

BORELL'S INEQUALITY AND MEAN WIDTH OF RANDOM POLYTOPES VIA DISCRETE INEQUALITIES

DAVID ALONSO-GUTIÉRREZ AND LUIS C. GARCÍA-LIROLA

ABSTRACT. Borell's inequality states the existence of a positive absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for every $1 \leq p \leq q$

$$(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \frac{q}{p} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

whenever X is a random vector uniformly distributed in any convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ containing the origin in its interior and $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ is the standard canonical basis in \mathbb{R}^n . In this paper, we will prove a discrete version of this inequality, which will hold whenever X is a random vector uniformly distributed on $K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ for any convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ containing the origin in its interior. We will also make use of such discrete version to obtain discrete inequalities from which we can recover the estimate $\mathbb{E}w(K_N) \sim w(Z_{\log N}(K))$ for any convex body K containing the origin in its interior, where K_N is the centrally symmetric random polytope $K_N = \text{conv}\{\pm X_1, \dots, \pm X_N\}$ generated by independent random vectors uniformly distributed on K and $w(\cdot)$ denotes the mean width.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

Given a convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ containing the origin in its interior, $\text{int } K$, and $p \geq 1$, its L_p -centroid body, $Z_p(K)$, is defined as the convex body with support function

$$h_{Z_p(K)}(\theta) = (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, \theta \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\frac{1}{|K|} \int_K |\langle x, \theta \rangle|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1},$$

where X is a random vector uniformly distributed on K , $\mathbb{E}Y$ denotes the expectation of a random variable Y , and S^{n-1} denotes the Euclidean sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . These bodies were introduced, under a different normalization, in [7]. Since then, they have shown to play a crucial role on the distribution of the mass on convex bodies (see e.g. [4, 5, 9]).

By Hölder's inequality, for any convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and any $1 \leq p \leq q$, if X is a random vector uniformly distributed on K we have that for any $\theta \in S^{n-1}$

$$(1.1) \quad h_{Z_p(K)}(\theta) = (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, \theta \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, \theta \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} = h_{Z_q(K)}(\theta).$$

Date: July 26, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 52C07; Secondary 26D15, 52A40.

The authors are partially supported by Project PID2022-137294NB-I00 funded by MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/ and FEDER Una manera de hacer Europa, and by DGA Project E48_23R. The second named author is also supported by Project PID2021-122126NB-C32 funded by MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/ and FEDER Una manera de hacer Europa, and by Generalitat Valenciana Grant CIGE/2022/97.

Equivalently,

$$(1.2) \quad Z_p(K) \subseteq Z_q(K).$$

As a consequence of Borell's inequality (see [8, Appendix III]), we have that there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for any convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and any $\theta \in S^{n-1}$, if X is a random vector uniformly distributed on K , then for any $1 \leq p \leq q$

$$(1.3) \quad (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, \theta \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \frac{q}{p} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, \theta \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Equivalently,

$$(1.4) \quad Z_q(K) \subseteq C \frac{q}{p} Z_p(K).$$

Writing equations (1.2) and (1.4) together, we have that there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for any convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and any $1 \leq p \leq q$

$$(1.5) \quad Z_p(K) \subseteq Z_q(K) \subseteq C \frac{q}{p} Z_p(K).$$

Let us point out that the fact that (1.1) and (1.3) hold for every convex with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and every $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ is equivalent to the fact that they hold for every convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and $\theta = e_n$, being $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ the standard canonical basis in \mathbb{R}^n . That is, for every convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and $1 \leq p \leq q$, if X is a random vector uniformly distributed on K then

$$(1.6) \quad (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \frac{q}{p} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Indeed, given $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ a convex body with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and $\theta \in S^{n-1}$, there exists an orthogonal map $U \in O(n)$ such that $U^t e_n = \theta$. Thus, if X is a random vector uniformly distributed on K , then for any $p \geq 1$

$$(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, \theta \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, U^t e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = (\mathbb{E}|\langle UX, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = (\mathbb{E}|\langle Y, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where Y is a random vector uniformly distributed on UK . Therefore, applying (1.6) to the convex body UK , we obtain (1.1) and (1.3).

In this paper, we will prove the discrete version of (1.6) given by Theorem 1.1 below. This discrete version will imply (1.6) and, equivalently, (1.1) and (1.3). Before stating the theorem, let us introduce the following notation: For any convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\max_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle| \geq 1$ and any $p \geq 1$, we define $C_0(K, p)$ as the number given by

$$C_0(K, p) := \frac{\left(1 + (\mathbb{E}|\langle Y, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{G_n(K+C_n)}{G_n(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)}{(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}},$$

where $C_n = (-1, 1)^n$, $G_n(K)$ is the cardinality of $K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, X is a random vector uniformly distributed on $K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, and Y is a random vector uniformly distributed on $(K + C_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$.

We state now our discrete version of Borell's inequality:

Theorem 1.1. *There exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for any convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and $\max_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle| \geq 1$, and any $1 \leq p \leq q$*

$$(1.7) \quad (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \frac{q}{p} C_0(K, p) (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where X is a random vector uniformly distributed on $K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Remark 1. Unfortunately, given $1 \leq p \leq q$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is not possible to find $C(p, q, n) > 0$ such that $(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C(p, q, n) (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for every convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and $\max_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle| \geq 1$. This can be easily checked by considering $K = \text{conv}(\{(x, -1/2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} : \|x\|_\infty \leq \lambda\} \cup \{e_n\})$ and letting $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, where $\text{conv}(A)$ denotes the convex hull of the set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

We also define, for any convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the Euclidean closed unit ball, B_2^n , satisfies $B_2^n \subseteq K$, and any $q \geq 1$, $C_q(K)$ as the following number

$$C_q(K) := \sup_{\substack{U \in O(n) \\ 1 \leq p \leq q}} C_0(UK, p).$$

Let us point out that the condition $B_2^n \subseteq K$ in this definition is imposed so that, for every $U \in O(n)$, $e_n \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ and then for any $p \geq 1$ and any $U \in O(n)$, $C_0(UK, p)$ is well defined.

The following theorem, involving the role of the constant $C_q(\cdot)$, will allow us to recover (1.6) from Theorem 1.1, as well as some other continuous inequalities from discrete ones:

Theorem 1.2. *For any convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $0 \in \text{int } K$ and any $q \geq 1$ we have*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C_q(\lambda K) = 1.$$

Remark 2. Let us point out that for every convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\max_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle| \geq 1$ and any $p \geq 1$ we have that $C_0(K, p) \geq 1$. Indeed, if X and Y are random vectors uniformly distributed on $K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $(K + C_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(K, p) &\geq \frac{\left((\mathbb{E}|\langle Y, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{G_n(K+C_n)}{G_n(K)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\sum_{x \in (K+C_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p}{\sum_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \left(\frac{\sum_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p}{\sum_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

For every convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $0 \in \text{int } K$, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $B_2^n \subseteq \lambda_0 K$. Then, for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, $U \in O(n)$, and $p \geq 1$, choosing $q \geq p$, we have that

$$1 \leq C_0(\lambda UK, p) \leq \sup_{\substack{U \in O(n) \\ 1 \leq p \leq q}} C_0(\lambda UK, p) = C_q(\lambda K).$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.2 implies that for every $U \in O(n)$ and $p \geq 1$ we have $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C_0(\lambda UK, p) = 1$.

Given a convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } K$, $N \geq n$, and X_1, \dots, X_N independent random vectors uniformly distributed on K , let $K_N = \text{conv}\{\pm X_1, \dots, \pm X_N\}$ be the centrally symmetric random polytope generated by X_1, \dots, X_N . It is well known that there exist absolute constants c_1, c'_1, c_2, c'_2 such that for any convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } K$, and any $n \leq N \leq e^n$ we have

$$(1.8) \quad c_1 w(Z_{c'_1 \log N}(K)) \leq \mathbb{E} w(K_N) \leq c_2 w(Z_{c'_2 \log N}(K)),$$

where for any convex body $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ containing the origin, $w(L)$ denotes its mean width

$$w(L) = \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(\theta) d\sigma(\theta),$$

being $d\sigma$ the uniform probability measure on S^{n-1} . We refer the reader to [2, Proposition 11.3.10] for a proof of the upper bound and to [2, Theorem 11.3.2] for a proof of a stronger result that implies the lower bound when $N \geq n^2$. The fact that the lower bound also holds when $n \leq N \leq n^2$ is probably folklore. Nevertheless, since we could not find a proof in the literature, we will include a proof in Section 2.2 for the sake of completeness.

On the one hand, notice that, by uniqueness of the Haar measure, we have that if $d\nu$ denotes the Haar probability measure on the set of orthogonal matrices $O(n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} w(K_N) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} h_{K_N}(\theta) d\sigma(\theta) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, \theta \rangle| d\sigma(\theta) \\ &= \int_{O(n)} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, U^t e_n \rangle| d\nu(U) = \int_{O(n)} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle U X_i, e_n \rangle| d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} w(K_N) &= \mathbb{E} \int_{O(n)} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle U X_i, e_n \rangle| d\nu(U) = \int_{O(n)} \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle U X_i, e_n \rangle| d\nu(U) \\ &= \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{|K|^N} \int_K \cdots \int_K \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle U x_i, e_n \rangle| dx_N \dots dx_1 d\nu(U) \\ &= \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{|UK|^N} \int_{UK} \cdots \int_{UK} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| dx_N \dots dx_1 d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any $p \geq 1$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} w(Z_p(K)) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} h_{Z_p(K)}(\theta) d\sigma(\theta) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{|K|} \int_K |\langle x, \theta \rangle|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\sigma(\theta) \\ &= \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{|K|} \int_K |\langle x, U^t e_n \rangle|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\nu(U) \\ &= \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{|K|} \int_K |\langle U x, e_n \rangle|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\nu(U) \\ &= \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{|UK|} \int_{UK} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Equation (1.8) is equivalent to

$$c_1 \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{|UK|} \int_{UK} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{c'_1 \log N} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{c'_1 \log N}} d\nu(U)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{|UK|^N} \int_{UK} \cdots \int_{UK} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| dx_N \dots dx_1 d\nu(U) \\
 (1.9) \quad & \leq c_2 \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{|UK|} \int_{UK} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{c'_2 \log N} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{c'_2 \log N}} d\nu(U).
 \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we will prove the following two theorems, which will provide discrete versions of the inequalities in (1.9) where the role of the volume is played by the lattice point enumerator measure given by $G_n(L)$, the cardinality of the set $L \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ for any Borel set $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. The two inequalities in (1.9) and, equivalently, equation (1.8) will be recovered from the discrete versions as corollaries. Let us also point out that, as a consequence of (1.5), inequality (1.8) can be equivalently written with constants $c'_1 = c'_2 = 1$. Nevertheless, for our purposes of finding analogue discrete inequalities we decided not to do so.

Theorem 1.3. *There exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for every convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in K$ and every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 3$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| d\nu(U) \leq \\
 & \leq C \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{G_n(UK)} \sum_{x \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{\log N} \right)^{\frac{1}{\log N}} d\nu(U).
 \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, the right-hand side inequality in (1.8) will be recovered.

Theorem 1.4. *There exist absolute constants $c, C > 0$ such that if $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex body with $B_2^n \subseteq K$, $q \geq 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(CC_q(K))^2 \leq N \leq e^q$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| d\nu(U) \\
 & \geq c \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{G_n(UK)} \sum_{x \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{\frac{\log N}{2 \log(CC_q(K))}} \right)^{\frac{2 \log(CC_q(K))}{\log N}} d\nu(U).
 \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, the left-hand side inequality in (1.8) will be recovered.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we will introduce some well-known results that will be used in our proofs.

2.1. The lattice point enumerator. Let us recall that the lattice point enumerator measure, dG_n , is the measure on \mathbb{R}^n given, for any Borel set $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, by

$$G_n(L) = \#(L \cap \mathbb{Z}^k),$$

where $\#(\cdot)$ denotes cardinality of a set.

The measure given by the lattice point enumerator satisfies the following discrete version of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality, which was proved in [6, Theorem 2.1], and from which one can recover the classical one [11, Theorem 7.1.1]. It reads as follows:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and let $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be non-empty bounded Borel sets. Then*

$$G_n((1 - \lambda)K + \lambda L + C_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq (1 - \lambda)G_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \lambda G_n(L)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

The measure dG_n also satisfies (see [12, Lemma 3.22] and [1, Section 3.1]) that for any convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and any bounded set M containing the origin

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_n(\lambda K + M)}{\lambda^n} = |K|.$$

Moreover, for any $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is Riemann-integrable on K , we have that

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \int_{\lambda K} f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) dG_n(x) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \sum_{x \in K \cap (\frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbb{Z}^n)} f(x) = \int_K f(x) dx,$$

where the first identity follows from the definition of the measure dG_n . The second identity can be obtained by extending the function f to a rectangle containing K as $f(x) = 0$ for every $x \notin K$, which is Riemann-integrable on the rectangle, and applying [3, Proposition 6.3], which is valid for Riemann-integrable functions on the rectangle. Notice that $\sum_{x \in K \cap (\frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbb{Z}^n)} f(x)$ is a Riemann sum of the extension of f

to the rectangle, corresponding to the partition given by the rectangle intersected with cubes with vertices on $\frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbb{Z}^n$.

As a consequence of (2.1) and (2.2), many continuous inequalities can be recovered from discrete inequalities. The following consequence of these equations will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with $0 \in K$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \geq 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{(G_n(\lambda UK))^m} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_m \in \lambda UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left| \left\langle \frac{x_i}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^q \right)^{1/q} d\nu(U) = \\ & = \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{|UK|^m} \int_{UK} \dots \int_{UK} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} | \langle x_i, e_n \rangle |^q dx_m \dots dx_1 \right)^{1/q} d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For every $U \in O(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(G_n(\lambda UK))^m} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_m \in \lambda UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left| \left\langle \frac{x_i}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^q = \\ & = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda^n}{G_n(\lambda UK)} \right)^m \frac{1}{\lambda^{nm}} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_m \in UK \cap (\frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbb{Z}^n)} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} | \langle x_i, e_n \rangle |^q \\ & = \frac{1}{|UK|^m} \int_{UK} \dots \int_{UK} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} | \langle x_i, e_n \rangle |^q dx_m \dots dx_1. \end{aligned}$$

Besides, for every $\lambda > 0$ and any $U \in O(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{(G_n(\lambda UK))^m} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_m \in \lambda UK \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left| \left\langle \frac{x_i}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{(G_n(\lambda UK))^m} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_m \in UK \cap (\frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbb{Z}^n)} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} | \langle x_i, e_n \rangle |^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{(G_n(\lambda UK))^m} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_m \in UK \cap (\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{Z}^n)} \max_{x \in UK} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \max_{x \in UK} |\langle x, e_n \rangle| \\ &= \max\{h_{UK}(e_n), h_{UK}(-e_n)\} \leq R(UK) = R(K), \end{aligned}$$

where $R(L) := \inf\{R > 0 : L \subseteq RB_2^n\}$ denotes the circumradius of L , which is integrable in $O(n)$. By the dominated convergence theorem, the result follows. \square

Notice also that for every Borel set $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we have that

$$(2.3) \quad G_n(L) = \left| (L \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) + \frac{1}{2} B_\infty^n \right| \leq \left| L + \frac{1}{2} B_\infty^n \right|.$$

2.2. Expected mean width of random polytopes. In this section we give a proof of the lower bound in (1.8), which holds for every $N \geq n$, since we could not find this proof in the literature. We state it here as a theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with $0 \in \text{int} K$, $N \geq n$, let X_1, \dots, X_N be independent random vectors uniformly distributed on K , and let K_N be the centrally symmetric random polytope generated by X_1, \dots, X_N , that is, $K_N = \text{conv}\{\pm X_1, \dots, \pm X_N\}$. There exists an absolute constant $c_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}w(K_N) \geq c_1 w(Z_{\log N}(K)).$$

Proof. For any $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ and any $\alpha > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, \theta \rangle| \geq \alpha \right) &= 1 - \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, \theta \rangle| < \alpha \right) = 1 - \mathbb{P} (|\langle X_1, \theta \rangle| < \alpha)^N \\ &= 1 - (1 - \mathbb{P} (|\langle X_1, \theta \rangle| \geq \alpha))^N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking $\alpha = h_{K_{\frac{1}{N}}}(\theta)$, where for any $0 < \delta < 1$, K_δ is the floating body defined by

$$h_{K_\delta}(\theta) = \sup\{t > 0 : \mathbb{P}(|\langle X_1, \theta \rangle| \geq t) \geq \delta\}$$

we have that

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, \theta \rangle| \geq h_{K_{\frac{1}{N}}}(\theta) \right) \geq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^N \geq 1 - \frac{1}{e}.$$

Thus, by Markov's inequality, for any $\theta \in S^{n-1}$, calling $c = 1 - e^{-1}$,

$$\mathbb{E}h_{K_N}(\theta) \geq h_{K_{\frac{1}{N}}}(\theta) \cdot \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, \theta \rangle| \geq h_{K_{\frac{1}{N}}}(\theta) \right) \geq ch_{K_{\frac{1}{N}}}(\theta).$$

It was proved in [10] that there exist absolute constants $c', c'' > 0$ such that

$$c' h_{Z_{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}(K)}(\theta) \leq h_{K_\delta}(\theta) \leq c'' h_{Z_{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}(K)}(\theta).$$

for any $0 < \delta < 1$. In particular, for any $\theta \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\mathbb{E}h_{K_N}(\theta) \geq ch_{K_{\frac{1}{N}}}(\theta) \geq cc' h_{Z_{\log N}(K)}(\theta).$$

Integrating in $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ and using Fubini's theorem we obtain the result with $c_1 = cc'$. \square

3. A DISCRETE BORELL'S INEQUALITY

In this section we are going to prove Theorem 1.1. As a consequence, we can obtain inequality (1.3) for centrally symmetric convex bodies.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The left-hand side inequality in (1.7) is a direct consequence of Hölder's inequality. Let us prove the right-hand side inequality. Fix $1 \leq p \leq q$ and let X be a random vector uniformly distributed on $K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let Y be a random vector uniformly distributed on $(K + C_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let also, for $s > 1$ to be chosen later,

$$\begin{aligned} A(s) &= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\langle x, e_n \rangle| \leq s (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p \leq s^p \mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, for any $t \geq 1$ we have

$$(tA(s))^c = A(ts)^c = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\langle x, e_n \rangle| > ts (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}$$

and

$$A(s)^c + C_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\langle x, e_n \rangle| > s (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1 \right\}.$$

Since $A(s)$ is convex and centrally symmetric, we have that for every $t > 1$

$$A(s)^c \supseteq \frac{2}{t+1} A(ts)^c + \frac{t-1}{t+1} A(s)$$

and then, since K is convex,

$$\begin{aligned} A(s)^c \cap K &\supseteq \left(\frac{2}{t+1} A(ts)^c + \frac{t-1}{t+1} A(s) \right) \cap K \\ &\supseteq \frac{2}{t+1} (A(ts)^c \cap K) + \frac{t-1}{t+1} (A(s) \cap K). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (A(s)^c + C_n) \cap (K + C_n) &\supseteq (A(s)^c \cap K + C_n) \\ &\supseteq \frac{2}{t+1} (A(ts)^c \cap K) + \frac{t-1}{t+1} (A(s) \cap K) + C_n. \end{aligned}$$

By the discrete Brunn-Minkowski inequality (see Theorem 2.1), if $A(ts)^c \cap K \neq \emptyset$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_n((A(s)^c + C_n) \cap (K + C_n))^{\frac{1}{n}} &\geq \frac{2}{t+1} G_n((A(ts)^c \cap K)^{\frac{1}{n}}) \\ &\quad + \frac{t-1}{t+1} G_n(A(s) \cap K)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$G_n((A(s)^c + C_n) \cap (K + C_n)) \geq G_n(A(ts)^c \cap K)^{\frac{2}{t+1}} G_n(A(s) \cap K)^{\frac{t-1}{t+1}},$$

being this inequality also true if $A(ts)^c \cap K = \emptyset$. Therefore, for any $t > 1$

$$\frac{G_n((A(s)^c + C_n) \cap (K + C_n))}{G_n(K)} \geq \left(\frac{G_n(A(ts)^c \cap K)}{G_n(K)} \right)^{\frac{2}{t+1}} \left(\frac{G_n(A(s) \cap K)}{G_n(K)} \right)^{\frac{t-1}{t+1}}.$$

Notice that, by Markov's inequality,

$$\frac{G_n(A(s) \cap K)}{G_n(K)} = 1 - \frac{G_n(A(s)^c \cap K)}{G_n(K)} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{s^p}.$$

Besides, also by Markov's inequality, if $s(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} > 1$, then

$$\frac{G_n((A(s)^c + C_n) \cap (K + C_n))}{G_n(K + C_n)} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}|Y, e_n|^p}{\left(s(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1\right)^p}.$$

Therefore, for every $t > 1$, if $s(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} > 1$ we have

$$\frac{G_n(K + C_n)}{G_n(K)} \frac{\mathbb{E}|Y, e_n|^p}{\left(s(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1\right)^p} \geq \left(\frac{G_n(A(ts)^c \cap K)}{G_n(K)}\right)^{\frac{2}{t+1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{s^p}\right)^{\frac{t-1}{t+1}}$$

and then, if also $s \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_n(A(ts)^c \cap K)}{G_n(K)} &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{s^p}\right) \left(\frac{G_n(K + C_n)}{G_n(K)} \frac{\mathbb{E}|Y, e_n|^p}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{s^p}\right) \left(s(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1\right)^p}\right)^{\frac{t+1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\left(\frac{G_n(K + C_n)}{G_n(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{(\mathbb{E}|Y, e_n|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{s^p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(s(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1\right)}\right)^{\frac{p(t+1)}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\left(\frac{G_n(K + C_n)}{G_n(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{2(\mathbb{E}|Y, e_n|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{s(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1}\right)^{\frac{p(t+1)}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, choose

$$s = \frac{4 + 4(\mathbb{E}|Y, e_n|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{G_n(K + C_n)}{G_n(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} = 4C_0(K, p).$$

Recall that, as seen in Remark 2, $C_0(K, p) \geq 1$ and so $s \geq 4$. Also,

$$s(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1 \geq 4(\mathbb{E}|Y, e_n|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{G_n(K + C_n)}{G_n(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0.$$

Thus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(|\langle X, e_n \rangle| > ts(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) &= \frac{G_n(A(ts)^c \cap K)}{G_n(K)} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{p(t+1)}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{pt}{2}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{pt \log 2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^q}{(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{q}{p}}} &= \frac{q \int_0^\infty t^{q-1} \mathbb{P}(|\langle X, e_n \rangle| > t) dt}{(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{q}{p}}} \\ &= q \int_0^\infty u^{q-1} \mathbb{P}\left(|\langle X, e_n \rangle| > u(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) du \\ &= q \int_0^s u^{q-1} \mathbb{P}\left(|\langle X, e_n \rangle| > u(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) du \\ &\quad + q \int_s^\infty u^{q-1} \mathbb{P}\left(|\langle X, e_n \rangle| > u(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) du \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq s^q + s^q q \int_1^\infty v^{q-1} \mathbb{P}\left(|\langle X, e_n \rangle| > vs (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dv \\
&\leq s^q + s^q q \int_1^\infty v^{q-1} e^{-\frac{pv \log 2}{2}} dv \\
&\leq s^q + s^q q \int_0^\infty v^{q-1} e^{-\frac{pv \log 2}{2}} dv \\
&= s^q + s^q \left(\frac{2}{p \log 2}\right)^q q \int_0^\infty r^{q-1} e^{-r} dr \\
&= s^q + s^q \left(\frac{2}{p \log 2}\right)^q \Gamma(1+q) \\
&= s^q \left(1 + \left(\frac{2}{p \log 2}\right)^q \Gamma(1+q)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that, by Stirling's formula, there exists an absolute constant $K_0 > 0$ such that $\frac{2}{\log 2} \Gamma(1+q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq K_0 q$ for any $q \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq s (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{2}{p \log 2}\right)^q \Gamma(1+q)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq s (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{2}{p \log 2}\right)^q \Gamma(1+q)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right) \\
&\leq s (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(1 + K_0 \frac{q}{p}\right) \\
&\leq s (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} (K_0 + 1) \frac{q}{p} \\
&= 4(K_0 + 1) \frac{q}{p} C_0(K, p),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves inequality (1.7) with $C = 4(K_0 + 1)$. \square

Given a convex body K with $0 \in \text{int } K$, we denote $r(K)$ and $R(K)$ the inradius and circumradius of K , that is, the optimal constants satisfying that $r(K)B_2^n \subseteq K \subseteq R(K)B_2^n$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with $0 \in \text{int } K$, $\max_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle| \geq 1$ and $\sqrt{n}R(K) \leq 2r(K)^2$. Then,*

- $G_n((1+t)K \setminus K) \leq \left(\left(1+t + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right) |K|$ for all $t > 0$.
- For any $p \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0(K, p) &\leq 1 + \frac{|K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}B_2^n|}{\sum_{x \in r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|} \\
&\quad + \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right) R(K) \left(\left(1 + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |K|^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(\frac{1}{G_n(r(K)B_2^n)} \sum_{x \in r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|\right) G_n(r(K)B_2^n)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us first prove a). By (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} |G_n((1+t)K \setminus K)| &\leq \left| (1+t)K \setminus K + \frac{1}{2}B_\infty^n \right| \leq \left| (1+t)K \setminus K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}B_2^n \right| \\ &\leq \left| (1+t)K \setminus K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}K \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since

$$-K \subseteq R(K)B_2^n \subseteq \frac{R(K)}{r(K)}K,$$

we have

$$-\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}K \subseteq \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}K.$$

Recall that $\frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)} \leq 1$. It follows that

$$(1+t)K \setminus K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}K \subseteq \left(1+t + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)K \setminus \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)K$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (1+t)K \setminus K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}K \right| &\leq \left| \left(1+t + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)K \setminus \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)K \right| \\ &= \left(\left(1+t + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n \right) |K|. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now prove b). We have that if X and Y are random vectors uniformly distributed on $K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $(K + C_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ respectively, for any $p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(K, p) &= \frac{1 + (\mathbb{E}|\langle Y, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{G_n(K+C_n)}{G_n(K)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} + \left(\frac{\sum_{x \in (K+C_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p}{\sum_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, by Hölder's inequality and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\geq \mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle| = \frac{\sum_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|}{G_n(K)} \geq \frac{\sum_{x \in r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|}{|K + \frac{1}{2}B_\infty^n|} \\ &\geq \frac{\sum_{x \in r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|}{|K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}B_2^n|}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\sum_{x \in (K+C_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p}{\sum_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left(1 + \frac{\sum_{x \in ((K+C_n) \setminus K) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p}{\sum_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq 1 + \left(\frac{\sum_{x \in ((K+C_n) \setminus K) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p}{\sum_{x \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 1 + \left(\frac{\sum_{x \in ((K + \sqrt{n}B_2^n) \setminus K) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p}{\sum_{x \in r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq 1 + \left(\frac{\sum_{x \in ((K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}K) \setminus K) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p}{\sum_{x \in r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq 1 + \frac{h_{(1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)})K}(e_n) G_n \left(\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right) K \setminus K \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(\sum_{x \in r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\
&\leq 1 + \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right) R(K) G_n \left(\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right) K \setminus K \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(\frac{1}{G_n(r(K)B_2^n)} \sum_{x \in r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} G_n(r(K)B_2^n)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\
&\leq 1 + \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right) R(K) G_n \left(\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right) K \setminus K \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(\frac{1}{G_n(r(K)B_2^n)} \sum_{x \in r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} G_n(r(K)B_2^n)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By a), we get

$$\left| G_n \left(\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right) K \setminus K \right) \right| \leq \left(\left(1 + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n \right) |K|$$

and the statement follows. \square

Let us now prove Theorem 1.2, i.e., that, for every convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int}(K)$ and any $q \geq 1$, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C_q(\lambda K) = 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with $0 \in \text{int}(K)$, $p \geq 1$ and $U \in O(n)$. Notice that if $\lambda \geq r(K)^{-1}$ we have $B_2^n \subset \lambda UK$ and then $\max_{x \in \lambda UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle| \geq 1$. Therefore, if $\lambda \geq r(K)^{-1}$ the number $C_0(\lambda UK, p)$ is well defined. Since $r(\lambda UK) = \lambda r(K)$, $R(\lambda UK) = \lambda R(K)$ and $|\lambda UK| = \lambda^n |K|$, by Lemma 3.1 we have that, whenever $\lambda \geq \max \left\{ r(K)^{-1}, \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)} \right\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 \leq C_0(\lambda UK, p) &\leq 1 + \frac{|\lambda UK + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} B_2^n|}{\sum_{x \in \lambda r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|} \\
&\quad + \frac{\left(\lambda + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)} \right) R(K) \left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)} \right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)} \right)^n \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |K|^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(\frac{1}{G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)} \sum_{x \in \lambda r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every $\lambda \geq \max \left\{ r(K)^{-1}, \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)} \right\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 \leq C_q(\lambda K) &= \sup_{\substack{U \in O(n) \\ 1 \leq p \leq q}} C_0(\lambda U K, p) \\
 &\leq 1 + \sup_{U \in O(n)} \frac{|\lambda U K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} B_2^n|}{\sum_{x \in \lambda r(K) B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|} \\
 &\quad + \sup_{\substack{U \in O(n) \\ 1 \leq p \leq q}} \frac{\left(\lambda + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right) R(K) \left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |K|^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(\frac{1}{G_n(\lambda r(K) B_2^n)} \sum_{x \in \lambda r(K) B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|\right) G_n(\lambda r(K) B_2^n)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us see that both supremums tend to 0 as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. First,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{U \in O(n)} \frac{|\lambda U K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} B_2^n|}{\sum_{x \in \lambda r(K) B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|} &= \sup_{U \in O(n)} \frac{|\lambda U(K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2\lambda} B_2^n)|}{\sum_{x \in \lambda r(K) B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^n \left|K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2\lambda} B_2^n\right|}{\sum_{x \in \lambda r(K) B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda} \left|K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2\lambda} B_2^n\right|}{\frac{1}{\lambda^n} \sum_{x \in \lambda r(K) B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle \frac{x}{\lambda}, e_n \rangle|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left|K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2\lambda} B_2^n\right| = |K|$ and, by (2.2),

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \sum_{x \in \lambda r(K) B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle \frac{x}{\lambda}, e_n \rangle| = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \sum_{x \in r(K) B_2^n \cap \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle| = \int_{r(K) B_2^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle| dx,$$

we get that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda} \left|K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2\lambda} B_2^n\right|}{\frac{1}{\lambda^n} \sum_{x \in r(K) B_2^n \cap \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|} = 0$$

and then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{U \in O(n)} \frac{|\lambda U K + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} B_2^n|}{\sum_{x \in \lambda r(K) B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|} = 0.$$

Let us now see that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{U \in O(n) \\ 1 \leq p \leq q}} \frac{\left(\lambda + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right) R(K) \left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |K|^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(\frac{1}{G_n(\lambda r(K) B_2^n)} \sum_{x \in \lambda r(K) B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|\right) G_n(\lambda r(K) B_2^n)^{\frac{1}{p}}} = 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right) |K|}{G_n(\lambda r(K) B_2^n)} \\
 &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda^n} \left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right) |K|}{\frac{1}{\lambda^n} G_n(\lambda r(K) B_2^n)} \\
 &= \frac{0}{|r(K) B_2^n|} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

there exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that if $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ then $\frac{\left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right)|K|}{G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)} \leq 1$
and, for every $1 \leq p \leq q$

$$\left(\frac{\left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right)|K|}{G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\frac{\left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{3r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right)^n\right)|K|}{G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Therefore, for every $\lambda \geq \max\left\{r(K)^{-1}, \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}, \lambda_1\right\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\substack{U \in O(n) \\ 1 \leq p \leq q}} \frac{\left(\lambda + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right) R(K) \left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |K|^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(\frac{1}{G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)} \sum_{x \in \lambda r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|\right) G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\ & \leq \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\lambda r(K)}\right) R(K) \left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} |K|^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\frac{1}{G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)} \sum_{x \in \lambda r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \left|\left\langle \frac{x}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle\right| G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \\ & = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\lambda r(K)}\right) R(K)}{\frac{1}{\lambda^n} \frac{1}{G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)} \sum_{x \in \lambda r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \left|\left\langle \frac{x}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle\right|} \left(\frac{\left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right)|K|}{G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

- $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\lambda r(K)}\right) = 1,$
- $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right)|K|}{G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)} = 0,$
- $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \sum_{x \in \lambda r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \left|\left\langle \frac{x}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle\right| = \int_{r(K)B_2^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle| dx,$ and
- $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n) = |r(K)B_2^n|,$

we have that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\lambda r(K)}\right) R(K)}{\frac{1}{\lambda^n} \frac{1}{G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)} \sum_{x \in \lambda r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \left|\left\langle \frac{x}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle\right|} \left(\frac{\left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{3r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right)^n\right)|K|}{G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0$$

and then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{U \in O(n) \\ 1 \leq p \leq q}} \frac{\left(\lambda + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)}\right) R(K) \left(\left(\lambda + \frac{3\sqrt{n}}{2r(K)}\right)^n - \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{n}R(K)}{2r^2(K)}\right)^n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |K|^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(\frac{1}{G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)} \sum_{x \in \lambda r(K)B_2^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|\right) G_n(\lambda r(K)B_2^n)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C_q(\lambda K) = 1.$$

□

As a consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we can obtain inequality (1.6).

Corollary 3.1. *There exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for every convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } K$, if X is a random vector uniformly distributed on K , then for any $1 \leq p \leq q$*

$$(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \frac{q}{p} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and $1 \leq p \leq q$. Let $\lambda \geq r(K)^{-1} > 0$. Then, we have that $\max_{x \in \lambda K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle| \geq 1$. By Theorem 1.1, if X_λ is a random vector uniformly distributed on $\lambda K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$(\mathbb{E}|\langle X_\lambda, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (\mathbb{E}|\langle X_\lambda, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \frac{q}{p} C_0(\lambda K, p) (\mathbb{E}|\langle X_\lambda, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant. Equivalently,

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \left| \left\langle \frac{X_\lambda}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \left\langle \frac{X_\lambda}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \frac{q}{p} C_0(\lambda K, p) \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \left\langle \frac{X_\lambda}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

On the one hand, for any $p \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left| \left\langle \frac{X_\lambda}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^p &= \frac{1}{G_n(\lambda K)} \sum_{x \in \lambda K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \left| \left\langle \frac{x}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^p \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda^n} G_n(\lambda K)} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \sum_{x \in \lambda K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \left| \left\langle \frac{x}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^p \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda^n} G_n(\lambda K)} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \sum_{x \in K \cap \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p, \end{aligned}$$

and, by (2.1) and (2.2), taking limit as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \left\langle \frac{X_\lambda}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^p = \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^p dx = \mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p,$$

where X is a random vector uniformly distributed on K . On the other hand, as seen in Remark 2,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C_0(\lambda K, p) = 1$$

and then, if X is a random vector uniformly distributed on K ,

$$(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \frac{q}{p} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

□

4. EXPECTED MEAN WIDTH OF RANDOM POLYTOPES VIA DISCRETE INEQUALITIES

In this section we will prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 and show how they imply the inequalities in (1.9) and, equivalently, (1.8). In order to prove Theorem 1.3 we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with $0 \in L$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a > 0$. Let X_1, \dots, X_N be independent random vectors uniformly distributed on $L \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then, for any $q > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, e_n \rangle| \geq a (\mathbb{E}|\langle X_1, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \leq Na^{-q}.$$

Proof. By the union bound, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, e_n \rangle| \geq a (\mathbb{E} |\langle X_1, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) &\leq N \mathbb{P} \left(|\langle X_1, e_n \rangle| \geq a (\mathbb{E} |\langle X_1, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\ &= N \mathbb{P} (|\langle X_1, e_n \rangle|^q \geq a^q \mathbb{E} |\langle X_1, e_n \rangle|^q). \end{aligned}$$

By Markov's inequality

$$\mathbb{P} (|\langle X_1, e_n \rangle|^q \geq a^q \mathbb{E} |\langle X_1, e_n \rangle|^q) \leq a^{-q}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, e_n \rangle| \geq a (\mathbb{E} |\langle X_1, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \leq N a^{-q}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For any $a > 0$ and any $q > 1$, let us call

$$h_q(U) := \left(\frac{1}{G_n(UK)} \sum_{x \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = (\mathbb{E} |\langle X_U, e_n \rangle|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where X_U is a random vector uniformly distributed on $UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let also A and B be the following subsets of $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^N \times O(n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_N, U) : x_i \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n, \forall 1 \leq i \leq N, \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| \geq ah_q(U) \right\}, \\ B &= \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_N, U) : x_i \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n, \forall 1 \leq i \leq N, \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| < ah_q(U) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and

$$A \cup B = \{(x_1, \dots, x_N, U) : x_i \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n, \forall 1 \leq i \leq N\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| d\nu(U) = \\ &= \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| \chi_A(x_1, \dots, x_N, U) d\nu(U) \\ &+ \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| \chi_B(x_1, \dots, x_N, U) d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| \chi_B(x_1, \dots, x_N, U) d\nu(U) \leq \\ &\leq \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} ah_q(U) \chi_B(x_1, \dots, x_N, U) d\nu(U) \\ &\leq \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} ah_q(U) d\nu(U) \\ &= a \int_{O(n)} h_q(U) d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let us call, for every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, A_k the following subset of $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^N \times O(n)$

$$A_k = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_N, U) \in A : 2^k ah_q(U) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| < 2^{k+1} ah_q(U) \right\}$$

Notice that if $k_1 \neq k_2$ then $A_{k_1} \cap A_{k_2} = \emptyset$ and $A = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| \chi_A(x_1, \dots, x_N, U) d\nu(U) = \\ &= \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \chi_{A_k}(x_1, \dots, x_N, U) d\nu(U) \\ &\leq \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k+1} ah_q(U) \chi_{A_k}(x_1, \dots, x_N, U) d\nu(U) \\ &= 2a \int_{O(n)} h_q(U) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \chi_{A_k}(x_1, \dots, x_N, U) d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

Applying, for any $U \in O(n)$, Lemma 4.1 with $L = UK$ we have that if $(X_U)_1, \dots, (X_U)_N$ are independent random vectors uniformly distributed on $UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & 2a \int_{O(n)} h_q(U) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \chi_{A_k}(x_1, \dots, x_N, U) d\nu(U) \\ &= 2a \int_{O(n)} h_q(U) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \mathbb{P} \left(2^{k+1} ah_q(U) > \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle (X_U)_i, e_n \rangle| \geq 2^k ah_q(U) \right) d\nu(U) \\ &\leq 2a \int_{O(n)} h_q(U) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle (X_U)_i, e_n \rangle| \geq 2^k ah_q(U) \right) d\nu(U) \\ &\leq 2a \int_{O(n)} h_q(U) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k N (2^k a)^{-q} d\nu(U) \\ &= 2Na^{1-q} \int_{O(n)} h_q(U) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{(q-1)k}} d\nu(U) \\ &= \frac{2Na^{1-q}}{1 - \frac{1}{2^{q-1}}} \int_{O(n)} h_q(U) d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $a = e$ and $q = \log N \geq \log 3 > 1$ we have that $\frac{2}{1 - \frac{1}{2^{q-1}}} \leq \frac{2}{1 - \frac{1}{2^{\log 3 - 1}}} = C_1$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| d\nu(U) \leq \\ &\leq (e + eC_1) \int_{O(n)} h_{\log N}(U) d\nu(U) \\ &= (e + eC_1) \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{G_n(UK)} \sum_{x \in UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{\log N} \right)^{\frac{1}{\log N}} d\nu(U), \end{aligned}$$

which proves Theorem 1.3 with $C = e + eC_1$. \square

As a consequence, we obtain the right-hand side inequality in (1.9) and, equivalently, the right-hand side inequality in (1.8).

Corollary 4.1. *There exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for every convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in K$ and every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 3$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{|UK|^N} \int_{UK} \cdots \int_{UK} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| dx_N \dots dx_1 d\nu(U) \\ & \leq C \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{|UK|} \int_{UK} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{\log N} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\log N}} d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.3 we have that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\lambda > 0$, any convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 \in K$ and every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 3$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(U(\lambda K)))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in U(\lambda K) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| d\nu(U) \leq \\ & \leq C \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{G_n(U(\lambda K))} \sum_{x \in U(\lambda K) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{\log N} \right)^{\frac{1}{\log N}} d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(\lambda UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in \lambda UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left| \left\langle \frac{x_i}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right| d\nu(U) \leq \\ & \leq C \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{G_n(\lambda UK)} \sum_{x \in \lambda UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \left| \left\langle \frac{x}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^{\log N} \right)^{\frac{1}{\log N}} d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 with $m = N$ and $q = 1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(\lambda UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in \lambda UK \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left| \left\langle \frac{x_i}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right| d\nu(U) = \\ & = \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{|UK|^N} \int_{UK} \cdots \int_{UK} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| dx_N \dots dx_1 d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

Using now Lemma 2.1 with $m = 1$ and $q = \log N$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{G_n(\lambda UK)} \sum_{x \in \lambda UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \left| \left\langle \frac{x}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^{\log N} \right)^{\frac{1}{\log N}} d\nu(U) = \\ & = \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{|UK|} \int_{UK} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{\log N} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\log N}} d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. \square

Let us now prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $C > 0$ be twice the absolute constant given by Theorem 1.1. We will show that if $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex body with $B_2^n \subset L$, $q \geq 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(CC_q(L))^2 \leq N \leq e^q$, then

$$\frac{1}{(G_n(L))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in L \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| \geq$$

$$(4.1) \quad \geq c \left(\frac{1}{G_n(L)} \sum_{x \in L \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{\frac{\log N}{2 \log(CC_q(L))}} \right)^{\frac{2 \log(CC_q(L))}{\log N}}.$$

The result will follow by taking $L = UK$ and integrating in $U \in O(n)$, since for $U \in O(n)$ we have $C_q(UK) = C_q(K)$ and $B_2^n \subset K$ if and only $B_2^n \subset UK$.

To prove (4.1), let X be a random vector uniformly distributed on $L \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then

$$\frac{(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^{2p})^{\frac{1}{2p}}}{(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \leq CC_0(L, p).$$

We can assume, without loss of generality, that $C \geq e$. By Paley-Zygmund inequality, for any $1 \leq p \leq q$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(|\langle X, e_n \rangle| \geq \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) &= \mathbb{P} \left(|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p \geq \frac{1}{2^p} \mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p \right) \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^p} \right)^2 \frac{(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^p)^2}{\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^{2p}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4(CC_0(L, p))^{2p}} \geq \frac{1}{4(CC_q(L))^{2p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $1 \leq p_1 = \frac{\log N}{2 \log(CC_q(L))} \leq \frac{\log N}{2 \log C} \leq \log N \leq q$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{P} \left(|\langle X, e_n \rangle| \geq \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^{p_1})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{4N}.$$

Therefore, if X_1, \dots, X_N are independent random vectors uniformly distributed on $L \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, e_n \rangle| \geq \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^{p_1})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, e_n \rangle| < \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^{p_1})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P} \left(|\langle X_i, e_n \rangle| < \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^{p_1})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right)^N \\ &= 1 - \left(1 - \mathbb{P} \left(|\langle X_i, e_n \rangle| \geq \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^{p_1})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right) \right)^N \\ &\geq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{4N} \right)^N = 1 - e^{N \log(1 - \frac{1}{4N})} \geq 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Markov's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, e_n \rangle| &\geq \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^{p_1})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \cdot \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle X_i, e_n \rangle| \geq \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^{p_1})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{2} (\mathbb{E}|\langle X, e_n \rangle|^{p_1})^{\frac{1}{p_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves inequality (4.1) with $c = \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{2}$. \square

Let us now see that Theorem 1.4 implies the left-hand side inequality in (1.9).

Corollary 4.2. *There exist absolute constants $c_1, c'_1, c > 0$ such that if $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex body with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies that $N \geq c$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{|UK|^N} \int_{UK} \cdots \int_{UK} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| dx_N \dots dx_1 d\nu(U) \\ & \geq c_1 \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{|UK|} \int_{UK} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{c'_1 \log N} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{c'_1 \log N}} d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $c = 4C^2$, where C is the constant given by Theorem 1.4. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with $0 \in \text{int } K$ and let $N \geq c = 4C^2$. Let us take $q = \log N$. Since $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C_q(\lambda K) = 1$, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ we have $B_2^n \subseteq \lambda K$ and $C_q(\lambda K) \leq 2$. Thus

$$(CC_q(\lambda K))^2 \leq 4C^2 \leq N = e^q.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.4, there exists an absolute constant $c_1 > 0$ such that we have that for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(\lambda UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in \lambda UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| d\nu(U) \\ & \geq c_1 \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{G_n(\lambda UK)} \sum_{x \in \lambda UK} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{\frac{\log N}{2 \log(CC_q(K))}} dx \right)^{\frac{2 \log(CC_q(K))}{\log N}} d\nu(U) \\ & \geq c_1 \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{G_n(\lambda UK)} \sum_{x \in \lambda UK} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{\frac{\log N}{2 \log(2C)}} \right)^{\frac{2 \log(2C)}{\log N}} d\nu(U) \\ & = c_1 \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{G_n(\lambda UK)} \sum_{x \in \lambda UK} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{c'_1 \log N} \right)^{\frac{1}{c'_1 \log N}} d\nu(U), \end{aligned}$$

with $c_1' = \frac{1}{2 \log(2C)}$. Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{(G_n(\lambda UK))^N} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_N \in \lambda UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left| \left\langle \frac{x_i}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right| d\nu(U) \\ & = c_1 \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{G_n(\lambda UK)} \sum_{x \in \lambda UK \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \left| \left\langle \frac{x}{\lambda}, e_n \right\rangle \right|^{c'_1 \log N} \right)^{\frac{1}{c'_1 \log N}} d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and using Lemma 2.1 with $m = N$ and $q = 1$ and with $m = 1$ and $q = c'_1 \log N$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O(n)} \frac{1}{|UK|^N} \int_{UK} \cdots \int_{UK} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\langle x_i, e_n \rangle| dx_N \dots dx_1 d\nu(U) \\ & \geq c_1 \int_{O(n)} \left(\frac{1}{|UK|} \int_{UK} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^{c'_1 \log N} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{c'_1 \log N}} d\nu(U). \end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] D. ALONSO-GUTIÉRREZ, E. LUCAS., J. YEPES NICOLÁS. *On Rogers-Shephard type inequalities for the lattice point enumerator*. Communications in Contemporary Mathematics **25** (8) 2250022, (2023).

- [2] S. BRAZITIKOS, A. GIANNOPOULOS, P. VALETTAS, B. H. VRITSIOU. *Geometry of Isotropic Convex Bodies*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **196** (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI., 2014).
- [3] L. J. CORWIN, R. H. SZCZARBA. *Multivariable calculus*. Monographs and textbooks in pure and applied mathematics **64** (Marcel Dekker, 1979).
- [4] B. FLEURY, O. GUÉDON AND G. PAOURIS, *A stability result for mean width of L_p -centroid bodies*, Advances in Mathematics, **214** (2007) 865–877.
- [5] A. GIANNOPOULOS, P. STAVRAKAKIS, A. TSOLOMITIS, AND B. H. VRITSIOU, *Geometry of the L_q -centroid bodies of an isotropic log-concave measure*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc., **367** (2015) 4569–4593.
- [6] D. IGLESIAS, J. YEPES NICOLÁS AND A. ZVAVITCH. *Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities for the lattice point enumerator*. Advances in Mathematics **370** (2020), 107193.
- [7] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG. *L^p affine isoperimetric inequalities*, J. Differential Geom. **56** (2000), 111–132
- [8] V. D. MILMAN, G. SCHECHTMAN. *Asymptotic theory of finite dimensional normed spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1200** (1986), Springer, Berlin
- [9] G. PAOURIS, *Concentration of mass on convex bodies*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **16** (2006), 1021–1049.
- [10] G. PAOURIS, E. WERNER. *Relative entropy of cone measures and ℓ_p centroid bodies*. Proc. London Math. Soc., **104** (2), (2012), 253–286.
- [11] R. SCHNEIDER. *Convex Bodies: The Brunn–Minkowski Theory, 2nd expanded edition*. Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [12] T. TAO AND V. H. VU. *Additive Combinatorics*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 105. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

ÁREA DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS,
UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA, PEDRO CERBUNA 12, 50009 ZARAGOZA (SPAIN), IUMA
Email address, (David Alonso): alonsod@unizar.es

ÁREA DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS,
UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA, PEDRO CERBUNA 12, 50009 ZARAGOZA (SPAIN), IUMA
Email address, (Luis C. García-Lirola): luiscarlos@unizar.es