

Probing gluonic saturation in deeply virtual meson production beyond leading power

Renaud Boussarie,^{1,*} Michael Fucilla,^{2,†} Lech Szymanowski,^{3,‡} and Samuel Wallon^{2,§}

¹*CPHT, CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91128 Palaiseau, France*

²*Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405, Orsay, France*

³*National Centre for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Pasteura 7, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland*

Exclusive diffractive meson production represents a golden channel for investigating gluonic saturation inside nucleons and nuclei. In this letter, we settle a systematic framework to deal with beyond leading power corrections at small- x , including the saturation regime, and obtain the $\gamma^* \rightarrow M(\rho, \phi, \omega)$ impact factor with both incoming photon and outgoing meson carrying arbitrary polarizations. This is of particular interest since the saturation scale at modern colliders, although entering a perturbative regime, is not large enough to prevent higher-twist effects to be sizable.

INTRODUCTION

Gluonic saturation within nucleons and nuclei represents one of the most fascinating aspects of Quantum Chromodynamics and one of the pillars of the Electron-Ion-Collider (EIC) physics program. Multiple efforts are needed in order to produce theoretical predictions that can unambiguously reveal the state of hadronic matter that is formed in the saturation regime, the Color Glass Condensate (CGC). A robust description of the hadronic matter in the high-energy and dense regime of QCD is provided by the semi-classical McLerran-Venugopalan (MV) model [1–3]. Quantum corrections in the CGC lead to the well-known Balitsky—Jalilian-Marian-Iancu-McLerran-Weigert-Leonidov-Kovner (B-JIMWLK) [4–11] evolution equations. The MV model, supported by a leading order (LO) B-JIMWLK evolution, provides an excellent qualitative description of the saturation phenomena. Nonetheless, to unambiguously reveal and study the nature of the CGC, the precision physics frontier at small x must be achieved. To this aim, large corrections must be taken into account in order to compare experimental measurements from modern and future colliders. Among them, there are the one in powers of α_s , i.e. next-to-leading order (NLO) corrections [12–41], and the one in the inverse power of \sqrt{s} , known as subeikonal contributions [42, 43]. A further important aspect is that many observables can be sensitive to hard scale power-suppressed contributions, known as higher-twist effects. In fact, saturation effects are expected to occur at hard scales of the order of the saturation scale, which at the EIC will not be large enough to justify a strong suppression of higher-twist effects. It is therefore essential to include higher-twists contributions in precision studies. The aim of this paper is to develop a general method to accommodate this need, which we illustrate in the paradigmatic example of the electroproduction of a light vector meson.

The electroproduction of a light vector meson has been extensively studied at the HERA experiments [44–48]. The total cross section receives contribution from both longitudinally and transversely polarized produced mesons, with these latter suppressed by a relative factor $(m_M/Q)^2$, where m_M is the mass of the meson and Q is the virtuality of the incoming virtual photon. Even considering the largest saturation scale, $Q_s^2 \sim 2.2$ GeV², reachable at the EIC in heavy ion collisions [49], and a squared photon virtuality of almost the same order, the contribution of the transversally polarized meson to the total cross section is at least a 10% effect. Evidently, this contribution, of higher-twist nature, must be included in precision analyses. Moreover, a strong evidence of gluonic saturation obviously requires analysing the largest possible set of data. Besides these total cross section measurements, the H1 [45, 50] and ZEUS [51] experiments have provided an exhaustive analysis of the spin-density matrix elements of the $\gamma^*(\lambda_\gamma)P \rightarrow M(\lambda_M)P$ process, the largest of which is the one describing the longitudinal photon to longitudinal meson transition. This latter, from a theoretical point of view, corresponds to the leading twist contribution and the description of all other matrix elements requires a treatment beyond leading twist. The above mentioned observables are also of special interest at the future EIC experiments.

Thus, in this letter, we consider deeply virtual meson production (DVMP) in the high-energy limit, describing, for the first time, all helicity amplitudes, including the ones which are suppressed by one power of the photon virtuality and require a systematic treatment beyond the leading twist. We perform the calculation in the non-forward kinematics, in order to be able to probe the generalized transverse momentum dependent (GTMD) gluon distribution of the target. Moreover, we describe for the first time the transversally polarized light vector-meson production, which starts at the next-to-leading power, in the saturation regime. Finally, the presently developed method, which is systematic and universal, could be directly used in order to obtain power suppressed contributions to processes which, although be-

* Renaud.Boussarie@polytechnique.edu

† Michael.Fucilla@ijclab.in2p3.fr

‡ Lech.Szymanowski@ncbj.gov.pl

§ Samuel.Wallon@ijclab.in2p3.fr

ing twist-2 dominated, would presumably require higher-power contributions to be taken into account for precision physics.

SEMI-CLASSICAL APPROACH TO SATURATION

Relying on a semi-classical [1–3] effective approach to QCD at small x [4, 52–54], we introduce a light-cone basis using vectors n_1 and n_2 , which specify the $+/-$ directions and such that $n_1 \cdot n_2 = 1$. The Sudakov decomposition of any vector k is expressed as¹ $k^\mu = k^+ n_1^\mu + k^- n_2^\mu + k_\perp^\mu$ and q (p) denotes the incoming photon (proton) four-momentum. We choose a reference frame, referred to as the probe frame, where the target is ultra-relativistic, and $s = (q + p)^2 \sim 2q^+ p^- \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2$, with s being much larger than any other scale and $q^+ \sim p^- \sim \sqrt{s}$. The projectile travels along the n_1 (or $+$) direction, while the target has a large component along the n_2 (or $-$) direction, which also defines the QCD gauge choice. The photon's virtuality is denoted as $q^2 = -Q^2$.

In the present approach, the gluonic field $\mathcal{A}(k)$ is split into classical background fields $b(k)$ (small k^+) and quantum fields $A(k)$ (large k^+). In the high-energy limit where the boost from the target rest frame to the probe frame is very large, the external field is represented as

$$b^\mu(x) = b^-(x^+, x_\perp) n_2^\mu = \delta(x^+) \mathbf{B}(x) n_2^\mu. \quad (1)$$

This is known as the shockwave approximation.

In this approach, resummation of all-order interactions with the background field yields an *infinite* null Wilson line located precisely at $z^- = 0$,

$$W_{\mathbf{z}} = \mathcal{P} \exp \left(ig \int dz^+ b^{-a}(z) \mathbf{T}_r^a \right), \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{P} denotes the path ordering operator in the $+$ direction and \mathbf{T}_r^a is a color matrix in the r representation of the gauge group. In the following, we use $V_{\mathbf{z}}$ and $U_{\mathbf{z}}$ to denote Wilson lines in the fundamental ($\mathbf{T}_r^a \rightarrow t_{ij}^a$) and adjoint ($\mathbf{T}_r^a \rightarrow T_{bc}^a$) representations respectively. Using small- x factorization, the scattering amplitude is expressed as the convolution of the projectile impact factor with the non-perturbative matrix element of Wilson line operators between target states.

Eikonal interactions between the projectile and the classical fields can be resummed into effective operators

$(\psi_{\text{eff}}, \bar{\psi}_{\text{eff}}, A_{\text{eff}}^{\mu a})$ [55]. For the fermionic field, we get

$$[\psi_{\text{eff}}(z_0)]_{z_0^+ < 0} = - \int d^D z_2 G_0(z_{02}) V_{\mathbf{z}_2}^\dagger \gamma^+ \psi(z_2) \delta(z_2^+) \quad (3)$$

and

$$[\bar{\psi}_{\text{eff}}(z_0)]_{z_0^+ < 0} = \int d^D z_1 \bar{\psi}(z_1) \gamma^+ V_{\mathbf{z}_1} G_0(z_{10}) \delta(z_1^+), \quad (4)$$

where $G_0(x)$ denotes the free quark propagator, while for the gluonic operator we obtain

$$[A_{\text{eff}}^{\mu a}(z_0)]_{z_0^+ < 0} = 2i \int d^D z_3 \delta(z_3^+) F_{-\sigma}^b(z_3) G^{\mu\sigma\perp}(z_{30}) U_{\mathbf{z}_3}^{ab}, \quad (5)$$

where $G^{\mu\sigma\perp}(x)$ is the free gluon propagator in the n_2 light-cone gauge and $F_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is the QCD field strength tensor.

EXCLUSIVE LIGHT VECTOR MESON PRODUCTION

A complete twist-3 computation of the DVMP processes requires to correctly account for both kinematic and genuine twist effects. These two effects are physically different, but related to each other by the QCD equations of motion and, therefore, must be taken into account simultaneously.

2-body contribution. The kinematic twist effects are related to the dependence of the leading Fock state wave function (i.e. with a minimal number of valence partons) on the relative transverse momentum of the constituents. In our case, this corresponds to calculate the diagram in fig. 1, allowing for a relative transverse momentum (or equivalently a space-like separation) between the quark and the antiquark that form the meson. Using the effective operators in eq. (3) and (4), the contribution in fig. 1 is written as

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = -ie_q \int d^D z_0 \theta(-z_0^+) e^{-i(q \cdot z_0)} \times \left\langle P(p') M(p_M) \left| \bar{\psi}_{\text{eff}}(z_0) \not{\epsilon}_q \psi_{\text{eff}}(z_0) \right| P(p) \right\rangle, \quad (6)$$

where ϵ_q is the photon polarization vector, e_q the electromagnetic charge of the quark, p_M (p') the four-momentum of the outgoing meson (proton). After subtraction of the non-interacting part², the amplitude is factorized into a matrix element between the proton states of the dipole operator,

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{b}+\bar{x}\mathbf{r} \mathbf{b}-x\mathbf{r}} = 1 - \frac{1}{N_c} \text{tr} \left(V_{\mathbf{b}+\bar{x}\mathbf{r}} V_{\mathbf{b}-x\mathbf{r}}^\dagger \right), \quad (7)$$

¹ Transverse momentum in Euclidean space are denoted in bold characters, while Minkowskian ones by the \perp subscript.

² The contribution with all Wilson lines set to the identity.




FIG. 1. 2-body diagram contributing to the $\gamma^*(\lambda_\gamma)P \rightarrow M(\lambda_M)P$ process within twist-3 accuracy

and a photon/meson wave functions overlap (PMWO) $\Psi_2(x, \mathbf{r})$. The amplitude reads

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \int_0^1 dx \int d^2\mathbf{r} \Psi_2(x, \mathbf{r}) \int d^d\mathbf{b} e^{i(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}_M)\cdot\mathbf{b}} \times \langle P(p') | \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{b}+\bar{x}\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{b}-x\mathbf{r}} | P(p) \rangle, \quad (8)$$

where we introduced the standard variables (momentum fraction, dipole size and impact parameter) x, \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{b} and $\bar{x} = 1 - x$.

The PMWO in eq. (8) is found to be [55]

$$\Psi_2 = e_q \delta \left(1 - \frac{p_M^+}{q^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon_{q\mu} - \frac{\varepsilon_q^+}{q^+} q_\mu \right) \left[\phi_{\gamma^+} \left(2x\bar{x}q^\mu - i(x-\bar{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{\perp\mu}} \right) + \epsilon^{\mu\nu+-} \phi_{\gamma^+\gamma^5} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{\perp\nu}} \right] K_0 \left(\sqrt{x\bar{x}Q^2 r^2} \right), \quad (9)$$

with

$$\phi_{\Gamma^\lambda} = \int \frac{dr^-}{2\pi} e^{ix\mathbf{p}_M \cdot \mathbf{r} - ixp_M^+ r^-} \langle M(p_M) | \bar{\psi}(r) \Gamma^\lambda \psi(0) | 0 \rangle_{r^+=0} \quad (10)$$

where $\Gamma^\lambda = \{\gamma^+, \gamma^+\gamma^5\}$. We emphasize here that the PMWO in (9) still contains all-twist kinematic effects. Indeed, the vacuum-to-meson matrix elements of nonlocal operators, in eq. (10), are off light-cone due to the non-vanishing r_\perp component, so that the quark and the antiquark are not collinear to each other. The term proportional to the momentum q^μ in eq. (9) contains both the longitudinal photon to longitudinal meson transition, starting at twist 2, and the longitudinal photon to transverse meson transition, starting at twist 3. The twist-2 contribution is already known at the NLO [56–58] and we thus omit it in the following discussion. The remaining terms are related to the transverse photon to transverse meson transition and contribute to the amplitude starting from the twist-3 term.

3-body contribution. The genuine twist 3 effects are related to the appearance, at the same perturbative order,

of higher Fock states (i.e. with a non-minimal parton configuration). In our case, these contributions are related to diagrams with an additional emitted gluon, pictorially depicted in fig. 2. The top diagrams in fig. 2, i.e. those in which the gluon is emitted after the shockwave, do not contribute to our coefficient function (the impact factor) within twist 3 accuracy. In fact, the twist-3 contribution of diagrams in fig. 2 is obtained by requiring the three partons that connect the hard and soft part of the process to fly collinearly. Then, in the top diagrams, the quark (left diagram) or antiquark (right diagram) propagator after the shockwave automatically goes on its mass-shell. This implies that these contributions become purely singular and are therefore associated with the Efremov-Radyushkin-Brodsky-Lepage (ERBL) evolution of the twist-2 DAs [59–61]. The diagrams at the bottom of fig. 2, can be calculated similarly to the contribution in eq. (6), but require the use of the effective gluon operator in eq. (5). Once the non-interacting contribution is subtracted, we can again factorize the amplitude into a 3-body PMWO and a matrix element between the proton states, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}_3 = \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 \int dx_i \int d^2\mathbf{z}_i e^{ix_i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{z}_i} \theta(x_i) \right) \delta \left(1 - \sum_i x_i \right) \Psi_3(\{x_i\}, \{\mathbf{z}_i\}) \left\langle P(p') \left| \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{z}_1\mathbf{z}_3} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{z}_3\mathbf{z}_2} - \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{z}_1\mathbf{z}_3} - \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{z}_3\mathbf{z}_2} + \frac{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{z}_1\mathbf{z}_2}}{N_c^2} \right| P(p) \right\rangle, \quad (11)$$

in which the crossing of the shockwave by the gluon has induced the appearance of the double dipole operator $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{z}_1\mathbf{z}_3}\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{z}_3\mathbf{z}_2}$ and we have introduced the notation $\{a_i\} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$.

The 3-body PMWO, Ψ_3 , in eq. (11) is found to be [55]

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_3(\{x_i\}, \{z_i\}) = & \frac{e_q q^+}{8\pi} \left(\varepsilon_{q\rho} - \frac{\varepsilon_q^+}{q^+} q_\rho \right) c_f \left\{ \chi_{\gamma^+\sigma} \left[\left(4i g_{\perp\perp}^{\rho\sigma} \frac{x_1 x_2}{1-x_2} \frac{Q}{Z} K_1(QZ) + T_1^{\sigma\rho\nu}(\{x_i\}) \frac{z_{23\perp\nu}}{z_{23}^2} K_0(QZ) \right) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \chi_{\gamma^+\sigma} \left[\left(4\varepsilon^{\sigma\rho+} \frac{x_1 x_2}{1-x_2} \frac{Q}{Z} K_1(QZ) + T_2^{\sigma\rho\nu}(\{x_i\}) \frac{z_{23\perp\nu}}{z_{23}^2} K_0(QZ) \right) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $c_f = N_c^2/(N_c^2 - 1)$, the χ 's functions are Fourier transforms of the 3-body vacuum-to-meson matrix elements, i.e.

$$\chi_{\Gamma^\lambda, \sigma} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz_1^-}{2\pi} \frac{dz_2^-}{2\pi} \frac{dz_3^-}{2\pi} e^{-ix_1 q^+ z_1^- - ix_2 q^+ z_2^- - ix_3 q^+ z_3^-} \langle M(p_M) | \bar{\psi}(z_1) g \Gamma^\lambda F_{-\sigma}(z_3) \psi(z_2) | 0 \rangle_{z_{1,2,3}^+ = 0}, \quad (13)$$

and the tensor structures, $T_i^{\sigma\rho\nu}$, are given by

$$T_1^{\sigma\rho\nu}(\{x_i\}) = 4 \left[2 \frac{x_1(\bar{x}_1 + x_2)}{x_3} g_{\perp\perp}^{\sigma\nu} q^\rho + \left(\frac{(\bar{x}_1 + x_2)(\bar{x}_1 - x_1)}{\bar{x}_1 x_3} g_{\perp\perp}^{\sigma\nu} g_{\perp\perp}^{\rho\mu} - \frac{1}{\bar{x}_1} (g_{\perp\perp}^{\nu\rho} g_{\perp\perp}^{\sigma\mu} - g_{\perp\perp}^{\rho\sigma} g_{\perp\perp}^{\nu\mu}) \right) i \partial_{z_{1\perp\mu}} \right], \quad (14)$$

$$T_2^{\sigma\rho\nu}(\{x_i\}) = \frac{4i}{\bar{x}_1} \left[2x_1 \bar{x}_1 q^\rho \varepsilon^{\nu\sigma+-} - \left(\left(1 + \frac{2x_2}{x_3} \right) (g_{\perp\perp}^{\sigma\mu} \varepsilon^{\nu\rho+-} - g_{\perp\perp}^{\rho\sigma} \varepsilon^{\nu\mu+-}) + (x_1 - \bar{x}_1) g_{\perp\perp}^{\rho\mu} \varepsilon^{\nu\sigma+-} \right) i \partial_{z_{1\perp\mu}} \right], \quad (15)$$

with $\bar{x}_i = 1 - x_i$. In eq. (12), the K_ν 's are MacDonald functions, $Z = \sqrt{x_1 x_2 z_{12}^2 + x_1 x_3 z_{13}^2 + x_2 x_3 z_{23}^2}$ and the $(1 \leftrightarrow 2)$ symbol denotes the following exchange: $(x_1, z_1) \leftrightarrow (x_2, z_2)$. We emphasize again that the 3-body PMOW in eq. (12) contains infinite kinematic twist effects through the vacuum-to-meson matrix elements.

EXPANSION TO TWIST 3

In this section, we perform a kinematic twist expansion of the results in eqs. (8) and (11), in terms of twist-3 collinear distribution amplitudes (DAs) [62]. The expansion of in powers of the hard scale is governed by contributions from small transverse separations between the constituents. The operator product expansion is performed in the spirit of the Balitsky-Braun method [63], i.e. the off light-cone non-local correlators in eqs. (10) and (13) are expanded in powers of the deviation from the light-cone $x^2 = 0$ and expressed in terms of a finite number of light-cone non-local correlators, within a fixed

twist accuracy.

A subtlety, in the present case, is due to the fact that the correlators appearing in eqs. (10) and (13) are not expressed in the gauge invariant form of ref. [62], i.e. they do not contain gauge links between the various fields. Nevertheless, the parameterization for correlators without gauge links can be obtained, within the required twist approximation, by expanding the Wilson lines inside the gauge invariant correlators. Indeed, in the coupling expansion of the gauge links, e.g.

$$\mathcal{P} \exp \left[ig \int_0^1 dt A^\mu(tz) z_\mu \right] = 1 + ig \int_0^1 dt A^\mu(tz) z_\mu + \dots, \quad (16)$$

the twist increases with the expansion order. This implies that in the 3-body contribution the gauge link effect is twist-4, and can be neglected. Then, we obtain the 3-body twist-expanded PMWO

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_3(x_1, x_2, x_3, z_1, z_2, z_3) = & \frac{e_q m_M c_f}{8\pi} \delta \left(1 - \frac{p_M^+}{q^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon_{q\rho} - \frac{\varepsilon_q^+}{q^+} q_\rho \right) \left(\varepsilon_M^{*\mu} - \frac{p_M^\mu}{p_M^+} \varepsilon_M^{*+} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 \theta(x_j) \theta(1-x_j) e^{-ix_j p_M z_j} \right) \\ & \times \left\{ -if_{3M}^V g_{\sigma\mu} V(x_1, x_2) \left[\left(4i g_{\perp\perp}^{\rho\sigma} \frac{x_1 x_2}{1-x_2} \frac{Q}{Z} K_1(QZ) + T_1^{\sigma\rho\nu}(\{x_i\}) \frac{z_{23\perp\nu}}{z_{23}^2} K_0(QZ) \right) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \varepsilon_{-+\sigma\beta} f_{3M}^A g_{\perp\perp\mu}^\beta A(x_1, x_2) \left[\left(4\varepsilon^{\sigma\rho+} \frac{x_1 x_2}{1-x_2} \frac{Q}{Z} K_1(QZ) + T_2^{\sigma\rho\nu}(\{x_i\}) \frac{z_{23\perp\nu}}{z_{23}^2} K_0(QZ) \right) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where m_M is the meson mass. The PMWO in eq. (17) is expressed in terms of the genuine twist-3 collinear vector, $V(x_1, x_2)$, and axial, $A(x_1, x_2)$, DAs and f_{3M}^V and f_{3M}^A are the corresponding normalization constants [62].

In the 2-body contribution, eq. (9), in order to express the correlators in (10), in terms of the gauge invariant ones, the effect of the second term in the expansion (16) is twist-3 and must be taken into account. Since this term introduces an additional transverse gluon, it can be parameterized in terms of the genuine twist-3 DAs introduced above. Then, we obtain the 2-body twist-expanded PMWO in the form

$$\Psi_2(x, \mathbf{r}) = e_q m_M f_M \delta \left(1 - \frac{p_M^+}{q^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon_{q\mu} - \frac{\varepsilon_q^+}{q^+} q_\mu \right) \left(\varepsilon_{M\alpha}^* - \frac{\varepsilon_M^{*+}}{p_M^+} p_{M\alpha} \right) \\ \times \left[-ir_\perp^\alpha (h(x) - \tilde{h}(x)) \left(2x\bar{x}q^\mu + (x - \bar{x}) \frac{-i\partial}{\partial r_{\perp\mu}} \right) + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu+-} \varepsilon^{+\alpha-\delta} r_{\perp\delta} \left(\frac{g_\perp^{(a)}(x) - \tilde{g}_\perp^{(a)}(x)}{4} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_\perp^\nu} \right] K_0 \left(\sqrt{x\bar{x}Q^2 r^2} \right), \quad (18)$$

which depends on the independent kinematic twist-3 DAs $h(x)$ and $g_\perp^{(a)}(x)$ and on $\tilde{h}(x)$ and $\tilde{g}_\perp^{(a)}(x)$ gauge-link related terms, given by

$$\tilde{h}(x) = \frac{f_{3M}^V}{f_M} \int_0^x dx_q \int_0^{1-x} dx_{\bar{q}} \frac{V(x_q, x_{\bar{q}})}{(1-x_q-x_{\bar{q}})^2}, \quad \tilde{g}_\perp^{(a)}(x) = 4 \frac{f_{3M}^A}{f_M} \int_0^x dx_q \int_0^{1-x} dx_{\bar{q}} \frac{A(x_q, x_{\bar{q}})}{(1-x_q-x_{\bar{q}}+i\epsilon)^2}. \quad (19)$$

The f_M appearing in eq. (18) is the standard vector decay constant [62].




FIG. 2. 3-body diagrams contributing to the $\gamma^*(\lambda_\gamma)P \rightarrow M(\lambda_M)P$ process within twist-3 accuracy

REGGEIZED GLUON EXPANSION AND THE DILUTE LIMIT

We consider here the weak-field approximation of our results, which is useful to study the transition from the dilute to the dense regime. For this purpose, it is necessary to define the *Reggeized gluon* in terms of the null Wilson lines in eq. (2), i.e. [64]

$$R^a(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \frac{f^{abc}}{gC_A} \ln(U_{\mathbf{z}}^{bc}). \quad (20)$$

Then, the Wilson line in eq. (2) can be expanded around unity and the target matrix element of the dipole opera-

tor is written as

$$\left\langle P(p') \left| 1 - \frac{1}{N_c} \text{tr} (V_{z_1} V_{z_2}^\dagger) \right| P(p) \right\rangle = \frac{g^2}{4N_c} \int \frac{d^d \ell}{(2\pi)^d} \mathcal{U}(\ell) \\ \times \left(e^{i(\ell - \frac{\Delta}{2}) \cdot z_1} - e^{i(\ell - \frac{\Delta}{2}) \cdot z_2} \right) \left(e^{-i(\ell + \frac{\Delta}{2}) \cdot z_1} - e^{-i(\ell + \frac{\Delta}{2}) \cdot z_2} \right). \quad (21)$$

In the first line, we have introduced the unintegrated gluon density $\mathcal{U}(\ell)$ as the matrix element between proton states of the product of two Reggeized gluon fields. The procedure illustrated above allows to obtain the general result in the dilute regime of QCD. In the forward limit where only the transverse photon to transverse meson transition survives, we recover the results in ref. [65, 66]. The details of this comparison will be presented in a accompanying publication [55].

CONCLUSION

We have considered exclusive diffractive light vector-meson production with both incoming photon and outgoing meson carrying arbitrary polarizations and in the fully general non-forward kinematics. Our main results are contained in eq. (17) and (18), where we expressed the PMWO in terms of twist-3 collinear DAs.

These results have a series of implications. First of all, they provide the most complete description of the DVMP processes in the high-energy regime, offering many future phenomenological applications. Second, they enlarge the list of processes useful to probe the gluon GTMD distribution. Lastly, but more importantly, such a general description has required a systematic higher-twist treatment of exclusive processes in the saturation framework, in order to describe helicity amplitudes entering the process at the subleading power in Q . Our general method paves the way for higher-twist studies at small- x and,

in particular, in the saturation regime where hard scale power-suppressed contributions are important in hunting for precision. Besides, there is a dual utility in considering higher-twist effects in the context of k_T -factorization. In fact, the applicability of collinear factorization at the twist-3 accuracy is far from obvious and, in some cases like the one discussed in this letter, it leads to end-point singularities that make any theoretical prediction problematic. In such situations, a (generalized) k_T -dependent factorization, reliable at small- x , is a valid option, since the end-point singularities are naturally regularized by the transverse momenta of the t -channel gluons.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Valerio Bertone, Guillaume Beuf, Giovanni A. Chirilli, Andrey V. Grabovsky, Edmond Iancu, Saad Nabeebaccus, Alessandro Papa, Simone Rodini and Jakob Schoenleber for useful discussions. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement STRONG-2020 (WP 13 "NA-Small-x"). The work by M. F. is supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche under the contract ANR-17-CE31-0019. M. F. acknowledges support from the Italian Foundation "Angelo della Riccia". The work of L. S. is supported by the grant 2019/33/B/ST2/02588 of the National Science Center in Poland. L. S. thanks the P2IO Laboratory of Excellence (Programme Investissements d'Avenir ANR-10-LABEX-0038) and the P2I - Graduate School of Physics of Paris-Saclay University for support. This work was also partly supported by the French CNRS via the GDR QCD.

-
- [1] L. D. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Gluon distribution functions for very large nuclei at small transverse momentum, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 3352 (1994), [arXiv:hep-ph/9311205](#).
- [2] L. D. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Computing quark and gluon distribution functions for very large nuclei, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 2233 (1994), [arXiv:hep-ph/9309289](#).
- [3] L. D. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Green's functions in the color field of a large nucleus, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 2225 (1994), [arXiv:hep-ph/9402335](#).
- [4] I. Balitsky, Operator expansion for high-energy scattering, *Nucl. Phys. B* **463**, 99 (1996), [arXiv:hep-ph/9509348](#).
- [5] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, A. Leonidov, and H. Weigert, The BFKL equation from the Wilson renormalization group, *Nucl. Phys. B* **504**, 415 (1997), [arXiv:hep-ph/9701284](#).
- [6] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, and H. Weigert, The Wilson renormalization group for low x physics: Gluon evolution at finite parton density, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 014015 (1998), [arXiv:hep-ph/9709432](#).
- [7] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, A. Leonidov, and H. Weigert, The Wilson renormalization group for low x physics: Towards the high density regime, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 014014 (1998), [arXiv:hep-ph/9706377](#).
- [8] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, A. Leonidov, and H. Weigert, Unitarization of gluon distribution in the doubly logarithmic regime at high density, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 034007 (1999), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* **59**, 099903 (1999)], [arXiv:hep-ph/9807462](#).
- [9] H. Weigert, Unitarity at small Bjorken x , *Nucl. Phys. A* **703**, 823 (2002), [arXiv:hep-ph/0004044](#).
- [10] E. Iancu, A. Leonidov, and L. D. McLerran, Nonlinear gluon evolution in the color glass condensate. 1., *Nucl. Phys. A* **692**, 583 (2001), [arXiv:hep-ph/0011241](#).
- [11] E. Iancu, A. Leonidov, and L. D. McLerran, The Renormalization group equation for the color glass condensate, *Phys. Lett. B* **510**, 133 (2001), [arXiv:hep-ph/0102009](#).
- [12] Y. V. Kovchegov and H. Weigert, Triumvirate of Running Couplings in Small- x Evolution, *Nucl. Phys. A* **784**, 188 (2007), [arXiv:hep-ph/0609090](#).
- [13] I. Balitsky and G. A. Chirilli, Next-to-leading order evolution of color dipoles, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 014019 (2008), [arXiv:0710.4330 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [14] I. Balitsky and G. A. Chirilli, Rapidity evolution of Wilson lines at the next-to-leading order, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 111501 (2013), [arXiv:1309.7644 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [15] A. V. Grabovsky, Connected contribution to the kernel of the evolution equation for 3-quark Wilson loop operator, *JHEP* **09**, 141, [arXiv:1307.5414 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [16] I. Balitsky and A. V. Grabovsky, NLO evolution of 3-quark Wilson loop operator, *JHEP* **01**, 009, [arXiv:1405.0443 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [17] A. Kovner, M. Lublinsky, and Y. Mulian, Jalilian-Marian, Iancu, McLerran, Weigert, Leonidov, Kovner evolution at next to leading order, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 061704 (2014), [arXiv:1310.0378 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [18] M. Lublinsky and Y. Mulian, High Energy QCD at NLO: from light-cone wave function to JIMWLK evolution, *JHEP* **05**, 097, [arXiv:1610.03453 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [19] I. Balitsky and G. A. Chirilli, Photon impact factor in the next-to-leading order, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 031502 (2011), [arXiv:1009.4729 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] I. Balitsky and G. A. Chirilli, Photon impact factor and k_T -factorization for DIS in the next-to-leading order, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 014013 (2013), [arXiv:1207.3844 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [21] G. A. Chirilli, B.-W. Xiao, and F. Yuan, One-loop Factorization for Inclusive Hadron Production in pA Collisions in the Saturation Formalism, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 122301 (2012), [arXiv:1112.1061 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [22] E. Iancu, A. H. Mueller, and D. N. Triantafyllopoulos, CGC factorization for forward particle production in proton-nucleus collisions at next-to-leading order, *JHEP*

- 12**, 041, [arXiv:1608.05293 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [23] R. Boussarie, A. V. Grabovsky, L. Szymanowski, and S. Wallon, Impact factor for high-energy two and three jets diffractive production, *JHEP* **09**, 026, [arXiv:1405.7676 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [24] R. Boussarie, A. V. Grabovsky, L. Szymanowski, and S. Wallon, On the one loop $\gamma^{(*)} \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ impact factor and the exclusive diffractive cross sections for the production of two or three jets, *JHEP* **11**, 149, [arXiv:1606.00419 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [25] M. Fucilla, A. V. Grabovsky, E. Li, L. Szymanowski, and S. Wallon, NLO computation of diffractive di-hadron production in a saturation framework, *JHEP* **03**, 159, [arXiv:2211.05774 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [26] M. Fucilla, A. Grabovsky, E. Li, L. Szymanowski, and S. Wallon, Diffractive single hadron production in a saturation framework at the NLO, *JHEP* **02**, 165, [arXiv:2310.11066 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [27] G. Beuf, T. Lappi, and R. Paatelainen, Massive quarks in NLO dipole factorization for DIS: Transverse photon, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 034013 (2022), [arXiv:2204.02486 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [28] T. Altinoluk, R. Boussarie, C. Marquet, and P. Taels, Photoproduction of three jets in the CGC: gluon TMDs and dilute limit, *JHEP* **07**, 143, [arXiv:2001.00765 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [29] P. Taels, T. Altinoluk, G. Beuf, and C. Marquet, Dijet photoproduction at low x at next-to-leading order and its back-to-back limit, *JHEP* **10**, 184, [arXiv:2204.11650 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [30] K. Roy and R. Venugopalan, NLO impact factor for inclusive photon+dijet production in $e + A$ DIS at small x, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 034028 (2020), [arXiv:1911.04530 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [31] P. Caucal, F. Salazar, and R. Venugopalan, Dijet impact factor in DIS at next-to-leading order in the Color Glass Condensate, *JHEP* **11**, 222, [arXiv:2108.06347 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [32] P. Caucal, F. Salazar, B. Schenke, and R. Venugopalan, Back-to-back inclusive dijets in DIS at small x: Sudakov suppression and gluon saturation at NLO, *JHEP* **11**, 169, [arXiv:2208.13872 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [33] P. Caucal, F. Salazar, B. Schenke, T. Stebel, and R. Venugopalan, Back-to-Back Inclusive Dijets in Deep Inelastic Scattering at Small x: Complete NLO Results and Predictions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 081902 (2024), [arXiv:2308.00022 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [34] F. Bergabo and J. Jalilian-Marian, Single inclusive hadron production in DIS at small x: next to leading order corrections, *JHEP* **01**, 095, [arXiv:2210.03208 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [35] F. Bergabo and J. Jalilian-Marian, One-loop corrections to dihadron production in DIS at small x, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 054035 (2022), [arXiv:2207.03606 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [36] E. Iancu and Y. Mulian, Dihadron production in DIS at NLO: the real corrections, *JHEP* **07**, 121, [arXiv:2211.04837 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [37] H. Mäntysaari and J. Penttala, Exclusive heavy vector meson production at next-to-leading order in the dipole picture, *Phys. Lett. B* **823**, 136723 (2021), [arXiv:2104.02349 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [38] H. Mäntysaari and J. Penttala, Complete calculation of exclusive heavy vector meson production at next-to-leading order in the dipole picture, *JHEP* **08**, 247, [arXiv:2204.14031 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [39] G. Beuf, H. Hänninen, T. Lappi, Y. Mulian, and H. Mäntysaari, Diffractive deep inelastic scattering at NLO in the dipole picture: The $q\bar{q}g$ contribution, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 094014 (2022), [arXiv:2206.13161 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [40] P. Taels, Forward production of a Drell-Yan pair and a jet at small x at next-to-leading order, *JHEP* **01**, 005, [arXiv:2308.02449 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [41] P. Caucal, E. Ferrand, and F. Salazar, Semi-inclusive single-jet production in DIS at next-to-leading order in the Color Glass Condensate, *JHEP* **05**, 110, [arXiv:2401.01934 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [42] T. Altinoluk, N. Armesto, G. Beuf, M. Martínez, and C. A. Salgado, Next-to-eikonal corrections in the CGC: gluon production and spin asymmetries in pA collisions, *JHEP* **07**, 068, [arXiv:1404.2219 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [43] G. A. Chirilli, Sub-eikonal corrections to scattering amplitudes at high energy, *JHEP* **01**, 118, [arXiv:1807.11435 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [44] S. Chekanov *et al.* (ZEUS), Exclusive rho0 production in deep inelastic scattering at HERA, *PMC Phys. A* **1**, 6 (2007), [arXiv:0708.1478 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [45] F. Aaron *et al.* (H1), Diffractive electroproduction of ρ and ϕ mesons at HERA, *JHEP* **05**, 032, [arXiv:0910.5831 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [46] J. Breitweg *et al.* (ZEUS), Measurement of diffractive photoproduction of vector mesons at large momentum transfer at HERA, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **14**, 213 (2000), [arXiv:hep-ex/9910038](#).
- [47] S. Chekanov *et al.* (ZEUS), Measurement of proton dissociative diffractive photoproduction of vector mesons at large momentum transfer at HERA, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **26**, 389 (2003), [arXiv:hep-ex/0205081](#).
- [48] A. Aktas *et al.* (H1), Diffractive photoproduction of rho mesons with large momentum transfer at HERA, *Phys. Lett. B* **638**, 422 (2006), [arXiv:hep-ex/0603038](#).
- [49] E. C. Aschenauer, S. Fazio, J. H. Lee, H. Mantysaari, B. S. Page, B. Schenke, T. Ullrich, R. Venugopalan, and P. Zurita, The electron-ion collider: assessing the energy dependence of key measurements, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **82**, 024301 (2019), [arXiv:1708.01527 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [50] C. Adloff *et al.* (H1), Measurement of elastic electroproduction of phi mesons at HERA, *Phys. Lett. B* **483**, 360 (2000), [arXiv:hep-ex/0005010](#).
- [51] S. Chekanov *et al.* (ZEUS), Exclusive electroproduction of phi mesons at HERA, *Nucl. Phys. B* **718**, 3 (2005), [arXiv:hep-ex/0504010](#).
- [52] I. Balitsky, Factorization for high-energy scattering, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 2024 (1998), [arXiv:hep-ph/9807434](#).
- [53] I. Balitsky, Factorization and high-energy effective action, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 014020 (1999), [arXiv:hep-ph/9812311](#).
- [54] I. Balitsky, Effective field theory for the small x evolution, *Phys. Lett. B* **518**, 235 (2001), [arXiv:hep-ph/0105334](#).
- [55] R. Boussarie, M. Fucilla, L. Szymanowski, and S. Wallon, Exclusive factorization beyond leading twist meets saturation physics (to appear), (2024), [arXiv:2407.XXXXX \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [56] D. Yu. Ivanov, M. I. Kotsky, and A. Papa, The Impact factor for the virtual photon to light vector meson transition, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **38**, 195 (2004), [arXiv:hep-ph/0405297](#).
- [57] R. Boussarie, A. V. Grabovsky, D. Yu. Ivanov, L. Szymanowski, and S. Wallon, Next-to-Leading Order Com-

- putation of Exclusive Diffractive Light Vector Meson Production in a Saturation Framework, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 072002 (2017), [arXiv:1612.08026 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [58] H. Mäntysaari and J. Penttala, Exclusive production of light vector mesons at next-to-leading order in the dipole picture, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 114038 (2022), [arXiv:2203.16911 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [59] G. R. Farrar and D. R. Jackson, The Pion Form-Factor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43**, 246 (1979).
- [60] G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Exclusive Processes in Quantum Chromodynamics: Evolution Equations for Hadronic Wave Functions and the Form-Factors of Mesons, *Phys. Lett. B* **87**, 359 (1979).
- [61] A. V. Efremov and A. V. Radyushkin, Factorization and Asymptotical Behavior of Pion Form-Factor in QCD, *Phys. Lett. B* **94**, 245 (1980).
- [62] P. Ball, V. M. Braun, Y. Koike, and K. Tanaka, Higher twist distribution amplitudes of vector mesons in QCD: Formalism and twist - three distributions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **529**, 323 (1998), [arXiv:hep-ph/9802299](#).
- [63] I. I. Balitsky and V. M. Braun, Evolution Equations for QCD String Operators, *Nucl. Phys. B* **311**, 541 (1989).
- [64] S. Caron-Huot, When does the gluon reggeize?, *JHEP* **05**, 093, [arXiv:1309.6521 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [65] I. V. Anikin, D. Y. Ivanov, B. Pire, L. Szymanowski, and S. Wallon, On the description of exclusive processes beyond the leading twist approximation, *Phys. Lett. B* **682**, 413 (2010), [arXiv:0903.4797 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [66] I. Anikin, D. Yu. Ivanov, B. Pire, L. Szymanowski, and S. Wallon, QCD factorization of exclusive processes beyond leading twist: $\gamma_T^* \rightarrow \rho_T$ impact factor with twist three accuracy, *Nucl. Phys. B* **828**, 1 (2010), [arXiv:0909.4090 \[hep-ph\]](#).