

**TERRACINI LOCI AND A CODIMENSION ONE
ALEXANDER-HIRSCHOWITZ THEOREM**

E. BALLICO, M.C. BRAMBILLA, C. FONTANARI

ABSTRACT. The Terracini locus $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ is the locus of all finite subsets $S \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of cardinality x such that $\langle S \rangle = \mathbb{P}^n$, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)) > 0$, and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)) > 0$. The celebrated Alexander-Hirschowitz Theorem classifies the triples (n, d, x) for which $\dim \mathbb{T}(n, d; x) = xn$. Here we fully characterize the next step in the case $n = 2$, namely, we prove that $\mathbb{T}(2, d; x)$ has at least one irreducible component of dimension $2x - 1$ if and only if either $(d, x) = (6, 10)$ or $(d, x) = (4, 4)$ or $d \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$ and $x = (d+2)(d+1)/6$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For any projective variety X and any positive integers x , let $S(X, x)$ denote the set of all $A \subset X$ of cardinality x , endowed with the Zariski topology.

The *Terracini locus* $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ is the set of all $S \in S(\mathbb{P}^n, x)$ such that $\langle S \rangle = \mathbb{P}^n$, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)) > 0$, and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)) > 0$. See e.g. [4, 2, 10] and references therein.

Assume $n \geq 2$. By [3], Theorem 1.1, $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x) = \emptyset$ if $d = 2$ and if $(n, d) = (2, 3)$. On the other hand, if $d \geq 3$ and $(n, d) \neq (2, 3)$, then $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $x \geq n + \lceil d/2 \rceil$.

The celebrated Alexander-Hirschowitz Theorem (see e.g. [1, 5, 13, 14]) can be rephrased saying that $\dim \mathbb{T}(n, d; x) = xn$ if and only if either $(n, d, x) = (n, 2, x)$ with $2 \leq x \leq n - 1$, or $(n, d, x) = (2, 4, 5), (3, 4, 9), (4, 3, 7), (4, 4, 14)$.

It seems natural to try and find out which are the triples of integers (n, d, x) for which we have at least one irreducible component $V \subseteq \mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ of fixed dimension $\dim(V) < nx$.

Already in the first case of dimension $xn - 1$ the question above turns out to be widely open. More precisely, we pose the following:

Problem 1.1. Let $n \geq 2$, $d \geq 3$, $(n, d) \neq (2, 3)$, and $x \geq n + \lceil d/2 \rceil$, so that $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x) \neq \emptyset$. Determine all triples (n, d, x) such that $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ has at least one irreducible component of dimension $nx - 1$.

Indeed, this task seems to be nontrivial even in the case $n = 2$. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Fix integers $x > 0$ and $d \geq 4$. The locus $\mathbb{T}(2, d; x)$ has at least one irreducible component of dimension $2x - 1$ if and only if either $(d, x) = (6, 10)$, or $(d, x) = (4, 4)$, or $d \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$ and $x = (d+2)(d+1)/6$. Moreover such a component is unique with the only exception of $(d, x) = (8, 15)$, where the components are exactly two.*

For any locally closed irreducible set $K \subseteq S(\mathbb{P}^n, x)$ let $\eta(K)$ be the maximal integer y such that for a general $S' \in S(\mathbb{P}^n, y)$ there exists $S \in K$ containing S' (see Notation 3.1 for the precise definition). It is interesting and useful to study

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C20, 14H50 .

Key words and phrases. Terracini locus, Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem, Veronese varieties, Nodal plane curves, Severi varieties.

the integer $\eta(K)$ for the irreducible components of $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ and in the following section we are going to start this investigation, while collecting the ingredients for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

We work over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0.

Acknowledgements. All authors are members of GNSAGA of INdAM. E. Ballico and M. C. Brambilla have been funded by the European Union under NextGenerationEU. PRIN 2022 Prot. n. 2022ZRRL4C. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

2. PRELIMINARIES

If X is a reducible projective variety, then we denote by $\dim(X)$ the dimension of a maximal irreducible component of X .

Remark 2.1. Take $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}^n, x)$, $n \geq 2$, and assume $(n+1)x \geq \binom{n+d}{n}$. Taking the cohomology of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{2S}(d) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{2S}(d) \rightarrow 0$$

we have that $S \in \mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ if and only if $\langle S \rangle = \mathbb{P}^n$ and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)) > 0$.

Remark 2.2. Fix positive integers c and x such that $(n+1)(x+1) \leq \binom{n+d}{n}$. Assume the existence of an irreducible family $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ such that $\dim K = nx - c$. The set of all $S \cup \{p\}$, $S \in K$, $p \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus S$, is an irreducible family $F \subseteq \mathbb{T}(n, d; x+1)$ of dimension $n(x+1) - c$.

Proposition 2.3. Fix integers $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$ such that $(n, d) \notin \{(2, 4), (4, 3), (4, 4)\}$. Set

$$(1) \quad \sigma := \left\lfloor \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{n+d}{n} \right\rfloor.$$

Fix an integer $y < \sigma$ and assume $\dim \mathbb{T}(n, d; y) \geq ny - 1$. Then $\dim \mathbb{T}(n, d; x) = nx - 1$ for all $y \leq x \leq \sigma$.

Proof. By the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem $\dim \mathbb{T}(n, d; x) < nx$ for all $x \leq \sigma$. Thus it is sufficient to prove that $\dim \mathbb{T}(n, d; x) \geq nx - 1$. We prove it by induction on $x \geq y$. If $x = y$ we know that $\dim \mathbb{T}(n, d; y) \geq ny - 1$ by hypothesis. Assume that $\dim \mathbb{T}(n, d; x-1) \geq n(x-1) - 1$, then by Remark 2.2, with $c = 1$, we conclude. \square

Notation 2.4. For any positive integer x , let $\mathbb{P}^n[x]$ denote the set of all $(p_1, \dots, p_x) \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^x$ such that $p_i \neq p_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Let

$$u_x : \mathbb{P}^n[x] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}^n, x)$$

denote the map $(p_1, \dots, p_x) \mapsto \{p_1, \dots, p_x\}$. For every y with $1 \leq y \leq x$, let

$$\eta_y : \mathbb{P}^n[x] \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^n)^y$$

denote the projection onto the first y factors of $(\mathbb{P}^n)^x$.

Observe that u_x is a finite and unramified map with fibers of cardinality $x!$. Thus for any locally closed irreducible set $K \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}^n, x)$ the set $u_x^{-1}(K)$ has pure dimension $\dim K$.

Notation 2.5. Given integers $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$ we set

$$\rho(n, d) := \left\lfloor \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{n+d}{n} \right\rfloor$$

if $(n, d) \notin \{(2, 4), (3, 4), (4, 3), (4, 4)\}$ and

$$\rho(n, d) := \left\lceil \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{n+d}{n} \right\rceil + 1$$

if $(n, d) \in \{(2, 4), (3, 4), (4, 3), (4, 4)\}$.

The following proposition gives a first easy bound on the dimension of the Terracini locus when the number of points is high.

Proposition 2.6. *Fix integers $n \geq 2$, $d \geq 3$ and $c > 0$ such that $(n, d) \neq (2, 3)$. Set $\rho = \rho(n, d)$ as in Notation 2.5 and $x_0 := c\rho$. Fix an integer $x \geq x_0$. Then we have $\dim \mathbb{T}(n, d; x) \leq nx - c$.*

Proof. Let K be an irreducible component of $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$. By the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2A}(d)) = 0$ for a general $A \in S(\mathbb{P}^n, \rho)$. Hence $\dim \eta_\rho(u_x^{-1}(K)) \leq n\rho - 1$. Fix a general $S \in K$ and label the x points of S as $p_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq \rho$, $1 \leq j \leq c$, and call q_α the other points (if $x > x_0$). Varying S in K for each fixed j each set $\{p_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \leq \rho\}$ depends on at most $n\rho - 1$ parameters. The set of all q_α 's depends on at most $n(x - x_0)$ parameters. Thus $\dim K \leq c(n\rho - 1) + n(x - x_0) = nx - c$. \square

3. THE GENERAL CASE

Definition 3.1. For any locally closed irreducible non-empty set $K \subseteq S(\mathbb{P}^n, x)$, let $\eta(K)$ be the maximal integer y such that $\eta_y(u_x^{-1}(K))$ contains a non-empty open subset of $(\mathbb{P}^n)^y$.

Remark 3.2. The integer $\eta(K)$ is the maximal integer y such that for general $S_1 \in S(\mathbb{P}^n, y)$ there is $S \in K$ containing S_1 . Hence for any general $S_2 \in S(\mathbb{P}^n, y+1)$ there is no $S \in K$ containing S_2 . Equivalently $\eta(K)$ is the maximal integer such that the map η_y is dominant on K .

Take an irreducible family $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ and set $\eta := \eta(K)$. By definition of $\eta(K)$ we have

$$(2) \quad n\eta \leq \dim K \leq n\eta + (n-1)(x-\eta) = (n-1)x + \eta.$$

Indeed, by Remark 3.2, we can choose η general points in K , but we cannot choose generically the other $x - \eta$ points.

Remark 3.3. Let K be an irreducible component of $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$. If $y = \dim K - (n-1)x > 0$, then by (2) we have $\eta(K) \geq y$.

Remark 3.4. If $\dim(K) = nx - 1$, by Remark 3.3 we have that $\eta(K) = x - 1$.

Theorem 3.5. *Given integers $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$, set $\rho = \rho(n, d)$ as in Notation 2.5 and $x \geq \rho$. Then $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ has no irreducible component K such that $\eta(K) \geq x$.*

Proof. By (2) we have

$$\dim(\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)) \geq \dim(K) \geq n\eta(K).$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.6, with $c = 1$, we have

$$\dim(\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)) \leq nx - 1.$$

Hence we conclude that $\eta(K) \leq x - 1$. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Given integers $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$, set $\rho = \rho(n, d)$ as in Notation 2.5 and $x \geq \rho + 1$. Then we have $\dim \mathbb{T}(n, d; x) \leq nx - 2$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.6, with $c = 1$, we have $\dim(\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)) \leq nx - 1$. Assume by contradiction that $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ has an irreducible component K of dimension $nx - 1$. Therefore, by Remark 3.4, we have $\eta(K) = x - 1$. Since $x - 1 \geq \rho$, by Theorem 3.5 we have that there are no irreducible component K of $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ such that $\eta(K) \geq x - 1$, and so we have a contradiction. \square

The next result uses our assumption that each element of $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ spans \mathbb{P}^n .

Lemma 3.7. *Let K be an irreducible component of $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$. Then $\eta(K) \geq n + 1$.*

Proof. We have $h(\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)) = \mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ for all $h \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$. Since $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is irreducible, $h(K) = K$ for all $h \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$. Since each $S \in K$ spans \mathbb{P}^n , then S contains $S' \in S(\mathbb{P}^n, n + 1)$ such that $\langle S' \rangle = \mathbb{P}^n$. Since all $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ acts transitively on the open subset of $S(\mathbb{P}^n, n + 1)$ formed by linearly independent points, we conclude that $\eta(K) \geq n + 1$. \square

Remark 3.8. Recall that $n + 2$ general points of \mathbb{P}^n are in linear general position, if any subset of $n + 1$ of these points spans \mathbb{P}^n . The group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ acts transitively on the subset of $S(\mathbb{P}^n, n + 2)$ formed by points in linear general projection. Thus arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.7 we can prove that $\eta(K) \geq n + 2$ if and only if there is $S \in K$ containing $S_1 \in S(\mathbb{P}^n, n + 2)$ in linear general position.

Theorem 3.9. *Take $n \geq 2$, $d \geq 3$ and x such that $x \geq n + \lceil d/2 \rceil$ and $(n + 1)x \leq \binom{n+d}{n}$. Then there is an irreducible family K of $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ such that $\eta(K) = x - \lceil d/2 \rceil + 1$ and $\dim K = \lceil d/2 \rceil + n - 1 + n(x - \lceil d/2 \rceil)$.*

Proof. Fix any $S \in S(\mathbb{P}^n, x)$. Since $\deg(2S) = (n + 1)x \leq \binom{n+d}{n}$, we have that $S \in \mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ if and only if $\langle S \rangle = \mathbb{P}^n$ and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)) > 0$.

We will first define an irreducible quasi-projective variety $K_1 \subseteq \mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$ and then we will take as K the closure of K_1 in $\mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$.

Let $G(2, n + 1)$ be the Grassmannian of lines in \mathbb{P}^n . We define the set K_1 as the union of all the sets $S \in S(\mathbb{P}^n, x)$ such that $\#(S \cap L) \geq \lceil d/2 \rceil + 1$ for some $L \in G(2, n + 1)$ and $\langle S \rangle = \mathbb{P}^n$. Notice that, since $\deg(2S \cap L) \geq 2(\lceil d/2 \rceil + 1) \geq d + 2$, then we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)) \geq h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S \cap L}(d)) > 0$. Therefore $K_1 \subseteq \mathbb{T}(n, d; x)$.

Since $\dim G(2, n + 1) = 2n - 2$, we have that K_1 is irreducible of dimension $(\lceil d/2 \rceil + 1) + (2n - 2) + n(x - 1 - \lceil d/2 \rceil)$. It is easy to check that $\eta(K) = (x - \lceil d/2 \rceil - 1) + 2 = x - \lceil d/2 \rceil + 1$. \square

Example 3.10. Take $n = 2$, $d = 4$ and $x = 4$. The hypothesis of Theorem 3.9 are verified, hence there is a component of $\mathbb{T}(2, 4; 5)$ of dimension 7. Note that we have $\mathbb{T}(2, 4, 5) \neq S(\mathbb{P}^2, 4)$, because $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2A}(4)) = 0$ if A is given by 4 points in linear general position.

The next example shows that $\mathbb{T}(5, 4; 21)$ contains a codimension 1 variety whose general member is minimally Terracini in the sense of [3].

Example 3.11. Take $n = 5$, $d = 4$ and $x = 21$. We have $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(4))/(n + 1) = \binom{9}{4}/6 = 21$. By the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem $h^i(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(4)) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$, for a general $S \in S(\mathbb{P}^5, x)$. We have $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2)) = 21$. Thus $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{S'}(2)) = 1$ for a general $S' \in S(\mathbb{P}^5, 20)$. Consider the 104-dimensional irreducible family $\Psi \subset S(\mathbb{P}^5, 21)$ given by all S such that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_S(2)) = 1$ and such that the only element of $|\mathcal{I}_S(2)|$ is irreducible. Since $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(4)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(4)) > 0$, then $S \in \mathbb{T}(5, 4; 21)$. Moreover we prove that S is minimally Terracini in the sense of [3]. Fix any irreducible $Q \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2)|$ and take a general $S \in S(Q, 21)$. By the generality of S we have $|\mathcal{I}_{S_1}(2)| = \{Q\}$ for all $S_1 \subset S$ such that $\#(S_1) = 20$. Hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S_1}(2)) = 0$. The residual exact sequence of Q gives $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S_1}(4)) = 0$.

The following result gives a complete description of $\mathbb{T}(3, 3; 5)$.

Proposition 3.12. $\mathbb{T}(3, 3; 5)$ is irreducible of dimension 14, formed by all $S \in S(\mathbb{P}^3, 5)$ such that $\langle S \rangle = \mathbb{P}^3$ and 4 of the points of S are coplanar. The action of $PGL(4)$ on $S(\mathbb{P}^3, 5)$ sends $\mathbb{T}(3, 3; 5)$ into itself with two orbits: an orbit Φ of dimension 13 formed by all $S \in \mathbb{T}(3, 3; 5)$ containing 3 collinear points and the open orbit $\mathbb{T}(3, 3; 5) \setminus \Phi$.

Proof. The group $PGL(4)$ acts on $S(\mathbb{P}^3, 4)$ and on $S(\mathbb{P}^3, 5)$ and in both cases it has an open orbit for the Zariski topology. The open orbit of $S(\mathbb{P}^3, 4)$ is formed by the linearly independent subsets. The open orbit of $S(\mathbb{P}^3, 5)$ is formed by all $S \in S(\mathbb{P}^3, 5)$ in linearly general position, i.e. the set S such that all proper subsets of S are linearly independent.

The action of $PGL(4)$ on the subset of $S(\mathbb{P}^3, 5)$ given by the sets which span \mathbb{P}^3 has three orbits: the open orbit, given by points in linearly general position, the orbit Ψ (of dimension 14) of sets of points, any 4 of which are coplanar, but without 3 collinear points, and the orbit Φ (of dimension 13) containing sets of points, any three of which are collinear. Clearly $\Phi \subset \overline{\Psi}$.

We prove now that $\mathbb{T}(3, 3; 5) = \overline{\Psi}$. Clearly, by the Alexander Hirschowitz theorem the elements of the open orbit are not Terracini. Hence $\mathbb{T}(3, 3; 5) \subseteq \overline{\Psi}$.

Now we prove the other inclusion. Let $S \in \Phi$, that is $\#(S) = 5$ such that $\langle S \rangle = \mathbb{P}^3$ and any 4 of the points are coplanar. Let $S' \subset S$ a subset of four points and $H = \langle S' \rangle$ the plane spanned by S' . Since $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)) = 10$ and $\deg(S') = 12$, then we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S \cap H, H}(3)) > 0$. Hence, by [3, Lemma 2.7], it follows that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(3)) > 0$. Since $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(3)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(3))$, we get that $S \in \mathbb{T}(3, 3; 5)$. \square

4. THE PLANAR CASE

Notation 4.1. Let $V_{x,d}$ denote the Severi variety of all irreducible degree d plane curves with exactly x nodes as singularities. It is known to be irreducible of dimension $\binom{d+2}{2} - 1 - x$. Let $\varphi : V_{x,d} \rightarrow S(\mathbb{P}^2, x)$ denote the map $C \mapsto \text{Sing}(C)$.

We recall the following result of Treger.

Theorem 4.2 ([15]). *Let $d \geq 6$, $0 \leq x \leq (d-1)(d-2)/2$, and $V_{x,d}$ the Severi variety of the irreducible degree d plane curves with exactly x nodes as singularities. Assume $x \geq d(d+3)/6$ and $(d, x) \neq (6, 9)$. Then the map φ defined in Notation 4.1 is birational onto its image.*

As a consequence of Theorem 4.2 we have immediately, by Remark 2.1, the following result.

Corollary 4.3. *Assume $d \geq 6$ and*

$$d(d+3)/6 \leq x \leq (d-1)(d-2)/2.$$

Then $\mathbb{T}(2, d; x)$ contains an irreducible family of dimension $\binom{d+2}{2} - 1 - x$.

In particular we have the following example:

Example 4.4. Fix an integer $d \geq 7$ such that $d \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$. Set $x := (d+2)(d+1)/6$. Then the irreducible component $\overline{\varphi(V_{x,d})}$ has dimension $2x-1$, because $\binom{d+2}{2} - 1 - x = 2x - 1$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $d \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $d \geq 9$,*

$$x = \rho(2, d) = \lceil (d+2)(d+1)/6 \rceil = 1 + d(d+3)/6.$$

as in Notation 2.5. Then we have $\dim \mathbb{T}(n, d; x) \leq 2x - 2$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction the existence of an irreducible component K of $\mathbb{T}(2, d; x)$ of dimension $2x - 1$. By Remark 3.4 we have $\eta(K) = x - 1$. Hence, given a general $S \in K$, there is a general $S' \subset S$ in $S(\mathbb{P}^2, d(d+3)/6)$. Since $3(x-1) < \binom{d+2}{2}$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S'}(d)) > 0$. Take a general $C \in |\mathcal{I}_{2S'}(d)|$. Since S' is general, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S'}(d)) = 1$. Hence C is unique, once S is fixed. By [7, Theorem 1.1] C has not multiple components (except the case $(d, x-1) = (6, 9)$), hence $\text{Sing}(C)$ is finite. Since $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)) > 0$, then $S \subseteq \text{Sing}(C)$. It follows that $\dim(K) = 2\#(S') + |\mathcal{I}_{2S'}(d)| = 2x - 2$, a contradiction. \square

Example 4.6. Take $n = 2$, $d = 6$ and $x = 10$. We find an irreducible component $K \subset \mathbb{T}(2, 6; 10)$ of dimension 19 and with $\eta(K) = 9$, the maximal possible spread for a 19-dimensional family. Fix a general $B \in S(\mathbb{P}^2, 9)$. Since B is general, it is contained in a unique plane cubic, C_B . The 19-dimensional irreducible family K is the closure of the set formed by all $B \cup \{p\}$, B general in $S(\mathbb{P}^2, 9)$ and $p \in C_B \setminus B$. The Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem gives $\dim \mathbb{T}(2, 6; 10) \leq 19$. Thus K is an irreducible component of $\mathbb{T}(2, 6; 10)$.

Example 4.7. Analogously to the previous example, it is easy to show that there is a component K of $\mathbb{T}(2, 8; 15)$ of dimension 29. Indeed 14 general points, $B \in S(\mathbb{P}^2, 14)$, are contained in a unique plane quartic, C_B . Let K be the closure of the set of $A = B \cup \{p\}$, where $B \in S(\mathbb{P}^2, 14)$ is general and $p \in C_B \setminus B$.

Lemma 4.8. Fix integers d and x such that $d \geq 5$, $3x < (d+2)(d+1)/2$. Then $\varphi(V_{d,x})$ is an open dense set of $S(\mathbb{P}^2, x)$.

Proof. Take a general $A \in S(\mathbb{P}^2, x)$. By the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem we have $\dim |\mathcal{I}_{2A}(d)| = (d^2 + 3d)/2 - 3x$. Recall that $V_{x,d} = (d^2 + 3d)/2 - x$. By [6, Theorem 5.1] a general $T_A \in |\mathcal{I}_{2A}(d)|$ is nodal and A are the only singular point of T_A . By varying $A \in S(\mathbb{P}^2, x)$ we see that for a general $C \in V_{x,d}$ the set $\text{Sing}(C)$ is a general element of $S(\mathbb{P}^2, x)$. \square

Notation 4.9. For any d and g such that $d > 0$ and $1 - d \leq g \leq (d-1)(d-2)/2$, let $V(d, g)$ be the closure of the set of all degree d curves $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ without multiple components and of geometric genus g . Let $V(d, g)^{\text{irr}}$ denote the set of all irreducible $C \in V(d, g)$.

Remark 4.10. Of course, $V(d, g)^{\text{irr}} = \emptyset$ if $g < 0$. Harris proved that for all $0 \leq g \leq (d-2)(d-1)/2$ the set $V(d, g)^{\text{irr}}$ is irreducible [11, (*) in the Introduction], hence the Severi variety $V_{(d-1)(d-2)/2-g,d}$ (see Notation 4.1) is an open dense subset of it.

The crucial result in this section is the following:

Proposition 4.11. Fix a positive integer $d \geq 5$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{1}{3} \binom{d+2}{2} - 1 = \frac{(d+4)(d-1)}{6}, & \text{if } d \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}, \\ x &= \left\lfloor \frac{1}{3} \binom{d+2}{2} \right\rfloor = \frac{d(d+3)}{6}, & \text{if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbb{T}(2, d; x)$ has an irreducible component of dimension $2x - 1$ if and only if $(d, x) = (6, 10)$.

Proof. Assume that there exists a component K of $\mathbb{T}(2, d; x)$ of dimension $2x - 1$. By Remark 3.4 we have $\eta(K) = x - 1$.

Note that for any $S \in K$ we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)) > 0$, by definition of Terracini locus, hence

$$h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)) = \binom{d+2}{2} - 3x + h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)) \geq 2 + \frac{d^2 + 3d}{2} - 3x$$

therefore $\dim |\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)| \geq 1 + \frac{d^2+3d}{2} - 3x$. Consider the set

$$\Gamma_K = \{(S, C) : S \in K, C \in |\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)|\} \subset S(\mathbb{P}^2, x) \times |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)|$$

Take an irreducible family $A_K \subseteq \Gamma_K$ such that the projection $\pi_1 : A_K \rightarrow K$ is dominant. Let $\pi_2 : A_K \rightarrow |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)|$ denote the restriction to A_K of the projection to the second factor. Since each fiber of π_1 has dimension $\geq 1 + (d^2 + 3d)/2 - 3x$ and $\dim K = 2x - 1$, we have

$$(3) \quad \dim A_K \geq (d^2 + 3d)/2 - x = \dim V_{x,d}.$$

Given $(S, C) \in A_K$, since $\eta(K) = x - 1$, then there is a general $S' \in S(\mathbb{P}^2, x - 1)$ such that $S \supset S'$.

Claim 1: We have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S'}(d-1)) = 0$, by the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem.

Claim 2: Since A_K is irreducible, the monodromy group of π_1 is transitive. This implies that for a general (S, C) if some $p \in S$ is contained in a unique component of C , then all $a \in S$ are contained in a unique connected component of C . Moreover, if one $p \in S$ is contained in a multiple component of C , then all $a \in S$ are contained in a multiple component of C . Since $x > d$, then Claim 1 implies that every irreducible component of C contains at least one point of S' .

We consider now the following three cases: C irreducible and reduced, C reducible and reduced, and C non-reduced.

(a) Assume first that C is irreducible and reduced. Since C has no multiple components, then $\text{Sing}(C)$ is finite. Thus a general fiber of π_2 is finite, hence $\dim \pi_2(A_K) = \dim A_K$. Therefore by (3) we have $\dim \pi_2(A_K) \geq \dim V_{x,d}$. Hence $\pi_2(A_K)$ contains a non-empty open subset of $V_{x,d}$, by Remark 4.10. By Lemma 4.8 we get $\dim \mathbb{T}(2, d; x) = 2x$, which is false.

(b) Assume C reduced and reducible. We know that $\dim A_K \geq \dim V_{x,d}$, by (3). Since C has no multiple components, we have $\dim \pi_2(A_K) = \dim A_K$.

Write $C = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_s$, $s \geq 2$, with C_i of degree d_i and geometric genus g_i . By [11] the family of all irreducible plane curves of degree d_i and geometric genus g_i is irreducible of dimension $(d_i^2 + 3d_i)/2 - (d_i - 1)(d_i - 2)/2 + g_i = 3d_i - 1 + g_i$ and a general element of it is nodal. We have $d_1 + \dots + d_s = d$.

Since $(d, x - 1) \neq (6, 9)$, a general $C' \in |\mathcal{I}_{2S'}(d)|$ has exactly S' as its singular locus ([7, Th. 1.2]). The curve C is a flat limit of a family of nodal irreducible elements $C' \in |\mathcal{I}_{2S'}(d)|$, which have geometric genus $(d - 1)(d - 2)/2 - (x - 1)$. By [12] we have $g_1 + \dots + g_s \leq (d - 1)(d - 2)/2 - x + 1$. Let x_i be the number of point of S contained in $\text{Sing}(C_i)$. By Claim 2 we have $d_i = d_1$, $g_i = g_1$ and $x_i = x_1$.

(b.1) First assume that no element of S is contained in at least 2 irreducible components of C . We get $x = sx_1$ and that each $S \cap C_i$ is a singular point of C_i . Hence $g_1 \leq (d_1 - 1)(d_1 - 2)/2 - x_1$ and we get $\dim \pi_2(A_K) \leq sw$, where $w = 3d/s + (d/s - 1)(d/s - 2)/2 - x/s$.

Hence we get

$$s(3d/s + (d/s - 1)(d/s - 2)/2 - x/s) \geq (d^2 + 3d)/2 - x$$

which gives

$$2s^2 \geq d^2(s - 1)$$

which is false since $d \geq 5$ and $2 \leq s \leq d$.

(b.2) Now assume that at least one $p \in S$ is contained in $c \geq 2$ irreducible components of C . Thus all $a \in S$ are contained in exactly c irreducible components of C . Claim 2 gives $c = s$ and $d_1 = \dots = d_s = d/s$.

(b.2.i) First assume $s \geq 3$ and $d_1 = \dots = d_s = d/s$. Since S' is general and is contained in at least s plane curves of degree d/s , we have

$$x - 1 \leq \binom{d/s + 2}{2} - s.$$

It is easy to check this inequality is always false.

(b.2.ii) Now assume $s = 2$, hence d even and $d_1 = d_2 = d/2$. In this case the set S' is contained in at least two plane curves of degree $d/2$, hence we have

$$x - 1 \leq \binom{d/2 + 2}{2} - 2.$$

This is false for any $d \geq 10$, unless either $(d, x) = (6, 9)$ or $(d, x) = (8, 14)$. We study now these two cases and we prove that in both cases the dimension of the component K is $2x - 2$ and this gives a contradiction.

If $d = 6$, $x = 9$, S complete intersection of two plane cubics. Thus $h^0(\mathcal{I}_S(3)) = 1$ and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(3)) = 0$. Take a general $S' \in S(\mathbb{P}^2, 8)$. Thus $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{S'}(3)) = 2$ and $S \setminus S'$ is the other point in the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{S'}(3)|$. Then the dimension of the component K is 16, and not 17.

If $d = 8$, $x = 14$, S is complete intersection of two plane quartic. Again we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_S(4)) = 1$ and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(4)) = 0$ for a general $S' \in S(\mathbb{P}^2, 13)$. The point $S \setminus S'$ can be chosen between the 2 other points in the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{S'}(4)|$. This proves that $\dim K = 26$.

(c) Assume C with a multiple component. By Claim 2 there are no component of C of multiplicity one. Set $D := (C)_{\text{red}}$ and $e := \deg(D)$.

Note that $e \leq \lfloor d/2 \rfloor$. Since S contains $x - 1$ general points of \mathbb{P}^2 and $S \subset D$, we have

$$x - 1 < \binom{e + 2}{2} \leq \binom{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 2}{2}.$$

The case $(d, x) = (6, 10)$ is the case in which C is a double plane cubic D , see Example 4.6. For $d \geq 7$ this gives a contradiction with the assumption on x , except for $(d, x) = (8, 14)$ or $(d, x) = (10, 21)$. We analyse now these two cases.

If $d = 8$, $x = 14$, S is contained in a double plane quartic $C = 2D$. We have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(8)) > 0$ if and only if $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(8)) \geq 4$. Since $2D$ is a general element of $|\mathcal{I}_{2S}(8)|$, then $h^0(\mathcal{I}_S(4)) \geq 4$. Since $\eta(K) = x - 1$, then there is a general $S' \in S(\mathbb{P}^2, 13)$ which is contained in S . Hence $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{S'}(4)) \geq 4$. On the other hand, since S' is general in $S(\mathbb{P}^2, 13)$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{S'}(4)) = 2$, a contradiction.

If $d = 10$, $x = 21$, S is contained in a double plane quintic $C = 2D$. We have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(10)) \geq 1$ if and only if $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S}(10)) \geq 4$. Since $2D$ is a general element of $|\mathcal{I}_{2S}(10)|$, then $h^0(\mathcal{I}_S(5)) \geq 4$. Hence there is a general $S' \subset S$ of cardinality 20 such that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{S'}(5)) \geq 4$. But since S' is general in $S(\mathbb{P}^2, 20)$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{S'}(5)) = 1$, a contradiction. \square

We are finally ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Examples 4.4, 3.10, 4.6 prove one implication. The other implication follows from Corollary 3.6, Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 4.11. In the case $(d, x) = (8, 15)$ one component is described in Example 4.7, the other component is given by $\varphi(\overline{V_{8,15}})$, see Example 4.4. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz: Polynomial interpolation in several variables. *J. Algebraic Geom.* 4 (1995), 201–222.
- [2] E. Ballico, A. Bernardi and P. Santarsiero: Terracini loci for 3 points on a Segre variety. *Asian Journal of Mathematics* 27 (2023), 375–404.

- [3] E. Ballico and M.C. Brambilla: Minimal Terracini loci in projective spaces. arXiv: 2306.07161v2 (2023), to appear in *Rendiconti Lincei. Scienze Fisiche e Naturali*.
- [4] E. Ballico and L. Chiantini: On the Terracini locus of projective varieties. *Milan J. Math.* 89 (2021), 1–17.
- [5] M. C. Brambilla and G. Ottaviani: On the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 212 (2008), 1229–1251.
- [6] L. Chiantini and C. Ciliberto: On the concept of k -secant order of a variety. *J. London Math. Soc.* 73 (2006), 436–454.
- [7] L. Chiantini, G. Ottaviani, and N. Vannieuwenhoven: On identifiability of symmetric tensors of subgeneric rank. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 369 (2017), 4021–4042.
- [8] C. Ciliberto and R. Miranda: Interpolations on curvilinear schemes. *J. Algebra* 203 (1998), 677–678.
- [9] W. Fulton: On nodal curves. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 997. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983, 14–155.
- [10] F. Galuppi, P. Santarsiero, D. A. Torrance, and E. Teixeira Turatti: Geometry of first nonempty Terracini loci. arXiv:2311.09067 (2023).
- [11] J. Harris: On the Severi problem. *Invent. Math.* 84 (1986), 445–461.
- [12] A. Nobile: On specialization of curves. I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 282 (1984), 739–748.
- [13] E. Postingshel: A new proof of the Alexander-Hirschowitz interpolation theorem. *Annali di Matematica* 191 (2012), 77–94.
- [14] H. Tàì Hà, P. Mantero: The Alexander–Hirschowitz Theorem and Related Problems. In: I. Peeva, ed.: *Commutative Algebra*. Springer, Cham (2021).
- [15] R. Treger: Plane curves with nodes. *Canadian J. Math.* 41 (1989), 193–212.

EDOARDO BALLICO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TRENTO, VIA SOMMARIVE 14, 38123 POVO, TRENTO, ITALY

Email address: edoardo.ballico@unitn.it

MARIA CHIARA BRAMBILLA, UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE, VIA BRECCE BIANCHE, 60131 ANCONA, ITALY

Email address: m.c.brambilla@univpm.it

CLAUDIO FONTANARI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TRENTO, VIA SOMMARIVE 14, 38123 POVO, TRENTO, ITALY

Email address: claudio.fontanari@unitn.it