

A note on edge colorings distinguishing all triangles in a graph

Monika Pilśniak and Mariusz Woźniak

Department of Discrete Mathematics
AGH University, al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

Abstract

We consider edge colorings of a graph in such a way that each two different triangles have distinct colorings. It is an extension of the well-known idea of distinguishing all maximal stars in a graph. It was introduced in literature in 1985 and studied by many authors in various variants, but always for stars. We estimate new invariants regarding triangles for proper and general colorings.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph with a given edge coloring. Consider a maximal star $K_{1, \deg(v)}$ at every vertex v . Two stars S_1 and S_2 with $|V(S_1)| \geq |V(S_2)|$ are *distinct* if either there exists an edge of S_1 with color α and there is no edge of S_2 with color α or there exists a color β such that the number of edges of S_1 with β is different from the number of edges with β in S_2 (the coloring does need not be proper). An edge coloring is called a *coloring distinguishing stars* (in the literature called also vertex distinguishing) if for any two different vertices v and w the stars $K_{1, \deg(v)}$ and $K_{1, \deg(w)}$ are distinct.

In 1996, Burriss and Shelp [3], and independently Černý, Horňák and Soták [4] posed a question: *How many colors are needed to distinguish stars in a proper coloring of a graph?* It was conjectured by Burriss and Schelp and proved in [2], that if G is a graph of order n , without isolated edges and with at most one isolated vertex, then $n + 1$ colors enough to distinguish all maximal stars in G . This topic was spanned by hundreds of papers and was in the main spectrum of interest of many mathematicians. It was based on a similar concept introduced ten years earlier by Harary and Plantholt [5] for general vertex colorings (not necessary proper) and later by Aigner and Triesch [1].

This note is the first paper where another graph is investigated instead of a star. More precisely, we will consider triangles K_3 . Let $f : E \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ be an edge coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$. Then, each triangle $T \subset G$ defines a set (or a multiset) of colors $F(T) := \{f(e) : e \in E(T)\}$ called a *palette*.

We say that a coloring f *distinguishes triangles* if for every two different triangles T_1, T_2 we have $F(T_1) \neq F(T_2)$.

We will only consider the case $G = K_n, n \geq 3$. However, observe that the obtained results also give estimates of the number of colors needed for any graphs. Indeed, if a coloring distinguishes triangles in a complete graph, then this property is preserved when any number of edges is removed.

In Section 2 we investigate a proper coloring f and determine the smallest number $\tau'(n)$ of colors such that the coloring f distinguishes triangles in K_n .

In Section 3 we investigate a general (not necessary proper) coloring f . Then the palettes are multisets, and by $\tau_m(n)$ we denote the smallest number k of colors in an edge coloring f distinguishing triangles in K_n . We estimate $\tau_m(n)$ and we discuss a modification $\tau_s(n)$, when we consider sets of colors of edges instead of multisets, despite the fact that colorings need not be proper.

2 Proper coloring

Theorem 1.

$$\tau'(n) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ n + 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note first that since f is proper, the palettes of triangles $F(T)$ are *rainbow*, *i.e.* all colors are distinct. Hence, if we use k colors, we can get at most $\binom{k}{3}$ different palettes. On the other hand, K_n contains $\binom{n}{3}$ triangles. So $k \geq n$.

Suppose n is odd. Let us denote the vertices of the graph by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and assign color $i + j \pmod{n}$ to the edge $x_i x_j$. It is easy to see that the coloring defined in this way is proper. Now, it is enough to show that every possible triplet of colors is present as a palette of some triangle. Let a, b, c be three different colors. We are looking for a solution to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} p + q &= a \\ q + r &= b \\ r + p &= c. \end{aligned}$$

where the addition is taken \pmod{n} . Such a system always has exactly one solution such that the numbers p, q, r are pairwise different, which means that the vertices x_p, x_q, x_r define ‘a real’ triangle. To show this, it may be the easiest way to give *explicit* formulas for p, q, r .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \binom{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2}(a-b+c) \\ q &= \binom{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2}(a+b-c) \\ r &= \binom{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2}(-a+b+c). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that n is even. Let us show that a coloring with $k = n$ colors cannot distinguish all triangles. For then all three different colors would have to be present. We have exactly $\binom{n-1}{2}$ triplets containing color '1'. Let m_1 be the number of edges with color '1'. On the other hand we have $n - 2$ triangles in which a color of one edge is fixed. Thus, at most $m_1(n - 2)$ triangles can be built on edges colored with '1'. Hence

$$m_1(n - 2) \geq \binom{n - 1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}(n - 1)(n - 2),$$

which gives $m_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}(n - 1)$. Since n is even we have to have $m_1 = \frac{1}{2}n$. However, if $m_1 = \frac{1}{2}n$, then on m_1 edges we would have exactly $\frac{1}{2}n(n - 2)$ triangles, which is more than there are triplets containing color '1', a contradiction.

However, it is easy to see that there is an appropriate coloring of K_n with $n + 1$ colors. It is enough to use the coloring defined in the first part of the proof for K_{n+1} . Notice that then $n + 1$ is odd. Next, from the graph K_{n+1} we remove one vertex and we get a coloring K_n with the desired properties. \blacksquare

Corolary 2. *If G is connected graph of order $n \geq 4$, then $\tau'(G) \leq n$ if n is odd, and $\tau'(G) \leq n + 1$ if n is even.*

3 General coloring

If the coloring is general, then the palettes of three colors may be multisets. Then color palettes do not have to be rainbow, they can be of the form $[\alpha\alpha\beta]$ or $[\alpha\beta\beta]$ or $[\alpha\alpha\alpha]$. Obviously, by the result for proper colorings, $\tau_m(G) \leq n + 1$. Let us count how many different triples we can get with k colors. Simple calculations (or using Pascal's triangle property) give

$$\binom{k}{3} + 2\binom{k}{2} + k = \binom{k + 2}{3}.$$

The above formula shows that having k colors at our disposal, we could try to obtain a coloring that distinguishes all triangles of the complete graph K_n . for $n = k + 2$. This is the case, for example, for $n = 3$, where of course one color is enough. The next theorem shows that this is the only exception.

Theorem 3. *For $n \geq 4$, we have $\tau_m(n) \geq n - 1$.*

Proof. Suppose there is a coloring f that uses $k = n - 2$ colors. As we have shown above, each 3-element multiset of colors has its realization as a palette of exactly one triangle in K_n . We will count how many edges colored with α are needed to complete all pallets as multisets of the form $[\alpha, \beta, \beta]$.

Note that an edge colored with α can belong only to one triangle with a palette $[\alpha, \beta, \beta]$. For, suppose that an edge $e = x_1x_2$ colored with α belongs to two triangles T and T' , where $V(T) = \{x_1x_2y\}$ and $V(T') = \{x_1x_2z\}$. Suppose, moreover, that $f(yx_1) = f(yx_2) = \beta$, and $f(zx_1) = f(zx_2) = \gamma$. Then two triangles of K_n with vertices x_1, y, z and x_2, y, z have the same color palette.

The number of multisets of the form $[\alpha, \beta, \beta]$ with a fixed color α for $\beta \neq \alpha$ is $k - 1$. In addition, to implement a multiset $[\alpha, \alpha, \alpha]$ we need three edges. Thus, to realize all multisets of the form $[\alpha, \beta, \beta]$ we need $k + 2$ edges of color α . In total, to realize all multisets of the form $[\alpha, \beta, \beta]$, where α is one of k colors, we need at least $k(k + 2)$ edges. This number must not be greater than the number of all edges. Hence we have

$$k(k + 2) \leq \binom{n}{2} = \binom{k + 2}{2}.$$

The above inequality also holds only for $k = 1$, *i.e.* for $n = 3$, a contradiction.

■

Perhaps the following conjecture holds.

Conjecture 4. For $n \geq 4$ we have $\tau_m(n) = n - 1$.

Another possibility is to distinguish triangles by sets in a general coloring. There are fewer 3-element sets than multisets, but more than 3-element rainbow sets. Hence, we have an obvious inequality

$$\tau_m(n) \leq \tau_s(n) \leq \tau'(n).$$

The following conjecture would imply Conjecture 4.

Conjecture 5. For $n \geq 4$ we have $\tau_s(n) = n - 1$.

Some colorings supporting the above conjectures are shown in Figure 1.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank our colleague Jakub Przybyło for many helpful comments.




Figure 1: Edge colorings showing that $\tau_m(4) = \tau_s(4) = 3$, and $\tau_m(6) = \tau_s(6) = 5$

References

- [1] M. Aigner and E. Triesch, *Irregular assignments and two problems à la Ringel*, In: Topics and Combinatorics and Graph Theory, dedicated to G. Ringel (Bodendiek, Henn, eds.) Physica Verlag Heidelberg (1990), 29–36.
- [2] C. Bazgan, A. Harkat-Benhamdine, H. Li and M. Woźniak, *On the vertex-distinguishing proper edge-colorings of graphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 75 (1999) 288–301.
- [3] A. C. Burriss and R. H. Schelp, *Vertex-distinguishing proper edge-colorings*, J. Graph Theory 26(2) (1997), 73–82.
- [4] J. Černý, M. Horňák and R. Soták, *Observability of a graph*, Math. Slovaca 46 (1996), 21–31.
- [5] F. Harary and M. Plantholt, *The point-distinguishing chromatic index*, in: Graphs and Applications (F. Harary and J. S. Maybee, Eds.), Wiley-Interscience, New York 1985, 147–162.