

## ORBIFOLD QUOT SCHEMES VIA THE LE BRUYN–PROCESI THEOREM

ALASTAIR CRAW

ABSTRACT. This note provides a short proof of the fact that the reduced scheme underlying each orbifold Quot scheme associated to a finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is isomorphic to a Nakajima quiver variety. Our approach uses recent work of the author with Yamagishi, allowing us to bypass the combinatorial arguments and the use of recollement from [3].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  be a nontrivial, finite subgroup. Orbifold Quot schemes for the Kleinian orbifold  $[\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma]$  were introduced in [3], generalising Hilbert schemes of points on the ADE singularity  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ . Here, we exploit a new approach to variation of GIT quotient for quiver moduli spaces from recent joint work [4] to present a simple proof of [3, Theorem 1.1(3)] which establishes that the reduced scheme underlying each orbifold Quot scheme is isomorphic to a Nakajima quiver variety  $\mathfrak{M}_\theta(1, v)$  for the framed preprojective algebra of  $\Gamma$ .

To recall the orbifold Quot schemes, list the irreducible representations of  $\Gamma$  as  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r$ , where  $\rho_0$  is the trivial representation. The group  $\Gamma$  acts dually on the coordinate ring  $R$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , giving the decomposition  $R \cong \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq r} R_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \rho_i$  as a sum of indecomposable  $R^\Gamma$ -modules, where  $R_i = \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\rho_i, R)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r$ . For a non-empty subset  $I \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$ , consider the submodule

$$R_I := \bigoplus_{i \in I} R_i$$

of  $R$ . The noncommutative algebra  $\mathrm{End}(R_I)$  can be constructed as the quotient of the path algebra of a quiver with vertex set  $I$  by a two-sided ideal of relations [2, (3.5)]. For each  $i \in I$ , if we write  $e_i := \mathrm{id}_{R_i} \in \mathrm{End}(R_I)$  for the vertex idempotent determined by the identity map, then the dimension vector of a finite-dimensional  $\mathrm{End}(R_I)$ -module  $Z$  is  $\dim Z := (\dim e_i Z)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^I$ . As a set, the *orbifold Quot scheme* for  $\Gamma$  associated to  $I$  and any vector  $n_I = (n_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^I$  is

$$\mathrm{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma]) := \{ \mathrm{End}(R_I)\text{-epimorphisms } R_I \twoheadrightarrow Z \mid \dim Z = n_I \}.$$

A key result from [3, Proposition 3.2] shows that  $\mathrm{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma])$  can be constructed as a scheme of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$  that represents a functor, denoted  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{End}(R_I)}^{n_I}(R_I)$ .

Here we prove the following result that streamlines slightly the statement of [3, Theorem 1.1(3)]:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $I \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$  be a non-empty subset and let  $n_I = (n_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^I$ . There exists  $v := v(n_I) \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$  and a stability condition  $\theta_I$  for the framed preprojective algebra of  $\Gamma$  such that*

$$\mathrm{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma])_{\mathrm{red}} \cong \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(1, v).$$

*In particular,  $\mathrm{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma])$  is irreducible, and its underlying reduced scheme is normal and has symplectic singularities. Moreover, it admits at least one projective symplectic resolution.*

Our approach bypasses the combinatorial arguments and the use of recollement in the original proof, so we also bypass the gap in the proof of [3, Proposition 6.1] that was pointed out to us by Yehao Zhou (see [4, Remarks 6.8(2)]). An alternative approach to this can be found in [1].

In the special case when there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $n_i = n \dim \rho_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , it was shown in [4, Corollary 6.7] that the scheme  $\text{Quot}_I^{nI}(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$  is actually reduced, so this orbifold Quot scheme with its natural scheme structure is isomorphic to a Nakajima quiver variety. Here, in the proof of the more general statement from Theorem 1, we apply a result of Crawley-Boevey that forces us to work with the underlying reduced scheme structure.

**Notation:** For any scheme  $X$ , we write  $X_{\text{red}}$  for the underlying reduced subscheme.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be the largest non-negative integer with  $n \dim(\rho_i) \leq n_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Define the vector  $v = (v_i) \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$  by setting

$$v_i = \begin{cases} n_i & \text{for } i \in I, \\ n \dim(\rho_i) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The McKay quiver  $Q_\Gamma$  is the doubled quiver of an extended Dynkin graph of type ADE with vertex set  $\{0, 1, \dots, r\}$ , where 0 is the extending node. The preprojective algebra  $\Pi_\Gamma$  is the quotient of the path algebra of  $Q_\Gamma$  by the two-sided ideal of preprojective relations.

The symplectic vector space  $\text{Rep}(Q_\Gamma, v)$  of representations of the quiver  $Q_\Gamma$  with dimension vector  $v$  admits a Hamiltonian action by

$$G(v) := \prod_{0 \leq i \leq r} \text{GL}(v_i).$$

Write  $\text{Rep}(\Pi_\Gamma, v)$  for the  $G(v)$ -invariant, affine subscheme of  $\text{Rep}(Q_\Gamma, v)$  parametrising representations of  $Q_\Gamma$  that satisfy the preprojective relations; this subscheme is often denoted  $\mu_v^{-1}(0)$ , where  $\mu_v$  is the moment map.

When  $n_i = n \dim(\rho_i)$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq r$ , then  $v = n\delta$  where  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$  is the minimal imaginary root in the root system of type ADE associated to  $\Gamma$ . In this case, we write  $\mu_{n\delta}^{-1}(0)$  and  $G(n\delta)$  for the corresponding representation scheme and reductive group respectively.

**Lemma 2.** *For any  $0 \leq i \leq r$ , the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(\Pi_\Gamma, v)_{\text{red}}]^{G(v)} \cong \mathbb{C}[\text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)]$  is generated by the trace functions  $\text{tr}_p$  associated to cycles in  $Q_\Gamma$  with head and tail at vertex  $i$ .*

*Proof.* Apply [4, Proposition 4.1] to see that  $\mathbb{C}[\mu_{n\delta}^{-1}(0)]^{G(n\delta)} \cong \mathbb{C}[\text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)]$  is generated by the trace functions  $\text{tr}_p$  associated to cycles in  $Q_\Gamma$  with head and tail at  $i$ . More generally, by taking the reduced scheme structure on  $\text{Rep}(\Pi_\Gamma, v)$ , we may apply [5, Lemma 2.3] repeatedly to see that the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(\Pi_\Gamma, v)_{\text{red}}]^{G(v)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mu_{n\delta}^{-1}(0)]^{G(n\delta)}$$

given by sending each trace function  $\text{tr}_p$  for dimension  $v$  to the corresponding trace function  $\text{tr}_p$  for dimension  $n\delta$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

Let  $\Pi$  denote the preprojective algebra of the framed McKay quiver  $Q$  obtained from the McKay quiver  $Q_\Gamma$  by adding a framing vertex  $\infty$  and an arrow in each direction between  $\infty$  and  $0$  (see [2]). Regard  $\alpha := (1, v) \in \mathbb{N} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$  as a dimension vector for both  $\Pi$  and  $A := \Pi/(b^*)$ , where  $b^*$  is the unique arrow in  $Q$  with head at  $\infty$ .

Following [2, Proposition 3.3], there is a quiver  $Q^* := Q^*_{\{0,1,\dots,r\}}$  and an epimorphism  $\beta: \mathbb{C}Q^* \rightarrow A$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras. The space  $\text{Rep}(Q^*, \alpha)$  of representations of  $Q^*$  with dimension vector  $\alpha$  contains the closed subscheme  $\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)$  of representations satisfying the relations  $\ker(\beta)$ . The group

$$G(\alpha) := \text{GL}(1) \times \prod_{0 \leq i \leq r} \text{GL}(v_i)$$

acts on  $\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)$  by change of basis for representations. Define  $K := \{0, 1, \dots, r\} \setminus I$ , and regard  $I$  and  $K$  as subsets of the vertex set  $Q^*_0 = \{\infty, 0, 1, \dots, r\}$ , so

$$Q^*_0 = \{\infty\} \sqcup I \sqcup K.$$

Note that the subgroup  $H_K := \prod_{k \in K} \text{GL}(v_k)$  of  $G(\alpha)$  acts on  $\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)$ .

Next, regard  $\alpha_I := (1, n_I) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^I$  as a dimension vector for the subalgebra  $A_I \subseteq A$  spanned by classes of paths with head and tail in  $I \subseteq Q_0$ . Again, [2, Proposition 3.3] gives a quiver  $Q^*_I$  with vertex set  $\{\infty\} \cup I$  and a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra epimorphism  $\beta_I: \mathbb{C}Q^*_I \rightarrow A_I$ , and we write  $\text{Rep}(A_I, \alpha_I)$  for the affine scheme of representations of  $Q^*_I$  that have dimension  $\alpha_I$  which satisfy the relations  $\ker(\beta_I)$ . The group

$$G(\alpha_I) := \text{GL}(1) \times \prod_{i \in I} \text{GL}(n_i)$$

acts on  $\text{Rep}(A_I, \alpha_I)$ . Taking the underlying reduced scheme structure defines a (possibly reducible) variety with coordinate ring  $\mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(A_I, \alpha_I)_{\text{red}}]$ .

**Proposition 3.** *There is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(A_I, \alpha_I)_{\text{red}}] \cong \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)_{\text{red}}]^{H_K}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof builds on that of [4, Theorem 5.3]. For a nontrivial path  $p = a_\ell \cdots a_1$  in  $Q^*$  with head and tail at  $\text{h}(p)$  and  $\text{t}(p)$  respectively, and for indices  $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_{\text{h}(p)}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_{\text{t}(p)}$ , let  $x_{p,ij} \in \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(Q^*, \alpha)]$  denote the function that sends  $(B_a) \in \text{Rep}(Q^*, \alpha)$  to the  $(i, j)$ -entry of the matrix  $B_{a_\ell} \cdots B_{a_1}$ . The construction from [2, Proposition 3.3] shows that  $Q^*_I$  is a subquiver of  $Q^*$ . The image of the resulting inclusion of polynomial rings

$$\overline{\psi}: \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(Q^*_I, \alpha_I)] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(Q^*, \alpha)]$$

is the polynomial subalgebra  $R \subset \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(Q^*, \alpha)]^{H_K}$  generated by the functions  $x_{a,ij}$ , where  $a \in Q^*_1$  has head and tail in  $\{\infty\} \cup I$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_{\text{h}(a)}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_{\text{t}(a)}$ .

As in the proof of [4, Theorem 5.3], the map  $\overline{\psi}$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\psi: \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(A_I, \alpha_I)] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)]^{H_K}$$

whose image is generated by the classes in  $\mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)]^{H_K}$  of the functions  $x_{a,ij}$  for arrows  $a$  in  $Q^*$  that have head and tail in  $\{\infty\} \cup I$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_{\text{h}(a)}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_{\text{t}(a)}$ . The construction of the right-inverse to  $\psi$  from the proof of [4, Theorem 5.3] shows that  $\psi$  is injective. Taking the quotient by the nilradical  $\sqrt{0}$  gives

$$\mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)]^{H_K} / \sqrt{0} \cong \mathbb{C}[(\text{Rep}(A, \alpha) // H_K)_{\text{red}}] \cong \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)_{\text{red}}]^{H_K},$$

and we see that  $\psi$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\psi_{\text{red}}: \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(A_I, \alpha_I)]_{\text{red}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)_{\text{red}}]^{H_K}.$$

Injectivity of  $\psi$  implies that  $\psi_{\text{red}}$  is injective. It remains to show that  $\psi_{\text{red}}$  is surjective.

Apply [4, Proposition 3.2] to see that  $\mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)]^{H_K}$  is generated by the trace functions  $\text{tr}_p$  of cycles  $p$  in  $Q^*$  traversing only arrows with head and tail in  $K$ , together with the contraction functions  $x_{p,ij}$  for paths  $p$  with head and tail in  $\{\infty\} \cup I$  for indices  $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_{\text{h}(p)}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_{\text{t}(p)}$ . We claim that the trace function generators are redundant. Indeed, as in the proof of [4, Proposition 4.5], the class of each nontrivial cycle in  $Q^*$  may be regarded as the class in  $A$  of a cycle that does not touch vertex  $\infty$ , so each trace function may be regarded as an element of  $\mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(\Pi_\Gamma, v)]^{G(v)}$ . Again, taking the quotient by the nilradical allows us to work in the algebra

$$\mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(\Pi_\Gamma, v)]^{G(v)} / \sqrt{0} \cong \mathbb{C}[(\text{Rep}(\Pi_\Gamma, v) // G(v))_{\text{red}}] \cong \mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(\Pi_\Gamma, v)_{\text{red}}]^{G(v)}.$$

Lemma 2 shows that this algebra is generated by trace functions associated to cycles  $q$  with head and tail at a vertex  $i \in I$ . Every such trace function equals  $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq \alpha_i} x_{q,jj}$ , i.e., the trace function generators can be written in terms of the contraction function generators. This proves the claim.

The construction of the quiver  $Q^* = Q^*_{\{0,1,\dots,r\}}$  from [2, Proposition 3.2] shows that every path in  $Q^*$  with head and tail in  $\{\infty\} \cup I$  is equivalent modulo  $\ker(\beta)$  to a linear combination of paths that traverse only arrows with head and tail in  $\{\infty\} \cup I$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{C}[\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)]^{H_K}$  is generated by the functions  $x_{a,ij}$  for arrows  $a$  in  $Q^*$  with head and tail in  $\{\infty\} \cup I$  for indices  $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_{\text{h}(p)}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_{\text{t}(p)}$ . Therefore  $\psi_{\text{red}}$  is surjective and hence an isomorphism as required.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* For the character  $\eta_I \in G(\alpha_I)^\vee$  from [3, (4.2)], the orbifold Quot scheme from Theorem 1 satisfies  $\text{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma]) \cong \text{Rep}(A_I, \alpha_I) //_{\eta_I} G(\alpha_I)$  by [3, Proposition 4.2], giving

$$\text{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma])_{\text{red}} \cong \text{Rep}(A_I, \alpha_I)_{\text{red}} //_{\eta_I} G(\alpha_I).$$

The quotient map  $G(\alpha) \rightarrow G(\alpha)/H_K \cong G(\alpha_I)$  induces the inclusion of character groups that sends  $\eta_I \in G(\alpha_I)^\vee$  to the character  $\theta_I \in G(\alpha)^\vee$  from [3, (5.1)]. The construction from [4, Section 5.2] now shows that the isomorphism  $\psi_{\text{red}}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Rep}(A_I, \alpha_I)_{\text{red}} //_{\eta_I} G(\alpha_I) \cong \text{Rep}(A, \alpha)_{\text{red}} //_{\theta_I} G(\alpha)$$

of schemes over  $\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)_{\text{red}} //_0 G(v) \cong \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$ . For the vector  $\alpha = (1, v)$ , the isomorphism

$$\text{Hilb}^v([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma]) \cong \mathfrak{M}_\theta(\alpha)$$

from [3, Proposition 5.2] allows us to apply the proof of [2, Lemma 3.1], using  $v$  in place of  $n\delta$  throughout, to conclude that the (reduced by assumption) Nakajima quiver variety  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(\alpha)$  may be regarded as a (reduced) moduli space of  $A$ -modules, giving

$$\text{Rep}(A, \alpha)_{\text{red}} //_{\theta_I} G(\alpha) \cong \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(\alpha).$$

Combining these isomorphisms gives  $\text{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma])_{\text{red}} \cong \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(\alpha)$  as required.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Bertsch, S. Gammelgaard, Á. Gyenge, and B. Szendrői. Appendix B to *Euler characteristics of affine ADE Nakajima quiver varieties via collapsing fibers*, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.14361.pdf>.
- [2] A. Craw, S. Gammelgaard, Á. Gyenge, and B. Szendrői. Punctual Hilbert schemes for Kleinian singularities as quiver varieties. *Algebr. Geom.*, 8(6):680–704, 2021.
- [3] A. Craw, S. Gammelgaard, Á. Gyenge, and B. Szendrői. Quot schemes for Kleinian orbifolds. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 17:Paper No. 099, 21, 2021.
- [4] A. Craw and R. Yamagishi. *The Le Bruyn–Procesi theorem and Hilbert schemes*, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2312.08527.pdf>.
- [5] W. Crawley-Boevey. Decomposition of Marsden-Weinstein reductions for representations of quivers. *Compositio Math.*, 130(2):225–239, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF BATH, CLAVERTON DOWN, BATH BA2 7AY, UK.  
*Email address:* `a.craw@bath.ac.uk`