Asymptotic profile of least energy solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger–Bopp– Podolsky system

Gustavo de Paula Ramos

Abstract. Consider the following nonlinear Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky system in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\Delta v + v + \phi v = v|v|^{p-2}; \\
\beta^2 \Delta^2 \phi - \Delta \phi = 4\pi v^2,\n\end{cases}
$$

where $\beta > 0$ and $3 < p < 6$, the unknowns being $v, \phi \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$. We prove that, as $\beta \to 0$ and up to translations and subsequences, least energy solutions to this system converge to a least energy solution to the following nonlinear Schrödinger–Poisson system in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\Delta v + v + \phi v = v|v|^{p-2}; \\
-\Delta \phi = 4\pi v^2.\n\end{cases}
$$

Keywords. Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky system, Schrödinger–Poisson system, Nonlocal semilinear elliptic problem, Variational methods, Ground state, Nehari–Pohožaev manifold, Concentration-compactness.

1. Introduction

We are interested in the asymptotic profile of solutions to the following *non*linear Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky (SBP) system in \mathbb{R}^3 as $\beta \to 0^+$:

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\Delta v + v + \phi v = v|v|^{p-2};\\ \beta^2 \Delta^2 \phi - \Delta \phi = 4\pi v^2,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.1)

where $3 < p < 6$ and we want to solve for $v, \phi \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$.

The nonlinear SBP system was introduced in the mathematical literature a few years ago by d'Avenia & Siciliano in [\[4](#page-9-0)], where they established

existence/nonexistence results of solutions to the following system in \mathbb{R}^3 in function of the parameters $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\Delta v + \omega v + q^2 \phi v = v|v|^{p-2}; \\
\beta^2 \Delta^2 \phi - \Delta \phi = 4\pi v^2,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.2)

where β , $\omega > 0$. As for the physical meaning of this system, if $v, \phi \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ solve (1.2) , then v describes the spatial profile of a standing wave

$$
\psi(x,t) := e^{\mathrm{i}\omega t}v(x)
$$

that solves the system obtained by the minimal coupling of the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation with the Bopp–Podolsky electromagnetic theory and ϕ denotes the ensuing electric potential (for more details, see [\[4](#page-9-0), Section 2]). Since then, there has been an increasing number of studies about systems related to (1.2) . For instance, $[2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 21, 25]$ $[2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 21, 25]$ $[2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 21, 25]$ $[2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 21, 25]$ $[2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 21, 25]$ $[2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 21, 25]$ $[2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 21, 25]$ $[2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 21, 25]$ $[2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 21, 25]$ $[2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 21, 25]$ addressed the existence of least energy solutions, [\[7,](#page-9-3) [12,](#page-10-3) [13\]](#page-10-4) considered the mass-constrained problem, [\[8](#page-9-4), [9,](#page-9-5) [17,](#page-10-5) [23](#page-11-2), [22\]](#page-11-3) obtained sign-changing solutions and [\[5,](#page-9-6) [6\]](#page-9-7) considered semiclassical states.

As for the asymptotic behavior as $\beta \to 0$, it is already known that solutions to a number of problems related to [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) converge to solutions of the respective system obtained by formally considering $\beta = 0$. For instance, [\[4,](#page-9-0) Theorem 1.3] proved such a result for radial solutions; [\[7,](#page-9-3) Theorem D] extended this conclusion for least energy solutions to the mass-constrained system for $2 < p < 14/5$ and a sufficiently small mass ρ (notice that these solutions are also radial due to [\[7,](#page-9-3) Theorem C]); [\[20,](#page-11-4) Theorem 1.3] showed that solutions to the associated eigenvalue problem in a bounded smooth domain also have such an asymptotic profile and, more recently, [\[5](#page-9-6), Theorem 1.7] verified such a behavior for the critical nonlinear SBP system in the semiclassical regime under the effect of an external effective potential $V: \mathbb{R}^3 \to [0, \infty[$ which vanishes at a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Before explaining our contribution, let us introduce the necessary variational framework. The function $\mathcal{K}_{\beta} : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \to \left]0, 1/\beta\right[$ defined as

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\beta}(x) := \frac{1}{|x|} \left(1 - e^{-|x|/\beta} \right)
$$

is a fundamental solution to $(4\pi)^{-1}(\beta^2\Delta^2 - \Delta)$, so $u^2 * \mathcal{K}_\beta$ solves

$$
\beta^2 \Delta^2 \phi - \Delta \phi = 4\pi u^2
$$

in the sense of distributions. As such, we are lead to consider the following nonlinear SBP equation in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$
-\Delta v + v + (v^2 * \mathcal{K}_\beta)v = v|v|^{p-2}.
$$
 (1.3)

We say that v is a *least energy solution* to (1.3) when it solves the minimization problem

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\beta}(u) = \inf \left\{ \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\beta}(v) : v \in H^1 \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}'_{\beta}(v) = 0 \right\}; \quad u \in H^1,
$$

where the energy functional $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\beta}: H^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\beta}(v) = \frac{1}{2} ||v||_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int (v^2 * \mathcal{K}_{\beta})(x)v(x)^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} ||v||_{L^p}^p.
$$

For a proof that \mathcal{I}_{β} is a well-defined functional of class C^1 and a rigorous discussion about the relationship between [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1), we refer the reader to [\[4,](#page-9-0) Section 3.2].

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}(x) \to 1/|x|$ as $\beta \to 0$, so the formal limit equation obtained from (1.3) is the nonlinear Schrödinger–Poisson equation

$$
-\Delta v + v + (v^2 * |\cdot|^{-1})v = v|v|^{p-2}.
$$
 (1.4)

We similarly introduce a notion of least energy solution to [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) by considering the energy functional $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_0 : H^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_0(v) := \frac{1}{2} ||v||_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int \int \frac{v(x)^2 v(y)^2}{|x-y|} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y - \frac{1}{p} ||v||_{L^p}^p.
$$

In this context, our main result is that, up to translations and subsequences, least energy solutions to [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1) converge to a least energy solution to [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) as $\beta \to 0$ when $3 < p < 6$.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $3 < p < 6$ and given $\beta > 0$, v_{β} is a least en-ergy solution to [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1). It follows that if $\beta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) has a least energy solution \overline{v}_0 and there exists $\{\xi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that, up to subsequence, $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||v_{\beta_n}(\cdot + \xi_n) - \overline{v}_0||_{H^1} = 0.$

We prove the theorem by arguing as in Liu & Moroz' [\[16](#page-10-6)], where they characterized the asymptotic profile of least energy solutions to the following Schrödinger–Poisson equation in \mathbb{R}^3 as $\lambda \to \infty$:

$$
-\Delta v + v + \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} (v^2 * | \cdot |^{-1}) v = v |v|^{p-2},
$$

where $3 < p < 6$. Let us summarize the strategy of the proof. It is already known that when $3 < p < 6$, least energy solutions to (1.3) and (1.4) are minimizers of the respective energy functionals in the associated Nehari–Pohožaev manifolds (see $[3]$ for the SBP system and $[1, 19]$ $[1, 19]$ for the Schrödinger–Poisson system). As such, the core of the proof consists in comparing the least energy level achieved on these manifolds as $\beta \to 0$.

Let us finish the introduction with a comment on the organization of the text. In Section [2,](#page-3-0) (i) we recap relevant results present in the literature; (ii) we precisely define the Nehari–Pohožaev manifold and (iii) we recall its properties which we will use. Finally, we prove Theorem [1.1](#page-2-1) in Section [3.](#page-4-0)

Notation

Unless denoted otherwise, functional spaces contain real-valued functions defined a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 . Likewise, we integrate over \mathbb{R}^3 whenever the domain of integration is omitted. We define $D^{1,2}$ as the Hilbert space obtained as completion of C_c^{∞} with respect to the inner product $\langle u, v \rangle_{D^{1,2}} := \int \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx$. In the following sections, we always consider a fixed $p \in [3, 6]$.

2. Preliminaries

We begin by recalling the following Brézis–Lieb-type splitting property (see [\[24,](#page-11-5) Lemma 2.2 (i)] or $[18,$ Proposition 4.7]).

Lemma 2.1. If $w_n \rightharpoonup \overline{v}_0$ in H^1 and $w_n \rightharpoonup \overline{v}_0$ a.e. as $n \to \infty$, then

$$
\int \int \frac{w_n(x)^2 w_n(y)^2}{|x-y|} dxdy - \int \int \frac{(w_n(x) - \overline{v}_0(x))^2 (w_n(y) - \overline{v}_0(y))^2}{|x-y|} dxdy \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{}
$$

$$
\xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{}
$$

$$
\int \int \frac{\overline{v}_0(x)^2 \overline{v}_0(y)^2}{|x-y|} dxdy.
$$

The Pohožaev-type identities in the sequence were proved in [\[4,](#page-9-0) Appendix A.3] and [\[19](#page-10-7), Theorem 2.2].

Proposition 2.2. 1. If $v \in H^1$ is a weak solution to [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1), then

$$
\frac{1}{2}||v||_{D^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{3}{2}||v||_{L^2}^2 + \frac{5}{4}\int\int \mathcal{K}_{\beta}(x-y)v(x)^2v(y)^2dxdy + \frac{1}{4\beta}\int\int e^{-|x-y|/\beta}v(x)^2v(y)^2dxdy - \frac{3}{p}||v||_{L^p}^p = 0.
$$
 (2.1)

2. If $v \in H^1$ is a weak solution to [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0), then

$$
\frac{1}{2}||v||_{D^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{3}{2}||v||_{L^2}^2 + \frac{5}{4}\int\int \frac{v(x)^2v(y)^2}{|x-y|}dxdy - \frac{3}{p}||v||_{L^p}^p = 0.
$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\beta} \colon H^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\beta}(v) = \frac{3}{2} ||v||_{D^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||v||_{L^2}^2 + \frac{3}{4} \int \int \mathcal{K}_{\beta}(x - y)v(x)^2 v(y)^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y - \frac{1}{4\beta} \int \int e^{-|x-y|/\beta} u(x)^2 u(y)^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y - \frac{2p-3}{p} ||u||_{L^p}^p.
$$

To motivate the definition of \mathcal{P}_{β} , notice that every critical point of \mathcal{I}_{β} is an element of the Nehari–Pohoˇzaev manifold

$$
\mathscr{P}_{\beta} := \{ v \in H^1 \setminus \{0\} : \mathcal{P}_{\beta}(v) = 0 \}.
$$

Indeed: if $\mathcal{I}'_{\beta}(v) = 0$, then both the Nehari identity

$$
||v||_{H^{1}}^{2} + \int (v^{2} * \mathcal{K}_{\beta})(x)v(x)^{2} dx - ||v||_{L^{p}}^{p} = 0
$$

and the Pohožaev-type identity [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1) hold, so $\mathcal{P}_{\beta}(v) = 0$.

On one hand, it seems to be unknown whether \mathcal{P}_{β} is a natural constraint of \mathcal{I}_{β} in the sense that if v is a critical point of $\mathcal{I}_{\beta} |_{\mathscr{P}_{\beta}}$, then $\mathcal{I}'_{\beta}(v) = 0$. On the other hand, under more general assumptions, Chen, Li, R $\ddot{\text{a}}$ dulescu & Tang proved in $[3, \text{Lemma } 3.14]$ that if v solves the minimization problem

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\beta}(v)=m_{\beta}:=\inf_{u\in\mathscr{P}_{\beta}}\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\beta}(u);\quad v\in\mathscr{P}_{\beta},
$$

then v is a least energy solution to (1.3) . Moreover, it follows from [\[3,](#page-9-2) Corollary 1.6] that m_β is actually achieved and $m_\beta > 0$. As such, we will henceforth let v_{β} denote any least energy solution to [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1).

Suppose that $v \in H^1 \setminus \{0\}$. There exists a unique $\tau > 0$ such that $\tau^2 v(\tau) \in \mathscr{P}_{\beta}$, which is obtained as the unique critical point of the mapping

$$
|0,\infty[\ni t \mapsto \widetilde{L}_{\beta}\left(t^{2}v(t\cdot)\right) =
$$

= $\frac{t^{3}}{2}||v||_{D^{1,2}}^{2} + \frac{t}{2}||v||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{t^{3}}{4}\int (v^{2} * \mathcal{K}_{t\beta})(x)v(x)^{2} dx - \frac{t^{2p-3}}{p}||v||_{L^{p}}^{p}.$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}_{\beta}(t^2v(t\cdot)) > 0$ for $0 < t < \tau$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\beta}(t^2v(t\cdot)) < 0$ for $t > \tau$.

We let $\mathcal{P}_0: H^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$
\mathcal{P}_0(v) = \frac{3}{2} ||v||_{D^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||v||_{L^2}^2 + \frac{3}{4} \int \int \frac{v(x)^2 v(y)^2}{|x-y|} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y - \frac{2p-3}{p} ||v||_{L^p}^p
$$

and we analogously define \mathscr{P}_0 , m_0 . As before, we can associate each $v \in$ $H^1 \setminus \{0\}$ to a unique $\tau > 0$ such that $\tau^2 v(\tau) \in \mathscr{P}_0$. It follows from Azzollini & Pomponio's [\[1,](#page-9-8) Theorem 1.1] that $m_0 > 0$ and [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) has a least energy solution obtained as a minimizer of $\mathcal{I}_0|_{\mathscr{P}_0}$, so we will henceforth let v_0 denote any of these solutions. Let us recall a couple of properties of \mathscr{P}_0 that follow directly from [\[1](#page-9-8), Lemma 2.3] and which will be important for us.

Lemma 2.3. 1. The Nehari–Pohožaev manifold \mathscr{P}_0 is a natural constraint of \mathcal{I}_0 .

$$
2. \ \inf_{v \in \mathscr{P}_0} ||v||_{L^p} > 0.
$$

We will also use the fact that minimizing sequences of $\mathcal{I}_0|_{\mathscr{P}_0}$ induce a sequence of measures which falls on the compactness case in P.–L. Lions' [\[14,](#page-10-9) Lemma I.1].

Lemma 2.4 ([\[1](#page-9-8), Lemma 2.6]). Suppose that $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a minimizing sequence of $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_0|\mathcal{P}_0$ and given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, μ_n denotes the measure which takes each Lebesguemeasurable set Ω to

$$
\mu_n(\Omega) :=
$$

 := $\int_{\Omega} \frac{p-3}{2p-3} |\nabla u_n(x)|^2 + \frac{p-2}{2p-3} u_n(x)^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(2p-3)} \int \frac{u_n(x)^2 u_n(y)^2}{|x-y|} dy dx.$

It follows that there exists $\{\xi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ for which we can associate each $\delta > 0$ with an $r_{\delta} > 0$ such that $\mu_n(B_{r_{\delta}}(\xi_n)) \geq m_0 - \delta$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. Asymptotic profile of least energy solutions to (1.3)

Let us develop the preliminary results needed to prove the theorem. We begin by obtaining an upper bound for $\limsup_{\beta\to 0} m_{\beta}$.

Lemma 3.1. $\limsup_{\beta \to 0} m_{\beta} \leq m_0$.

Proof. $v_0 \in \mathscr{P}_0$, so

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\beta}(v_0) = -\frac{1}{4} \int \int \left(\frac{3}{|x-y|} + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) e^{-|x-y|/\beta} v_0(x)^2 v_0(y)^2 dx dy < 0,
$$

so there exists a unique $\bar{t}_{\beta} \in]0,1[$ such that \bar{t}_{β}^2 $\beta^2 v_0(\overline{t}_{\beta}\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}_{\beta}$, i.e.,

$$
\frac{3}{2}\overline{t}_{\beta}^{3}||v_{0}||_{D^{1,2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{t}_{\beta}||v_{0}||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{3}{4}\overline{t}_{\beta}^{3} \int \int \mathcal{K}_{\overline{t}_{\beta}\beta}(x-y)v_{0}(x)^{2}v_{0}(y)^{2}dxdy - \frac{\overline{t}_{\beta}^{2}}{4\beta} \int \int e^{-|x-y|/(\overline{t}_{\beta}\beta)}v_{0}(x)^{2}v_{0}(y)^{2}dxdy = \frac{2p-3}{p}\overline{t}_{\beta}^{2p-3}||v_{0}||_{L^{p}}^{p}.
$$

It follows from the inclusion $v_0 \in \mathscr{P}_0$ that

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{t_{\beta}^{2}}\right)||v_{0}||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\int\int \left(\frac{3}{|x-y|} + \frac{1}{t_{\beta}\beta}\right)e^{-|x-y|/(\overline{t}_{\beta}\beta)}v_{0}(x)^{2}v_{0}(y)^{2}dxdy = \frac{2p-3}{p}(1-\overline{t_{\beta}^{2p-6}})||v_{0}||_{L^{p}}^{p}.
$$
 (3.1)

Let us show that

$$
\int \int \left(\frac{3}{|x-y|} + \frac{1}{\overline{t}_{\beta}\beta}\right) e^{-|x-y|/(\overline{t}_{\beta}\beta)} v_0(x)^2 v_0(y)^2 dx dy \xrightarrow[\beta \to 0]{} 0.
$$
 (3.2)

It suffices to prove that if $0 < \beta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then, up to subsequence,

$$
\int \int \left(\frac{3}{|x-y|} + \frac{1}{\overline{t}_{\beta_n} \beta_n}\right) e^{-|x-y|/(\overline{t}_{\beta_n} \beta_n)} v_0(x)^2 v_0(y)^2 dx dy \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0.
$$

As $\lim_{n\to\infty} \bar{t}_{\beta_n} \beta_n = 0$, then, up to subsequence, $(\bar{t}_{\beta_n} \beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing, so the limit follows from the Monotone Convergence Theorem.

We claim that $\lim_{\beta\to 0} \bar{t}_{\beta} = 1$. By contradiction, suppose that $0 < \beta_n \to$ 0 as $n \to \infty$ and $\alpha := \liminf_{n \to \infty} \bar{t}_{\beta_n} < 1$. In view of [\(3.1\)](#page-5-0) and [\(3.2\)](#page-5-1), it follows that

$$
0 > \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \right) \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{2p-3}{p} \left(1 - \limsup_{n \to \infty} \overline{t}_{\beta}^{2p-6} \right) \|v_0\|_{L^p}^p \ge 0,
$$

which is absurd, hence the result.

In view of [\(3.2\)](#page-5-1), the limit $\bar{t}_{\beta} \to 1$ as $\beta \to 0$ implies

$$
m_{\beta} \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\beta} \left(\overline{t}_{\beta}^{2} v_{0}(\overline{t}_{\beta} \cdot) \right) = \frac{p-3}{2p-3} \overline{t}_{\beta}^{3} \|v_{0}\|_{D^{1,2}}^{2} + \frac{p-2}{2p-3} \overline{t}_{\beta} \|v_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + + \frac{p-3}{2(2p-3)} \overline{t}_{\beta}^{3} \int (v_{0}^{2} * \mathcal{K}_{\overline{t}_{\beta}\beta})(x) v_{0}(x)^{2} dx + + \frac{\overline{t}_{\beta}^{2}}{4(2p-3)\beta} \int \int e^{-|x-y|/(\overline{t}_{\beta}\beta)} v_{0}(x)^{2} v_{0}(y)^{2} dx dy \xrightarrow[\beta \to 0]{} \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{0}(v_{0}) = m_{0},
$$

and the lemma is proved.

$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

We can use the previous lemma to control the H^1 -norm of least energy solutions to (1.3) for sufficiently small β .

Lemma 3.2. $\limsup_{\beta \to 0} ||v_{\beta}||_{H^1} < \infty$.

Proof. As $v_{\beta} \in \mathscr{P}_{\beta}$, we obtain

$$
m_{\beta} = \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\beta}(v_{\beta}) = \frac{p-3}{2p-3} ||v_{\beta}||_{D^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2p-3} ||v_{\beta}||_{L^2}^2 + + \frac{p-3}{2(2p-3)} \int \int \mathcal{K}_{\beta}(x-y)v_{\beta}(x)^2 v_{\beta}(y)^2 \, dx \, dy + + \frac{1}{4(2p-3)} \int \int \frac{e^{-|x-y|/\beta}}{\beta} v_{\beta}(x)^2 v_{\beta}(y)^2 \, dx \, dy,
$$

so $m_{\beta} \ge (p-3) \|v_{\beta}\|_{H^1}^2/(2p-3)$ and the result follows from Lemma [3.1.](#page-4-1) \Box

The following inequality follows from an application of Hölder's and Young's inequalities.

Lemma 3.3. Given $w \in L^4$, it holds that

$$
\int \int \left(\frac{3}{|x-y|} + \frac{1}{\beta}\right) e^{-|x-y|/\beta} w(x)^2 w(y)^2 dx dy \le 20\pi \beta^2 \|w\|_{L^4}^4.
$$

It follows that if $\{w_\beta\}_{\beta>0} \subset H^1$ is such that $\limsup_{\beta \to 0} ||w_\beta||_{H^1} < \infty$, then

$$
\int \int \left(\frac{3}{|x-y|}+\frac{1}{\beta}\right) e^{-|x-y|/\beta} w_{\beta}(x)^2 w_{\beta}(y)^2 dx dy \xrightarrow[\beta \to 0]{} 0.
$$

Let us show that the family of Nehari–Pohožaev manifolds $(\mathscr{P}_{\beta})_{\beta>0}$ is bounded away from zero in L^p .

Lemma 3.4. $\inf_{\beta > 0} {\{\|v\|_{L^p} : v \in \mathscr{P}_{\beta}\}} > 0.$

Proof. We claim that

$$
\inf_{\beta > 0} \{ \|v\|_{H^1} : v \in \mathscr{P}_{\beta} \} > 0. \tag{3.3}
$$

Indeed, the elementary inequality $re^{-r} \leq 1 - e^{-r}$ for every $r \geq 0$ implies

$$
0 = \mathcal{P}_{\beta}(v) \ge \frac{1}{2} ||v||_{H^1}^2 - \frac{2p-3}{p} ||v||_{L^p}^p,
$$
\n(3.4)

and thus $(2p-3)c||v||_{H^1}^{p-2}/p \ge 1/2$, where $c > 0$ denotes the constant of the Sobolev embedding $H^1 \hookrightarrow L^p$.

In this situation, the lemma follows from (3.3) and (3.4) .

The inclusion $v_\beta \in \mathscr{P}_\beta$ implies

$$
\mathcal{P}_0(v_\beta) = \frac{1}{4} \int \int \left(\frac{3}{|x-y|} + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) e^{-|x-y|/\beta} v_\beta(x)^2 v_\beta(y)^2 dx dy > 0,
$$

so there exists a unique $t_{\beta} > 1$ such that $t_{\beta}^2 v_{\beta}(t_{\beta}) \in \mathscr{P}_0$, i.e.,

$$
\frac{3}{2}t_{\beta}^{3}||v_{\beta}||_{D^{1,2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}t_{\beta}||v_{\beta}||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{3}{4}t_{\beta}^{3}\int\int \frac{v_{\beta}(x)^{2}v_{\beta}(y)^{2}}{|x-y|}dxdy =
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2p-3}{p}t_{\beta}^{2p-3}||v_{\beta}||_{L^{p}}^{p}.
$$
\n(3.5)

Our last preliminary result shows that $t_\beta \to 1$ as $\beta \to 0$.

Lemma 3.5. $t_{\beta} \to 1$ and $\mathcal{I}_0(t_{\beta}^2 v_{\beta}(t_{\beta} \cdot)) \to m_0$ as $\beta \to 0$.

Proof. Let us prove that $t_\beta \to 1$ as $\beta \to 0$. We only have to show that $\limsup_{\beta \to 0} t_{\beta} \leq 1$. By contradiction, suppose that $\limsup_{\beta \to 0} t_{\beta} > 1$. In particular, we can fix $\{\beta_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset [0,\infty]$ such that $\beta_n\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ and $\alpha := \liminf_{n \to \infty} t_{\beta_n} > 1$. It follows from [\(3.5\)](#page-7-0) and from the fact that $v_{\beta_n} \in$ \mathscr{P}_{β_n} that

$$
\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\Bigg(\frac{1}{t_{\beta_n}^2}-1\Bigg)\|v_{\beta_n}\|_{L^2}^2+\\ &\qquad+\frac{1}{4}\int\int\bigg(\frac{3}{|x-y|}+\frac{1}{\beta_n}\bigg)e^{-|x-y|/\beta_n}v_{\beta_n}(x)^2v_{\beta_n}(y)^2\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y=\\ &=\frac{2p-3}{p}(t_{\beta_n}^{2p-6}-1)\|v_{\beta_n}\|_{L^p}^p. \end{aligned}
$$

In view of Lemmas [3.2–](#page-6-2)[3.4,](#page-6-3)

 \mathcal{L}

$$
0 \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1 \right) \left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} ||v_{\beta_n}||_{L^2}^2 \right) \ge
$$

$$
\ge \frac{2p - 3}{p} (\alpha^{2p - 6} - 1) \left(\liminf_{n \to \infty} ||v_{\beta_n}||_{L^p}^p \right) > 0,
$$

which is absurd, hence the result.

Now, we want to show that $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_0(t_\beta^2 v_\beta(t_\beta \cdot)) \to m_0$ as $\beta \to 0$. We have

$$
m_0 \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_0(t_\beta^2 v_\beta(t_\beta \cdot)) = \frac{p-3}{2p-3} t_\beta^3 \|v_\beta\|_{D^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2p-3} t_\beta \|v_\beta\|_{L^2}^2 + + \frac{p-3}{2(2p-3)} t_\beta^3 \int \frac{v_\beta(x)^2 v_\beta(y)^2}{|x-y|} dx = t_\beta^3 m_\beta + \frac{p-2}{2p-3} (t_\beta - t_\beta^3) \|v_\beta\|_{L^2}^2 + + \frac{p-3}{2(2p-3)} t_\beta^3 \int \int \frac{e^{-|x-y|/\beta}}{|x-y|} v_\beta(x)^2 v_\beta(y)^2 dx dy - - \frac{1}{4(2p-3)} \overline{t}_\beta^3 \int \int e^{-|x-y|/\beta} v_\beta(x)^2 v_\beta(y)^2 dx dy.
$$

Due to the limit $\lim_{\beta\to 0} t_{\beta} = 1$, the result follows from Lemmas [3.1](#page-4-1)[–3.3.](#page-6-4) \Box

Even though this limit will not be explicitly used to prove the theorem, we remark that Lemma [3.5](#page-7-1) implies $m_\beta \to m_0$ as $\beta \to 0$ because $\mathcal{I}_\beta(v_\beta) = m_\beta$ by definition. Let us finally prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-1) Due to Lemma [3.5,](#page-7-1) $(u_n := t_{\beta_n}^2 v_{\beta_n}(t_{\beta_n} \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a minimizing sequence of $\mathcal{I}_0|_{\mathcal{P}_0}$. Let μ_n denote the measure defined in Lemma [2.4](#page-4-2) and let $\{\xi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^3$ be furnished by the same lemma. It follows from Lem-mas [3.2](#page-6-2) and [3.5](#page-7-1) that $\{w_n := u_n(\cdot - \xi_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in H^1 , so there exists $\overline{v}_0 \in H^1$ such that, up to subsequence, $w_n \rightharpoonup \overline{v}_0$ in H^1 as $n \to \infty$. Due to the Kondrakov Theorem, we can suppose further that $w_n \to \overline{v}_0$ a.e. as $n \to \infty$.

Now, we argue as in [\[1](#page-9-8), Proof of Theorem 1.1] to prove that

$$
||w_n - \overline{v}_0||_{L^q} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{L^q} 0 \quad \text{for every} \quad q \in [2, 6]. \tag{3.6}
$$

Due to Lemma [2.4,](#page-4-2) $||w_n||^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{r_\delta}(0))} < \delta$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider a fixed $\delta > 0$. Due to the Kondrakov Theorem and the fact that $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{H^1}$ is weakly lower-semicontinuous, we obtain

$$
||w_n - \overline{v}_0||_{L^q} \le ||w_n - \overline{v}_0||_{L^q(B_{r_\delta}(0))} + ||w_n - \overline{v}_0||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{r_\delta}(0))};
$$

\n
$$
\le \delta + C (||w_n||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{r_\delta}(0))} + ||\overline{v}_0||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{r_\delta}(0))});
$$

\n
$$
\le 3\delta \tag{3.7}
$$

for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $C > 0$ denotes the constant of the Sobolev embedding $H^1 \hookrightarrow L^q$. The result then follows from the fact that given $\delta > 0$, there exists $n_{\delta} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that [\(3.7\)](#page-8-0) holds for $n \geq n_{\delta}$.

We claim that

$$
\frac{p-3}{2p-3}||w_n||_{D^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2p-3}||w_n||_{L^2}^2 \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{n \to \infty} \frac{p-3}{2p-3}||\overline{v}_0||_{D^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2p-3}||\overline{v}_0||_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.8)
$$

Indeed, in view of [\(3.6\)](#page-8-1) and Lemma [2.3,](#page-4-3) we deduce that $\|\overline{v}_0\|_{L^p} > 0$, so $\overline{v}_0 \not\equiv 0$. Considering [\(3.6\)](#page-8-1), Lemmas [2.1,](#page-3-2) [3.5](#page-7-1) and the fact that $\|\cdot\|_{D^{1,2}}$ is weakly lowersemicontinuous, we obtain $\mathcal{P}_0(\overline{v}_0) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_0(w_n) = 0$. As $\overline{v}_0 \neq 0$, we deduce that there exists a unique $t_0 \in [0,1]$ such that $t_0^2 \overline{v}_0(t_0 \cdot) \in \mathscr{P}_0$. We obtain

$$
m_0 \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_0(t_0^2 \overline{v}_0(t_0 \cdot)) =
$$

= $\frac{p-3}{2p-3} t_0^3 \|\overline{v}_0\|_{D^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2p-3} t_0 \|\overline{v}_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(2p-3)} t_0^3 \int \frac{\overline{v}_0(x)^2 \overline{v}_0(y)^2}{|x-y|} dy dx \le$
 $\leq \frac{p-3}{2p-3} \|\overline{v}_0\|_{D^{1,2}}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2p-3} \|\overline{v}_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(2p-3)} \int \frac{\overline{v}_0(x)^2 \overline{v}_0(y)^2}{|x-y|} dy dx \le$
 $\leq \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_0(w_n) + o_n(1)$

for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the result follows by taking the limit $n \to \infty$.

In view of [\(3.6\)](#page-8-1) and [\(3.8\)](#page-8-2), we obtain $||w_n - \overline{v}_0||_{H^1} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, so $\overline{v}_0 \in \mathscr{P}_0$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_0(\overline{v}_0) = m_0$. Finally, the fact that $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}'_0(\overline{v}_0) = 0$ is a corollary of Lemma [2.3.](#page-4-3) \Box

References

- [1] A. Azzollini and A. Pomponio. "Ground State Solutions for the Nonlinear Schrödinger–Maxwell Equations". In: Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 345.1 (Sept. 2008), pp. 90–108. issn: 0022247X. url: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022247X08003284>.
- [2] Sitong Chen and Xianhua Tang. "On the Critical Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky System with General Nonlinearities". In: Nonlinear Analysis 195 (June 2020), p. 111734. issn: 0362546X. url: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0362546X1930389X>.
- [3] Sitong Chen et al. "Ground State Solutions of the Non-Autonomous Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky System". In: Anal.Math.Phys. 12.1 (Feb. 2022), p. 17. issn: 1664-2368, 1664-235X. url: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s13324-021-00627-9>.
- [4] Pietro d'Avenia and Gaetano Siciliano. "Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation in the Bopp–Podolsky Electrodynamics: Solutions in the Electrostatic Case". In: Journal of Differential Equations 267.2 (July 2019), pp. 1025-1065. ISSN: 00220396. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022039619300610>.
- [5] Heydy M. Santos Damian and Gaetano Siciliano. "Critical Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky Systems: Solutions in the Semiclassical Limit". In: Calc. Var. 63.6 (July 2024), p. 155. issn: 0944-2669, 1432-0835. url: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00526-024-02775-9>.
- [6] Gustavo de Paula Ramos. "Concentrated Solutions to the Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky System with a Positive Potential". In: Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 535.1 (July 2024), p. 128098. ISSN: 0022247X. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022247X24000192>.
- [7] Gustavo de Paula Ramos and Gaetano Siciliano. "Existence and Limit Behavior of Least Energy Solutions to Constrained Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky Systems in \mathbb{R}^{3} ". In: Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 74.2 (Apr. 2023), p. 56. ISSN: 0044-2275, 1420-9039. URL: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00033-023-01950-w>.
- [8] Giovany M. Figueiredo and Gaetano Siciliano. "Multiple Solutions for a Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky System with Positive Potentials". In: Mathematische Nachrichten 296.6 (June 2023), pp. 2332–2351. issn: 0025-584X, 1522-2616. url: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mana.202100308>.
- [9] Yi-Xin Hu, Xing-Ping Wu, and Chun-Lei Tang. "Existence of Least-Energy Sign-Changing Solutions for the Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky System with Critical Growth". In: Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 46.1 (Jan. 2023), p. 45. ISSN: 0126-6705, 2180-4206. URL: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40840-022-01441-7>.
- [10] Chun-Rong Jia, Lin Li, and Shang-Jie Chen. "Ground States Solutions for Some Non-Autonomous Schrödinger-
Bopp-Podolsky System". In: Electron. J. Qual. Theory Bopp-Podolsky System". In: Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 51 (2022), pp. 1–29. ISSN: 14173875. URL: http://www.math.u-szeged.hu/ejqtde/periodica.html?periodica=1¶mti
- [11] Lin Li, Patrizia Pucci, and Xianhua Tang. "Ground State Solutions for the Nonlinear Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky System with Critical Sobolev Exponent". In: Advanced Nonlinear Studies 20.3 (Aug. 1, 2020), pp. 511–538. issn: 1536-1365, 2169-0375. url: <https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.1515/ans-2020-2097/html>.
- [12] Yiqing Li and Binlin Zhang. "Critical Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky
System with Prescribed Mass". In: *J Geom Anal* 33.7 System with Prescribed Mass". In: J Geom Anal 33.7 (July 2023), p. 220. issn: 1050-6926, 1559-002X. url: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s12220-023-01287-w>.
- [13] Yuxin Li, Xiaojun Chang, and Zhaosheng Feng. "Normalized Solutions for Sobolev Critical Schrodinger-Bopp-Podolsky Systems". In: ejde 2023.01-87 (Sept. 5, 2023), p. 19. issn: 1072-6691. url: <https://ejde-ojs-txstate.tdl.org/ejde/article/view/353>.
- [14] P.L. Lions. "The Concentration-Compactness Principle in the Calculus of Variations. The Locally Compact Case, Part $1.$ " In: Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 1.2 (Apr. 1984), pp. 109–145. issn: 0294-1449, 1873-1430. url: [https://ems.press/doi/10.1016/s0294-1449\(16\)30428-0](https://ems.press/doi/10.1016/s0294-1449(16)30428-0).
- [15] Senli Liu and Haibo Chen. "Existence and Asymptotic Behaviour of Positive Ground State Solution for Critical Schrödinger-Bopp-Podolsky System". In: era 30.6 (2022), pp. 2138–2164. issn: 2688-1594. url: <http://www.aimspress.com/article/doi/10.3934/era.2022108>.
- [16] Zeng Liu and Vitaly Moroz. "Asymptotic Profile of Ground States for the Schrödinger–Poisson–Slater Equation". In: Nonlinear Analysis 218 (May 2022), p. 112778. ISSN: 0362546X. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0362546X21003199>.
- [17] Lixiong Wang, Haibo Chen, and Senli Liu. "Existence and Multiplicity of Sign-Changing Solutions for a Schrödinger-Bopp-Podolsky System". In: TMNA (June 12, 2022), pp. 1–28. ISSN: 1230-3429. URL: <https://apcz.umk.pl/TMNA/article/view/38707>.
- [18] Carlo Mercuri, Vitaly Moroz, and Jean Van Schaftingen. "Groundstates and Radial Solutions to Nonlinear Schrödinger–Poisson–Slater Equations at the Critical Frequency". In: Calc. Var. 55.6 (Dec. 2016), p. 146. issn: 0944-2669, 1432-0835. url: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00526-016-1079-3>.
- [19] David Ruiz. "The Schrödinger–Poisson Equation under the Effect of a Nonlinear Local Term". In: Journal of Functional Analysis 237.2 (Aug. 2006), pp. 655–674. issn: 00221236. url: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022123606001583>.

12 REFERENCES

- [20] Lorena Soriano Hernandez and Gaetano Siciliano. "Existence and Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions to Eigenvalue Problems for Schrodinger-Bopp-Podolsky Equations". In: ejde 2023.01-87 (Oct. 13, 2023), p. 18. issn: 1072-6691. url: <https://ejde-ojs-txstate.tdl.org/ejde/article/view/471>.
- [21] Yao Xiao, Sitong Chen, and Muhua Shu. "The Existence of Ground State Solutions for a Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky System with Convolution Nonlinearity". In: J Geom Anal 33.12 (Dec. 2023), p. 374. issn: 1050-6926, 1559-002X. url: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s12220-023-01437-0>.
- [22] Qi Zhang. "Sign-Changing Solutions for Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky System with General Nonlinearity". In: Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 73.6 (Dec. 2022), p. 235. issn: 0044-2275, 1420-9039. url: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00033-022-01879-6>.
- [23] Ziheng Zhang. "Sign-Changing Solutions for a Class of Schrödinger-Bopp-Podolsky System with Concave-Convex Nonlinearities". In: Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 530.1 (Feb. 2024), p. 127712. issn: 0022247X. url: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022247X23007151>.
- [24] Leiga Zhao and Fukun Zhao. "On the Existence of Solutions for the Schrödinger–Poisson Equations". In: Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 346.1 (Oct. 2008), pp. 155–169. issn: 0022247X. url: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022247X08004393>.
- [25] Yuting Zhu, Chunfang Chen, and Jianhua Chen. "The Schrödinger–Bopp–Podolsky Equation Under the Effect of Nonlinearities". In: Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 44.2 (Mar. 2021), pp. 953–980. ISSN: 0126-6705, 2180-4206. URL: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40840-020-00994-9>.

Gustavo de Paula Ramos Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, 1010, 05508-090 S˜ao Paulo SP, Brazil e-mail: gpramos@ime.usp.br URL: http://gpramos.com