

# A FOURIER–JACOBI DIRICHLET SERIES FOR CUSP FORMS ON ORTHOGONAL GROUPS

RAFAIL PSYROUKIS

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we investigate a Dirichlet series involving the Fourier–Jacobi coefficients of two cusp forms  $F, G$  for orthogonal groups of signature  $(2, n + 2)$ . In the case when  $F$  is a Hecke eigenform and  $G$  is a Maass lift of a specific Poincaré series, we establish a connection with the standard  $L$ –function attached to  $F$ . What is more, we find explicit choices of orthogonal groups, for which we obtain a clear–cut Euler product expression for this Dirichlet series. Our considerations recover a classical result for Siegel modular forms, first introduced by Kohnen and Skoruppa, but also provide a range of new examples, which can be related to other kinds of modular forms, such as paramodular, hermitian and quaternionic.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Kohnen and Skoruppa in [9] considered the Dirichlet series

$$\mathcal{D}_{F,G}(s) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \langle \phi_N, \psi_N \rangle N^{-s},$$

where  $\phi_N, \psi_N$  are the  $N$ 'th Fourier–Jacobi coefficients of two genus two Siegel cusp forms  $F, G$  of integral weight  $k \geq 0$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the Petersson inner product on the space of Jacobi forms of index  $N$  and weight  $k$ . It turns out that this Dirichlet series has an analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  and satisfies a functional equation. Moreover, in the case when  $F, G$  are Hecke eigenforms and  $G$  belongs in the Maass space, they deduce that

$$\mathcal{D}_{F,G}(s) = \langle \phi_1, \psi_1 \rangle Z_F(s),$$

where  $Z_F(s)$  is the spinor  $L$ –function attached to  $F$ . This gives a way of proving the meromorphic continuation of  $Z_F(s)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

It was not too long after the work of Kohnen and Skoruppa that Gritsenko in [5] considered the same Dirichlet series, however this time involving the Fourier–Jacobi coefficients of two Hermitian cusp forms. Gritsenko managed to prove that the Dirichlet series has an analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  and that if  $F, G$  are Hecke eigenforms with  $G$  in the Maass space,  $\mathcal{D}_{F,G}$  is proportional to a non-standard  $L$ –function attached to  $F$ . This  $L$ –function was first defined by Gritsenko in [6], in his study of a Dirichlet series involving the Fourier coefficients of a Hermitian cusp form. It can be thought as the analogue of the spinor  $L$ –function attached to Siegel modular forms.

Even though the results in [9] and [5] are very similar in nature, the methods used by the authors are quite different. In particular, in [9], the authors prove their main result using a fundamental identity proved by Andrianov in [1], which gives an Euler product expression for a certain Dirichlet series involving the Fourier coefficients of a Siegel cusp form. In [5] on the other hand, Gritsenko manages to factorise the polynomials defining the  $p$ –factors of a non-standard  $L$ –function attached to a Hermitian cusp form. This factorisation happens in some parabolic Hecke rings which contain elements acting on the Fourier–Jacobi coefficients.

In this paper, we consider a Dirichlet series of the same type, for two cusp forms  $F, G$  of orthogonal groups of real signature  $(2, n + 2)$ , which are eigenforms for their corresponding

Hecke algebras. Here, we take  $G$  to be a Maass lift of a Poincaré series. The Maass space in this setting has been defined by Gritsenko in [4], Sugano in [19] and Krieg in [11]. Our main goal is to show how the method of Kohnen and Skoruppa in [9] can be extended in this setting and investigate the connection of this Dirichlet series with the standard  $L$ -function attached to  $F$ . The question of its analytic continuation and functional equation is quite different in nature and will be the subject matter of an upcoming paper.

There is a variety of reasons why we should expect such a connection. First of all, the orthogonal groups of signature  $(2, n + 2)$  are isomorphic to classical groups for small  $n$ . For example, if  $n = 1$ , there is an isomorphism with the symplectic and paramodular groups of degree 2. For  $n = 2$ , there is an isomorphism with the Hermitian groups of degree 2, for  $n = 4$  with quaternionic groups of degree 2 and for  $n = 6$  with the linear groups of Cayley numbers of degree 2 (see for example [13, page 5]). Therefore, already the results of Kohnen and Skoruppa in [9] and Gritsenko in [5] should indicate a connection in the  $n = 1, 2$  case. Moreover, it should be noted that Gritsenko in [3] initiated the study of a Dirichlet series involving the Fourier coefficients of an orthogonal modular form and its connection with the standard  $L$ -function attached to it, following factorisation methods similar to [5]. Sugano in [20] extended Gritsenko's result, proving an Euler product relation for a Dirichlet series involving twists of the Fourier coefficients by functions on an orthogonal group of lower rank. His work can be seen as an extension of Andrianov's work in [1] in the orthogonal setting. As we mentioned, our methods in this paper can be considered as an extension of the methods employed by Kohnen and Skoruppa in [9] and therefore the result in [20] by Sugano is pivotal. Finally, results proved by Shimura in [14] on the sets of solutions  $\phi[x] = q$ , where  $\phi$  is a bilinear form and  $q \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ , turn out to be crucial.

Let us now give a brief outline of our results. The setup is as follows: We start with an even positive definite symmetric matrix  $S$  of rank  $n \geq 1$  so that the lattice  $L := \mathbb{Z}^n$  is maximal. We then set

$$S_0 = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & -S & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}, S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & S_0 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

of real signature  $(1, n + 1)$  and  $(2, n + 2)$  respectively. If now  $K$  is a field containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we define the corresponding special orthogonal groups of  $K$ -rational points via

$$G_K^* = \{g \in SL_{n+2}(K) \mid g^t S_0 g = S_0\}, G_K = \{g \in SL_{n+4}(K) \mid g^t S_1 g = S_1\}.$$

It turns out that  $G_{\mathbb{R}}^0$ , the connected component of the identity, acts on a suitable upper half plane, which we will call  $\mathcal{H}_S \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ , and a suitable factor of automorphy  $j(\gamma, Z)$  can be defined. Let us now denote by  $\Gamma_S$  the intersection of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}^0$  with the stabiliser of the lattice  $L_1 = \mathbb{Z}^{n+4}$  and let  $F : \mathcal{H}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an orthogonal cusp form with respect to  $\Gamma_S$  (see Definitions 2.2 and 2.5).

If now  $Z = (\tau', z, \tau) \in \mathcal{H}_S$ , we can write

$$F(Z) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \phi_m(\tau, z) e^{2\pi i m \tau'},$$

and we call the functions  $\phi_m(\tau, z)$  the Fourier–Jacobi coefficients of  $F$ . Now, if  $G$  is another orthogonal cusp form with corresponding Fourier–Jacobi coefficients  $\psi_m$ , the object of interest is

$$\mathcal{D}_{F,G}(s) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \langle \phi_m, \psi_m \rangle m^{-s},$$

where  $\langle, \rangle$  is a suitable inner product defined on the space of Fourier–Jacobi forms of certain weight and (lattice) index (see Definition 3.5). The aim is to find an Euler product expression for this Dirichlet series.

We will now present our main Theorem 7.2 in a simplified form. Let  $F$  be a simultaneous eigenfunction for some appropriately defined Hecke algebras (see Theorem 7.2) and with

Fourier–Jacobi expansion as above. Let  $\sigma$  denote the bilinear form induced by  $S$ ,  $(D, r) \in \widetilde{\text{supp}}(L, \sigma)$  (see Definition 4.1) and define

$$\mathcal{P}_{k,D,r}(\tau', z, \tau) := \sum_{N \geq 1} V_N P_{k,D,r}(\tau, z) e(N\tau'),$$

where  $P_{k,D,r}$  is a specific Fourier–Jacobi form which reproduces the Fourier coefficients of any Fourier–Jacobi form, under the Petersson inner product (see Section 4). Also,  $V_N$  denotes the index raising operator of Section 5, acting on Fourier–Jacobi forms. Let  $L_0 = \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}$  and  $L_0^* = S_0^{-1}L_0$ . Pick now any  $\xi \in L_0^*$  such that  $S_0[\xi] = -2D/q$ , where  $q$  denotes the level of  $S$  (see Definition 2.4). Consider then the algebraic subgroup of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ , defined by

$$H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \mid g\xi = \xi\}.$$

Consider then the case when the class number  $h := \#(H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} / (H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C))$  equals 1, where  $C = \{x \in G_{\mathbb{A}}^* \mid xL_0 = L_0\}$ . Here, the subscript  $\mathbb{A}$  denotes the adelization of an algebraic linear group (see Section 6). Then, our main theorem 7.2 reads:

**Theorem 1.1.** *With the notation and assumptions as above, let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote a sufficiently large finite set of prime numbers. Define then the Dirichlet series*

$$\mathcal{D}_{F, \mathcal{P}_{k,D,r}, \mathcal{P}}(s) := \sum_{\substack{N=1 \\ (N,p)=1 \forall p \in \mathcal{P}}}^{\infty} \langle \phi_N, V_N P_{k,D,r} \rangle N^{-s}.$$

We then have that  $L_{\mathcal{P}}\left(\mathbf{1}; s - k + \frac{n+3}{2}\right) \mathcal{D}_{F, \mathcal{P}_{k,D,r}, \mathcal{P}}(s)$  can be written as:

$$A(\xi) L_{\mathcal{P}}\left(F; s - k + \frac{n+2}{2}\right) \zeta_{\xi, \mathcal{P}}(s - k + n + 1) \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ odd} \\ \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(2s - 2k + n + 2)^{-1} & \text{if } n \text{ even} \end{cases}.$$

Here,  $L(-, s)$  denotes the standard  $L$ -function attached to orthogonal modular forms and  $\mathbf{1}$  denotes the constant function on  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}}$ . Also  $\zeta_{\xi}(s)$  is a Dirichlet series counting the number of solutions of congruences (see Proposition 6.3). For any zeta function (or Dirichlet series) appearing, we use the subscript  $\mathcal{P}$  to denote that we don't take into account the  $p$ -factors (or  $p$ -terms) for  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Note here that the Theorem 7.2 covers the case  $h > 1$  as well. The rest of the paper (Section 8) is devoted in obtaining explicit examples where  $h = 1$ . In those cases, we obtain a clear-cut Euler product connection between the specific Dirichlet series  $\mathcal{D}_{F, \mathcal{P}_{k,-q,r}, \mathcal{P}}$  and  $L_{\mathcal{P}}(F, s)$  (here we make a particular choice for  $D$ , i.e.  $D = -q$ ).

We find all instances when this happens in the rank 1 case and we give the result in Theorem 8.1. Note that Theorem 8.1 recovers the case of Kohnen and Skoruppa in [9] (we take  $S = 2$ ). What is more, it already produces new results related to  $L$ -functions attached to paramodular forms, as it is not clear how can one use the methods in [9] to produce such results for paramodular forms directly. Finally, we provide at least one explicit example for each of the cases  $n = 2, 4, 6, 8$ , giving us Theorem 8.2. These examples can be related to other classical modular forms, such as Hermitian ( $n = 2$ ) and Quaternionic ( $n = 4$ ).

The structure of the paper is as follows: In sections 2, 3 and 4, we give the definitions of the main objects of consideration, i.e. modular forms, Fourier–Jacobi forms and Poincaré series. In section 5, we calculate the action of the adjoint of the level–raising operator  $V_N$  with respect to the Petersson inner product of Fourier–Jacobi forms and define the Dirichlet series under consideration. Section 6 is devoted in showing how results of Shimura in [14] and [18] can be used in our setting. In section 7, we use Sugano's theorem in [20] to deduce our main Theorem 7.2. In section 8, we obtain clear-cut Euler product expressions for some specific orthogonal groups. Finally, in Section 9, we give a conclusion to the paper by discussing some of the difficulties of the problem and explaining the motivation behind the examples we provide.

**Notation.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  denote the usual rings of integers, rationals, real and complex numbers, respectively. For a complex number  $z$ , we denote by  $e(z) := e^{2\pi iz}$ . We will use the bracket notation  $A[B] := \overline{B}^t AB$  for suitably sized complex matrices  $A, B$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $V$  denote a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We start with the following definition:

**Definition 2.1.** A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\Lambda$  is a free, finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, which spans  $V$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , together with a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form  $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ .

In the following, let  $V := \mathbb{Q}^n$  and  $L := \mathbb{Z}^n$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Assume  $S$  is an even integral positive definite symmetric matrix of rank  $n \geq 1$ . Even here means  $S[x] \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $x \in L$ .

Let then  $V_0 := \mathbb{Q}^{n+2}$  and consider

$$S_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -S & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

of real signature  $(1, n+1)$ . Define also the bilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0 : V_0 \times V_0 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto \frac{1}{2} x^t S_0 y. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now a Witt decomposition of the form

$$V_0 = e_{n+1} \perp V \perp e_1,$$

where  $e_i$  is the  $i$ 'th standard basis vector. We then have that  $\phi := \phi|_{V \times V}$  is just  $(x, y) \mapsto -\frac{1}{2} x^t S y$ , and we make the assumption that  $L = \mathbb{Z}^n$  is a maximal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice with respect to  $\phi$ . Here, maximal means that the lattice  $L$  is maximal among all  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices in  $V$  on which  $\phi[x]$  takes values in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , where we use the notation  $\phi[x] := \phi(x, x)$  for  $x \in V$ .

From [14, Lemma 6.3], we then obtain that  $L_0 := \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -maximal lattice in  $V_0 = \mathbb{Q}^{n+2}$ .

Moreover, define  $S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & S_0 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$  and define the corresponding special orthogonal groups:

$$G_{\mathbb{Q}}^* := \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{n+2}(\mathbb{Q}) \mid g^t S_0 g = S_0\},$$

$$G_{\mathbb{Q}} := \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{n+4}(\mathbb{Q}) \mid g^t S_1 g = S_1\}.$$

We view  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$  as a subgroup of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  via the embedding  $g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & g & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

We also use the notation  $SO^{\phi_0}(V_0)$  and in general  $SO^{\phi}(V)$  for any quadratic space  $(V, \phi)$ .

Let now  $\mathcal{H}_S$  denote one of the connected components of

$$\{Z \in V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_0[\mathrm{Im} Z] > 0\}.$$

In particular, if we denote by  $\mathcal{P}_S := \{y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \mid y_1 > 0, \phi_0[y] > 0\}$ , we choose:

$$\mathcal{H}_S = \{z = x + iy \in V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \mid y \in \mathcal{P}_S\}.$$

For a matrix  $g \in \mathrm{Mat}(n+4, \mathbb{R})$ , we write it as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a^t & \beta \\ b & A & c \\ \gamma & d^t & \delta \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $A \in \text{Mat}(n+2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a, b, c, d$  real column vectors. Now the map

$$Z \longmapsto g\langle Z \rangle = \frac{-\frac{1}{2}S_0[Z]b + AZ + c}{-\frac{1}{2}S_0[Z]\gamma + d^t Z + \delta}$$

gives a well-defined transitive action of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}^0$  on  $\mathcal{H}_S$ , where  $G_{\mathbb{R}}^0$  denotes the identity component of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The denominator of the above expression is the factor of automorphy

$$j(\gamma, Z) := -\frac{1}{2}S_0[Z]\gamma + d^t Z + \delta.$$

Let now  $L_1 := \mathbb{Z}^{n+4}$  and define the groups

$$\Gamma(L_0) := \{g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \mid gL_0 = L_0\},$$

$$\Gamma(L_1) := \{g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid gL_1 = L_1\}.$$

Let also  $\Gamma^+(L_0) = \Gamma(L_0) \cap G_{\mathbb{R}}^{*,0}$ . If then

$$\Gamma_S := G_{\mathbb{R}}^0 \cap \Gamma(L_1),$$

we have the following definition:

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then a holomorphic function  $F : \mathcal{H}_S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a modular form of weight  $k$  with respect to the group  $\Gamma_S$  if it satisfies the equation

$$(F|_k \gamma)(Z) := j(\gamma, Z)^{-k} F(\gamma\langle Z \rangle) = F(Z)$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma_S$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{H}_S$ . We will denote the set of such forms by  $M_k(\Gamma_S)$ .

Let us now give a couple more definitions on lattices.

**Definition 2.3.** Given a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\Lambda$ , equipped with a bilinear form  $\sigma : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $V = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ , we define its dual lattice by

$$\Lambda^* := \{x \in \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \mid \sigma(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \forall y \in \Lambda\}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** With the notation as above, the level of the lattice  $\Lambda$  is given as the least positive integer  $q$  such that  $\frac{1}{2}q\sigma(x, x) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $x \in \Lambda^*$ .

Now,  $F \in M_k(\Gamma_S)$  admits a Fourier expansion of the form (cf. [13, page 25]):

$$F(Z) = \sum_{r \in L_0^*} A(r) e(r^t S_0 Z).$$

where  $Z \in \mathcal{H}_S$ . It is then Koecher's principle that gives us that  $A(r) = 0$  unless  $r \in L_0^* \cap \overline{\mathcal{P}_S}$  (cf. [13, Theorem 1.5.2]). By [13, Theorem 1.6.23], we have the following definition for cusp forms.

**Definition 2.5.**  $F \in M_k(\Gamma_S)$  is called a cusp form if it admits a Fourier expansion of the form

$$F(Z) = \sum_{r \in L_0^* \cap \mathcal{P}_S} A(r) e(r^t S_0 Z).$$

We denote the space of cusp forms by  $S_k(\Gamma_S)$ .

Finally, we give the definition of the Maass space, as this is defined in [11, Section 5]:

**Definition 2.6.** The Maass space  $M_k^*(\Gamma_S)$  consists of all  $F \in M_k(\Gamma_S)$ , so that if their Fourier expansion is

$$F(Z) = \sum_{r \in L_0^*} A(r) e(r^t S_0 Z),$$

we have

$$A(r) = \sum_{d \mid \text{gcd}(\rho)} d^{k-1} A \left( \begin{pmatrix} lm/d^2 \\ -S^{-1}\lambda/d \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where  $r = S_0^{-1}\rho$ , with  $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} m \\ \lambda \\ l \end{pmatrix}$ .

## 3. FOURIER–JACOBI FORMS

In this section, we will define Fourier–Jacobi forms of lattice index. We mainly follow the thesis in [12] and Krieg in [11].

For now, assume that  $V$  is a vector space of dimension  $n < \infty$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  together with a positive definite symmetric bilinear form  $\sigma$  and an even lattice  $\Lambda$  in  $V$ , i.e.  $\sigma(\lambda, \lambda) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . We start with the following definitions:

**Definition 3.1.** We define the **Heisenberg group** to be:

$$H^{(\Lambda, \sigma)}(\mathbb{R}) = \{(x, y, \zeta) \mid x, y \in \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}, \zeta \in S^1\},$$

where  $S^1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ , equipped with the following composition law:

$$(x_1, y_1, \zeta_1)(x_2, y_2, \zeta_2) := (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, \zeta_1 \zeta_2 e(\sigma(x_1, y_2))).$$

The **integral Heisenberg group** is defined to be  $H^{(\Lambda, \sigma)}(\mathbb{Z}) := \{(x, y, 1) \mid x, y \in \Lambda\}$  and in the following we drop the last coordinate for convenience.

**Proposition 3.1.** The group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $H^{(\Lambda, \sigma)}(\mathbb{R})$  from the right, via:

$$((x, y, \zeta), A) \longmapsto (x, y, \zeta)^A := \left( (x, y)A, \zeta e \left( \sigma[(x, y)A] - \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x, y) \right) \right).$$

where  $(x, y)A$  denotes the formal multiplication of the vector  $(x, y)$  with  $A$ , i.e. if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $(x, y)A := (ax + cy, bx + dy)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** The **real Jacobi group** associated with  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$ , denoted with  $J^{(\Lambda, \sigma)}(\mathbb{R})$ , is defined to be the semi-direct product of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $H^{(\Lambda, \sigma)}(\mathbb{R})$ . The composition law is then:

$$(A, h) \cdot (A', h') := (AA', h^A h').$$

We also define the **integral Jacobi group** to be the semi-direct product of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^{(\Lambda, \sigma)}(\mathbb{Z})$  and we will denote it by  $J^{(\Lambda, \sigma)}$ .

We are now going to define some slash operators, acting on holomorphic, complex-valued functions on  $\mathbb{H} \times (\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C})$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $k$  be a positive integer and  $f : \mathbb{H} \times (\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a holomorphic function.

For  $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , we define:

$$f|_{k, (\Lambda, \sigma)}[M](\tau, z) := (c\tau + d)^{-k} e^{-\pi i c \sigma(z, z)/(c\tau + d)} f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z}{c\tau + d}\right).$$

In the case when  $M \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ , we use  $\det(M)^{-1/2}M$  instead of  $M$ . For  $h = (x, y, \zeta) \in H^{(\Lambda, \sigma)}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$f|_{k, (\Lambda, \sigma)} h(\tau, z) := \zeta \cdot e^{\pi i \tau \sigma(x, x) + 2\pi i \sigma(x, z)} f(\tau, z + x\tau + y).$$

Finally, for the action of  $J^{(\Lambda, \sigma)}(\mathbb{R})$  on complex-valued, holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{H} \times (L \otimes \mathbb{C})$ , we have:

$$(f, (A, h)) \longmapsto f|_{k, (\Lambda, \sigma)} (A, h)(\tau, z) := (f|_{k, (\Lambda, \sigma)} A)|_{k, (\Lambda, \sigma)} h.$$

We now have the following definition ([12, Definition 1.23]):

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $V_{\mathbb{C}} := V \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and extend  $\sigma$  to  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  by  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearity. For  $k$  a positive integer, a holomorphic function  $f : \mathbb{H} \times V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (where  $\mathbb{H}$  denotes the usual upper half plane) is called a Jacobi form of weight  $k$  with respect to  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  if the following hold:

- For all  $\gamma \in J^{(\Lambda, \sigma)}$ , we have

$$f|_{k, (\Lambda, \sigma)} \gamma(\tau, z) = f(\tau, z).$$

- $f$  has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$f(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \Lambda^*, 2n \geq \sigma[r]} c_f(n, r) e(n\tau + \sigma(r, z)).$$

We denote the space of such forms by  $J_k(\Lambda, \sigma)$ . We say  $f$  is a **Jacobi cusp form** if  $c_f(n, r) = 0$  when  $2n = \sigma[r]$ . We denote the space of Fourier–Jacobi cusp forms by  $S_k(\Lambda, \sigma)$ .

We now have a notion of a scalar product for elements of  $S_k(\Lambda, \sigma)$  ([12, Definition 1.33]).

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\phi, \psi \in S_k(\Lambda, \sigma)$ . If then  $U \leq J^{(\Lambda, \sigma)}$  of finite index, we define their Petersson inner product via:

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle_U = \frac{1}{[J^\Lambda : U]} \int_{U \backslash \mathcal{H} \times (\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C})} \phi(\tau, z) \overline{\psi(\tau, z)} v^k e^{-2\pi\sigma(y, y)v^{-1}} dV,$$

where  $\tau = u + iv$ ,  $z = x + iy$  and  $dV := v^{-n-2} du dv dx dy$ . This inner product does not depend on the choice of  $U$ , so in what follows, we drop the subscript.

From now on, we specify to our case, by taking  $\Lambda = L = \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $\sigma(x, y) = x^t S y$  for all  $x, y \in V$ .

Let us discuss the Fourier–Jacobi expansion of orthogonal modular forms of weight  $k$  with respect to  $\Gamma_S$ . If we write  $Z = (\tau', z, \tau) \in \mathcal{H}_S$  with  $\tau', \tau \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we have that for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  ([4, page 244]):

$$F(\tau' + m, z, \tau) = F(\tau', z, \tau)$$

(by the form of elements belonging to  $\Gamma_S$ ). Hence, we can write

$$F(Z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \phi_m(\tau, z) e^{2\pi i m \tau'},$$

and we call the functions  $\phi_m(\tau, z)$  the Fourier–Jacobi coefficients of  $F$ . Using [11, Theorem 2], we can write the above as ( $L^* = S^{-1}L$  in our case):

$$\phi_m(\tau, z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \\ 2ml \geq \lambda^t S^{-1} \lambda}} c_{\phi_m}(\lambda, l) e^{2\pi i (l\tau + \lambda^t z)}.$$

We note that then  $\phi_m \in J_k(\mathbb{Z}^n, m\sigma)$ .

We will now re-write the above Fourier expansion of a cusp form in a form similar to Kohnen-Skoruppa in [9]. By the above, we have:

$$\phi_m(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^n, 2mn > r^t S^{-1} r} c_\phi(n, r) e(n\tau + r^t z).$$

Now, from the condition  $2mn > r^t S^{-1} r$  and the definition of the level, we can write

$$mnq - \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] = -D \implies n = \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] - D}{qm},$$

with some integer  $D < 0$ .

So, in total, we get the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** The Fourier expansion of the  $m$ -th Fourier–Jacobi coefficient of  $F \in S_k(\Gamma_S)$  can be written as:

$$\phi_m(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{D \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] \equiv D \pmod{qm}}} c_{\phi_m}(D, r) e\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] - D}{qm} \tau + r^t z\right).$$

**Remark 1.** By adjusting [12, Proposition 1.25] to the notation of the above Lemma 3.1, we have that

$$c_{\phi_m}(D, r) = c_{\phi_m}(D', r') \iff D = D' \text{ and } S^{-1}r \equiv S^{-1}r' \pmod{m\mathbb{Z}^n}.$$

Finally, let us give the connection between the Maass space defined in Definition 2.6 and Fourier–Jacobi forms, as this can be found in [11, Theorem 3]:

**Theorem 3.1.** *With the notation as above, the mapping  $F \mapsto \phi_1$  gives an isomorphism between the Maass space  $M_k^*(\Gamma_S)$  and  $J_k(L, \sigma)$ , as vector spaces.*

#### 4. POINCARÉ SERIES

In this section, we define a very special class of Fourier–Jacobi forms, called Poincaré series, which reproduce the Fourier coefficients of Jacobi forms under the Petersson scalar product. What is more, they generate the space of cusp forms and in turn the Maass space, as we will show in the next section.

**Definition 4.1.** We define the support of the lattice  $L$  with respect to the bilinear form  $\sigma(x, y) = x^t S y$  for  $x, y \in V$  to be

$$\text{supp}(L, \sigma) := \left\{ (D, r) \mid D \in \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}, r \in L^*, D \equiv \frac{1}{2} S[r] \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \right\}.$$

Now, if we write  $r \mapsto S^{-1}r$  with  $r \in L$ , we get

$$qD \equiv \frac{1}{2} q S^{-1}[r] \pmod{q\mathbb{Z}},$$

which then implies  $D \in \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, by writing  $D \mapsto \frac{D}{q}$ , we get that equivalently

$$\text{supp}(L, \sigma) = \left\{ (D/q, S^{-1}r) \mid D \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}, r \in L, D \equiv \frac{1}{2} q S^{-1}[r] \pmod{q} \right\}.$$

Let then

$$\widetilde{\text{supp}}(L, \sigma) := \{(D, r) \mid (D/q, S^{-1}r) \in \text{supp}(L, \sigma)\}.$$

and in the following this is the set we will use.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $(D, r) \in \widetilde{\text{supp}}(L, \sigma)$ . We then define the following complex valued function on  $\mathbb{H} \times (L \otimes \mathbb{C})$ :

$$g_{D,r}(\tau, z) := e \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2} q S^{-1}[r] - D}{q} + r^t z \right).$$

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $(D, r) \in \widetilde{\text{supp}}(L, \sigma)$  and set

$$J_\infty^{(L, \sigma)} := \left\{ \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (0, \mu) \right) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu \in L \right\}.$$

The Poincaré series of weight  $k$  for the lattice  $(L, \sigma)$  is defined by

$$P_{k,D,r}(\tau, z) := \sum_{\gamma \in J_\infty^{(L, \sigma)} \setminus J(L, \sigma)} g_{D,r} \mid_{k, (L, \sigma)} \gamma(\tau, z).$$

We now have the following very important property, which can be found in [12, Theorem 2.3, (i)].

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $(D, r) \in \widetilde{\text{supp}}(L, \sigma)$ . Then for any  $f \in J_k(L, \sigma)$  with

$$f(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{D' \in \mathbb{Z}_{< 0}, r' \in L \\ \frac{1}{2} q S^{-1}[r'] \equiv D' \pmod{q}}} c_f(D', r') e \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2} q S^{-1}[r'] - D'}{q} \tau + (r')^t z \right),$$

we have

$$\langle f, P_{k,D,r} \rangle = \lambda_{k,D} c_f(D, r),$$

for some constant  $\lambda_{k,D} \in \mathbb{C}$  depending on  $k$  and  $D$ .



5. OPERATOR  $V_N$  AND DIRICHLET SERIES

In this section, we again denote by  $\sigma(x, y) = x^t S y$  for  $x, y \in V$ . Given a Jacobi form  $\phi \in J_k(\mathbb{Z}^n, \sigma)$  and  $N \geq 1$ , we define the operator:

$$V_N : J_k(\mathbb{Z}^n, \sigma) \longrightarrow J_k(\mathbb{Z}^n, N\sigma),$$

given by (compare also with [19, Section 6], [12, Definition 4.25]):

$$(V_N \phi)(\tau, z) = N^{k-1} \sum_{M \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash M_2(\mathbb{Z})_N} (c\tau + d)^{-k} e^{-\pi i c N S [z]/(c\tau + d)} \phi \left( M \langle \tau \rangle, \frac{Nz}{c\tau + d} \right),$$

where  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})_N = \{g \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det g = N\}$  and for  $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , we define

$$M \langle \tau \rangle := \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}.$$

Our aim is to compute its adjoint with respect to the scalar product of Fourier–Jacobi forms.

This is the analogue of the main Proposition in [9]. We remind here that if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ , we use  $(\det A)^{-1/2} A$  in the  $|_k$ -action defined in Definition 3.3.

**Proposition 5.1.** The action of  $V_N^*$ , defined as the adjoint of  $V_N$  with respect to the scalar product of Fourier–Jacobi forms, defined in 3.5, is given by (for  $\phi \in J_k(\mathbb{Z}^n, N\sigma)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{D \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ D \equiv \frac{1}{2} q S^{-1} [r] \pmod{qN}}} c_\phi(D, r) e \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2} q S^{-1} [r] - D}{qN} \tau + r^t z \right) \longmapsto \\ \longmapsto & \sum_{\substack{D < 0, r \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ D \equiv \frac{1}{2} q S^{-1} [r] \pmod{q}}} \left( \sum_{d|N} d^{k-(n+1)} \sum_{\substack{s \pmod{dS\mathbb{Z}^n} \\ D \equiv \frac{1}{2} q S^{-1} [s] \pmod{qd}}} c_\phi \left( \left( \frac{N}{d} \right)^2 D, \frac{N}{d} s \right) \right) e \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2} q S^{-1} [r] - D}{q} \tau + r^t z \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.** The  $(D, r)$  coefficient of  $V_N^* \phi$  is independent of  $r$ .

*Proof.* We start by writing

$$V_N \phi = N^{k/2-1} \sum_{A \in \Gamma_1 \backslash M_2(\mathbb{Z})_N} \phi_{\sqrt{N} |_{k, (\mathbb{Z}^n, N\sigma)}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} A \right),$$

where we define  $\phi_{\sqrt{N}}(\tau, z) := \phi \left( \tau, \sqrt{N} z \right)$ . We can then follow the proof in [9, pages 554–556] line by line and arrive at the result that the adjoint  $V_N^* : J_k(\mathbb{Z}^n, N\sigma) \longrightarrow J_k(\mathbb{Z}^n, \sigma)$  is given by:

$$\phi \longmapsto N^{k/2-2n-1} \sum_{X \pmod{N\mathbb{Z}^{2n}}} \sum_{A \in \Gamma_1 \backslash M_2(\mathbb{Z})_N} \phi_{\sqrt{N}^{-1} |_{k, (\mathbb{Z}^n, N\sigma)}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} A \right) |_{k, (\mathbb{Z}^n, N\sigma)} X.$$

Let us now compute the action on the Fourier coefficients. We choose a set of representatives of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$  with  $ad = N$  and  $0 \leq b < d$ . We then obtain that the above expression can be written as:

$$N^{k/2-2n-1} \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n / N\mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{\substack{ad=N \\ b \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}} \left( \frac{d}{\sqrt{N}} \right)^{-k} \phi \left( \frac{a\tau + b}{d}, \frac{z + \lambda\tau + \mu}{d} \right) e^{\pi i \tau S [\lambda] + 2\pi i \lambda^t S z}.$$

By then substituting the Fourier expansion:

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{D \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ D \equiv \frac{1}{2} q S^{-1} [r] \pmod{qN}}} c_\phi(D, r) e \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2} q S^{-1} [r] - D}{qN} \tau + r^t z \right),$$

for  $\phi$ , the above can be written as:

$$N^{k/2-2n-1} \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n / N\mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{\substack{ad=N \\ b \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}} \left( \frac{d}{\sqrt{N}} \right)^{-k} \sum_{D, r} c_\phi(D, r) e \left( \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] - D}{qN} \cdot \frac{a}{d} + \frac{S[\lambda]}{2} + \frac{r^t \lambda}{d} \right) \tau + \left( \frac{r^t z}{d} + \lambda^t S z \right) + \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] - D}{qN} \cdot \frac{b}{d} + \frac{r^t \mu}{d} \right).$$

Now, the term

$$\sum_{\substack{b \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \\ \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n / N\mathbb{Z}^n}} e \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] - D}{qN} \cdot \frac{b}{d} + \frac{r^t \mu}{d} \right)$$

is zero unless  $d \mid \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] - D}{qN}$  and  $d \mid r$ , meaning it divides all of its components. In that case, the sum equals  $dN^n$ . The conditions then imply that we can replace  $r \mapsto dr$  and  $D \mapsto Dd^2$ . The last one follows from the fact that  $N = ad$  and so  $d \mid N$  as well. Hence, we obtain that the expression equals

$$\begin{aligned} & N^{k-n-1} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n / N\mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{d \mid N} d^{1-k} \sum_{\substack{D < 0, r \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] \pmod{\frac{qN}{d}}} } c_\phi(d^2 D, dr) e \left( \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] + \frac{1}{2}qS[\lambda] + qr^t \lambda - D}{q} \right) \tau + \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. + r^t z + \lambda^t S z \right) = \\ & = N^{k-n-1} \sum_{d \mid N} d^{1-k} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n / N\mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{\substack{D < 0, r \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r - S\lambda] \pmod{\frac{qN}{d}}} } c_\phi(d^2 D, d(r - S\lambda)) e \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] - D}{q} \tau + r^t z \right), \end{aligned}$$

after setting  $r \mapsto r - S\lambda$ . Now, as in [9], we set

$$\lambda \equiv t + \frac{N}{d} t' \pmod{N},$$

with  $t \pmod{\frac{N}{d}}$  and  $t' \pmod{d}$ . We then have

$$S^{-1}(d(r - S\lambda)) \equiv S^{-1}(d(r - St)) \pmod{NZ^n}$$

and

$$D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r - St] \pmod{\frac{qN}{d}}.$$

The first property can be seen easily and the second follows from the fact that we already have  $D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r - S\lambda] \pmod{\frac{qN}{d}}$  and also we can check:

$$\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r - St] - \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r - S\lambda] = \frac{1}{2}q[2r^t(\lambda - t) + S[t] - S[\lambda]].$$

So, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{2}S[t] \equiv \frac{1}{2}S[\lambda] \pmod{\frac{N}{d}}.$$

But we can write  $\lambda = t + \frac{N}{d}\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and we can then see the claim follows.

Hence, because of Remark 1, the final expression we obtain has the form (after replacing  $d$  by  $N/d$ ):

$$\sum_{d \mid N} d^{k-(n+1)} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^n / d\mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{\substack{D < 0, r \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r - St] \pmod{qd}}} c_\phi \left( \left( \frac{N}{d} \right)^2 D, \frac{N}{d}(r - St) \right) e \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] - D}{q} \tau + r^t z \right).$$

What remains to prove now (after fixing  $D, r$  with the appropriate conditions) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d|N} d^{k-(n+1)} \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z}^n / d\mathbb{Z}^n \\ D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r-St] \pmod{qd}}} c_\phi \left( \left( \frac{N}{d} \right)^2 D, \frac{N}{d}(r-St) \right) = \\ & = \sum_{d|N} d^{k-(n+1)} \sum_{\substack{t \pmod{dS\mathbb{Z}^n} \\ D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[t] \pmod{qd}}} c_\phi \left( \left( \frac{N}{d} \right)^2 D, \frac{N}{d}t \right). \end{aligned}$$

This follows by setting  $u = r - St$  and then observing that  $D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[u] \pmod{qd}$ ,

$$r - St \equiv r - St' \pmod{dS\mathbb{Z}^n} \iff t \equiv t' \pmod{d\mathbb{Z}^n}$$

and by using the fact that  $c_\phi(D, s) = c_\phi(D, s')$  if  $s \equiv s' \pmod{NS\mathbb{Z}^n}$ . So we can consider the entries  $r - St \pmod{NS\mathbb{Z}^n}$  and all of these are different  $\pmod{dS\mathbb{Z}^n}$ .  $\square$

Let now  $F \in S_k(\Gamma_S)$  and write  $\phi_N$  for its Fourier–Jacobi coefficients. For  $(D, r) \in \widetilde{\text{supp}}(L, \sigma)$ , let also

$$\mathcal{P}_{k,D,r}(\tau', z, \tau) := \sum_{N \geq 1} V_N P_{k,D,r}(\tau, z) e(N\tau'),$$

which is then a cusp form of weight  $k$  with respect to  $\Gamma_S$  (see [12, Theorem 2.3 (i)]) and an element of the Maass space (cf. [19, Lemma 6.1] and Remark 3). Here, by abusing notation, we use  $P_{k,D,r}$  to actually denote the Poincaré series  $\frac{1}{\lambda_{k,D}} P_{k,D,r}$ , with the quantities defined in Section 4. We then define the Dirichlet series:

$$\mathcal{D}_{F, \mathcal{P}_{k,D,r}}(s) := \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \langle \phi_N, V_N P_{k,D,r} \rangle N^{-s} = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \langle V_N^* \phi_N, P_{k,D,r} \rangle N^{-s}.$$

**Remark 3.** A corollary of [12, Theorem 2.3 (i)] is that  $S_k(L, \sigma)$  is generated by  $\{P_{k,D,r} \mid (D, r) \in \widetilde{\text{supp}}(L, \sigma)\}$  (cf. [12, Corollary 2.4]). This observation, together with Theorem 3.1, gives us that the Maass space  $M_k^*(\Gamma_S)$ , is generated by  $\{\mathcal{P}_{k,D,r} \mid (D, r) \in \widetilde{\text{supp}}(L, \sigma)\}$ .

Let us now relate the coefficients  $c_{\phi_N} \left( \frac{N^2}{d^2} D, \frac{N}{d} s \right)$  with the Fourier coefficients of  $F$ .  $F$  admits a Fourier expansion of the form

$$F(Z) = \sum_{\tilde{r} \in L_0^*} A(\tilde{r}) e(r^t S_0 Z) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \phi_N(\tau, z) e(N\tau'),$$

where  $Z = (\tau', z, \tau)$  and  $\tilde{r} = (n, r, N)$  with  $r \in L^*$ . We can then write

$$\phi_N(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in L^*} A(n, r, N) e(n\tau - r^t S z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} A(n, S^{-1}r, N) e(n\tau - r^t z).$$

But now  $\begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & & \\ & -1_n & \\ & & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_S$  and therefore if  $Z = (\tau', z, \tau) \in \mathcal{H}_S$ , we have

$$F((\tau', -z, \tau)) = F((\tau', z, \tau)),$$

which then implies  $A(n, r, N) = A(n, -r, N)$  for all  $n, N \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in L^*$ . Therefore, after setting  $r \mapsto -r$ , we can re-write the above as:

$$\phi_N(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} A(n, S^{-1}r, N) e(n\tau + r^t z).$$

Comparing now the above expression with the expression

$$\sum_{D,r} c_{\phi_N}(D, r) e \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[r] - D}{qN} \tau + r^t z \right),$$

we see that we after setting  $r \mapsto \frac{N}{d}s$ ,  $D \mapsto \frac{N^2}{d^2}D$ , we obtain

$$c_{\phi_N} \left( \frac{N^2}{d^2}D, \frac{N}{d}s \right) = A \left( \frac{N}{d} \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] - D}{qd}, S^{-1}s, d \right) \right).$$

From the above considerations, we arrive at the following proposition:

**Proposition 5.2.** With the notation as above and  $N \geq 1$  we have:

$$\langle V_N^* \phi_N, P_{k,D,r} \rangle = \sum_{d|N} d^{k-(n+1)} \sum_{\substack{s \pmod{dS\mathbb{Z}^n} \\ D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] \pmod{qd}}} A \left( \frac{N}{d} \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] - D}{qd}, S^{-1}s, d \right) \right).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 4.1.  $\square$

## 6. RELATION TO THE CLASS NUMBER

In this section, our goal is to bring  $\mathcal{D}_{F, \mathcal{P}_{k,D,r}}$  into a form similar to the one in [9, page 553]. We first need some definitions of the adelicized groups and of genus and classes of lattices.

Let  $V$  denote any finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension  $n \geq 1$ . For each prime  $p$  (including infinity), we define  $V_p := V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ . For a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L$  in  $V$ , we denote by  $L_p := L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ . This coincides with the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -linear span of  $L$  in  $V_p$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** Let  $L$  be a fixed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice in  $V$ . Then, the following are true:

- If  $M$  is another  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice, then  $L_p = M_p$  for almost all  $p$ . Moreover,  $L \subset M$  if  $L_p \subset M_p$  for all  $p$  and  $L = M$  if  $L_p = M_p$  for all  $p$ .
- For all  $p < \infty$ , let  $N_p \in V_p$  denote a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice such that  $N_p = L_p$  for almost all  $p < \infty$ . Then, there is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $M$  in  $V$  such that  $M_p = N_p$  for all  $p < \infty$ .

*Proof.* See [14, Lemma 9.2].  $\square$

**Definition 6.1.** We define the adelicizations  $V$  and  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ , as follows:

$$V_{\mathbb{A}} = \left\{ v \in \prod_{p \leq \infty} V_p \mid v_p \in L_p \text{ for almost all } p < \infty \right\}$$

$$\mathrm{GL}(V)_{\mathbb{A}} = \left\{ \alpha \in \prod_{p \leq \infty} \mathrm{GL}(V_p) \mid \alpha_p L_p = L_p \text{ for almost all } p < \infty \right\}$$

Here  $L$  is an arbitrary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice, and the above definitions do not depend on the choice of it, by virtue of Proposition 6.1.

**Remark 4.** When we identify  $V$  with  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ , we identify  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  with  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then, we identify  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathbb{A}}$  with  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}})$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}$  is the usual ring of adeles.

**Remark 5.** Given  $x \in \mathrm{GL}(V)_{\mathbb{A}}$ , we have that  $x_p L_p = L_p$  for almost all  $p < \infty$ . By Proposition 6.1, there is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $M$  such that  $M_p = x_p L_p$  for all  $p$ . We denote this lattice by  $xL$ . Hence,  $xL$  is the lattice which has the property  $(xL)_p = x_p L_p$  for all  $p < \infty$ .

**Definition 6.2.** Let  $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$  any algebraic linear group. For any field  $K$  containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we denote by  $G_K$  the group of  $K$ -rational points in  $G$ . Now, for any prime number  $p$ , we abbreviate by  $G_p$  the group  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . Let also  $G_{\infty}$  denote  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ . We then define

$$G_{\mathbb{A}} := \left\{ x \in \prod_{p \leq \infty} G_p \mid x_p L_p = L_p \text{ for almost all } p \right\}.$$

Moreover, we use the notation  $G_{\mathbb{A},f}$  to denote the finite part of  $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ .

**Definition 6.3.** Let  $L$  denote a  $\mathbb{Z}$ –lattice in  $V$  and  $G \subset GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$  any algebraic group. Then, for any  $x \in G$ ,  $xL$  is also a  $\mathbb{Z}$ –lattice in  $V$ . We define the **class** of  $L$  to be  $\{xL \mid x \in G\}$ . Similarly, for any  $x \in G_{\mathbb{A}}$ ,  $xL$  is again a  $\mathbb{Z}$ –lattice in  $V$ . The set  $\{xL \mid x \in G_{\mathbb{A}}\}$  is called the **genus** of  $L$ .

Now, the genus of  $L$  can be decomposed into disjoint union of classes. If  $C = \{x \in G_{\mathbb{A}} \mid xL = L\}$ , the map  $xC \mapsto xL$  gives a bijection between  $G_{\mathbb{A}}/C$  and the genus of  $L$ , so gives a bijection between  $G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}/C$  and the set of classes contained in the genus of  $L$ . In general, if  $U$  is an open subgroup of  $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ , we call the number  $\#(G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}/U)$  the **class number** of  $G$  with respect to  $U$ .

Now, with all the main definitions out of the way, we want to deal with the vectors appearing in Proposition 5.2. We start with the next lemma:

**Lemma 6.1.** Let  $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  and define the set

$$\Xi_d := \left\{ \xi = \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] - D}{qd}, S^{-1}s, d \right)^t \mid s \pmod{dS\mathbb{Z}^n}, D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] \pmod{qd} \right\}.$$

We then have

$$\Xi_d \subset L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right]$$

for all  $d \geq 1$  coprime to  $D$ . Here, for a  $\mathbb{Z}$ –lattice  $\Lambda$  in  $V_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$  a fractional ideal of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ , we define:

$$\Lambda[q, \mathfrak{b}] = \{x \in V_0 \mid \phi_0[x] = q \text{ and } \phi_0(x, \Lambda) = \mathfrak{b}\}.$$

*Proof.* Firstly, for any vector  $\xi \in \Xi_d$ , we can directly compute  $\phi_0[\xi] = -\frac{D}{q}$ . It now remains to show that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ –ideal

$$\{\xi^t S_0 x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}\}$$

equals  $\mathbb{Z}$  (because the bilinear form is  $\phi_0 = \frac{1}{2}S_0$ ). But for any basis vector  $e_i$  of the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^{n+2}$ , we have

$$\xi^t S_0 e_i = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } i = 1 \\ -s_{i-1} & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] - D}{qd} & \text{if } i = n+2 \end{cases}$$

so the above ideal is contained in  $\mathbb{Z}$  by the conditions that define the set  $\Xi_d$ . Now, if it were equal to  $k\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $k \geq 1$ , then we would have  $k \mid d$ ,  $k \mid s$  and  $k \mid \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] - D}{qd}$  which would then imply  $k \mid D$ , and so  $k = 1$  as  $d, D$  are assumed to be coprime.  $\square$

We now have the following very important lemma:

**Lemma 6.2.** The class number of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ , defined as  $\#(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}^*/C)$ , where

$$C = \{x \in G_{\mathbb{A}}^* \mid xL_0 = L_0\},$$

is 1.

*Proof.* The proof follows from [14, Theorem 9.26], as the ideal class group of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is trivial and  $G_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  is not compact because we are in the indefinite case (the signature is  $(1, n+1)$ ). Hence,  $Y$  in that theorem is the whole  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$  and so  $J_0$ , hence  $J_{\phi}$ , is the whole  $J$ , in the notation of the theorem. Also  $n \geq 3$  there corresponds to  $n \geq 1$  in our notation.  $\square$

Fix now an element  $\xi \in L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right]$  and consider the algebraic subgroup of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ :

$$H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \mid g\xi = \xi\}.$$

We note that  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} = SO^{\psi}(W)$ , where  $W := \{x \in V_0 \mid \phi_0(x, \xi) = 0\}$  and  $\psi := \phi_0|_W$ .

We now have the following Proposition, which is a special case of [18, Theorem 2.2]:

**Proposition 6.2.** There is a bijection

$$L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right] / \Gamma(L_0) \longleftrightarrow H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} / (H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C),$$

which is given as follows:

If  $k \in L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right]$ , then there is some  $\alpha \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$  such that  $k = \alpha\xi$  (Witt's theorem, [14, Lemma 1.5 (ii)]). We then assign the  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -class of  $\alpha^{-1}L_0$  to  $k$ . In particular, this then gives

$$\# \left( L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right] / \Gamma(L_0) \right) = \#(H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} / (H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C)).$$

*Proof.* First of all,  $\Gamma(L_0)$  acts on  $L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right]$ . This can be seen because if  $\gamma \in \Gamma(L_0), x \in L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right]$ , then  $\phi_0[\gamma x] = \phi_0[x] = -D/q$  and  $\phi_0(\gamma x, L_0) = \phi_0(\gamma x, \gamma L_0) = \phi_0(x, L_0) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ .

The remaining assertions can be seen from [18, (2.7)]. In the notation there,  $D$  is the set  $C$  of Lemma 6.2 and therefore we can take  $Y$  to be the trivial set in [18, Theorem 2.2, (iii)]. This then gives exactly the result claimed, because  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \cap D = G_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \cap C = \Gamma(L_0)$  of Section 2.  $\square$

Let us now write

$$L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right] = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^h \Gamma(L_0)\xi_i.$$

with some  $\xi_i \in L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right]$ . This in particular implies that  $\xi_i \in L_0^*$  for all  $i$ .

We now assert we can take  $\xi_i \in \mathcal{P}_S = \{y = (y_1, y, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \mid y_1 > 0, \phi_0[y] > 0\}$  of Section 2 for all  $i$ . The second condition is clear, as  $S_0[\xi_i] = -2D/q > 0$  because we take  $D < 0$ . For the first one, we can always multiply with  $-1_{n+2} \in \Gamma(L_0)$  and the assertion follows.

Let now  $F \in S_k(\Gamma_S)$ . For an element  $\gamma' \in \Gamma_S$  of the form  $\gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  we have

$$F(\gamma' \langle Z \rangle) = F(\gamma Z).$$

In particular this then implies that  $A(\gamma r) = A(r)$  for all  $r \in L_0^*$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma^+(L_0)$ , where we use the Fourier expansion of  $F$  of Definition 2.5:

$$F(Z) = \sum_{r \in L_0^* \cap \mathcal{P}_S} A(r) e(r^t S_0 Z).$$

Let us now consider the subgroup of elements  $\gamma \in \Gamma(L_0)$  such that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_S$ . The only extra condition we need is that  $\gamma \in G_{\mathbb{R}}^{*,0}$  (the connected component of the identity) also.

By [10, page 180], we see that if  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ x & * & y \end{pmatrix}$ , the condition we need is  $x + y > 0$ . Hence, for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma(L_0)$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_S \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_S.$$

In the second case, we obtain for  $\xi \in \Xi_d$  of Lemma 6.1, that:

$$A(\xi) = A(\gamma\xi_i) = A(-\xi_i) = 0,$$

because  $A(r) = 0$  unless  $r \in L_0^* \cap \mathcal{P}_S$  (cf. Definition 2.5).

Define now the following quantities:

$$n(\xi_i; d) := \# \left\{ s \in \mathbb{Z}^n / dS\mathbb{Z}^n \mid D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] \pmod{qd} \text{ and } \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] - D}{qd}, S^{-1}s, d \right)^t = \gamma\xi_i, \gamma \in \Gamma^+(L_0) \right\},$$

$$m(\xi_i; d) := \# \left\{ s \in \mathbb{Z}^n / dS\mathbb{Z}^n \mid D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] \pmod{qd} \text{ and } \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] - D}{qd}, S^{-1}s, d \right)^t = \gamma\xi_i, \right. \\ \left. \gamma \in \Gamma(L_0) \setminus \Gamma^+(L_0) \right\}.$$

From the above considerations, Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 5.2, we may write, for  $(N, D) = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V_N^* \phi_N, P_{k,D,r} \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{0 < d \mid N} d^{k-(n+1)} \left[ n(\xi_i; d) A\left(\frac{N}{d}\xi_i\right) + m(\xi_i; d) A\left(-\frac{N}{d}\xi_i\right) \right] = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{0 < d \mid N} d^{k-(n+1)} n(\xi_i; d) A\left(\frac{N}{d}\xi_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we arrive at the following proposition:

**Proposition 6.3.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a sufficiently large finite set of primes, which includes the prime factors of  $D$ . Let also

$$\zeta_{\xi_i, \mathcal{P}}(s) := \sum_{\substack{N=1 \\ (N,p)=1 \forall p \in \mathcal{P}}}^{\infty} n(\xi_i; N) N^{-s}.$$

Define also:

$$\mathcal{D}_{F, \mathcal{P}_{k,D,r}, \mathcal{P}}(s) := \sum_{\substack{N=1 \\ (N,p)=1 \forall p \in \mathcal{P}}}^{\infty} \langle V_N^* \phi_N, P_{k,D,r} \rangle N^{-s},$$

for  $(D, r) \in \widetilde{\text{supp}}(L, \sigma)$ . We then have that:

$$\mathcal{D}_{F, \mathcal{P}_{k,D,r}, \mathcal{P}}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^h \zeta_{\xi_i}(s - k + n + 1) D_{F, \xi_i, \mathcal{P}}(s),$$

$$\text{where } D_{F, \xi_i, \mathcal{P}}(s) = \sum_{\substack{N=1 \\ (N,p)=1 \forall p \in \mathcal{P}}}^{\infty} A(N\xi_i) N^{-s}.$$

## 7. RELATION TO SUGANO'S THEOREM

In order to now obtain an Euler product, we will make use of the main theorem of Sugano in his paper [20]. We first need some setup:

For each prime number  $p < \infty$ , we define  $K_p := G_p \cap \text{SL}_{n+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = G(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and let  $K_f := \prod_{p < \infty} K_p$ .

We remind ourselves here that  $G_\infty^0$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{H}_S$  (cf. Section 2). Let  $\mathcal{Z}_0$  denote any point of  $\mathcal{H}_S$  with real part 0 and denote by  $K_\infty$  its stabiliser in  $G_\infty^0$ . Then, we have that  $G_\infty^0 / K_\infty \cong \mathcal{H}_S$ .

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $k \geq 0$ . A function  $\mathbf{F} : G_\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a holomorphic cusp form of weight  $k$  with respect to  $K_f$  if the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $\mathbf{F}(\gamma gu) = \mathbf{F}(g) \forall \gamma \in G_\mathbb{Q}, u \in K_f$ .
- (2) For any  $g = g_\infty g_f$  with  $g_\infty \in G_\infty^0$  and  $g_f \in G_{\mathbb{A}, f}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}(g_\infty g_f) j(g_\infty, \mathcal{Z}_0)^k$  depends only on  $g_f$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = g_\infty \langle \mathcal{Z}_0 \rangle$  and is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{H}_S$  as a function of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .
- (3)  $\mathbf{F}$  is bounded on  $G_\mathbb{A}$ .

Denote the above space by  $\mathfrak{S}_k(K_f)$ .

For each  $g_f \in G_{\mathbb{A},f}$  and  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{H}_S$ , we define

$$\mathbf{F}(g_f; \mathcal{Z}) = \mathbf{F}(g_\infty g_f) j(g_\infty, \mathcal{Z}_0)^k,$$

where  $g_\infty \in G_\infty^0$  is chosen so that  $\mathcal{Z} = g_\infty \langle \mathcal{Z}_0 \rangle$ . Let now

$$\Gamma(g_f) = G_\mathbb{Q} \cap (G_\infty^0 \times g_f K_f g_f^{-1}),$$

which is a discrete subgroup of  $G_\infty^0$ . We then have

$$\mathbf{F}(g_f; \gamma \langle \mathcal{Z} \rangle) = j(\gamma, \mathcal{Z})^k \mathbf{F}(g_f; \mathcal{Z}).$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma(g_f)$  and  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{H}_S$ . Now, if  $X \in V_0$ , define the element  $\gamma_X \in G$  by:

$$\gamma_X := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -X^t S_0 & -\frac{1}{2} S_0[X] \\ 0 & 1_q & X \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, the holomorphic function  $\mathbf{F}(g_f; \mathcal{Z})$  is invariant under  $\mathcal{Z} \mapsto \mathcal{Z} + X$  for  $X$  in the lattice:

$$L(g_f) := \{X \in V_0 \mid \gamma_X \in \Gamma(g_f)\}.$$

Hence, every such function then admits a Fourier expansion of the form:

$$\mathbf{F}(g_f; \mathcal{Z}) = \sum_{\substack{r \in \hat{L}(g_f) \\ ir \in \mathcal{H}_S}} a(g_f; r) e[2\phi_0(r, \mathcal{Z})],$$

where  $\hat{L}(g_f) := \{X \in V_0 \mid 2\phi_0(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } Y \in L(g_f)\}$  is the dual lattice of  $L(g_f)$ .

Finally, let us introduce adelic Fourier coefficients. Let  $\chi = \prod_{p \leq \infty} \chi_p$  be a character of  $\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A}$  such that  $\chi|_{\mathbb{Q}} = 1$  and  $\chi_\infty(x) = e[x]$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $\eta \in V_0$  and  $g \in G_\mathbb{A}$ , we define:

$$\mathbf{F}_\chi(g; \eta) := \int_{V_0 \setminus V_\mathbb{A}} \mathbf{F}(\gamma_X g) \chi(2\phi_0(\eta, X)) dX.$$

Now, for  $g_\infty \in G_\infty^0$  and  $g_f \in G_{\mathbb{A},f}$  we have

$$\mathbf{F}_\chi(g_\infty g_f; \eta) = a(g_f; \eta) j(g_\infty; \mathcal{Z}_0)^{-k} e[2\phi_0(\eta, g_\infty \langle \mathcal{Z}_0 \rangle)].$$

We then have from the above definitions (see [20, (1.14)]):

- $\mathbf{F}_\chi(\gamma_X g u; \eta) = \chi(2\phi_0(\eta, X)) \mathbf{F}_\chi(g; \eta)$  for all  $X \in V_\mathbb{A}, u \in K_f$ ,
- $\mathbf{F}_\chi \left( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & & \\ & \beta & \\ & & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g; \eta \right) = \mathbf{F}_\chi(g; \beta^{-1} \eta \alpha)$ , for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^\times, \beta \in G_\mathbb{Q}^*$ .
- $\mathbf{F}(\gamma_X g) = \sum_{\eta \in V_0} \mathbf{F}_\chi(g; \eta) \chi(2\phi_0(\eta, X))$  for all  $X \in V_{0,\mathbb{A}}$ .

From [14, Lemma 17.6, (i)], there is a bijection between  $\mathfrak{S}(K_f)$  and  $S_k(\Gamma_S)$ , which is given by  $\mathbf{F} \mapsto F(\mathcal{Z}) := \mathbf{F}(g_\infty) j(g_\infty, \mathcal{Z}_0)^k$ , where  $g_\infty \in G_\infty^0$  is chosen so that  $g_\infty \langle \mathcal{Z}_0 \rangle = \mathcal{Z}$ . This follows by the fact that the class number of  $G_\mathbb{Q}$  is 1, which we can show in the same way as in Lemma 6.2.

In particular, it then follows that we can specify our  $F \in S_k(\Gamma_S)$  of previous sections with  $\mathbf{F}(id, \mathcal{Z})$ . In that case, i.e. when  $g_f = (id, id, \dots, id)$ , which we will just denote by  $id$ , we have that  $L(g_f) = L = \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}$ . Now,  $a(id, r) = A(r)$  for all  $r \in L_0^*$ , so in particular:

$$a(id, \xi_i) = A(\xi_i),$$

for all  $i$ , where  $\xi_i$ 's are the representatives for  $L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right] / \Gamma(L_0)$ .



Let now  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^h$  denote a complete system of representatives for  $H(\xi)_\mathbb{Q} \backslash H(\xi)_\mathbb{A} / (H(\xi)_\mathbb{A} \cap C)$  such that  $u_{i,\infty} = 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, h$ . We then prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 7.1.** Let  $\xi$  be a specific element of  $L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right]$ , as we have specified it right after Lemma 6.2. If now  $\xi_i$ 's and  $u_i$ 's are as above, we have

$$a(u_i, \xi) = a(id, \xi_i),$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, h$ . Therefore,  $a(u_i, \xi) = A(\xi_i)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, h$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of the  $\xi_i$ 's and Witt's theorem ([14, Lemma 1.5 (ii)]), there is  $\alpha \in G_\mathbb{Q}^*$  such that  $\xi_i = \alpha\xi$ . By the correspondence given by Shimura in Proposition 6.2, we get  $\alpha^{-1}L_0 = u_iL_0$ . This then implies  $\alpha u_i L_0 = L_0$ , so  $\alpha u_i \in C$  (we remind ourselves that  $C = \{x \in G_\mathbb{A}^* \mid xL_0 = L_0\}$ ). By definition, we then get  $\alpha u_i \in K_f$ . Hence, we obtain:

$$\mathbf{F}_\chi(u_i; \xi) = \mathbf{F}_\chi(u_i; \alpha^{-1}\xi_i) = \mathbf{F}_\chi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} u_i; \xi_i \right) = \mathbf{F}_\chi \left( \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, id \right); \xi_i \right),$$

where  $\left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, id \right)$  denotes the element of  $G_\mathbb{A}$  with infinity part  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . The second equality above follows from the second bullet point and the third equality from the first bullet point above. By the property of  $\mathbf{F}_\chi$ , just before the bullet points, we obtain:

$$a(u_i; \xi) e[2\phi_0(\xi, Z_0)] = a(id, \xi_i) j \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, Z_0 \right)^{-k} e \left[ 2\phi_0 \left( \xi_i, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \langle Z_0 \rangle \right) \right].$$

But  $j \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, Z_0 \right) = 1$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \langle Z_0 \rangle = \alpha Z_0$  which then gives that the right hand side above equals:

$$a(id; \xi) e[2\phi_0(\xi_i, \alpha Z_0)] = a(id; \xi) e[2\phi_0(\alpha\xi, \alpha Z_0)] = a(id; \xi) e[2\phi_0(\xi, Z_0)],$$

because  $\xi_i = \alpha\xi$ . This then gives the result.  $\square$

For each prime  $p$ , let  $M(g_f; \xi)_p = H(\xi)_p \cap g_f K_f g_f^{-1}$  and  $M(g_f; \xi)_f = \prod_p M(g_f; \xi)_p$ . Let us now recall the main theorem in the paper of Sugano ([20, Theorem 1]):

**Theorem 7.1.** Let  $g_f = (id, \dots, id) \in G_{\mathbb{A},f}$  be fixed and  $\xi \in \hat{L}(g_f)$  with  $i\xi \in \mathcal{H}_S$ . We identify  $F \in S_k(\Gamma_S)$  with  $\mathbf{F}(g_f; Z) \in \mathfrak{S}_k(K_f)$  as above. Denote by  $V(g_f; \xi)$  the space of functions on  $H(\xi)_\mathbb{A}$ , which are left  $H(\xi)_\mathbb{Q}$  and right  $H(\xi)_\mathbb{A} \cap C$  invariant. Let, as above,  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^h$  denote a complete system of representatives for  $H(\xi)_\mathbb{Q} \backslash H(\xi)_\mathbb{A} / (H(\xi)_\mathbb{A} \cap C)$  such that  $u_{i,\infty} = 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, h$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a sufficiently large set of primes such that  $\mathbf{F}$  is a simultaneous eigenfunction of the Hecke pair  $\mathcal{H}_p = (G_p, K_p)$  for all  $p \notin \mathcal{P}$  and  $f \in V(g_f; \xi)$  be a simultaneous eigenfunction of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}'_p := (H(\xi)_p, M(g_f; \xi)_p)$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{N=1 \\ (N,p)=1 \forall p \in \mathcal{P}}}^{\infty} \mu(\xi)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^h A(N\xi_i) \frac{\bar{f}(u_i)}{e(\xi)_i} N^{-(s+k-\frac{n+2}{2})} &= \left( \mu(\xi)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^h A(\xi_i) \frac{\bar{f}(u_i)}{e(\xi)_i} \right) L_{\mathcal{P}}(F; s) \times \\ &\times L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( \bar{f}; s + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-1} \times (\text{zetaeta})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\text{zetaeta}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ odd} \\ \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(2s) & \text{if } n \text{ even} \end{cases}$ .

The definitions of the Hecke algebras can be found in [20, Section 2].  $L(-, s)$  denotes the

standard  $L$ -function attached to orthogonal modular forms, as this is defined in [20, Paragraph 4-1]. Also,  $\zeta(s)$  denotes the Riemann zeta function and for any  $L$ -function, we write  $L_{\mathcal{P}}$  for the Euler product not containing the primes in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Also, we define  $e(\xi)_i = \#\{H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap M(u_i g_f; \xi)_f\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq h$  and  $\mu(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^h e(\xi)_i^{-1}$ .

**Remark 6.** We have adapted the above theorem in our setting, meaning we have taken  $g_f = (id, id, \dots, id)$  and then substituted  $a(u_i; N\xi)$  with  $A(N\xi_i)$  in the original form of [20, Theorem 1]. We also note that  $H(\xi)_{\infty} M(g_f; \xi)_f = H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C$ .

By setting then  $g(u_i) = \frac{\bar{f}(u_i)}{e(\xi)_i} \mu(\xi)^{-1}$  and  $A_g = \sum_{i=1}^h g(u_i) A(\xi_i)$ , the formula in Theorem 7.1 becomes:

$$(\text{zeta}) \times L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( \bar{f}; s + \frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^h g(u_i) D_{F, \xi_i, \mathcal{P}} \left( s + k - \frac{n+2}{2} \right) = A_g L_{\mathcal{P}}(F; s),$$

where  $D_{F, \xi_i, \mathcal{P}}$  is the Dirichlet series appearing in Proposition 6.3. This is true for any simultaneous eigenfunction  $f$  (hence  $g$  as well) of the Hecke algebras  $H'_p = (H(\xi)_p, M(g_f, \xi)_f)$  for all  $p \notin \mathcal{P}$ . Our aim is to try to invert it so that we solve for  $D_{F, \xi_i, \mathcal{P}}$ .

Now, any such  $f$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function on  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}}$ , which is left  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  invariant and right  $H(\xi)_{\infty} M(g_f; \xi)_f$  invariant. By what we are given, we have that

$$H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^h H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} u_i D,$$

where  $D = H(\xi)_{\infty} M(g_f; \xi)_f$ . We note  $D$  is an open subgroup of  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}}$  and  $D \cap H(\xi)_f$  is compact. By [14, Lemma 17.6], there is a correspondence

$$f \longleftrightarrow \{f^{(i)} | i = 1, \dots, h\},$$

with each  $f^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}$ , because  $H(\xi)_{\infty} \cong SO(n+1)$  is compact. We also note here that  $f(u_i) = f^{(i)}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, h$ , as we can see by the way these  $f^{(i)}$  are defined in the proof of [17, Lemma 10.8].

Now, for any two simultaneous eigenfunctions  $f_i, f_j$  of the Hecke algebras defined by the pairs  $\mathcal{H}'_p = (H(\xi)_p, M(g_f, \xi)_p)$  for all  $p \notin \mathcal{P}$ , their inner product is defined via the formula:

$$\langle f_i, f_j \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^h \nu(\Gamma^k) \right\}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^h \nu(\Gamma^k) \overline{f_i^{(k)}} f_j^{(k)} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^h \nu(\Gamma^k) \right\}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^h \nu(\Gamma^k) \overline{f_i(u_k)} f_j(u_k),$$

where  $\Gamma^k = H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap u_i D u_i^{-1}$  and  $\nu(\Gamma^k) = \#\{\Gamma^k\}^{-1}$ , as in [14, (17.23)] (here we again use the fact that  $H(\xi)_{\infty}$  is compact).

As  $e(\xi)_i = \#\{H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap M(u_i g_f; \xi)\}$ , we have that  $e(\xi)_i = \nu(\Gamma^i)^{-1}$ , which also gives

$$\mu(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^h \nu(\Gamma^k).$$

It is now possible to choose a basis of orthogonal Hecke eigenforms  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_h\}$  (we necessarily have  $h$  eigenforms) with respect to the above inner product. This is true, because the Hecke algebra defined by  $\mathcal{H}'_p$  is commutative and consists of self-adjoint operators for all  $p \notin \mathcal{P}$  (cf. [14, Proposition 17.14]). Hence, we get the expression:

$$D_{F, \xi_i, \mathcal{P}} \left( s + k - \frac{n+2}{2} \right) = \mu(\xi)^{-1} (\text{zeta})^{-1} L_{\mathcal{P}}(F; s) \sum_{j=1}^h \nu(\Gamma^i) \frac{\mu(\xi)}{e(\xi)_i^{-1}} f_j(u_i) L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( \bar{f}_j; s + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-1} A_{f_j},$$

which after the simplifications becomes:

$$D_{F,\xi_i,\mathcal{P}}(s) = (\text{zeta})^{-1} \left( s - k + \frac{n+2}{2} \right) L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( F; s - k + \frac{n+2}{2} \right) \sum_{j=1}^h f_j(u_i) L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( \overline{f_j}; s - k + \frac{n+3}{2} \right)^{-1} A_{f_j}.$$

We note  $A_{f_j}$  is  $A_g$  with  $g(u_i) = \frac{\overline{f_j(u_i)}}{e(\xi)_i} \mu(\xi)^{-1}$ . Hence, we arrive at the following theorem:

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $(D, r) \in \widehat{\text{supp}}(L, \sigma)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a finite set of primes, containing the primes described in [20, Theorem 1] and all the prime divisors of  $D$ . Let  $F \in S_k(\Gamma_S)$  be a simultaneous eigenfunction for the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , defined by the pair  $(G_p, K_p)$  for all  $p \notin \mathcal{P}$  and let  $P_{k,D,r}$  denote the Poincaré series of Section 4. Let also  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^h$  denote a complete system of representatives for  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} / (H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C)$  such that  $u_{i,\infty} = 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, h$  and  $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^h$  representatives of  $L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \right]$  corresponding to  $u_i$ , in the sense described in Proposition 6.2.*

*Let also  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^h$  denote a complete orthogonal basis of simultaneous eigenfunctions for the pairs  $\mathcal{H}'_p = (H(\xi)_p, M(g_f; \xi)_p)$  for all  $p \notin \mathcal{P}$ , and denote with  $L_{\mathcal{P}}(-, s)$  the standard  $L$ -function attached to either  $F$  or any  $f_j$ , by ignoring the  $p$ -factors for  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ . Finally, let  $\zeta_{\xi_i, \mathcal{P}}(s)$  be as in Proposition 6.3. We then have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{F, \mathcal{P}_{K,D,r}, \mathcal{P}}(s) &= \sum_{\substack{N=1 \\ (N,p)=1 \forall p \in \mathcal{P}}}^{\infty} \langle V_N^* \phi_N, P_{k,D,r} \rangle N^{-s} = \\ &= L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( F; s - k + \frac{n+2}{2} \right) \sum_{j=1}^h A_{f_j} L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( \overline{f_j}; s - k + \frac{n+3}{2} \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^h \zeta_{\xi_i, \mathcal{P}}(s - k + n + 1) f_j(u_i) \times \\ &\quad \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ odd} \\ \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(2s - 2k + n + 2)^{-1} & \text{if } n \text{ even} \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_g = \sum_{i=1}^h g(u_i) A(\xi_i)$ , with  $g(u_i) = \frac{\overline{f_j(u_i)}}{e(\xi)_i} \mu(\xi)^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* By substituting the expression we deduced above in Proposition 6.3, we obtain (here we denote by zeta the function of Theorem 7.1 after  $s \mapsto s - k + (n+2)/2$ )

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{N=1 \\ (N,p)=1 \forall p \in \mathcal{P}}}^{\infty} \langle V_N^* \phi_N, P_{k,D,r} \rangle N^{-s} = \sum_{i=1}^h \zeta_{\xi_i, \mathcal{P}}(s - k + n + 1) D_{F, \xi_i, \mathcal{P}}(s) = \\ &= (\text{zeta})^{-1} \times \sum_{i=1}^h \zeta_{\xi_i, \mathcal{P}}(s - k + n + 1) L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( F; s - k + \frac{n+2}{2} \right) \sum_{j=1}^h f_j(u_i) L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( \overline{f_j}; s - k + \frac{n+3}{2} \right)^{-1} A_{f_j} = \\ &= (\text{zeta})^{-1} \times L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( F; s - k + \frac{n+2}{2} \right) \sum_{j=1}^h A_{f_j} L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( \overline{f_j}; s - k + \frac{n+3}{2} \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^h \zeta_{\xi_i, \mathcal{P}}(s - k + n + 1) f_j(u_i). \end{aligned}$$

□

Hence, we would like to explore the connection between  $\sum_{i=1}^h \zeta_{\xi_i}(s - k + n + 1) f_j(u_i)$  and  $L \left( \overline{f_j}; s - k + \frac{n+3}{2} \right)$ .

It turns out we can now obtain a clear-cut Euler product expression in the case  $h = 1$  and when  $D$  is a specifically chosen number. The question is how we can pick  $S$ , so that we can get  $h = 1$ . This is the theme of the next section.

## 8. EXPLICIT EXAMPLES

We will now focus our attention to some specific examples of matrices  $S$  and corresponding Poincaré series. In particular, we will choose matrices  $S$ , so that the number of representatives for  $L_0 \left[ -\frac{D}{q}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right] / \Gamma(L_0)$  is 1 and consider the inner product with the Poincaré series  $\mathcal{P}_{k,-q,r}$ , with  $r \in L$  such that  $(-q, r) \in \widetilde{\text{supp}}(L, \sigma)$ . In particular, those choices imply that we can take

$$\xi = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 1)^t$$

as an element of  $L_0 \left[ 1, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right]$  (because we choose  $D = -q$ ). The main reason we make these choices is the following remark:

**Remark 7.** In the above setting, for each  $d \geq 1$  coprime to  $q$  and  $\xi' = \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] - D}{qd}, S^{-1}s, d \right) \in \Xi_d$ , as in Lemma 6.1, we get that  $\exists \gamma \in \Gamma(L_0)$  such that  $\xi' = \gamma\xi$ .

But if now  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ x & * & y \end{pmatrix}$ , we then have

$$\gamma\xi = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ x & * & y \end{pmatrix} \xi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ x + y \end{pmatrix}$$

and then  $x + y = d > 0$ . Hence, by the above,  $\gamma \in \Gamma(L_0) \cap G^{*,0}(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, with the above choices,  $\zeta_{\xi, \mathcal{P}}(s)$  of Proposition 6.3 can be written as

$$\zeta_{\xi, \mathcal{P}}(s) = \sum_{\substack{N=1 \\ (N,p)=1 \forall p \in \mathcal{P}}}^{\infty} n(\xi; N) N^{-s},$$

where this time

$$n(\xi; d) = \# \left\{ s \in \mathbb{Z}^n / dS\mathbb{Z}^n \mid D \equiv \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] \pmod{qd} \right\}.$$

In these cases, we are able to deduce an exact Euler product expression, connecting the Dirichlet series of interest and the standard  $L$ -function of the orthogonal group.

**8.1. Examples with rank 1.** We consider the case where  $S = 2t$  for some  $t \geq 1$  with  $t$  square-free. This condition is needed so that the lattice  $L = \mathbb{Z}$  (and therefore  $L_0$  and  $L_1$ ) is maximal (cf. [13, Example 1.6.6(ii)]). Now  $V_0 = \mathbb{Q}^3$  and the quadratic form of interest is then:

$$\phi_0(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}x^t S_0 y,$$

for  $x, y \in V_0$ , where  $S_0 = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & -2t & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence,  $\phi_0$  is represented by  $\frac{1}{2}S_0$  with respect to the standard basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  of  $V$ .

By [17, Paragraph 7.3] we have that there exists a quaternion algebra  $B$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that we can put  $V_0 = B^\circ \zeta$ ,  $\phi_0[x\zeta] = dx x^t$ ,  $2\phi_0(x\zeta, y\zeta) = d \text{Tr}_{B/\mathbb{Q}}(xy^t)$ , where  $B^\circ = \{x \in B \mid x^t = -x\}$  with  $\iota$  the main involution of  $B$  and  $\zeta \in A(V_0)$  such that  $\zeta^2 = -d$ . Here, by  $A(V_0)$  we mean the Clifford algebra of  $(V_0, \phi_0)$  (see [14, Section 2]). Also, in general, we define  $\text{Tr}_{B/\mathbb{Q}}(x) := x + x^t$  for  $x \in B$ .

Now, [17, Paragraph 7.3] gives us a way to compute  $\zeta$  and  $d$ . We first need a basis  $h_1, h_2, h_3$  of  $V$  such that  $\phi_0(h_i, h_j) = c_i \delta_{ij}$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ . In our case, we can make a choice:

$$h_1 = e_1 + e_3, h_2 = e_2, h_3 = e_1 - e_3.$$

Then, we get that the condition above is satisfied with  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = -t, c_3 = -1$  and therefore  $d = c_1 c_2 c_3 = t$ . Also, even though it is not needed in what follows,  $\zeta = h_1 h_2 h_3$ .

We now remind ourselves that  $\xi = (1, 0, 1)^t$  and  $W = (\mathbb{Q}\xi)^\perp$ . This then implies that  $\phi_0[\xi] = 1$ . From [18, Paragraph 5.2], we get that  $\exists k \in B^\circ$  such that  $\xi = k\zeta$ . Then, if  $K := \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}k$ , we get that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-t})$  because  $-t$ , which is  $-d\phi_0[h]$  in the notation there, cannot be a square in  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$ .

We will now use [18, Theorem 5.7] and the formula (5.11) given there. That, together with the fact that the genus of  $L_0$  is 1 in  $V_0$  (see Lemma 6.2), will give us the number  $\# \left( L_0 \left[ 1, \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right] / \Gamma(L_0) \right)$  by (5.15a) in [18, Theorem 5.10]. This will equal  $[H(\xi)_\mathbb{A} : H(\xi)_\mathbb{Q}(H(\xi)_\mathbb{A} \cap C)]$  and we are interested in the cases where this number is 1.

We start by first computing the quantities appearing in the statement of [18, Theorem 5.7]. We have that  $\mathfrak{e}$  is the product of all the prime ideals in  $\mathbb{Q}$  for which  $B$  ramifies. However, for each prime  $p$ ,  $\phi_0$ , viewed as a bilinear form over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is isotropic (the Witt index has to be 1 for all primes  $p$ ). Therefore by [17, Paragraph 7.3],  $B$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is not a division algebra, hence is isomorphic to  $M_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , i.e.  $B$  splits or is unramified over  $p$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{e} = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now,  $\mathfrak{a} = t\mathbb{Z}$  because  $t$  is square-free and for the different  $\mathfrak{d}_{K/F}$  we have

$$\mathfrak{d}_{K/F} = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{-t}\mathcal{O}_K & \text{if } -t \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \sqrt{-t}\mathcal{O}_K & \text{if } -t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

We now want to investigate the condition  $\mathfrak{c}_p^2 N_{K/F}(\mathfrak{d}_{K/F})_p = \mathfrak{a}_p \phi_0[\xi] \phi_0(\xi, L_0)_p^{-2}$  for a prime  $p$  for the conductor  $\mathfrak{c}$ . But, by the above:

$$N_{K/F}(\mathfrak{d}_{K/F}) = \begin{cases} 4t\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } -t \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ t\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } -t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

and  $\phi_0[\xi] = 1$ ,  $\phi_0(\xi, L_0) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$  and so we get

$$\mathfrak{c}_p^2 \cdot \begin{cases} 4t\mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if } -t \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ t\mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if } -t \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases} = 4t\mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Therefore, if  $p \neq 2$ ,  $\mathfrak{c}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and if  $p = 2$ ,  $\mathfrak{c}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2$  if  $-t \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $\mathfrak{c}_2 = 2\mathbb{Z}_2$  otherwise.

Also,  $N_{K/F}(\mathcal{O}_K^\times) = \{1\}$  because  $K$  is imaginary quadratic and so  $[\mathbb{Z}^\times : N_{K/F}(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)] = 2$ . Finally,  $\infty$  ramifies and so  $\nu = 1$ . We will now consider specific cases in order to determine the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  and the sets  $U, U'$ .

- $t = 1$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{a}^* = \mathbb{Z}$  and from the above  $\mathfrak{c} = \mathbb{Z}$  as well. Also,  $U = \mathcal{O}_K^\times = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$  and now

$$U' = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \mid x - 1 \in 2\mathcal{O}_{K,p} \ \forall p\}.$$

Clearly  $\pm 1 \in U'$  but  $\pm i \notin U'$  because  $2 = (1+i)^2 = (1-i)^2$ . So,  $[U : U'] = 2$ . Finally,  $\mu = 0$  because  $\mathfrak{a}^* \mathfrak{e} = \mathbb{Z}$  and therefore, we get

$$[H(\xi)_\mathbb{A} : H(\xi)_\mathbb{Q}(H(\xi)_\mathbb{A} \cap C)] = (1/1) \cdot 2^{1-0-1} \cdot 2 \cdot 2^{-1} = 1,$$

as claimed.

- $t = 2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a} = 2\mathbb{Z}$  and we can compute  $\mathfrak{a}^* = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . This is true because the only prime factor of  $\mathfrak{a}$  is 2 and  $r_2(\xi) = -2 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $r_2(\xi) \notin \mathfrak{C}_2$  (if  $-2 = u^2 + 4w$  with  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , then  $2 \mid u$  which then implies  $2 \mid 1$ , contradiction). The definitions of  $r_2(\xi)$  and  $\mathfrak{C}$  can be found in [18, Paragraph 5.5]. Also, by the above,  $\mathfrak{c} = \mathbb{Z}$ . Now,  $U = \mathcal{O}_K^\times = \{\pm 1\}$  and

$$U' = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \mid x - 1 \in 2\sqrt{-2}\mathcal{O}_{K,p} \ \forall p \neq 2\}.$$

But both  $\pm 1$  belong to  $U'$  as  $\sqrt{-2}$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_{K,p}$  for all  $p \neq 2$ . Hence,  $[U : U'] = 1$ . Finally,  $\mu = 1$  in this case because  $2 = (\sqrt{-2})^2$  is ramified. Therefore:

$$[H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} : H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}}(H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C)] = (1/1) \cdot 2^{1-1-1} \cdot 2 \cdot 1^{-1} = 1.$$

- $t = 3$ . Here  $\mathfrak{a} = 3\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}^* = 3\mathbb{Z}$  because  $r_3(\xi) = -\frac{4}{3} \in \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{Z}_3$  but  $r_3(\xi) \notin \mathfrak{C}_3$  as  $\mathfrak{C}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_3$ . By what we said above for the conductor, we get  $\mathfrak{c}_2 = 2\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{c}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$  for  $p \neq 2$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{c} = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{O}_K^{\times} = \{\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\}$ , where  $\omega = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-3})$ . Then,

$$U' = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \mid x - 1 \in 2\sqrt{-3}\mathcal{O}_{K,p} \ \forall p \neq 3\}.$$

But for  $p \neq 3$ ,  $\sqrt{-3}$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_{K,p}$ . So, the condition becomes  $x - 1 \in 2\mathcal{O}_{K,p} \ \forall p \neq 3$ . We can then check that this is true only for  $\pm 1 \in U$ . Therefore,  $[U : U'] = 3$ . Also,  $\mu = 1$  in this case, because 3 ramifies in  $K$ . Also, as  $-3 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , we have that 2 remains prime in  $K$ , hence

$$[H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} : H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}}(H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C)] = (1/1) \cdot 2^{1-1-1} \cdot 2 \cdot 3^{-1} \cdot 2 \cdot (1 + 1/2) = 1.$$

- Assume  $t > 3$  with  $-t \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . We write  $t = p_1 \cdots p_k$  with  $p_i$  prime factors. In this case,  $\mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{c} = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = t\mathbb{Z}$ . For all  $p_i$ , we have that  $r_{p_i}(\xi)$  satisfies the conditions of [18, Theorem 5.7] and therefore  $\mathfrak{a}^* = t\mathbb{Z}$ . We have  $\mu = k$  as each  $p_i$  is ramified in  $K$ . Now  $U = \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} = \{\pm 1\}$  and

$$U' = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \mid x - 1 \in 2\sqrt{-t}\mathcal{O}_{K,p} \ \forall p \neq p_i, i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

But for all  $p \neq p_i$ ,  $\sqrt{-t}$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_{K,p}$  and therefore  $\pm 1 \in U'$ , i.e.  $[U : U'] = 1$ . We then obtain:

$$[H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} : H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}}(H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C)] = c_K \cdot 2^{1-k-1} \cdot 2 = c_K \cdot 2^{1-k}$$

and therefore this is 1 if  $c_K = 2^{k-1}$ . Hence, the answer in this case is the number fields  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-t})$  that satisfy  $c_K = 2^{k-1}$ , where  $k$  is the number of prime factors of  $t$  and  $-t \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . For example, when  $c_K = 2$ ,  $t$  must have 2 prime factors, and examples would be  $t = 6, 10$ , etc.

- Finally, assume  $t > 3$  with  $-t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . We write  $t = p_1 \cdots p_k$  with  $p_i$  primes. In these cases, similarly to the case  $t = 3$ , we have  $\mathfrak{a} = t\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}^* = t\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathfrak{c} = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Also,  $\mu = k$  because each prime  $p_i$  is ramified in  $K$ . Now,  $U = \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} = \{\pm 1\}$  and then

$$U' = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \mid x - 1 \in 2\sqrt{-t}\mathcal{O}_{K,p} \ \forall p \neq p_i, i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

But for  $p \neq p_i$ ,  $\sqrt{-t}$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_{K,p}$  and therefore  $\pm 1 \in U'$ , which means  $[U : U'] = 1$ . Now, if  $-t \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , we get that 2 is inert in  $K$  and if  $-t \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , 2 splits in  $K$ . Therefore

$$[H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} : H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}}(H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C)] = \begin{cases} c_K \cdot 2^{1-k-1} \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot (1 + 1/2) = 3c_K \cdot 2^{1-k} & \text{if } -t \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \\ c_K \cdot 2^{1-k-1} \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot (1 - 1/2) = c_K \cdot 2^{1-k} & \text{if } -t \equiv 1 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

In the first case the index cannot be 1, while in the second case, we must have  $c_K = 2^{k-1}$ . Therefore, the answer in this case is  $t$  such that  $-t \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  so that if  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-t})$  we have  $c_K = 2^{k-1}$ , where  $k$  is the number of distinct prime factors of  $t$ . For example, the only example for  $k = 2$  is  $t = 15$ .

Hence, we arrive at the following proposition:

**Proposition 8.1.** Let  $t$  be one of the following:

- $t = 1, 2, 3$ .
- $t \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and if  $t = p_1 \cdots p_k$ ,  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-t})$ , we have  $c_K = 2^{k-1}$ .
- $t \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  and if  $t = p_1 \cdots p_k$ ,  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-t})$ , we have  $c_K = 2^{k-1}$ .

Then, with the notation as above, we have  $[H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} : H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}}(H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C)] = 1$ .

Let now  $t$  be one of the above. We aim to give an Euler product expression for  $\mathcal{D}_{F, \mathcal{P}_{k, -q, r}, \mathcal{P}}$ . In particular, from Theorem 7.2, we need to give an Euler product expression for

$$\zeta_{\xi, \mathcal{P}}(s) := \sum_{\substack{N=1 \\ (N, p)=1 \forall p \in \mathcal{P}}}^{\infty} n(\xi; N) N^{-s},$$

where now  $n(\xi; N) = \#\{s \in \mathbb{Z}/2tN\mathbb{Z} \mid s^2 \equiv -4t \pmod{4tN}\}$ , as we have explained in Remark 7.

Now, as  $t$  is square-free, we obtain from  $s^2 \equiv -4t \pmod{4tN}$ , that  $2t \mid s$ , so it suffices to look for the number of solutions of the congruence

$$ts^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{N},$$

with  $s \pmod{N}$ . This last number of solutions is multiplicative in  $N$ , so we can write

$$\zeta_{\xi, \mathcal{P}}(s) = \prod_{p \notin \mathcal{P}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n(\xi; p^k) p^{-ks} \right).$$

Now, for all  $p \notin \mathcal{P}$ , we have  $(p, t) = 1$ , so by [21, Proposition 14], we get that

$$n(\xi; p^k) = 1 + \left( \frac{-t}{p} \right),$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ , where  $\left( \frac{\cdot}{p} \right)$  denotes the Legendre symbol. Therefore, if we define  $\chi_t(p) := \left( \frac{-t}{p} \right)$ , we deduce that (bear in mind that  $\chi_t^2 = 1$ ):

$$\zeta_{\xi, \mathcal{P}}(s) = \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s) \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(2s)^{-1} \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s, \chi_t).$$

Therefore, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let  $S = 2t$ , with  $t$  being chosen as in Proposition 8.1. We define  $\chi_t(p) := \left( \frac{-t}{p} \right)$  and  $\psi(p) := \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)$ . Let also  $\mathcal{P}$  a sufficiently large finite set of primes, containing the primes described in Theorem 7.2 and the primes so that the conditions of [15, Proposition 5.13] are satisfied. We then have:*

$$\mathcal{D}_{F, \mathcal{P}_{k, -q, r}, \mathcal{P}} = A(\xi) L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( F; s - k + \frac{3}{2} \right) \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(2s - 2k + 4)^{-1} \frac{\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s - k + 2, \chi_t)}{\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s - k + 2, \psi)},$$

where again  $\xi = (1, 0, 1)^t$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows by Theorem 7.2 after choosing  $f$  to be the constant  $\mathbf{1}$  on  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}}$ . We have computed  $\zeta_{\xi, \mathcal{P}}$  above and

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{1}, s - k + 2) = \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s - k + 2, \psi) \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s - k + 2),$$

as this can be computed by [15, Proposition 5.15], because  $\mathcal{P}$  is chosen so that conditions of [15, Proposition 5.13] are satisfied.  $\square$

**Remark 8.** In the case  $t = 1$ , we recover the result of Kohlen and Skoruppa in [9]. For  $t > 1$ , the result can be interpreted as a result of modular forms for a paramodular group (cf. [10, Corollary 6], [2]).

**8.2. The rank  $n \geq 2$  case.** In the rank  $n \geq 2$  case, a Theorem like [18, Theorem 5.7] is not available. For this reason, we seek examples of matrices  $S$  so that the following conditions hold:

- (1) The lattice  $L = \mathbb{Z}^n$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -maximal.
- (2) With the notation as in Section 6,  $L_0 \cap W$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -maximal lattice in  $W$  and if  $D = \{\alpha \in H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \mid \alpha(L_0 \cap W) = L_0 \cap W\}$ , we have  $D = H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C$ .
- (3) The number of classes in the genus of maximal lattices (this is independent of the choice of the maximal lattice, see [14, Paragraph 9.7]) in  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} = SO^{\psi}(W)$  is 1. Here,  $\psi := \phi_0|_W$ .

We will show that for rank  $n = 2, 4, 6, 8$ , there is at least one positive definite even symmetric matrix  $S$  of rank  $n$ , so that the above conditions are satisfied. The reasons why we only provide these examples is explained in Section 9. We start with the following lemma:

**Lemma 8.1.** We have  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} = SO^{\psi}(W)$  with  $\psi$  the bilinear form induced by the matrix:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & \\ & -S \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* We have  $W = \{x \in V_0 \mid \phi_0(x, \xi) = 0\}$ . Then, it is easy to see that

$$W = \text{span}\{(1, \mathbf{0}, -1), (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 0), \dots, (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1, 0)\}.$$

By then evaluating  $\phi_0[x]$  for the above basis vectors  $x$  of  $W$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$

We claim the following choices for the matrix  $S$  satisfy the conditions (1) – (3) above.

- $n = 2$ :  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$  with determinants 3, 15 respectively.
- $n = 4$ :  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  with determinants 5, 9 respectively.
- $n = 6$ :  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  with determinant 3.
- $n = 8$ :  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  with determinant 1.

**Remark 9.** The matrices  $S$  of rank 2 correspond to the unitary groups of the imaginary quadratic fields  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15})$  respectively (cf. [13, Example 1.6.6, (v)]).

Let us first check the conditions (1) and (3). Condition (1) follows by [13, Proposition 1.6.12] for the matrices with square-free determinant and [13, Lemma 1.6.5] for the matrix of determinant 9. Condition (3) follows by [8, Section 8], as  $W$  corresponds to the definite orthogonal group induced by the matrices:

- $n = 2$ : Examples 4, 26 in matrices of 3 variables.
- $n = 4$ : Examples 3, 5 in matrices of 5 variables.
- $n = 6$ : Example 3 in matrices of 7 variables.
- $n = 8$ : Example 1 in matrices of 9 variables.

All these examples correspond to one class in the genus of the standard lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ , in the cases when it is maximal. This can also be seen by a simple computation in MAGMA for example. As we mentioned above, this shows that every maximal lattice has one class in its genus. Finally, we need to check condition (2). We will use [14, Proposition 11.12]. We have the following local result:

**Proposition 8.2.** Let  $S$  denote any of the matrices above. Let  $D_p = \{\alpha \in H(\xi)_p \mid \alpha(L_{0,p} \cap W_p) = L_{0,p} \cap W_p\}$  for each prime  $p$ . We then have that  $L_{0,p} \cap W_p$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -maximal lattice in  $W_p$  and also

$$D_p = H(\xi)_p \cap C_p,$$



where  $C_p = \{x \in G_p \mid xL_{0,p} = L_{0,p}\}$ .

*Proof.* Our proof is based on [14, Proposition 11.12]. We will first establish the following claim:

$$L_{0,p}^* \neq L_{0,p} \iff p \mid \det(S).$$

Indeed, assume  $p \nmid \det(S)$ . Then, as  $L_{0,p} \subset L_{0,p}^*$  always, suffices to show that  $L_{0,p}^* \subset L_{0,p}$  too. But  $L_{0,p}^* = S_0^{-1}L_{0,p}$  and so if  $y \in L_{0,p}^*$ , we write  $y = S_0^{-1}x$  with  $x \in L_{0,p}$  and so the result follows

as  $p \nmid \det(S)$  (we have  $S_0^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & -S^{-1} & \\ & & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}$ ).

On the other hand, if  $p \mid \det(S)$ , we can always find  $y \in L_{0,p}^* \setminus L_{0,p}$ .

We remind ourselves that  $\phi_0[\xi] = 1$  and  $\phi_0(\xi, L_{0,p}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_p$  for all primes  $p$ . Therefore,  $\phi_0(\xi, L_{0,p})^2 = \phi_0[\xi]\mathbb{Z}_p$  for all  $p \neq 2$ . Moreover,  $L_{0,2}^* = L_{0,2}$  for every choice of  $S$ , as  $2 \nmid \det(S)$  for any  $S$ . This means [14, Proposition 11.12] is applicable in every case.

Let now  $t_p$  denote the dimension of the maximal anisotropic subspace of  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, \phi_0)$  (cf. [14, Paragraph 8.3]). For  $p \nmid \det(S)$ , we have  $L_{0,p}^* = L_{0,p}$ ,  $t_p \neq 1$  as  $n$  is even and  $4\phi_0[\xi]^{-1}\phi_0(\xi, L_{0,p})^2 = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . So, by [14, Proposition 11.12, (iii), (2)],  $L_{0,p} \cap W_p$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -maximal.

If now  $p \mid \det(S)$ , we claim  $t_p > 1$  and therefore [14, Proposition 11.12, (iii), (1)] will be applicable. We define  $K_0 := \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{\delta})$ , where  $\delta := (-1)^{(n+2)(n+1)/2} \det(\phi_0)$ . By the proof of [16, Lemma 3.3], we have  $t_p = 2$  if and only if  $K_0 \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$ . We show this case by case:

- $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then, we claim that  $t_3 = 2$ . Now,  $\det(\phi_0) = -\frac{3}{2^4}$  and then  $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}_3(\sqrt{\det(\phi_0)}) = \mathbb{Q}_3(\sqrt{-3}) \neq \mathbb{Q}_3$ .
- $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$ . In this case,  $\det(\phi_0) = -\frac{15}{2^4}$  and we claim  $t_3 = t_5 = 2$ . But again, if  $p \in \{3, 5\}$ , we have  $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{\det \phi_0}) = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{-15}) \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$  by taking valuations (for example, if  $\sqrt{-15} \in \mathbb{Q}_3$ , then  $-15 = u^2$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{Q}_3$  and so  $2v_3(u) = 1$ , contradiction).

- $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . In this case,  $\det(\phi_0) = -\frac{5}{2^6}$  and so  $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{5}) \neq \mathbb{Q}_5$ , so  $t_5 = 2$ .

- $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . In this case,  $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}_3$  and we can compute  $t_3 = 4$  in Sage.

- $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . In this case,  $\det(\phi_0) = -\frac{3}{2^8}$  and so again  $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}_3(\sqrt{-3}) \neq \mathbb{Q}_3$ . Therefore,  $t_3 = 2$ .

- In the  $n = 8$  case, we have that  $\det(S) = 1$ , so there are no primes to check ( $L_{0,p}^* = L_{0,p}$  for all primes  $p$ ).

Finally, the fact that  $D_p = H(\xi)_p \cap C_p$  follows from [14, Proposition 11.12, (iv)], as  $t_p \neq 1$  always, because  $n$  is even.  $\square$

We are now ready to give the global statement.

**Proposition 8.3.** With  $S$  be any of the matrices above, we have that  $L_0 \cap W$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -maximal in  $W$  and if  $D = \{\alpha \in H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \mid \alpha(L_0 \cap W) = L_0 \cap W\}$ , then  $D = H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C$ .

*Proof.* The first claim follows by [13, Proposition 1.6.9], as all the localizations are maximal by Proposition 8.2. For the second one, we have

$$H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C = \{x \in H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \mid xL_0 = L_0\}.$$

But the lattice  $xL_0$  is the lattice which is defined by  $(xL_0)_p = x_p L_{0,p}$  for all primes  $p$ . Now, if  $x \in H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}}$  with  $xL_0 = L_0$ , we have  $x(L_0 \cap W) = L_0 \cap W$  (cf. [14, page 104]), so  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C \subset D$ .

On the other hand, if  $x \in D$ , then  $x_p \in D_p = H(\xi)_p \cap C_p$  by Proposition 8.2 and therefore  $x_p L_{0,p} = L_{0,p}$  for all primes  $p$ . This means that  $x \in H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C$ , as wanted.  $\square$

**8.3. Euler product expression for the Dirichlet series.** The question of this section is to obtain an Euler product expression for the Dirichlet series of interest in each of the above cases and relate it to standard  $L$ -function attached to  $F$ . By Theorem 7.2, the first step is to determine  $\zeta_{\xi, \mathcal{P}}(s)$ . Hence, we need to compute the quantity:

$$n(\xi; d) = \# \left\{ s \in \mathbb{Z}^n / dS\mathbb{Z}^n \mid \frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[s] \equiv -q \pmod{qd} \right\},$$

with  $\xi = (1, \mathbf{0}, 1)^t$ , as we have explained in Remark 7. The steps we follow are:

- (1) Find unimodular integer matrices  $P, Q$  such that  $PSQ = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , for some positive integers  $a_i$ .
- (2) We then substitute  $t = Ps \implies s = P^{-1}t$ . Then, we have

$$s - s' \in dS\mathbb{Z}^n \iff t - t' \in dPS\mathbb{Z}^n \iff t - t' \in dPSQ\mathbb{Z}^n,$$

because  $Q$  is unimodular. Hence, if  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)^t$ , we consider each  $t_i \pmod{da_i}$ .

- (3) We then solve the congruence

$$\frac{1}{2}qS^{-1}[P^{-1}t] \equiv -q \pmod{qd}.$$

Let us now deal with the specific examples we have. In the following, let

$$\chi_S(p) := \left( \frac{(-1)^{n/2} \det S}{p} \right).$$

- (1)  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Here, we have  $PSQ = \text{diag}(1, 3)$ , with  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . We then have

$$P^{-1}t = \begin{pmatrix} 2t_1 - t_2 \\ -t_1 + t_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and after substituting, the congruence of interest becomes ( $q = 3$  here):

$$3t_1^2 - 3t_1t_2 + t_2^2 \equiv -3 \pmod{3d}$$

with  $t_1 \pmod{d}$  and  $t_2 \pmod{3d}$ . Now, by the form of the equation, we get  $3 \mid t_2$ , so the congruence becomes:

$$t_1^2 - 3t_1t_2 + 3t_2^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$$

with  $t_1, t_2 \pmod{d}$ . Now, we have  $n(\xi; d) = N(T; d)$ , where the last quantity is defined as the number of solutions to the congruence  $T[t] \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$ , with

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3/2 \\ -3/2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But  $N(T; d)$  is multiplicative in  $d$ . Let now  $p \notin \mathcal{P} \cup \{2, 3\}$ , so that 2 has a multiplicative inverse  $\pmod{p}$  and  $p \nmid \det T$ . Then, by [7], we know that for each  $k \geq 1$ , there is a matrix  $U_k$  such that  $T[U_k] \equiv R \pmod{p^k}$  with some diagonal matrix  $R$ . By then setting

$t \mapsto U_k t$ , we can still consider  $t_i \pmod{p^k}$  for all  $i$  (as the determinant of  $U$  is non-zero  $\pmod{p^k}$ ). We then have  $N(T; p^k) = N(R; p^k)$ , with  $R$  diagonal. We can now count the number of solutions  $N(R; p^k)$  by [21, Proposition 4]. In particular, if  $R = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2)$ , we have

$$N(R; p^k) = p^k \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{-a_1 a_2}{p} \right) \right],$$

because  $c_1(-1) = 1, c_p(-1) = -1, c_{p^l}(-1) = 0$  for  $l \geq 2$  in the notation there. But  $\det R = a_1 a_2$ , and  $\det R \equiv (\det U_k)^2 \det T \pmod{p}$ , so

$$\left( \frac{-a_1 a_2}{p} \right) = \left( \frac{-\det T}{p} \right) = \left( \frac{-3u^2}{p} \right) = \left( \frac{-3}{p} \right) = \chi_S(p),$$

where  $2u \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Therefore, we obtain:

$$n(\xi; p^k) = N(R; p^k) = p^k \left[ 1 - \frac{\chi_S(p)}{p} \right].$$

(2)  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$ . In this case, we have  $PSQ = \text{diag}(1, 15)$  with  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . By following the above steps, the congruence becomes:

$$15t_1^2 - 15t_1 t_2 + 4t_2^2 \equiv -15 \pmod{15d}$$

with  $t_1 \pmod{d}$  and  $t_2 \pmod{15d}$ . But now  $15 \mid t_2$  and so after  $t_2 \mapsto 15t_2$ , we get

$$t_1^2 - 15t_1 t_2 + 60t_2^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$$

with  $t_1, t_2 \pmod{d}$  and with exact same reasoning as before, we get:

$$n(\xi; p^k) = p^k \left[ 1 - \frac{\chi_S(p)}{p} \right].$$

for all  $p \notin \mathcal{P} \cup \{2, 3, 5\}$  with  $n(\xi; d)$  multiplicative in  $d$ .

(3)  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . In this case, we have  $PSQ = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 5)$  with

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By then substituting  $s = P^{-1}t$  the congruence becomes:

$$5t_1^2 + 5t_2^2 + 5t_3^2 + 2t_4^2 - 5t_1 t_2 - 5t_1 t_3 - 5t_1 t_4 + 5t_2 t_3 \equiv -5 \pmod{5d},$$

with  $t_1, t_2, t_3 \pmod{d}$  and  $t_4 \pmod{5d}$ . But, we see that  $5 \mid t_4$ , so after setting  $t_4 \mapsto 5t_4$ , the congruence becomes:

$$t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2 + 10t_4^2 - t_1 t_2 - t_1 t_3 - 5t_1 t_4 + t_2 t_3 \equiv -1 \pmod{d},$$

with  $t_i \pmod{d}$  for all  $i$ . As before, this then gives

$$n(\xi; p^k) = p^{3k} \left[ 1 - \frac{\chi_S(p)}{p^2} \right].$$

for all  $p \notin \mathcal{P} \cup \{2, 5\}$  with  $n(\xi; d)$  multiplicative in  $d$ .

(4)  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . In this case, using the same method, we obtain:

$$3t_1^2 + 12t_2^2 + t_3^2 + t_4^2 - 9t_1 t_2 + 3t_1 t_3 - 6t_2 t_3 + 3t_2 t_4 \equiv -3 \pmod{3d},$$

with  $t_1, t_2 \pmod{d}$  and  $t_3, t_4 \pmod{3d}$ . This then implies  $3 \mid t_3^2 + t_4^2$  and so  $3 \mid t_3, t_4$ . Therefore, as previously, we obtain

$$n(\xi; p^k) = p^{3k} \left[ 1 - \frac{\chi_S(p)}{p^2} \right].$$

for all  $p \notin \mathcal{P} \cup \{2, 3\}$  with  $n(\xi; d)$  multiplicative in  $d$ .

- (5) In the last two cases, we omit the calculations, but by applying the exact same reasoning as above, we will again get:

$$n(\xi; p^k) = p^{k(n-1)} \left[ 1 - \frac{\chi_S(p)}{p^{n/2}} \right].$$

for all  $p$  not in  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $p \neq 2$  and  $p \nmid \det S$  and with  $n(\xi; d)$  multiplicative in  $d$ . Here  $n = 6, 8$ . In all of the above cases, we therefore obtain (here  $\mathcal{P}$  is possibly enlarged but still finite):

$$\zeta_{\xi, \mathcal{P}}(s) = \prod_{p \notin \mathcal{P}} \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s - (n-1)) \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s - n/2 + 1, \chi_S)^{-1}.$$

We therefore arrive at the following Theorem:

**Theorem 8.2.** *Let  $S$  denote any of the matrices of Section 8.2 with even rank  $n$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  a sufficiently large finite set of primes, containing the primes described in Theorem 7.2 and the primes so that the conditions of [15, Proposition 5.13] are satisfied. Then, if we define*

$$\chi_S(p) := \left( \frac{(-1)^{n/2} \det S}{p} \right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{F, \mathcal{P}_{k, -q, r}, \mathcal{P}}(s) &= A(\xi) \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(2s - 2k + n + 2)^{-1} \zeta_{\mathcal{P}} \left( s - k + \frac{n+4}{2}, \chi_S \right)^{-1} L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( F; s - k + \frac{n+2}{2} \right) \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s - k + (n+2) - i)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where again  $\xi = (1, \mathbf{0}, 1)^t$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 7.2 after we choose  $f$  to be the constant  $\mathbf{1}$  on  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}}$ . From [15, Proposition 5.15], we have that (since  $\mathcal{P}$  is chosen so that conditions of [15, Proposition 5.13] are satisfied):

$$L_{\mathcal{P}} \left( \mathbf{1}, s - k + \frac{n+3}{2} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s - k + (n+2) - i),$$

as in general  $L_{\text{Sug}} \left( \mathbf{1}; s - \frac{n-1}{2} \right) = L_{\text{Shi}}(s)$ . Here, the notation means the  $L$ -function we encounter in [20] and [15] respectively, as these are normalised differently. Finally, the computation of  $\zeta_{\xi, \mathcal{P}}$  has been performed above.  $\square$

## 9. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, our main goal has been to obtain Euler product expansions for the Dirichlet series  $\mathcal{D}_{F, \mathcal{P}_{k, D, r}}(s)$ , extending in this way, the method of Kohnen and Skoruppa in [9] to the orthogonal setting. However, it seems that our case presents some limitations, which do not allow us to give uniform results for orthogonal groups of arbitrary rank.

To be more specific, the first main difficulty arises from the fact that it does not seem to exist an obvious connection between  $\sum_{i=1}^h \zeta_{\xi_i}(s - k + n + 1) f_j(u_i)$  and  $L(\overline{f_j}; s - k + (n+3)/2)$ . This does not happen in Kohnen and Skoruppa, as this connection can be seen by using the correspondence between equivalence classes of binary quadratic forms with fixed discriminant

and ideal classes in the class group of a quadratic extension. This has led us restricting our attention to the case where  $h = 1$ .

In order therefore to find explicit examples, we need to pick  $\xi$  such that the size of  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} / (H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C)$  is just 1. The choice of  $\xi = (1, \mathbf{0}, 1)^t$ , apart from being natural and simple, satisfies another very important purpose. In particular, it gives us that any vector in  $\Xi_d$  of Lemma 6.1 lies in the same equivalence class of  $\xi$  under not only the action of  $\Gamma(L_0)$ , but also of  $\Gamma^+(L_0)$ . This is crucial for the explicit computation of  $\zeta_{\xi, \mathcal{P}}(s)$ , which is done in Section 8. In the rank 1 case, Shimura in [18] has given an explicit formula for the index  $[H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} : H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}}(H(\xi)_{\mathbb{A}} \cap C)]$ , which we use to find all the cases for which this index is 1 (see Section 8.1). In the rank  $n \geq 2$ , such a formula is not available, so we need an explicit description of  $H(\xi)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  as a definite orthogonal group. It is well-known that there is a finite number of definite orthogonal groups with class number 1 and their rank is at most 10 (cf. [22]). Using, therefore, the enumeration of definite orthogonal groups with class number 1 in [8] as well as [14, Proposition 11.12], we arrive at the specific examples of Section 8 for rank  $n \geq 2$ .

Concluding, it is clear that there are a few directions one could try and generalise the above results. The first one is relaxing the condition on  $\xi$ , i.e. taking an arbitrary  $\xi \in L_0^*$ . Another one could be removing the condition  $h = 1$ , which seems to be the most general approach. Finally, one could try to obtain results for other orthogonal groups in the rank  $n \geq 2$  case. That would require generalising [14, Proposition 11.12], so that it is applicable to more cases. One example, which corresponds to the unitary group over  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ , is  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \\ & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . This is not included in our results, even though Gritsenko in [5] has successfully considered the same problem in the unitary setting.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author was supported by the HIMR/UKRI "Additional Funding Programme for Mathematical Sciences", grant number EP/V521917/1 as well as by a scholarship by Onassis Foundation. The author would also like to thank Prof. Thanasis Bouganis for suggesting this problem as well as for his continuous guidance and support.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A N Andrianov. "Euler Products corresponding to Siegel modular forms of genus 2". In: *Russian Mathematical Surveys* 29.3 (June 1974), p. 45. DOI: 10 . 1070 / RM1974v029n03ABEH001285. URL: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1070/RM1974v029n03ABEH001285>.
- [2] Jonas Gallenkämper and Aloys Krieg. "The Hecke algebras for the orthogonal group  $SO(2,3)$  and the paramodular group of degree 2". In: *International Journal of Number Theory* 14.09 (2018), pp. 2409–2423. DOI: 10.1142/S1793042118501464. eprint: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042118501464>. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042118501464>.
- [3] V. Gritsenko. "Dirichlet series with Euler product in the theory of modular forms with respect to the orthogonal groups." In: *Preprint LOMI* (1987), E-11–87.
- [4] V.A. Gritsenko. "Fourier-Jacobi functions of  $n$ ." In: *J Math Sci* 53 (1991), pp. 243–252. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01303648>.
- [5] V.A. Gritsenko. "Jacobi functions and Euler products for Hermitian modular forms." In: *J Math Sci* 62 (1992), pp. 2883–2914. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01098923>.
- [6] V.A. Gritsenko. "Zeta function of degree six for Hermite modular forms of genus two". In: *J Math Sci* 43 (1988), pp. 2540–2553. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01374984>.
- [7] Ali Hakami. "A Note on Diagonalization of Integral Quadratic Forms Modulo  $p^m$ ". In: *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics* 17 (Aug. 2011), pp. 30–36.
- [8] Jonathan Hanke. *Enumerating maximal definite quadratic forms of bounded class number over  $Z$  in  $n \geq 3$  variables*. 2011. arXiv: 1110.1876 [math.NT].

- [9] W. Kohnen and N.P. Skoruppa. “A certain Dirichlet series attached to Siegel modular forms of degree two.” In: *Invent Math* 95 (1989), pp. 541–558. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01393889>.
- [10] Aloys Krieg. “Integral Orthogonal Groups”. In: *Dynamical Systems, Number Theory and Applications*. Chap. Chapter 9, pp. 177–195. DOI: 10.1142/9789814699877\_0009. URL: [https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/9789814699877\\_0009](https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/9789814699877_0009).
- [11] Aloys Krieg. “Jacobi Forms of Several Variables and the Maaß Space”. In: *Journal of Number Theory* 56.2 (1996), pp. 242–255. ISSN: 0022-314X. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jnth.1996.0016>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022314X96900165>.
- [12] Andreea Mocanu. *On Jacobi forms of lattice index*. July 2019. URL: <http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/56893/>.
- [13] Felix Schaps. “Eisenstein series for the orthogonal group  $O(2, n)$ ”. Dissertation. Aachen: RWTH Aachen University, 2022. DOI: 10.18154/RWTH-2022-08607. URL: <https://publications.rwth-aachen.de/record/853111>.
- [14] G. Shimura. *Arithmetic and Analytic Theories of Quadratic Forms and Clifford Groups*. Mathematical surveys and monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2004. ISBN: 9780821835739. URL: <https://books.google.gr/books?id=uexgAwAAQBAJ>.
- [15] Goro Shimura. “An exact mass formula for orthogonal groups”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 97.1 (1999), pp. 1–66. DOI: 10.1215/S0012-7094-99-09701-6. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-99-09701-6>.
- [16] Goro Shimura. “Classification, Construction, and Similitudes of Quadratic Forms”. In: *American Journal of Mathematics* 128.6 (2006), pp. 1521–1552. ISSN: 00029327, 10806377. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40068043> (visited on 05/17/2024).
- [17] Goro Shimura. *Euler products and Eisenstein series*. Vol. 93. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997, pp. xx+259. ISBN: 0-8218-0574-6. DOI: 10.1090/cbms/093. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/cbms/093>.
- [18] Goro Shimura. “Integer-valued quadratic forms and quadratic Diophantine equations.” In: *Doc. Math.* 11 (2006), pp. 333–367. DOI: DOI10.4171/DM/213.
- [19] Takashi Sugano. “Jacobi Forms and the Theta Lifting”. In: 1995. URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:118037268>.
- [20] Takashi Sugano. “On Dirichlet Series Attached to Holomorphic Cusp Forms on  $SO(2, q)$ ”. In: *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* 62 (1985), pp. 333–362. DOI: DOI:10.2969/aspm/00710333.
- [21] László Tóth. “Counting Solutions of Quadratic Congruences in Several Variables Revisited”. In: *Journal of Integer Sequences* 2014 (Nov. 2014), Article 14.11.6.
- [22] G. L. Watson. “The Class-Number of a Positive Quadratic Form”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* s3-13.1 (1963), pp. 549–576. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-13.1.549>. eprint: <https://londmathsoc.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1112/plms/s3-13.1.549>. URL: <https://londmathsoc.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1112/plms/s3-13.1.549>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DURHAM UNIVERSITY, SOUTH. RD., DURHAM, DH1 3LE, U.K..  
 Email address: rafail.psyroukis@durham.ac.uk