

Essential normality of quotient modules vs. Hilbert-Schmidtness of submodules in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$

Penghui Wang* Chong Zhao † Zeyou Zhu‡

School of Mathematics, Shandong University
Jinan, Shandong 250100, The People's Republic of China

July 29, 2024

Abstract

In the present paper, we prove that all the quotient modules in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, associated to the finitely generated submodules containing a distinguished homogenous polynomial, are essentially normal, which is the first result on the essential normality of non-algebraic quotient modules in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Moreover, we obtain the equivalence of the essential normality of a quotient module and the Hilbert-Schmidtness of its associated submodule in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, in the case that the submodule contains a distinguished homogenous polynomial. As an application, we prove that each finitely generated submodule containing a polynomial is Hilbert-Schmidt, which partially gives an affirmative answer to the conjecture of Yang [39].

2000 MSC. 47A13, 46H25

Key Words. Essentially normal quotient module, Hilbert-Schmidt submodule, distinguished variety, Hardy space over the polydisc

1 Introduction

The Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ on the unit polydisc can be viewed as a Hilbert module [5, 15] on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$, equipped with a natural module action defined by the obvious multiplication by polynomials. A closed subspace \mathcal{M} of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ that is invariant under the module actions is called a submodule, and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}^\perp$ is called the associated quotient module. The module actions on submodules and quotient modules are given by

$$p \cdot h = R_{p, \mathcal{M}} h, \quad p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d], \quad h \in \mathcal{M},$$

*Partially supported by NSFC(No. 11871308,12271298), E-mail: phwang@sdu.edu.cn.

†Partially supported by NSFC(No. 12071253), and the Young Scholars Program of Shandong University, E-mail: chong.zhao@sdu.edu.cn.

‡Partially supported by NSFC(No. 11871308,12271298), E-mail: 201911795@mail.sdu.edu.cn.

and

$$p \cdot g = S_{p,\mathcal{N}}g, \quad p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d], \quad g \in \mathcal{N},$$

where $R_{p,\mathcal{M}} = M_p|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $S_{p,\mathcal{N}} = P_{\mathcal{N}}M_p|_{\mathcal{N}}$. For brevity, we write $R_p = R_{p,\mathcal{M}}$ and $S_p = S_{p,\mathcal{N}}$, if there is no confusion on the modules referred to. A quotient module \mathcal{N} is called essentially normal if all the commutators $[S_p^*, S_p]$ for $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ are compact. Let $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{N})$ be the ideal of compact operators on \mathcal{N} , which is written as \mathcal{K} for short.

In [2], Arveson conjectured that all the homogeneous submodules of the d -shift module over the complex unit ball are essentially normal. Much work has been done along this line, such as [2, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 26, 30, 34] and references therein, and there is a good survey on this problem provided in [23]. A polydisc version of Arveson's conjecture is to characterize the essential normality of homogeneous quotient modules in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, which was solved by the first two authors in [37]. Other efforts on the polydisc version of Arveson's conjecture can be found in [6, 9, 21, 22, 33, 35, 36, 38] and references therein.

It is known that the essential normality of quotient modules of analytic Hilbert modules over the polydisc is closely related to distinguished varieties, which were introduced by Agler and McCarthy [1] to deal with Ando's inequality and Pick interpolation problem, etc. Recall that an ideal I in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ is distinguished if the zero variety of I satisfies

$$\partial(Z(I) \cap \mathbb{D}^d) \subset \mathbb{T}^d.$$

In this case, the submodule $[I]$, which is the closure of I , and the associated quotient module $[I]^\perp$ are called distinguished. Recently, in [24], by introducing the Grassmannian structure for the distinguished quotient modules, Guo and the first two authors proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. (*[24, Theorem 1.3]*) *Let V be an algebraic subvariety of \mathbb{D}^2 , and I_V be the radical ideal of $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$ determined by V . Then V is distinguished if and only if, as a quotient module of some weighted Bergman space $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, $[I_V^2]^\perp$ is essentially normal.*

In this theorem, the choice of weighted Bergman space depends on the algebraic rank of the variety. Therefore, it is worth to find a fixed analytic Hilbert module over the bidisk, such as the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, on which all the distinguished quotient modules are essentially normal. There are two important results along this line. Firstly, it was proved in [36] that all the distinguished homogeneous quotient modules in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ are essentially normal. Secondly, Clark [6] proved that for nonconstant finite Blaschke products $B_i(z_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, the quotient module $[B_1(z_1) - B_2(z_2), \dots, B_{d-1}(z_{d-1}) - B_d(z_d)]^\perp$ in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ is a kind of weighted Bergman space over the distinguished variety $\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} Z(B_i(z_i) - B_{i+1}(z_{i+1}))$, whence essentially normal. Inspired by these observations, we introduce the concept of *Clark-type quotient modules*.

In what follows, for $q \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ and a d -tuple of inner functions $\eta(z) = (\eta_1(z_1), \dots, \eta_d(z_d))$, we write

$$p_\eta(z) = p(\eta_1(z_1), \dots, \eta_d(z_d)),$$

and $J_\eta = \{q \circ \eta : q \in J\}$ for subsets $J \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$.

To continue, we introduce the terminology of quasi-homogeneity. By the term weight we meant a given tuple $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_d)$ of positive integers. For a monomial z^α , the integer $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{K} = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i K_i$

is called its \mathbf{K} -degree. A linear combination of monomials with the same \mathbf{K} -degree is called \mathbf{K} -quasi-homogeneous. Ideals generated by \mathbf{K} -quasi-homogeneous polynomials are called \mathbf{K} -quasi-homogeneous, and so do the associated submodules and quotient modules. The essential normality of \mathbf{K} -quasi-homogeneous quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ was completely characterized in [38].

Definition 1.2. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ be an ideal. If there exists a d -tuple of nonconstant finite Blaschke products $B(z) = (B_1(z_1), \dots, B_d(z_d))$ and a distinguished quasi-homogeneous ideal $J \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$, such that*

$$I = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z, w] : f = qp_B, p \in J, q \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]\},$$

then we call I a Clark-type ideal, $Z(I) \cap \mathbb{D}^d$ a Clark-type variety, and $[I]^\perp$ a Clark-type quotient module.

Evidently the Clark-type varieties are distinguished. Since the denominators of finite Blaschke products are invertible outer functions, the submodule $[I]$ and the submodule generated by J_B coincide. Note that an ideal with Clark-type variety is not necessarily Clark-type.

In the case $d = 2$, every distinguished \mathbf{K} -quasi-homogeneous ideal J has a set of generators $\{p_i(z^{K_2}, w^{K_1}) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, where each p_i is a distinguished homogeneous polynomial. Temporarily write J' the ideal generated by $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$, and let I be the Clark-type ideal defined by J and $B = (B_1, B_2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z, w] : f = qp_B, p \in J, q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]\} \\ &= \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z, w] : f = q \cdot p(B_1(z)^{K_2}, B_2(z)^{K_1}), p \in J', q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]\} \\ &= \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z, w] : f = qp_{(B_1^{K_2}, B_2^{K_1})}, p \in J', q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]\} \end{aligned}$$

is just the Clark-type ideal defined by the homogeneous ideal J' and Blaschke products $B_1^{K_2}$ and $B_2^{K_1}$. For this reason, when $d = 2$, in Definition 1.2 we assume that J is homogeneous. Moreover, if $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ is a distinguished homogeneous polynomial, then we call p_B a Clark-type function.

The following theorem is one of the main results in this paper.

Theorem 1.3. *Let p_B be a Clark-type function, and \mathcal{M} be a finitely generated submodule containing p_B , then the quotient module \mathcal{M}^\perp of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is essentially normal.*

It is worth pointing out that Theorem 1.3 is the first result on the essential normality of non-algebraic submodules over the polydisc, where a submodule is called algebraic if it is generated by polynomials. Even the essential normality of a typical Clark-type quotient module, such as $[(B_1(z) - B_2(w))^n]^\perp$, is unknown before. More surprisingly, in the case that a submodule $[I]$ contains a Clark-type function, we find that the essential normality of $[I]^\perp$ is equivalent to the Hilbert-Schmidtness of $[I]$.

To state this clearly, we need some notations. For a submodule \mathcal{M} in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, the core operator

$$C_{\mathcal{M}} = I - R_z R_z^* - R_w R_w^* + R_{zw} R_{zw}^*,$$

introduced by Guo and Yang [25] plays an important role in the study of the submodule. A submodule \mathcal{M} is called Hilbert-Schmidt if $C_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. It was conjectured by Yang [39, Page 403] that

Conjecture 1.4. *All the finitely generated submodules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ are Hilbert-Schmidt.*

Some positive results on this conjecture have been obtained. In [39, 40], it was proved that all the algebraic submodules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ are Hilbert-Schmidt. Luo, Izuchi and Yang [27] proved that a submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ containing $z - B(w)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if it is finitely generated, where B is a nonconstant finite Blaschke product. This result was then generalized by Zu, Yang and Lu [42] to the submodules containing $B_1(z) - B_2(w)$ for finite Blaschke products B_1 and B_2 . The following result allows us to build up the equivalence between the essential normality of the quotient modules and the Hilbert-Schmidtness of the associated submodules in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let \mathcal{M} be a submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ containing a Clark-type function, then \mathcal{M} is Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if \mathcal{M}^\perp is essentially normal.*

Without the assumption that the submodule contains a Clark-type function, Theorem 1.5 fails. For example, it is obvious that the submodule $[z^2]$ of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt, while its quotient $[z^2]^\perp$ is not essentially normal. The following result attacks Conjecture 1.4.

Theorem 1.6. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finitely generated submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ containing a polynomial, then \mathcal{M} is Hilbert-Schmidt.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we obtain the essential normality of Clark-type quotient modules in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$. In Section 3, the K-homology elements of Clark-type quotient modules are considered, and the non-triviality of the K-homology elements induced by the associated C^* -extensions is obtained. In Section 4, for submodules \mathcal{M} containing a Clark-type ideal, the equivalence between Hilbert-Schmidtness of \mathcal{M} and the essential normality of \mathcal{M}^\perp is established. In Section 5, finitely generated non-algebraic submodules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ containing a polynomial are proven to be Hilbert-Schmidt. And finally in Section 6, several examples on non-algebraic submodules and the associated quotient modules are investigated.

2 $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal quotient modules

To characterize the essential normality of quotient modules over \mathbb{D}^d precisely, we introduce the concept of Macaev ideals. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ be the list of singular values of a compact operator $A \in B(H)$ in the descending order, multiplicity being counted. If the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{\ln(n+1)} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \right\}$ is bounded, then A is said to be in the Macaev ideal $\mathfrak{L}^{(1, \infty)}$. Readers are referred to [7] for more details of Macaev ideals. As in [36], a quotient module N in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ is called $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal if $[S_p^*, S_q] \in \mathfrak{L}^{(1, \infty)}$ for all polynomials p and q .

For $A \in B(H)$, if it happens that $\text{Id}_H - A^*A \in \mathfrak{L}^{(1, \infty)}$, then A is called $(1, \infty)$ -essentially isometric. The adjoint operators of $(1, \infty)$ -essentially isometries are called $(1, \infty)$ -essentially co-isometries. If an operator is simultaneously $(1, \infty)$ -essentially isometric and $(1, \infty)$ -essentially co-isometric, then it is called $(1, \infty)$ -essentially unitary.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{N} be a quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$, and ξ be an inner function on \mathbb{D}^d such that S_ξ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially unitary, and $\varphi_a : z \mapsto \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$ be a Mobius transform. Then $S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}$ is also $(1, \infty)$ -essentially unitary.*

Proof. Because S_ξ is essentially unitary, it holds that $\sigma_e(S_\xi) \subset \mathbb{T}$. By the spectral mapping theorem, the essential spectrum

$$\sigma_e(S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}) = \varphi_a(\sigma_e(S_\xi)) \subset \mathbb{T},$$

which implies the Fredholmness of $S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}$.

Since S_ξ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially unitary, we have

$$P_{\mathcal{N}} M_\xi^* P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi P_{\mathcal{N}} = (\text{Id}_{\mathcal{N}} - S_\xi^* S_\xi) P_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{L}^{(1, \infty)},$$

and therefore $P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi P_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{L}^{(2, \infty)}$. Temporarily we write $c = \|P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{(2, \infty)}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi^{k+1} P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{(2, \infty)} &= \|P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi^k (P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} + P_{\mathcal{N}}) M_\xi P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{(2, \infty)} \\ &\leq \|P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi^k P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{(2, \infty)} + \|P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi^k P_{\mathcal{N}} M_\xi P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{(2, \infty)} \\ &\leq c + \|P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi^k P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{(2, \infty)}, \end{aligned}$$

then by induction we get

$$\|P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi^k P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{(2, \infty)} \leq kc, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_{\varphi_a(\xi)} P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{(2, \infty)} &= \|P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} (a - M_\xi) (1 - \bar{a} M_\xi)^{-1} P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{(2, \infty)} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a \bar{a}^k P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi^k P_{\mathcal{N}} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{a}^k P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi^{k+1} P_{\mathcal{N}} \right\|_{(2, \infty)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a|^{k+1} \|P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi^k P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{(2, \infty)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a|^k \|P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_\xi^{k+1} P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{(2, \infty)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kc |a|^{k+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)c |a|^k \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which ensures $P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_{\varphi_a(\xi)} P_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{L}^{(2, \infty)}$, and moreover

$$\text{Id}_{\mathcal{N}} - S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}^* S_{\varphi_a(\xi)} = P_{\mathcal{N}} M_{\varphi_a(\xi)}^* P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} M_{\varphi_a(\xi)} P_{\mathcal{N}} \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{L}^{(1, \infty)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $S_{\varphi_a(\xi)} = W |S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}|$ be the polar decomposition, where $|S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}| = (S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}^* S_{\varphi_a(\xi)})^{1/2}$ and W is the partial isometry that maps \mathcal{N} unitarily onto $\text{ran } S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}$. Since $S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}$ is Fredholm, the subspace $\text{ran } (\text{Id}_{\mathcal{N}} - WW^*) = \text{coker } S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}$ is finite dimensional. Then it follows that

$$\text{Id}_{\mathcal{N}} - S_{\varphi_a(\xi)} S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}^* = W (\text{Id}_{\mathcal{N}} - S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}^* S_{\varphi_a(\xi)}) W^* + (\text{Id}_{\mathcal{N}} - WW^*) \in \mathcal{L}^{(1, \infty)},$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

For a tuple $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$, and multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we write $z^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^d z_i^{\alpha_i}$. The following result gives the essential normality of a large class of inhomogeneous quotient Hardy modules.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ be a Clark-type ideal, then the quotient module $[I]^\perp$ of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal. Moreover, S_{z_i} is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially unitary for $i = 1, \dots, d$.*

Proof. Let J, B_1, \dots, B_d be as in Definition 1.2. Write $H_0 = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d \ker M_{B_i(z_i)}^*$ which is finite dimensional, then by the Wold decomposition we have

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}^d) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} M_{B^\alpha} H_0.$$

For $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ and $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ we write $A_p f = p(B)f$, then A defines a $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ -module structure on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} U : H^2(\mathbb{D}^d) \otimes H_0 &\rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}^d) \\ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} z^\alpha \otimes f_\alpha &\mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} B^\alpha f_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

then U is unitary and $U(M_p \otimes \text{Id}_{H_0}) = A_p U$. For $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^d) \otimes H_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Uf \in [I]^\perp &\Leftrightarrow M_p^* Uf = 0, \quad \forall p \in I \\ &\Leftrightarrow M_{q(B)}^* Uf = 0, \quad \forall q \in J \\ &\Leftrightarrow A_q^* Uf = 0, \quad \forall q \in J \\ &\Leftrightarrow U(M_q \otimes \text{Id}_{H_0})^* f = 0, \quad \forall q \in J \\ &\Leftrightarrow (M_q \otimes \text{Id}_{H_0})^* f = 0, \quad \forall q \in J \\ &\Leftrightarrow f \in [J]^\perp \otimes H_0, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $[I]^\perp = U([J]^\perp \otimes H_0)$. By [36, 38] it holds that

$$P_{[J]} M_{z_i} P_{[J]^\perp} \in \mathcal{L}^{(2, \infty)},$$

and

$$P_{[J]^\perp} - P_{[J]^\perp} M_{z_i} M_{z_i}^* P_{[J]^\perp} \in \mathcal{L}^{(1, \infty)}.$$

Write $B_i(z_i) = \varphi_a(z_i) \tilde{B}_i(z_i)$ where φ_a is a Mobius transform and \tilde{B}_i is a Blaschke product. It follows that

$$P_{[I]} M_{B_i(z_i)} P_{[I]^\perp} = U((P_{[J]} M_{z_i} P_{[J]^\perp}) \otimes \text{Id}_{H_0}) U^* \in \mathcal{L}^{(2, \infty)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{[I]^\perp} - S_{\varphi_a(z_i)} S_{\varphi_a(z_i)}^* &= P_{[I]^\perp} - P_{[I]^\perp} M_{\varphi_a(z_i)} M_{\varphi_a(z_i)}^* P_{[I]^\perp} \\ &= P_{[I]^\perp} P_{\ker M_{\varphi_a(z_i)}^*} P_{[I]^\perp} \\ &\leq P_{[I]^\perp} P_{\ker M_{B_i(z_i)}^*} P_{[I]^\perp} \\ &= P_{[I]^\perp} - P_{[I]^\perp} M_{B_i(z_i)} M_{B_i(z_i)}^* P_{[I]^\perp} \\ &= U(P_{[J]^\perp} - P_{[J]^\perp} M_{z_i} M_{z_i}^* P_{[J]^\perp}) \otimes \text{Id}_{H_0} U^* \\ &\in \mathcal{L}^{(1, \infty)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Similarly, from

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{[I]^\perp} M_{B_i(z_i)}^* P_{[I]} M_{B_i(z_i)} P_{[I]^\perp} \\ &= P_{[I]^\perp} - P_{[I]^\perp} M_{B_i(z_i)}^* P_{[I]^\perp} M_{B_i(z_i)} P_{[I]^\perp} \\ &= P_{[I]^\perp} - P_{[I]^\perp} M_{\varphi_a(z_i)}^* P_{[I]^\perp} M_{\varphi_a(z_i)} P_{[I]^\perp} + P_{[I]^\perp} M_{\varphi_a(z_i)}^* (P_{[I]^\perp} - M_{\tilde{B}_1(z)}^* P_{[I]^\perp} M_{\tilde{B}_1(z)}) M_{\varphi_a(z_i)} P_{[I]^\perp} \\ &\geq P_{[I]^\perp} - P_{[I]^\perp} M_{\varphi_a(z_i)}^* P_{[I]^\perp} M_{\varphi_a(z_i)} P_{[I]^\perp} \\ &= P_{[I]^\perp} M_{\varphi_a(z_i)}^* P_{[I]} M_{\varphi_a(z_i)} P_{[I]^\perp}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\text{Id}_{[I]^\perp} - S_{\varphi_a(z_i)}^* S_{\varphi_a(z_i)} = P_{[I]^\perp} M_{\varphi_a(z_i)}^* P_{[I]} M_{\varphi_a(z_i)} P_{[I]^\perp} \mid_{[I]^\perp} \in \mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}. \quad (2.3)$$

By (2.2) and (2.3), $S_{\varphi_a(z_i)}$ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially unitary. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $S_{z_i} = S_{\varphi_a \circ \varphi_a(z_i)}$ is $(1, \infty)$ -essential unitary. \square

In the proof of Proposition 2.2, if one of the inner functions B_1, \dots, B_d is not a finite Blaschke products, namely some B_i is a Blaschke product with infinite order, or even has a nontrivial singular part, then H_0 is infinite dimensional. The unitary U builds up the equivalence between the quotient modules $[I]^\perp$ and $[J]^\perp \otimes H_0$, which are not essentially normal. This derives the following result.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\eta = (\eta_1(z_1), \dots, \eta_d(z_d))$ be a d -tuple of nonconstant inner functions, and $J \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ be a distinguished quasi-homogeneous ideal. Then $[J_\eta]^\perp$ is essentially normal if and only if all the η_i 's are finite Blaschke products.*

By the similar technique in [38, Section 5], Proposition 2.2 can be generalized to the case of integer-weighted Bergman modules. For simplicity, let $[I]_\alpha^\perp$ be the quotient module of $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ determined by the ideal I . Without confusion, in the weighted Bergman space we also denote $S_{f, [I]_\alpha^\perp} = P_{[I]_\alpha^\perp} M_f \mid_{[I]_\alpha^\perp}$.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ be a Clark-type ideal, then for every integer $\alpha \geq -1$, the quotient module $[I]_\alpha^\perp$ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal.*

Proof. The case $\alpha = -1$ is included in Proposition 2.2, so in the remaining we assume $\alpha \geq 0$.

By the argument following Definition 1.2, there is a distinguished homogeneous ideal $J \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ and nonconstant finite Blaschke products B_1, B_2 , such that I is generated by the numerators of functions in J_B . Let J_1 be the homogeneous ideal of $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{\alpha+2}, w_1, \dots, w_{\alpha+2}]$ generated by

$$\{q(z_i, w_i), z_i - z_j, w_i - w_j : q \in J, 1 \leq i, j \leq \alpha + 2\},$$

and $I_1 \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{\alpha+2}, w_1, \dots, w_{\alpha+2}]$ be the ideal generated by the numerators of functions in

$$\{q(B_1(z_1), \dots, B_1(z_{\alpha+2}), B_2(w_1), \dots, B_2(w_{\alpha+2})) : q \in J_1\}.$$

By Proposition 2.2, on the quotient Hardy module $[I_1]^\perp \subset H^2(\mathbb{D}^{2\alpha+4})$, the operators $S_{z_i, [I_1]^\perp}$ and $S_{w_i, [I_1]^\perp}$ are $(1, \infty)$ -essentially co-isometric.

Let $I_2 \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{\alpha+2}, w_1, \dots, w_{\alpha+2}]$ be the ideal generated by the numerators of functions in

$$\{p(z_i, w_i), z_i - z_j, w_i - w_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq \alpha + 2, p \in I\},$$

then from $I_1 \subset I_2$ we deduce $[I_2]^\perp \subset [I_1]^\perp$. Consequently, both $S_{z_i, [I_2]^\perp}$ and $S_{w_i, [I_2]^\perp}$ are $(1, \infty)$ -essentially co-isometric. By [6, 36], for the ideal I_3 generated by $\{z_i - z_j, w_i - w_j : 1 \leq i \leq \alpha + 2\}$, the quotient Hardy module $[I_3]^\perp$ is unitarily equivalent to the weighted Bergman module $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, via the mapping

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_{\alpha+2}, w_1, \dots, w_{\alpha+2}) \mapsto f(z, \dots, z, w, \dots, w).$$

Hence $[I_2]^\perp$ is unitarily equivalent to $[I]_\alpha^\perp$. Therefore both $S_{z, [I]_\alpha^\perp}$ and $S_{w, [I]_\alpha^\perp}$ are $(1, \infty)$ -essentially co-isometric. Finally, by [24, Proposition 2.5], $[I]_\alpha^\perp$ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal. \square

Theorem 2.5. *If $I \subset \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ is a distinguished ideal with Clark-type variety, then for every integer $\alpha \geq -1$, the quotient module $[I]_\alpha^\perp$ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal.*

Proof. By the argument following Definition 1.2, there exists a homogeneous ideal $J \subset \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ and finite Blaschke products B_1, B_2 , such that

$$Z(I) \cap \mathbb{D}^d = Z(I_0) \cap \mathbb{D}^d,$$

where I_0 is the ideal generated by the numerators of functions in J_B . Since I is distinguished, I_0 and a fortiori J is distinguished.

The Hilbert's Nullstellensatz ensures the existence of an integer N such that $I \supset I_0^N$, where I_0^N is the ideal generated by the numerators of $q \circ B$, $q \in J^N$. Then I_0^N is of Clark-type. Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.4 guarantee the $(1, \infty)$ -essential normality of $[I_0^N]_\alpha^\perp$, hence

$$\text{Id}_{[I]_\alpha^\perp} - S_{z, [I]_\alpha^\perp} S_{z, [I]_\alpha^\perp}^* = P_{[I]_\alpha^\perp} \left(\text{Id}_{[I_0^N]_\alpha^\perp} - S_{z, [I_0^N]_\alpha^\perp} S_{z, [I_0^N]_\alpha^\perp}^* \right) |_{[I]_\alpha^\perp} \in \mathcal{L}^{(1, \infty)},$$

and symmetrically

$$\text{Id}_{[I]_\alpha^\perp} - S_{w, [I]_\alpha^\perp} S_{w, [I]_\alpha^\perp}^* \in \mathcal{L}^{(1, \infty)}.$$

Then the proof of the corollary is finished by [24, Proposition 2.5]. \square

Combining Theorem 2.5 and [24, Corollary 6.4], we immediately get the following result.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ be an ideal which is the intersection of ideals I_1, \dots, I_n with Clark-type varieties, such that $Z(I_i) \cap Z(I_j) \cap \mathbb{T}^d = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$. Then $[I]^\perp$ is essentially normal.*

3 K-homology for Clark-type quotient modules

In this section, we will consider the K-homology defined by Clark-type quotient modules. Recall that, by the BDF-theory [4], when a quotient module $[I]^\perp$ is essentially normal, we have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow C^*([I]^\perp) \rightarrow C(\sigma_e(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d})) \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $C^*([I]^\perp)$ is the C^* -algebra generated by $\{\text{Id}_{[I]^\perp}, S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d}\}$, and $\sigma_e(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d})$ is the Taylor's joint essential spectrum [8, 31] of the tuple $(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d})$. It will be shown that the short exact sequence (3.1) is not splitting, which gives a nontrivial element e_I in the K-homology group $K(\sigma_e(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d}))$. To continue, for Clark-type quotient modules $[I]^\perp$ we will prove that

$$\sigma_e(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d}) = Z(I) \cap \partial D^d.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and a subset S of $\{1, \dots, d\}$, we write $z_S = \prod_{i \in S} z_i$. For a Hilbert $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ -module \mathcal{H} , we set

$$C_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{S \subset \{1, \dots, d\}} (-1)^{\text{card } S} M_{z_S} M_{z_S}^*,$$

then for a submodule \mathcal{M} of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$, $C_{\mathcal{M}}$ is just the core operator defined by Guo and Yang [25]. It is routine to verify that $C_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)} = 1 \otimes 1$ is compact. For the weighted Bergman module $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ where $\alpha \in (-1, \infty)$, an orthonormal basis is

$$\left\{ \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\Gamma(\beta_i + \alpha + 2)}{\Gamma(\beta_i + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 2)}} z^\beta : \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d \right\},$$

and it is routine to verify that

$$C_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^d)} z^\beta = \frac{(\alpha + 1)^d}{\prod_{i=1}^d (\beta_i + \alpha + 1)} z^\beta, \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

Hence $C_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^d)}$ is compact for all $\alpha \in [-1, \infty)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ be an ideal such that*

$$\partial(Z(I) \cap \mathbb{D}^d) = Z(I) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^d, \quad (3.2)$$

and $\alpha \geq -1$ be a real number, such that the quotient module $[I]^\perp$ of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ is essentially normal, then the Taylor essential spectrum [31, 32]

$$\sigma_e(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d}) = Z(I) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^d.$$

Proof. Since $p(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d}) = 0$ for all polynomials $p \in I$, the spectral mapping theorem [32] gives

$$\sigma_e(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d}) \subset \sigma(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d}) \subset Z(I).$$

From

$$C_{[I]_\alpha^\perp} = P_{[I]_\alpha^\perp} C_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^d)} |_{[I]_\alpha^\perp} \quad (3.3)$$

we obtain the compactness of $C_{[I]_\alpha^\perp}$. Then since $C^*([I]_\alpha^\perp)$ is essentially commutative, it follows from the definition of $C_{[I]_\alpha^\perp}$ that $\sigma_e([I]_\alpha^\perp) \subset \partial\mathbb{D}^d$. Therefore we have proved

$$\sigma_e(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d}) \subset Z(I) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^d.$$

For the inverse inclusion, let $\lambda \in Z(I) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^d$. By [8, Corollary 3.9], we need to deny the Fredholmness of $T = \sum_{i=1}^d (\lambda_i - S_{z_i})(\lambda_i - S_{z_i})^*$. If T is Fredholm, then by the positivity of T , we can find an invertible positive operator B and a compact K such that $T = B + K$. Take a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ in $Z(I) \cap \mathbb{D}^d$ that converges to λ . Let $k_{\mu_n} = \frac{K_{\mu_n}}{\|K_{\mu_n}\|}$ be the normalized reproducing kernel, then $\{k_{\mu_n}\}$ converges to 0 weakly. Hence there is a number $c > 0$ making

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T k_{\mu_n}, k_{\mu_n} \rangle = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (B + K) k_{\mu_n}, k_{\mu_n} \rangle = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle B k_{\mu_n}, k_{\mu_n} \rangle \geq c.$$

However, since $\mu_n \in V_u$, it holds that $k_{\mu_n} \in [I]^\perp$, and consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T k_{\mu_n}, k_{\mu_n} \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda - \mu_n|^2 = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

contradicting to the previous inequality. Therefore T is not Fredholm and $\lambda \in \sigma_e(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d})$, completing the proof of the proposition. \square

For a Clark-type variety $V = Z(p_B) \cap \mathbb{D}^d$, it holds

$$\partial V = Z(p_B) \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = Z(p_B) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}^2,$$

therefore the condition (3.2) in Proposition 3.1 is satisfied. This together with Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 derives the following corollaries on the Taylor essential spectrums of the quotient modules.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ be a Clark-type ideal, then*

$$\sigma_e(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d}) = Z(I) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}^d.$$

And in the case $d = 2$, the following corollary holds.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ be an ideal with Clark-type variety, and $\alpha \geq -1$ be an integer, then*

$$\sigma_e(S_z, S_w) = Z(I) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}^2.$$

By Theorem 2.5 and [24, Theorem 5.2], we can prove the non-triviality of the C^* -extensions associated to the quotient modules with Clark-type variety.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ be an ideal with Clark-type variety, and $\alpha \geq -1$ be an integer, then the short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow C^*([I]_\alpha^\perp) \rightarrow C(Z(I) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow 0$$

is not splitting.

To prove the non-triviality of the C^* -extensions corresponding to the Clark-type quotient modules in the high dimensional cases, the following technical lemma is needed.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $J \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ be a distinguished \mathbf{K} -quasi-homogeneous ideal, then S_{z_1} is a Fredholm operator with nonzero index.*

Proof. By [38], S_{z_1} is essentially unitary and therefore Fredholm. Evidently $1 \in \ker S_{z_1}^*$, hence $\dim \ker S_{z_1}^* > 0$. It remains to prove the injectivity of S_{z_1} . Let $h \in \ker S_{z_1}$ be \mathbf{K} -quasi-homogeneous, and $J = \bigcap_{k=1}^n J_k$ be the primary decomposition of J , where each $Z(J_k)$ is 1-dimensional. Then

$$z_1 h \in [J] \cap \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d] = \bigcap_{k=1}^n J_k.$$

For each k , the variety $Z(J_k) \subset Z(J)$ is distinguished, hence $z_1^n \notin J_k$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $h \in \bigcap_{k=1}^n J_k = J$, which ensures $\ker S_{z_1} = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ be a Clark-type ideal, then the short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow C^*([I]^\perp) \rightarrow C(Z(I) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}^d) \rightarrow 0$$

is not splitting.

Proof. By [19, Lemma 5.5], it suffices to prove $S_{B_1(z_1), [I]^\perp}$ is a Fredholm operator with nonzero index.

Let J, B_1, \dots, B_d be as in Definition 1.2. By the proof of Proposition 2.2, $S_{B_1(z_1), [I]^\perp}$ is unitary equivalent to $S_{z_1, [J]^\perp} \otimes \text{Id}_{H_0}$, where $H_0 = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d \ker M_{B_i(z_i)}^*$ is finite dimensional. By Proposition 3.5, $S_{z_1, [J]^\perp}$ is Fredholm with nonzero index, then so are $S_{z_1, [J]^\perp} \otimes \text{Id}_{H_0}$ and $S_{B_1(z_1), [I]^\perp}$. \square

4 Hilbert-Schmidt submodules vs. Essentially normal quotient modules

In this section, we establish the connection between Hilbert-Schmidt submodules and essentially normal quotient modules.

Let p be a polynomial such that

$$Z(p) \cap Z(z) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 = \emptyset.$$

Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a finitely generated submodule containing p , i.e. $\mathcal{M} = [p, f_1, \dots, f_n]$ where $f_i \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, and set

$$Q = \mathcal{M} \ominus [p] = [p]^\perp \ominus \mathcal{M}^\perp.$$

It is not hard to verify that Q is invariant for $S_{z, [p]^\perp}$. In fact, for any $f \in Q$, $g \in \mathcal{M}^\perp$ and $q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$, it holds that

$$\langle S_{q, [p]^\perp} f, g \rangle = \langle P_{[p]^\perp} M_q f, g \rangle = \langle qf, P_{[p]^\perp} g \rangle = 0.$$

For $q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$, we write

$$T_{q, Q} = S_{q, [p]^\perp} |_{Q} = P_Q M_q |_{Q}. \quad (4.1)$$

The following lemma is inspired by [27].

Lemma 4.1. *Under the above assumptions, the subspace $\ker T_{z, Q}^*$ is of finite dimension.*

Proof. Please note that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker T_{z, Q}^* &= Q \ominus S_{z, [p]^\perp} Q \\ &= \{f \in Q : \langle f, P_{[p]^\perp}(zg) \rangle = 0, \forall g \in Q\} \\ &= \{f \in Q : \langle f, zg \rangle = 0, \forall g \in Q\} \\ &= \{f \in Q : \langle f, h \rangle = 0, \forall h \in z\mathcal{M} \ominus z[p]\} \\ &= \mathcal{M} \cap [p]^\perp \cap (z\mathcal{M} \ominus z[p])^\perp \\ &= \mathcal{M} \ominus [p, z\mathcal{M}] \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{M} \ominus [z\mathcal{M} + p\mathcal{M}]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we claim that $\mathcal{M} \ominus [z\mathcal{M} + p\mathcal{M}]$ is of finite dimension. Since

$$Z(p) \cap Z(z) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 = \emptyset,$$

by [20], $zH^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + pH^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is closed and

$$\dim H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \ominus (zH^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + pH^2(\mathbb{D}^2)) < \infty.$$

It is not hard to select a basis $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \ominus (zH^2(\mathbb{D}^2) + pH^2(\mathbb{D}^2))$, consisting of bounded functions. We claim that

$$\mathcal{M} \ominus [z\mathcal{M} + p\mathcal{M}] \subset \text{span} \{P_{[p]}(e_i f_j) : i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, n+1\},$$

where for convenience, we denote $f_{n+1} = p$. To prove this, suppose $g \in \mathcal{M} \ominus [z\mathcal{M} + p\mathcal{M}]$ satisfies

$$g \perp \text{span} \{P_{[p]}(e_i f_j) : i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, n+1\}.$$

Now, for any $h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, there exists $h_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \ominus [z, p]$ and $h_2 \in [z, p]$, such that $h = h_1 + h_2$, then

$$P_{[p]}(h_1 f_j) \in \text{span}\{P_{[p]}(e_i f_j) : i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Furthermore, it is easy to see $h_2 f_j \in [z\mathcal{M} + p\mathcal{M}]$. Therefore

$$\langle g, h f_j \rangle = \langle g, h_1 f_j \rangle + \langle g, h_2 f_j \rangle = 0,$$

It follows that $g \perp \mathcal{M}$, and the claim is proved. Consequently, $\ker T_{z,Q}^*$ is of finite dimension. \square

The following lemma originates from Arveson [2, 3], and we omit its proof which is almost trivial.

Lemma 4.2. *Let A be an essentially normal operator on a Hilbert space, and \mathcal{M} be a closed invariant subspace for A . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *the restriction $A|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal (resp. essentially normal);*
- (2) *the operator $P_{\mathcal{M}} A|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^{(2, \infty)}$ (resp. \mathcal{K});*
- (3) *the compression $P_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} A|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal (resp. essentially normal).*

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathcal{M} be a submodule in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ containing a Clark-type ideal I , then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *\mathcal{M} is Hilbert-Schmidt;*
- (2) *\mathcal{M}^\perp is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal;*
- (3) *$\mathcal{M}_1 = [I]^\perp \ominus \mathcal{M}^\perp$ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal.*

Proof. The equivalence between (2) and (3) follows immediately from Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 4.2.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose \mathcal{M} is Hilbert-Schmidt, i.e.

$$C_{\mathcal{M}} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}} - R_z R_z^* - R_w R_w^* + R_z R_w R_z^* R_w^* \in \mathcal{L}^2.$$

By [41, Theorem 4.3], it holds $\sigma_\varepsilon(S_z, S_w) \subset \partial\mathbb{D}^2$. On the other hand, for each $f \in I$ we have $f(S_z, S_w) = S_f = 0$, which forces

$$f(\sigma(S_z, S_w)) = \sigma(f(S_z, S_w)) \subset \{0\}.$$

Consequently $\sigma_\varepsilon(S_z, S_w) \subset Z(I) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 \subset \mathbb{T}^2$. Applying the spectral mapping theorem, we find $\sigma_\varepsilon(S_z) \subset \mathbb{T}$ which ensures the Fredholmness of S_z . It follows from Theorem 2.2 that

$$\text{Id}_{[I]^\perp} - S_{z, [I]^\perp} S_{z, [I]^\perp}^* \in \mathcal{L}^{(1, \infty)},$$

and consequently

$$\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} - S_z S_z^* \in \mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)},$$

which derives the $(1, \infty)$ -essential normality of \mathcal{M}^\perp .

(2) \Rightarrow (1): This follows from the direct computation

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathcal{M}} &= P_{\mathcal{M}}(\text{Id} - M_z P_{\mathcal{M}} M_z^* - M_w P_{\mathcal{M}} M_w^* + M_{zw} P_{\mathcal{M}} M_{zw}^*) |_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &= P_{\mathcal{M}}(\text{Id} - M_z M_z^* - M_w M_w^* + M_{zw} M_{zw}^*) |_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &\quad + P_{\mathcal{M}}(M_z P_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} M_z^* + M_w P_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} M_w^* - M_{zw} P_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} M_{zw}^*) |_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &= P_{\mathcal{M}}(1 \otimes 1) |_{\mathcal{M}} + D_z D_z^* + D_w D_w^* - D_{zw} D_{zw}^* \\ &\in \mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $D_p = P_{\mathcal{M}} M_p P_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$ for $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$, and the last “ \in ” follows from Lemma 4.2. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let p be a distinguished homogenous polynomial, and B_1, B_2 be nonconstant finite Blaschke products. If \mathcal{M} is a finitely generated submodule containing p_B , then*

- (1) both S_{z, \mathcal{M}^\perp} and S_{w, \mathcal{M}^\perp} are $(1, \infty)$ -essentially unitary, and hence \mathcal{M} is Hilbert-Schmidt,
- (2) the quotient module \mathcal{M}^\perp is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal.

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, we only need to prove (2). For $\mathcal{M} = [p_B, f_1, \dots, f_n]$, we decompose the quotient module $[p_B]^\perp$ into

$$[p_B]^\perp = Q \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp,$$

then accordingly $S_{z, [p_B]^\perp}$ has a matrix representation

$$S_{z, [p_B]^\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{z, Q} & D_z \\ 0 & S_{z, \mathcal{M}^\perp} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $D_z = P_{[Q]^\perp} S_z |_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$. Now, for the Clark-type function p_B , $S_{z, [p_B]^\perp}$ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially unitary by Theorem 2.2. Since Q is invariant for $S_{z, [p_B]^\perp}$, we conclude that $T_{z, Q}$ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially isometric. Moreover, $\ker T_{z, Q}^*$ is finite dimensional by Lemma 4.1, therefore $T_{z, Q}$ is Fredholm, whence $(1, \infty)$ -essentially unitary. Then by Lemma 4.2, $S_{z, \mathcal{M}^\perp} = P_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} S_{z, [p_B]^\perp} |_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$ is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal. Similarly, S_{w, \mathcal{M}^\perp} is also $(1, \infty)$ -essentially unitary, and hence \mathcal{M}^\perp is $(1, \infty)$ -essentially normal. \square

5 Hilbert-Schmidtness of the submodule containing a polynomial

In this section, we study the Hilbert-Schmidtness of finitely generated submodules containing a polynomial.

Theorem 5.1. *Let p be a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$, and \mathcal{M} be a finitely generated submodule containing a polynomial p , then S_{z, \mathcal{M}^\perp} is Fredholm. Furthermore, \mathcal{M} is Hilbert-Schmidt.*

Proof. The theorem will be proved in two steps.

Step 1. We first consider the case $Z(p) \cap Z(z) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 = \emptyset$.

At first, we claim that $S_{z,[p]^\perp}$ is bounded below. In fact, by [40], the submodule $[p]$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. Then [41, Theorem 4.3] implies $\sigma_e(S_{z,[p]^\perp}, S_{w,[p]^\perp}) \subset \partial\mathbb{D}^2$. On the other hand, we have

$$\sigma_e(S_{z,[p]^\perp}, S_{w,[p]^\perp}) \subset \sigma(S_{z,[p]^\perp}, S_{w,[p]^\perp}) \subset Z(p),$$

and it follows that $\sigma_e(S_{z,[p]^\perp}, S_{w,[p]^\perp}) \subset Z(p) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2$. Since $Z(p) \cap Z(z) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 = \emptyset$, the spectral mapping theorem gives $0 \notin \sigma_e(S_{z,[p]^\perp})$, hence $S_{z,[p]^\perp}$ is Fredholm. According to the proof of [24, Lemma 2.3], $S_{z,[p]^\perp}$ is injective, and hence it is bounded below, which proves the claim.

With respect to $[p]^\perp = Q \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$, $S_{z,[p]^\perp}$ can be decomposed as

$$S_{z,[p]^\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{z,Q} & D_z \\ O & S_{z,\mathcal{M}^\perp} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore $T_{z,Q}$ is bounded below. As a consequence of Lemma 4.1, $T_{z,Q}$ is Fredholm. Hence there exists a compact operator K and $A_z \in B(Q)$ such that

$$A_z T_{z,Q} = I + K.$$

Obviously, $\begin{pmatrix} A_z & O \\ O & I \end{pmatrix}$ is Fredholm and so is

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_z & O \\ O & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{z,Q} & D_z \\ O & S_{z,\mathcal{M}^\perp} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I + K & D \\ O & S_{z,\mathcal{M}^\perp} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D = A_z D_z$ is a bounded operator. It follows that $\begin{pmatrix} I & D \\ O & S_{z,\mathcal{M}^\perp} \end{pmatrix}$ is Fredholm. Now, from

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & D \\ O & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -D \\ O & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -D \\ O & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & D \\ O & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & I \end{pmatrix},$$

we conclude that $\begin{pmatrix} I & -D \\ O & I \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible, and hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & S_{z,\mathcal{M}^\perp} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & D \\ O & S_{z,\mathcal{M}^\perp} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -D \\ O & I \end{pmatrix}$$

is Fredholm, which ensures the Fredholmness of S_{z,\mathcal{M}^\perp} , i.e. $0 \notin \sigma_e(S_{z,\mathcal{M}^\perp})$. Then by [40, Theorem 2.3], \mathcal{M} is Hilbert-Schmidt.

Step 2. For the case $Z(p) \cap Z(z) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 \neq \emptyset$, by [39, Corollary 3.5], we choose an $a \in \mathbb{D}$ such that p has no zeroes in $\{a\} \times \mathbb{T}$. Denote the Mobius transformation by

$$\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}, \quad \Phi_a(z, w) = (\varphi_a(z), w).$$

It is easy to see that there exists an $a \in \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$Z(z) \cap Z(p_{\Phi_a}) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 = \emptyset.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi_a} = \{f \circ \Phi_a, f \in \mathcal{M}\}$, then by Step 1 the core operator $C_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi_a}}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. Then the Hilbert-Schmidtness of $C_{\mathcal{M}}$ follows from [41, Proposition 2.1]. \square

6 Examples

In this section, we consider several examples. At first, we show that in Theorem 4.4, without the assumption that the submodule is finitely generated, the associated quotient module may fail to be essentially normal.

Example 6.1. Consider the quotient module $[z-w]^\perp$ of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, which can be seen as the Bergman space over the unit disc. Take an invariant subspace \mathcal{N} of S_z such that $\dim(\mathcal{N} \ominus S_z \mathcal{N}) = \infty$, then the submodule $\mathcal{M} = [z-w] \oplus \mathcal{N}$ is infinitely generated. By [27], \mathcal{M} is not Hilbert-Schmidt, and hence by Proposition 4.3, \mathcal{M}^\perp is not essentially normal.

□

We conjecture that all the quotient modules corresponding to infinitely generated submodules are not essentially normal. In the following example, we prove that this is the case for Rudin's quotient module.

Example 6.2. In [28, Page 71], Rudin constructed an invariant subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ of infinite rank as follows. It is the submodule \mathcal{M} consisting of all the elements in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ with zero order at least n at $(\alpha_n, 0) = (1 - n^{-3}, 0)$ for each positive integer n . By [28, Page 71], Rudin's submodule is not finitely generated. Let

$$\begin{cases} b_n(z) = (z - \alpha_n) / (1 - \alpha_n z), \\ q_0(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(z), \\ q_j(z) = q_{j-1}(z) / \prod_{n=j}^{\infty} b_n(z), \quad j \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

In [29], Seto and Yang obtained the decomposition

$$\mathcal{M}^\perp = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} (H^2(z) \ominus q_j(z)H^2(z))w^j.$$

In the case $n \geq 2$, since $\alpha_n \in Z(q_0) \cap Z(q_1)$, it is easy to see that the normalized reproducing kernel

$$k_{\alpha_n}(z) \in H^2(z) \ominus q_1(z)H^2(z) \subseteq H^2(z) \ominus q_0(z)H^2(z).$$

Routine calculation gives

$$(S_w^* S_w - S_w S_w^*) k_{\alpha_n}(z) = k_{\alpha_n}(z),$$

therefore $S_w^* S_w - S_w S_w^*$ is not compact, and consequently \mathcal{M}^\perp is not essentially normal.

□

As usual, for a quotient module \mathcal{M}^\perp in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, we briefly write $\sigma_e(\mathcal{M}^\perp) = \sigma_e(S_{z, \mathcal{M}^\perp}, S_{w, \mathcal{M}^\perp})$.

Example 6.3. In this example, we construct a non-algebraic submodule \mathcal{M} containing p_B such that $\mathcal{M}/[p_B]$ is infinite dimensional, while $\sigma_e(\mathcal{M}^\perp) = \sigma_e([p_B]^\perp)$.

Let $p = \prod_{i=1}^n (z - \alpha_i \omega)^{n_i}$ be a distinguished homogeneous polynomial where each $|\alpha_i| = 1$, and B_1, B_2 be two nonconstant finite Blaschke products. Let B be an infinite Blaschke product satisfying $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \overline{Z(B)}$. Set $D = Z(B \circ B_1)$, and

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n Z(B \circ (\alpha_i B_2)) = Z\left(\prod_{i=1}^n B \circ (\alpha_i B_2)\right).$$

Let $B_3 = B \circ B_1$ and $B_4 = \prod_{i=1}^n B \circ (\alpha_i B_2)$, then by Theorem 4.4 and [41, Theorem 4.3], the submodule $\mathcal{M} = [B_3(z)B_4(w), p_B]$ is Hilbert-Schmidt, and moreover, $\sigma_e(\mathcal{M}^\perp) \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}^2$. Then the spectral mapping theorem ensures

$$\sigma_e(\mathcal{M}^\perp) \subseteq Z(p_B) \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 = \sigma_e([p_B]^\perp).$$

Conversely, for $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \sigma_e([p_B]^\perp)$, since $B_1(\lambda_1) = \alpha_i B_2(\lambda_2) \in \mathbb{T}$ for some i , there exists a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ in $Z(B)$ such that $(\mu_n, \mu_n) \rightarrow (B_1(\lambda), \alpha_i B_2(\mu))$. Then by [16, Lemma 2.2], we can find $t_n \in \{B_1^{-1}(\mu_n)\} \subset Z(B_3)$ and $t'_n \in \{(\alpha_i B_2)^{-1}(\mu_n)\} \subset Z(B_4)$ such that $(t_n, t'_n) \rightarrow (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. Observing that

$$p_B(t_n, t'_n) = p(B_1(t_n), B_2(t'_n)) = p(\eta_n, \eta_n) = 0,$$

we conclude

$$(t_n, t'_n) \in Z(B_3(z)B_4(w)) \cap Z(p_B).$$

Then by [16, Lemma 2.2] and [21, Theorem 6.1], it is not difficult to verify

$$\sigma_e([p_B]^\perp) \subseteq \overline{Z(B_3(z)B_4(w)) \cap Z(p_B)} \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 \subseteq \sigma_e(\mathcal{M}^\perp).$$

□

By Theorem 4.4, both $\mathcal{M}^\perp = [B_3(z)B_4(w), p_B]^\perp$ and $[p_B]^\perp$ are essentially normal, hence there are two C^* -extensions

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{M}^\perp) \rightarrow C(\sigma_e([p_B]^\perp)) \rightarrow 0, \quad (6.1)$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow C^*([p_B]^\perp) \rightarrow C(\sigma_e([p_B]^\perp)) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.2)$$

From Theorem 3.6, the short exact sequence (6.2) gives a nontrivial element in the K-homology group $K(\sigma_e([p_B]^\perp))$. We end this section by the following question.

Question 6.4. *Are these two C^* -extensions essentially unitarily equivalent?*

Acknowledgement. The authors sincerely thank K. Guo and R. Yang for their encouragements and interests. The authors also thank R. Yang for valuable discussions on this topic.

References

- [1] J. Agler and J. M^cCarthy, *Distinguished varieties*. Acta Math. 194 (2005), no. 2, 133-153.
- [2] W. Arveson, *p*-summable commutators in dimension *d*. J. Oper. Theory 54 (2005), 101-117.
- [3] W. Arveson, *Quotients of standard Hilbert modules*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), no. 12, 6027-6055.
- [4] L. Brown, R. Douglas and P. Fillmore, *Extension of C^* -algebras and K-homology*. Ann. Math. 105 (1977), no. 2, 265-324.
- [5] X. Chen and K. Guo, *Analytic Hilbert Modules*. CRC Research Notes, 413 (2003).

- [6] D. Clark, *Restrictions of H^p functions in the polydisc*. Amer. J. Math. 110 (1988), no. 6, 1119-1152.
- [7] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press, 1994.
- [8] R. Curto, *Fredholm and invertible n -tuples of operators. The deformation problem*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 266 (1981), no. 1, 129-159.
- [9] B. Das, S. Gorai and J. Sarkar, *On quotient modules of $H^2(D^n)$: essential normality and boundary representations*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 150 (2020), no. 3, 1339-1359.
- [10] R. Douglas, *Essentially reductive Hilbert modules*. J. Oper. Theory 55 (2006), no. 1, 117-133.
- [11] R. Douglas, *Essentially reductive Hilbert modules II*. Hot topics in operator theory, 79-87, Theta Ser. Adv. Math. 9, Theta, Bucharest, 2008.
- [12] R. Douglas, X. Tang and G. Yu, *An Analytic Grothendieck Riemann Roch Theorem*. Adv. Math. 294 (2016), 307-331.
- [13] R. Douglas and K. Wang, *A harmonic analysis approach to essential normality of principal submodules*. J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), no. 11, 3155-3180.
- [14] R. Douglas and Y. Wang, *Geometric Arveson-Douglas Conjecture and Holomorphic Extension*. Indiana U. Math. J. 66 (2017), no. 5, 1499-1535.
- [15] R. G. Douglas and V. I. Paulsen, *Hilbert Modules over Function Algebras*. Longman Research Notes 217, 1989.
- [16] R. G. Douglas and M. Putinar and K. Wang, *Reducing subspaces for analytic multipliers of the Bergman space*. J. Funct. Anal. 263 (2012), no. 6, 1744-1765.
- [17] K. Guo, *Defect operators for submodules of H_d^2* . J. Reine Angew. Math. 573 (2004), 181-209.
- [18] K. Guo and K. Wang, *Essentially normal Hilbert modules and K -homology. II: Quasihomogeneous Hilbert modules over two dimensional unit ball*. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 22 (2007), no. 3, 259-281.
- [19] K. Guo and K. Wang, *Essentially normal Hilbert modules and K -homology*. Math. Ann. 340 (2008), no. 4, 907-934.
- [20] K. Guo and P. Wang, *Defect operators and Fredholmness for Toeplitz pairs with inner symbols*. J. Operator Theory. 58 (2007), no. 2, 251-268.
- [21] K. Guo and P. Wang, *Essentially normal Hilbert modules and K -homology. III. Homogenous quotient modules of Hardy modules on the bidisk*. Sci. China Ser. A 50 (2007), no. 3, 387-411.
- [22] K. Guo and P. Wang, *Essentially normal Hilbert modules and K -homology IV: Quasihomogenous quotient modules of Hardy module on the polydiscs*. Sci. China Math. 55 (2012), no. 8, 1613-1626.

- [23] K. Guo and Y. Wang, *A survey on the Arveson-Douglas Conjecture*. (Chapter 13, Operator Theory, Operator Algebras and Their Interactions with Geometry and Topology, Ronald G. Douglas Memorial Volume), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Birkhauser, 278 (2020).
- [24] K. Guo, P. Wang and C. Zhao, *Essentially normal quotient weighted Bergman modules over the bidisk and distinguished varieties*. Adv. Math. 432 (2023), 109266.
- [25] K. Guo and R. Yang, *The core function of submodules over the bidisk*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 53 (2004), no. 1, 205-222.
- [26] M. Kennedy and O. Shalit, *Essential normality, Essential norms and hyperrigidity*. J. Funct. Anal. 268 (2015), no. 10, 2990-3016.
- [27] S. Luo, K. Izuchi and R. Yang, *Hilbert-Schmidtness of some finitely generated submodules in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$* . J. Math. Anal. Appl. 465 (2018), no. 1, 531-546.
- [28] W. Rudin, *Function theory in polydiscs*. Benjamin, New York, 1969. MR0255841 (41:501)
- [29] M. Seto and R. Yang, *Inner sequence based invariant subspaces in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), no. 8, 2519-2526.
- [30] O. Shalit, *Stable polynomial division and essential normality of graded Hilbert modules*. J. London Math. Soc. 83 (2011), no. 2, 273-289.
- [31] J. Taylor, *A joint spectrum for several commuting operators*. J. Funct. Anal. 6 (1970), 172-191.
- [32] J. Taylor, *The analytic functional calculus for several commuting operators*. Acta Math. 125 (1970), 1-38.
- [33] P. Wang, *The essential normality of N_η -type quotient module of Hardy module on the polydisc*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (2014), no. 1, 151-156.
- [34] Y. Wang and J. Xia, *Geometric Arveson-Douglas conjecture for the Hardy space and a related compactness criterion*. Adv. Math. 388 (2021), Paper No. 107890, 54pp.
- [35] C. Wu and T. Yu, *$N_{\psi,\varphi}$ -type Quotient Modules over the Bidisk*. Acta Math. Sin. 36 (2020), No. 8, 943-960.
- [36] P. Wang and C. Zhao, *Essential normality of homogenous quotient modules over the polydisc: distinguished variety case*. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 90 (2018), 1-24.
- [37] P. Wang and C. Zhao, *Essentially normal homogeneous quotient modules on the polydisc*. Adv. Math. 339 (2018), 404-425.
- [38] P. Wang and C. Zhao, *Essential normality of quasi-homogeneous quotient modules over the polydisc*. Sci. China Math. 62 (2019), no. 4, 663-678.
- [39] R. Yang, *Berger-Shaw theorem in the Hardy module over the bidisk*. J. Operator Theory 42 (1999), no. 2, 379-404.

- [40] R. Yang, *Operator theory in the Hardy space over the bidisk. III.* J. Funct. Anal. 186 (2001), no.2, 521-545.
- [41] R. Yang, *On Two Variable Jordan Block (II).* Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 56 (2006), no. 3, 431-449.
- [42] C. Zu, Y. Yang and Y. Lu, *Hilbert-Schmidtness of submodules in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ containing $(\theta(z) - \varphi(w))$.* Commun. Math. Res. 39 (2023), no. 3, 331-341.