ON THE AVERAGE SIZE OF THE EIGENVALUES OF THE HECKE OPERATORS

WILLIAM CASON, AKASH JIM, CHARLIE MEDLOCK, ERICK ROSS, AND HUI XUE

Abstract. We determine the average size of the eigenvalues of the Hecke operators. To measure average size, we use the quadratic mean. We consider both the vertical and the horizontal perspective.

1. Introduction

Let $T'_{m}(N,k) := T_{m}(N,k)/m^{(k-1)/2}$ denote the m-th normalized Hecke operator over $S_{k}(\Gamma_{0}(N)).$ When N and k are clear from the context, we will just write T'_m . In this paper, we study the average size of the eigenvalues for these Hecke operators.

One could interpret the notion of average size here in two different ways: vertically and hori-zontally. In Section [2,](#page-1-0) we consider the vertical perspective; where m is fixed and N and k vary. For m fixed, consider N coprime to m and $k \geq 2$ even. Also, let $s(N, k) = \dim S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ and $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{s(N,k)}$ be the eigenvalues of $T'_m(N,k)$. Then to measure the average size of the eigenvalues of $T'_m(N,k)$, we use the quadratic mean,

$$
Av_m(N,k) := \sqrt{\frac{1}{s(N,k)} \sum_{i=1}^{s(N,k)} \lambda_i^2}.
$$
 (1.1)

We note that since N is coprime to m, the λ_i are real [\[4,](#page-6-0) Corollary 10.3.7], and hence the above formula actually represents the average size. We also note that the quadratic mean is more natural to study than, for example, the arithmetic mean of the absolute values of the λ_i ; squares are much nicer to work with than absolute values. In Theorem [1.1,](#page-0-0) we will determine the behavior of $\text{Av}_m(N, k)$ as $N + k \to \infty$. In the following, $\sigma_1(m)$ denotes the sum of divisors function, $\sigma_1(m) = \sum_{d|m} d$.

Theorem 1.1. Let m be fixed. Then for N coprime to m and $k \geq 2$ even,

$$
Av_m(N,k) \longrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1(m)}{m}} \quad \text{as} \quad N+k \longrightarrow \infty.
$$

²⁰²⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F25, 11F72, and 11F11.

Key words and phrases. Hecke operators, Spectra of Hecke operators, Newforms, Distribution of Fourier coefficients, Quadratic mean.

Our method is effective; we have explicit bounds determining exactly how fast $Av_m(N, k)$ converges to $\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1(m)}{m}}$ $\frac{m}{m}$. As an example, in Section [3,](#page-3-0) we use these explicit bounds to classify precisely when $Av_2(N, k) \leq 1$.

In Section [4,](#page-4-0) we consider the horizontal perspective; where N and k are fixed and m varies. From this perspective, it is more natural to think of the eigenvalues of $T'_{m}(N, k)$ as the normalized Fourier coefficients of newforms. Let f be a newform of weight k and level N. Let $a'_{f}(m) :=$ $a_f(m)/m^{(k-1)/2}$ denote the normalized Fourier coefficients of f, i.e. the eigenvalues of $T'_m(N,k)$ associated to f. Then to measure the average size of the $a'_{f}(m)$, we again use the quadratic mean,

$$
Av_f(x) := \sqrt{\frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \le x} a'_f(m)^2}.
$$
 (1.2)

We note that since f is a newform, the $a'_f(m)$ are real [\[4,](#page-6-0) Remark 13.3.12], and hence the above formula actually represents the average size. In Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-1) we determine the behavior of $\text{Av}_f(x)$ as $x \to \infty$.

Theorem 1.2. Let f be a newform of level N and weight k. Then

$$
\text{Av}_f(x) \longrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{12 \cdot (4\pi)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}} \cdot ||f|| \quad \text{as} \quad x \longrightarrow \infty.
$$

Here, $||f||$ denotes the Petersson norm, $||f|| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$.

The idea of this theorem is not new. Although perhaps not stated in these terms, others have considered equivalent questions (e.g. [\[7\]](#page-6-1), [\[12\]](#page-7-0), [\[5\]](#page-6-2)). However, we state this theorem here for completeness.

Finally, in Section [5,](#page-5-0) we discuss our results and propose a lower bound on the size of the Fourier coefficients of newforms, which mimics the Atkin-Serre conjecture.

2. The Vertical Perspective

In this section, we take the vertical perspective. That is, for m fixed, we study $\text{Av}_m(N, k)$ as $N+k\to\infty$. Note that $s(N,k)=0$ for only finitely many pairs (N,k) [\[8,](#page-6-3) Table 2.6], so $\text{Av}_m(N,k)$ here is well-defined for $N + k$ sufficiently large.

Now, the case of $m = p$ prime follows from already known results. In [\[13,](#page-7-1) Equation 27], Serre showed that assuming $p \nmid N$, the asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues of $T_p(N, k)$ is given by $\mu_p = \frac{p+1}{q}$ π $(1-t^2/4)^{1/2}$ $\frac{(p^{1/2}+p^{-1/2})^2-t^2}{(p^{1/2}+p^{-1/2})^2-t^2}$ dt. This means that

$$
\lim_{N+k\to\infty} \mathrm{Av}_p(N,k)^2 = \lim_{N+k\to\infty} \frac{1}{s(N,k)} \sum_{i=1}^{s(N,k)} \lambda_i^2
$$

$$
= \int_{-2}^{2} \frac{p+1}{\pi} \frac{(1-t^2/4)^{1/2}}{(p^{1/2}+p^{-1/2})^2-t^2} \cdot t^2 dt
$$

= $\frac{p+1}{p}$,

and hence

$$
Av_p(N,k) \longrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{p+1}{p}} \quad \text{as} \quad N+k \longrightarrow \infty.
$$

However, this approach will not work for general m because Serre's methods do not produce a measure μ_m for composite m. Instead, we compute the asymptotics of $\text{Av}_m(N, k)$ directly by writing $\mathrm{Av}_m(N, k)$ in terms of traces of certain Hecke operators.

Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{s(N,k)}$ be the eigenvalues of T'_m . Then using the Hecke operator composition formula $[4,$ Theorem 10.2.9],

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{s(N,k)} \lambda_i^2 = \text{Tr}\left(T_m'^{2}\right) = \text{Tr}\sum_{d|m} T_{m^2/d^2}' = \sum_{d|m} \text{Tr}\, T_{m^2/d^2}'.
$$

Thus by (1.1) ,

$$
Av_m(N,k) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{s(N,k)} \sum_{d|m} \text{Tr} T'_{m^2/d^2}}.
$$
 (2.1)

We now cite a lemma to estimate the traces of Hecke operators appearing in this formula. In the following, all big-O notation is vertical, i.e. with respect to N and k.

Lemma 2.1 ([\[10,](#page-7-2) Lemma 4.2]). Let m be a fixed perfect square. Then for N coprime to m and $k \geq 2$ even,

$$
\operatorname{Tr} T'_m = \frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N) \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} + O(N^{1/2 + \varepsilon}) \qquad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.
$$

Here, $\psi(N)$ is the multiplicative function $\psi(N) = N \prod_{p|N} (1 + 1/p)$. Note that $\psi(N) \geq N$. We then use this lemma to determine the asymptotic behavior of $\text{Av}_m(N, k)$.

Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-0) Let m be fixed. Then for N coprime to m and $k \geq 2$ even,

$$
Av_m(N,k) \longrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1(m)}{m}} \quad \text{as} \quad N+k \longrightarrow \infty.
$$

Proof. By (2.1) and Lemma 2.1 ,

$$
A v_m(N,k)^2 = \frac{1}{s(N,k)} \sum_{d|m} \text{Tr} T'_{m^2/d^2}
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{s(N,k)} \sum_{d|m} \left(\frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N) \frac{d}{m} + O(N^{1/2+\epsilon}) \right)
$$

=
$$
\frac{\sigma_1(m)}{m} \cdot \frac{\frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N)}{s(N,k)} + \frac{O(N^{1/2+\epsilon})}{s(N,k)}.
$$
 (2.2)

Note from Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1) that $s(N, k) = \text{Tr } T_1' = \frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N) + O(N^{1/2+\epsilon})$. Thus as $N + k \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$
\frac{\frac{k-1}{12}\psi(N)}{s(N,k)} \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{O(N^{1/2+\varepsilon})}{s(N,k)} \longrightarrow 0.
$$

So by (2.2) , $Av_m(N, k)^2 \longrightarrow \frac{\sigma_1(m)}{m}$, proving the desired result.

3. A CLASSIFICATION OF WHEN $Av_2(N, k) \leq 1$

Our method is effective; we have explicit bounds for all of the error terms in the proof of Theorem [1.1,](#page-0-0) which allows us to determine exactly how fast $\text{Av}_m(N, k)$ converges to $\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1(m)}{m}}$. The fact that $\text{Av}_m(N,k) \longrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1(m)}{m}}$ means that for any $\alpha < \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1(m)}{m}}$ $\frac{(m)}{m}$, we will have $\text{Av}_m(N, k) \leq \alpha$ for only finitely many pairs (N, k) . We take $m = 2$, $\alpha = 1$ in particular, and compute the complete list of pairs (N, k) for which $Av_2(N, k) \leq 1$.

Theorem 3.1. Consider $N \geq 1$ odd and $k \geq 2$ even such that $\dim S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) > 0$. Then $Av_2(N, k) \leq 1$ only for the pairs (N, k) given in Table [3.2.](#page-4-1)

Proof. From (2.1) ,

$$
Av_2(N,k) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\operatorname{Tr} T_4'}{s(N,k)}}.
$$

So $Av_2(N,k) \leq 1$ precisely when $Tr T'_4 \leq 0$. By Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1) we have that $Tr T'_4 = \frac{k-1}{24}\psi(N) +$ $O(N^{1/2+\epsilon})$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. And in fact, [\[10,](#page-7-2) Lemma 6.1] gave the explicit lower bound

$$
\operatorname{Tr} T_4'(N,k) \ge \psi(N) \left[\frac{k-1}{24} - \left(14 \cdot \frac{2^{\omega(N)}}{\psi(N)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^{\omega(N)} \sqrt{N}}{\psi(N)} \right) \right]. \tag{3.1}
$$

Then for $N \ge 150,000$, [\[10,](#page-7-2) Lemma 3.1] gives the explicit bounds

$$
\frac{2^{\omega(N)}}{\psi(N)} \le 0.000147 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2^{\omega(N)}\sqrt{N}}{\psi(N)} \le 0.0607,
$$

which means that

$$
\left(14\cdot\frac{2^{\omega(N)}}{\psi(N)}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{2^{\omega(N)}\sqrt{N}}{\psi(N)}\right)\leq 14\cdot0.000147+\frac{1}{2}\cdot0.0607<\frac{1}{24}\leq \frac{k-1}{24}
$$

This means that by (3.1) , $\text{Tr } T_4'(N,k) > 0$ for all $N \ge 150,000$. Then for each of the finitely many remaining values of N, we will also have $\frac{k-1}{24} > \left(14 \frac{2^{\omega(N)}}{\psi(N)} + \frac{1}{2}\right)$ 2 $2^{\omega(N)}\sqrt{N}$ $\frac{\psi(N)\sqrt{N}}{\psi(N)}$, and hence Tr $T'_{4}(N, k) > 0$, for sufficiently large k. Finally, we check the finitely many remaining pairs (N, k) by computer, which yields the complete list given in Table [3.2.](#page-4-1) See [\[9\]](#page-6-4) for the code. \Box

.

Table 3.2.

The complete list of pairs (N, k) for which $Av_2(N, k) \leq 1$.									
(N,k)	Av ₂	(N,k)	Av_2	(N,k)	Av_2	(N,k)	Av_2	(N,k)	Av_2
(1, 12)	$rac{3}{8}\sqrt{2}$	(3, 8)	$\frac{3}{8}\sqrt{2}$	(17, 2)	$rac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$	(37, 2)	$\mathbf{1}$	(63, 2)	$\frac{3}{10}\sqrt{10}$
(1, 20)	$\frac{57}{128}\sqrt{2}$	(5, 6)	$rac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}$	(19, 2)	$\boldsymbol{0}$	(45, 2)	$rac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$	(81, 2)	$rac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}$
(1, 22)	$\frac{9}{64}\sqrt{2}$	(7, 4)	$rac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}$	(21, 2)	$rac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$	(49, 2)	$rac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$		
(1, 26)	$\frac{3}{512}\sqrt{2}$	(9,4)	$\boldsymbol{0}$	(23, 2)	$rac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}$	(53, 2)	$\mathbf 1$		
(1, 30)	$\frac{3}{512}\sqrt{26687}$	(11, 4)	$rac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$	(27, 2)	$\boldsymbol{0}$	(57, 2)	$\frac{3}{10}\sqrt{10}$		
(1, 46)	$\frac{3}{2^{16}}\sqrt{468559893}$	(15, 2)	$rac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$	(31, 2)	$rac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}$	(61, 2)	$\mathbf{1}$		

4. The Horizontal Perspective

In this section, we take the horizontal perspective. That is, for f fixed, we study $Av_f(x)$ as $x \to \infty$, as defined in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-2). Recall that f here is a newform of weight k and level N, and that $a'_{f}(m)$ denotes the *m*-th normalized Fourier coefficient of f .

We note that, similarly to the vertical perspective, the average size of the *prime-indexed* normalized Fourier coefficients follows from already known results. When f is CM, the distribution of the $a'_{f}(p)$ tends to the measure $\mu_{CM} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ $\frac{1}{2}\delta_0(t) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\cdots}}$ $1-t^2/4$ $\int dt$, where δ_0 is the Dirac delta function [\[1\]](#page-6-5), [\[3,](#page-6-6) Theorem 15.4]. Consequently,

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{\#\{\text{primes } p \le x\}} \sum_{p \le x} a'_f(p)^2 = \int_{-2}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_0(t) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t^2/4}}\right) \cdot t^2 dt = 1.
$$
 (4.1)

When f is non-CM, Barnet-Lamb, Geraghty, Harris, and Taylor showed that the distribution of the $a'_{f}(p)$ tends to the Sato-Tate measure $\mu_{ST} = \frac{1}{\pi}$ π $\sqrt{1-t^2/4} dt$ as $p \to \infty$ [\[2\]](#page-6-7), [\[1\]](#page-6-5). Consequently,

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{\# \{\text{primes } p \le x\}} \sum_{p \le x} a'_f(p)^2 = \int_{-2}^2 \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - t^2/4} \cdot t^2 dt = 1.
$$
 (4.2)

In this sense, the average size of the $a'_{f}(p)$ is $\sqrt{1} = 1$, regardless of whether f is CM or not. Interestingly, if we consider the general r -th mean (as opposed to just using the quadratic mean at $r = 2$, then

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\# \{\text{primes } p \le x\}} \sum_{p \le x} \left| a'_f(p) \right|^r \right)^{1/r}
$$

agrees for CM and non-CM newforms only at $r = 2$.

The average size of all the normalized Fourier coefficients of f also follows from already known results. Recall that we are interested in determining

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} \mathrm{Av}_f(x) = \sqrt{\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \le x} a'_f(m)^2}.
$$

This problem reduces to determining the asymptotic behavior of $\sum_{m \leq x} a'_f(m)^2$. By the Weiner-Ikehara theorem [\[6,](#page-6-8) Theorem 1.2], this in turn reduces to determining the residue of the Dirichlet series $L_f(s) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a'_f(m)^2 m^{-s}$ at $s = 1$. This Dirichlet series is closely related to the symmetric square L-function, and has already been studied extensively; in particular, its residues are known. From [\[4,](#page-6-0) Corollary 11.12.3], we have that $L_f(s)$ can be extended meromorphically to the entire complex plane, and that it has a simple pole at $s = 1$ of residue $\text{Res}_{s=1} L_f(s) = \frac{12 \cdot (4\pi)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \langle f, f \rangle$. This fact was originally proven by Rankin [\[7,](#page-6-1) Theorem 3] in order to show that

$$
\sum_{m\leq x} \tau(m)^2 \sim \left(\frac{1}{12} \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} L_\Delta(s)\right) \cdot x^{12} = \frac{(4\pi)^{11}}{11!} \langle \Delta, \Delta \rangle \cdot x^{12} \tag{4.3}
$$

In our case, we are interested in something slightly different, the *normalized* Fourier coefficients. By the Weiner-Ikehara Theorem, we have $\sum_{m\leq x} a'_f(m)^2 \sim (\text{Res}_{s=1} L_f(s)) \cdot x$. This means that

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} A v_f(x)^2 = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \le x} a'_f(m)^2 = \text{Res}_{s=1} L_f(s) = \frac{12 \cdot (4\pi)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \langle f, f \rangle,
$$

verifying Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-1)

As an example, we use this theorem to give the average size of the normalized Ramanujan τ function. Note the distinction between the average size and the constant given in [\(4.3\)](#page-5-1) by Rankin, which is due to our normalization of the Fourier coefficients.

Corollary 4.1. The average size of the normalized Ramanujan τ function is given by

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} A v_{\Delta}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{12 \cdot (4\pi)^{11}}{(11)!}} ||\Delta|| = 0.619745...
$$

For this numerical value, we used $\|\Delta\| = 0.001017527...$ from [\[4,](#page-6-0) p. 286].

5. Discussion

For any newform f, recall that the optimal upper bound on the size of $a'_{f}(m)$ (for composite m) is a natural generalization of the optimal upper bound on the size of $a'_{f}(p)$ (for prime p). We have Deligne's bound $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $a'_{f}(p) \leq 2$, and the natural generalization $\Big|$ $a'_{f}(m) \leq \sigma_{0}(m)$. Additionally, we have from [\(4.1\)](#page-4-2), [\(4.2\)](#page-4-3), and Theorem [1.2](#page-1-1) that the average size of the $a'_{f}(m)$ is a (nonzero) constant factor of the average size of the $a'_{f}(p)$.

For these reasons, we also conjecture that the Fourier coefficients of f should have a lower bound that resembles a lower bound on the prime-indexed Fourier coefficients. In particular, we propose Conjecture [5.1,](#page-6-9) which mimics the Atkin-Serre conjecture [\[11,](#page-7-3) p. 2] on prime-indexed Fourier coefficients.

Conjecture 5.1. Let f be a newform of weight $k \geq 4$ and level N. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $c_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for all $m \ge 1$, if $a_f(m) \ne 0$, then $|a_f(m)| \ge c_{\varepsilon} m^{(k-3)/2-\varepsilon}$.

This conjecture aligns with our numerical computations [\[9\]](#page-6-4). We note that contrary to the classical Atkin-Serre conjecture, we must account for the fact that it is possible to have $a_f(m) = 0$ for infinitely many m. By multiplicativity, even if $a_f(p) = 0$ for only one prime p, then $a_f(m) = 0$ for infinitely many m. Restricting to m such that $a_f(m) \neq 0$ also makes it possible to remove the "non-CM" condition from classical Atkin-Serre.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by NSA MSP grant H98230-24-1-0033.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sara Arias-de Reyna, Ilker Inam, and Gabor Wiese. On conjectures of Sato-Tate and Bruinier-Kohnen. *Ramanujan J.*, 36(3):455–481, 2015.
- [2] Tom Barnet-Lamb, David Geraghty, Michael Harris, and Richard Taylor. A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy II. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 47(1):29–98, 2011.
- [3] Kathrin Bringmann, Amanda Folsom, Ken Ono, and Larry Rolen. *Harmonic Maass forms and mock modular forms: theory and applications*, volume 64 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [4] Henri Cohen and Fredrik Strömberg. *Modular forms: A classical approach*, volume 179 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [5] Aleksandar Ivić, Kohji Matsumoto, and Yoshio Tanigawa. On Riesz means of the coefficients of the Rankin-Selberg series. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 127(1):117–131, 1999.
- [6] M. Ram Murty, Jagannath Sahoo, and Akshaa Vatwani. A simple proof of the Wiener-Ikehara Tauberian theorem. *Expo. Math.*, 42(3):Paper No. 125570, 11, 2024.
- [7] R. A. Rankin. Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$ and similar arithmetical functions. II. The order of the Fourier coefficients of integral modular forms. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 35:351–372, 1939.
- [8] Erick Ross. Newspaces with nebentypus: An explicit dimension formula, classification of trivial newspaces, and character equidistribution property. Submitted 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.08881>.
- [9] Erick Ross. Average size of Hecke eigenvalues. <https://github.com/eross156/average-size-hecke-eigenvalues>, 2024.
- [10] Erick Ross and Hui Xue. Signs of the second coefficients of Hecke polynomials. Submitted 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.10951>.
- [11] Jeremy Rouse. Atkin-serre type conjectures for automorphic representations on gl(2). *Mathematical research letters*, 14(2):189–204, 2007.
- [12] Atle Selberg. Bemerkungen ¨uber eine Dirichletsche Reihe, die mit der Theorie der Modulformen nahe verbunden ist. *Arch. Math. Naturvid.*, 43:47–50, 1940.
- [13] Jean-Pierre Serre. R´epartition asymptotique des valeurs propres de l'op´erateur de Hecke Tp. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 10(1):75–102, 1997.

(W. Cason) School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, 29634

Email address: wbcason@clemson.edu

(A. Jim) Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 08540

Email address: ajim@princeton.edu

(C. Medlock) School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, 29634

Email address: medloc5@clemson.edu

(E. Ross) School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, 29634

Email address: erickr@clemson.edu

(H. Xue) School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, 29634

Email address: huixue@clemson.edu