

Fast computation of the period and of the shortest cover of a string using its Character-Distance-Sampling representation

Thierry Lecroq¹ and Francesco Pio Marino^{1,2}

¹ Univ Rouen Normandie, INSA Rouen Normandie, Université Le Havre Normandie, Normandie Univ, LITIS UR 4108, CNRS NormaSTIC FR 3638, IRIB, Rouen F-76000, France

² Università di Catania, Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, viale A.Doria n.6, 95125, Catania, Italia

Abstract. Computing regularities in strings is essential for a better understanding of their structures. Among regularities, periods and covers are the easiest to compute and the more informative. Lately new interesting string matching results have been achieved using different sampling techniques. One of these technique, called Character-Distance-Sampling (CDS) consists of representing a string by storing the distance between the positions of selected characters called pivots. Here we select as pivots only the first character of the string and use its CDS representation for computing its period and its shortest cover. Experimental results show that the proposed methods are much faster than classical methods for computing these two features.

1 Introduction

A *String* x is a sequence of characters drawn from a finite set Σ called alphabet. Although data are stored in various formats, text remains the primary medium for exchanging information. This is particularly evident in the literature or linguistics, where data consist of extensive corpora and dictionaries. Similarly, in computer science, a significant amount of data is stored in linear files. This holds true in fields like molecular biology as well, where biological molecules are often represented as sequences of nucleotides or amino acids. Thus determining the structure of the strings, and searching for regularities, can be a crucial task in order to be able to manipulate them efficiently and understand the structure of each string. Perhaps the most conspicuous regularities in strings are those that manifest themselves in the form of repeated sub-patterns. Among these types of repetitions and regularities, *periods* [14] and *quasiperiodicities* or *covers* [1,2,12] have been very largely studied.

A string x is called primitive if $x = s^k$ implies that $s = x$ and $k = 1$. Given a string x , an integer p such that $0 < p \leq |x|$ is a period of x if $x[i] = x[i + p]$ for $0 \leq i \leq |x| - p - 1$. A string can have several periods. The period of a non-empty string x is the smallest of its period. It is denoted by $per(x)$. It can be computed in linear time (see [3] for instance).

A string w covers another string z if for every $i \in \{1, \dots, |z|\}$ there exists a $j \in \{1, \dots, |w|\}$ such that there is an occurrence of w starting at position $i - j + 1$ in string z . Then w is called a cover or a quasiperiod. Informally, a string w covers another string z if every position of z occurs within some occurrence of w in z . Clearly, every string is covered by itself.

A string is called superprimitive if it has no other quasiperiod than itself. In 1991, Apostolico *et al.* [2] described a recursive linear time algorithm to compute the shortest cover of a string, if it has a cover.

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the basic notions and notations used in the paper. In Sect. 3 we show how to efficiently compute the period of a string with its CDS representation. Then Sect. 4 show how to efficiently compute the shortest cover of a string with its CDS representation. Experimental results are shown in Sect. 5. We give our conclusion and perspectives in Sect. 6.

2 Preliminaries

An *alphabet* is a finite set of elements called *characters*, *letters* or *symbols*. A *string* is a sequence of zero or more symbols from an alphabet Σ of size σ ; the string with zero symbols is denoted by ε . The set of all strings over the alphabet Σ is denoted by Σ^* . A string x of length n is represented by $x[0..n-1]$, where $x[i] \in \Sigma$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. The subset of Σ of letters occurring in a string x is denoted by $alph(x)$. A string u is a *prefix* of x if $x = uw$ for $w \in \Sigma^*$. Similarly, u is a *suffix* of x if $x = wu$ for $w \in \Sigma^*$.

A string u is a *border* of x if u is both a prefix and a suffix of x and $u \neq x$. The empty string is a border of any non-empty string. A string x can have several borders, the longest of them is called *the border* and is denoted by $Border(x)$.

An integer p such that $1 \leq p \leq |x|$ is a *period* of a string x if $x[i] = x[i+p]$ for every position i such that $0 \leq i < |x| - p$. The length $|x|$ of a non-empty string x is always a period of x . A string x can have several periods, the smallest of them is called *the period* and is denoted by $per(x)$. It is well-known, and easy to prove, that x has period p if and only if it has a border of length $n - p$ [13]. Borders and periods are dual notions since if $x = uv$ and u is a border of x then $|v|$ is a period of x . In particular, for a non-empty string x , it holds that $|x| = per(x) + |Border(x)|$. It is also known that all the borders of a non-empty string x can be obtained by considering the borders of its border. Furthermore during the run of an exact online string matching algorithm the length of the optimal shift to apply when an occurrence of a pattern is found is given by the period of the pattern.

Alternatively, for two strings u, x , let $pos(u, x)$ be the ordered list of all the starting positions of the occurrences of u in x :

$$pos(u, x) = (i \mid x[i..i + |u| - 1] = u)$$

and let $gap(u, x)$ be the set of the distances between the positions of two successive occurrences of u in x :

$$gap(u, x) = \{pos(u, x)[i + 1] - pos(u, x)[i] \mid 0 \leq i < |pos(u, x)|\}$$

Then u is a period of x if all the elements of $gap(u, x)$ are equal to $|u|$ and if $x[pos(u, x)[|pos(u, x) - 1] + |u|..|x| - 1]$ is a prefix of x . In other words every symbol of x is covered by an occurrence of u except for the last symbols that should be covered by a prefix of u and occurrences of u are adjacent.

If all the elements of $gap(u, x)$ are less or equal to $|u|$ and $pos(u, x)[pos(u, x) - 1] = m - |u|$ then u is called a *cover* of x . In other words every symbol of x is covered by an occurrence of u and occurrences of u may overlap. This definition implies that u is a border of x . A string can have several covers. If x is borderless then x is its own and unique cover and it is said to be *superprimitive* otherwise x is said to be *quasiperiodic*.

2.1 Characters Distance Sampling in Brief

Character Distance Sampling is a recent sampling method presented for the online exact string matching first [7,8], and then extended for offline algorithms [5,9] and also non classical string matching [10], introduced in order to speed up the searching phase required by the online string matching in one hand and to overcome the increasing size of the indexed solutions in the other hand.

In this section, we provide concise description of the methodology employed to built partial-index in the *Character Distance Sampling (CDS)*.

For this purpose, let w be the input string, of length m , over an alphabet Σ of size σ . We assume that all strings can be treated as vectors starting at position 0. Thus we refer to $w[i]$ as the $(i + 1)$ -th character of the string w , for $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$, where m is the length of w .

We select a sub-alphabet $C \subseteq \Sigma$ to serve as the *set of pivot characters*. Using this designated pivots, we sample the string w by calculating the distances between consecutive occurrences of any pivot character $c \in C$ within w . Formally, our sampling methodology is based on the following definition of *position sampling* within a text.

Definition 1 (Position Sampling). *Let w be a text of length m , let $C \subseteq \Sigma$ be the set of pivot characters and let m_c be the number of occurrences of any $c \in C$ in the input text w .*

First we define the position function, $\delta : \{-1, \dots, m_c\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$, where $\delta(i)$ is the position of the $(i + 1)$ -th occurrence of any occurrence of the pivot character c in w . Formally we have

- (i) $0 \leq \delta(i) < \delta(i + 1) < m$ *for each $0 \leq i < m_c$*
- (ii) $w[\delta(i)] \in C$ *for each $0 \leq i < m_c$*
- (iii) $w[\delta(i) + 1.. \delta(i + 1) - 1]$ *contains no pivot characters* *for each $0 \leq i \leq m_c$*

where in (iii) we assume that $\delta(-1) = -1$ and $\delta(m_c) = m$.

Then the position sampled version of w , indicated by \dot{w} , is a numeric sequence, of length m_c , defined as

$$\dot{w} = \langle \delta(0), \delta(2), \dots, \delta(m_c - 1) \rangle. \quad (1)$$

Example 2. Suppose $w = \text{agaacgcagtata}$ is a sequence of length 13, over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{t}\}$. Let $C = \{\mathbf{a}\}$ be the set of pivot characters. Thus the position sampled version of w is $\dot{w} = \langle 0, 2, 3, 7, 10, 12 \rangle$. Specifically the first occurrence of character \mathbf{a} is at position 0 ($w[0] = \mathbf{a}$), its second occurrence is at position 2 ($w[2] = \mathbf{a}$), and so on.

Definition 3 (Characters Distance Sampling). *Let $C \subseteq \Sigma$ be the set of pivot characters, let $m_c \leq m$ be the number of occurrences of any pivot character in the string w and let δ be the position function of w . The characters distance function is defined by $\Delta(i) = \delta(i + 1) - \delta(i)$, for $0 \leq i < m_c - 1$, as the distance between two consecutive occurrences of any pivot character in w .*

Then the characters-distance sampled version of the text w is a numeric sequence, indicated by \bar{w} , of length $m_c - 1$ defined as

$$\bar{w} = \langle \Delta(0), \Delta(1), \dots, \Delta(m_c - 2) \rangle = \langle \delta(1) - \delta(0), \delta(2) - \delta(1), \dots, \delta(m_c - 1) - \delta(m_c - 2) \rangle \quad (2)$$

Example 4. Let $w = \text{agaacgcagtata}$ be a text of length 13, over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{\text{a, c, g, t}\}$. Let $C = \{\text{a}\}$ be the set of pivot characters. Thus the character distance sampling version of w is $\bar{w} = \langle 2, 1, 4, 3, 2 \rangle$. Specifically $\bar{w}[0] = \Delta(0) = \delta(1) - \delta(0) = 2 - 0 = 2$, while $\bar{w}[2] = \Delta(2) = \delta(3) - \delta(2) = 7 - 3 = 4$, and so on.

In practical scenarios, particularly when dealing with large alphabets, the set of pivot characters may comprise only one character. Consequently, for the sake of simplicity, we will frequently refer to the pivot character in the singular form, rather than mentioning the entire set of pivot characters.

The approach of sampled string matching in his first versions was maintaining a partial index, which is represented by the position-sampled version of the text w . The size of this index is $32m_c$ bits, assuming that this index resides in memory and is readily available for any search operation on the text. Therefore a new solution introducing the *Fake Decomposition* [6] allowed to store the distances between characters using less space.

In addition to its commendable space and time efficiency, sampled string matching offers a plethora of other advantageous features. For instance, ease of programming stands out as a notable advantage, with the construction of the partial index typically being a swift and straightforward process. Moreover, the inherent flexibility of the data structure allows it to seamlessly adapt to text variations. This means that minor alterations in the text, such as character deletions or insertions, can be effortlessly reflected in the corresponding index.

However the one described above is not without its share of pitfalls or weaknesses. One such challenge is the variability in performance based on the choice of pivot character. Consequently, strategic consideration must be given to selecting the pivot character, striking a balance between partial index size and execution times. Research indicates that in the case of the English language the pivot character ranked 8th in the decreasing frequency order tends to offer best performances.

2.2 Classical computation of the period of a string

The classical computation of the period of a non-empty string x built on a general alphabet consists in computing the length of the border of all the prefixes of x . Then the length of the border of x enables to compute the period of x .

The *border array* of a non-empty string x is defined on the lengths of x by $\text{border}[0] = -1$ and $\text{border}[i] = |\text{Border}(x[0..i-1])|$ for $1 \leq i \leq |x|$. Then $\text{per}(x) = m - \text{border}[m]$.

The border array can be computed in linear time with the algorithm presented in Figure 1 (see [3]).

Example 5. Figure 2 gives the border array of $x = \text{abaababaaba}$. Then $\text{per}(x) = 11 - \text{border}[11] = 5$.

2.3 Classical computation of the shortest cover of a string

Since a cover is a border, the computation of the smallest cover consists in computing all the non-empty borders of x : $\text{Border}(x), \text{Border}^2(x), \dots, \text{Border}^k(x) \neq \varepsilon$ such that $\text{Border}^{k+1}(x) = \varepsilon$. Let us define $x = \text{Border}^0(x)$. Then the shortest cover is the

```

BORDERS( $x, m$ )
1   $border[0] \leftarrow -1$ 
2  for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $m - 1$  do
3  |    $\ell \leftarrow border[i]$ 
4  |   while  $\ell \geq 0$  and  $x[\ell] \neq x[i]$  do
5  |   |    $\ell \leftarrow border[\ell]$ 
6  |    $border[i + 1] \leftarrow \ell + 1$ 
7  return  $border$ 

```

Figure 1. Classical computation of the border array of string x of length m .

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$x[i]$	a	b	a	a	b	a	b	a	a	b	a	
$border[i]$	-1	0	0	1	1	2	3	2	3	4	5	6

Figure 2. Border array of $x = \text{abaababaaba}$ of length 11.

shortest non-empty border $Border^j(x)$ that covers all the $Border^g(x)$ such that $0 \leq g < j$. The algorithm starts with $j = k$ and tests if $Border^j(x)$ covers borders longer than $Border^j(x)$ when $Border^j(x)$ do not cover $Border^h(x)$ with $h < j$ the algorithm resumes with $j = h$. However when a border of $x[0..n-1]$ has length i larger than $m/2$ then i is set to $n - i + m \bmod (n - i)$. In this case it means that the border w is of the form $w = u^k u'$ with u' a prefix of u then w is reduced to uu' . This ensures that the sum of the length of the considered borders is linear in the length of x and thus the linear complexity running time of the method.

Algorithm `SHORTESTCOVER(x, m)`, presented in Figure 3, implements this strategy. It first computes the border array of x . Then it uses a stack S where it pushes first m and then the length of the decreasing border length of x using the border array of x . It uses algorithm `ISCOVERING($listPos, b$)` in lines 15 and 18 that returns true if b covers the list of positions $listPos$. Function `pos` in lines 14 and 17 can be implemented with any linear string matching algorithm.

Example 6. Figure 4 shows how the cover of $x = \text{abaababaaba}$, which is `aba` is computed.

3 Computing the period of a string from its CDS representation

We will first consider that the alphabet has size 2. Let $\sigma = |\Sigma| = 2$. Let x be a string of length $|x| = m$. Let $alph(x) = 2$.

Let us prove two auxiliary results and state an observation.

From any position $\delta(i)$ of the pivot character a all positions larger than $\delta(i)$ corresponding to $\delta(i)$ plus a sum of elements starting from $\bar{x}[i]$ contain a .

Lemma 7. *Let $x[\delta(i)] = a$ for $0 \leq i \leq \bar{m} - 2$ then $x[\delta(i) + \sum_{j=i}^k \bar{x}[j]] = a$ for $i \leq k \leq \bar{m} - 2$.*

Proof. $x[\delta(i) + \sum_{j=i}^k \bar{x}[j]] = x[\delta(i) + \delta(i+1) - \delta(i) + \dots + \delta(k+1) - \delta(k)] = x[\delta(k+1)] = a$.

```

SHORTESTCOVER( $x, m$ )
1  border  $\leftarrow$  BORDERS( $x, m$ )
2   $S \leftarrow$  EMPTYSTACK()
3  PUSH( $S, m$ )
4   $n \leftarrow m$ 
5   $i \leftarrow$  border[ $m$ ]
6  while  $i \neq 0$  do
7  | if  $i > m/2$  then
8  | | PUSH( $S, n - i + m \bmod (n - i)$ )
9  | else PUSH( $S, i$ )
10 |  $n \leftarrow i$ 
11 |  $i \leftarrow$  border[ $i$ ]
12  $b \leftarrow$  POP( $S$ )
13 while  $|S| > 0$  do
14 |  $listPos \leftarrow pos(x[0..b-1], x[0..TOP(S)-1]) \cup (m)$ 
15 | while  $|S| > 1$  and ISCOVERING( $listPos, b$ ) do
16 | | POP( $S$ )
17 | |  $listPos \leftarrow pos(x[0..b-1], x[0..TOP(S)-1]) \cup (m)$ 
18 | if ISCOVERING( $pos, b$ ) then
19 | | return  $x[0..b-1]$ 
20 | else  $b \leftarrow$  POP( $S$ )
21 return  $x[0..b-1]$ 

```

Figure 3. Classical computation of the shortest cover of string x of length m .

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$x[i]$	a	b	a	a	b	a	b	a	a	b	a	
$border[i]$	-1	0	0	1	1	2	3	2	3	4	5	6

Figure 4. Border array of $x = \text{abaababaaba}$ of length 11. The decreasing sequence of the non-empty border lengths of x is ($border^1[x] = 6, border^2[x] = 3, border^3[x] = 1$) thus the sequence of the non-empty borders of x in increasing length order is (a, aba, abaaba). a is not covering aba however aba is covering abaaba and x . Thus aba is the shortest cover of x .

From any position $\delta(i)$ of the pivot character a all positions smaller than $\delta(i)$ corresponding to $\delta(i)$ minus a sum of elements from $\bar{x}[i-1]$ contain a .

Lemma 8. *Let $x[\delta(i)] = a$ for $0 \leq i \leq \bar{m} - 2$ then $x[\delta(i) - \sum_{j=k}^{i-1} \bar{x}[j]] = a$ for $0 \leq k \leq i - 1$.*

Proof. $x[\delta(i) + \sum_{j=i}^k \bar{x}[j]] = x[\delta(i) - (\delta(k+1) - \delta(k) + \dots + \delta(i) - \delta(i+1))] = x[\delta(k)] = a$.

Observation 1 *By definition of $per(\bar{x})$ it holds that $\sum_{i=0}^{per(\bar{x})-1} \bar{x}[j+i] = \bar{p}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \bar{m} - \bar{p}$.*

Let $x[0]$ be the pivot, then we will consider two cases:

1. $x[m-1] = x[0]$
2. $x[m-1] \neq x[0]$

Let us start with the case where $x[m-1] = x[0]$.

Lemma 9. *Let $\sigma = |\Sigma| = 2$. Let x be a string of length $|x| = m$. Let $alph(x) = 2$. If $x[m-1] = x[0]$ then*

$$per(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{per(\bar{x})-1} \bar{x}[i]$$

Proof. Let $\bar{p} = \sum_{i=0}^{per(\bar{x})-1} \bar{x}[i]$.

We will first prove that \bar{p} is a period of x and then we will prove that it is the smallest.

Then by Lemma 7, if $x[i] = a$ then $x[i + \bar{p}] = a$ for $0 \leq i \leq m - \bar{p}$. If $x[i] \neq a$ then assume by contradiction that $x[i + \bar{p}] = a$. Let j be such that $\delta(j) = i + \bar{p}$. By definition of $per(\bar{x})$, Observation 1 and Lemma 8, $x[i + \bar{p}] = x[i + \bar{p} - \bar{p}] = x[i] = a$ a contradiction. Thus \bar{p} is a period of x .

Let us show by contradiction that this is the shortest one.

Assume that there exists $q < \bar{p}$ such that $x[i] = x[i+q]$ for $i \leq 0 \leq m - q$. For all $0 \leq i \leq m - q$ such that $x[i] = a$ it means that there must be a factor of $\bar{x}[i..i+q]$ such that $q = \sum_{j=i}^{i+q} \bar{x}[j]$ which means that \bar{q} is a period of \bar{x} smaller than $\bar{p} = per(\bar{x})$ a contradiction.

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$x[i]$	a	b	a	a	b	a	b	a	a	b	a
$\bar{x}[i]$	2	1	2	2	1	2					
$border[i]$	-1	0	0	1	1	2	3				

Figure 5. Border array of the CDS representation of $x = abaababaaba$ of length 11 with pivot a . Then $per(\bar{x}) = 6 - border[6] = 3$, thus $per(x) = \bar{x}[0] + \bar{x}[1] + \bar{x}[2] = 2 + 1 + 2 = 5$.

Example 10. Figure 5 gives the CDS representation of $x = abaababaaba$ and the computation of its period.

Let us now assume that $x[m-1] \neq x[0]$. Let $x = auav$ where $u \in \Sigma^*$ and $v = b^k$ does not contain any a with $k > 0$. We will show that the border of $x = auav$ can be computed by only scanning the borders of aua .

Lemma 11. $\text{Border}(auav) = u'v$ where u' the longest border $au'a$ of aua followed by $v' = b^{k'}$ such that $k \leq k'$.

Proof. By contradiction assume that w is the border of $x = auav$ and $|w| > |u'v|$. Then w is a border of aua that is longer than $\text{Border}(aua)$, a contradiction.

Algorithm `PERIODCDSBINARY`(x, m) presented in Figure 6 implements this strategy. When x is of the form $auab^k$ it looks for the longest border of aua that is followed by $b^{k'}$ with $k \leq k'$. It is easy to check that the worst case time complexity is linear in the length of \bar{x} and thus is bounded by m .

```

PERIODCDSBINARY( $x, m$ )
1 ( $\bar{x}, last$ )  $\leftarrow$  COMPUTECDS( $x, m$ )
2  $border \leftarrow$  BORDERS( $\bar{x}, |\bar{x}|$ )
3  $i \leftarrow |\bar{x}|$ 
4  $k \leftarrow m - last - 1$ 
5 while  $i > 0$  and  $\bar{x}[border[i]] \leq k$  do
6   |  $i \leftarrow border[i]$ 
7   | if  $i \leq 0$  then
8     | return  $m$ 
9   | else  $period \leftarrow 0$ 
10  |   for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $|\bar{x}| - border[i] - 1$  do
11    |   |  $period \leftarrow period + \bar{x}[j]$ 
12  |   return  $period$ 

```

Figure 6. Computation of the period of string x of length m using its CDS representation. The variable $last$ corresponds to the rightmost position of $x[0]$ in x .

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$x[i]$	a	b	b	a	b	a	b	b	a	b	b
$\bar{x}[i]$	3	2	3								
$border[i]$	-1	0	0	1							

Figure 7. Border array of the CDS representation of $x = \text{abbababbabb}$ of length 11 with pivot a . $k = 2$. $border[3] = 1$ but $\bar{x}[border[3] = 1] = 2 \leq k = 2$. $border[1] = 0$ and $\bar{x}[border[1] = 0] = 3 > k = 2$. Then $per(x) = \bar{x}[0] + \bar{x}[1] + \bar{x}[2] = 3 + 2 + 3 = 8$

Example 12. Figure 7 gives the CDS representation of $x = \text{abbababbabb}$ and the computation of its period.

Let us now consider that the size of the alphabet is strictly greater than 2. Let us assume that $x = auav$ such that v does not contain any a 's. In this case the smallest period of x found by the previous algorithm has to be naively checked. If it is not an actual period of x then the algorithm should find the next border of x followed by a factor v' that does not contain any a 's and such that $|v'| \geq |v|$ and check it naively. It keeps going on like that until finding a period of x or until there are no more non-empty borders. In the latter case the period of x is equal to m .

4 Computing the shortest cover of a string from its CDS representation

Since the classical computation of the shortest cover of a string x is based on its border array, for computing the shortest cover of string from its CDS representation we can use the algorithm from the previous section and adapt it for the computation of the shortest cover. The algorithm given in Figure 8 is an adaptation of the algorithm of Figure 3. The differences come from the fact that borders are computed by using the border array of the CDS representation of x .

```

SHORTESTCOVERCDS( $x, m$ )
1 ( $\bar{x}, last$ )  $\leftarrow$  COMPUTECDS( $x, m$ )
2  $border \leftarrow$  BORDERS( $\bar{x}, |\bar{x}|$ )
3  $S \leftarrow$  EMPTYSTACK()
4 PUSH( $S, |\bar{x}|$ )
5  $n \leftarrow |\bar{x}|$ 
6  $b \leftarrow 0$ 
7 for  $j \leftarrow m - borders[n]$  to  $m$  do
8    $b \leftarrow b + \bar{x}[j]$ 
9    $i \leftarrow b$ 
10 while  $i \neq 0$  do
11   if  $i > m/2$  then
12     PUSH( $S, n - i + n \bmod (n - i)$ )
13   else PUSH( $S, i$ )
14    $b \leftarrow 0$ 
15   for  $j \leftarrow m - borders[n]$  to  $m$  do
16      $b \leftarrow b + \bar{x}[j]$ 
17      $n \leftarrow i$ 
18      $i \leftarrow b$ 
19  $b \leftarrow$  POP( $S$ )
20 while  $|S| > 0$  do
21    $listPos \leftarrow pos(x[0..b-1], x[0..TOP(S)-1]) \cup (m)$ 
22   while  $|S| > 1$  and ISCOVERING( $listPos, b$ ) do
23     POP( $S$ )
24      $listPos \leftarrow pos(x[0..b-1], x[0..TOP(S)-1]) \cup (m)$ 
25   if ISCOVERING( $pos, b$ ) then
26     return  $x[0..b-1]$ 
27   else  $b \leftarrow$  POP( $S$ )
28 return  $x[0..b-1]$ 

```

Figure 8. Classical computation of the shortest cover of string x of length m , using the CDS.

5 Experimental results

In this section, we present experimental results in order to evaluate the performances of the approaches presented in this paper.

The algorithms have been implemented using the C programming language, and have been tested using the SMART tool [4] and executed locally on a MacBook Pro with 4 Cores, a 2.7 GHz Intel Core i7 processor, 16 GB RAM 2133 MHz LPDDR3,

256 KB of L2 Cache and 8 MB of Cache L3.¹ During the compilation we use the -O3 optimization option.

Comparisons were conducted in terms of average times over 10.000 different runs. For our experiments, we utilized a 100MB dataset of English texts sourced from *Pizza and Chili* [11]. We employed various string sizes ranging from 10^5 to 10^7 .

The chosen pivot character for constructing the partial index was always the first character of the input text (e.g., $x[0]$). The additional space used to store the partial index ranged between 3% and 15% of the size of the original text.




Figure 9. Average running times of the standard Period algorithm and its version with CDS on 10.000 different runs.

As shown in Figure 9 it turns out that the newly proposed method to compute the period using the CDS representation reached a speed up between 38% and 43%.




Figure 10. Average running times of the standard cover algorithm and its version with CDS on 10.000 different runs.

¹ The SMART tool is available online for download at <http://www.dmi.unict.it/~faro/smart/> or at <https://github.com/smart-tool/smart>.

Figure 10 illustrate the running time of the standard algorithm to compute the cover and his version using the CDS representation. The proposed version allows a speed up ranging between 63% and 72%.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we showed that the partial-index built using the *Character Distance Sampling* algorithm, initially designed to speed up string matching algorithms, can be used also to compute regularities in string. We presented our variants of two well studied problems in the field of regularities, the *period* and *quasiperiodicity* or *cover*. Our algorithms demonstrate improved efficiency compared to the previous method by a factor ranging between 38% and 43%, for the first problem, and between 63% and 72% for the second. In the future it would be interesting to use the same representation to evaluate other regularities in strings such as *seeds*, *k-cover* or others strings repetitions.

References

1. A. APOSTOLICO AND A. EHRENFUCHT: *Efficient detection of quasiperiodicities in strings*. Theoretical Computer Science, 119(2) 1993, pp. 247–265.
2. A. APOSTOLICO, M. FARACH, AND C. S. ILIOPOULOS: *Optimal superprimitivity testing for strings*. Information Processing Letters, 39(1) 1991, pp. 17–20.
3. M. CROCHEMORE, C. HANCART, AND T. LECROQ: *Algorithms on strings*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
4. S. FARO, T. LECROQ, S. BORZI, S. D. MAURO, AND A. MAGGIO: *The string matching algorithms research tool*, in Proceedings of the Prague Stringology Conference 2016, Department of Theoretical Computer Science, Faculty of Information Technology, Czech Technical University in Prague, 2016, pp. 99–111.
5. S. FARO AND F. P. MARINO: *Reducing time and space in indexed string matching by characters distance text sampling*, in Prague Stringology Conference 2020, Prague, Czech Republic, August 31 - September 2, 2020, J. Holub and J. Zdárek, eds., Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Information Technology, Department of Theoretical Computer Science, 2020, pp. 148–159.
6. S. FARO, F. P. MARINO, A. MOSCHETTO, A. PAVONE, AND A. SCARDACE: *The great textual hoax: Boosting sampled string matching with fake samples*, in Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Fun with Algorithms (FUN 2024), Island of La Maddalena, Sardinia, Italy June 4-8, 2024, (Accepted).
7. S. FARO, F. P. MARINO, AND A. PAVONE: *Efficient online string matching based on characters distance text sampling*. Algorithmica, 82(11) 2020, pp. 3390–3412.
8. S. FARO, F. P. MARINO, AND A. PAVONE: *Enhancing characters distance text sampling by condensed alphabets*, in Proceedings of the 22nd Italian Conference on Theoretical Computer Science, Bologna, Italy, September 13-15, 2021, C. S. Coen and I. Salvo, eds., vol. 3072 of CEUR Workshop Proceedings, CEUR-WS.org, 2021, pp. 1–15.
9. S. FARO, F. P. MARINO, AND A. PAVONE: *Improved characters distance sampling for online and offline text searching*. Theoretical Computer Science, 946 2023, p. 113684.
10. S. FARO, F. P. MARINO, A. PAVONE, AND A. SCARDACE: *Towards an efficient text sampling approach for exact and approximate matching*, in Prague Stringology Conference 2021, Prague, Czech Republic, August 30-31, 2021, J. Holub and J. Zdárek, eds., Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Information Technology, Department of Theoretical Computer Science, 2021, pp. 75–89.

11. P. FERRIGNA AND G. NAVARRO: *Pizza&Chili*, Available online: pizzachili.dcc.uchile.cl, 2005.
12. N. MHASKAR AND W. F. SMYTH: *String covering: A survey*. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 190(1) 2022, pp. 17–45.
13. W. SMYTH AND M. YUSUFU: *Computing regularities in strings*. Proceedings - 2009 2nd IEEE International Conference on Computer Science and Information Technology, ICCSIT 2009, 01 2009.
14. A. THUE: *Über unendliche Zeichenreihen*. *Norske Vid Selsk. Skr. I Mat-Nat Kl.(Christiana)*, 7 1906, pp. 1–22.