

A generalization of the Hamiltonian cycle in dense digraphs ^{*}

Jie Zhang¹, Zhilan Wang^{2†}, Jin Yan²

¹ Xi'an Research Institute of High-tech Hongqing Town, Xi'an, Shanxi 710025, China

² School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

Abstract

Let D be a digraph and C be a cycle in D . For any two vertices x and y in D , the distance from x to y is the minimum length of a path from x to y . We denote the square of the cycle C to be the graph whose vertex set is $V(C)$ and for distinct vertices x and y in C , there is an arc from x to y if and only if the distance from x to y in C is at most 2. The reverse square of the cycle C is the digraph with the same vertex set as C , and the arc set $A(C) \cup \{yx : \text{the vertices } x, y \in V(C) \text{ and the distance from } x \text{ to } y \text{ on } C \text{ is } 2\}$. In this paper, we show that for any real number $\gamma > 0$ there exists a constant $n_0 = n_0(\gamma)$, such that every digraph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with the minimum in- and out-degree at least $(2/3 + \gamma)n$ contains the reverse square of a Hamiltonian cycle. Our result extends a result of Czygrinow, Kierstead and Molla.

Keywords: Digraphs; minimum semi-degree; the square of a Hamiltonian cycle; absorption method

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C20, 05C70, 05C07

1 Introduction

Hamiltonicity is one of the most central notions in graph theory, and it has been extensively studied by numerous researchers. One of the most notable examples here is Dirac's theorem [5] from 1952, which states that a graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices and minimum degree at least $n/2$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle, which is a cycle passing through every vertex of the graph exactly once. This result has an impact on extremal graph theory, leading to a wide of range of results usually known as Dirac-type results. With the development of sophisticated embedding techniques in recent decades, the Dirac problem is nowadays well-understood for a large class of graphs.

^{*}Zhilan Wang and Jin Yan are supported by NNSF of China (No.12071260).

[†]Corresponding author: E-mail address: 202220319@mail.sdu.edu.cn.

To clarify, the k -th power of a directed path $P_l = v_0 \cdots v_l$ refers to the directed graph P_l^k with the same set of vertices as P_l , and in P_l^k , there exists an edge $v_i v_j$ if and only if $i < j \leq i + k$. Similarly, the k -th power of a directed cycle is defined in the same manner. A natural and more general property is to contain the k -th power of a Hamiltonian cycle. Extending Dirac's theorem, in 1962 Pósa [7] conjectured that every graph G on n vertices with the minimum degree at least $\frac{2n}{3}$ contains the square of a Hamiltonian cycle. Furthermore, Seymour [16] conjectured in 1974 that for any integer k , the minimum degree bound for a graph to contain the k -th power of a Hamiltonian cycle is $\frac{kn}{k+1}$. After two decades and several papers on this question, Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi [14] confirmed Seymour's conjecture.

Particularly, one can ask similar questions for digraphs, which often poses greater challenges. For the special digraph: an *oriented digraph* G , which is an orientation of a simple graph, Thomassen [17] posed the question of determining the *minimum semi-degree* $\delta^0(G)$, which is the minimum of the minimum out-degree and the minimum in-degree of G , to guarantee the existence of a Hamiltonian cycle in G . This was answered by Keevash, Kühn and Osthus [10], who showed that $\delta^0(G) \geq \frac{3n-4}{8}$ is sufficient to force a Hamiltonian cycle in a sufficient large oriented graph G . However, for the problem for the square of Hamiltonian cycles in the oriented graph G , it is not well resolved. Treglown [18] asserts that $\delta^0(G) \geq \frac{5n}{12}$ is necessary, which was subsequently improved by DeBiasio (personal communication). He stated that $\delta^0(G) \geq \frac{3n}{7} - 1$ is needed by using a slightly unbalanced blow up of the Paley tournament on seven vertices. It would be intriguing to determine, even in an asymptotic sense, the optimal value of $\delta^0(G)$ that guarantees the existence of the square of a Hamiltonian cycle.

For general digraphs, Ghouila-Houri [8] proved that any digraph D on $n \geq 2$ vertices with $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2$ has a Hamiltonian cycle. Unfortunately, no progress has been made on the k -th power of a Hamiltonian cycle problem of digraphs. In this paper, we give the following result. Let D be a digraph and C be a cycle in D . The *reverse square* of the cycle C is the digraph that has the same vertex set as C , and arc set to be the union of all arcs of C and all arcs yx satisfying the distance from x to y is 2 on C .

Theorem 1.1. *For any real number $\gamma > 0$ there exists a constant $n_0 = n_0(\gamma)$ such that every digraph D on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\delta^0(D) \geq (2/3 + \gamma)n$ contains the reverse square of a Hamiltonian cycle.*

The following result can be reduced from Theorem 1.1, which also is proved by Czygrinow, Kierstead and Molla [4].

Corollary 1.2. *For any real number $\gamma > 0$ there exists a constant $n_0 = n_0(\gamma)$ such that every digraph D on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\delta^0(D) \geq (2/3 + \gamma)n$ contains $\lfloor \frac{|V(D)|}{3} \rfloor$ disjoint triangles.*

Also, due to the difficulty of these problems in general, it is natural to ask what happens in *tournaments*, which are orientations of complete graphs. It is a well-known

result that every tournament with the minimum semi-degree at least $\frac{n-2}{4}$ has a Hamiltonian cycle, and this lower bound is optimal. Further, Bollobás and Häggkvist [3] proved that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and k , there exists an $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon, k)$ such that every tournament T on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\delta^0(T) \geq \frac{n}{4} + \varepsilon n$ contains the k -th power of a Hamiltonian cycle. Lately, Draganić, Correia and Sudakov [6] refined the additive error in the degree condition, who proved that there exists a constant $c = c(k) > 0$ such that any tournament T on n vertices with $\delta^0(T) \geq \frac{n}{4} + cn^{1-1/\lceil k/2 \rceil}$ contains the k -th power of a Hamiltonian cycle. In particular, they also showed that a constant error term is enough for the tournament to contain the square of a Hamiltonian cycle.

Organization. The rest of the paper is organised as follows. Our approach of the proof of Theorem 1.1 uses a hybrid of the Regularity-Blow-up method and the Connecting-Absorbing method. The absorption method that was introduced by Rödl, Ruciński, and Szemerédi [15]. However, we need to adapt these ideas to the reverse square of Hamiltonian cycles in digraphs instead of tight cycles in hypergraphs. In Section 2, we begin by presenting relevant notations and some useful results. Moving on to Section 3, we first introduce the main tools, namely Connecting Lemma, Absorbing Lemma and Path-Covering Lemma. Then we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Finally, Section 4 contains some concluding remarks to wrap up the paper.

2 Preparations for Theorem 1.1

2.1 Definitions and notations

For notations not defined in this paper, we refer the readers to [2]. We denote by $G(A, B)$ a bipartite graph G with vertex classes A and B , and $e_G(A, B)$ is the number of edges between A and B . Let $D = (V, A)$ be a digraph. The cardinality of a vertex set $X \subseteq V$ is denoted by $|X|$, and we call X to be a i -set if $|X| = i$. The subdigraph of D induced by X is denoted as $D[X]$. Let $D - X = D[V \setminus X]$. Let Y be another subset of V which is disjoint with X . We define the arcs from X to Y to be $X - Y$ edges. The *out-neighbourhood* (resp., *in-neighbourhood*) of a vertex v in D is defined as $N^+(v) = \{u : vu \in A\}$ (resp., $N^-(v) = \{w : wv \in A\}$). The *out-degree* (resp., *in-degree*) of v in D , which is denoted by $d^+(v)$ (resp. $d^-(v)$), is the cardinality of $N^+(v)$ (resp., $N^-(v)$), that is, $d^+(v) = |N^+(v)|$ (resp., $d^-(v) = |N^-(v)|$). The *minimum out-degree* $\delta^+(D) = \min\{d^+(v) : v \in V\}$ and the *minimum in-degree* $\delta^-(D) = \min\{d^-(v) : v \in V\}$. We define the *minimum semi-degree* of D as $\delta^0(D) = \min\{\delta^+(D), \delta^-(D)\}$ and the *minimum degree* $\delta(D) = \min_{x \in V}\{d(x) : d(x) = d^+(x) + d^-(x)\}$.

All paths in digraphs refer to directed paths. We define the number of arcs of a path as its *length* and a k -path refers to a path of order k . We often represent the k -path P as $v_1 \cdots v_k$ when $V(P) = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ and call v_1v_2 and $v_{k-1}v_k$ the *first end-arc* and the *last end-arc* of P , respectively. A 4-path $abcd$ is called as a *reverse square 4-path* if it further satisfies that $ca, db \in A(D)$. We say a reverse square path of order k to be a *reverse square k -path*. We can similarly define reverse square k -cycles.

For any vertex $v \in V(D)$, we say that a reverse square 4-path $abcd$ is an *absorber* of v if it can be extended by absorbing vertex v to a reverse square 5-path $abvcd$. We also say the reverse square 4-path $abcd$ absorbs v if it is an absorber of v . Clearly, in an absorber $abcd$, the vertices a and c are out-neighbours of v , b and d are in-neighbours of v , and c is an in-neighbour of b . For two reverse square paths $P = ab \cdots cd$ and $Q = cd \cdots pq$ with $V(P) \cap V(Q) = \{c, d\}$, we denote the concatenated path as $P \circ Q$. This definition can be extended naturally to more than two paths.

For a positive integer t , simply write $\{1, \dots, t\}$ as $[t]$. Throughout this paper, the notation $0 < \beta \ll \alpha$ is used to make clear that β can be selected to be sufficiently small corresponding to α so that all calculations required in our proof are valid.

2.2 Tools

Theorem 2.1. [4] *Suppose that D is a digraph with $\delta(D) \geq (4|V(D)| - 3)/3$, and let $c \geq 0$ and $t \geq 1$ be integers with $c + t = \lfloor \frac{|V(D)|}{3} \rfloor$. Then D contains c cyclic triangles and t transitive triangles and they are disjoint.*

The following result is easily obtained from the above theorem.

Corollary 2.2. *Every digraph with $\delta^0(D) \geq 2|D|/3$ contains $\lfloor \frac{|V(D)|}{3} \rfloor - 1$ disjoint cyclic triangles and one transitive triangle.*

Lemma 2.3. [9] *Let X be a random variable with the expectation $\mathbb{E}X$, and let a be any real number with $0 < a < 3/2$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) (*Chernoff's inequality*) $\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}X| > a\mathbb{E}X) < 2e^{-\frac{a^2}{3}\mathbb{E}X}$.
- (2) (*Markov's inequality*) $\mathbb{P}(X \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}X}{a}$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need the Regularity lemma and the Blow-up lemma for digraphs. The interested readers can refer to [12, 13] for a survey on the Regularity Lemma and the Blow-up lemma. Before giving the statement of these lemmas, we need some definitions. Let $G = (A, B)$ be a bipartite graph. The *density* of $G = (A, B)$ is

$$d_G(A, B) := \frac{e_G(A, B)}{|A||B|}.$$

We often write $d(A, B)$ if this is unambiguous. Given $\epsilon > 0$, we say that $G = (A, B)$ is ϵ -*regular* if for all subsets $X \subseteq A$ and $Y \subseteq B$ with $|X| > \epsilon|A|$ and $|Y| > \epsilon|B|$ we have that $|d(X, Y) - d(A, B)| < \epsilon$. Furthermore, for any real number d with $0 \leq d \leq 1$, we say that G is (ϵ, d) -*super-regular* if it is ϵ -regular, and satisfies $d_G(a) \geq (d - \epsilon)|B|$ for all $a \in A$ and $d_G(b) \geq (d - \epsilon)|A|$ for all $b \in B$.

The Regularity lemma for digraphs, called as the Diregularity lemma, was proved by Alon and Shapira [1]. We will use the degree form of the Diregularity lemma which can be easily derived from the standard version, and so we omit its proof.

Lemma 2.4. (*Degree form of the Diregularity lemma*) *For every $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and any integer M' there are integers $M = M(\epsilon, M')$ and $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon, M')$ such that if D is a digraph on*

$n \geq n_0$ vertices and d is any real number with $0 \leq d \leq 1$, then there is a partition of $V(D)$ into V_0, V_1, \dots, V_k with $M' \leq k \leq M$ such that

(1) $|V_0| \leq \varepsilon n$, and

(2) $|V_1| = \dots = |V_k| =: m$,

and a spanning subdigraph D' of D such that

(3) for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ the digraph $D'[V_i]$ is empty,

(4) $d_{D'}^+(x) > d_D^+(x) - (d + \varepsilon)n$ for all vertices $x \in D$, and $d_{D'}^-(x) > d_D^-(x) - (d + \varepsilon)n$ for all vertices $x \in D$, and

(5) for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ with $i \neq j$ the bipartite graph whose vertex classes are V_i and V_j and whose edges are all the $V_i - V_j$ edges in D' obtained by deleting their directions is ε -regular and has density either 0 or density at least d .

Remark 1. In Lemma 2.4, the vertex sets V_1, \dots, V_k are called as *clusters*, and V_0 is called as the *exceptional set*. Also, the spanning subdigraph D' is the *pure digraph* with parameters ε, d . In particular, the last condition in Lemma 2.4 says that all pairs of clusters are ε -regular in both directions (but possibly with different densities).

2. The *reduced digraph* R with parameters ε, d is the digraph with the vertex set $[k]$, in which ij is an arc if and only if the bipartite graph with vertex classes V_i and V_j whose edges are all the $V_i - V_j$ edges in D' is ε -regular and has density at least d . That is, if D' is the pure digraph, then ij is an arc in R if and only if there is a $V_i - V_j$ edge in D' . It is easy to see that the reduced digraph R obtained from Lemma 2.4 has the minimum semidegree

$$\delta^0(R) \geq (\delta^0(D)/|D| - d - 2\varepsilon)|R|. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.5. [14] (*Blow-up lemma*) For any graph F with the vertex set $[k]$, and any positive numbers d and Δ , there is a positive real $\eta_0 = \eta_0(d, \Delta, k)$ such that the following holds for all positive numbers l_1, \dots, l_k and all $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$. Let F' be the graph obtained from F by replacing each vertex $i \in F$ with a set V_i of l_i new vertices and joining all vertices in V_i to all vertices in V_j whenever ij is an edge of F . Let G' be a spanning subgraph of F' such that for every edge $ij \in F$ the graph $(V_i, V_j)_{G'}$ is (η, d) -super-regular. Then G' contains a copy of every subgraph H of F' with $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta$.

In order to apply Lemma 2.5, it is sufficient to ensure that all the arcs in a specific oriented subgraph of the reduced digraph R correspond to (ε, d) -super-regular pairs of clusters. This is guaranteed by the following result from [11].

Lemma 2.6. [11] Let M', n_0, l be integers, and let ε, d be positive constants such that $1/n_0 \ll 1/M' \ll \varepsilon \ll d \ll 1/l$. Suppose that G is an digraph of order at least n_0 , and R is the reduced digraph, and G' is the pure digraph obtained by applying Lemmas 2.4 with parameters ε, d and M' to G . Suppose that G^* is an oriented graph obtained from G' by deleting all $V_i - V_j$ edges for some pairs. Let R' be an oriented subgraph of R with $\Delta(R') \leq l$. Let H be the underlying graph of G^* . Then one can delete $2l\varepsilon|V_i|$ vertices from each cluster V_i to obtain subclusters $V'_i \subset V_i$ in such a way that H contains a subgraph $H_{R'}$ whose vertex set is the union of all the V'_i and such that

- (1) $(V'_i, V'_j)_{H_{R'}}$ is $(\sqrt{\epsilon}, d - 4\epsilon)$ -super-regular whenever $ij \in E(R')$.
- (2) $(V'_i, V'_j)_{H_{R'}}$ is $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ -regular and has density $d - 4\epsilon$ whenever $ij \in E(R)$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we call reverse square k -paths (reverse square k -cycles) as k -paths (k -cycles) for simplicity.

3.1 Main lemmas

In this subsection, let γ be any real with $\gamma \ll 1$, and let D be any digraph with $\delta^0(D) \geq (2/3 + \gamma)n$. The following lemma asserts that any two disjoint arcs can be connected by a short directed reverse square path.

Lemma 3.1. (*Connecting Lemma*) *Let D be the digraph as showed in Theorem 1.1, and let γ be a real with $\gamma \ll 1/6$. For every two disjoint arcs of D , there is a k -path with $k \leq 4/\gamma$ connecting them.*

Proof. Let ab and cd be two disjoint arcs in D . In the following, we first build a out-cascade structure as follows. We construct vertex sets $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_i, \dots$, and bipartite digraphs $G_1(X_0, X_1), G_2(X_1, X_2), \dots, G_i(X_{i-1}, X_i), \dots$. Let $X_0 = \{b\}$, $X_1 = \{x : xa, bx \in A(D)\}$ and $A(G_1) = \{bx : x \in X_1\}$. Note that $|X_1| \geq (1/3 + 2\gamma)n$. Further, let

$$\begin{aligned} X'_2 &= \{y : \exists x \in X_1 \text{ such that } xy, yb \in A(D)\}, \\ A(G'_2) &= \{xy : x \in X_1, y \in X'_2 \text{ and } xy, yb \in A(D)\} \text{ and} \\ G'_2 &:= G'_2(X_1, X'_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then for every $xy \in G'_2$ and $y \neq a$, there exists a 4-path $abxy$ in D . Furthermore, $d_{G'_2}^+(x) \geq (1/3 + 2\gamma)n$ for each $x \in X_1$. Let

$$X_2^0 = \{y \in X'_2 : d_{G'_2}^-(y) < \sqrt{n}\}, \quad X_2 = X'_2 \setminus X_2^0 \text{ and } G_2 = G'_2[X_1 \cup X_2].$$

Note that $(1/3 + 2\gamma)n|X_1| \leq |A(G'_2)| \leq |X_1||X_2| + \sqrt{n}|X_2^0| \leq n^{\frac{3}{2}} + |X_1||X_2|$. This implies that $|X_2| \geq n/3$.

Next, assume that we already have constructed X_0, X_1, \dots, X_j and G_1, \dots, G_j where $j \geq 2$. To construct X_{j+1} and G_{j+1} , we consider an auxiliary bipartite digraph B_y^j between the in-neighbours of y in G_j and all vertices of $V(D)$ for each $y \in X_j$, where $A(B_y^j) = \{zx : x \in N_{G_j}^-(y), z \in V(D) \text{ and } zx, yz \in A(D)\}$. Define G'_{j+1} the bipartite digraph between X_j and X'_{j+1} , where

$$\begin{aligned} X'_{j+1} &= \{z \in V(D) : \exists y \in X_j \text{ such that } d_{B_y^j}^+(z) \geq n^{\frac{1}{4}}\}, \text{ and} \\ A(G'_{j+1}) &= \{yz \in A(D) : y \in X_j, z \in X'_{j+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let

$$\begin{aligned} X_{j+1}^0 &= \{z \in X'_{j+1} : d_{G'_{j+1}}^-(z) < \sqrt{n}\}, \text{ and} \\ X_{j+1} &= X'_{j+1} \setminus X_{j+1}^0, G_{j+1} = G'_{j+1}[X_j \cup X_{j+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that some of the sets $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{j+1}$ may intersect. Nevertheless, for the sake of our construction we treat them as disjoint by cloning the vertices as much as necessary. We call the structure consisting of the sets X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots and the bipartite digraphs G_1, G_2, \dots , an *ab-out-cascade*. We have to alter our construction for $j \geq 3$ and require $d_{B_j}^-(z) \geq n^{1/4}$ to ensure that we can obtain a legitimate reverse square path from any edge of G_j going back to ab as long as $j < n^{1/4}$, on which all vertices are distinct.

A vertex $y \in X_j$ is called *heavy* if $d_{G_j}^-(y) \geq (1/3 + \gamma)n$. We show that the following conclusion holds.

Claim 3.2. *There exists an integer $j \leq j_0 = \lceil \frac{1}{\gamma} \rceil + 1$ such that X_j contains at least one heavy vertex.*

Proof. First, we show that for $j \geq 2$ and for every $y \in X_j$ the out-degree of y in G'_{j+1} is at least $(1/3 + 2\gamma)n - n^{3/4}$. Let s be the number of vertices $z \in V(D)$ with $d_{B_j}^+(z) < n^{1/4}$. Then $sn^{1/4} + (n - s)|N_{G_j}(y)| \geq |A(B_j^j)| \geq |N_{G_j}(y)|(1/3 + 2\gamma)n$. Since $|N_{G_j}(y)| = d_{G_j}^-(y) \geq \sqrt{n}$ and $s \leq n$, we obtain

$$n - s \geq (1/3 + 2\gamma)n - \frac{sn^{1/4}}{|N_{G_j}(y)|} \geq (1/3 + 2\gamma)n - n^{3/4}.$$

Thus $d_{G'_{j+1}}^+(y) \geq (1/3 + 2\gamma)n - n^{3/4}$. Note that the total number of arcs of G'_{j+1} incident to the vertices of X_{j+1}^0 is smaller than $n^{3/2}$.

To the contrary, we suppose that the vertex set X_j does not contain any heavy vertex for each $j = 2, \dots, j_0$. So $|A(G_j)| < |X_j|(1/3 + \gamma)n$. On the other hand, we have

$$|A(G_j)| \geq |X_{j-1}|[(1/3 + 2\gamma)n - n^{3/4}] - n^{3/2}.$$

Since $|X_1| \geq (1/3 + 2\gamma)n$, and by the fact that $(1 - x)e^x \leq 1$ with $x = \frac{3\gamma}{1+6\gamma}$, we have that

$$|X_{j_0}| \geq \frac{|A(G_{j_0})|}{(1/3 + \gamma)n} \geq \frac{1 + 6\gamma}{1 + 3\gamma}|X_{j_0-1}| - O(n^{3/4}) > \left(\frac{1 + 6\gamma}{1 + 3\gamma}\right)^{j_0-1} \frac{n}{3} > e^{\frac{3}{1+6\gamma}} \frac{n}{3} > n,$$

a contradiction. □

Analogously, we may consider the in-neighbours of c and the out-neighbours of d to build a cascade structure, defined *cd-in-cascade*. We also obtain that, in the in-cascade (X_j, G_j) , there exists an integer $j \leq j_0 = \lceil \frac{1}{\gamma} \rceil + 1$ such that X_j contains at least one vertex with $d_{G_j}^+(y) \geq (1/3 + \gamma)n$.

For the given two arcs ab and cd , we consider the *ab-out-cascade* $(X_j^{(1)}, G_j^{(1)})$ and *cd-in-cascade* $(X_j^{(2)}, G_j^{(2)})$. For $i = 1, 2$, let $b_i \in X_{j_i}^{(i)}$ be a heavy vertex in the corresponding cascade, where $j_i^{(i)} \leq j_0$.

If $b_1 = b_2 := b$, then b has at least $(1/3 + \gamma)n$ out-neighbours in $X_{j_2-1}^{(2)}$ by the definition of the heavy vertex. Since $\delta^0(D) \geq (2/3 + \gamma)n$, there exist two vertices $a_1 \in X_{j_1-1}^{(1)}$ and $a_2 \in X_{j_2-1}^{(2)}$ such that $a_1b, ba_2, a_2a_1 \in A(D)$. When $b_1 \neq b_2$, we have $|N^-(b_1) \cap N^+(b_2)| \geq (1/3 + 2\gamma)n$. Choose w be a vertex in $N^-(b_1) \cap N^+(b_2)$. It is easy to see that there are $u_1 \in N^+(b_1) \cap N^-(w)$ and $u_2 \in N^+(w) \cap N^-(b_2)$ such that $u_2u_1 \in A(D)$. Clearly, u_1 has at least $\geq 2\gamma n$ out-neighbours in $N^-(b_1) \cup X_{j_1-1}^{(1)}$ and u_2 has at least $\geq 2\gamma n$ in-neighbours in $N^+(b_2) \cup X_{j_2-1}^{(2)}$.

By the definition of the ab -out-cascade, there is a $(j_1 + 3)$ -path P_1 connecting ab and b_1u_1 and, by the definition of the cd -in-cascade, there is a $(j_2 + 3)$ -path P_2 , which is disjoint with P_1 , connecting u_2b_2 and cd . Then $P_1 \circ u \circ P_2$ is a k -path connecting ab and cd with $k = (j_1 + 3) + (j_2 + 3) + 1 \leq 2(j_0 + 4) \leq 4/\gamma$ due to $\gamma \leq 1/6$. \square

The absorbing lemma asserts that there is one ‘reasonably sized’ reverse square path that possesses the property that any ‘reasonably sized’ subset of vertices can be absorbed into it which constructs a longer path with the same end-vertices. For each $v \in V(D)$, we use \mathcal{A}_v to represent the set of all absorbers of v .

Lemma 3.3. (*Absorbing Lemma*) *There is an l -path P_A in D with $l \leq 20\gamma^3n$ such that for every subset $U \subset V(D) \setminus V(P_A)$ of cardinality at most γ^7n there is a path P_{AU} in D satisfying $V(P_{AU}) = V(P_A) \cup U$ and P_{AU} has the same end-arcs as P_A .*

Proof. We first show the following several claims.

Claim 3.4. *For every $v \in V(D)$ there are at least $6\gamma^3n^4$ absorbers of v .*

Proof. By the lower bound of $\delta^0(D)$, we have $|N_D^-(v)| \geq (2/3 + \gamma)n$. For each $b \in N_D^-(v)$, clearly $|N_D^+(v) \cap N_D^+(b)| \geq 2(2/3 + \gamma)n - n = (1/3 + 2\gamma)n$. Since $d_D^-(b) \geq (2/3 + \gamma)n$, we get that $|N_D^-(b) \cap N_D^+(b) \cap N_D^+(v)| \geq 3\gamma n$. Let c be any vertex in $N_D^-(b) \cap N_D^+(b) \cap N_D^+(v)$. Similarly, by the lower bound of $\delta^0(D)$ again, we have that $|N_D^+(c) \cap N_D^+(v)|, |N_D^-(b) \cap N_D^-(v)| \geq (1/3 + 2\gamma)n$. Let a be any vertex in $N^-(b) \cap N^+(c) \cap N^+(v)$, and finally let d be an arbitrary out-neighbour of c in $N^-(b) \cap N^-(v)$ that is different from a . Then $abcd$ is a 4-path that absorbs v . Hence the number of absorbers of v is at least $(2/3 + \gamma)n \cdot 3\gamma n \cdot 3\gamma n \cdot 3\gamma n \geq 6\gamma^3n^4$, which proves the claim. \square

Claim 3.5. *There exists a family \mathcal{F} of at most $2\gamma^4n$ disjoint absorbers of vertices of D such that for every $v \in V(D)$, $|\mathcal{A}_v \cap \mathcal{F}| > \gamma^7n$.*

Proof. We first select a family \mathcal{F}' of 4-sets at random by including each of $n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) \sim n^4$ 4-sets independently with probability γ^4n^{-3} (some of the selected 4-sets may not be absorbers at all). Then we affirm the following conclusions.

- (1) *With probability $1 - o(1)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $|\mathcal{F}'| < 2\gamma^4n$ and $|\mathcal{A}_{uv} \cap \mathcal{F}'| > 4\gamma^7n$ for every vertex v in $V(D)$.*
- (2) *With probability at least $1/17$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there are at most $17\gamma^8n$ pairs of overlapping 4-sets in \mathcal{F}' .*

Conclusion (1) can be obtained directly by using Chernoff's inequality. We further give the proof of (2). Clearly, the expected number of intersecting pairs of 4-sets in \mathcal{F}' is at most

$$n^4 \times 4 \times 4 \times n^3 \times (\gamma^4 n^{-3})^2 = 16\gamma^8 n.$$

Let X be the number of intersecting pairs of 4-sets in \mathcal{F}' . By Markov's inequality with $a = 17\gamma^8 n$, we can get that $\mathbb{P}(X \geq 17\gamma^8 n) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}X}{a} = \frac{16\gamma^8 n}{17\gamma^8 n} = 16/17$. This implies that (2) holds.

Hence, by (1)-(2), there exists a random family satisfying properties (1) and (2) above with positive probability. For simplicity, we denote this family by \mathcal{F}' . From \mathcal{F}' we obtain a subfamily \mathcal{F} by deleting all 4-sets that overlap other 4-sets and all 4-sets that are not absorbers at all. Then \mathcal{F} consists of disjoint absorbers such that for each $v \in V(D)$ we have

$$|\mathcal{A}_v \cap \mathcal{F}| > 4\gamma^7 n - 34\gamma^8 n > \gamma^7 n.$$

□

Let $f = |\mathcal{F}|$ and let F_1, \dots, F_f be the elements of \mathcal{F} , where \mathcal{F} is the family as described in Claim 3.5. Since F_i is an absorber, F_i spans a 4-path for every $i \in [f]$. In the following, we also use F_i to represent the 4-path. Then we will connect all these 4-paths into one not too long path P_A . For this purpose, we will repeatedly apply Lemma 3.1 to connect the last end-arc of F_i and the first end-arc of F_{i+1} by a short path for each $i \in [f-1]$.

Claim 3.6. *There exists a path P_A in D of the form $P_A = F_1 \circ P_1 \circ \dots \circ F_{f-1} \circ P_{f-1} \circ F_f$, where each of the paths P_1, \dots, P_{f-1} has at most $8/\gamma$ vertices.*

Proof. We show that, for each $i = 1, \dots, f$, there exists a path L_i in D of the form $L_1 = F_1$, and $L_i = F_1 \circ P_1 \circ \dots \circ F_{i-1} \circ P_{i-1} \circ F_i$ for $i \geq 2$, where each of the paths P_1, \dots, P_{i-1} has at most $8/\gamma$ vertices.

There is nothing to prove for $i = 1$. Assume the statement is true for some $1 \leq i \leq f-1$. Let ab be the last end-arc of L_i and let cd be the first end-arc of F_{i+1} . Denote $V_i = (V \setminus V(F \cup P_i)) \cup \{a, b, c, d\}$ and D_i be the subdigraph of D induced by V_i . Note that $|V(F \cup P_i)| < f(4 + 8/\gamma) < 20\gamma^3 n$. We obtain that $\delta^0(D_i) \geq (2/3 + \gamma/2)|D_i|$. Applying Lemma 3.1 in D_i on ab and cd , we get a path $P_i \subset D_i$ of length at most $8/\gamma$, with ab is the first end-arc and cd is the last end-arc. Then we obtain a path of the form $L_{i+1} = L_i \circ P_i \circ F_{i+1}$. Thus $P_A := L_f$ is the desired path. □

By Claim 3.6, there exists a path P_A in D of the form $P_A = F_1 \circ P_1 \circ \dots \circ F_{f-1} \circ P_{f-1} \circ F_f$. Obviously, $|P_A| < 20\gamma^3 n$. Notice that P_A contains all absorbers in \mathcal{F} and for every $v \in V(D)$, $|\mathcal{A}_v \cap \mathcal{F}| > \gamma^7 n$. This implies that for any vertex set $U \subset V(D) \setminus V(P_A)$ of size at most $\gamma^7 n$, we can insert all vertices of U into P_A one by one, each time using a new absorbing 4-path. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 3.7. (*Reservoir Lemma*) Let D be the digraph described as in Theorem 1.1, and let γ be any real with $\gamma \ll 1$. For every subset $W \subset V(D)$, $|W| \leq \gamma n/4$, there exists a subset $R \subset V(D) \setminus W$ (called a reservoir) such that $|R| = \lceil \gamma^7 n/2 \rceil$ and for every $x \in V(D)$,

$$d_R^+(x) \geq (2/3 + \gamma/2)(|R| + 4) \quad \text{and} \quad d_R^-(x) \geq (2/3 + \gamma/2)(|R| + 4).$$

Proof. Let $r = \lceil \gamma^7 n/2 \rceil$. We choose R randomly out of all $\binom{n-|W|}{r}$ possibilities and apply the probabilistic method again. For each vertex v , the random variable X_v^+ , counting the out-neighbours of v in R , has the hypergeometric distribution with expectation $\mathbb{E}X_v^+$ satisfying

$$r \geq \mathbb{E}X_v^+ \geq \frac{d^+(v) - |W|}{n - |W|} r \geq \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{3}{4}\gamma\right)r.$$

By Chernoff's bound, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_v^+ < (\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma)(r + 4)) \leq \mathbb{P}(X_v^+ \leq \mathbb{E}X_v^+ - \frac{1}{4}\gamma r + 2) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2 r}{33}\right).$$

Let X_v^- be the random variable counting the vertices of R which are in-neighbours of v . Analogously, we can get that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_v^- < (\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma)(r + 4)) \leq \mathbb{P}(X_v^- \leq \mathbb{E}X_v^- - \frac{1}{4}\gamma r + 2) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2 r}{33}\right).$$

Let A be the event that there exists a vertex $v \in V(D)$ such that $X_v^+ < (\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma)(r + 4)$ or $X_v^- < (\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma)(r + 4)$. We obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}(A) \leq 2n \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2 r}{33}\right) = 2n \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^9 n}{66}\right) = o(1).$$

Hence for sufficiently large n , the event A does not occur for R with high probability. Then we can fix a choice of R that meets the conditions. \square

Lemma 3.8. (*Reservoir-Connecting Lemma*) Let D be the digraph shown as in Theorem 1.1, and let γ be any real with $\gamma \ll 1$. For every two disjoint arc of D , ab and cd , there is a k -path in $D[R \cup \{a, b, c, d\}]$ connecting ab and cd with $k \leq \frac{16}{\gamma}$. Furthermore, this statement remains true even if at most $\gamma^8 n$ vertices of R are forbidden to be used on this connecting path.

Proof. Let $D_1 = D[R \cup \{a, b, c, d\}]$. Observe that $\delta^0(D_1) \geq (2/3 + \gamma/2)(|R| + 4) - \gamma^8 n \geq (2/3 + \gamma')(|R| + 4)$, where $\gamma' = \gamma/4$. So in D_1 , we have almost the same degree condition as in D with $\gamma = \gamma'$. Thus there is a k -path in D_1 , $k \leq \frac{16}{\gamma}$, which connects ab to cd . \square

We aim to find a (reverse square) cycle C in D that contains P_A (obtained in Lemma 3.3) as a subpath and covers all but at most $\gamma^7 n/2$ vertices of $V(D)$. To achieve this, we first establish the following Path-Covering Lemma.

Lemma 3.9. (*Path-Covering Lemma*) For every real $\gamma > 0$, there exists n_0 such that every digraph D on $n > n_0$ vertices with $\delta^0(D) \geq (2/3 + \gamma)n$ contains a family of at most $\gamma^{10} n$ vertex-disjoint paths, covering all but at most $\gamma^7 n/2$ vertices.

Proof. Define the number M' and additional constants such that

$$1/n_0 \ll 1/M' \ll \epsilon \ll d \ll \gamma^7/2 \ll 1.$$

Suppose that D is a digraph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with minimum semi-degree $\delta^0(D) \geq (2/3 + \gamma)n$. We apply the Diregularity lemma (Lemma 2.4) to D with parameters $\epsilon^2, d + 8\epsilon^2$ and M' to obtain a partition V_0, V_1, \dots, V_k of $V(D)$ and a pure digraph D' with $M' \leq k \leq M(\epsilon, M')$, $|V_1| = \dots = |V_k| = m$ and $|V_0| \leq \epsilon^2 n$. Here we assume that $n_0 > M/\gamma^{10}$. Let R be the reduced digraph with parameters $(\epsilon^2, d + 8\epsilon^2)$. By inequality (2.1), we get that

$$\delta^0(R) \geq (2/3 + \gamma - d - 8\epsilon^2 - 2\epsilon^2)|R| > (2/3 + \gamma/2)|R|.$$

By Corollary 2.2, there exist at least $\lfloor |R|/3 \rfloor - 1$ cyclic triangles. Let T be the union of these triangles. Obviously, T is an oriented subgraph of R with $\Delta(T) \leq 2$. Set $t = |T| = 3(\lfloor |R|/3 \rfloor - 1)$.

Next, we obtain the spanning oriented subgraph D^* corresponding to T from the pure digraph D' by deleting all those vertices that lie in clusters not belonging to T as well as deleting all the $V_i - V_j$ arcs for all pairs i, j with $ij \in A(R) \setminus A(T)$. Note that we add at most $5n/M'$ vertices to the exceptional set V_0 .

Applying Lemma 2.6 with $G = D, G' = D', G^* = D^*$ and $R' = T$, we could obtain an oriented subgraph D^{**} of D^* such that each arc of T corresponds to an (ϵ, d) -super-regular pair of density d , by adding at most $4\epsilon^2 n$ further vertices to the exceptional set V_0 . Note that the new exceptional set now satisfies $|V_0| \leq \epsilon^2 n + 5n/M' + 4\epsilon^2 n < \epsilon n$. Let H' be the union of $t/3$ disjoint paths of length $3(1 - 8\epsilon)m$ and let H be the underlying graph of H' .

Consider the underlying graph T' of T and fix additional constants $l_1 = \dots = l_t = m - 8\epsilon m$. We apply Lemma 2.5 with $F = T'$ and the underlying graph of D^{**} . Note that H is a subgraph of F' which described in Lemma 2.5. This shows that the underlying graph of D^{**} contains a copy of H . It is easy to check that D^{**} contains a copy of H' . Thus D contains $t/3$ disjoint paths of length $3(1 - 8\epsilon)m$ covering all but at most ϵn vertices. Note that $\epsilon \ll \gamma^7/2$ and $t/3 \leq (M - 5)/3$. Hence D contains a family of at most $\gamma^{10}n$ vertex-disjoint paths, covering all but at most $\gamma^7 n/2$ vertices. \square

3.2 Completion of Theorem 1.1

Suppose that $\gamma < 1/400$ is any positive real number, and let P_A be an absorbing l -path in D with $l = |V(P_A)| \leq 20\gamma^3 n$ that is obtained by Lemma 3.3. Applying Lemma 3.7 to D with $W = V(P_A)$, we get that a reservoir set $R \subset V(D - P_A)$ of cardinality $\gamma^7 n/2$ with the property described in Lemma 3.7. For convenience, let $D' = D[V \setminus (V(P_A) \cup R)]$ and $n' = |D'|$. Clearly, $\delta^0(D') \geq (2/3 + \gamma_1)n'$, where $n \geq n' \geq n - 20\gamma^3 n - \gamma^7 n/2$ and $\gamma > \gamma_1 > \gamma - 20\gamma^3 - \gamma^7/2$.

Furthermore, using Lemma 3.9 to D' , we can obtain a family of at most $\gamma_1^{10} n'$ disjoint paths, say P_1, \dots, P_p , covering all but at most $\gamma_1^7 n'/2$ vertices. Let T be the set of uncovered vertices. So $|T| \leq \gamma_1^7 n'/2 \leq \gamma^7 n/2$.

Next our goal is to show that there exists a cycle C in D such that P_A is contained in C , $|V(D - C)| \leq \gamma^7 n$ and $|V(C) \cap R| \leq \gamma^8 n$. This will prove the theorem. Indeed, for the vertex subset $U = T \cup (R \setminus V(C))$, we have $U \subset V(D - P_A)$ and $|U| = |T| + |R \setminus V(C)| \leq \gamma^7 n/2 + \gamma^7 n/2 = \gamma^7 n/2$. By Lemma 3.3, there is a path P_{AU} in D with $V(P_{AU}) = V(P_A) \cup U$ and P_{AU} has the same end-arcs as P_A . Clearly, $U = D - C$. Hence, we could absorb all vertices outside C , and then obtain the reverse square of a Hamiltonian cycle.

To construct the cycle C , we connect all paths P_1, \dots, P_p as well as the path P_A by successively applying Lemma 3.8. Notice that new vertices of the connecting paths will be entirely contained in the set R . In what follows, we will show the procedure of connecting together all paths into one cycle C .

Set $P_{p+1} = P_A$. We show that for each $i \in [p+1]$, there exists a path L_i in D of the form $L_1 = P_1$ and for $i \geq 2$,

$$L_i = P_1 \circ Q_1 \circ \dots \circ P_{i-1} \circ Q_{i-1} \circ P_i$$

where each of the paths Q_1, \dots, Q_{i-1} has at most $16/\gamma$ vertices and belongs to R . There is nothing to prove for $i = 1$. Assume the statement is true for some $1 \leq i \leq p$. Let ab be the last end-arc of L_i and let cd be the first end-arc of P_{i+1} . Note that

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} |V(Q_j)| < \gamma(i-1)/16 < 16\gamma^9 n < \gamma^8 n.$$

Applying Lemma 3.8 with ab and cd , we get a path Q_i of order at most $16/\gamma$, with ab is the first end-arc and cd is the last end-arc, such that $Q_i \setminus \{a, b, c, d\} \subset R$ and $Q_i \cap L_i = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Then we obtain a path of the form $L_{i+1} = L_i \circ Q_i \circ P_{i+1}$. Thus we could obtain path L_{p+1} which contains an absorbing path P_A and satisfies $|V(L_{p+1}) \cap R| < 16\gamma^9 n < \gamma^8 n$.

To obtain the cycle C , let ab be the last end-arc of L_{p+1} and cd be the first end-arc of L_{p+1} . Applying Lemma 3.8 with ab and cd again, we obtain a path Q of order at most $16/\gamma$ connecting ab and cd such that $Q \setminus \{a, b, c, d\} \subset R$. Thus we get desired cycle

$$C = P_1 \circ Q_1 \circ \dots \circ P_{p+1} \circ Q \circ P_1$$

that contains P_A as a segment and satisfies $|V(C) \cap R| \leq \gamma^8 n$. Recall that $|R| = \gamma^7 n/2$ and $|T| \leq \gamma^7 n/2$. There are at most $\gamma^7 n$ vertices left outside C . In fact, we have

$$|V(D - C)| = |T \cup R \setminus V(C)| \leq |T| + |R| \leq \frac{1}{2}\gamma^7 n + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^7 n = \gamma^7 n.$$

Thus this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4 Concluding remarks

To conclude the paper, we pose the following problem.

Problem 4.1. *Does every digraph on n vertices with $\delta^0(D) \geq 2n/3$ contain the (reverse) square of Hamiltonian cycles?*

Also, the cycle factor is also a natural extension of the Hamiltonian cycle. We conjecture that for any digraph D the lower bound $2|V(D)|/3$ on the semi-degree of D can guarantee all possible cycle factors. This is asymptotically correct for the cases $n_i = 3$ ($i \in [k]$) and $n_i = 4$ ($i \in [k]$) due to a result of Czygrinow et. al [4].

Conjecture 4.2. *Every digraph of order n with $\delta^0(D) \geq 2n/3$ contains all possible cycle factors. That is, for any positive integer partition $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$ with $n_i \geq 3$ for each i , digraph D has k disjoint cycles of length n_1, \dots, n_k , respectively.*

The following example shows that the minimum semi-degree condition in Conjecture 4.2 would be best possible if true. Let X and Y be two disjoint vertex sets of size $2k - 1$ and $k + 1$, respectively. Suppose D is a digraph with vertex set $X \cup Y$ and arc set $\{xy : x \in X, y \in X \cup Y\} \cup \{yx : x \in X, y \in Y\}$. Clearly, $\delta^0(D) = 2k - 1 = 2n/3 - 1$, but it contains no k disjoint 3-cycles.

References

- [1] N. Alon and A. Shapira. Testing subgraphs in directed graphs. *J. Comput. System Sci.*, 69:354–382, 2004.
- [2] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin. *Digraphs: Theory, algorithms and applications*. Springer, London, 2000.
- [3] B. Bollobás and R. Häggkvist. Powers of Hamilton cycles in tournaments. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 50(2):309–318, 1990.
- [4] A. Czygrinow, H. A. Kierstead, and T. Molla. On directed versions of the Corrádi-Hajnal corollary. *European J. Combin.*, 42:1–14, 2014.
- [5] G. A. Dirac. Some theorems on abstract graphs. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 2:69–81, 1952.
- [6] N. Draganić, D. M. Correia, and B. Sudakov. Tight bounds for powers of Hamilton cycles in tournaments. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 158:305–340, 2023.
- [7] P. Erdős. Problem 9, Theory of graphs and its applications. *M. Fiedler (Editor), Czech Acad Sci Publ, Prague*, 1964.
- [8] A. Ghouila-Houri. Une condition suffisante d’existence d’un circuit hamiltonien. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 25:495–497, 1960.
- [9] S. Janson, T. Łuczak, and A. Ruciński. Random graphs. *Ann Math Statist*, 23: 493–507, 1952.

- [10] P. Keevash, D. Kühn, and D. Osthus. An exact minimum degree condition for Hamilton cycles in oriented graphs. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 79:144–166, 2009.
- [11] L. Kelly, D. Kühn, and D. Osthus. A Dirac-type result on Hamilton cycles in oriented graphs. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 17:689–709, 2008.
- [12] J. Komlós. The Blow-up lemma. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 8:161–176, 1999.
- [13] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi. On the square of a Hamiltonian cycle in dense graphs. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 9(1-2):193–211, 1996.
- [14] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi. Blow-up lemma. *Combinatorica*, 17:109–123, 1997.
- [15] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi. A Dirac-type theorem for 3-uniform hypergraphs. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 15(1-2):229–251, 2006.
- [16] P. Seymour. Problem section, in Combinatorics:. In T. P. McDonough and V. C. Mavron, editors, *Proceedings of the British Combinatorial Conference 1973*, pages 201–202. Cambridge University Press, 1974.
- [17] C. Thomassen. Long cycles in digraphs with constraints on the degrees. In *Surveys in combinatorics (Proc. Seventh British Combinatorial Conf., Cambridge, 1979)*, volume 38 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 211–228. 1979.
- [18] A. Treglown. A note on some embedding problems for oriented graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 69:330–336, 2012.