

ON SOME RECENT SELECTIVE PROPERTIES INVOLVING NETWORKS

MADDALENA BONANZINGA¹, DAVIDE GIACOPELLO², SANTI SPADARO³, AND LYUBOMYR ZDOMSKYY⁴

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate R-, H-, and M-*nw*-selective properties introduced in [12]. In particular, we provide consistent uncountable examples of such spaces and we define *trivial* R-, H-, and M-*nw*-selective spaces the ones with countable net weight having, additionally, the cardinality and the weight strictly less than $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, \mathfrak{b} , and \mathfrak{d} , respectively. Since we establish that spaces having cardinalities more than $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, \mathfrak{b} , and \mathfrak{d} , fail to have the R-, H-, and M-*nw*-selective properties, respectively, non-trivial examples should eventually have weight greater than or equal to these small cardinals. Using forcing methods, we construct consistent countable non-trivial examples of R-*nw*-selective and H-*nw*-selective spaces and we establish some limitations to constructions of non-trivial examples. Moreover, we consistently prove the existence of two H-*nw*-selective spaces whose product fails to be M-*nw*-selective. Finally, we study some relations between *nw*-selective properties and a strong version of the HFD property.

Keywords: Countable net weight; M-separable space, H-separable space, R-separable space, Menger space, Hurewicz space, Rothberger space.

AMS Subject Classification: 54D65, 54A25, 54A20.

1. INTRODUCTION

The systematic study of covering properties began with Scheepers [34]. Later, Scheepers himself and many other mathematicians (for instance see [7, 8, 9, 11, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]) used these methods to describe other properties involving some other topological objects, not just collections of coverings of some type.

This type of new approach has led to catalog these properties within so-called “selection principles”. In particular, given two collections \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of some topological objects on a space X , Scheepers introduce this notation:

- $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega)$ of elements of \mathcal{A} there exists $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \omega$, such that $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ belongs to \mathcal{B} .
- $S_{fin}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega)$ of elements of \mathcal{A} there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \omega$, such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ belongs to \mathcal{B} .
- $U_{fin}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega)$ of elements of \mathcal{A} there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \omega$, such that $\{\bigcup \mathcal{F}_n : n \in \omega\}$ belongs to \mathcal{B} .

¹MIFT Department, University of Messina, Italy; Email address: mbonanzinga@unime.it

²MIFT Department, University of Messina, Italy; Email address: dagiacopello@unime.it

³University of Palermo, Italy; Email address: santidomenico.spadaro@unipa.it

⁴Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie, Technische Universität Wien, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10/104, 1040 Wien, Austria; Email address: lzdmsky@gmail.com; URL: personal website.

Recall that a γ -cover of a space X is a particular cover such that each point of X belongs to all but finitely many members of the cover. If one denotes by \mathcal{O} and Γ the family of all open covers and the family of all γ -covers of a space X , respectively, it follows that the *Rothberger* property can be expressed by $S_1(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$, the *Menger* property by $S_{fin}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$ and the *Hurewicz* property by $U_{fin}(\mathcal{O}, \Gamma)$. Menger [22], Rothberger [31], and Hurewicz [23] properties are classical topological properties that were among the first to be introduced as fundamental selection principles. These properties play a crucial role in understanding the combinatorial aspects of covering properties in topological spaces.

Inspired by the previous selective variation of Lindelöfness, many mathematicians introduced and studied some selection principles that are strengthening of separability (see for instance [8, 7, 36]).

We denote by \mathcal{D} the family of all dense subsets of a space X and by \mathcal{D}_Γ the family of all collections of subsets \mathcal{F} such that for every nonempty open set $O \subset X$, the intersection $O \cap F$ is nonempty for all but finitely many $F \in \mathcal{F}$. A space X is called *R-separable* if it satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$, *M-separable* a space satisfying $S_{fin}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ and *H-separable* a space satisfying $U_{fin}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma)$. The “M-”, “R-”, and “H-” are motivated by analogy with Menger, Rothberger, and Hurewicz properties, respectively.

Recall that for $f, g \in \omega^\omega$, $f \leq^* g$ means that $f(n) \leq g(n)$ for all but finitely many n (and $f \leq g$ means that $f(n) \leq g(n)$ for all $n \in \omega$). A subset $B \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is *bounded* if there is $g \in \omega^\omega$ such that $f \leq^* g$ for every $f \in B$. $D \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is *dominating* if for each $g \in \omega^\omega$ there is $f \in D$ such that $g \leq^* f$. The minimal cardinality of an unbounded subset of ω^ω is denoted by \mathfrak{b} , and the minimal cardinality of a dominating subset of ω^ω is denoted by \mathfrak{d} . The value of \mathfrak{d} does not change if one considers the relation \leq instead of \leq^* [15, Theorem 3.6]. \mathcal{M} denotes the family of all meager subsets of \mathbb{R} . $cov(\mathcal{M})$ is the minimum of the cardinalities of subfamilies $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}$. However, another description of the cardinal $cov(\mathcal{M})$ is given by the following.


Theorem 1.1. ([4] and [5, Theorem 2.4.1]) *$cov(\mathcal{M})$ is the minimum cardinality of a family $F \subset \omega^\omega$ such that for every $g \in \omega^\omega$ there is $f \in F$ such that $f(n) \neq g(n)$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$.*

Thus if $F \subset \omega^\omega$ and $|F| < cov(\mathcal{M})$, then there is $g \in \omega^\omega$ such that for every $f \in F$, $f(n) = g(n)$ for infinitely many $n \in \omega$; it is often said that g *guesses* F . For a topological property \mathcal{K} , $non(\mathcal{K})$ denotes the minimum cardinality of a subspace of \mathbb{R} that does not have property \mathcal{K} . It is well known that $non(\text{Menger}) = \mathfrak{d}$, $non(\text{Hurewicz}) = \mathfrak{b}$, $non(\text{Rothberger}) = cov(\mathcal{M})$ (see [20, 19]). Additionally every Lindelöf space of cardinality strictly less than \mathfrak{d} (\mathfrak{b} or $cov(\mathcal{M})$) is Menger (Hurewicz or Rothberger respectively).

A family \mathcal{P} of open sets is called a π -base for X if every nonempty open set in X contains a nonempty element of \mathcal{P} ; $\pi w(X) = \min\{|\mathcal{P}| : \mathcal{P} \text{ is a } \pi\text{-base for } X\}$ is the π -weight of X . Scheepers [36] showed that every space with countable π -weight is R-separable (hence M-separable). Actually, in [36] it was proved that having countable π -weight is equivalent to a stronger property defined in terms of topological games. Also in [8] it is observed that every space with countable π -weight is H-separable. Let $\delta(X) = \sup\{d(Y) : Y \text{ is dense in } X\}$ [40]; $\delta(X) = \omega$ for every M-separable space X . If $\delta(X) = \omega$ and $\pi w(X) < \mathfrak{d}$, then X is M-separable (a stronger version of this fact is established in [36, Theorem 40]); moreover, if

$\delta(X) = \omega$ and $\pi w(X) < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, then X is R-separable (a stronger version of this fact is established in [36, Theorem 29]); in [7, Theorem 29] it is also shown that if $\delta(X) = \omega$ and $\pi w(X) < \mathfrak{b}$, then X is H-separable. As a consequence of these results, it is shown that the existence of a countable M-separable space which is not H-separable is consistent with ZFC [7].

The following implications are obvious.



For compact spaces, M-, R- and H- separability are equivalent to each other and to having a countable π -base (see [8]).

Recall the following properties which can also be presented using the notation introduced by Scheepers.

A space X has *countable (strong) fan tightness*, (see [2] and [32]), if for every point $x \in X$ and every sequence $(A_n : n \in \omega)$ of subspaces of X such that $x \in \overline{A_n}$ for all $n \in \omega$, one can choose finite $F_n \subset A_n$ (resp., a point $x_n \in A_n$) so that $x \in \overline{\bigcup\{F_n : n \in \omega\}}$ (resp., $x \in \overline{\{x_n : n \in \omega\}}$). It is natural to say that X has *countable (strong) fan tightness with respect to dense subspaces* if this previous statement is true when the A_n 's are dense in X . A space X is *weakly Fréchet in the strict sense* if for every point $x \in X$ and every sequence $(A_n : n \in \omega)$ of subspaces of X such that $x \in \overline{A_n}$ for all $n \in \omega$, there are finite $F_n \subset A_n$ such that every neighborhood of x intersects all but finitely many F_n [33]. Again, the space X is *weakly Fréchet in the strict sense with respect to dense subspaces* if this previous statement is true when the A_n 's are dense in X [7].

Any separable space having countable (strong) fan tightness with respect to dense subsets is M-separable (R-separable, respectively); moreover, any separable weak Fréchet in the strict sense with respect to dense subsets space is H-separable (see [7] and [8]).

A family \mathcal{N} of sets is called a network for X if for every $x \in X$ and for every open neighbourhood U of x there exists an element N of \mathcal{N} such that $x \in N \subseteq U$; $nw(X) = \min\{|\mathcal{N}| : \mathcal{N} \text{ is a network for } X\}$ is the net weight of X .


Bonanzinga and Giacomello [12] asked which additional conditions a space with countable network weight must satisfy in order to be M-separable (either R-separable or H-separable). They introduced and studied the following classes of spaces which constitute a combination of the covering type selection principles and the selection properties which are variations of separability. These properties thus represent, in

some way, one of the strongest generalizations among the existing selection principles [12].

A space X is *M-nw-selective* if $nw(X) = \omega$ and for every sequence $(\mathcal{N}_n : n \in \omega)$ of countable networks for X one can select finite $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{N}_n$, $n \in \omega$, such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is a network for X ; X is *R-nw-selective* if $nw(X) = \omega$ and for every sequence $(\mathcal{N}_n : n \in \omega)$ of countable networks for X one can pick $N_n \in \mathcal{N}_n$, $n \in \omega$, such that $\{N_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a network for X ; and X is *H-nw-selective* if $nw(X) = \omega$ and for every sequence $(\mathcal{N}_n : n \in \omega)$ of countable networks for X one can select finite $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{N}_n$, $n \in \omega$, such that for any $x \in X$ and any open neighbourhood U of x , there exists some $\kappa \in \omega$ such that for any $n \geq \kappa$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$ with $x \in A \subseteq U$.

In [12] it was proved that any R-nw-selective (M-nw-selective and H-nw-selective, respectively) space has countable strong fan tightness (countable fan tightness and the weak Frechet in strict sense property, respectively) hence it is R-separable (M-separable and H-separable, respectively).

The following diagram sums up all the implications that exist between these properties.



Among other things, in [12] the following question was posed.

Question 1.2. *Are there uncountable M-nw-selective (R-nw-selective or H-nw-selective) spaces?*

In Section 2 we give consistent answer to Question 1.2 and we define *trivial* R-, H-, and M-*nw*-selective spaces as the ones with countable net weight having, additionally, the cardinality and the weight strictly less than $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, \mathfrak{b} , and \mathfrak{d} , respectively. Since we establish that spaces having cardinalities at least $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, \mathfrak{b} , and \mathfrak{d} , fail to have the R-, H-, and M-*nw*-selective properties, respectively, non-trivial examples should eventually have weight greater than or equal to the respective small cardinals.

In Section 3, using forcing methods, we construct consistent countable non-trivial examples of R-*nw*-selective and H-*nw*-selective spaces.

In Section 4 we establish some limitations to constructions of non-trivial examples.

In Section 5 we consistently prove the existence of two H-*nw*-selective spaces whose product fails to be M-*nw*-selective.

Finally, in Section 6 we study the relations between a strong version of the HFD property (see Definition 6.5) and the R-*nw*-selectivity.

2. CARDINALITY AND WEIGHT OF M-*nw*-, R-*nw*- AND H-*nw*-SELECTIVE SPACES

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a space such that $\text{nw}(X) = \omega$, $|X| < \mathfrak{d}$ and $w(X) < \mathfrak{d}$. Then X is M-*nw*-selective.*

Proof. Let $\kappa, \lambda < \mathfrak{d}$ be two cardinals such that $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\beta : \beta < \lambda\}$ is a base for X . Let $\langle \mathcal{N}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of countable networks on X , where $\mathcal{N}_n = \{N_m^n : m \in \omega\}$. For every $\alpha < \kappa$ and $\beta < \lambda$ such that $x_\alpha \in B_\beta$ consider the function $f_{\alpha,\beta} \in \omega^\omega$ defined by $f_{\alpha,\beta}(n) = \min\{m : x_\alpha \in N_m^n \subseteq B_\beta\}$. The family $\{f_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha < \kappa, \beta < \lambda, x_\alpha \in B_\beta\}$ is not dominating, and hence there exists a function $f \in \omega^\omega$ such that $f \not\leq^* f_{\alpha,\beta}$ for every $\alpha < \kappa$ and $\beta < \lambda$ such that $x_\alpha \in B_\beta$. A direct verification shows that $\{N_m^n : n \in \omega, m \leq f(n)\}$ is a network for X . \square

In an analogous way it is possible to prove the following two propositions, for the first one using Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a space such that $\text{nw}(X) = \omega$, $|X| < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ and $w(X) < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$. Then X is R-*nw*-selective.*

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a space such that $\text{nw}(X) = \omega$, $|X| < \mathfrak{b}$ and $w(X) < \mathfrak{b}$. Then X is H-*nw*-selective.*

In what follows we shall call spaces satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 2.1, 2.3 and 2.2 *trivial examples* of M-*nw*-selective, H-*nw*-selective and R-*nw*-selective spaces, respectively. The reason for this terminology is that such spaces have these properties solely due to cardinality considerations, and not because of some specific structure etc.

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a space such that $|X| \geq \mathfrak{d}$. Then X is not M-*nw*-selective.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{nw}(X) = \omega$, pick $Y \subseteq X$ such that $|Y| = \mathfrak{d}$ and let $h : Y \rightarrow D$ be a bijection, where $D \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is dominating. Without loss of generality we can assume that for every $f \in \omega^\omega$ there exists $g \in D$ such that $f(n) \leq g(n)$ for all $n \in \omega$ (in what follows we shall write $f \leq g$ in such cases). For any $n, k \in \omega$ put $Y_k^n = \{y \in Y : h(y)(n) = k\}$ and consider the countable cover $\mathcal{A}_n = \{Y_k^n : k \in \omega\}$

$\omega\} \cup \{X \setminus Y\}$ of X . For any $n \in \omega$ and every $\mathcal{B}_n \in [\mathcal{A}_n]^{<\omega}$, $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \bigcup \mathcal{B}_n \not\supseteq Y$. Indeed, pick $g \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \{Y_k^n : k \leq g(n)\} \cup \{X \setminus Y\}$. Pick $y \in Y$ such that $h(y)(n) > g(n)$ for every $n \in \omega$. Then $y \notin Y_k^n$ for every $k \leq g(n)$, so $y \notin \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \bigcup \mathcal{B}_n$. Let \mathcal{N} be a countable network of X . For each $n \in \omega$ consider the networks

$$\mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N} \wedge \mathcal{A}_n = \{N \cap A : N \in \mathcal{N}, A \in \mathcal{A}_n\}.$$

It follows that if $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathcal{N}_n]^{<\omega}$ for each $n \in \omega$, then X is not covered by the family $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$, so it cannot be a network for X . \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let X be a space with $nw(X) = \omega$ and $w(X) < \mathfrak{d}$. Then X is M - nw -selective iff $|X| < \mathfrak{d}$. In particular, this equivalence holds for metrizable separable spaces.*

By Propositions 2.1 and 2.4, it is possible to formulate the following result.

Corollary 2.6. *The following are equivalent facts.*

- (1) $\omega_1 < \mathfrak{d}$;
- (2) *Every space X with $|X| = \omega_1$, $w(X) = \omega_1$, and $nw(X) = \omega$, is M - nw -selective.*

The following fact is analogous to Proposition 2.4, we present its proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 2.7. *Let X be a topological space such that $|X| \geq cov(\mathcal{M})$. Then X is not R - nw -selective.*

Proof. Suppose that $nw(X) = \omega$, pick $Y \subseteq X$ such that $|Y| = cov(\mathcal{M})$ and let $h : Y \rightarrow F'$ be a bijection, where $F' \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is such that for every $g \in \omega^\omega$ there exists $f \in F'$ such that $g(n) \neq f(n)$ for all $n \in \omega$. Such an F' exists, e.g., we could take F satisfying Theorem 1.1 and set $F' = \{z \in \omega^\omega : \exists f \in F (z =^* f)\}$. For any $n, k \in \omega$ put $Y_k^n = \{y \in Y : h(y)(n) = k\}$ and consider the countable cover $\mathcal{A}_n = \{Y_k^n : k \in \omega\} \cup \{X \setminus Y\}$ of X . For any $g \in \omega^\omega$ we have $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} Y_{g(n)}^n \not\supseteq Y$. Indeed, pick $f \in F'$ with $f(n) \neq g(n)$ for all $n \in \omega$, and let $y \in Y$ be such that $h(y) = f$. Then $y \notin Y_{g(n)}^n$ for every $n \in \omega$ because $y \in Y_{f(n)}^n$ and $Y_{f(n)}^n \cap Y_{g(n)}^n = \emptyset$, since the family $\{Y_k^n : k \in \omega\}$ is disjoint by the definition. Let \mathcal{N} be a countable network of X . For each $n \in \omega$ consider the networks

$$\mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N} \wedge \mathcal{A}_n = \{N \cap A : N \in \mathcal{N}, A \in \mathcal{A}_n\}.$$

It follows that if $N_n \in \mathcal{N}_n$ for each $n \in \omega$, then Y is not covered by the family $\{N_n : n \in \omega\}$, so it cannot be a network for X . \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let X be a space with $nw(X) = \omega$ and $w(X) < cov(\mathcal{M})$. Then X is R - nw -selective iff $|X| < cov(\mathcal{M})$. In particular, this equivalence holds for metrizable separable spaces.*

By Propositions 2.2 and 2.7, it is possible to formulate the following result.

Corollary 2.9. *The following are equivalent facts.*

- (1) $\omega_1 < cov(\mathcal{M})$;
- (2) *Every space X with $|X| = \omega_1$, $w(X) = \omega_1$, and $nw(X) = \omega$, is R - nw -selective.*

By Propositions 2.6 and 2.9, if $\omega_1 = \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) < \mathfrak{d}$ holds, each space of cardinality and weight equal to ω_1 and countable netweight is M-*nw*-selective not R-*nw*-selective.

As in the case of Proposition 2.7, the next fact is also analogous to Proposition 2.4, but we nonetheless present its proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 2.10. *Let X be a space such that $|X| \geq \mathfrak{b}$. Then X is not H-*nw*-selective.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{nw}(X) = \omega$, pick $Y \subseteq X$ such that $|Y| = \mathfrak{b}$ and let $h : Y \rightarrow B$ be a bijection, where $B \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is unbounded. Let Y_k^n and \mathcal{A}_n be defined in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 2.4. For any $n \in \omega$ and every $\mathcal{B}_n \in [\mathcal{A}_n]^{<\omega}$ there exists $I \in [\omega]^\omega$ with $\bigcup_{n \in I} \bigcup \mathcal{B}_n \not\supseteq Y$. Indeed, pick $g \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \{Y_k^n : k \leq g(n)\} \cup \{X \setminus Y\}$. Pick $y \in Y$ such that $h(y)(n) > g(n)$ for infinitely many $n \in \omega$, and let I be the set of all such n . Then $y \notin Y_k^n$ for every $k \leq g(n)$ and $n \in I$, so $y \notin \bigcup_{n \in I} \bigcup \mathcal{B}_n$. Let \mathcal{N} be a countable network of X . For each $n \in \omega$ consider the networks \mathcal{N}_n defined in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 2.4 and note that if $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathcal{N}_n]^{<\omega}$ for each $n \in \omega$, then y is not covered by the family $\bigcup_{n \in I} \mathcal{F}_n$. Thus, for every $m \in \omega$ there exists $n \geq m$ (namely $\min(I \setminus m)$) such that no $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ contains y , which implies that X is not H-*nw*-selective. \square

Corollary 2.11. *Let X be a space with $\text{nw}(X) = \omega$ and $w(X) < \mathfrak{b}$. Then X is H-*nw*-selective iff $|X| < \mathfrak{b}$. In particular, this equivalence holds for metrizable separable spaces.*

By Propositions 2.3 and 2.10 it is possible to formulate the following result.

Corollary 2.12. *The following are equivalent facts.*

- (1) $\omega_1 < \mathfrak{b}$;
- (2) Every space X with $|X| = \omega_1$, $w(X) = \omega_1$, and $\text{nw}(X) = \omega$, is H-*nw*-selective.

By Propositions 2.6 and 2.12, if $\omega_1 = \mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{d}$ holds, each space of cardinality and weight equal to ω_1 and countable netweight is M-*nw*-selective not H-*nw*-selective. By Propositions 2.9 and 2.12, if $\omega_1 = \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) < \mathfrak{b}$ holds, each space of cardinality and weight equal to ω_1 and countable netweight is H-*nw*-selective not R-*nw*-selective. By Propositions 2.9 and 2.12, if $\omega_1 = \mathfrak{b} < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ holds, each space of cardinality and weight equal to ω_1 and countable netweight is R-*nw*-selective not H-*nw*-selective. Additionally, Corollaries 2.5, 2.8 and 2.11 allow us to find consistent examples of sets of reals distinguishing between the corresponding properties: If $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) < \mathfrak{d}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{d}$, $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) < \mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{b} < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$), then any $X \in [\mathbb{R}]^{\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})}$ (resp. $X \in [\mathbb{R}]^{\mathfrak{b}}$, $X \in [\mathbb{R}]^{\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})}$, $X \in [\mathbb{R}]^{\mathfrak{b}}$) is M-*nw*-selective but not R-*nw*-selective (resp. M-*nw*-selective but not H-*nw*-selective, H-*nw*-selective but not R-*nw*-selective, R-*nw*-selective but not H-*nw*-selective).

However, we do not know of any examples in ZFC distinguishing these properties, because at the moment it is not even known whether there are in ZFC non-trivial countable spaces which are M-*nw*-selective, H-*nw*-selective, or R-*nw*-selective. More precisely, the following question (in fact, each of the 6 subquestions it naturally comprises) is still open.

- Question 2.13.** (1) *Is there a ZFC example of a non-trivial M - nw -selective (resp. R - nw -selective, H - nw -selective) space X ? What about countable spaces?*
- (2) *Is the existence of a non-trivial uncountable M - nw -selective (resp. R - nw -selective, H - nw -selective) space X consistent with ZFC?*

3. nw -SELECTIVITY OF COUNTABLE SUBSPACES OF $C_p(X, 2)$: SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS AND CONSISTENT NON-TRIVIAL EXAMPLES.

For a topological space X we denote by

- $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the family of all countable Borel covers of X ;
- $\mathcal{B}_\Omega(X)$ the family of all countable Borel ω -covers of X ;
- $\mathcal{B}_\Gamma(X)$ the family of all countable Borel γ -covers of X .

We omit X from these notations if it is clear from the context.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ is such that X^n is $S_1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ for every $n \in \omega$. Let $Y \subseteq C_p(X, 2)$ be a countable subset. Then Y is R - nw -selective. Moreover, if Y is dense, then $w(Y) = |X|$.*

Proof. Clearly, $|X| \geq w(Y) \geq \chi(Y)$, and if Y is dense, then additionally we have¹ $\chi(Y) = \chi(C_p(X, 2)) = |X|$, so in this case $w(Y) = |X|$.

Let $\{y_j : j \in \omega\}$ be an enumeration of Y and $\mathcal{N}_k = \{N_m^k : m \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{P}(Y)$ a countable network for each $k \in \omega$. Given a basic open subset of $C_p(X, 2)$ of the form

$$[\vec{x}, \vec{\epsilon}] = \{f \in C_p(X, 2) : f(x_0) = \epsilon_0, \dots, f(x_{n-1}) = \epsilon_{n-1}\},$$

where $\vec{x} \in X^n$, $\vec{\epsilon} \in 2^n$, and $j \in \omega$, set $A_{j, \vec{\epsilon}} = \{\vec{x} \in X^n : y_j \in [\vec{x}, \vec{\epsilon}]\}$. Let $h_{j, \vec{\epsilon}} : A_{j, \vec{\epsilon}} \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ be a function defined by

$$h_{j, \vec{\epsilon}}(\vec{x})(k) = \min\{m : y_j \in N_m^k \subseteq [\vec{x}, \vec{\epsilon}]\}.$$

$A_{j, \vec{\epsilon}}$ satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ because this property is hereditary by [38, Theorem 13], and therefore $h_{j, \vec{\epsilon}}[A_{j, \vec{\epsilon}}]$ is Rothberger by [38, Theorem 14] because the function $h_{j, \vec{\epsilon}}$ is clearly Borel. Then $R := \bigcup_{n \in \omega, j \in \omega, \vec{\epsilon} \in 2^n} h_{j, \vec{\epsilon}}[A_{j, \vec{\epsilon}}]$ is Rothberger, being a countable union of Rothberger spaces. So there exists $h \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\{k \in \omega : r(k) = h(k)\}$ is infinite for every $r \in R$. As a result, $\{N_{h(k)}^k : k \in \omega\}$ is a network for Y . Indeed, pick a basic open set $[\vec{x}, \vec{\epsilon}]$ and a point $y_j \in [\vec{x}, \vec{\epsilon}]$. Then $\vec{x} \in A_{j, \vec{\epsilon}}$, and therefore there exists $k \in \omega$ such that $h_{j, \vec{\epsilon}}(\vec{x})(k) = h(k)$, hence

$$y_j \in N_{h_{j, \vec{\epsilon}}(\vec{x})(k)}^k = N_{h(k)}^k \subseteq [\vec{x}, \vec{\epsilon}],$$

which completes our proof. □

One of the ways to get non-trivial (namely those having size at least $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$) examples of spaces X like in Theorem 3.1 is using forcing. This approach is not new and in a slightly different form was used in [13], so the next fact may be thought of as folklore. We present its proof since we were unable to find it published elsewhere in the form we need.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $X = \{c_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ be the set of Cohen generic reals over the ground model V added by the standard poset $\text{Fin}(\lambda \times \omega, 2)$ consisting of finite partial functions from $\lambda \times \omega$ to 2, where λ is an uncountable cardinal. Let G be*

¹This part does not use any additional properties of X like $S_1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$.

Fin($\lambda \times \omega, 2$)-generic filter giving rise to X . Then in $V[G]$, for any $k \in \omega$ and a sequence $\langle \mathcal{B}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of countable Borel covers of X^k , for each $n \in \omega$ there is $B_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$ such that $X^k \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_n$. I.e., all finite power of X satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$.

We shall need the following standard fact whose proof we add for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3.3. *Let λ, G, X be such as in Proposition 3.2 and suppose that $D \subset 2^\omega$ is a Borel non-meager set coded in the ground model V . Then there exists $\beta < \lambda$ such that $c_\beta \in D$.*

Proof. Since D is non-meager, there exist $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ such that $D \cap [s]$ is comeager in $[s]$, i.e., $[s] \setminus D$ is meager. (Recall that $[s] = \{z \in 2^\omega : z \upharpoonright |s| = s\}$.) Fix $p \in \text{Fin}(\lambda \times \omega, 2)$ and $\beta \in \lambda$ such that $\text{dom}(p) \cap (\{\beta\} \times \omega) = \emptyset$. Let $q = p \cup r$, where $\text{dom}(r) = \{\beta\} \times |s|$ and $r(\beta, j) = s(j)$ for every $j \in |s|$. Then

$$q \Vdash c_\beta \in [s] \wedge c_\beta \text{ lies in every comeager set coded in } V,$$

and hence q also forces $c_\beta \in D \cap [s]$. Now the statement of the lemma follows by the density argument. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We will prove it by induction on k . For $k = 0$ there is nothing to prove. Assuming that it is true for any natural number $\leq k$, we will prove our statement for $k + 1$. Consider $\mathcal{B}_n = \{B_i^n : i \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{B}(X^{k+1})$, for every $n \in \omega$. Let $A \in [\lambda]^\omega$ be such that $\langle \langle B_i^n : i \in \omega \rangle : n \in \omega \rangle$ is coded in $V[\{c_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}]$. Let $\omega = I_0 \sqcup I_1$ be a partition into two infinite disjoint sets. The set $(2^\omega)^{k+1} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{B}_n$ is meager in $(2^\omega)^{k+1}$ for every $n \in \omega$. Indeed, suppose that contrary to our claim there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $K := (2^\omega)^{k+1} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{B}_n$ is non-meager. Then Lemma 3.3 implies that there exists an injective sequence $\langle \beta_i : i < k + 1 \rangle$ of ordinals in $\lambda \setminus A$ such that $\langle c_{\beta_i} : i < k + 1 \rangle \in K$, which is impossible because \mathcal{B}_n covers X^{k+1} .

For every $n \in I_0$ pick $i_n \in \omega$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in I_0} B_{i_n}^n$ is comeager in $(2^\omega)^{k+1}$. This could be done in $V[\{c_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}]$ as follows: Given an enumeration $\{\vec{s}_n : n \in I_0\}$ of $(2^{<\omega})^{k+1}$, let i_n be such that $B_{i_n}^n \cap [\vec{s}_n]$ is non-meager in $[\vec{s}_n]$, $n \in I_0$, where $[\vec{s}_n] = \prod_{j \leq k} [s_j^n]$. Then the union $\bigcup_{n \in I_0} B_{i_n}^n$ is comeager in $(2^\omega)^{k+1}$, because its intersection with each clopen subset of $(2^\omega)^{k+1}$ is non-meager. Fix any mutually different $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k \in \lambda \setminus A$. Then $\langle c_{\alpha_0}, \dots, c_{\alpha_k} \rangle \in \bigcup_{n \in I_0} B_{i_n}^n$ because any such $\langle c_{\alpha_0}, \dots, c_{\alpha_k} \rangle$ lies in every comeager subset of $(2^\omega)^{k+1}$ coded in $V[c_\alpha : \alpha \in A]$. From the above we conclude that

$$Y := X^{k+1} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in I_0} B_{i_n}^n \subset \{ \langle c_{\alpha_0}, \dots, c_{\alpha_k} \rangle : \exists j \leq k (\alpha_j \in A) \vee \exists j_1, j_2 \leq k (\alpha_{j_1} = \alpha_{j_2}) \}.$$

Thus Y is covered by a countable union of homeomorphic copies of X^j with $j \leq k$, hence by our assumption we can conclude the proof by covering Y with suitably chosen $B_{i_n}^n$'s for $n \in I_1$. \square

Combining the results above and the fact that $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{c} = \lambda$ after adding λ -many Cohen reals to a ground model of GCH, where λ is a cardinal of uncountable cofinality, we get a consistent non-trivial example of a R-*nw*-selective space which is also a non-trivial example of a M-*nw*-selective space.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that GCH holds in the ground model V . Let λ be a cardinal of uncountable cofinality and G, X such as in Proposition 3.2. Finally, in $V[G]$ let*

$Y \subset C_p(X, 2)$ be a countable dense subspace. Then Y is a R - nw -selective (and hence M - nw -selective) and $w(Y) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{d}$.

The above corollary motivates the following question, which is related to Question 2.13.

Question 3.5. *Is there a consistent example of a countable R - nw -selective space of weight $> \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$?*

Theorem 3.1, Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 have their counterparts for random reals, with “Cohen” and “meager” replaced with “random” and “measure zero”, respectively. We omit proofs which are completely analogous, i.e., those of Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 3.8.

Again, this approach of using random reals could be traced back in some sense to [13] and hence may be thought of as folklore. We refer the reader to [5, Section 3.1] for more information about the random forcing.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ is such that X^n is $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \mathcal{B}_\Gamma)$ for every $n \in \omega$. Let $Y \subseteq C_p(X, 2)$ be a countable subset. Then Y is H - nw -selective. Moreover, if Y is dense, $w(Y) = |X|$.*

Proposition 3.7. *Let $X = \{r_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ be the set of generic random reals over the ground model V added by the standard poset $B(\lambda) = \text{Bor}(2^{\lambda \times \omega}) / \mathcal{Z}_\lambda$, where \mathcal{Z}_λ is the ideal of subsets of $2^{\lambda \times \omega}$ which have measure 0 with respect to the usual product probability measure on $2^{\lambda \times \omega}$. Let also G be $B(\lambda)$ -generic over V giving rise to X . Then in $V[G]$, for any $k \in \omega$ and a sequence $\langle \mathcal{B}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of Borel γ -covers of X^k , for each $n \in \omega$ there is $B_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$ such that $\{B_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a γ -cover of X^k . I.e., all finite power of X satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \mathcal{B}_\Gamma)$.*

The key part of the proof of Proposition 3.7 relies on the following

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that $D \subset 2^\omega$ is a Borel non-measure zero set coded in the ground model V and G, X are such as in Proposition 3.7. Then there exists $\beta < \lambda$ such that $r_\beta \in D$.*

Proof of Proposition 3.7. We will prove it by induction on k that X^k satisfies $U_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_\Gamma)$, which is equivalent to $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \mathcal{B}_\Gamma)$ by [38, Theorem 1].

For $k = 0$ there is nothing to prove. Assuming that it is true for any natural number $\leq k$, we will prove our statement for $k + 1$. Consider $\mathcal{B}_n = \{B_i^n : i \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{B}(X^{k+1})$, for every $n \in \omega$. Let $A \in [\lambda]^\omega$ be such that $\langle \langle B_i^n : i \in \omega \rangle : n \in \omega \rangle$ is coded in $V[\{r_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}]$. The set $(2^\omega)^{k+1} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{B}_n$ has measure 0 in $(2^\omega)^{k+1}$ for every $n \in \omega$. Indeed, suppose that contrary to our claim there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $L := (2^\omega)^{k+1} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{B}_n$ has positive measure. Then Lemma 3.8 implies that there exists an injective sequence $\langle \beta_i : i < k + 1 \rangle$ of ordinals in $\lambda \setminus A$ such that $\langle r_{\beta_i} : i < k + 1 \rangle \in L$, which is impossible because \mathcal{B}_n covers X^{k+1} .

In $V[\{r_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}]$, for every $n \in \omega$ pick $i_n \in \omega$ such that $\nu(\bigcup_{i \leq i_n} B_i^n) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^n}$ and note that $\nu(Z) = 1$, where ν is the Lebesgue measure on $(2^\omega)^{k+1}$ and

$$Z = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} \bigcap_{n \geq m} \bigcup_{i \leq i_n} B_i^n.$$

Fix any mutually different $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k \in \lambda \setminus A$. Then $\langle r_{\alpha_0}, \dots, r_{\alpha_k} \rangle \in Z$ because any such $\langle r_{\alpha_0}, \dots, r_{\alpha_k} \rangle$ lies in every measure 1 subset of $(2^\omega)^{k+1}$ coded in $V[r_\alpha : \alpha \in A]$.

From the above we conclude that

$$Y := X^{k+1} \setminus Z \subset \{ \langle r_{\alpha_0}, \dots, r_{\alpha_k} \rangle : \exists j \leq k (\alpha_j \in A) \vee \exists j_1, j_2 \leq k (\alpha_{j_1} = \alpha_{j_2}) \}.$$

Thus Y is covered by a countable union of homeomorphic copies of X^j with $j \leq k$, hence by our assumption we can find $\langle j_n : n \in \omega \rangle \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\{ \bigcup_{i \leq j_n} B_i^n : n \in \omega \} \in \mathcal{B}_\Gamma(Y)$. Since $\{ \bigcup_{i \leq i_n} B_i^n : n \in \omega \} \in \mathcal{B}_\Gamma(Z)$ by the choice of $\langle i_n : n \in \omega \rangle$, we conclude that

$$\left\{ \bigcup_{i \leq \max\{i_n, j_n\}} B_i^n : n \in \omega \right\} \in \mathcal{B}_\Gamma(Z \cup Y) = \mathcal{B}_\Gamma(X^{k+1}),$$

which completes our proof. \square

Combining the results above and the fact that $\mathfrak{d} = \omega_1$ after adding λ -many random reals to a ground model of GCH, we get a consistent non-trivial example of a H-*nw*-selective space which is also a non-trivial example of a M-*nw*-selective space.

Corollary 3.9. *Suppose that GCH holds in the ground model V and λ is an uncountable cardinal. Let G, X be such as in Proposition 3.7. Finally, in $V[G]$ let $Y \subset C_p(X, 2)$ be a countable dense subspace. Then Y is H-*nw*-selective (and hence M-*nw*-selective) and $w(Y) = \lambda = \mathfrak{c} \geq \mathfrak{d} = \omega_1$.*

The corollary above among others shows that the counterparts of Question 3.5 for H-*nw*-selective and M-*nw*-selective spaces have affirmative answers, i.e., there are consistent examples of countable H-*nw*-selective (resp. M-*nw*-selective) spaces with weight $> \mathfrak{b}$ (resp. $> \mathfrak{d}$).

4. VARIOUS KINDS OF *nw*-SELECTIVITY OF “STANDARD” COUNTABLE DENSE SUBSPACES OF $C_p(X, 2)$: NECESSARY CONDITIONS.

In this section we establish some limitations to constructions of non-trivial examples by the methods developed in Section 3. More precisely, we consider certain specific countable dense subspaces of $C_p(X)$ defined before Theorem 4.3, where X is a metrizable separable spaces, and show that these having *nw*-selectivity properties implies X having quite strong combinatorial covering properties with respect to the family of all countable closed covers.

We start by showing that the properties we consider are equivalent to their local counterparts in the realm of countable spaces. We call \mathcal{N} a *network for a space X at $x \in X$* , if for every neighbourhood $U \ni x$ there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}$ with $x \in N \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus, \mathcal{N} is a network for X if and only if it is a network for X at each $x \in X$.

Definition 4.1. A space X is

- *locally M-*nw*-selective*, if for every $x \in X$ and sequence $\langle \mathcal{N}_m : m \in \omega \rangle$ of networks for X at x , there exists a sequence $\langle \mathcal{L}_m : m \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{L}_m \in [\mathcal{N}_m]^{<\omega}$ for all m and $\bigcup_{m \in \omega} \mathcal{L}_m$ is a networks for X at x ;
- *locally H-*nw*-selective*, if for every $x \in X$ and sequence $\langle \mathcal{N}_m : m \in \omega \rangle$ of networks for X at x , there exists a sequence $\langle \mathcal{L}_m : m \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{L}_m \in [\mathcal{N}_m]^{<\omega}$ for all m and $\bigcup_{m \in I} \mathcal{L}_m$ is a networks for X at x for any $I \in [\omega]^\omega$;
- *locally R-*nw*-selective*, if for every $x \in X$ and sequence $\langle \mathcal{N}_m : m \in \omega \rangle$ of networks for X at x , there exists a sequence $\langle N_m : m \in \omega \rangle$ such that $N_m \in \mathcal{N}_m$ for all m and $\{N_m : m \in \omega\}$ is a networks for X at x .

- Lemma 4.2.** (1) *If X is locally M - nw -selective (resp. H - nw -selective, R - nw -selective) and $|X| = \omega$, then it is M - nw -selective (resp. H - nw -selective, R - nw -selective).*
- (2) *If X is M - nw -selective (resp. H - nw -selective, R - nw -selective), then it is locally M - nw -selective (resp. H - nw -selective, R - nw -selective).*

Proof. The first item is rather obvious. For the second one it suffices to note that if \mathcal{M} is a (countable) network for X and \mathcal{N} is a (countable) network for X at $x \in X$, then

$$\mathcal{N} \cup \{M \setminus \{x\} : M \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

is a (countable) network for X . \square

In what follows we shall call a sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of finite families of subsets of X a γ_{fs} -sequence² on X , if for every $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ there exists $n \in \omega$ such that for all $k \geq n$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ containing F .

For a subset A of X the *characteristic function of A* is $\chi_A : X \rightarrow 2$ such that $\chi_A(x) = 0$ iff $x \in A$. Let X be a metrizable separable zero-dimensional space and \mathcal{S} a base for X closed under finite unions and complements of its elements. Then we denote by $Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ the set $\{\chi_S : S \in \mathcal{S}\} \subset C_p(X, 2)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let X be a metrizable separable zero-dimensional space and \mathcal{S} a countable clopen base of X closed under finite unions and complements. If $Y = Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ is H - nw -selective as a subspace of $C_p(X, 2)$, then for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{C}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of countable closed ω -covers of X there exists a γ_{fs} -sequence $\langle \mathcal{D}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ on X such that $\mathcal{D}_n \in [\mathcal{C}_n]^{<\omega}$.*

Proof. Note that the constant 0 function (which we denote by 0) belongs to Y : Given any $S \in \mathcal{S}$, we have that $X \setminus S \in \mathcal{S}$, and hence $X = S \cup (X \setminus S) \in \mathcal{S}$, which yields $0 = \chi_X \in Y$.

For every $C \subset X$ we denote by $[C, 0]$ the set $\{f \in C_p(X) : f \upharpoonright C \equiv 0\}$. Let $\langle \mathcal{C}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of countable closed ω -covers of X . It is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{N}_n := \{[C, 0] \cap Y : C \in \mathcal{C}_n\}$$

is a network for Y at 0 for every $n \in \omega$. By Lemma 4.2 we know that Y is locally H - nw -selective, and hence there exists a sequence $\langle \mathcal{D}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{D}_n \in [\mathcal{C}_n]^{<\omega}$ for all $n \in \omega$ and

$$\mathcal{N} := \{[C, 0] \cap Y : C \in \mathcal{D}_n, n \in I\}$$

is a network for Y at 0 for any infinite $I \subset \omega$.

We claim that $\langle \mathcal{D}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ is a γ_{fs} -sequence of subsets of X . Indeed, suppose towards a contradiction, that there exists $I \in [\omega]^\omega$ and $A \in [X]^{<\omega}$ such that $A \not\subset C$ for any $C \in \bigcup_{n \in I} \mathcal{D}_n$. Set $O = [A, 0] \cap Y$ and note that O is an open neighbourhood of 0 in Y . Thus there exists $n \in I$ and $C \in \mathcal{D}_n$ such that $O \supset [C, 0] \cap Y$. However, there exists $x \in A \setminus C$, and hence there exists $S \in \mathcal{S}$ with³ $C \subset S$ and $x \notin S$. It follows that $\chi_S \in [C, 0] \cap Y$ and $\chi_S \notin [A, 0] \cap Y = O$, which gives the desired contradiction. \square

For a topological space X we make the following notation:

- $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is the family of all countable closed covers of X ;

²“fs” is the abbreviation of *finite subsets*

³Here we use that $Y = Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ and not just arbitrary countable dense subset of $C_p(X, 2)$.

- $\mathcal{C}_\Omega(X)$ is the family of all countable closed ω -covers of X ;
- $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X)$ is the family of all countable closed γ -covers of X ;
- $\mathcal{C}^o(X)$ is the family of all countable clopen covers of X ;
- $\mathcal{C}_\Omega^o(X)$ is the family of all countable clopen ω -covers of X ;
- $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^o(X)$ is the family of all countable clopen γ -covers of X .

Recall from [26] that a countable family \mathcal{U} of subsets of X is ω -groupable, if there exists a sequence $\langle \mathcal{D}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of mutually disjoint finite subsets of \mathcal{U} such that the set $\{n \in \omega : x \notin \cup \mathcal{D}_n\}$ is finite for all $x \in X$. We extend our list of notation for specific covers of a space X as follows:

- $\mathcal{C}_{\omega\text{-gp}}(X)$ is the family of all closed ω -groupable covers of X ;
- $\mathcal{C}_{\omega\text{-gp}}^o(X)$ is the family of all clopen ω -groupable covers of X ;
- $\mathcal{B}_{\omega\text{-gp}}(X)$ is the family of all Borel ω -groupable covers of X .

Corollary 4.4. *Let X be a metrizable separable zero-dimensional space and \mathcal{S} a countable clopen base of X closed under finite unions and complements. If $Y = Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ is H -nw-selective as a subspace of $C_p(X, 2)$, then all finite powers of X satisfy $U_{fin}(\mathcal{O}, \Gamma)$ (i.e., are Hurewicz) and X satisfies $U_{fin}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_\Gamma)$ (i.e., is Hurewicz with respect to all countable Borel covers), which is equivalent to $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \mathcal{B}_\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $\langle \mathcal{C}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of countable closed ω -covers of X . Theorem 4.3 yields a γ_{fs} -sequence $\langle \mathcal{D}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ on X such that $\mathcal{D}_n \in [\mathcal{C}_n]^{<\omega}$. It follows that $\{\cup \mathcal{D}_n : n \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma(X)$. Thus, X satisfies $U_{fin}(\mathcal{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{C}_\Gamma)$, which is obviously equivalent to $U_{fin}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_\Gamma)$, i.e., the Hurewicz covering property with respect to countable closed covers. [14, Theorem 5.2] implies that X satisfies the Hurewicz property with respect to countable Borel covers, which is equivalent to $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \mathcal{B}_\Gamma)$ by [38, Theorem 1].

Finally, to see that all finite powers of X are Hurewicz, note that Theorem 4.3 implies that for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{C}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of countable closed ω -covers of X there exists a γ_{fs} -sequence $\langle \mathcal{D}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ on X such that $\mathcal{D}_n \in [\mathcal{C}_n]^{<\omega}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{n_0} \cap \mathcal{D}_{n_1} = \emptyset$ for any natural numbers $n_0 \neq n_1$. Indeed, for this it is enough replace $\langle \mathcal{C}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ with a sequence $\langle \mathcal{C}'_m : m \in \omega \rangle$ of countable closed ω -covers of X such that for every cofinite subset C of some \mathcal{C}_n , there exists $m \in \omega$ with $C = \mathcal{C}'_m$. Theorem 4.3 implies that there exists a γ_{fs} -sequence $\langle \mathcal{D}'_m : m \in \omega \rangle$ on X such that $\mathcal{D}'_m \in [\mathcal{C}'_m]^{<\omega}$. Now it is easy to see that one can choose a subsequence $\langle \mathcal{D}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of $\langle \mathcal{D}'_m : m \in \omega \rangle$ consisting of mutually disjoint elements and such that $\mathcal{D}_n \in [\mathcal{C}_n]^{<\omega}$.

Using the consequence of Theorem 4.3 established in the paragraph above for sequences of countable clopen covers of X , and the obvious fact that if a γ_{fs} -sequence $\langle \mathcal{D}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ consists of mutually disjoint finite sets, then $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{D}_n$ is an ω -groupable cover of X , we conclude that X satisfies $S_{fin}(\mathcal{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{C}_{\omega\text{-gp}})$, and hence also $S_{fin}(\mathcal{C}_\Omega^o, \mathcal{C}_{\omega\text{-gp}}^o)$. By [26, Theorem 16] all finite powers of X are Hurewicz. \square

By arguments similarly to (but easier than) those used in the proofs of Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4, we can also get necessary conditions for countable dense subsets of $C_p(X)$ of the form $Y_{\mathcal{B}}$ to be M -nw-selective and R -nw-selective.

Theorem 4.5. *Let X be a metrizable separable zero-dimensional space and \mathcal{S} a countable clopen base of X closed under finite unions and complements. If $Y = Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ is M -nw-selective as a subspace of $C_p(X, 2)$, then X satisfies $S_{fin}(\mathcal{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{C}_\Omega)$.*

Corollary 4.6. *Let X, \mathcal{S} be such as in Theorem 4.5. If $Y = Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ is M - nw -selective as a subspace of $C_p(X, 2)$, then all finite powers of X are Menger, and also X has the Menger property with respect to countable closed covers.*

Proof. Clearly, $S_{fin}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega})$ implies $U_{fin}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$, i.e., the Menger property with respect to all countable closed covers

Also, $S_{fin}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega})$ implies $S_{fin}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}^{\circ}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}^{\circ})$, which for zero-dimensional spaces is equivalent to all finite powers having the Menger property $U_{fin}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$ by [19, Theorem 3.9]. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let X, \mathcal{S} be such as in Theorem 4.5. If $Y = Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ is R - nw -selective as a subspace of $C_p(X, 2)$, then X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega})$.*

Corollary 4.8. *Let X, \mathcal{S} be such as in Theorem 4.5. If $Y = Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ is R - nw -selective as a subspace of $C_p(X, 2)$, then all finite powers of X satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$, i.e., are Rothberger, and also X has the Rothberger property $S_1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ with respect to countable closed covers.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.7 we know that X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega})$, and hence it also satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \mathcal{C})$, which is equivalent to $S_1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ by the same argument as in the proof of [34, Theorem 17], which asserts that $S_1(\Omega, \mathcal{O})$ and $S_1(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$ are equivalent.

Also, by Theorem 4.7 the space X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega})$, and hence also $S_1(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}^{\circ}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}^{\circ})$, which is obviously equivalent to $S_1(\Omega, \Omega)$ because X is zero-dimensional. Finally, $S_1(\Omega, \Omega)$ is equivalent to all finite powers being Rothberger, see [32, Lemma, p. 918] or [19, Theorem 3.8]. \square

The necessary conditions proved above motivate the following question.

Question 4.9. *Let X be a metrizable separable zero-dimensional space.*

- (1) *Suppose that X is Menger with respect to countable closed covers. Is X Menger with respect to countable Borel covers?*
- (2) *Suppose that X is Rothberger with respect to countable closed covers. Is X Rothberger with respect to countable Borel covers?*

As we have already mentioned in the proof of Corollary 4.4, by [14, Theorem 5.2] the answer to the analogous question for the Hurewicz property is affirmative. Regarding the Rothberger part of Question 4.9, in the Laver model all Rothberger metrizable spaces are countable, hence the affirmative answer is consistent, which means that this question is interesting in models where the Borel conjecture fails, e.g., models of CH. Below we show that also for the Menger part of Question 4.9 the affirmative answer is consistent.

Proposition 4.10. *In the Miller model, if $X \subset 2^{\omega}$ satisfies $U_{fin}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$, then $|X| < \mathfrak{d}$, and hence X satisfies the Menger property with respect to arbitrary countable covers.*

Proof. First we shall show that any $G \subset X$ is Menger. Indeed, let $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of covers of G by open subsets of X . For every n let \mathcal{A}_n be a countable cover of G by open subsets of X such that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_n$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ with $\bar{A} \subset U$, the closure being taken in X . Set

$$\mathcal{W}_n = \{\bar{A} : A \in \mathcal{A}_n\} \cup \{F_n\}, \text{ where } F_n = X \setminus \cup \mathcal{A}_n,$$

and note that \mathcal{W}_n is a countable closed cover of X . The Menger property for countable closed covers applied to X yields a sequence $\langle \mathcal{W}'_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{W}'_n \in [\mathcal{W}_n]^{<\omega}$ and $G \subset \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{W}'_n$. Since each F_n is disjoint from G , we get $G \subset \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{W}''_n$, where $\mathcal{W}''_n = \mathcal{W}'_n \setminus \{F_n\}$. It follows that there exists a finite $\mathcal{A}''_n \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ such that $\mathcal{W}''_n = \{\bar{A} : A \in \mathcal{A}''_n\}$. Consequently, there exists a finite $\mathcal{U}''_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n$ such that

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{A}''_n \exists \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}''_n (\bar{A} \subset \mathcal{U}).$$

Putting all together, we get $G \subset \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{U}''_n$, and therefore G is Menger⁴.

In the Miller model for every Menger space $Z \subset 2^\omega$ and a G_δ -subset $H \subset 2^\omega$, if $Z \subset H$, then there is a family \mathcal{K} of compact subspaces of H of size $|\mathcal{K}| \leq \omega_1$ and such that $Z \subset \bigcup \mathcal{K}$, see [30, Theorem 4.4]. As a result, if $Q \in [2^\omega]^\omega$ is disjoint from Z , then there exists a G_{ω_1} -subset (i.e., an intersection of ω_1 -many open sets) R of 2^ω such that $Q \subset R$ and $R \cap Z = \emptyset$.

Since X is hereditarily Menger, we conclude that for every $Q \in [X]^\omega$ there exists a G_{ω_1} -subset $R(Q)$ with $R(Q) \cap X = Q$. Now a direct application of [41, Lemma 2.5] gives that there exists a family $\mathcal{Q} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ of size $|\mathcal{Q}| = \omega_1$ and such that

$$X = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} (R(Q) \cap X) = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q = \bigcup \mathcal{Q},$$

which yields $|X| \leq \omega_1 < \mathfrak{d}$. Finally, the fact that any space of size $< \mathfrak{d}$ has the Menger property with respect to the family of all countable covers is straightforward. \square

The next statement is a consequence of [29, Corollary 4.4].

Proposition 4.11. *In the Laver model, if $X \subset 2^\omega$ satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \mathcal{B}_\Gamma)$, i.e., is Hurewicz with respect to the family of countable Borel covers, then $|X| < \mathfrak{b}$.*

As a conclusion we have the following fact showing that countable spaces considered in Theorems 4.3, 4.5 and 4.7 *cannot* give non-trivial examples satisfying corresponding *nw*-selectivity properties in ZFC.

Corollary 4.12. *In the Miller (resp. Laver) model, let X be a metrizable separable zero-dimensional space and \mathcal{S} a countable clopen base of X closed under finite unions and complements. If $Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ is M -*nw*-selective (resp. H -*nw*-selective or R -*nw*-selective), then it is trivial, i.e., $w(Y) = |X| \leq \omega_1 < \mathfrak{d}$ (resp. $w(Y) = |X| \leq \omega_1 < \mathfrak{b}$ or $w(Y) = |X| \leq \omega < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \omega_1$).*

Even though spaces of the form $Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ seem to be one's first inclination to construct countable dense subspaces of $C_p(X, 2)$, there are also other countable dense subspaces of $C_p(X, 2)$, and we do not have any efficient way of analyzing their *nw*-selectivity properties in terms of (covering) properties of X .

Question 4.13. *Are there ZFC examples of metrizable separable zero-dimensional spaces X of size $\geq \mathfrak{d}$ (resp. $\geq \mathfrak{b}$, $\geq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$) and countable dense subspaces Y of $C_p(X, 2)$ which are M -*nw*-selective (resp. H -*nw*-selective, R -*nw*-selective)?*

The following fact has been established at the beginning of the proof of Proposition 4.10 for Menger spaces without using any additional assumptions beyond ZFC, and the same argument also works in two other cases.

⁴Let us note that this part did not require any assumptions beyond ZFC.

Corollary 4.14. *Let X be a metrizable separable space. If X satisfies the Menger (resp. Hurewicz, Rothberger) property for countable closed covers, then it is hereditarily Menger (resp. Hurewicz, Rothberger).*

In [12] it is provided an example distinguishing countable fan tightness and M - nw -selectivity which is uncountable, now we can provide a countable one.

Proposition 4.15. *The space $X = C_p(2^\omega, 2)$ is countable H -separable and weakly Fréchet in the strict sense, but it is not M - nw -selective.*

Proof. 2^ω is not hereditarily Menger since $[\omega]^\omega \subset 2^\omega$ is not Menger being a copy of the Baire space. Thus, $C_p(2^\omega, 2)$ is not M - nw -selective by Corollary 4.14.

The other properties of $C_p(2^\omega, 2)$ directly follow from [7, Theorem 40]. \square

5. NON-PRESERVATION BY PRODUCTS

This section is devoted to the proof of the following

Theorem 5.1. *It is consistent that there exist two countable H - nw -selective spaces with non- M - nw -selective product.*

We need the following variation of Proposition 3.7. Let us note that $2^\omega = \{0, 1\}^\omega$ with the operation $+$ of the coordinate-wise addition modulo 2 is a compact Boolean topological group.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that V is a ground model of CH and $\{z_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is an enumeration in V of $[\omega]^\omega \subset 2^\omega$. Let $X = \{r_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset 2^\omega$ be the set of generic random reals over V added by $B(\omega_1)$. Let also G be $B(\omega_1)$ -generic over V giving rise to X . Then in $V[G]$, all finite power of*

$$X_1 = \{r_\alpha + z_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset 2^\omega$$

satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma, \mathcal{B}_\Gamma)$.

Proposition 5.2 is a consequence of the following fact which could be established in the same way as Lemma 3.3, basically replacing ‘‘Cohen’’ and ‘‘meager’’ with ‘‘random’’ and ‘‘measure 0’’.

Lemma 5.3. *We use notation from Proposition 5.2. Suppose that $D \subset 2^\omega$ is a Borel non-measure zero set coded in V . Then there exists $\beta < \lambda$ such that $r_\beta + z_\alpha \in D$.*

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We use notation from Proposition 5.2 and work in $V[G]$. By the definitions of X and X_1 we have that

$$z_\alpha = (r_\alpha + z_\alpha) + r_\alpha \in X_1 + X$$

for all $\alpha \in \omega_1$, and hence $[\omega]^\omega \cap V \subset X + X_1$. On the other hand, since for $\alpha_0 \neq \alpha_1$ the sum $r_{\alpha_0} + r_{\alpha_1}$ cannot lie in V , we conclude that $X + X_1 \subset [\omega]^\omega$. Thus

$$[\omega]^\omega \cap V \subset X + X_1 \subset [\omega]^\omega.$$

Since $B(\omega_1)$ does not add unbounded reals, $[\omega]^\omega \cap V$ is dominating, where each infinite subset a of ω is identified with the increasing function in ω^ω whose range is a . It follows that $X \times X_1$ is not Menger since it has a dominating continuous image in $[\omega]^\omega$, namely $X + X_1$. Consequently, $[X \sqcup X_1]^2$ is not Menger because it has a closed topological copy of $X \times X_1$.

Now, by Corollary 4.8, if \mathcal{S} is a countable clopen base for $X \sqcup X_1$ closed under finite unions and complements, then $Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ is not M-*nw*-selective as a subspace of $C_p(X \sqcup X_1, 2) = C_p(X, 2) \times C_p(X_1, 2)$.

Let $\mathcal{S}(X)$ and $\mathcal{S}(X_1)$ be countable clopen bases closed under finite unions and complements for X and X_1 , respectively. Then $Y_{\mathcal{S}(X)}$ and $Y_{\mathcal{S}(X_1)}$ are countable dense H-*nw*-selective subspaces of $C_p(X, 2)$ and $C_p(X_1, 2)$ by Theorem 3.6, respectively.

On the other hand, set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{U \cup W : U \in \mathcal{S}(X), W \in \mathcal{S}(X_1)\}$$

and observe that \mathcal{S} is a countable clopen base for $X \sqcup X_1$ closed under finite unions and complements, and hence $Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ is not M-*nw*-selective as a subspace of $C_p(X \sqcup X_1, 2)$. It remains to note that $Y_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a homeomorphic copy of $Y_{\mathcal{S}(X)} \times Y_{\mathcal{S}(X_1)}$. \square

The analogous strategy with random reals replaced by Cohen reals does not seem to give anything interesting: Unlike in the random model, $[\omega]^\omega \cap V$ is known to satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{B}_\Omega, \mathcal{B}_\Omega)$ in the Cohen model, so the approach above based on $[\omega]^\omega \cap V$ being “big” in a suitable sense (e.g., dominating in the random model) does not work. This motivates the following

Question 5.4. *Is the existence of two countable R-*nw*-selective spaces with non-R-*nw*-selective (or even non-M-*nw*-selective) product consistent?*

On the other hand, we do not know whether countable spaces like in Theorem 5.1 could be constructed in ZFC.

Question 5.5. *Is it consistent that the product of two countable M-*nw*-selective (resp. H-*nw*-selective, R-*nw*-selective) spaces is again M-*nw*-selective (resp. H-*nw*-selective, R-*nw*-selective)?*

6. HFD'S AND R-*nw*-SELECTIVITY

HFD spaces were introduced in order to construct S -spaces, i.e., hereditarily separable spaces which are not Lindelöf, see [17, 18] and references therein. In this section we show that stronger version of the HFD spaces are R-*nw*-selective. The following definition is taken from [17].

Definition 6.1. *Let λ be an uncountable cardinal. A subset $X \subset 2^\lambda$ is called HFD (abbreviated from Hereditarily Finally Dence) if X is infinite and for every $A \in [X]^\omega$ there is a $B \in [\lambda]^\omega$ such that $A \upharpoonright (\lambda \setminus B)$ is dense in $2^{\lambda \setminus B}$.*

We use the following notation of [18]. Given some $\varepsilon \in \text{Fin}(I, 2)$, where $\text{Fin}(I, 2)$ denotes the collection of all finite partial functions on I to 2 , we set $[\varepsilon] = \{f \in 2^I : \varepsilon \subset f\}$. Thus, $[\varepsilon]$ is a standard basic clopen subset of 2^I . Now suppose that I is a set of ordinals, $b \in [I]^{<\omega}$, $b = \{\beta_i : i \in n = |b|\}$ is an increasing enumeration, and $\varepsilon \in 2^n$. In this case we denote by $\varepsilon * b$ the element of $\text{Fin}(I, 2)$ which has b as its domain and satisfies $\varepsilon * b(\beta_i) = \varepsilon(i)$ for all $i \in n$.

For any infinite cardinal μ and $r \in \omega$ we denote by $\mathcal{D}_\mu^r(I)$ the collection of all sets $B \in [[I]^r]^\mu$ such that the members of B are pairwise disjoint. We shall write

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu(I) = \bigcup \{\mathcal{D}_\mu^r(I) : r \in \omega\}$$

If $B \in \mathcal{D}_\mu(I)$ then $n(B) = |b|$ for any $b \in B$. Now, if $B \in \mathcal{D}_\mu(I)$ and $\varepsilon \in 2^{n(B)}$ then

$$[\varepsilon, B] = \bigcup \{[\varepsilon * b] : b \in B\}$$

is called a \mathcal{D}_μ -set in 2^I . The most important instance of the above is $\mu = \omega$, in this case we shall often omit the lower index ω of \mathcal{D} , i.e., a \mathcal{D} -set in 2^I is a \mathcal{D}_ω -set. Clearly, any \mathcal{D} -set is open dense and has product (Lebesgue) measure 1 in 2^I . Recall that a map $F : \kappa \times \lambda \rightarrow 2$ with $\kappa \geq \omega$, $\lambda \geq \omega_1$ is called an HFD matrix (see [18]) if for every $A \in [\kappa]^\omega$, $B \in \mathcal{D}_{\omega_1}(\lambda)$ and $\varepsilon \in 2^{n(B)}$ there are $\alpha \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that

$$f_\alpha = F(\alpha, -) \supseteq \varepsilon * b.$$

The latter inclusion means that $F(\alpha, \beta_i) = \varepsilon(i)$ for each $i < n(B) = |b|$, where β_i is the i -th member of b in its increasing enumeration. The following fact was established in [18].

Proposition 6.2. *$X \subset 2^\lambda$ is an HFD space if and only if there exists an HFD matrix $F : \kappa \times \lambda \rightarrow 2$ such that $X = \{f_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$.*

In this case we say that F represents X . Following [18], for an HFD space $X \subset 2^\lambda$ and $A \in [X]^\omega$ we set

$$\mathcal{J}(A) = \{I \in [\lambda]^\omega : \forall \varepsilon \in \text{Fin}(I, 2) (|A \cap [\varepsilon]| = \omega \Rightarrow A \cap [\varepsilon] \text{ is dense in } 2^{\lambda \setminus I})\}.$$

Proposition 6.3. [18] *If $X \subset 2^\lambda$ is HFD and $A \in [X]^\omega$ then $\mathcal{J}(A)$ is closed and unbounded in $[\lambda]^\omega$.*

Proposition 6.4. *If \mathcal{N} is a countable network in a HFD space $X \subset 2^\lambda$, then $\mathcal{N} \cap [X]^{<\omega}$ is a network in X as well, and hence X is countable.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{N} \cap [X]^{\geq \omega}$, i.e., \mathcal{A} is a family of all infinite elements of \mathcal{N} . For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ fix a countable infinite $C(A) \subset A$ and pick $J \in \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{J}(C(A))$. Fix any $\alpha \in J$, $\beta \in \lambda \setminus J$, $x \in X$ and set $\varepsilon_0 = \{\langle \alpha, x(\alpha) \rangle\} \in \text{Fin}(J, 2)$, $\varepsilon_1 = \{\langle \alpha, x(\alpha) \rangle, \langle \beta, 1 - x(\beta) \rangle\} \in \text{Fin}(\lambda, 2)$, and $\varepsilon_2 = \{\langle \alpha, x(\alpha) \rangle, \langle \beta, x(\beta) \rangle\} \in \text{Fin}(\lambda, 2)$.

We claim that $C(A) \not\subseteq [\varepsilon_2]$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, which would clearly imply that $\mathcal{N} \cap [X]^{<\omega}$ must be a network for X . Fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$. If $C(A) \not\subseteq [\varepsilon_0]$ there is nothing to prove, so assume that $C(A) \subset [\varepsilon_0]$. But then $C(A) \cap [\varepsilon_1] \neq \emptyset$ because $J \in \mathcal{J}(C(A))$, and hence $C(A) \not\subseteq [\varepsilon_2]$. \square

Scheepers [36] proved that any HFD is R-separable. Now we show that the following stronger version of HFD spaces introduced in [39] implies R-*nw*-selectivity.

Definition 6.5. *A set $X \subset 2^{\omega_1}$ is a very strong HFD if for each sequence $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ of pairwise disjoint, non-empty finite subsets of X there is $\beta < \omega_1$ such that for all $s \in \text{Fin}(\omega_1 \setminus \beta, 2)$ there are infinitely many n with $A_n \subset [s]$.*

Obviously, we get an equivalent notion if we require in the definition above only that $\{A_n : n \in \omega\} \cap [[s]]^{<\omega} \neq \emptyset$.

Recall that a dense set $D \subseteq X$ is called *groupable* if it admits a partition $\mathcal{A} = \{A_n : n < \omega\}$ into finite sets such that every non-empty open subset of X meets all but finitely elements of \mathcal{A} . Every very strong HFD space cannot contain a groupable dense subset [39]. On the other hand, every H-separable space has a groupable dense subset. Therefore a very strong HFD space cannot be H-separable (hence not H-*nw*-selective).

Theorem 6.6. *Every countable very strong HFD space X is R -nw-selective.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{N}_{n,m,k} : n, m, k \in \omega)$ be an enumeration of countably many networks of X . By Proposition 6.4 there is no loss of generality in assuming that each $\mathcal{N}_{n,m,k}$ consists of finite subsets of X .

Let ϑ be a large enough regular cardinal and M a countable elementary submodel of \mathcal{H}_ϑ which contains X and $(\mathcal{N}_{n,m,k} : n, m, k \in \omega)$. Set $\beta = M \cap \omega_1$ and enumerate $\text{Fin}(\beta, 2)$ as $\{s_n : n \in \omega\}$. Let $L = \{n \in \omega : [s_n] \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$ and for all $n \in L$ fix a (non-necessary injective) enumeration $\{x_k^n : k \in \omega\}$ of $[s_n] \cap X$. Given $n \in L$ and $k \in \omega$, by induction on $m \in \omega$ choose $N_{n,m,k} \in [[s_n]]^{<\omega} \cap \mathcal{N}_{n,m,k}$ such that

- $x_k^n \in N_{n,m,k}$,
- $(N_{n,m_0,k} \setminus \{x_k^n\}) \cap (N_{n,m_1,k} \setminus \{x_k^n\}) = \emptyset$ for any $m_0 < m_1$, and
- the function $m \mapsto N_{n,m,k}$ is in M .

We claim that $\{N_{n,m,k} : n \in L, m, k \in \omega\}$ is a network in X . Indeed, let $s \in \text{Fin}(\omega_1, 2)$, $x \in [s]$, and note that $s \upharpoonright_\beta = s_n$ for some n . Thus $n \in L$. Fix $k \in \omega$ such that $x = x_k^n$. The sequence

$$(N_{n,m,k} \setminus \{x_k^n\} : m \in \omega)$$

lies in M and consists of mutually disjoint finite subsets of X , so there is $\beta_n \in M$ such that

$$[[t]]^{<\omega} \cap \{N_{n,m,k} \setminus \{x_k^n\} : m \in \omega\}$$

is infinite for all $t \in \text{Fin}(\omega_1 \setminus \beta_n, 2)$ with $x = x_k^n \in [t]$. This is a direct consequence of X being a very strong HFD. It follows that

$$[[t]]^{<\omega} \cap \{N_{n,m,k} : m \in \omega\}$$

is infinite for all $t \in \text{Fin}(\omega_1 \setminus \beta_n, 2)$ with $x = x_k^n \in [t]$. Note that $s \setminus s_n \in \text{Fin}(\omega_1 \setminus \beta, 2) \subset \text{Fin}(\omega_1 \setminus \beta_n, 2)$ hence $[[s \setminus s_n]]^{<\omega} \cap \{N_{n,m,k} : m \in \omega\} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\{N_{n,m,k} : m \in \omega\} \subset [[s_n]]^{<\omega}$ we have that $[[s]]^{<\omega} \cap \{N_{n,m,k} : m \in \omega\} \neq \emptyset$ as well. This completes our proof. \square

Theorem 6.6 motivates the following

Question 6.7. *Is every countable HFD space R -nw-selective?*

Acknowledgement: The first two authors in alphabetical order would like to thank the ‘‘National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures, and their Applications’’ (GNSAGA-INdAM) for their invaluable support throughout the course of this research. The research of the fourth author was funded in whole by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [I 5930].

REFERENCES

- [1] A.V. Arhangel’skii, *Hurewicz spaces, analytic sets and fan tightness of function spaces*, Soviet Mathematics Doklady (1986) 396-399, <https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0606.54013>.
- [2] A.V. Arhangel’skii, *Topological Functions Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, 1992.
- [3] B. Bailey, *I -weight of compact and locally compact LOTS*, Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, **48**, No. 4 (2007), 677-688.
- [4] T. Bartosznski, *Combinatorial aspects of measure and category*, Fund. Math. 127, 3 (1987), 225–239.
- [5] T. Bartosznski and H. Judah, *Set Theory. On the Structure of the Real Line*, A. K. Peters, 1995.
- [6] T. Bartoszynski, M. Scheepers, *A -sets*, Real Analysis Exchange **19** (1993/94), 521-528.

- [7] A. Bella, M. Bonanzinga, M.V. Matveev, *Variations of selective separability*, Topology and its Applications, **156** (2009) 1241-1252, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2008.12.029>.
- [8] A. Bella, M. Bonanzinga, M.V. Matveev, V.V. Tkachuk, *Selective separability: general facts and behaviour in countable spaces*, Topology Proceedings **32** (2008) 15-30, <http://topology.nipissingu.ca/tp/reprints/v32/tp32002.pdf>.
- [9] A. Bella, M. Matveev, S. Spadaro, *Variations of Selective Separability II: discrete sets and the influence of convergence and maximality*, Topology and its Applications, **159** (2012), 253–271, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2011.09.005>.
- [10] M. Bonanzinga, F. Cammaroto, M.V. Matveev, *Projective versions of selection principles*, Topology and its Applications **157** (2010) 874-893, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2009.12.004>.
- [11] M. Bonanzinga, F. Cammaroto, B.A. Pansera, B. Tsaban, *Diagonalizations of dense families*, Topology and its Applications **165** (2014) 12-25, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2014.01.001>.
- [12] M. Bonanzinga, D. Giacopello, *A generalization of M-separability by networks*, Atti della Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti **101**, 2, A11 (2023) DOI: 10.1478/AAPP.1012A11
- [13] J. Brendle, *Generic constructions of small sets of reals*, Topology and its Applications **71** (1996), 125-147.
- [14] L. Bukovský, I. Reclaw, M. Repický, *Spaces not distinguishing pointwise and quasinormal convergence of real functions*, Topology and its Applications **41** (1991) 25-40.
- [15] E.K. van Douwen, *The integers and topology*, in: K. Kunen, J.E. Vaughan (Eds.), Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. 1984, 111-167, <https://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-0-12309-7>.
- [16] R. Engelking, General Topology, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics 6, 1989.
- [17] I. Juhász, *Consistent results in topology*, in Handbook of Mathematical logic, Barwise, J. ed. North Holland, 1977.
- [18] I. Juhász, *HFD and HFC type spaces, with applications*, Topology and its Applications **126** (2002) 217-262.
- [19] W. Just, A.W. Miller, M. Scheepers, P.J. Szeptycki, *The combinatorics of open covers II*, Topology and its Applications **73** (1996) 241–266, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-8641\(96\)00075-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-8641(96)00075-2).
- [20] D.H. Fremlin, A.W. Miller *On some properties of Hurewicz, Menger and Rothberger*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **129** (1988) 17-33.
- [21] G. Gruenhage, M. Sakai, *Selective separability and its variations*, Topology and its Applications **158** (2011) 1352-1359, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2011.05.009>.
- [22] W. Hurewicz, *Über die Verallgemeinerung des Borelschen Theorems*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **24** (1925), 401-421.
- [23] W. Hurewicz, *Über Folgen stetiger Funktionen*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **9** (1927), 193-204.
- [24] A.S. Kechis, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer New York, NY (1995), <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4190-4>.
- [25] Lj. Kocinac, M. Scheepers, *Function spaces and a property of Reznichenko*, Topology and its Applications **123** (2002) 135–143, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-8641\(01\)00177-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-8641(01)00177-8).
- [26] Lj. Kocinac, M. Scheepers, *Combinatorics of open covers. VII. Groupability*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **179** (2003), 131-155.
- [27] A. Lelek, *Some cover properties of spaces*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **64** (1969), 209-218.
- [28] K. Menger, *Einige Überdeckungssätze der Punktmengenlehre*, Sitzungs-berichte. Abt. 2a, Mathematik, Astronomie, Physik, Meteorologie und Mechanik (Wiener Akademie) **133** (1924), 421-444.
- [29] A. Miller, B. Tsaban, *Point-cofinite covers in the Laver model*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **138** (2010), 3313-3321.
- [30] A. Miller, B. Tsaban, L. Zdomskyy, *Selective covering properties of product spaces*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic **165** (2014), 1034-1057.
- [31] F. Rothberger, *Eine Verschärfung der Eigenschaft C*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **30** (1938), 50-55.
- [32] M. Sakai, *Property C'' and function spaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **104**(3) (1988) 917-919, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2046816>.

- [33] M. Sakai, *Special subsets of reals characterizing local properties of function spaces*, in Lj.D.R. Kocinac (Ed.), *Selection Principles and Covering Properties in Topology*, in: *Quaderni di Matematica* **18** (2007) pp. 195–225.
- [34] M. Scheepers, *Combinatorics of open covers (I): Ramsey theory*, *Topology and its Applications* **69** (1996), 31-62, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-8641\(95\)00067-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-8641(95)00067-4).
- [35] M. Scheepers, *The length of some diagonalizations games*, *Archive for Mathematical Logic* **38** (1999) 103-122, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001530050117>.
- [36] M. Scheepers, *Combinatorics of Open Covers (VI): Selectors for sequences of dense sets*, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* **22** (1999), 109-130, <https://doi.org/10.1080/16073606.1999.9632063>.
- [37] M. Scheepers, *Remarks on Countable Tightness*, *Topology and its Applications* **161** (2014), 407-432, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2013.11.001>.
- [38] M. Scheepers, B. Tsaban, *The combinatorics of Borel covers*, *Topology and its Applications* **121** (2002), 357-382, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-8641\(01\)00078-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-8641(01)00078-5).
- [39] D. T. Soukup, L. Soukup, S. Spadaro, *Comparing weak versions of separability*, *Topology and its Applications* **160** (2013) 2538-2566
- [40] J.H. Weston, J. Shilleto *Cardinalities of dense sets*, *General Topology and its Applications* **6** (1976) 227-240, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-660X\(76\)90035-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-660X(76)90035-0) .
- [41] L. Zdomskyy, *Products of Menger spaces in the Miller model*, *Advances in Mathematics* **335** (2018), 170-179.