

LINEAR MAPS PRESERVING ℓ_p -NORM PARALLEL VECTORS

CHI-KWONG LI, MING-CHENG TSAI, YA-SHU WANG AND NGAI-CHING WONG

In the memory of Professor Peter Michael Rosenthal (June 1, 1941—May 25, 2024)

ABSTRACT. Two vectors \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} in a normed vector space are parallel if there is a scalar μ with $|\mu| = 1$ such that $\|\mathbf{x} + \mu\mathbf{y}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$; they form a triangle equality attaining (TEA) pair if $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$. In this paper, we characterize linear maps on $\mathbb{F}^n = \mathbb{R}^n$ or \mathbb{C}^n , equipped with the ℓ_p -norm for $p \in [1, \infty]$, preserving parallel pairs or preserving TEA pairs. Indeed, any linear map will preserve parallel pairs and TEA pairs when $1 < p < \infty$. For the ℓ_1 -norm, TEA preservers form a semigroup of matrices in which each row has at most one nonzero entries; adding rank one matrices to this semigroup will be the semigroup of parallel preservers. For the ℓ_∞ -norm, a nonzero TEA preserver, or a parallel preserver of rank greater than one, is always a multiple of an ℓ_∞ -norm isometry, except when $\mathbb{F}^n = \mathbb{R}^2$. We also have a characterization for the exceptional case. The results are extended to linear maps of the infinite dimensional spaces $\ell_1(\Lambda)$, $c_0(\Lambda)$ and $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a normed vector space $(\mathbf{V}, \|\cdot\|)$ over the real field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or the complex field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{V}$ form a *parallel* pair if

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mu\mathbf{y}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\| \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \mathbb{F} \text{ with } |\mu| = 1; \quad (1.1)$$

the vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{V}$ form a *triangle equality attaining (TEA)* pairs if

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|. \quad (1.2)$$

We are interested in those linear maps $T : (\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ preserving parallel pairs, namely,

$$(T\mathbf{x}, T\mathbf{y}) \text{ is a parallel pair} \quad \text{whenever} \quad (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \text{ is a parallel pair}, \quad (1.3)$$

or preserving TEA pairs, namely,

$$(T\mathbf{x}, T\mathbf{y}) \text{ is a TEA pair} \quad \text{whenever} \quad (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \text{ is a TEA pair}. \quad (1.4)$$

Recall that a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbf{V} is strictly convex if for any two nonzero vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{V}$ satisfying $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$ we have $\mathbf{x} = t\mathbf{y}$ for some $t > 0$. It is easy to see that if the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is strictly convex, then any linear map T will satisfy (1.3) and (1.4). For example, the ℓ_p -norms on \mathbb{F}^n defined by $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = (\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^p)^{1/p}$ when $p \geq 1$, and $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = \max\{|x_j| : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$, for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^t$, are strict convex except for $p = 1, \infty$.

In this paper, we characterize linear maps on \mathbb{F}^n preserving parallel pairs or preserving TEA pairs with respect to the ℓ_1 -norm and ℓ_∞ -norm, respectively. In the following, let $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ denote the standard basis for \mathbb{F}^n , and \mathbf{M}_n be the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries from \mathbb{F} .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A86, 15A60.

Key words and phrases. norm parallelism, parallel pair preservers, triangle equality attaining pair preservers.

We identify linear maps from \mathbb{F}^n to \mathbb{F}^n with matrices in \mathbf{M}_n . For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and $A \in \mathbf{M}_n$, we let \mathbf{u}^t and A^t denote their transposes. Below are our findings. The proofs are given in Section 2.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $T : (\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_1)$ be a linear map.*

- (a) *T preserves TEA pairs if and only if each row of T has at most one nonzero entry.*
- (b) *T preserves parallel pairs if and only if each row of T has at most one nonzero entry, or $T = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^t$ for some column vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^n$.*

By the above result, for the ℓ_1 -norm, TEA preservers form a semigroup of matrices such that each row has at most one nonzero entries; adding rank one matrices to this semigroup will be the semigroup of parallel preservers.

Recall that a matrix in \mathbf{M}_n is a *monomial* matrix if each row and each column of it has exactly one nonzero entry, and a monomial matrix is a *generalized permutation matrix* if all its nonzero entries have modulus one. By Theorem 1.1, an invertible linear map T preserves TEA or parallel pairs of $(\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_1)$ if and only if it is a monomial matrix. For $(\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, we have the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $T : (\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ be a linear map.*

- (a) *T preserves parallel pairs if and only if there is $\gamma \geq 0$ and a generalized permutation matrix Q such that one of the following forms holds:*
 - (a.1) *T has the form $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \gamma Q\mathbf{x}$,*
 - (a.2) *$n = 2$ and T has the form $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \gamma C Q\mathbf{x}$, where $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ \beta & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for some scalar β with $|\beta| < 1$.*
 - (a.3) *$T = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^t$ for some nonzero column vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^n$.*
- (b) *T preserves TEA pairs if and only if one of the following holds.*
 - (b.1) *T has the form in (a.1).*
 - (b.2) *$\mathbb{F}^n = \mathbb{R}^2$ and T has the form in (a.2).*
 - (b.3) *$\mathbb{F}^n = \mathbb{R}^2$ and T has the form in (a.3), where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)^t$ with $|u_1| = |u_2|$.*

Corollary 1.3. *Let $n \geq 3$. The following conditions are equivalent to each other for a nonzero linear map $T : (\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$.*

- (a) *T preserves TEA pairs.*
- (b) *T preserves parallel pairs and its range space has dimension larger than one.*
- (c) *There is $\gamma > 0$ and a generalized permutation matrix $Q \in \mathbf{M}_n$ such that T has the form $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \gamma Q\mathbf{x}$.*

It is known that an isometry T for the normed space $(\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_p)$, with $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $p \neq 2$, is a generalized permutation matrix; see, e.g., [3]. By Corollary 1.3, for $(\mathbf{V}, \|\cdot\|) = (\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ with $n \geq 3$, a bijective linear map satisfies (1.3) if and only if it satisfies (1.4); such a map is a scalar multiple of an ℓ_∞ -isometry. On the other hand, by the remark after Theorem 1.1, for $(\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_1)$ with $n \geq 2$, a bijective linear map T satisfying (1.3) (or, equivalently, (1.4)) if and only if T is a monomial matrix. Note, however, that by Theorem 1.1, the range space of linear TEA/parallel pair preservers on $(\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_1)$ can have arbitrary dimension in contrast to the ℓ_∞ -norm case.

More generally, it would be interesting to determine a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{F}^n such that the set of bijective linear maps $T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ satisfying (1.3) or (1.4) consisting of scalar multiples of isometries. For more results concerning the study of parallel pairs and their preservers; see [1, 7–11] and [3, 5].

In Section 3, we provide similar characterizations of parallel/TEA pair linear preservers of the infinite dimensional Banach “sequence spaces” $\ell_1(\Lambda)$, $c_0(\Lambda)$ and $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$, where the index set Λ can be uncountably infinite. The case for the ℓ_1 -norm is similar, while for the ℓ_∞ -norm case, we need to assume the linear map T of $c_0(\Lambda)$ or $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ preserves parallel/TEA pairs in both directions; namely,

$$(T\mathbf{x}, T\mathbf{y}) \text{ is a parallel/TEA pair} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \text{ is a parallel/TEA pair.}$$

Under this stronger assumption, we see that ℓ_∞ -norm parallel/TEA linear preservers of $c_0(\Lambda)$ and $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ are scalar multiples of isometries, in line with the finite dimensional case.

2. PRESERVERS OF PARALLEL/TEA VECTORS

We begin with the following observation.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^t, \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^t \in \mathbb{F}^n$.*

- (a) *\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are parallel (resp. TEA) with respect to the ℓ_1 -norm if and only if there is a unimodular scalar μ (resp. $\mu = 1$) such that $\mu \overline{x_k} y_k \geq 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$.*
- (b) *\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are parallel (resp. TEA) with respect to the ℓ_∞ -norm if and only if $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = |x_k|$ and $\|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty = |y_k|$ (resp. such that $\overline{x_k} y_k \geq 0$) for some k between 1 and n .*

As direct consequences of Lemma 2.1, a linear map T of \mathbb{F}^n preserves ℓ_1 -norm (resp. ℓ_∞ -norm) TEA pairs if, and only if, PTQ does for any monomial matrices (resp. generalized permutation matrices) P, Q .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (a) Suppose each row of the $n \times n$ matrix T has at most one nonzero entries. Then there are monomial matrices P, Q such that $PTQ = [\mathbf{T}_1 \quad \mathbf{T}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{T}_n]$ in which the column vectors $\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \dots, \mathbf{T}_k$ are nonzero and satisfying that

$$\mathbf{T}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{n_1}, \quad \mathbf{T}_2 = \mathbf{e}_{n_1+1} + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{n_2}, \quad \dots, \quad \mathbf{T}_k = \mathbf{e}_{n_{k-1}+1} + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{n_k},$$

and

$$\mathbf{T}_{k+1} = \cdots = \mathbf{T}_n = \mathbf{0},$$

where $k \leq n$ and $1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k \leq n$. Note that T preserves ℓ_1 -norm TEA pairs exactly when PTQ does. We may replace T by PTQ , and assume that $T = [\mathbf{T}_1 \quad \mathbf{T}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{T}_n]$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^t$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^t \in \mathbb{F}^n$ form a TEA pair; or equivalently, $\overline{x_j} y_j \geq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T\mathbf{x} &= x_1 T_1 + \cdots + x_k T_k = \underbrace{(x_1, \dots, x_1)}_{n_1}, \underbrace{(x_2, \dots, x_2)}_{n_2 - n_1}, \dots, \underbrace{(x_k, \dots, x_k)}_{n_k - n_{k-1}}, 0, \dots, 0)^t, \\ T\mathbf{y} &= y_1 T_1 + \cdots + y_k T_k = \underbrace{(y_1, \dots, y_1)}_{n_1}, \underbrace{(y_2, \dots, y_2)}_{n_2 - n_1}, \dots, \underbrace{(y_k, \dots, y_k)}_{n_k - n_{k-1}}, 0, \dots, 0)^t \end{aligned}$$

clearly form a TEA pair.

Conversely, suppose T preserves TEA pairs. Assume the contrary that T has a row with two nonzero entries. We may replace T by PTQ for suitable monomial matrices P and Q and assume that T has the $(1, 1)$ th and the $(1, 2)$ th entries equal to 1. Since $\mathbf{x} = (2, -1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ and $\mathbf{y} = (1, -2, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ form a TEA pair, so do $T\mathbf{x}$ and $T\mathbf{y}$. But the first entries of $T\mathbf{x}$ and $T\mathbf{y}$ are 1 and -1 , respectively. So, $T\mathbf{x}$ and $T\mathbf{y}$ do not form a TEA pair, a desired contradiction.

(b) If each row of T has at most one nonzero entry, then T will preserve TEA pairs. Hence, T will also preserve parallel pairs. On the other hand, if $T = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^t$ for some $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^n$, then $T\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{u}^t\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}$ and $T\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{u}^t\mathbf{y})\mathbf{v}$ are both scalar multiples of \mathbf{v} , and thus always parallel, for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^n$.

Conversely, let T be a linear parallel pair preserver with rank larger than 1. We will show that every row of T has at most one nonzero entry. Suppose on contrary that T has a row with more than one nonzero entries. We may replace T by PTQ for some suitable monomial matrices P and Q and assume that the first row of T has the maximum number of nonzero entries among all the rows. Moreover, we may also assume that all these nonzero entries in the first row are 1 and lie in the $(1, 1)$ th, $(1, 2)$ th, \dots , $(1, k)$ th positions.

Since T has rank at least two, there is a row, say, the second row, which is not equal to a multiple of the first row. We consider two cases.

Case 1. The first k entries of the second row are not all equal. We may replace T by TQ for a permutation matrix of the form $Q = Q_1 \oplus I_{n-k}$ such that the $(2, 1)$ entry is nonzero and different from the $(2, 2)$ entry. Further replace T by PT for an invertible diagonal matrix P and assume that the leading 2×2 matrix of T equals $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & a \end{pmatrix}$ for some $a \neq 1$.

Let $\mathbf{x} = (m, \bar{a}, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ and $\mathbf{y} = (1, m\bar{a}, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ with $m > 0$. Then \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are parallel, and so are the vectors $T\mathbf{x}$ and $T\mathbf{y}$. The first two entries of $T\mathbf{x}$ are $m + \bar{a}$ and $m + |a|^2$, and the first two entries of $T\mathbf{y}$ are $1 + m\bar{a}$ and $1 + m|a|^2$. The second entries of $T\mathbf{x}$ and $T\mathbf{y}$ are always positive. It forces $\overline{(m + \bar{a})}(1 + m\bar{a}) = m(1 + |a|^2) + a + m^2\bar{a} \geq 0$ for all $m > 0$. Consequently, $a \geq 0$.

Furthermore, if $m > 0$, then $\mathbf{x} = (m, -1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ and $\mathbf{y} = (1, -m, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ are parallel, and so are $T\mathbf{x}$ and $T\mathbf{y}$. The first two entries of $T\mathbf{x}$ are $m - 1$ and $m - a$, and the first two entries of $T\mathbf{y}$ are $1 - m$ and $1 - am$. It follows that $(m - a)(1 - am) \leq 0$ for all $m > 0$ with $m \neq 1$. Since $a \neq 1$ and $a \geq 0$, we see that $m = (1 + a)/2 \neq 1$ and $(m - a)(1 - am) = \frac{1}{4}(1 - a)(2 - a - a^2) = \frac{1}{4}(1 - a)^2(2 + a) > 0$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. The first k entries of the (nonzero) second row of T are the same scalar γ . If $\gamma \neq 0$ then all other entries of the second row of T are zeros due to the assumption that the first row of T has maximal number of nonzero entries among all rows of T . But then the second row is γ times the first row, a contradiction. Hence, $\gamma = 0$. Suppose the $(2, j)$ th entry of T equals $a \neq 0$ for some $j > k$. We may replace T by TQ for a suitable permutation matrix Q and assume that the leading 2×3 matrix of T is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (2, -1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ and $\mathbf{y} = (1, -2, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$. Then \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are parallel and so are $T\mathbf{x}$ and $T\mathbf{y}$. Now, $T\mathbf{x}$ has the first two entries equal to 1 and

a , whereas $T\mathbf{y}$ has the first two entries equal to -1 and a . Thus, $T\mathbf{x}$ and $T\mathbf{y}$ cannot be parallel, which is a contradiction. ■

We need to establish two more lemmas to prove Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 2.2. *If \mathbf{V} is a subspace of \mathbb{F}^n such that any two elements in \mathbf{V} are parallel with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, then $\dim \mathbf{V} \leq 1$.*

Proof. We are going to show that any nonzero $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ are linearly dependent. To this end, we may replace (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) by $(\alpha\mathbf{u}, \beta\mathbf{v})$ for some nonzero scalars α, β , and assume that \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} are unit vectors with $\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|_\infty = 2$. Since $\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|_\infty = 2$, we may further replace \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} by $Q\mathbf{u}, Q\mathbf{v}$ for a suitable generalized permutation matrix Q and assume that $\mathbf{u} = (1, \dots, 1, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n)^t$ and $\mathbf{v} = (1, \dots, 1, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n)^t$ with $|v_j| < 1$ for $j = k+1, \dots, n$, and $k \geq 1$.

By assumption, $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}$ are parallel with respect to the ℓ_∞ -norm. There exists a unimodular scalar α such that

$$2 + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|_\infty + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_\infty = \|(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + \alpha(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v})\|_\infty = |(u_i + v_i) + \alpha(u_i - v_i)|$$

for some i . If $k+1 \leq i \leq n$, then $|(u_i + v_i) + \alpha(u_i - v_i)| < 2 + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_\infty$, a contradiction. Consequently, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $|(u_i + v_i) + \alpha(u_i - v_i)| = 2$, and thus $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$. ■

Lemma 2.3. *Let $T : (\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ be a nonzero linear map. Then T is invertible if one of the following holds.*

- (a) $\mathbb{F}^n \neq \mathbb{R}^2$ and T preserves TEA pairs,
- (b) T preserves parallel pairs with range space of dimension larger than one.

Proof. Recall that \mathbf{e}_j denotes the coordinate vector with the j th coordinate 1 and all others 0 for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and let $\mathbf{e} = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j$ be the constant one vector in \mathbb{F}^n . If J is a subset of $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $\mathbf{e}_J = \sum_{j \in J} \mathbf{e}_j$. In particular, $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}_N$.

(a) Suppose $T\mathbf{v} = 0$ for some unit vector $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \mathbf{e}_j \in \mathbb{F}^n$. We claim that T is a zero map. Replacing T by TR for a suitable general permutation matrix R , we can assume that $v_1 = 1 \geq v_2 \geq \dots \geq v_n \geq 0$.

Suppose first that $v_1 = \dots = v_k = 1 > v_{k+1} \geq \dots \geq v_n \geq 0$ for some $k < n$. Since $\xi\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_n$ and $\xi\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e}_n$ form a TEA pair for large $\xi > 0$, so do $T(\xi\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_n) = T(\mathbf{e}_n)$ and $T(\xi\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e}_n) = -T(\mathbf{e}_n)$. It follows $0 = \|T(\mathbf{e}_n) + T(-\mathbf{e}_n)\|_\infty = \|T(\mathbf{e}_n)\|_\infty + \|T(-\mathbf{e}_n)\|_\infty$, and thus $T(\mathbf{e}_n) = 0$. With \mathbf{e}_n taking the role of \mathbf{v} , we see that $T\mathbf{e}_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, and thus $T = 0$.

Suppose next that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}$ and $n \geq 3$. For any subset J, K of N such that $J \cup K \neq N$, we have $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}_J$ and $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}_K$ form a TEA pair, and so do $T(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}_J) = -T(\mathbf{e}_J)$ and $T(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}_K) = -T(\mathbf{e}_K)$. Hence,

$$\|T(\mathbf{e}_J) + T(\mathbf{e}_K)\|_\infty = \|T(\mathbf{e}_J)\|_\infty + \|T(\mathbf{e}_K)\|_\infty.$$

An inductive argument with the fact $T(\mathbf{e}) = 0$ gives

$$\|T(\mathbf{e}_1)\|_\infty = \|T(\mathbf{e}_2) + \dots + T(\mathbf{e}_{n-1})\|_\infty = \|T(\mathbf{e}_2)\|_\infty + \dots + \|T(\mathbf{e}_{n-1})\|_\infty.$$

We also have similar equalities for all other $\|T(\mathbf{e}_j)\|_\infty$. Summing up these $n \geq 3$ equalities, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|T(\mathbf{e}_j)\|_\infty = (n-1) \sum_{j=1}^n \|T(\mathbf{e}_j)\|_\infty,$$

and thus all $\|T(\mathbf{e}_j)\|_\infty = 0$. This also forces $T = 0$.

Finally, suppose $n = 2$, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2$. Since the vectors $\mathbf{e} - \frac{1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}\mathbf{e}_1$ and $\mathbf{e} - \frac{1-\sqrt{3}i}{2}\mathbf{e}_1$ attain the triangle equality, so do $T(\mathbf{e} - \frac{1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}\mathbf{e}_1) = -\frac{1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}T(\mathbf{e}_1)$ and $T(\mathbf{e} - \frac{1-\sqrt{3}i}{2}\mathbf{e}_1) = -\frac{1-\sqrt{3}i}{2}T(\mathbf{e}_1)$, which implies $T(\mathbf{e}_1) = 0$. Consequently, $T(\mathbf{e}_2) = 0$, and thus $T = 0$ again.

In conclusion, a nonzero linear map T preserving TEA pairs is invertible unless $\mathbb{F}^n = \mathbb{R}^2$.

(b) If $n = 2$ and the range space of T has dimension larger than one, then T is invertible. Suppose $n > 2$ and T is not invertible. Let \mathbf{v} be a nonzero vector such that $T\mathbf{v} = 0$. We may replace T by the map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto T(\alpha Q\mathbf{x})$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and generalized permutation matrix Q , and assume that $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^t$ with $v_1 = \dots = v_k = 1 > v_{k+1} \geq \dots \geq v_n \geq 0$ where $1 \leq k \leq n$. We are verifying that the range space of T has dimension at most one.

Case 1. Suppose $k = 1$. Then for any $\mathbf{x} = (0, x_2, \dots, x_n)^t, \mathbf{y} = (0, y_2, \dots, y_n)^t$ in the linear span E of $\mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$, the vectors $r\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}, r\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{y}$ are parallel for sufficiently large $r > 0$. Consequently, $T(r\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{x})$ and $T(r\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{y}) = T(\mathbf{y})$ are also parallel. By Lemma 2.2, the space $T(E)$ has dimension at most one. Since \mathbb{F}^n is spanned by \mathbf{v} and E and $T\mathbf{v} = 0$, we conclude that $T(\mathbb{F}^n)$ has dimension at most one.

Case 2. Suppose $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2$. In view of Case 1, we may assume $T(\mathbf{e}_1) = -T(\mathbf{e}_2) \neq 0$. We claim that $T(\mathbf{e}_1)$ and $T(\mathbf{u})$ are linearly dependent for any norm one vector $\mathbf{u} = (0, 0, u_3, \dots, u_n)^t$. Consequently, being the span of $T(\mathbf{e}_1), T(\mathbf{e}_2)$, and all such $T(\mathbf{u})$, the range space $T(\mathbb{F}^n)$ has dimension at most one.

Consider $\mathbf{x} = \alpha\mathbf{e}_1 + \beta\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \gamma\mathbf{e}_1 + \delta\mathbf{u}$ for any scalars α, β, γ and δ . If \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are parallel, so are $T(\mathbf{x}), T(\mathbf{y})$. If \mathbf{x} is not parallel with \mathbf{y} then we can assume that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_1 + \beta\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \gamma\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{u}$ with $|\beta|, |\gamma| < 1$. If $\gamma \neq 0$ then \mathbf{x} is parallel with $s\gamma\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{y}$ for $s \geq \frac{1}{|\gamma|} - 1$. We see that $T(\mathbf{x})$ is parallel with $T(s\gamma\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{y}) = T(\mathbf{y})$. In case when $\gamma = 0$, we see that $T(\mathbf{x})$ is parallel with $T(s\epsilon\mathbf{v} + \epsilon\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{u}) = T(\epsilon\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{u}) = \epsilon T(\mathbf{e}_1) + T(\mathbf{y})$ whenever $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $s \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1$. In other words,

$$\|T(\mathbf{x}) + \mu_\epsilon(\epsilon T(\mathbf{e}_1) + T(\mathbf{y}))\|_\infty = \|T(\mathbf{x})\|_\infty + \|\epsilon T(\mathbf{e}_1) + T(\mathbf{y})\|_\infty$$

for some unimodular scalar μ_ϵ . Choosing a sequence $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ with μ_{ϵ_n} converging to some unimodular μ , we see that $T(\mathbf{x})$ and $T(\mathbf{y})$ are parallel. Therefore, in any case $T(\mathbf{x})$ is parallel with $T(\mathbf{y})$. Hence $T(\mathbf{e}_1)$ and $T(\mathbf{u})$ are linearly dependent by Lemma 2.2, as claimed.

Case 3. Suppose that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + a_3\mathbf{e}_3 + \dots + a_n\mathbf{e}_n$ with $1 \geq a_3 \geq a_4 \geq \dots \geq a_m \geq 0$. In view of Case 2, we can assume that $a_3 > 0$.

Consider $\mathbf{x} = \alpha\mathbf{e}_1 + \beta\mathbf{e}_2$ and $\mathbf{y} = \gamma\mathbf{e}_1 + \delta\mathbf{e}_2$. We claim that $T(\mathbf{x})$ and $T(\mathbf{y})$ are parallel. If \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are parallel, then it is the case. Otherwise, we can assume that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_1 + \mu\mathbf{e}_2$ and $\mathbf{y} = \nu\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2$ with $|\mu|, |\nu| < 1$. If $|1 - \mu| \geq |1 + \mu|a_3$, then $\mathbf{x} - (1 + \mu)\mathbf{v}/2$ and \mathbf{y} are parallel, and so are $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{x} - (1 + \mu)\mathbf{v}/2)$ and $T(\mathbf{y})$. If $|1 - \nu| \geq |1 + \nu|a_3$, then \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{y} - (1 + \nu)\mathbf{v}/2$ are parallel,

and so are $T(\mathbf{x})$ and $T(\mathbf{y}) = T(\mathbf{y} - (1 + \nu)\mathbf{v}/2)$. If $|1 - \mu| < |1 + \mu|a_3$ and $|1 - \nu| < |1 + \nu|a_3$ then $\mathbf{x} - (1 + \mu)\mathbf{v}/2$ and $\mathbf{y} - (1 + \nu)\mathbf{v}/2$ are parallel, then so are $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{x} - (1 + \mu)\mathbf{v})$ and $T(\mathbf{y}) = T(\mathbf{y} - (1 + \nu)\mathbf{v})$. We see that $T(\mathbf{x})$ and $T(\mathbf{y})$ are parallel in all cases. Hence $T(\mathbf{e}_1)$ and $T(\mathbf{e}_2)$ are linearly dependent by Lemma 2.2. Consequently, there is a nontrivial linear combination $\mathbf{v}' = \xi\mathbf{e}_1 + \eta\mathbf{e}_2$ belongs to the kernel of T . We can then reduce the situation to either Case 1 (if $|\xi| > |\eta|$) or Case 2 (if $|\xi| = |\eta|$).

We thus conclude that a linear parallel pair preserver is invertible if its range space has dimension larger than one. \blacksquare

Consider the linear map $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})^t \mapsto (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, 0)^t$. It is easy to see that $T(\mathbb{R}^2)$ has dimension one and T preserves TEA/parallel pairs for the ℓ_∞ -norm. This example says that Lemma 2.3 does not hold in the missing cases.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $A = (a_{rs}) \in \mathbf{M}_n$. Suppose either $a_{jj} > |a_{jk}|$ whenever $j \neq k$, or $a_{jj} > |a_{kj}|$ whenever $j \neq k$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *For any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^t \in \mathbb{F}^n$ with $\mathbf{y} = A^t\mathbf{x} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^t$, we have*
- $$\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = |x_r| > |x_s| \text{ whenever } s \neq r \implies \|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty = |y_r| \geq |y_s| \text{ whenever } s \neq r. \quad (2.1)$$
- (b) *Either $n = 2$ and $A = A^*$ with $a_{11} = a_{22} > |a_{12}|$, or $A = a_{11}I_n$.*

Proof. The implication (b) \Rightarrow (a) is clear if $A = a_{11}I_n$. Suppose $n = 2$ and $A = A^*$ with $a_{11} = a_{22} > |a_{12}|$. Observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = A^t \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \overline{a_{12}} \\ a_{12} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}x_1 + \overline{a_{12}}x_2 \\ a_{12}x_1 + a_{11}x_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |y_1| \geq |y_2| \\ \iff & |a_{11}x_1 + \overline{a_{12}}x_2| \geq |a_{12}x_1 + a_{11}x_2| \\ \iff & a_{11}^2|x_1|^2 + |a_{12}|^2|x_2|^2 + 2a_{11} \operatorname{Re}(a_{12}x_1\overline{x_2}) \geq |a_{12}|^2|x_1|^2 + a_{11}^2|x_2|^2 + 2a_{11} \operatorname{Re}(a_{12}x_1\overline{x_2}) \\ \iff & (a_{11}^2 - |a_{12}|^2)|x_1|^2 \geq (a_{11}^2 - |a_{12}|^2)|x_2|^2 \\ \iff & |x_1| \geq |x_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, (b) \Rightarrow (a) also holds in this case.

We are going to prove (a) \Rightarrow (b). We may replace A by P^tAP with a suitable permutation matrix P and assume the first row \mathbf{v}_1 of A^t has the maximal ℓ_1 -norm. Then further replace A by DAD^* with a suitable diagonal $D \in \mathbf{M}_n$ with $D^*D = I_n$ and assume that the first row of A^t has nonnegative entries.

By the continuity and an induction argument, for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^t$, $\mathbf{y} = A^t\mathbf{x} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^t$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$|x_1| = \dots = |x_k| > |x_r| \text{ for all } r > k \implies |y_1| = \dots = |y_k| \geq |y_r| \text{ for all } r > k. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\mathbf{x} = (1, \dots, 1)^t$ and $\mathbf{y} = A^t \mathbf{x}$. If $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ are the rows of A^t , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v}_1\|_1 &= a_{11} + \dots + a_{n1} = |y_1| = |y_j| = |a_{1j} + \dots + a_{nj}| \\ &\leq |a_{1j}| + \dots + |a_{nj}| = \|\mathbf{v}_j\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{v}_1\|_1 \quad \text{for } j \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{jj} > 0$, we see that $a_{ij} \geq 0$ for all $i \neq j$, and all row sums of A are equal, say, to $s > 0$.

Taking $\mathbf{x} = (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$, with (2.2) we have $a_{11} + a_{21} = a_{12} + a_{22}$. Similarly, taking $\mathbf{x} = (1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$, we have $|a_{11} - a_{21}| = |a_{12} - a_{22}|$. It follows from either the assumption $a_{11} > a_{12}$ and $a_{22} > a_{21}$, or the assumption $a_{11} > a_{21}$ and $a_{22} > a_{12}$ that $a_{11} - a_{21} = a_{22} - a_{12}$. Consequently, $a_{11} = a_{22}$ and $a_{12} = a_{21}$. The assertion follows when $n = 2$.

Suppose $n \geq 3$. Apply the same argument to other pairs (i, j) with $i \neq j$ instead of $(1, 2)$, we see that

$$a_{11} = \dots = a_{nn} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{jk} = a_{kj} \quad \text{whenever } j \neq k.$$

For a fixed $j = 1, \dots, n$, we take $\mathbf{x} = (1, \dots, 1, \underbrace{-1}_{j\text{th}}, 1, \dots, 1)^t$ and $\mathbf{y} = A^t \mathbf{x}$. For distinct indices

j, k, l , we have $|y_l| = s - 2a_{jl} = |y_k| = s - 2a_{jk}$. It follows $a_{jl} = a_{jk} = a_{kj}$, and thus $a_{jk} = a_{12}$ are all equal for $j \neq k$. Consider $\mathbf{u} = (1, -1, \dots, -1)^t$ and $\mathbf{v} = A^t \mathbf{u} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)^t$. Then $|v_1| = |v_2|$ implies either

$$a_{11} - (n-1)a_{12} = a_{11} + (n-3)a_{12} \quad \text{or} \quad (n-1)a_{12} - a_{11} = a_{11} + (n-3)a_{12}.$$

Since $n \geq 3$, either $a_{12} = 0$ or $a_{11} = a_{12}$. But $a_{11} > a_{12}$. This implies that $A = a_{11}I_n$. \blacksquare

We are now ready to present the

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (a) It is clear that T preserves parallel pairs if T has the form in (a.1) or (a.3). Suppose $n = 2$ and T has the form in (a.2). Then, T preserves parallel pairs in $(\mathbb{F}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ by the implication from (b) to (a) in Lemma 2.4; indeed, with a continuity argument the condition (2.1) implies that

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = |x_r| \geq |x_s| \text{ whenever } s \neq r \quad \implies \quad \|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty = |y_r| \geq |y_s| \text{ whenever } s \neq r.$$

Conversely, suppose T preserves parallel pairs. If T is not invertible then it follows from Lemma 2.3 that T is either the zero map or has the form in (a.3). Suppose from now on T is invertible.

If $T^{-1}\mathbf{e}_j = \mathbf{x}_j$, then \mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{x}_j cannot be parallel for any $i \neq j$. Otherwise, $T\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{e}_i$ and $T\mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{e}_j$ were parallel. Thus, the vectors \mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{x}_j cannot attain the ℓ_∞ -norm at a same coordinate. So, there is a permutation σ on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that each \mathbf{x}_j attains its norm at its $\sigma(j)$ th coordinate but no other. Consequently, there is a generalized permutation matrix $Q \in \mathbf{M}_n$ such that the map L defined by $z \mapsto QT^{-1}z$ will send \mathbf{e}_j to a vector $\mathbf{y}_j = (a_{j1}, \dots, a_{jn})^t$ such that $a_{jj} > |a_{ji}|$ for all $i \neq j$. Clearly, L^{-1} defined by $\mathbf{y} \mapsto T(Q^{-1}\mathbf{y})$ preserves parallel pairs.

Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbf{M}_n$ so that $L(\mathbf{x}) = A^t \mathbf{x}$. If $\mathbf{x}_j = (x_{j1}, \dots, x_{jn})^t$ satisfies $|x_{jj}| > |x_{ji}|$ for all $i \neq j$, then we claim that $L(\mathbf{x}_j) = A^t \mathbf{x}_j$ is parallel to \mathbf{y}_j and thus \mathbf{e}_j , but not any other \mathbf{y}_i . Otherwise, the fact $L(\mathbf{x}_j)$ is parallel to \mathbf{y}_i for some $i \neq j$ would imply that \mathbf{x}_j is parallel to $L^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_i) = \mathbf{e}_i$, which is impossible. Thus, the matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ satisfies the hypothesis (a) of Lemma 2.4. If $n \geq 3$, we see

that $A = \gamma I_n$ with $\gamma = a_{11} > 0$. If $n = 2$, we see that A is Hermitian with $a_{11} = a_{22}$. So, T has the form in (a.2).

(b) It is clear that if T assumes the form in (b.1) then it preserves TEA pairs. It is also the case if T assumes the form in (b.3) by direct verification. Suppose $\mathbb{F}^n = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $T = \gamma CQ$ as in (a.2). Clearly, T satisfies (1.4) if and only if C satisfies (1.4). There is $S = \text{diag}(1, \pm 1)$ such that SCS has the form $\hat{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ \beta & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $0 \leq \beta < 1$. The map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto C\mathbf{x}$ satisfies (1.4) if and only if the map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \hat{C}\mathbf{x}$ does. Now, if the nonzero vectors \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} in \mathbb{R}^2 satisfy that $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty + \|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty$, then we may replace (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) by $(\xi\mathbf{x}/\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty, \xi\mathbf{y}/\|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty)$ with $\xi \in \{-1, 1\}$ and assume that $\mathbf{x} = (1, x_2)^t$, $\mathbf{y} = (1, y_2)^t$ with $|x_2|, |y_2| \leq 1$, or $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, 1)^t$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, 1)^t$ with $|x_1|, |y_1| \leq 1$. One can check that $\|\hat{C}\mathbf{x} + \hat{C}\mathbf{y}\|_\infty = \|\hat{C}\mathbf{x}\|_\infty + \|\hat{C}\mathbf{y}\|_\infty$. Thus, T preserves TEA as well.

Conversely, suppose the map T is nonzero and satisfies (1.4). Then T will preserve parallel pairs. Thus, it will be of the form (a.1), (a.2), or (a.3). We will show that (a.2) is impossible in the complex case unless it reduces to the form in (a.1), and there are additional restrictions for \mathbf{u} if (a.3) holds.

Suppose $\mathbb{F}^n = \mathbb{C}^2$ and $T = \gamma CQ$ has the form in (a.2) in which $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for some complex scalar β with $|\beta| < 1$. In this case, the map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto Cx$ also preserves TEA pairs. Consider $\mathbf{x} = (1, 0)^t$, $\mathbf{y} = (1, 1)^t$ and $z = (1, i)^t$, and their images $Cx = (1, \bar{\beta})^t$, $Cy = (1 + \beta, \bar{\beta} + 1)^t$ and $Cz = (1 + i\beta, \bar{\beta} + i)^t$. Note that \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{x}, z are both TEA pairs, while Cx, Cy and Cx, Cz form TEA pairs exactly when the first coordinates of Cy and Cz assume positive values. This forces $\beta = 0$, and thus $T = \gamma Q$ reduces to the form in (a.1).

Suppose $T = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^t$ assumes the form in (a.3) for some vectors $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)^t$ and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{F}^n . In this case, T is not invertible. By Lemma 2.3, $\mathbb{F}^n = \mathbb{R}^2$. Since $\mathbf{y}_1 = (1, 1)^t$ and $\mathbf{y}_2 = (1, -1)^t$ form a TEA pair, so are $T\mathbf{y}_1 = (u_1 + u_2)\mathbf{v}$ and $T\mathbf{y}_2 = (u_1 - u_2)\mathbf{v}$. This forces $u_1 + u_2$ and $u_1 - u_2$ have the same sign. Similarly, \mathbf{y}_1 and $-\mathbf{y}_2$ also form a TEA pair, and thus $u_1 + u_2$ and $-u_1 + u_2$ also have the same sign. If $u_1 + u_2 \neq 0$ then $u_2 = u_1$. In any case, we have $|u_1| = |u_2|$ as asserted. ■

3. THE INFINITE DIMENSIONAL CASES

Let the underlying field \mathbb{F} be either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , and let Λ be a finite or an infinite index set. When $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $\ell_p(\Lambda)$ be the (real or complex) Banach space of p -summable families $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ (of real or complex numbers) with ℓ_p -norm

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |x_\lambda|^p \right)^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

Note that the above sum is finite only if there are at most countably many coordinates $x_\lambda \neq 0$. Let $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ be the Banach space of uniformly bounded family $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ with the ℓ_∞ -norm

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |x_\lambda| < +\infty.$$

We are also interested in the Banach subspace $c_0(\Lambda)$ of $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ consisting of essentially null families $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ for which for any $\epsilon > 0$ there are at most finitely many coordinates x_λ with $|x_\lambda| \geq \epsilon$. It is plain that the vector spaces satisfying

$$\ell_1(\Lambda) \subseteq \ell_p(\Lambda) \subseteq c_0(\Lambda) \subseteq \ell_\infty(\Lambda), \quad \text{whenever } 1 < p < \infty.$$

When Λ is a finite set, all above spaces coincide; otherwise, all inclusions are proper. We write ℓ_p and c_0 for $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$ and $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ as usual.

As in the finite dimensional case, the ℓ_p -norm is strictly convex when $1 < p < \infty$. Two nonzero \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} in $\ell_p(\Lambda)$ are parallel (resp. TEA), exactly when there is a scalar t (resp. $t > 0$) such that $\mathbf{x} = t\mathbf{y}$. Therefore, any linear map of $\ell_p(\Lambda)$ preserves parallel pairs and TEA pairs when $1 < p < \infty$.

We study the cases when $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$, and Λ is an *infinite* index set below. As in Section 2, we start with the following observations. Note that $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Banach space $C(\beta\Lambda)$ of continuous functions on the Stone-Cech compactification $\beta\Lambda$ of Λ , which consists of all ultrafilters of the discrete space Λ .

- Lemma 3.1.** (a) $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \mathbf{y} = (y_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $\ell_1(\Lambda)$ are parallel (resp. TEA) with respect to the ℓ_1 -norm if and only if there is a unimodular scalar μ (resp. $\mu = 1$) such that $\mu \overline{x_\lambda} y_\lambda \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
- (b) $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \mathbf{y} = (y_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $c_0(\Lambda)$ are parallel (resp. TEA) with respect to the ℓ_∞ -norm if and only if there is an index λ in Λ such that $|\overline{x_\lambda} y_\lambda| = \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty$ (resp. $\overline{x_\lambda} y_\lambda = \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty$).
- (c) $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \mathbf{y} = (y_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ are parallel (resp. TEA) with respect to the ℓ_∞ -norm if and only if there is an ultrafilter \mathfrak{U} on Λ such that $\lim_{\mathfrak{U}} |\overline{x_\lambda} y_\lambda| = \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty$ (resp. $\lim_{\mathfrak{U}} \overline{x_\lambda} y_\lambda = \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty$).

For each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, let $\mathbf{e}_\alpha = (e_{\alpha,\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be the α -coordinate vector with coordinates $e_{\alpha,\lambda} = 1$ when $\alpha = \lambda$ and 0 elsewhere. We can identify any bounded linear map T of $\ell_1(\Lambda)$ or $c_0(\Lambda)$ as the infinite “matrix” $(t_{\alpha\beta})$ with $t_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{e}_\alpha^t(T\mathbf{e}_\beta)$, where \mathbf{e}_α^t denotes the linear functional $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mapsto x_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$. However, there are unbounded linear maps such that their representation “matrices” are zero. For example, consider any unbounded linear functional f of c_0 vanishing on the subspace of all finite sequences, that is, $f(\mathbf{e}_n) = 0$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the unbounded linear map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{e}_1$ has zero “matrix”.

On the other hand, the Banach dual space of $\ell_1(\Lambda)$ is $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ when one identify $\mathbf{u} = (u_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in \ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ with the bounded linear functional $\mathbf{u}^t = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} u_\lambda \mathbf{e}_\lambda^t$ (converging in the weak* topology $\sigma(\ell_\infty(\Lambda), \ell_1(\Lambda))$). In this case, a nonzero bounded linear operator S of $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ can have zero representation “matrix”. For example, let g be any nonzero bounded linear functional of ℓ_∞ vanishing on the essential null sequence space c_0 , and $S\mathbf{x} = g(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{e}_1$. However, a $\sigma(\ell_\infty(\Lambda), \ell_1(\Lambda))$ - $\sigma(\ell_\infty(\Lambda), \ell_1(\Lambda))$ continuous linear map is determined by its representation “matrix”.

Using the terminology in the finite dimensional case, we call a “matrix” $U = (u_{\alpha\beta})$ a “*monomial matrix*” if for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ there is exactly one $\beta \in \Lambda$ such that $u_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$. A “*monomial matrix*” U is a “*generalized permutation matrix*” if all its nonzero entries $|u_{\alpha\beta}| = 1$, and it is a “*diagonal unitary matrix*” if $|u_{\alpha\alpha}| = 1$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. We also assume that the linear map U is bounded or

$\sigma(\ell_\infty(\Lambda), \ell_1(\Lambda))$ – $\sigma(\ell_\infty(\Lambda), \ell_1(\Lambda))$ continuous, depending on the context, so that the representation “monomial matrix” $(u_{\alpha\beta})$ determines U . It is clear that a linear map T of $\ell_1(\Lambda)$ (resp. $c_0(\Lambda)$ or $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$) preserves parallel pairs or TEA pairs if, and only if, γUTV does whenever $\gamma > 0$, and U, V are some invertible “monomial matrices” (resp. “generalized permutation matrices”).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $T = (t_{\alpha\beta}) : \ell_1(\Lambda) \rightarrow \ell_1(\Lambda)$ be a bounded linear map.*

- (a) *T preserves TEA pairs if and only if for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ there is at most one $\beta \in \Lambda$ such that $t_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$.*
- (b) *T preserves parallel pairs if and only if T preserves TEA, or $T = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^t$ for some $\mathbf{u} \in \ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \ell_1(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. (a) Suppose for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ there is at most one $\beta \in \Lambda$ such that $t_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$. Write such $\beta = \alpha'$ in this case. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \mathbf{y} = (y_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a TEA pair in $\ell_1(\Lambda)$. By Lemma 3.1, $\overline{x_\lambda}y_\lambda \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the λ -coordinate of $T\mathbf{x}$ and $T\mathbf{y}$ are $t_{\lambda\lambda'}x_{\lambda'}$ and $t_{\lambda\lambda'}y_{\lambda'}$, respectively. Since $\overline{t_{\lambda\lambda'}x_{\lambda'}}t_{\lambda\lambda'}y_{\lambda'} = \overline{x_{\lambda'}}y_{\lambda'}|t_{\lambda\lambda'}|^2 \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we see that $T\mathbf{x}, T\mathbf{y}$ form a TEA pair. The converse follows from exactly the same arguments for the finite dimensional case given in the proof of Theorem 1.1(a).

(b) It suffices to verify the necessity for the case when T does not preserve TEA. Suppose T preserves parallel pairs, and the α_1 -row $(t_{\alpha_1\beta})_{\beta \in \Lambda}$ of its matrix representation has more than one nonzero entries. Suppose the range of T has dimension at least two, and thus there is another α_2 -row $(t_{\alpha_2\beta})_{\beta \in \Lambda}$ of T linearly independent from the α_1 -row. We are going to derive a contradiction.

Since the α_1 -row and the α_2 -row of T are linearly independent, there are distinct indices β_1, β_2 such that the 2×2 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_{\alpha_1\beta_1} & t_{\alpha_1\beta_2} \\ t_{\alpha_2\beta_1} & t_{\alpha_2\beta_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible. If both $t_{\alpha_1\beta_1}, t_{\alpha_2\beta_1}$, or both $t_{\alpha_1\beta_2}, t_{\alpha_2\beta_2}$, are nonzero, then by replacing T with PTQ for some suitable invertible “monomial matrix” P, Q , we can assume that the above matrix assumes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

for some scalar $a \neq 1$. Then the argument in Case 1 of the proof of Theorem 1.1(b) derives a desired contradiction. If $t_{\alpha_1\beta_2} = t_{\alpha_2\beta_1} = 0$, say, then we search for an other index β_3 with $t_{\alpha_1\beta_3} \neq 0$, and such β_3 exists by assumption. If $t_{\alpha_2\beta_3} \neq 0$, then it comes back to the first case above, and we are done. Suppose $t_{\alpha_2\beta_3} = 0$. By replacing T with $P'TQ'$ for some suitable invertible “monomial matrices” P', Q' , we may assume that T has a “submatrix” of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a \neq 0$ for the indices α_1, α_2 , and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$. Then the argument in Case 2 of the proof of Theorem 1.1(b) provides us a contradiction, as well. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.3. *Let Λ be an infinite index set.*

- (a) If \mathbf{V} is a subspace of $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ such that any two elements in \mathbf{V} are parallel with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, then $\dim \mathbf{V} \leq 1$.
- (b) Let $T : \ell_\infty(\Lambda) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ or $T : c_0(\Lambda) \rightarrow c_0(\Lambda)$ be a nonzero linear map. Then T is injective if one of the following holds.
- (i) T preserves TEA pairs.
 - (ii) T preserves parallel pairs with range space of dimension larger than one.

Proof. (a) It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.2.

(b) We discuss only the case T is a linear map of $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$, since the other case is similar.

(i) Let T be a linear TEA preserver of $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ such that $T(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ for some $\mathbf{v} = (v_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ with $\|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |v_\lambda| = 1$. We will show that $T = 0$.

Suppose $\Lambda' = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : |v_\lambda| < 1\} \neq \emptyset$. For any $\lambda' \in \Lambda'$, since $\xi \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_{\lambda'}$ and $\xi \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda'}$ form a TEA pair for large $\xi > 0$, so do $T(\xi \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_{\lambda'}) = T(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda'})$ and $T(\xi \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda'}) = -T(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda'})$. It forces $T(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda'}) = 0$. For any $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{\lambda \neq \lambda'} v_\lambda \mathbf{e}_\lambda$ with zero λ' -coordinate, we have $\xi \mathbf{e}_{\lambda'} + \mathbf{u}$ and $\xi \mathbf{e}_{\lambda'} - \mathbf{u}$ form a TEA pair for large $\xi > 0$, and so do $T(\xi \mathbf{e}_{\lambda'} + \mathbf{u}) = T(\mathbf{u})$ and $T(\xi \mathbf{e}_{\lambda'} - \mathbf{u}) = -T(\mathbf{u})$. It follows $T(\mathbf{u}) = 0$. In general, for any $\mathbf{x} = x_{\lambda'} \mathbf{e}_{\lambda'} + \mathbf{u}$ such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x} - x_{\lambda'} \mathbf{e}_{\lambda'}$ has zero λ' -coordinate,

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = x_{\lambda'} T(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda'}) + T(\mathbf{u}) = 0.$$

Hence $T = 0$. Therefore, we may assume $\Lambda' = \emptyset$.

Replacing T with TQ for some suitable ‘‘generalized permutation matrix’’ Q , we may further assume that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_\Lambda$, that is all coordinates of \mathbf{v} is 1. For any distinct $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$, since $\mathbf{e}_\Lambda - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_2}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\lambda_1} + \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_2}$ form a TEA pair, so do $T(\mathbf{e}_\Lambda - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_2}) = -T(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda_2})$ and $T(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda_1} + \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_2}) = -T(\mathbf{e}_\Lambda - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_1} - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_2})$, since $T(\mathbf{e}_\Lambda) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\|T(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda_1})\|_\infty = \|T(\mathbf{e}_\Lambda - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_1})\|_\infty = \|T(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda_2})\|_\infty + \|T(\mathbf{e}_\Lambda - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_1} - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_2})\|_\infty$$

for any distinct $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$. Exchanging the roles of λ_1 and λ_2 , we see that

$$T(\mathbf{e}_\Lambda - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_1} - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_2}) = 0.$$

Replacing $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_\Lambda$ with $\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{e}_\Lambda - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_1} - \mathbf{e}_{\lambda_2} \neq 0$ (since Λ has more than two elements), and arguing as above, we see that $\Lambda' = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} \neq \emptyset$, and then $T = 0$.

(ii) Suppose T preserves parallel pairs. Assume T is not injective, and $\mathbf{v} = (v_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a norm one element such that $T\mathbf{v} = 0$. Let $\Lambda' = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : |v_\lambda| < 1\}$. For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ such that their λ -coordinates $\mathbf{e}_\lambda^t \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_\lambda^t \mathbf{y} = 0$ for all λ outside Λ' , we see that $\xi \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}$ and $\xi \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{y}$ are parallel for large $\xi > 0$, and so are $T(\xi \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{x})$ and $T(\xi \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{y}) = T(\mathbf{y})$. It follows from part (a) that the space $\{T(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{e}_\lambda^t \mathbf{x} = 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \text{ outside } \Lambda'\}$ has dimension at most one.

If $\Lambda = \Lambda'$ then we are done. If $\Lambda'' = \Lambda \setminus \Lambda'$ is nonempty, then by replacing T with TQ for some ‘‘generalized permutation matrix’’ Q , we may assume that $v_\lambda = 1$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda''$. Then the proof of Lemma 2.3(b) shows that the range of T has dimension at most one. \blacksquare

We note that unlike the finite dimensional case, an injective TEA/parallel pair linear preserver of $c_0(\Lambda)$ or $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ can be non-surjective. For an example, consider the isometric right shift operator

L of ℓ_∞ or c_0 by sending \mathbf{e}_n to \mathbf{e}_{n+1} for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. However, the following result demonstrates that TEA/parallel pair linear preservers of $c_0(\Lambda)$ or $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ are automatically bounded, and indeed scalar multiples of injective isometries.

Theorem 3.4. *Let Λ be an infinite index set. Let $T : c_0(\Lambda) \rightarrow c_0(\Lambda)$ be a nonzero linear map. The following conditions are equivalent to each other.*

- (a) $(T(\mathbf{u}), T(\mathbf{v}))$ is a TEA pair if and only if (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) is a TEA pair, for any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in c_0(\Lambda)$.
- (b) $(T(\mathbf{u}), T(\mathbf{v}))$ is a parallel pair if and only if (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) is a parallel pair, for any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in c_0(\Lambda)$.
- (c) T is a scalar multiple of a (not necessarily surjective) linear isometry.

In this case, there is $\gamma > 0$, a subset Λ_1 of Λ , a family $\{\mu_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_1\}$ of unimodular scalars, and a surjective map $\tau : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda$ such that for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in c_0(\Lambda)$, the image $\mathbf{y} = T(\mathbf{x}) = (y_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ has coordinates

$$y_\beta = \gamma \mu_\beta x_{\tau(\beta)} \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \Lambda_1, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$|y_{\beta'}| \leq \gamma \quad \text{when } \beta' \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_1. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. The implications (c) \implies (a) \implies (b) are plain. We are verifying (b) \implies (c). Note that T is injective. Indeed, if $T(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for some nonzero $\mathbf{x} \in c_0(\Lambda)$, then the fact $T(\mathbf{x})$ and $T(\mathbf{e}_\lambda)$ are parallel would imply that \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{e}_λ are parallel for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. But this contradicts to the fact that Λ is infinite and \mathbf{x} is essentially null. In particular, for any nonzero $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in c_0(\Lambda)$, its peak set

$$\text{Pk}(\mathbf{x}) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : |x_\lambda| = \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty\}.$$

is a nonempty proper subset of Λ .

For any $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$, we claim that

$$\text{Pk}(T(\mathbf{e}_\alpha)) \cap \text{Pk}(T(\mathbf{e}_\beta)) = \emptyset \quad \text{whenever } \alpha \neq \beta.$$

In fact, if $\lambda \in \text{Pk}(T(\mathbf{e}_\alpha)) \cap \text{Pk}(T(\mathbf{e}_\beta))$ then both $T(\mathbf{e}_\alpha)$ and $T(\mathbf{e}_\beta)$ attain their norms at the λ -coordinate, and thus they are parallel. This forces \mathbf{e}_α and \mathbf{e}_β are parallel, a contradiction.

Consider the disjoint union

$$\Lambda_1 = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Pk}(T(\mathbf{e}_\lambda)).$$

We define a surjective map $\tau : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda$ such that

$$\tau(\beta) = \alpha \quad \text{if and only if } \beta \in \text{Pk}(T(\mathbf{e}_\alpha)).$$

There is a unimodular scalar μ_λ such that the norm attaining λ -coordinate of $\overline{\mu_\lambda} T(\mathbf{e}_{\tau(\lambda)})$ is positive for every λ in Λ_1 . Replacing T with QT for a suitable ‘‘diagonal unitary matrix’’ Q , we can assume that all $\mu_\lambda = 1$.

Let $\alpha_1 = \tau(\beta_1), \alpha_2 = \tau(\beta_2)$ and $\alpha_3 = \tau(\beta_3)$ be three distinct indices for β_1, β_2 and β_3 in Λ_1 . Consider the linear map $L : \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha_1}, \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_3}) \rightarrow \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_{\beta_1}, \mathbf{e}_{\beta_2}, \mathbf{e}_{\beta_3})$ defined by taking only the β_1 -, β_2 - and β_3 -coordinates of $T(\mathbf{x})$ when $\mathbf{x} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha_1}, \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_3})$. We can identify L with a 3×3

matrix A satisfying the assumption in Lemma 2.4, from which we have a positive γ such that $L(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha_j}) = \gamma \mathbf{e}_{\beta_j}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$.

The above argument shows that for any α in Λ , the β -coordinate of $T(\mathbf{e}_\alpha)$ is a fixed nonzero scalar γ whenever $\tau(\beta) = \alpha$, and all the other β' -coordinates with $\beta' \in \Lambda_1$ are zero. In other words,

$$T(\mathbf{e}_\alpha) = \gamma \sum_{\tau(\beta)=\alpha} \mathbf{e}_\beta + \mathbf{t}_\alpha \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in \Lambda, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\mathbf{t}_\alpha \in c_0(\Lambda \setminus \Lambda_1)$, that is, all λ -coordinate of \mathbf{t}_α with $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$ are zero. Since the peak set $\text{Pk}(T(\mathbf{e}_\alpha)) \subseteq \Lambda_1$, we have $\|\mathbf{t}_\alpha\|_\infty < \gamma$. Note that the above sum must be finite, as $T(\mathbf{e}_\alpha)$ is essentially null. Replacing T by T/γ , we can assume that $\gamma = 1$, and thus all $\|T(\mathbf{e}_\alpha)\|_\infty = 1$.

We claim that $\|T(\mathbf{x})\|_\infty \leq 1$ whenever $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $c_0(\Lambda)$ has norm one. To see this, we first assume that $x_{\alpha_1} = 1$ and $x_{\alpha_2} = x_{\alpha_3} = 0$ with $\alpha_1 = \tau(\beta_1)$, $\alpha_2 = \tau(\beta_2)$, $\alpha_3 = \tau(\beta_3)$ for distinct indices $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \Lambda$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \Lambda_1$. Since \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{e}_{α_1} are parallel, so are $T(\mathbf{x})$ and $T(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha_1}) = \mathbf{e}_{\beta_1}$. In particular, $\|T(\mathbf{x})\|_\infty$ is attained at the β_1 -coordinate of $T(\mathbf{x})$. On the other hand, \mathbf{x} is not parallel with $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_3}$, and thus $T(\mathbf{x})$ is not parallel with $T(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha_2}) = \mathbf{e}_{\beta_2}, T(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha_3}) = \mathbf{e}_{\beta_3}$. With \mathbf{x} playing the role of \mathbf{e}_{α_1} , and $T(\mathbf{x})$ playing the role of \mathbf{e}_{β_1} , the above argument shows that the β_1 -coordinate of $T(\mathbf{x})$ is $\|T(\mathbf{x})\|_\infty = 1$. In general, for any norm one $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $c_0(\Lambda)$, we may choose distinct indices $\alpha_1 = \tau(\beta_1)$, $\alpha_2 = \tau(\beta_2)$, $\alpha_3 = \tau(\beta_3)$, and assume its α_1 -coordinate equals 1. Then

$$\left| \|T(\mathbf{x})\|_\infty - \|T(\mathbf{x} - x_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_2} - x_{\alpha_3} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_3})\|_\infty \right| \leq \|T(x_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_2} + x_{\alpha_3} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_3})\|_\infty$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|T(\mathbf{x})\|_\infty - 1 \right| &\leq \left\| x_{\alpha_2} \left(\sum_{\tau(\beta_2)=\alpha_2} \mathbf{e}_{\beta_2} + \mathbf{t}_{\alpha_2} \right) + x_{\alpha_3} \left(\sum_{\tau(\beta_3)=\alpha_3} \mathbf{e}_{\beta_3} + \mathbf{t}_{\alpha_3} \right) \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq |x_{\alpha_2}| + |x_{\alpha_3}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathbf{x} is essentially null, we can choose as small as possible $|x_{\alpha_2}|, |x_{\alpha_3}|$. It follows that $\|T(\mathbf{x})\|_\infty = 1$, as claimed. In particular, T is an isometry.

Going back to the original bounded linear map T , the formula (3.3) becomes

$$T(\mathbf{e}_\alpha) = \gamma \sum_{\tau(\beta)=\alpha} \mu_\beta \mathbf{e}_\beta + \mathbf{t}_\alpha,$$

where $\mathbf{t}_\alpha \in c_0(\Lambda \setminus \Lambda_1)$ with $\|\mathbf{t}_\alpha\|_\infty < \gamma$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. For any $\mathbf{x} = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} x_\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha$ in $c_0(\Lambda)$, the boundedness of T ensures that

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} x_\alpha T(\mathbf{e}_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} x_\alpha \left(\gamma \sum_{\tau(\beta)=\alpha} \mu_\beta \mathbf{e}_\beta + \mathbf{t}_\alpha \right) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \left(\left(\sum_{\tau(\beta)=\alpha} \gamma \mu_\beta x_{\tau(\beta)} \mathbf{e}_\beta \right) + x_\alpha \mathbf{t}_\alpha \right).$$

It follows (3.1).

To verify (3.2), we may assume that there is $\beta' \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_1$ and a norm one $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $c_0(\Lambda)$ such that $\mathbf{y} = T(\mathbf{x})$ has β' -coordinate with $|y_{\beta'}| > \gamma$. Since the β -coordinates of \mathbf{y} are bounded by γ for all $\beta \in \Lambda_1$ due to (3.1), we see that $\mathbf{y} = T(\mathbf{x})$ and $T(\mathbf{e}_\alpha)$ have disjoint peak sets, and thus

they are not parallel to each other for any α in $\Lambda = \tau(\Lambda_1)$. However, if \mathbf{x} attains its norm at the α_1 -coordinate, then \mathbf{x} is parallel with \mathbf{e}_{α_1} , and thus $T(\mathbf{x})$ is parallel with $T(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha_1})$, a contradiction. We thus establish (3.2). It is now clear that T/γ is an into isometry.

Finally, we note that a (scalar multiple of an) into isometry of $c_0(\Lambda)$ assumes the stated forms (3.1) and (3.2), due to Holsztynski's Theorem (see, e.g., [2]). ■

The case for linear parallel/TEA pair preservers of $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ seems to be more complicated, as an element $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ might have empty peak set, that is, all its coordinates $|x_\lambda| < \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty$. This makes the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.4 cannot be transported directly. However, $\ell_\infty(\Lambda) \cong C(\beta\Lambda)$, and we can apply the following result for abelian C*-algebras.

Theorem 3.5 ([6]). *Let X, Y be compact Hausdorff spaces containing of at least three points. Let $T : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ be a bijective linear map such that both T, T^{-1} preserve parallel pairs. Then there is a homeomorphism $\sigma : Y \rightarrow X$ and a constant unimodulus function $h \in C(Y)$ such that*

$$Tf(y) = h(y)f(\sigma(y)) \quad \text{for any } f \in C(X) \text{ and } y \in Y.$$

Below is an infinite dimensional analog of Corollary 1.3.

Corollary 3.6. *Let Λ be an infinite index set. Let $T : \ell_\infty(\Lambda) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ be a bijective linear map. The following conditions are equivalent to each other.*

- (a) *Both T and T^{-1} send TEA pairs to TEA pairs.*
- (b) *Both T and T^{-1} send parallel pairs to parallel pairs.*
- (c) *T is a scalar multiple of a surjective linear isometry.*

In this case, there is $\gamma > 0$, a family $\{\mu_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of unimodular scalars, and a bijective map $\tau : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ such that for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in \ell_\infty(\Lambda)$, the image $\mathbf{y} = T(\mathbf{x}) = (y_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ has coordinates

$$y_\lambda = \gamma \mu_\lambda x_{\tau(\lambda)} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \Lambda. \quad (3.4)$$

In other words, T is a scalar multiple of a “generalized permutation matrix”.

Proof. While the implications (c) \implies (a) \implies (b) are plain, Theorem 3.5 establishes (b) \implies (c) when we identify $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ with $C(\beta\Lambda)$. We note that Λ consists of all isolated points of $\beta\Lambda$. Thus the homeomorphism σ induces a bijective map τ from Λ onto itself to implement (3.4). ■

Problem 3.7. Can one replace the two direction preserver conditions by that the linear map T sends parallel/TEA pairs to parallel/TEA pairs in Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.6, as in the finite dimensional case?

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Li is an affiliate member of the Institute for Quantum Computing, University of Waterloo; his research was partially supported by the Simons Foundation Grant 851334. M.-C. Tsai, Y.-S. Wang and N.-C. Wong are supported by Taiwan NSTC grants 112-2115-M-027-002, 113-2115-M-005-008-MY2 and 112-2115-M-110-006-MY2, respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BARRAA AND M. BOUMAZGOUR, Inner derivations and norm equality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **130** (2001), 471–476.
- [2] J.-S. Jeang and N.-C. Wong, Weighted composition operators of $C_0(X)$'s, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **201** (1996), 981–993.
- [3] B. KUZMA, C.-K. LI, E. POON, AND S. SINGLA, Linear maps preserving parallel pairs with respect to the Ky-Fan k -norm, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **687** (2024), 68–90.
- [4] C.-K. LI, Some aspects of the theory of norms, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **212** (1994), 71–100.
- [5] C.-K. LI, M.-C. TSAI, Y. WANG, AND N.-C. WONG, Linear maps on matrices preserving parallel pairs, preprint.
- [6] C.-K. LI, M.-C. TSAI, Y. WANG, AND N.-C. WONG, Linear maps of C^* -algebras preserving norm parallelism, in preparation.
- [7] R. NAKAMOTO AND S. E. TAKAHASI, Norm equality condition in triangle inequality, *Sci. Math. Jpn.*, **55**(3), (2002), 463–466.
- [8] A. SEDDIK, Rank one operators and norm of elementary operators, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **424** (2007), 177–183.
- [9] P. WÓJCIK, Norm-parallelism in classical M -ideals, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, **28**(2) (2017), 287–293.
- [10] A. ZAMANI AND M. S. MOSLEHIAN, Exact and approximate operator parallelism, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **58**:1 (2015), 207–224.
- [11] A. ZAMANI AND M. S. MOSLEHIAN, Norm-parallelism in the geometry of Hilbert C^* -modules, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **27**(1) (2016), 266–281.

(Li) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE COLLEGE OF WILLIAM & MARY, WILLIAMSBURG, VA 13187, USA.

Email address: ckli@math.wm.edu

(Tsai) GENERAL EDUCATION CENTER, NATIONAL TAIPEI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, TAIPEI 10608, TAIWAN.

Email address: mctsai2@mail.ntut.edu.tw

(Wang) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHUNG HSING UNIVERSITY, TAICHUNG 40227, TAIWAN.

Email address: yashu@nchu.edu.tw

(Wong) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, KAOHSIUNG, 80424, TAIWAN; DEPARTMENT OF HEALTHCARE ADMINISTRATION AND MEDICAL INFORMATION, KAOHSIUNG MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, 80708 KAOHSIUNG, TAIWAN.

Email address: wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw