

# A characterization of monotypically supersymmetric polynomials

Grigory Chelnokov *National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia*  
 Maxim Turevskii *SPBSU, facultee of mathematics and computer science*

## Abstract

We introduce an object that has obvious similarity to the classical one - the algebra of supersymmetric polynomials. Despite the similarity, the known structure theorems on supersymmetric polynomials do not help in the study of the new object, so we prove their counterpart for the new object.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 17B99, 13A99

## Introduction

The study of supersymmetric polynomials (as well as almost all objects with the prefix super-) is motivated by needs of theoretical physics. A polynomial  $p$  in  $F[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n]$  is called *supersymmetric* if the following three conditions hold:

- (1)  $p$  is invariant under permutations of  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ ;
- (2)  $p$  is invariant under permutations of  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ ;
- (3) when the substitution  $x_1 = t$ ,  $y_1 = -t$  is made in  $p$ , the resulting polynomial is independent of  $t$ .

Paper [2] provides explicit linear basis of algebra  $T_{(m,n)}$  of supersymmetric polynomials, [1] provides some relations of supersymmetric and super Schur polynomials thus connecting supersymmetric polynomials with representations of Lie superalgebra  $sl(m/n)$ .

In this context, it is natural to wonder, what object one gets by replacing in the condition (3) the set of pairs  $K_{m,n}$  with another highly symmetric graph. The first coming to mind candidate is, certainly, the complete graph  $K_n$ . So, the

**Definition 1.** *A polynomial  $p$  in  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is called monotypically supersymmetric if the following two conditions hold:*

- (1)  *$p$  is invariant under permutations of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ;*
- (2) *when the substitution  $x_1 = t, x_2 = -t$  is made in  $p$ , the resulting polynomial is independent of  $t$ .*

By  $T_n$  denote the algebra of monotypically supersymmetric polynomials in  $n$  variables. By  $T_n^d$  and  $T_n^{d-}$  denote subspaces in  $T_n$  of degree  $d$  and at most  $d$  respectively.

Although monotypical supersymmetry does not have immediately obvious applications in theoretical physics, it is still an object worthy of research.

Consider power sum polynomials  $p_k = x_1^k + \dots + x_n^k$ . Obviously, any power sum with an odd index is monotypically supersymmetric  $p_{2k+1} \in T_n$  (for integer non-negative  $k$ ). In this paper we prove that polynomials  $p_{2k+1}$  generate  $T_n$  as an algebra and provide explicit canonical form for elements of  $T_n$ .

## Initial notations

Here we present only the minimum set of notations necessary to smoothly formulate the main result. The bulk of the notation and language conventions are two sections below.

$F$  always mean some field with  $Char(F) = 0$ .

For  $T_n, T_n^d, T_n^{d-}$  see Definition 1 above.

Similarly,  $S_n, S_n^d, S_n^{d-}$  denote subalgebra of symmetric polynomials, symmetric polynomials of degree  $d$  and symmetric polynomials of degree at most  $d$  respectively.

Denote  $p_k = x_1^k + \dots + x_n^k$ . Also, one special polynomial will be important further: denote  $\delta = \prod_{k, \ell \in [1..n]} (x_k + x_\ell)$ .

For a positive integer  $n$  by  $i(n)$  and  $j(n)$  denote the maximal integer numbers, such that  $2i(n) \leq n$  and  $2j(n) + 1 \leq n$ . We omit an argument when it is obvious from the context which  $n$  is meant.

Call an odd power sum  $p_{2m+1}$  *elder* if  $m > j$ . Call a product of odd power sums *long* if it consists of more than  $i$  elder power sums. In other words, the product

$$p_{2m_1+1} \cdots p_{2m_{i+1}+1},$$

where  $m_1, \dots, m_{i+1} > j$ , is long.

Call a product of odd power sums *proper* if it does not contain a long subproduct. In other words, the general form of proper product is

$$p_1^{c_1} p_3^{c_3} \cdots p_{2j+1}^{c_{2j+1}} p_{2m_1+1} \cdots p_{2m_{i'}+1}$$

where  $i' \leq i$  and  $m_k > j$  for  $k \in [1..i']$ .

## Main result

The main goal of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *The algebra of monotypically supersymmetric polynomials  $T_n$  is generated by odd power sums  $p_{2k+1}$  for integer non-negative  $k$ . Moreover proper products form a linear basis of  $T_n$ .*

The remainder of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.

## Notations, language conventions and main constructions

When a polynomial is denoted by one of letters  $f$ ,  $g$ ,  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ , this notation is used locally, in the next paragraph any of these letters may denote another object. Contrary, if some polynomial is denoted by any letter other than  $f, g, \alpha, \beta$  we emphasize that this polynomial is also used in some other argument in this article.

Few times in this paper we consider  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  as tower of extensions  $F[x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{2i}][x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2j+1}]$ . In this case we speak about a *coefficient* at  $x_1^{c_1} x_3^{c_3} \cdots x_{2j+1}^{c_{2j+1}}$  in  $f \in F[x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{2i}][x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2j+1}]$  (for a given  $(j+1)$ -plet of powers  $(c_1, \dots, c_{2j+1})$ ), meaning a corresponding polynomial in  $F[x_2, \dots, x_{2i}]$ . Most likely, this is used in conjunction with the following construction.

Recall that symmetric polynomials have unique representation via power sums. For  $f \in S_n$  by  $Repr(f)$  denote a polynomial in  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , such that  $f = Repr(f)(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ . We always consider  $Repr(f)$  as an element

of  $F[x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{2i}][x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2j+1}]$ . Obviously,  $f \rightarrow \text{Repr}(f)$  is an isomorphism  $S_n \rightarrow F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

Let  $f \in S_n$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_{2j+1}$  be a symmetric polynomials and some  $(2j+1)$ -plet of non-negative integers. Let  $g$  be the coefficient at  $x_1^{c_1} x_3^{c_3} \dots x_{2j+1}^{c_{2j+1}}$  in  $\text{Repr}(f)$ . Call the polynomial  $f_{c_1, \dots, c_{2j+1}} = g(p_2, \dots, p_{2i})$  a *multiplier* of  $p_1^{c_1} p_3^{c_3} \dots p_{2j+1}^{c_{2j+1}}$  in  $f$ , or multiplier at  $(c_1, \dots, c_{2j+1})$  in  $f$  for short. Informally speaking, a multiplier is the corresponding coefficient after back substitution of power sums.

For positive integers  $n, k$  with  $k \geq j(n)$  by  $q_{(n,k)}$  and  $r_{(n,k)}$  denote the multiplier of  $(0, \dots, 0)$  and  $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$  in  $p_k$  respectively.

## Preliminary remarks

**Remark 1.** *It is sufficient to prove Theorem 1 for homogenous polynomials. Further, everywhere we are proving some polynomial  $f$  is representable via power sums we consider  $f$  to be homogeneous, without specifically mentioning this.*

**Remark 2.** *If  $f = f_1 f_2 \neq 0$  and  $f, f_1 \in S_n$ , then also  $f_2 \in S_n$ . Further this is used without mentioning.*

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $h_{(k,\ell)}$  be the complete homogenous polynomial of degree  $\ell$  in variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . Then products of the form  $h_{(k,\ell_1)} \dots h_{(k,\ell_k)}$  ( $\ell_1 \geq \dots \geq \ell_k$ ) form a basis of symmetric polynomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ .*

*Proof.* In this proof we use one more notation: let  $s_\lambda$  denote Schur polynomial, associated with an integer partition  $\lambda$  of length at most  $k$ . Use the first Jacobi-Trudi formula:

$$s_\lambda = \det(h_{(k,\lambda_i+j-i)})_{i,j=1}^{l(\lambda)} = \det \begin{bmatrix} h_{(k,\lambda_1)} & h_{(k,\lambda_1+1)} & \dots & h_{(k,\lambda_1+k-1)} \\ h_{(k,\lambda_2-1)} & h_{(k,\lambda_2)} & \dots & h_{(k,\lambda_2+k-2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{(k,\lambda_k-k+1)} & h_{(k,\lambda_k-k+2)} & \dots & h_{(k,\lambda_k)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,  $s_\lambda$  are linearly representable by the products of the form  $h_{(k,\ell_1)} \dots h_{(k,\ell_k)}$ . Schur polynomials form a linear basis in the space of symmetric polynomials. So, products  $h_{(k,\ell_1)} \dots h_{(k,\ell_k)}$  form a linear generating set, but for every degree  $d$  the number of orbits of  $d$ -degree monomials is the number of integer partitions of  $d$  with maximal length  $k$ , thus equals the number of degree  $d$  products  $h_{(k,\ell_1)} \dots h_{(k,\ell_k)}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Given a positive integer  $n$ , consider products*

$$r_{(n,2m_1+1)}r_{(n,2m_2+1)} \cdots r_{(n,2m_i+1)}$$

for all collections  $(m_1, \dots, m_j)$  where  $j \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_i$ . Such products are linearly independent.

**Remark 3.** *Since  $r_{(n,j(n))} = 1$  it is not important whether we speak about the products of exactly  $i$   $r$ -s, or at most  $i$ . But it is important here, that  $r_{(n,k)}$  is not defined for  $k < j(n)$ .*

*First proof.* Just in this proof we need one more notation. By  $\sigma_{(n,k)} \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  denote the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree  $k$  in variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . For smaller number of variables  $n' < n$  we assume the natural embedding  $F[x_1, \dots, x_{n'}] \rightarrow F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Also, for  $f \in S_n$  by  $\tilde{f}$  denote the multiplier of  $(0, \dots, 0)$  in  $f$ .

For the sake of brevity denote  $y_{\ell+i} = x_{\ell+i} + x_\ell$  for  $\ell \in [1..i]$ , also in case  $n$  is odd put  $y_n = x_n$ . Note that for any  $f \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  holds the following:  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1} - y_{i+1}, \dots, x_n - y_n)$  lies in the ideal  $(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n)$ . Denote this ideal by  $I$  and use notation  $f \simeq g$  as a shortcut for  $f - g \in I$ . We claim

- $p_{2k+1} \simeq 0$  and  $\sigma_{(n,2k+1)} \simeq 0$  for any  $k$ ;
- $p_{2k} \simeq 2(x_1^{2k} + \dots + x_i^{2k})$  and  $\sigma_{(n,2k)} \simeq (-1)^k \sigma_{(i,k)}(x_1^2, \dots, x_i^2)$  for any  $k$ ;
- for  $f \in S_n$  holds  $f \simeq \tilde{f}$ ; indeed,  $f - \tilde{f} \in (p_1, \dots, p_{2j+1})$ , use the first point;
- if two sequences of polynomials  $\{f_k\}_{k=0}^\infty, \{g_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  are defined recurrently by

$$f_k = \sum_{\ell \in [1,m]} \alpha_\ell f_{k-\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad g_k = \sum_{\ell \in [1,m]} \beta_\ell g_{k-\ell} \quad \forall, k \geq m$$

then  $\alpha_\ell \simeq \beta_\ell$  and  $f_k \simeq g_k$  for  $k < m$  guarantee  $f_k \simeq g_k$  for all  $k$ .

- if  $f \neq 0 \in F(x_1, \dots, x_i)$  then  $f \not\simeq 0$ .

We aim to calculate the sequence  $r_{(n,2k+1)}$  up to equivalence  $\simeq$ . Recall the recurrence for power sum series:

$$\sum_{\ell \in [0..n]} (-1)^\ell \sigma_{(n,\ell)} p_{k-\ell} = 0.$$

This yields the following recurrence for  $r_{(n,2k+1)}$ :

$$\sum_{\ell \in [0..n]} (-1)^\ell \widetilde{\sigma_{(n,\ell)}} r_{(n,d-\ell)} + \sum_{\ell \in [0..n]} (-1)^\ell \alpha_\ell q_{(n,d-\ell)} = 0$$

where  $\alpha_\ell$  is the multiplier at  $p_1^0 \dots p_{2j-1}^1 p_{2j+1}^1$  in  $\sigma_{(n,\ell)}$ . Since we are interested in  $r_{(n,d-\ell)}$  up to equivalence, replace  $\widetilde{\sigma_{(n,\ell)}}$  with 0 for odd  $\ell$  and  $(-1)^{\ell/2} \sigma_{(i,\ell/2)}(x_1^2, \dots, x_i^2)$  for even  $\ell$ . Similarly,  $q_{(n,k-\ell)} \simeq 2(x_1^{k-\ell} + \dots + x_i^{k-\ell})$  for even  $k-\ell$ , 0 for odd. Next,  $\alpha_\ell = 0$  for  $\ell < 2j+1$  due to degree. To prove  $\alpha_{2j+1} = \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}$  substitute different roots of  $x^{2j+1} - 1 = 0$  to  $x_1, \dots, x_{2j+1}$  (and  $x_n = 0$  in case  $n$  is even). In case of even  $n$  it is unnecessary to calculate  $\alpha_{2j+2}$ , since it is paired with zero  $q_{(n,d-2j-2)}$  (we are interested in odd  $d$ -s only). Replacing for convenience  $d = 2k + 2j + 1$  come to

$$\sum_{2\ell \in [0..n]} (-1)^\ell \sigma_{(i,\ell)}(x_1^2, \dots, x_i^2) r_{(n,2k+2j+1-2\ell)} - \frac{2}{2^{j+1}} (x_1^{2k} + \dots + x_i^{2k}) = 0$$

for  $k > 0$ ,  $r_{n,2j'+1} = 0$  for  $j' < j$  and  $r_{n,2j+1} = 1$ .

The solution of this recurrence is  $r_{(n,2k+2j+1)} \simeq \frac{2k+2j+1}{2^{j+1}} h_{i,k}(x_1^2, \dots, x_i^2)$ , this is easily checked via induction on  $k$ . The application of Lemma 1 and the last dot of the claim in the beginning of this proof finishes it.

□

**Corollary 1.** *Proper products are linearly independent.*

**Remark 4.** *The homomorphism  $\pi : F[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow F[x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}]$  given by  $\pi(x_n) = \pi(x_{n-1}) = 0$  and  $\pi(x_k) = x_k$  for  $k \in [1..n-2]$  maps  $T_n \rightarrow T_{n-2}$ , also it maps power sums to power sum  $\pi(p_k) = \overline{p_k}$  (we use upper bar to discern polynomials in  $F[x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}]$  from polynomials in  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ). This allows us to formulate the following definition.*

**Definition 2.** *Let  $f \in T_n$  and assume  $\pi(f)$  ( $\pi$  as in Remark above) can be represented through odd power sums, that is  $\pi(f) = g(\overline{p_1}, \overline{p_3}, \dots)$  for some  $g \in F[x_1, \dots]$ . Then we call the polynomial  $g(p_1, p_3, \dots)$  a canonical preimage of representation  $\pi(f) = g(\overline{p_1}, \overline{p_3}, \dots)$  and denote it  $PreIm(f)$ .*

Certainly, the equality  $f = \text{PreIm}(f)$  does not necessarily holds, but the following lemma provides some connection between  $f$  and  $\text{PreIm}(f)$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f \in T_n$  and assume  $\pi(f)$  can be represented through power sums. Then  $f - \text{PreIm}(f)$  is divisible by  $\delta$ .*

*Proof.* Polynomial  $f - \text{PreIm}(f)$  have non-zero coefficient only at monomials with at most one zero exponent. Indeed, by definition of  $\text{PreIm}$ ,  $f - \text{PreIm}(f)$  vanishes under substitution  $x_{n-1} = x_n = 0$ . So, each monomial contains one of  $x_{n-1}, x_n$  with positive exponent. Due to symmetry, the same is true for every pair  $x_k, x_m$ .

So, after substitution  $x_1 = t, x_2 = -t$  the image of  $f - \text{PreIm}(f)$  is divisible by  $t$ , but it shouldn't depend on  $t$ . Both statements are true only if the image is zero. The latter implies that  $f - \text{PreIm}(f)$  is divisible by  $x_1 + x_2$ , use symmetry again.  $\square$

**Remark 5.** *In case  $\text{deg}(f) < \binom{n}{2}$  Lemma 3 implies that  $f - \text{PreIm}(f)$  (if defined) equals zero. Indeed,  $\text{deg}(\text{PreIm}(f)) = \text{deg}(f)$  so  $\text{deg}(f - \text{PreIm}(f)) < \text{deg}(\delta)$ , thus divisibility implies  $f - \text{PreIm}(f) = 0$ .*

One more nearly obvious statement

**Lemma 4.** *For any symmetric polynomial  $s \in S_n$  holds  $\delta s \in T_n$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed,  $\delta s \in S_n$  because  $\delta, s \in S_n$ . Under substitution  $x_1 = t, x_2 = -t$  polynomial  $\delta s$  vanishes, thus does not depends on  $t$ .  $\square$

We are going to prove Theorem 1 via induction on  $n$  by steps  $n \rightarrow n + 2$ , inside one step via one more induction on degree of a polynomial. Next lemma provides the base of the outer induction.

**Lemma 5.** *Theorem 1 holds true for the number of variables  $n = 2, 3$ .*

*Proof.* Consider case  $n = 2$ . Note that the second condition in the definition of monotypical supersymmetry is equivalent to divisibility by  $x_1 + x_2$ . Further, in case degree of  $f \in T_2$  is even,  $f$  is divisible by  $(x_1 + x_2)^2$ , since  $f$  is representable via  $x_1 + x_2$  and  $x_1^2 + x_2^2$ , and must have even degree. Prove the statement via induction on  $d = \text{deg}(f)$ , the base  $d = 1$  is obvious. Next, if  $d$  is even, represent  $f = (x_1 + x_2)f_1, f_1 \in T_2$  and use induction hypothesis. Assume  $d$  is odd, then  $x_1^d + x_2^d$  is divisible by  $x_1 + x_2$  but not divisible by  $(x_1 + x_2)^2$ . So, there exists  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $f - \alpha(x_1^d + x_2^d)$

is divisible by  $(x_1 + x_2)^2$  (certainly, even by  $(x_1 + x_2)^3$ ). Again, represent  $f - \alpha(x_1^d + x_2^d) = (x_1 + x_2)f_1$ ,  $f_1 \in T_2$  and use induction hypothesis.

Consider case  $n = 3$ . We prove two statements. First,  $\delta(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^k$  for any integer non-negative  $k$  is representable as linear combination of proper products. Secondly, the previous statement implies that any  $f \in T_3$  is representable.

First statement prove by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 0$  it holds since  $\delta = \frac{p_1^3 - p_3}{3}$ . For arbitrary  $k$  consider  $f = p_{2k+3}$ , then  $PreIm(f) = p_1^{2k+3}$ , by Lemma 3 divisibility holds  $p_{2k+3} - p_1^{2k+3} = \delta g$ , then  $g \in S_3$ . Apply the representation of  $g$  through  $p_1, p_2, p_3$ :

$$p_{2k+3} - p_1^{2k+3} = \delta \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3): k_1+2k_2+3k_3=2k} \alpha_{k_1, k_2, k_3} p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} p_3^{k_3}.$$

The induction hypothesis applies to every term on the right-hand side except  $\alpha_{0, k, 0} p_2^k$ . So, if  $\alpha_{0, k, 0} \neq 0$  we proved that  $\delta p_2^k$  is representable through proper products.

To show  $\alpha_{0, k, 0} \neq 0$  consider the substitution  $x_1 = \phi$ ,  $x_2 = \psi$ ,  $x_3 = -\phi - \psi$ . Under it  $p_1$  vanishes,  $p_3$  is divisible by  $\phi$ , so does  $\delta$ . Further, left-hand side is not divisible by  $\phi^2$ . The sole term in the right-hand side, not divisible by  $\phi^2$  is  $\delta \alpha_{0, k, 0} p_2^k$ , thus  $\alpha_{0, k, 0} \neq 0$ .

To prove the second statement consider  $f \in T_3$ , calculate  $PreIm(f)$  (simply said,  $\beta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^{deg(f)}$ ) where  $\beta \in F$  is the coefficient at  $x_1^{deg(f)}$  in  $f$ . Just as above

$$f - PreIm(f) = \delta \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3): k_1+2k_2+3k_3=2k} \beta_{k_1, k_2, k_3} p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} p_3^{k_3}.$$

Apply the first statement separately to each term  $\beta_{k_1, k_2, k_3} p_2^{k_2} \delta$  and multiply achieved representation by  $p_1^{k_1} p_3^{k_3}$ .  $\square$

The base for an inner induction is trivial, since any symmetric polynomial of degree 1 is  $\alpha(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $f = p_{2a_1+1} \dots p_{2a_i+1}$  be a long product, and assume  $f$  is equal to some linear combination of some proper products*

$$f = \alpha_1 g_1 + \dots + \alpha_m g_m, \quad *$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in F$ . Then all proper products  $g_\ell = p_1^{c_1} p_3^{c_3} \dots p_{2j+1}^{c_{2j+1}} p_{2b_1+1} \dots p_{2b_{j'}+1}$  with  $\alpha_\ell \neq 0$  have at least one of the numbers  $c_1, c_3, \dots, c_{2j+1}$  positive.

Note that in fact all variables  $b$  and  $c$  should have double subscripts, because they also depends upon  $\ell$ , but we've omitted this to avoid index pandemonium.

*Proof.* Assume the contrary. We are going to prove equality (\*) can not hold whence left and right part have different multiplies at  $p_1^0 p_3^0 \dots p_{2j-1}^0 p_{2j+1}^k$  for some  $k \leq i$ .

Consider left-hand side. All  $p_{a_1+1}, \dots, p_{2a_{i+1}+1}$  have odd degree, so have zero multiplier at  $(0, \dots, 0)$ , so  $p_{2a_1+1} \dots p_{2a_{i+1}+1}$  have zero coefficients at multiplier at  $(0, \dots, 0, k)$  for all  $k < i + 1$ .

Consider right-hand side. Call a proper product  $g_\ell$  *dull* if at least one of exponents  $c_1, \dots, c_{2j-1}$  is positive. Calculating multiplier at coefficients at  $(0, \dots, 0, k)$  one may ignore dull summands  $g_\ell$  since their considered multiplier is zero. Among all non-dull  $g_\ell$  with non-zero  $\alpha_\ell$  pick one with minimal value of  $c_{2j+1} + i'$ . From now on fix  $k = c_{2j+1} + i'$  where  $c_{2j+1}, i'$  are from the picked  $g_\ell$ . Note that  $k \leq i$  since assumption claims the existence of non-dull  $g_\ell$  with  $c_{2j+1} = 0$ , and its  $i' \leq i$ . Call a non-dull proper product *minimal* if  $k = c_{2j+1} + i'$  for this product. Similarly, all non-minimal  $g_\ell$  have zero multiplier at  $(0, \dots, 0, k)$ . A minimal  $g_\ell$  is uniquely determined by its collection  $b_1, \dots, b_{i'}$ , and have the multiplier  $\alpha_\ell r(n, b_1) \dots r(n, b_{i'})$  at  $(0, \dots, 0, k)$ . By Lemma 2 such multiplier are linearly independent, thus total sum equals zero implies all  $\alpha_\ell = 0$ , which is the contradiction with the definition of minimal  $g_\ell$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.** *If Theorem 1 holds for  $n - 2$  variables, then for  $n$  variables  $\delta$  is representable via proper products. Moreover, the representation is*

$$\delta = \alpha(p_{2j+1}^i - PreIm(p_{2j+1}^i))$$

for some  $\alpha \in F$ , consequently  $\delta$  have zero multiplier at  $(0, \dots, 0, k)$  if and only if  $k = i$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $\pi(p_{2j+1}^i) = \overline{p_{2j+1}}^i$ . If Theorem 1 holds for  $n - 2$  variables, there is a representation though proper products (proper for  $n - 2$  variables)  $\overline{p_{2j+1}}^i = \alpha_1 \overline{g_1} + \dots + \alpha_m \overline{g_m}$ . Then by Lemma 6 each of  $g$ -s is divisible by one of  $\overline{p_1}, \dots, \overline{p_{2j-1}}$ . Use this representation to build  $PreIm(p_{2j+1}^i)$ . So, in  $PreIm(p_{2j+1}^i)$  each summand is divisible by one of  $p_1, \dots, p_{2j-1}$ . Thus,  $Repr(p_{2j+1}^i - PreIm(p_{2j+1}^i))$  have just one non-zero coefficient at monomials of the form  $x_1^0 x_3^0 \dots x_{2j-1}^0 x_{2j+1}^k$  - the one for  $k = i$ . Also, by Lemma 3

$p_{2j+1}^i - PreIm(p_{2j+1}^i)$  is divisible by  $\delta$ . Since they have equal degree,  $p_{2j+1}^i - PreIm(p_{2j+1}^i) = \beta\delta$  for  $\beta \in F$ ,  $\beta = 0$  is impossible since  $p_{2j+1}^i - PreIm(p_{2j+1}^i)$  have non-zero multiplier at  $(0, \dots, 0, i)$ .  $\square$

Now we are done with preparations.

## Proof of Theorem 1

We will need one more notation, used locally only in this subsection. Denote  $L_{even}^d = S_n^d \cap \{f(p_2, p_4, \dots, p_{2i}) \mid f \in F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i)\}$  and  $L_{odd}^d = S_n^d \cap \langle p_1 S_n, p_3 S_n, \dots, p_{2j+1} S_n \rangle$  (here the  $\langle \rangle$  denote the linear hull). Obviously  $S_n^d = L_{even}^d \oplus L_{odd}^d$ , thus  $\delta S_n^d = \delta L_{even}^d \oplus \delta L_{odd}^d$ .

**Remark 6.** *The polynomial  $\delta f$  for  $f \in L_{odd}^d$  have zero multiplier at  $(0, \dots, 0, i)$ . Indeed, by Proposition 1,  $\delta$  have all zero coefficients at  $(0, \dots, 0, i')$  for  $i' < i$ , and  $f$  have zero multiplier at  $(0, \dots, 0)$ .*

Fix positive integers  $n$  and  $d$ . Assume the statement of Theorem 1 holds true for the number of variables  $n - 2$ , and also holds for  $T_n^{(d-1)-}$  (that is, every polynomial in  $T_n^{(d-1)-}$  can be represented as a linear combination of proper products). We'll show that the statement holds for  $T_n^d$ .

We need to prove that arbitrary  $f \in T_n$  is representable via proper products. Note that  $\pi(f)$  is representable via proper products of  $\overline{p_1}, \overline{p_3}, \dots$  in virtue of Theorem 1 for  $n - 2$  variables. So, one does not need to specially check conditions "if can be represented" in Lemmas 3, 6, and Proposition 1. Also  $PreIm(f)$  is representable via proper products. Thus it is sufficient to prove that all polynomials of the form  $f - PreIm(f)$  are representable, applying Lemma 3 one needs that all elements of  $\delta S_n^{d-\binom{n}{2}}$  are representable. Note that all elements of  $\delta L_{odd}^{d-\binom{n}{2}}$  are representable. Indeed, for a general element  $\delta(p_1 s_1 + \dots + p_{2j+1} s_{j+1}) \in \delta L_{odd}^{d-\binom{n}{2}}$  apply assumption of the induction to each term  $p_{2\ell+1} \delta s_{\ell+1}$ . Since degree of  $p_{2\ell+1}$  is greater then zero,  $\delta s_{\ell+1} \in T_n^{(d-1)-}$ , thus representable. So, it is sufficient to prove that all cosets of  $\delta S_n^{d-\binom{n}{2}} / \delta L_{odd}^{d-\binom{n}{2}} \cong \delta L_{even}^{d-\binom{n}{2}}$  are representable.

For this, consider all degree  $d$  products of exactly  $i$  power sums  $g_\ell = p_{2m_1+1} \dots p_{2m_i+1}$  where  $m_1, \dots, m_i \geq j$  (that is, power sums are the last non-elder or elder). They are representable by definition, also by Lemma 2 their multipliers at  $(0, \dots, 0, i)$  are linearly independent, thus  $g_\ell$  themselves are linearly independent. Applying Lemma 6 for  $n - 2$  variables to  $\pi(g_\ell)$  get

that  $PreIm(g_\ell)$  have zero multiplier at  $(0, \dots, 0, i)$  (indeed, at all  $(0, \dots, 0, k)$  for integer  $k$  multiplier equals zero). Thus polynomials  $g_\ell - PreIm(g_\ell)$  are linearly independent. As above,  $g_\ell - PreIm(g_\ell) \in \delta S_n^d$ . Next, due to Remark 6 for any  $f \in \delta L_{odd}^d$ , polynomial  $f$  have zero multiplier at  $(0, \dots, 0, i)$ , thus polynomials  $g_\ell - PreIm(g_\ell)$  are linearly independent modulo  $\delta L_{odd}^{d-\binom{n}{2}}$ , that is represent linearly independent elements of  $\delta L_{even}^{d-\binom{n}{2}}$ . To finish the prove one needs to show, that the amount of different  $g_\ell$  equals to  $dim(\delta L_{even}^{d-\binom{n}{2}})$ .

The amount of different  $g_\ell$  equals  $|\{(m_1, \dots, m_i) : j \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_i, (2m_1 + 1) + \dots + (2m_i + 1) = d\}|$ . Put  $u_1 = m_1 - j, \dots, u_i = m_i - j$  and rewrite as  $|\{(u_1, \dots, u_i) : 0 \leq u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_i, u_1 + \dots + u_i = \frac{d-i(2j+1)}{2}\}|$ . Note that  $i(2j+1) = \binom{n}{2}$ .

The dimension of linear space  $L_{even}^{d-\binom{n}{2}}$  is the cardinality of the set  $\{(v_1, \dots, v_i) : deg(p_2^{v_1} p_4^{v_2} \dots p_{2i}^{v_i}) = d - \binom{n}{2}\}$ . Covert to  $|\{(v_1, \dots, v_i) : v_1 + 2v_2 + \dots + iv_i = \frac{d-\binom{n}{2}}{2}\}|$ . So we need

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{(u_1, \dots, u_i) : 0 \leq u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_i, u_1 + \dots + u_i = \frac{d - \binom{n}{2}}{2}\}| \\ & = |\{(v_1, \dots, v_i) : v_1 + 2v_2 + \dots + iv_i = \frac{d - \binom{n}{2}}{2}\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds because both parts counts the amount of Young diagram of area  $\frac{d-\binom{n}{2}}{2}$  with at most  $i$  colons.

## References

- [1] Piotr Pragacz, Anders Thorup, On a Jacobi-Trudi identity for supersymmetric polynomials, Advances in Mathematics, Volume 95, Issue 1, 1992, Pages 8-17, ISSN 0001-8708, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708\(92\)90042-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(92)90042-J). (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000187089290042J>)
- [2] John R. Stembridge, A characterization of supersymmetric polynomials, Journal of Algebra, Volume 95, Issue 2, 1985, Pages 439-444, ISSN 0021-8693, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(85\)90115-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(85)90115-2). (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0021869385901152>)

G. CHELNOKOV  
NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER  
SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* [grishabenruven@yandex.ru](mailto:grishabenruven@yandex.ru)

M. TUREVSKII  
FEDERAL STATE BUDGETARY EDUCATIONAL  
INSTITUTION OF HIGHER PROFESSIONAL  
EDUCATION "SAINT-PETERSBURG STATE  
UNIVERSITY", SAINT-PETERSBURG, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* [turmax20052005@gmail.com](mailto:turmax20052005@gmail.com)