

# A NOTE ON MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON A COMPACT RIEMANN SURFACE HAVING POLES AT A SINGLE POINT

GOLLAKOTA V V HEMASUNDAR

ABSTRACT. On a compact Riemann surface  $X$  of genus  $g$ , one of the questions is the existence of meromorphic functions having poles at a point  $P$  on  $X$ . One of the theorems is the Weierstrass gap theorem that determines a sequence of  $g$  numbers  $1 < n_k < 2g$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq g$  for which a meromorphic function with the order with  $n_k$  fails to exist at  $P$ . In this note, we give proof of the Weierstrass gap theorem in cohomology terminology. We see that an interesting combinatorial problem may be formed as a byproduct from the statement of the Weierstrass gap theorem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g$ . One of the important questions is the existence of a meromorphic function having a pole at a point  $P$ , and is holomorphic in  $X \setminus \{P\}$ . The following theorem provides a bound on the order of a pole at  $P$ , and is a simple consequence of the Riemann Roch theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $X$  is a Riemann surface of genus  $g$  and  $P$  is a point on  $X$ . Then there is a non-constant meromorphic function  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  which has a pole of order  $\leq g + 1$  at  $P$  and is holomorphic in  $X \setminus \{P\}$ .*

There are some exceptional points, known as Weierstrass points that play a role in the existence of meromorphic functions with lower order. The following theorem clarifies that a lower order pole exists at Weierstrass points:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose  $X$  is a Compact Riemann surface of genus  $g$  and  $P$  is a point on  $X$ . Then there exists a non-constant meromorphic function on  $X$  of genus  $g$  which has a pole of order  $\leq g$  at  $P$  and is holomorphic in  $X \setminus \{P\}$  if and only if  $P$  is a Weierstrass point.*

In this note we prove the following theorem which provides more information on the order of a pole at a point:

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30F10.

*Key words and phrases.* Compact Riemann surfaces, Weierstrass points, Meromorphic functions.

**Main Theorem 1.3** (Weierstrass gap theorem). *Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface, of genus  $g \geq 1$ . Suppose  $P$  is a point on  $X$ . Then there are precisely  $g$  integers,  $n_k$  such that*

$$(1.1) \quad 1 = n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_g < 2g$$

*such that there does not exist a meromorphic function on  $X$  with a pole of order  $n_k$  at  $P$ .*

The numbers  $n_k$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, g$  are called gaps at  $P$  and their complement in  $\mathbb{N}$  are called non-gaps. There are precisely  $g$  non-gaps in  $\{2, \dots, 2g\}$ . Further, the gap sequence is uniquely determined by the point  $P$ .

The case  $g = 0$  is trivial as there is always a function on the sphere with a simple pole. In the case of  $g = 1$ , there is no meromorphic function on  $X$  with a simple pole at  $P$  and is holomorphic in  $X \setminus \{P\}$  since there is no doubly periodic meromorphic function having precisely a simple pole at the single point in any period parallelogram.

In this note, Theorem 1.3 will be proved using the language of sheaf cohomology. A proof of the Weierstrass-gap theorem can be derived as a special case of Noether theorem. See Farkas and Kra [1]. The proofs are generally given as an application of the Riemann-Roch Theorem [5].

In section 2, we mention some of the consequences of Riemann-Roch and Serre Duality theorems [2] that are useful for comparing the dimensions of cohomology groups. The Proof of the Weierstrass-gap theorem is given in Section 3. In Section 4, we form a combinatorial problem that appears to be a byproduct of the statement of the Weierstrass gap theorem. We conclude with some remarks in section 5 on Weierstrass points.

## 2. SOME CONSEQUENCES OF RIEMANN-ROCH AND SERRE DUALITY THEOREMS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $D$  be a divisor on  $X$ . For any open set  $U \subset X$ , we define  $\mathcal{O}_D(U)$  the set of all those meromorphic functions which are multiples of the divisor  $-D$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{O}_D(U) := \{f \in \mathcal{M}(U) : \text{ord}_x(f) \geq -D(x) \text{ for every } x \in U\}$$

One can verify with the natural restriction mappings,  $\mathcal{O}_D$  is a sheaf. See [2]. If  $D = 0$ , one has  $\mathcal{O}_0 = \mathcal{O}$  which is the set of holomorphic functions on  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface and  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ . If  $\deg D < 0$  then  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$  with  $f \neq 0$ . Then  $(f) \geq -D$  and thus

$$\deg(f) \geq -\deg D > 0$$

This contradicts the fact that  $\deg(f) = 0$ . □

We can use Serre-Duality theorem to obtain equality of dimensions:

$$(2.1) \quad \dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D}) = \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$$

For  $D = 0$  we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \dim H^0(X, \Omega) = g = \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$$

Here  $H^0(X, \Omega) = \Omega(X)$  which denotes the sheaf of holomorphic 1-forms on  $X$ . The following equation can also be obtained as an application of Serre Duality Theorem:

$$(2.3) \quad \dim H^1(X, \Omega) = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}) = 1$$

**Remark 2.3.** This is a known result that there are no non-constant holomorphic maps on a compact Riemann surface.

Suppose  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g$ . Let  $K$  be the canonical divisor on  $X$ . Then  $\deg K = 2g - 2$ .

Our proof of Theorem 1.3 derived as an application of the following theorem which is central in the theory of compact Riemann surfaces:

**Theorem 2.4. The Riemann-Roch Theorem** *Suppose  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g$  and  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ . Then  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$  and  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$  are finite dimensional vector spaces and*

$$(2.4) \quad \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D) - \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_D) = 1 - g + \deg D$$

*By using Equation(2.1), we can also formulate in the following way:*

$$(2.5) \quad \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D) - \dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D}) = 1 - g + \deg D$$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3 (WEIERSTRASS GAP THEOREM)

*Proof.* Suppose  $P \in X$ . If  $D$  is a zero divisor, then  $\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) = g$  and  $\deg(D) = 0$ .

By the Riemann Roch theorem  $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}) = 1$ . Therefore, there are no non-constant holomorphic functions on  $X$ .

Define the divisor  $D_P$  such that

$$D_P(P) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = P \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq P \end{cases}$$

$\text{Deg}(D_P) = 1$ . Once again by the Riemann -Roch theorem,

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{D_P}) = 2 - g + \dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D_P})$$

If  $\dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D_P}) = g$ , then  $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{D_P}) = 2$ , hence there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  which has a simple pole at  $P$  and is holomorphic in  $X \setminus \{P\}$ .

If  $\dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D_P}) = g - 1$ , then  $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{D_P}) = 1$ , hence there is no meromorphic function which has a simple pole at  $P$  and is holomorphic in  $X \setminus \{P\}$ .

Now we want to see the effect of changing  $D_P$  from  $(n - 1)D_P$  (denoted by  $D_{(n-1)P}$ ) to  $nD_P$  (denoted by  $D_{nP}$ ). By the Riemann - Roch Theorem

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{D_{(n-1)P}}) = n - g + \dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D_{(n-1)P}})$$

and

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{D_{nP}}) = n + 1 - g + \dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D_{nP}})$$

If

$$\dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D_{(n-1)P}}) = \dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D_{nP}})$$

then

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{D_{(n-1)P}}) + 1 = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{D_{nP}}).$$

So there exists a meromorphic function  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  with a pole of order  $n$  at  $P$  and is holomorphic in  $X \setminus \{P\}$ .

If

$$\dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D_{(n-1)P}}) = \dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D_{nP}}) + 1$$

then

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{D_{nP}}) = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{D_{(n-1)P}}).$$

So there will not exist a function with a pole of order  $n$  at  $P$  and is holomorphic in  $X \setminus \{P\}$ .

So if  $\dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D_{nP}})$  remains the same as  $n$  increases by 1, a new linearly independent function is added in going from the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{D_{(n-1)P}}$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{D_{nP}}$ .

From the Equation (2.2), we have  $\dim H^0(X, \Omega) = g$ . Suppose  $\omega$  is a non-vanishing meromorphic 1-form on a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g$ , and  $K$  is its divisor, then  $\text{deg}(\omega) = 2g - 2$ .

we can deduce that

$$\dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D_{(2g-1)P}}) = 0.$$

Therefore, the number of times  $\dim H^0(X, \Omega_{-D_nP})$  does not remain the same must be  $g$  times and at each change it decreases by 1.

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. ANALYZING GAPS AND NON-GAPS

Let  $d$  be the least non-gap value at the point  $P$ , if  $n > d$  is a gap then  $n - d$  is again a gap value. Therefore, all the gaps occur in finite arithmetical sequences of the form

$$j, j + d, j + 2d, \dots, j + \lambda_j d,$$

where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, d - 1$  and  $\lambda_j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . See pp. 124 [3]. For more details on gap sequence see [1].

**Example 4.1.** Suppose  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface for  $g = 3$ . We see the possible gap sequences are

$$\{1, 3, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 5\}$$

and corresponding non-gap sequences in  $\{2, \dots, 2g\}$  are:

$$\{2, 4, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{3, 5, 6\}, \{3, 4, 6\}.$$

Note that  $2g$  is always a non-gap.

Suppose  $P \in X$ . If  $f$  has a pole of order  $s$  at  $P$ , and  $g$  has a pole of order  $t$  at  $P$ , then  $fg$  has a pole of order  $s + t$  at  $P$ . The following problem may be of some interest to see the number of possible gaps and non-gaps  $\leq 2g$  on  $X$ . The reader must note that this problem is nothing to do with the proof of the theorem.

**Problem 4.2.** Write the numbers 2 to  $2g - 1$  into two (disjoint) parts  $G = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{g-1}\}$  and  $G' = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{g-1}\}$  such that no number in  $G$  is a sum of any combination of numbers in  $G'$ . How many pairs of such  $G$  and  $G'$  exist?

Clearly then  $\{1\} \cup G$  gives possible gap sequence and  $G' \cup \{2g\}$  gives corresponding non-gap sequence in  $\{2, \dots, 2g\}$  at a point  $P$  on  $X$ .

#### 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We define a Weierstrass point by using gap sequence as follows:

**Definition 5.1.** Suppose  $P \in X$  and

$$0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_g < 2g$$

be the gap sequence at  $P$ . In terms of the gap sequence we define the weight of the point  $P$ , denoted by  $\omega(P)$  and defined by

$$\omega(P) = \sum_{i=1}^g (n_i - i)$$

Note that  $w(P) \geq 0$  for all  $P \in X$ .

**Definition 5.2.** A point  $P \in X$  is called a Weierstrass point if  $\omega(P) > 0$ .

These points provide an important information about the possible order of a pole of meromorphic function at a point  $P$  on  $X$ .

Hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces are characterized by the following gap sequence at the Weierstrass points:

$$(5.1) \quad G = \{1, 3, \dots, 2g - 1\}$$

hence the non-gaps ( $\leq 2g$ ) are  $G' = \{2, 4, \dots, 2g\}$

Jenkins proved that if  $h$  is a first non-gap sequence at  $P$  and  $(h, k) = 1$ , then  $k$  is a gap if

$$g > \frac{(h-1)(k-1)}{2}.$$

Further he observes, hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces are a special case whose first non-gap sequence starts with a prime number. See [4].

For the Exceptional Riemann surfaces the gap sequence  $G$  and the non-gap sequence  $G'$  at each Weierstrass point is given by

$$(5.2) \quad G = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, g-1, g+1\} \text{ and } G' = \{g, g+2, \dots, 2g-1\}.$$

It is clear that the Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 will give information on the order of a pole of a meromorphic function which has a pole at a point  $P$  and holomorphic in  $X \setminus \{P\}$ . The following example illustrates this:

**Example 5.3.** Suppose  $X$  is a hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus 6 and  $P$  is a Weierstrass point. Then there exist meromorphic functions with order 2, 4 and 6 at  $P$ . But at the same time if  $P$  is not a Weierstrass point, there is no meromorphic function with a pole of order  $< 7$  at  $P$ , and holomorphic in  $X \setminus \{P\}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by The National Board for Higher Mathematics (NBHM) Govt. of India. Grant No. 02011/6/2023 NBHM(R.P)/4301.

REFERENCES

- [1] H.M. Farkas and I. Kra, Riemann surfaces, GTM, Springer, Second Edition, 1991.
- [2] O. Forster, Lectures on Riemann surfaces, GTM 81, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [3] R.C. Gunning, Lectures on Riemann surfaces, Princeton University Press, second printing 1968.
- [4] James A Jenkins, Some Remarks on the Weierstrass points, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society , Volume 44, Number 1, May 1974.
- [5] George Springer, Introduction to Riemann surfaces, Addison Wesley Publishing Company, Inc, 1957.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIWS COLLEGE, AFFILIATED TO MUMBAI UNIVERSITY,, WADALA, MUMBAI-400031