEPTIONAL PAIRS ON DEL PEZZO SURFACES AND SPACES OF COMPATIBLE FEIGIN-ODESSKII BRACKETS

ALEXANDER POLISHCHUK AND ERIC RAINS

ABSTRACT. We prove that for every relatively prime pair of integers  $(d, r)$  with  $r > 0$ , there exists an exceptional pair  $(\mathcal{O}, V)$  on any del Pezzo surface of degree 4, such that  $V$  is a bundle of rank  $r$  and degree  $d$ . As an application, we prove that every Feigin-Odesskii Poisson bracket on a projective space can be included into a 5-dimensional linear space of compatible Poisson brackets. We also construct new examples of linear spaces of compatible Feigin-Odesskii Poisson brackets of dimension  $> 5$ , coming from del Pezzo surfaces of degree  $> 4$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We work over an algebraically closed ground field.

Recall that a del Pezzo surface is a smooth projective surface  $X$  with ample anticanonical divisor. For a divisor class  $D$  on  $X$  we set  $\deg(D) = D \cdot (-K)$ , and for a vector bundle  $V$  on  $X$  we set  $\deg(V) = \deg(c_1(V))$ . The degree of  $X$  is  $\deg(-K) = (-K)^2$ .

**Theorem A.** *For any del Pezzo surface  $X$  of degree 4 and any pair of relative prime numbers  $(d, r)$ , where  $r > 0$ , there exists an exceptional pair  $(\mathcal{O}, V)$  on  $X$  with  $V$  an exceptional bundle of rank  $r$  and  $\deg(V) = d$ .*

Note that for our application (Theorem B below), we are interested in finding exceptional pairs of given slope on a del Pezzo surface of as large a degree as possible. Although some slopes are achievable on higher degree del Pezzo surfaces, 4 turns out to be the largest degree for which *all* slopes are achievable. Moreover, even if we had only been interested in surfaces of lower degree, it would still be easier to work with degree 4, as for "most" slopes (all but finitely many of any given rank) there is an essentially unique pair of that slope; this fails in lower degree. This criticality of degree 4 appears in other ways; see Remark 2.7.

In fact, we present in Theorem 3.2 an explicit construction of a pair  $(\mathcal{O}, V)$  for given  $(d, r)$ , depending on a way to present a degree 4 del Pezzo surface  $X$  as a blow up of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  (we call such a way, together with a numbering of exceptional divisors, a *blowdown structure*). Let us say that an exceptional pair  $(\mathcal{O}, V)$  is *sporadic* if it is not of the form considered in Theorem 3.2 for any blowdown structure on  $X$ . We prove that sporadic pairs appear only when  $|d|$  is not too large compare to  $r$  (with an explicit quadratic bound). A more precise statement is given in Theorem 3.8. For the proof of both Theorem A and Theorem 3.8 we use crucially the action of the appropriate Weyl group on the set of blowdown structures on a del Pezzo surface (see 2.2).

For del Pezzo surfaces of degree  $k \geq 5$ , we show that the possible pairs  $(d, r)$  for an exceptional pair  $(\mathcal{O}, V)$  have to satisfy inequality

$$d^2 - krd + kr^2 \geq -k, \quad (1.1)$$

with equality for  $k = 9$ . In particular, for  $k \geq 5$  not all relatively prime pairs occur (see Proposition 4.1). We also prove in Proposition 4.2 realizability of pairs satisfying

$$-k \leq d^2 - krd + kr^2 \leq -1,$$

with some caveats for  $k = 8$ , and with the additional assumption  $3|d$  for  $k = 9$  (in this case the assumption  $3|d$  implies that the inequality (1.1) becomes an equality).

Our interest in exceptional pairs of the form  $(\mathcal{O}, V)$  on del Pezzo surfaces is due to their relevance in the theory of Feigin-Odesskii Poisson brackets on projective spaces. Recall that for a simple vector bundle  $E$  of rank  $r$  and degree  $d > 0$  on an elliptic curve  $C$  (or its degeneration),

one has a natural Poisson bracket on  $\mathbb{P}H^0(C, E)^*$ , constructed in terms of the geometry of vector bundles on  $C$  (see [2], [6]). This Poisson bracket (well defined up to proportionality) depends only on the elliptic curve  $C$  and the discrete invariants  $(d, r)$  of  $E$ . We will refer to it as *FO bracket* and denote it by  $q_{d,r}(C)$ .

It was observed in [3] (by generalizing earlier construction of Odesskii-Wolf [5]) that if  $(\mathcal{O}, V)$  is an exceptional pair on a del Pezzo surface  $X$  then for every anticanonical divisor  $C \subset X$  the restriction map  $H^0(X, V) \rightarrow H^0(C, V|_C)$  is an isomorphism, and the Poisson brackets on  $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, V)^*$  coming from  $V|_C$  for various anticanonical divisors form a vector space of compatible Poisson brackets (which means that the Schouten bracket between each pair of the corresponding bivectors is zero).

**Theorem B.** (i) For any elliptic curve  $C$  and any relatively prime positive numbers  $(d, r)$ , with  $d > r + 1$ , the FO bracket  $q_{d,r}(C)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$  is contained in a 5-dimensional linear subspace of compatible FO brackets on  $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$  of type  $(d, r)$ .

(ii) For  $5 \leq k \leq 9$  and a relatively prime pair  $(d, r)$  such that  $d > r + 1$  and

$$-k \leq d^2 - krd + kr^2 \leq -1,$$

where  $3|d$  in the case  $k = 9$ , any FO-bracket  $q_{d,r}(C)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$  is contained in a  $(k + 1)$ -dimensional linear subspace of compatible FO brackets on  $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$  of type  $(d, r)$ .

The proof uses results of [3], our study of exceptional pairs  $(\mathcal{O}, V)$  on del Pezzo surfaces, and the results on existence of non-isotrivial anticanonical pencils passing through a given anticanonical curve in a del Pezzo surfaces, established in Sec. 5.1 (some of them are most likely known to the experts).

*Acknowledgments.* This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant No. DMS-1928930 and by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation under grant G-2021-16778, while both authors were in residence at the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute (formerly MSRI) in Berkeley, California, during the Spring 2024 semester. In addition, A.P. is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-2349388, by the Simons Travel grant MPS-TSM-00002745, and within the framework of the HSE University Basic Research Program. A.P. is also grateful to the IHES, where part of this work was done, for hospitality and excellent working conditions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Exceptional objects on del Pezzo surfaces.** Let  $X$  be a del Pezzo surface, and let  $Q = -K$  denote the anticanonical divisor on  $X$ . For an exceptional bundle  $V$ , its degree  $\deg(V) = c_1(V) \cdot Q$  is relatively prime to the rank (since the restriction to a smooth anticanonical divisor is a simple bundle on an elliptic curve, by [4, Lem. 3.6]). It is known that an exceptional bundle on  $X$  is uniquely determined by its class in  $K_0$ : this can be deduced from stability (see [7, Prop. 5.14]) or from the case of elliptic surfaces ([7, Prop. 5.18]).

We will use the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem on  $X$  in the form

$$\chi(V, V') = -\text{rk}(V)\text{rk}(V') + \text{rk}(V)\chi(V') + \chi(V)\text{rk}(V') - c_1(V) \cdot (c_1(V') + \text{rk}(V')Q)$$

(see [7, (4.5)]). For an exceptional object  $V$  we have  $\chi(V, V) = 1$ , so for such  $V$ ,

$$\chi(V) = \frac{r^2 + c_1(V) \cdot (c_1(V) + rQ) + 1}{2r}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $r = \text{rk}(V)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** (i) Let  $F$  be an exceptional object in  $D^b(\text{Coh } X)$ . Then either  $F \simeq V[n]$ , where  $V$  is an exceptional bundle, or  $F \simeq \mathcal{O}_R(m)[n]$ , where  $R$  is a  $(-1)$ -curve.

(ii) Let  $V$  be an exceptional vector bundle on  $X$ ,  $R \subset X$  a  $(-1)$ -curve. Then the splitting type of  $V|_R$  is tight, i.e.,

$$V|_R \simeq \mathcal{O}(s)^a \oplus \mathcal{O}(s+1)^b,$$

for some  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a, b \geq 0$ .

(iii) Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow X'$  be the blow down of a collection of non-intersecting  $(-1)$ -curves, and let  $V$  be an exceptional vector bundle on  $X$  such that  $c_1(V) \in \pi^* \text{Pic}(X')$ . Then  $V \simeq \pi^* W$  for an exceptional vector bundle on  $X'$ .

*Proof.* (i) See [4, Prop. 2.9, 2.10].

(ii) See [4, Lem. 3.1].

(iii) See [4, Cor. 3.2]. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a del Pezzo surface of degree  $k$ . For a pair of exceptional bundles  $(V_1, V_2)$  on  $X$  such that  $\mu(V_2) - k < \mu(V_1) < \mu(V_2)$ , one has  $\chi(V_2, V_1) \leq 0$ . Furthermore, under these conditions,  $\chi(V_2, V_1) = 0$  if and only if the pair  $(V_1, V_2)$  is exceptional.*

*Proof.* This follows from the vanishing of  $\text{Hom}(V_2, V_1)$  and  $\text{Ext}^2(V_2, V_1)$  under these assumptions on the slopes (see [7, Cor. 5.5]). □

**2.2. Action of the Weyl group on blowdown structures.** Let  $X_k$  be a del Pezzo surface of degree  $k \leq 6$ . Given a representation of  $X_k$  as an iterated blow up of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , we get a basis of  $\text{Pic}(X_k)$

$$s, f, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{8-k}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $e_i$  are classes of exceptional divisors, and  $s$  and  $f$  are the classes of the two rulings on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , so that  $s \cdot f = 1$ ,  $e_i^2 = -1$ , and all other intersections are zero. We denote the anticanonical class  $2s + 2f - \sum_{i=1}^{8-k} e_i$  by  $Q$ . When we pull this class back under further blow ups we denote it by  $Q_k$ . We refer to a choice of divisor classes (2.2) as a *blowdown structure* on  $X_d$ .

The classes  $v$  with  $v^2 = -2$  in the subgroup  $Q^\perp \subset \text{Pic}(X_k)$  form a root system of type  $E_{9-k}$  (which include  $D_5$ ,  $A_4$  and  $A_1 \times A_2$ ) with respect to the pairing  $(v, v') = -v \cdot v'$ . We take

$$s - f, f - e_1 - e_2, e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{k-d} - e_{8-k}$$

as simple roots. Thus, we get an isomorphism

$$\Lambda_{E_{9-k}} \xrightarrow{\sim} Q^\perp, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\Lambda_{E_{9-k}}$  is the (standard) root lattice of this type. The Weyl group  $W(E_{9-k})$  acts on the set of such isomorphisms via its natural action on  $\Lambda_{E_{9-k}}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *The action of  $W(E_{9-k})$  preserves the subset of isomorphisms (2.3) coming from blowdown structures on  $X_k$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to check that this is true for the action of simple reflections associated with our simple roots. It is easy to see that

- (a) reflecting in  $s - f$  swaps the two rulings  $s$  and  $f$ ;
- (b) reflecting in  $e_i - e_{i+1}$  swaps the  $i$ th and  $(i + 1)$ st blowup;
- (c) reflecting in  $f - e_1 - e_2$  does a pair of elementary transformations in the first two blowups. □

Note that the moduli space of del Pezzo surfaces of fixed degree  $k$  with blowdown structures is connected.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assume  $k \leq 4$ . For a fixed rank  $r$ ,  $\chi$ , and a class in  $D \in \text{Pic}(X_k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{10-k}$  (where we use the basis (2.2)), if there exists an exceptional bundle  $V$  on a del Pezzo surface of degree  $k$  with a blowdown structure, such that  $\text{rk}(V) = r$ ,  $\chi(V) = \chi$  and  $c_1(V) = D$ , then there exists such an exceptional bundle on every del Pezzo surface of degree  $k$  with a blowdown structure.*

*Proof.* It is enough to check that the set of points in the moduli space where such  $V$  exists is both open and closed. But this follows from [7, Prop. 5.16]. □

*Remark 2.5.* In fact, [7, Prop. 5.16] implies more: for any  $k \leq 7$ , if there exists an exceptional bundle with given discrete invariants on a del Pezzo surface of degree  $k$  over some algebraically closed field, then there exists such a bundle on any del Pezzo surface of degree  $k$  over any algebraically closed field.

**2.3. Transformations of exceptional pairs  $(V, \mathcal{O})$ .** Let  $X$  be a del Pezzo surface of degree  $k$ . We have the following three natural operation on exceptional pairs  $(V_1, V_2)$  on  $X$ :

- (1) duality  $(V_1, V_2) \mapsto (V_2^\vee, V_1^\vee)$ ;
- (2) mutation  $(V_1, V_2) \mapsto (L_{V_1} V_2, V_1)$ ;
- (3) rotation  $(V_1, V_2) \mapsto (V_2(-Q), V_1)$ .

Combining these operations we get the following two operations on exceptional pairs of the form  $(V, \mathcal{O})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (V, \mathcal{O}) &\mapsto (M(V) := L_{\mathcal{O}}(V^\vee), \mathcal{O}), \\ (V, \mathcal{O}) &\mapsto (R(V) := V^\vee(-Q), \mathcal{O}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

We can calculate the effect of these operations on the slope of  $V$  (and on other invariants of  $V$ ).

**Lemma 2.6.** *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(M(V)) &= -\frac{\mu}{\mu + 1}, \\ \mu(R(V)) &= -k - \mu, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu = \mu(V)$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk}(M(V)) &= -\text{deg}(V) - \text{rk}(V), \quad \text{rk}(R(V)) = \text{rk}(V), \\ c_1(M(V)) &= c_1(V), \quad c_1(R(V)) = -c_1(V) - \text{rk}(V)Q. \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $k = 1$ , we can consider the composition

$$S(V) := MRM(V). \tag{2.5}$$

Then Lemma 2.6 implies that

$$\mu(S(V)) = \frac{1}{\mu(V)}, \quad \text{rk}(S(V)) = \text{deg}(V), \quad c_1(S(V)) = -c_1(V) + (\text{deg}(V) + \text{rk}(V))Q. \tag{2.6}$$

*Remark 2.7.* For general  $k$ , note that both  $M$  and  $R$  act as involutions on the slope, and thus generate a dihedral subgroup of  $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . The generating rotation (i.e., the action of  $RM$  in  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ ) is elliptic for  $k < 4$ , hyperbolic for  $k > 4$ , and parabolic for  $k = 4$ . For  $k > 4$ , the interval between the fixed points corresponds to the inequality  $d^2 - krd + kr^2 < 0$ , and thus (see Proposition 4.2) there are only finitely many orbits of achievable slopes in that interval.

### 3. EXCEPTIONAL PAIRS ON DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE 4

**3.1. Solutions in  $K_0$  and a precise formulation.** Let  $X = X_4$  be a del Pezzo surface of degree 4. First, we find exceptional pairs of the form we want in  $K_0$ .

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  not both even, let

$$D_{ab} = (a/2)f + (b/2)(Q - f) + \delta_{ab}$$

where  $\delta_{ab}$  is the shortest vector in the fundamental chamber (i.e., having nonnegative intersection with every simple root) of  $\langle f, Q \rangle^\perp = \Lambda_{D_4}$  that makes  $D_{ab}$  integral. More precisely,  $\delta_{ab}$  depends only on the parity of  $(a, b)$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{01} &= (-f - e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4)/2, \\ \delta_{11} &= -f + (e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4)/2, \\ \delta_{10} &= -f/2 + e_4, \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$D_{ab} \cdot Q = a + b, \quad D_{ab}^2 = ab - 1.$$

- Lemma 3.1.** (i)  $D_{ab}$  and  $D_{ba}$  are in the same  $W(D_5)$  orbit.  
(ii)  $D_{ab}$  and  $-D_{-a, -b}$  are in the same  $W(D_5)$  orbit.  
(iii)  $aQ - D_{ab}$  and  $D_{a, 2a-b}$  are in the same  $W(D_5)$  orbit.

*Proof.* (i) Indeed, there is an element that swaps  $f$  and  $Q - f$  and thus normalizes the  $W(D_4)$  that stabilizes them, and a unique element of that coset that acts as a diagram automorphism on  $W(D_4)$ ; that element swaps  $D_{ab}$  and  $D_{ba}$  for all  $a, b$ .

(ii) This reduces to observing that  $\delta_{ab}$  and  $-\delta_{ab}$  are in the same orbit.

(iii) Indeed,  $D_{a,2a-b} = aQ + D_{-a,-b}$ .  $\square$

By (2.1), an exceptional object  $V$  on  $X$  of rank  $r$  and  $c_1(V) = D_{ab}$  (hence of slope  $(a+b)/r$ ) has Euler characteristic

$$\chi(V) = (r+a)(r+b)/2r.$$

So there are two possibilities for a  $K_0$ -theoretic exceptional pair  $([V], [\mathcal{O}])$  with  $V$  of slope  $d/r$ ,  $r > 0$ :  $c_1(V) = D_{d+r,-r}$  and  $c_1(V) = D_{-r,d+r}$ . By duality, the possibilities for  $([\mathcal{O}], [V])$  are  $c_1(V) = D_{r,d-r}$  and  $c_1(V) = D_{d-r,r}$ . We will prove that these  $K_0$ -theoretic solutions are in fact realizable by exceptional pairs in  $D^b(\text{Coh } X)$ .

The following is a more precise version of Theorem A (since  $\deg(D_{d-r,r}) = \deg(D_{r,d-r}) = d$ ).

**Theorem 3.2.** *For all relatively prime  $(d, r)$ , with  $r > 0$ , there is a unique (up to isomorphism) exceptional bundle  $V$  on  $X$  of rank  $r$  with  $c_1(V) = D_{d-r,r}$  (resp.,  $c_1(V) = D_{r,d-r}$ ) and that bundle satisfies  $R\text{Hom}(V, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ . Similarly, there is a unique exceptional bundle  $V$  of rank  $r$  with  $c_1(V) = D_{d+r,-r}$  (resp.,  $c_1(V) = D_{-r,d+r}$ ) and that bundle satisfies  $R\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, V) = 0$ .*

By Lemma 3.1 and duality, it is enough to prove the claim for one of the four classes, so we will focus on the case of pairs  $(V, \mathcal{O})$ , where  $V$  has rank  $r$  and  $c_1 = D_{d+r,-r}$ .

### 3.2. Inductive procedure.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $\mu = d/r$ , with  $r > 0$  and  $\gcd(d, r) = 1$ , let us set  $D_\mu = D_{d+r,-r}$ . Then for  $\mu \in (-2, -1)$ , there exists a smooth degree 4 del Pezzo surface  $X$  and an exceptional pair  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  with  $V$  of slope  $\mu$  and  $c_1(V) = D_\mu$  if and only if the same assertion holds for the slope  $-2-1/\mu \in (-3/2, -1)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that by Lemma 2.2, for  $\mu = d/r$  in this range, the condition to form an exceptional pair  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  can be checked at the level of  $K_0$  classes. Hence, it is automatic when  $V$  is exceptional of rank  $r$  with  $c_1(V) = D_\mu$  and  $-2 < d/r < -1$ .

Suppose we have an exceptional pair  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  on  $X$  of rank  $r$  and  $c_1 = D_{d+r,-r}$ . Let  $X_1 \rightarrow X$  denote the del Pezzo surface of degree 1 obtained by blowing up three generic points on  $X$ . We denote by  $D_{ab}$  the pull-back of the corresponding divisor to  $X_1$ . By Lemma 2.1(iii), the data  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  on  $X$  is equivalent to the similar data on  $X_1$ .

Applying the transform (2.5) on  $X_1$ , we get a new exceptional pair  $(W = S(V), \mathcal{O})$  on  $X_1$  with

$$\text{rk}(W) = d, \quad c_1(W) = -D_{d+r,-r} + (d+r)Q_1.$$

Since  $d < 0$ , this implies that  $W$  is an odd shift of an exceptional bundle  $W[2m+1]$  with

$$\text{rk}(W[2m+1]) = -d, \quad c_1(W[2m+1]) = D_{d+r,-r} + (d+r)Q_1.$$

Hence, twisting  $W[2m+1]$  by  $Q_1$ , we get an exceptional bundle  $W'$  on  $X_1$  with rank  $-d$  and

$$c_1(W') = D_{d+r,-r} + rQ_1,$$

so its slope is  $-(r+d)/d$ . (This is in  $(-1/2, 0)$  if  $d/r \in (-2, -1)$ .)

**Claim.** *There is an element  $w \in W(E_8)$  such that*

$$w(D_{ab} - bQ_1) - D_{a,a+b} \in (a+b)\Lambda_{E_8}.$$

*Proof of Claim.* We have

$$D_{ab} - bQ_1 = (a/2)(2f - Q_4 + 2Q_1) + ((a+b)/2)(Q_4 - f - 2Q_1) + \delta_{ab}$$

which is congruent mod  $(a+b)\Lambda_{E_8}$  to

$$(a/2)(2f - Q_4 + 2Q_1) + ((a+b)/2)(f - Q_4 + 4Q_1) + \delta_{ab}.$$

The divisor classes  $(2f - Q_4 + 2Q_1)$  and  $(f - Q_4 + 4Q_1)$  are rulings with intersection number 2 and both them and  $\delta_{ab}$  are orthogonal to the  $(-1)$ -curves

$$2s+3f-e_1-e_2-e_3-e_4-e_5-2e_6-2e_7, \quad 2s+3f-e_1-e_2-e_3-e_4-2e_5-e_6-2e_7, \quad 2s+3f-e_1-e_2-e_3-e_4-2e_5-2e_6-e_7,$$

so that there is an element of  $W(E_8)$  taking those  $(-1)$ -curves to  $e_5, e_6, e_7$ ,  $(2f - Q_4 + 2Q_1)$  to  $f$  and  $(f - Q_4 + 4Q_1)$  to  $Q_4 - f$ , and  $\delta_{ab}$  to a unit vector making the result integral. In other words, it takes it to

$$(a/2)f + ((a+b)/2)(Q_4 - f) + \delta'_{a,a+b},$$

where  $\delta'_{a,a+b}$  is in the same  $W(D_4)$ -orbit as  $\delta_{a,a+b}$ .  $\square$

Thus, by Lemma 2.3, there exists a blowdown structure on  $X_1$  with respect to which

$$c_1(W') = D_{d+r,d} + d\lambda,$$

with  $\lambda \in Q_1^\perp$ . Hence, twisting  $W'$  by  $-\lambda$ , we get an exceptional bundle  $V'$  on  $X_1$  of rank  $-d$  with  $c_1(V') = D_{d+r,d}$ . By Lemma 2.1(iii),  $V'$  descends to the degree 4 del Pezzo surface  $X'$  corresponding to the new blowdown structure on  $X_1$ . We have

$$\mu(V') = -\frac{2d+r}{d} = -2 - \frac{1}{\mu(V)}.$$

In particular,  $\mu(V') \in (-3/2, -1)$ , so by Lemma 2.2,  $(V', \mathcal{O})$  is an exceptional pair. All of the steps in going from  $V$  to  $V'$  are invertible.  $\square$

**3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.2.** It is enough to prove existence of an exceptional pair  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  with  $V$  of rank  $r$  and  $c_1(V) = D_{d+r,-r}$ . Furthermore, by Lemma 2.4, it is enough to prove existence of  $V$  in Theorem 3.2 for some del Pezzo surface  $X$  of degree 4.

Let  $X$  be a del Pezzo surface of degree 4. The operations (2.4) act on the slope of  $V$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &\mapsto -\mu/(\mu+1), \\ \mu &\mapsto -4-\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The action is simpler when stated in terms of  $\nu = 1/(\mu+2)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &\mapsto 1-\nu, \\ \nu &\mapsto -\nu. \end{aligned}$$

i.e., we get the affine reflection group  $\tilde{A}_1$ . In terms of  $\mu$ , the endpoints of the alcoves are the points of the form  $-2 + 2/k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and thus, in particular  $\mu \in [-4/3, -1]$  is an alcove, corresponding to  $\nu \in [1, 3/2]$ .

Note that the denominator of  $\nu$  is preserved by the above transformation. In terms of  $\mu = d/r$  this means that  $|d+2r|$  is preserved.

By Lemma 2.6, if we start with  $V$  such that  $c_1(V) = D_{d+r,-r}$  then for  $V' = M(V)$  we get  $c_1(V') = D_{-r',d'+r'}$  (where  $r' = \text{rk}(V')$ ,  $d' = \text{deg}(V')$ ). By Lemma 3.1 (and Lemma 2.3), changing the blowing down structure, we will get  $c_1(V') = D_{d'+r',-r'}$  (with the new slope  $\mu' = -\mu/(\mu+1)$ ). Similarly, for  $\tilde{V} = R(V)$  we get

$$c_1(\tilde{V}) = -D_{d+r,-r} - rQ = -D_{\tilde{d}-3\tilde{r},-\tilde{r}} - \tilde{r}Q,$$

where  $\tilde{r} = \text{rk}(\tilde{V})$ ,  $\tilde{d} = \text{deg}(\tilde{V})$ . Again applying Lemma 3.1, this can be brought to the form  $D_{\tilde{d}+\tilde{r},-\tilde{r}}$  by the  $W(D_5)$ -action.

Using transformations (2.4) we can bring the slope  $\mu = d/r$  into the region  $[-2, -1)$  (in fact, we can even get it into  $[-4/3, -1)$ ). Then we can use the induction on the  $|2r+d|$  as follows. If  $2r+d=0$ , i.e.,  $r=1$  and  $d=-2$ , then  $V = \mathcal{O}(D_{-1,-1})$  is a solution.

Suppose now  $|2r+d| > 0$  and the assertion holds for smaller values. Applying transformations (2.4), we can assume that  $\mu \in [-3/2, -1)$  without changing  $|2r+d|$ . Now by Lemma 3.3, the assertion is equivalent to the similar assertion for  $\mu' = -(\mu+2)^{-1} = d'/r' \in [-2, -1)$ . Note that  $r' = d+2r$ ,  $d' = -r$ , so

$$0 \leq 2r' + d' = 3r + 2d < 2r + d.$$

Thus, we can apply the induction assumption.

*Remark 3.4.* 1. For  $r = 1$ , one has

$$D_{d-1,1} = \begin{cases} s + (d/2 - 1)f, & d \text{ even} \\ s + ((d-1)/2)f - e_1, & d \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

so that  $D_{d-1,1}$  has arithmetic genus 0 for all  $d$ , and thus  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(D_{d-1,1}))$  is an exceptional pair.  
2. The statement of Theorem 3.2 also holds for  $r = 0$ , with the sole exception that the sheaf one obtains is not a bundle; one has

$$D_{1,0} = e_4$$

corresponding to the exceptional pair  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_{e_4})$ . (This also follows from the above calculation, since  $\infty$  is in the orbit containing  $-1$ .)

**3.4. More on exceptional pairs on del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4.** Let  $X_1$  be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1. Recall that for  $v, w \in Q^\perp \simeq \Lambda_{E_8}$ , we have

$$(v, w) = -v \cdot w,$$

where on the right we have the intersection pairing and on the left the (positive definite) pairing on  $\Lambda_{E_8}$ . Recall that the Voronoi cell around the origin for a lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is the region in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of points that are at least as close to the origin as to any other point of  $\Lambda$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $V$  be an exceptional bundle on  $X_1$  of rank  $r$  such that the pair  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  is exceptional. Write  $c_1(V) = -dQ + v$ , where  $d = -\deg(V)$  and  $v \in Q^\perp = \Lambda_{E_8}$ . Then  $(v, v) = r^2 - rd + d^2 + 1$ . Assume in addition that  $\mu(V) \in (-1, 0)$ . Then  $v/r$  lies in the Voronoi cell around the origin for  $\Lambda_{E_8}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\chi(V) = 0$ , the formula for  $(v, v)$  follows immediately from (2.1).

Let  $L_w$  be a line bundle with  $c_1(L_w) = w \in Q^\perp$ . Then  $\mu(L_w) = 0$ , so by Lemma 2.2, we have  $\chi(L_w, V) \leq 0$ . But

$$\chi(L_w, V) = -r + r\chi(L_w) - w \cdot v = r \cdot \frac{w^2}{2} - w \cdot v.$$

So we get

$$w^2 - 2w \cdot \frac{v}{r} \leq 0,$$

or equivalently

$$\left(\frac{v}{r} - w, \frac{v}{r} - w\right) \geq \left(\frac{v}{r}, \frac{v}{r}\right)$$

for any  $w \in \Lambda_{E_8}$ , which is exactly the condition that  $v/r$  lies in the Voronoi cell around the origin.  $\square$

Below we follow Bourbaki's notation concerning the root system  $E_8$ . Recall that the fundamental alcove  $A \subset \Lambda_{E_8} \otimes \mathbb{R}$  for the affine Weyl group is defined by the inequalities

$$(x, \alpha_i) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 8; \quad (x, \tilde{\alpha}) \leq 1,$$

where  $\alpha_i$  are simple roots and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the maximal positive root ( $\tilde{\alpha} = \omega_8 = \varepsilon_7 + \varepsilon_8$ ).

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $r, d$  be integers such that  $d > 0$  and  $r > d^2 + 4d + 1$ , and let  $v \in \Lambda_{E_8}$  be an element such that  $v/r$  lies in  $A$  and  $(v, v) = r^2 - rd + d^2 + 1$ . Let  $\omega = \omega_1$  be the fundamental weight corresponding to vertex 1 of the Dynkin diagram (the leaf of the length 3 leg of the Dynkin diagram). Then either  $(\omega/2 - v/r, \omega) = d/r$  (i.e.,  $(v, \omega) = 2r - d$ ), or  $(d, r) = (2, 15)$  and  $(\omega/2 - v/r, \omega) = 1/3$ .*

*Proof.* The vertices of the fundamental alcove  $A$  of  $E_8$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_8 = \omega/2, \quad \frac{1}{6}(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_7 + 5\varepsilon_8), \quad \frac{1}{8}(-\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_7 + 7\varepsilon_8), \quad \frac{1}{6}(\varepsilon_3 + \dots + \varepsilon_7 + 5\varepsilon_8), \\ \frac{1}{5}(\varepsilon_4 + \dots + \varepsilon_7 + 4\varepsilon_8), \quad \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon_5 + \varepsilon_6 + \varepsilon_7 + 3\varepsilon_8), \quad \frac{1}{3}(\varepsilon_6 + \varepsilon_7 + 2\varepsilon_8), \quad \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_7 + \varepsilon_8), 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the fundamental alcove the function  $(x, x)$  is maximized at the point  $\omega/2 = \varepsilon_8$ ; one has  $(\omega/2, \omega/2) = 1$ . We write  $x = \omega/2 - y$  and consider a hyperplane  $H_\delta$  of the form  $(y, \omega) = \delta$ , where

$\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is easy to check (by looking at the vertices of  $A$ ) that  $A \cap H_\delta$  is nonempty only when  $0 \leq \delta \leq 2$ , which we can therefore assume.

Let us denote by  $A' \subset \Lambda_{E_8} \otimes \mathbb{R}$  the noncompact polyhedron defined by all the inequalities defining  $A$  except for  $(x, \alpha_1) \geq 0$ . Equivalently,  $A'$  is the convex span of rays going from  $\omega/2$  to the remaining 8 vertices of  $A$ . The hyperplane  $H_\delta$  meets  $A'$  in a simplex, with the following vertices on the rays going  $\omega/2$  to the other vertices of  $A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})\varepsilon_8, \quad \frac{\delta}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_7) + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})e_8, \quad \frac{\delta}{2}(-\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_7) + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})e_8, \quad \frac{\delta}{2}(\varepsilon_3 + \dots + \varepsilon_7) + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})e_8, \\ & \frac{\delta}{2}(\varepsilon_4 + \dots + \varepsilon_7) + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})e_8, \quad \frac{\delta}{2}(\varepsilon_5 + \varepsilon_6 + \varepsilon_7) + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})e_8, \quad \frac{\delta}{2}(\varepsilon_6 + \varepsilon_7) + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})e_8, \quad \frac{\delta}{2}\varepsilon_7 + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})e_8. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

One can check by evaluating  $(x, x)$  on these vertices that

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{2} - 1\right)^2 \leq (x, x)|_{A' \cap H_\delta} \leq 2\delta^2 - \delta + 1.$$

Hence, the same estimates hold on  $A \cap H_\delta \subset A' \cap H_\delta$ , and we can use them for  $x = v/r \in A$ . It lies in the hyperplane  $H_\delta$ , where  $\delta = m/r$  and  $m = (r\omega/2 - v, \omega) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, we get

$$\left(\frac{m}{2r} - 1\right)^2 \leq \frac{(v, v)}{r^2} = \frac{r^2 - rd + d^2 + 1}{r^2} \leq 2\left(\frac{m}{r}\right)^2 - \frac{m}{r} + 1. \quad (3.2)$$

We want to prove that  $m = d$ . Assume first that  $m < d$ , i.e.,  $m/r \leq (d-1)/r < 1$ . Since  $(\delta/2 - 1)^2$  decreases on  $\delta \leq 2$ , we get

$$r^2 \left(\frac{m}{2r} - 1\right)^2 \geq \left(\frac{d-1}{2} - r\right)^2 = (r^2 - rd + d^2 + 1) + \left(r - \frac{3d^2 + 2d + 3}{4}\right) > r^2 - rd + d^2 + 1$$

since  $r > d^2 + 4d + 1 \geq (3d^2 + 2d + 3)/4$  by assumption. The obtained inequality contradicts (3.2), so  $m \geq d$ .

Next, assume  $m > d$ , i.e.,  $m/r \geq (d+1)/r$ . Assume first that  $(d+1)/r \leq 1/6$ . We claim that then

$$\frac{(v, v)}{r^2} \leq 2\left(\frac{d+1}{r}\right)^2 - \frac{d+1}{r} + 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Indeed, if  $m/r \leq 1/4$  then this follows directly from (3.2) since the function  $2\delta^2 - \delta + 1$  decreases for  $\delta \leq 1/4$ . On the other hand, we claim that for  $\delta \geq 1/4$  one has

$$(x, x)|_{A \cap H_\delta} \leq 8/9.$$

Indeed, this follows from the fact that this holds for vertices of  $A$  different from  $\omega/2$  and for the points with  $\delta = 1/4$  on the edges of  $A$  through  $\omega/2$ . Now (3.3) follows from

$$\left(\frac{v}{r}, \frac{v}{r}\right) \leq \frac{8}{9} \leq 2\left(\frac{d+1}{r}\right)^2 - \frac{d+1}{r} + 1,$$

where the second inequality follows from  $(d+1)/r \leq 1/6$ . We can rewrite (3.3) as

$$r^2 - rd + d^2 + 1 \leq 2(d+1)^2 - r(d+1) + r^2 = (r^2 - rd + d^2 + 1) + (d^2 + 4d + 1 - r)$$

which contradicts the assumption that  $d^2 + 4d + 1 - r < 0$ .

It remains to consider the case  $(d+1)/r > 1/6$ , i.e.,

$$d^2 + 4d + 1 < r < 6(d+1).$$

It is easy to see that this holds only for the following pairs:

$$(d, r) \in \{(1, 7), (1, 8), (1, 9), (1, 10), (1, 11), (2, 15), (2, 17), (3, 23)\}.$$

In each of these cases we check (with the help of a computer) that the only possible value of  $m/r$  is  $d/r$ , with the exception of  $(d, r) = (2, 15)$  where there is an additional possibility  $m/r = 1/3$ .  $\square$

Let us consider the three hyperplanes in  $\Lambda_{E_8} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(x, \alpha_7) = x_6 - x_5 = 0, \quad (x, \alpha_8) = x_7 - x_6 = 0, \quad (x, \tilde{\alpha}) = x_7 + x_8 = 1, \quad (3.4)$$

which are among those bounding the fundamental alcove  $A \subset \Lambda_{E_8} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $\tilde{\alpha} = \omega_8$ , the fundamental weight corresponding to vertex 8. It follows that these three hyperplanes are preserved by the action of the subgroup  $W_{D_5} \subset W_{E_8}$ .



**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $x \in \Lambda_{E_8} \otimes \mathbb{R}$  be a vector in the Voronoi cell around the origin. Assume that  $x$  belongs to the intersection of the hyperplanes (3.4). Then there exists an element  $w \in W_{D_5}$ , such that  $w(x)$  is in the fundamental alcove  $A \subset \Lambda_{E_8} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Using the action of  $W_{D_5}$ , we can arrange to have  $(x, \alpha_i) \geq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ . We claim that an element  $x$  of the Voronoi cell satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (x, \alpha_i) &\geq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 5, \\ (x, \alpha_7) &= (x, \alpha_8) = 0, \quad (x, \tilde{\alpha}) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

is in the fundamental alcove, or equivalently (since it's the only missing inequality) that  $(x, \alpha_6) \geq 0$ .

Since  $\beta := \varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_8 = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_6 - \alpha_7 - \alpha_8$  is a root, any element of the Voronoi cell satisfies  $(x, \beta) \leq 1$ . Thus  $x$  in the Voronoi cell satisfying the above conditions indeed satisfies  $(x, \alpha_6) = 1 - (x, \beta) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $V$  be an exceptional bundle on  $X_4$  of rank  $r$  and slope  $\mu$ , such that the pair  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  is exceptional. Assume that*

$$\mu \notin \left[-r - \frac{1}{r} - 4, r + \frac{1}{r}\right].$$

*Then with respect to an appropriate blowdown structure,*

- either  $c_1(V) = D_{d+r, -r}$ ,
- or  $V \simeq p^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$ , where  $p: X_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is the blowdown (in this case  $r = 2$ ,  $\mu = -15/2$ ),
- or  $V \simeq R(p^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)) \simeq (p^* T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))(-Q)$  (in this case  $r = 2$ ,  $\mu = 7/2$ ).

*Proof.* Since  $\mu(R(V)) = -\mu - 4$ , it is enough to prove the assertion assuming that  $\mu < -r - 1/r - 4$ .

Let  $X_1$  be the blow up of  $X_4$  at generic 3 points. Let us view  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  as an exceptional pair on  $X_1$ , via the pull-back. Since  $\text{rk}(S(V)) = \text{deg}(V) < 0$ , there exists an odd shift  $V_1 := S(V)[2m+1]$ , which is a vector bundle. Set  $r_1 = \text{rk}(V_1) = -\text{deg}(V)$  and  $d_1 = -\text{deg}(V_1) = r$ . Then our assumption means that  $d_1 > 0$ ,  $r_1 > d_1^2 + 4d_1 + 1$ . By Lemma 3.5, we have  $c_1(V_1) = -d_1 Q_1 + v_1$ , where  $v_1 \in \Lambda_{E_8}$  is such that  $v_1/r_1$  lies in the Voronoi cell around the origin.

Let us write

$$c_1(V) = \frac{\text{deg}(V)}{4} Q_4 + v,$$

where  $v \in \Lambda_{D_5} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . It is easy to check that

$$Q_4 - 4Q_1 = -\omega_6 \in \Lambda_{E_8},$$

the fundamental weight of vertex 6. Hence,

$$c_1(V) = -r_1 Q_1 + r_1 \frac{\omega_6}{4} + v.$$

Therefore, using (2.6) we get

$$c_1(V_1) = -c_1(S(V)) = c_1(V) + (r_1 - d_1)Q_1 = -d_1 Q_1 + r_1 \frac{\omega_6}{4} + v.$$

In other words,

$$v_1 = r_1 \frac{\omega_6}{4} + v,$$

so  $v_1/r_1 \equiv \omega_6/4 \pmod{\Lambda_{D_5} \otimes \mathbb{Q}}$ . Equivalently,  $v_1/r_1$  lies on three hyperplanes (3.4).

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.5,  $v_1/r_1$  lies in the Voronoi cell of  $\Lambda_{E_8}$  around the origin. By Lemma 3.7, this implies that there exists an element  $w \in W_{D_5}$  such that  $w(v_1/r_1)$  belongs to the fundamental alcove  $A$  and still lies on the three hyperplanes above. Changing the blowdown structure we can assume that  $x \in A$ . Also, by Lemma 3.5, we have  $(v_1, v_1) = r_1^2 - r_1 d_1 + d_1^2 + 1$ .

Assume first that  $(d_1, r_1) \neq (2, 15)$ . Then applying Lemma 3.6, we get that  $v_1/r_1$  also lies on the hyperplane  $H_\delta$  with  $\delta = d_1/r_1$  (recall that  $x \in H_\delta$  iff  $(\omega/2 - x, \omega) = \delta$ ).

Since  $\delta < 1/4$ ,  $H_\delta \cap A$  is the simplex with eight vertices (3.1). Let  $F$  denote the face of  $A$  obtained by intersection with the hyperplanes (3.4). Five of the vertices of  $H_\delta \cap A$  lie on  $F$ ,

namely,

$$f_1 = \frac{\delta}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_7) + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})e_8, \quad f_2 = \frac{\delta}{2}(-\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_7) + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})e_8, \quad f_3 = \frac{\delta}{2}(\varepsilon_3 + \dots + \varepsilon_7) + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})e_8,$$

$$f_4 = \frac{\delta}{2}(\varepsilon_4 + \dots + \varepsilon_7) + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})e_8, \quad f_5 = \frac{\delta}{2}(\varepsilon_5 + \varepsilon_6 + \varepsilon_7) + (1 - \frac{\delta}{2})e_8.$$

Hence, these are all the vertices of the 4-dimensional simplex  $H_\delta \cap F$ .

Finally we want to use the condition

$$(v_1, v_1) = r_1^2 - r_1 d_1 + d_1^2 + 1 = r_1^2(\delta^2 - \delta + 1) + 1.$$

Note that there is a unique vertex of  $H_\delta \cap F$ , namely  $f_5$  for which  $(f_5, f_5) = \delta^2 - \delta + 1$ . Since,  $v_1/r_1 \in H_\delta \cap F$ , we can write  $v_1 = r_1 \cdot f_5 + u$ , where

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^4 c_i(f_i - f_5),$$

with  $c_i \geq 0$ . Observing that  $(f_i - f_5, f_5) = 0$  for  $i < 4$ , we deduce that  $(u, u) = 1$ . We claim that for given  $(r_1, d_1)$  a unit vector  $u$  is uniquely determined by the conditions that it is a nonnegative linear combination of  $(f_i - f_5)$  and

$$r_1 f_5 + u \in \Lambda_{E_8}. \quad (3.5)$$

Note that

$$r_1 f_5 = \frac{d_1}{2}(\varepsilon_5 + \varepsilon_6 + \varepsilon_7) + (r_1 - \frac{d_1}{2})\varepsilon_8,$$

so the remainder  $u \bmod \Lambda_{E_8}$  is fixed. Let us denote by

$$M \subset \text{span}(f_1 - f_5, \dots, f_4 - f_5) = \text{span}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_4)$$

the lattice of vectors  $\sum_{i=1}^4 x_i \varepsilon_i$  such that  $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2$  and  $x_i - x_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the condition (3.5) implies that  $u$  is in  $M$ . Looking for unit vectors in  $M$  which are nonnegative linear combinations of

$$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4, \quad -\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4, \quad \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4, \quad \varepsilon_4. \quad (3.6)$$

we find that there are exactly three such vectors (see Lemma 3.9 below):

$$u_1 := \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4), \quad u_2 := \frac{1}{2}(-\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4), \quad u_3 := \varepsilon_4. \quad (3.7)$$

It is easy to see that these three vectors have different remainders modulo  $\Lambda_{E_8}$ , so only one of them can satisfy (3.5) for given  $(r_1, d_1)$ .

In the case  $(d_1, r_1) = (2, 15)$ , by Lemma 3.6, we get an additional possibility that  $v_1/r_1 = v_1/15$  lies on the hyperplane  $H_\delta$  with  $\delta = 1/3$ . We claim that there exists a unique such vector  $v_1 \in A \cap H_{1/3} \cap \Lambda_{E_8}$  with  $(v_1, v_1) = r_1^2 - r_1 d_1 + d_1^2 + 1 = 200$ , namely,

$$v_1 = 5\omega_2.$$

Indeed, this is proved in [7, Prop. 5.20].<sup>1</sup> This can also be proved as above: first, using only the condition  $v_1 \in A' \cap H_{1/3} \cap \Lambda_{E_8}$  and writing  $v_1$  in the form  $v_1 = 15f_5 + u$  (where  $f_1, \dots, f_5$  are the vertices of the intersection of the appropriate face of  $A'$  with  $H_{1/3}$ ), we get that  $u$  is a vector of length 5 in  $\text{span}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_4)$ , such that  $u$  is a nonnegative linear combination of (3.6) and  $15f_5 + u \in \Lambda_{E_8}$ . The latter condition is equivalent to the condition that all coordinates of  $u$  are in  $\mathbb{Z} + 1/2$  and their sum is in  $2\mathbb{Z}$ . There are 4 such vectors  $u$ , but only one gives  $v_1$  in  $A$ .

Finally, for  $v_1 = 5\omega_2$  we get  $c_1(S(V)) = 2Q_1 - 5\omega_2$ , hence,

$$c_1(V) = -15Q_1 + 5\omega_2 = -5(s + f - e_1).$$

But  $s + f - e_1$  is exactly the pullback of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  under the blowdown map to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Also,  $\text{rk}(V) = d_1 = 2$ . Hence,  $V$  is an exceptional bundle of rank 2 with  $\det(V) \simeq p^* \mathcal{O}(-5)$ , and so,  $V$  is isomorphic to  $p^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(-1)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** *A unit vector in  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ , which is a nonnegative linear combinations of vectors (3.6) is equal to one of the three vectors (3.7).*

<sup>1</sup>Note that [7] uses a different ordering of the simple roots of  $E_8$

*Proof.* Let

$$u = c_1(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4) + c_2(-\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4) + c_3(\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4) + c_4\varepsilon_4,$$

where  $c_i \geq 0$ . Let us write  $u = u^{12} + u^{34}$ , with  $u^{12} \in \text{span}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ ,  $u^{34} \in \text{span}(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)$ . The only vectors  $x$  in  $M \cap \text{span}(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)$  with  $(x, x) \leq 1$  are

$$0, \quad \frac{1}{2}(\pm\varepsilon_3 \pm \varepsilon_4), \quad \pm\varepsilon_3, \quad \pm\varepsilon_4.$$

We have

$$u^{34} = (c_1 + c_2 + c_3)\varepsilon_3 + (c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4)\varepsilon_4,$$

so the only possibilities are  $u^{34} = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)$  and  $u^{34} = \varepsilon_4$  ( $u^{34} = 0$  would imply that all  $c_i$  are zero, which is impossible). In the latter case we have  $u^{12} = 0$  and we get  $u = u_3$ . In the former case we should have  $(u^{12}, u^{12}) = 1/2$ . Since  $u^{12} \in M \cap \text{span}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$  is a nonnegative linear combination of  $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$  and  $-\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ , this implies that  $u^{12} = \frac{1}{2}(\pm\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)$ , leading to  $u = u_1$  or  $u = u_2$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.10.* In Theorem 3.2 we construct two exceptional pairs  $(V, \mathcal{O})$ : one with  $c_1(V) = D_{d+r, -r}$  and one with  $c_1(V) = D_{-r, d+r}$ . This does not contradict Theorem 3.8 since  $D_{d+r, -r}$  and  $D_{-r, d+r}$  are in the same  $W_{D_5}$ -orbit (see Lemma 3.1).

#### 4. EXCEPTIONAL PAIRS ON DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE $\geq 5$

For each  $k$ ,  $5 \leq k \leq 9$ , it is easy to check that not every slope  $\mu = d/r$  occurs as  $\mu(V)$  for an exceptional pair  $(V, \mathcal{O})$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $V$  be an exceptional bundle on a del Pezzo surface of degree  $k$ , where  $k \geq 5$ , such that  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  is an exceptional pair. Then  $d = \text{deg}(V)$  and  $r = \text{rk}(V)$  satisfy*

$$d^2 + krd + kr^2 \geq -k. \quad (4.1)$$

*In particular, for  $k$  odd, the case  $d = (-kr + 1)/2$  does not occur for any odd rank  $r \geq 3$ ; while for  $k$  even, the case  $d = -\frac{k}{2}r + 1$  does not occur for any  $r \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* For an exceptional bundle  $E$  such that  $\chi(E) = 0$ , we get from (2.1) that

$$1 = \chi(E, E) = -r^2 - c_1^2 - rd,$$

where  $r = \text{rk}(E)$ ,  $d = c_1(E) \cdot Q$ . We have

$$c_1(E) = \frac{d}{k}Q + \alpha,$$

where  $\alpha \in \langle Q \rangle^\perp \subset \text{Pic}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ . Then we can rewrite the above identity as

$$\frac{d^2}{k} + rd + r^2 = -1 - \alpha^2.$$

Since  $\alpha^2 \leq 0$  by the Hodge index theorem, this gives the claimed inequality.  $\square$

Note that in the case  $k = 9$  (i.e., for  $\mathbb{P}^2$ ) the inequality (4.1) becomes an equality,

$$d^2 + 9rd + 9r^2 = -9, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $d = \text{deg}(V)$  is divisible by 3. In this case, using the fact that all exceptional objects in  $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle^\perp$  lie in the helix generated by  $(\mathcal{O}(-2), \mathcal{O}(-1))$ , one can determine all the slopes  $\mu(V)$  that occur for  $(V, \mathcal{O})$ .

More generally, for  $k \geq 5$ , we can consider relatively prime  $(d, r)$  satisfying

$$-k \leq d^2 + krd + kr^2 \leq -1. \quad (4.3)$$

We will prove that all of them arise from exceptional pairs  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  (with the restriction that  $d$  is divisible by 3 if  $k = 9$ ).

**Proposition 4.2.** (i) Let  $X_k$  be a del Pezzo surfaces of degree  $k$ , where  $5 \leq k \leq 7$ . Then for every relatively prime  $(d, r)$ , with  $r > 0$ , satisfying (4.3), there exists an exceptional pair  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  on  $X_k$  with  $r = \text{rk}(V)$ ,  $d = \text{deg}(V)$ .

(ii) In the case  $k = 8$ , for  $X_8 \simeq F_1$ , the Hirzebruch surface, any relatively prime pair  $(d, r)$  satisfying (4.3), arises from an exceptional pair  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  on  $X_8$ . In the case  $X_8 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , such a pair  $(d, r)$  arises from an exceptional pair on  $X_8$  if and only if  $d$  is even.

(iii) For  $X = \mathbb{P}^2$  we can realize in this way every pair  $(d, r)$  satisfying (4.2).

*Proof.* Let us consider the quadratic order of discriminant  $k(k-4)$ ,

$$O_k := \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \frac{-k + \sqrt{k(k-4)}}{2} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{k(k-4)}),$$

and let  $\sigma : O_k \rightarrow O_k$  denote the Galois conjugation. For every  $V$  with  $(\text{deg}(V), \text{rk}(V)) = (d, r)$ , we set

$$\xi(V) = \xi(d, r) := -d + r \frac{-k + \sqrt{k(k-4)}}{2} \in O_k.$$

Note that

$$\text{Nm}(\xi(V)) = d^2 + krd + kr^2.$$

As before, we consider two operations (2.4) on exceptional pairs  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  (where we allow  $V$  to be any exceptional object of the derived category). It is easy to check that

$$\xi(R(V)) = -\sigma\xi(V), \quad \xi(RM(V)) = u \cdot \xi(V), \quad (4.4)$$

where  $u = -1 + k/2 + \sqrt{k(k-4)}/2$  is a unit in  $O_k$ .

Now we consider the case of each  $k$  separately.

**Case  $k = 9$ .** In this case  $O_9 = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \cdot 3 \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$  has conductor 3 in the ring of integers  $O \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ . Note that  $u = u_f^4$ , where  $u_f = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  is the fundamental unit in  $O$ . We are looking for elements of  $O_9$  of norm  $-9$ . Since 3 does not split in  $O$ , they are of the form  $\pm 3u_f^{2n+1}$ . Thus, up to the action of the operations (4.4), we can reduce to the case  $\xi(V) = \pm 3u_f$ , in which case  $V$  is a shift of  $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ .

**Case  $k = 8$ .** In this case  $O_8 = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \cdot 2\sqrt{2}$  has conductor 2 in  $O = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ , and  $u = u_f^2$ , where  $u_f = 1 + \sqrt{2}$  is the fundamental unit in  $O$ . We are looking for elements of  $O_8$  with norm in  $[-8, -1]$ . Since a norm of an element in  $O_8$  is a square modulo 8, the norm is actually either  $-8, -7$ , or  $-4$ .

If  $\text{Nm}(a) = -8 = -(\sqrt{2})^6$  for  $a \in O$ , then  $a = (\sqrt{2})^3 v$ , where  $v \in O$  has  $\text{Nm}(v) = 1$ , so  $v = \pm u_f^{2n}$ . Hence, our operations (4.4) (together with the shift) reduce to the case  $\xi(V) = 2\sqrt{2}$ , i.e.,  $\text{rk}(V) = 1$ ,  $\text{deg}(V) = -4$ . Similarly, if  $\text{Nm}(a) = -7$ , then  $a = (-1 + 2\sqrt{2})v$ , where  $\text{Nm}(v) = 1$ , so we can reduce to  $\xi(V) = -1 + 2\sqrt{2}$ , i.e.,  $\text{rk}(V) = 1$ ,  $\text{deg}(V) = -3$ . Finally, if  $\text{Nm}(a) = -4$  then  $a = 2v$ , where  $v = \pm u_f^{2n+1}$ , so we can reduce to  $\xi(V) = 2(-1 + \sqrt{2})$ , i.e.,  $\text{rk}(V) = 1$ ,  $\text{deg}(V) = -2$ .

Thus, the question reduces to which of these degrees can occur for a line bundle  $L$  forming an exceptional pair  $(L, \mathcal{O})$ . For  $X_8 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , the degree is always even, and both degrees  $-2$  and  $-4$  occur (for  $L = \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)$  and  $L = \mathcal{O}(-1, -1)$ ). On the other hand, it is easy to see that for  $X_8 = F_1$ , the exceptional pair  $(L, \mathcal{O})$  should have  $L = \mathcal{O}(-s - nf)$  or  $L = \mathcal{O}(-f)$ , where  $f$  is the class of a fiber of  $F_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ , and  $s$  is the class of a section, with  $s^2 = -1$ . Since  $Q = 2s + 3f$ , in the former case we have  $\text{deg}(L) = 2n - 1$ , while in the latter case  $\text{deg}(L) = -2$ . Thus, for  $X_8 = F_1$ , all three degrees occur.

**Case  $k = 7$ .** In this case  $O_8 = O = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \frac{\sqrt{21}-1}{2}$  is the ring of integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{21})$ , and we are looking for elements of  $O$  with the norm in  $[-7, -1]$ . We have  $u = u_f = \frac{5 + \sqrt{21}}{2}$ .

Since the norm is a square modulo 7, the norm has to be in  $\{-7, -6, -5, -3\}$ . It is easy to rule out  $-7$ . Also,  $d^2 + 7rd + 7r^2$  can be even only if both  $d$  and  $r$  are even. Solutions of  $\text{Nm}(a) = -5$  are of the form  $a = \pm \frac{-1 + \sqrt{21}}{2} \cdot u_f^n$ , so we can reduce the problem to  $\xi(V) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{21}}{2}$ , i.e.,  $\text{rk}(V) = 1$ ,  $\text{deg}(V) = -3$ . Similarly, solutions of  $\text{Nm}(a) = -3$  are of the form  $a = \pm \frac{-3 + \sqrt{21}}{2} \cdot u_f^n$ , so we can reduce to  $\xi(V) = \frac{-3 + \sqrt{21}}{2}$ , i.e.,  $\text{rk}(V) = 1$ ,  $\text{deg}(V) = -2$ .

In both cases we can take  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  to be the pull-back of the similar pair on  $F_1$  under the blowdown map  $X_7 \rightarrow X_8 \simeq F_1$ .

**Case  $k = 6$ .** In this case  $O_6 = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ , we have  $u = u_f = 2 + \sqrt{3}$ , and we are looking for elements with the norm in  $[-6, -1]$ . It is easy to see that the only two possibilities are  $\text{Nm}(a) = -3$  and  $\text{Nm}(a) = -2$ . The solutions are of the form  $\pm\sqrt{3}u_f^n$  and  $\pm(-1 + \sqrt{3})u_f^n$ , so we reduce to the cases  $(r, d) = (1, -3)$  and  $(r, d) = (1, -2)$ . Both cases are realized as pull-backs under the blowdown map  $X_6 \rightarrow X_7$ .

**Case  $k = 5$ .** In this case  $O_5 = O = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , and  $u = u_f^2$ , where  $u_f = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ . The possible norms in  $[-5, -1]$  (with  $(r, d)$  relatively prime) are  $-5$  and  $-1$ . The norm  $-5$  is realized by elements of the form  $\pm\sqrt{5}u_f^{2n}$ , while the norm  $-1$  is realized by elements of the form  $\pm u_f^{2n+1}$ . Thus, we reduce to the cases  $(r, d) = (2, -5)$  and  $(r, d) = (1, -2)$ . The latter case is realized as the pull-back under the blowdown map  $X_5 \rightarrow X_6$ .

It remains to find an exceptional pair  $(V, \mathcal{O})$  with  $\text{rk}(V) = 2$  and  $\text{deg}(V) = -5$ . For this we can realize  $X = X_5$  as the linear section of  $G(2, 5)$  and take the restriction to  $X$  of the exceptional pair  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O})$  on  $G(2, 5)$ , where  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}^5$  is the universal subbundle (the needed cohomology on  $X$  are easily computed using the Koszul resolution for  $\mathcal{O}_X$  on  $G(2, 5)$ ).  $\square$

## 5. APPLICATION TO BIHAMILTONIAN STRUCTURES

### 5.1. Nodal anticanonical divisors on del Pezzo surfaces.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $X$  be a del Pezzo surface of degree  $k \geq 3$ . Then there exists a nonempty open subset  $U \subset X$ , such that for every  $q \in U$ , there exists an irreducible anticanonical curve  $C \subset X$ , such that  $q$  is a singular point of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* In the case  $k = 3$ ,  $X$  is a cubic surface in its anticanonical embedding into  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Then we can take  $U \subset X$  to be the complement to the union of 27 lines on  $X$ . For every  $q \in U$ , let  $H_q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be the tangent plane to  $X$ . The intersection  $C_q := H_q \cap X$  is an anticanonical divisor, singular at  $q$ . Since  $C_q$  is a cubic in  $H_q$ , and  $q$  does not lie on a line,  $C_q$  is irreducible.

In the case  $k > 3$ , let  $\pi : X \rightarrow X'$  be the blow down map, where  $X'$  is a smooth cubic surface. Then we can take  $U \subset X$  to be the preimage of the above open set for  $X'$ .  $\square$

Let us denote by  $X_3^F \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  the Fermat cubic  $x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 = 0$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Assume the characteristic is 2. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_6$  be an unordered configuration of points in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , such that no 3 are collinear. For  $i = 1, \dots, 6$ , let  $C_i$  denote the unique conic passing through  $S_i := \{p_1, \dots, p_6\} \setminus \{p_i\}$ . Assume that for every  $i$ ,  $C_i$  is tangent to every line through  $p_i$ . Then  $p_1, \dots, p_6$  is projectively equivalent to the unique (up to  $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{F}_4)$ ) unordered configuration of 6 points on  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_4)$ , such that no 3 are collinear. The blow up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at these 6 points is isomorphic to  $X_3^F$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that the first four points are

$$p_1 = (1 : 0 : 0), \quad p_2 = (0 : 1 : 0), \quad p_3 = (0 : 0 : 1), \quad p_4 = (1 : 1 : 1). \quad (5.1)$$

Let  $p_5 = (x_0 : y_0 : 1)$ ,  $p_6 = (x_1 : y_1 : 1)$ . Then the equation of  $C_6$  is  $q_6(x, y, z) = az(x+y) + y(x+z) = 0$ , where  $a = y_0(x_0 + 1)/(x_0 + y_0)$ . Hence,  $p_6$  is the point where all derivatives of  $q_6$  vanish, which gives  $x_1 = a + 1$ ,  $y_1 = a$ . In particular,  $x_1 + y_1 + 1 = 0$ . Exchanging the roles of  $p_5$  and  $p_6$  we see that  $x_0 + y_0 + 1 = 0$ . Hence,  $a = x_0^2 + 1$ , so

$$p_5 = (x_0 : x_0 + 1 : 1), \quad p_6 = (x_0^2 : x_0^2 + 1 : 1).$$

Exchanging the roles of  $p_5$  and  $p_6$  we deduce that  $x_0^4 = x_0$ , hence all points are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . Since no three points are collinear, we see that  $x_0 \neq 0, 1$ . Hence,  $x_0$  is one of two roots of  $x_0^2 + x_0 + 1 = 0$ . Hence, coordinates of all points are in  $\mathbb{F}_4$ .

Conversely, suppose we have 6 points on  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_4)$ , such that no three are collinear, with the first 4 points given by (5.1), and  $p_5 = (x_0 : y_0 : 1)$ ,  $p_6 = (x_1 : y_1 : 1)$ . Then  $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{F}_4 \setminus \{0, 1\}$  and  $x_i \neq y_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $x_1 \neq x_0$ . Hence, the points  $p_5$  and  $p_6$  are uniquely determined up to permutation.

On the other hand, all 27 lines on the Fermat cubic  $X_3^F$  are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . Thus, for any choice of the blowdown morphism  $\pi : X_3^F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  the corresponding 6 points in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . Hence,  $X_3^F$  is isomorphic to the blow up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at the above configuration of 6 points in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_4)$ .  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a del Pezzo surface of degree  $k \geq 3$ ,  $P := |Q| \simeq \mathbb{P}^k$  the anticanonical linear system. Let  $\tilde{P} \subset P \times X$  denote the incidence variety of  $(C, p)$  such that  $p \in C$ . We consider the following loci in  $P$  and  $\tilde{P}$ :

$P_{sing}$  (resp.,  $\tilde{P}_{sing}$ ) the locus of singular divisors (resp., of pairs  $(C, p)$  such that  $p$  is a singular point of  $C$ );

$P_{nr} \subset P_{sing}$  the locus of reducible divisors;

$P_{cusp} \subset P_{sing}$  the locus of non-nodal divisors.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Assume that  $k \geq 3$ .*

(i) *The loci  $P_{sing}$  (resp.,  $\tilde{P}_{sing}$ ),  $P_{nr}$  and  $P_{cusp}$  are closed. The varieties  $\tilde{P}_{sing}$  and  $P_{sing}$  are irreducible of dimension  $k - 1$ .*

(ii) *One has  $P_{nr} \neq P_{sing}$ . Assume that  $X \not\cong X_3^F/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ . Then  $P_{cusp} \neq P_{sing}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Since  $-K_X$  is very ample, for a fixed point  $p \in X$ , the condition that an anticanonical divisor  $C$  is singular at  $p$  is given by three independent linear conditions on a point in  $P$ . Hence,  $\tilde{P}_{sing}$  is closed in  $P \times X$  and is a projective bundle over  $X$ , with fibers  $\mathbb{P}^{k-3}$ , so  $\tilde{P}_{sing}$  is irreducible of dimension  $k - 1$ . The subset  $P_{sing} \subset P$  is the image of  $\tilde{P}_{sing}$ , so it is closed.

Non-reduced anticanonical divisors of the form  $C_1 + C_2$ , with fixed rational equivalence classes of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , are in the image of the map  $|C_1| \times |C_2| \rightarrow P$ , so they form a closed subset. We claim that there is finitely many possibilities for rational equivalence classes of  $C_i$ . Indeed, we can assume that neither  $C_1$  or  $C_2$  is a  $(-1)$ -curve. Then representing  $X$  as a blow up of a set of points  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ , we get that  $C_1 + C_2$  is the proper transform of a reducible cubic passing through  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , so the class of one of the components is either  $h - e_i$  or  $h - e_i - e_j$ . This implies the claim and proves that  $P_{nr}$  is closed. It is well known that the locus of curves in  $P$  with at most nodal singularities is open, hence,  $P_{cusp}$  is closed.

(ii) Lemma 5.1 shows that  $P_{nr} \neq P_{sing}$ . It remains to prove existence of a nodal anticanonical divisor  $C \subset X$  under our assumptions. For  $k \geq 4$ ,  $X$  can be realized as the blow up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in a set  $S$  of  $\leq 5$  points (in general linear position), so we can take as  $C$  the proper transform of  $\overline{C} = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ , the nodal union of three lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , so that  $S \subset \overline{C}$  but none of the nodes of  $\overline{C}$  is in  $S$ . For example, if  $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_5\}$ , we can take  $L_1$  to be the line through  $p_1, p_2$ ,  $L_2$  the line through  $p_3, p_4$ , and  $L_3$  a generic line through  $p_5$ .

Now assume  $k = 3$  and characteristic is  $\neq 2$ . Let  $X$  be the blow up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at points  $p_1, \dots, p_6$ . Consider the (smooth) conic  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  through  $p_1, \dots, p_5$ , and let  $\ell_i \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ , be the line through  $p_i$  and  $p_6$ . Note that the linear projection of  $C$  from  $p_6$  is a degree 2 map  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ , so it has at most two ramification points, which correspond to tangent lines to  $C$  passing through  $p_6$ . Since the five lines  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_5$  all pass through  $p_6$  and are distinct, one of them is not tangent to  $C$ . Say,  $\ell_1$  is not tangent to  $C$ . Therefore,  $C \cap \ell_1$  consists of two points,  $p_1$  and  $q$ , where  $q$  is distinct from  $p_i$ . Consider the proper transforms  $\tilde{C}$  and  $\tilde{\ell}_1$  of  $C$  and  $\ell_1$  in  $X$ . Then  $e_1 \cup \tilde{C} \cup \tilde{\ell}_1$  is the nodal anticanonical curve.

In the case of  $k = 3$  and characteristic 2, the only case when the above argument does not go through is when the linear projection of  $C$  from each point  $p_6$  is purely inseparable, i.e., every line through  $p_6$  is tangent to  $C$ , and similarly for other points  $p_i$  instead of  $p_6$ . By Lemma 5.2, this implies that  $X \simeq X_F^3$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $X$  be a del Pezzo surface of degree  $k \geq 3$ , such that  $X \not\cong X_3^F/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ . Then there exists a nonempty open subset  $U \subset X$ , such that for every  $q \in U$  there exists an integral nodal anticanonical curve  $C \subset X$  such that  $q$  is the node of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.3, integral nodal curves are dense in  $P_{sing}$ . By Lemma 5.1, the projection  $\tilde{P}_{sing} \rightarrow X$  is dominant. Hence, the restriction to the non-empty open subset of  $(C, p) \in \tilde{P}_{sing}$  with  $C$  integral nodal is also dominant.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $X$  be a weak del Pezzo surface with  $K_X^2 > 1$ ,  $C \subset X$  an irreducible anticanonical divisor,  $p \in C$  a smooth point. Then the blow up  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  at  $p$  is still a weak del Pezzo surface, the proper transform  $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$  of  $C$  is irreducible, and the projection  $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By [1, Prop. 8.1.23], it is enough to check that  $p$  does not lie on any  $(-2)$ -curve  $C'$ . But this immediately follows from  $C \cdot C' = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 5.4, every anticanonical curve  $C_0 \subset X$  is contained in a non-isotrivial pencil of anticanonical curves.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.4, there exists an integral nodal anticanonical curve  $C \subset X$ . In addition, we can assume that the node of  $C$  is not contained in  $C_0$ . We claim that then the pencil  $\langle C_0, C \rangle$  is non-isotrivial.

Indeed, applying Lemma 5.5 to blowing up points  $C \cap C_0$ , and replacing  $C_0$  and  $C$  by their proper transforms, we reduce to the case when  $X$  is a weak del Pezzo surface of degree 1. Then blowing up the unique point in  $C_0 \cap C$  gives a minimal elliptic surface, such that the proper transform of  $C$  is still integral nodal. By Tate's Algorithm (see e.g. [8, Sec. IV.9]), this implies non-isotriviality.  $\square$

## 5.2. Compatible Poisson brackets.

*Proof of Theorem B.* Start with an elliptic curve  $C$ . It is easy to see that for every  $k \geq 4$ ,  $C$  can be realized as an anticanonical divisor on a del Pezzo surface  $X_k$  of degree  $k$  (where in the case  $k = 8$  we can take  $X_8 = F_1$ ). Namely, start with an embedding  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  and 5 generic points  $p_1, \dots, p_5 \in C$ , so that no three are collinear. Then the blow up  $X_4$  of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at these 5 points is a del Pezzo surface of degree 4, and  $C$  lifts to an anticanonical curve of  $X$ . By blowing up a subset of these 5 points, we can embed  $C$  into  $X_k$  with  $k \geq 4$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{O}, V)$  be an exceptional pair of vector bundles on  $X_k$ , where  $\text{rk}(V) = r$  and  $\text{deg}(V) = d > r + 1$ . Applying [3, Thm. 4.4(i)], we get a linear map

$$\kappa : H^0(X_k, Q) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^{d-1}, \bigwedge^2 T),$$

so that every element corresponding to a smooth anticanonical divisor  $D$  maps to the corresponding Poisson bracket of type  $q_{d,r}(D)$ , and all the brackets in the image of  $\kappa$  are compatible. Furthermore, by [3, Thm. 4.4(ii)],  $\kappa$  is injective provided every singular anticanonical divisor on  $X_k$  extends to a non-isotrivial anticanonical pencil. By Corollary 5.6 the latter condition is always satisfied since  $k \geq 4$ .

Now part (i) follows from Theorem A. Namely, we can find  $(\mathcal{O}, V)$  on  $X_4$  with given  $(d, r)$ . Then  $\kappa(C)$  is exactly  $q_{d,r}(C)$ , and the image of  $\kappa$  is the required linear subspace of dimension  $\dim H^0(X_4, Q) = 5$ .

Similarly, part (ii) follows from Proposition 4.2 (applied to  $(-d, r)$  since this Proposition is formulated in terms of the dual pair  $(V^\vee, \mathcal{O})$ ). Note that in the case  $k = 8$  we take  $X_8 = F_1$ , so that indeed any  $(d, r)$  in the given range can be realized on  $X_8$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. V. Dolgachev, *Classical Algebraic Geometry: a modern view*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [2] B. L. Feigin, A. V. Odesskii, *Vector bundles on an elliptic curve and Sklyanin algebras*, in *Topics in quantum groups and finite-type invariants*, 65–84, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [3] Z. Hua, A. Polishchuk, *Elliptic bihamiltonian structures from relative shifted Poisson structures*, J. Topology 16 (2023), 1389–1422.
- [4] S. A. Kuleshov, D. O. Orlov, *Exceptional sheaves on Del Pezzo surfaces*, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. 44 (1995), no.3, 479–513.
- [5] A. Odesskii, T. Wolf, *Compatible quadratic Poisson brackets related to a family of elliptic curves*, J. Geom. Phys. 63 (2013), 107–117.
- [6] A. Polishchuk, *Poisson structures and birational morphisms associated with bundles on elliptic curves*, IMRN 13 (1998), 683–703.
- [7] E. Rains, *Filtered deformations of elliptic algebras*, in *Hypergeometry, integrability and Lie theory*, Contemp. Math. 780, 95–154, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2022.
- [8] Silverman, *Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97403, USA; AND NATIONAL RESEARCH  
UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

*Email address:* [apolish@uoregon.edu](mailto:apolish@uoregon.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA CA 91125

*Email address:* [rains@caltech.edu](mailto:rains@caltech.edu)