

Strong Embeddings of 3-connected Cubic Planar Graphs on Surfaces of non-negative Euler Characteristic

Meike Weiß* and Alice C. Niemeyer*

*RWTH Aachen University, Chair of Algebra and Representation Theory, Pontdriesch 10-16, 52062 Aachen, Germany

July 26, 2024

Abstract

Whitney proved that 3-connected planar graphs can be embedded uniquely on the sphere. In general, such a graph may also have embeddings on different surfaces. Enami focused on cubic graphs and showed that a 3-connected cubic planar graph can be re-embedded on a surface of non-negative Euler characteristic if and only if the dual graph contains a specific subgraph. Motivated by applications to triangulated surfaces, we investigate strong re-embeddings and show that these are characterised by a subset of Enami’s subgraphs. Additionally, we provide criteria for when a graph does not possess a strong re-embedding on the projective plane, the torus or the Klein bottle.

Keywords— Graph Embeddings, Re-embeddings, Facial Walks, Planar Graphs, Cubic Graphs

1 Introduction

Combinatorial structures such as graphs are ubiquitous in mathematics. An important part of graph theory is graph topology, where embeddings of graphs on various surfaces are considered. Whitney was one of the first to study graph embeddings and proved in [20] that every 3-connected planar graph is uniquely embeddable on the sphere. Embeddings of planar graphs on non-spherical surfaces are considered for example in [11] and [10]. Moreover, embeddings of non-planar graphs with higher connectivity on the projective plane and the torus have been studied, for example in [7], [13] and [15]. In [16] it is shown that the problem of computing the genus of a graph is NP-complete. This suggests that it is not so easy to compute all graph embeddings of a given graph.

Restricting to 3-regular graphs, called **cubic graphs**, often simplifies a problem. However, the authors of [18] show that computing the genus of a cubic graph is still NP-complete. The **cycle double cover conjecture** is very famous and states that for every bridgeless graph G there exists a set of cycles such that every edge of G is contained exactly in two of these cycles. The cycle double cover conjecture holds if and only if it is true for snarks, which are special cubic graphs, as shown in [6].

In [3], Enami focuses on embeddings of 3-connected cubic planar graphs on the projective plane, the torus and the Klein bottle, as defined for example in [12, Chapter 3.1.]. This means that he re-embeds planar graphs on surfaces of non-negative Euler characteristic. Moreover, he describes an enumeration algorithm to compute all re-embeddings of a 3-connected cubic planar graph on one of these three surfaces, where the maximum computation time for a re-embedding is polynomial in the input size.

Strong graph embeddings are special graph embeddings and are sometimes also called **circular embeddings**. In [14] it is proved that every 3-connected planar graph can be strongly embedded on a non-spherical surface. Another interesting fact is that certain cubic graphs have strong embeddings only on surfaces whose genus is very large, see [9]. In this paper we pursue Enami’s approach described in [3] and adjust it to

characterise strong graph embeddings of 3-connected cubic planar graphs on the projective plane, the torus and the Klein bottle. Enami defines the graphs A_1 to A_6 (see Figure 7) which he employs to characterise all embeddings of a 3-connected cubic planar graph on the Klein bottle (see Theorem 10).

Our motivation for studying strong graph embeddings are **simplicial surfaces**, which describe the incidence structure of special triangulated surfaces. **Vertex-faithful** simplicial surfaces are simplicial surfaces that are uniquely described by the incidence of their vertices and faces. The undirected graph formed by the vertices and edges of a simplicial surface is called the **edge graph**. For vertex-faithful simplicial surfaces with Euler characteristic 2 these are **polyhedral graphs**, i.e. they are 3-connected and planar. The dual graph of the edge graph is called the **face graph** and describes the incidence relation of edges and faces. Face graphs are always cubic because we consider triangulated surfaces and this is the reason why we are interested in the case of cubic graphs. Given a cubic graph G , our goal is to compute all simplicial surfaces which have G as their face graph. As we will discuss in a forthcoming paper this is equivalent to computing strong graph embeddings. Moreover, the face graphs of vertex-faithful simplicial surfaces with Euler characteristic 2 are 3-connected, cubic and planar. Thus, we can use the approach of Enami if we modify the results to strong graph embedding.

The main results we prove for strong embeddings on the projective plane, the torus and the Klein bottle are given in Theorem 1. Here we denote by K_n the complete graph on n vertices and, for a positive integer $k \geq 2$, by K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} a complete k -partite graph with k partition sets V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k of the vertices of G such that $|V_i| = n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Moreover, the **dual graph** G^* of G is unique, simple and planar if G is 3-connected and planar.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph.*

- 1) *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between inequivalent strong embeddings of G on the projective plane and subgraphs of G^* that are isomorphic to K_4 .*
- 2) *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between inequivalent strong embeddings of G on the torus and subgraphs of G^* that are isomorphic to $K_{2,2,2}$ or isomorphic to $K_{2,2m}$ for $m \geq 1$, where the vertices in the partition sets of size two are not adjacent in G^* .*
- 3) *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between inequivalent strong embeddings of G on the Klein bottle and subgraphs of G^* that are isomorphic to A_3, A_5, A_6 or $K_{2,2m-1}$ for $m \geq 2$, where the vertices in the partition sets of size two are not adjacent in G^* .*




Figure 1: The three graphs A_3, A_5 and A_6

The correspondence between subgraphs of G^* and re-embeddings of G is described more precisely in Remark 9. In Section 2 we first recall the definitions of combinatorial graph embeddings and then summarise the approach and results of [3]. The main result of this paper is proved in Section 3 after characterising when a re-embedding is strong. Furthermore, in Section 4 we determine, which graphs cannot have strong embeddings on the projective plane, the torus and the Klein bottle. We have used the computer algebra system GAP [4] and the Digraphs package [1] for our research.

As an example, consider K_4 , which can be embedded on the sphere as shown in Figure 2a. The dual graph of K_4 is itself. So by Theorem 1 we can re-embed the graph K_4 strongly on the projective plane, as drawn in Figure 2b. The points on the boundary are the points at infinity and antipodal points are identified. Section 2.2 describes how to compute the re-embedding of a 3-connected cubic planar graph with a given subgraph isomorphic to K_4 .




Figure 2: K_4 embedded on the plane (a) and the projective plane (b)

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first consider graph embeddings and introduce a combinatorial way of describing them. Then we examine embeddings of 3-connected cubic planar graphs on surfaces with non-negative Euler characteristic that are not homeomorphic to the sphere.

We assume that all our graphs are undirected, connected, simple and finite. Let G be such a graph. Then we denote by $V(G)$ the vertices of G and by $E(G)$ the edges of G which are 2-subsets of $V(G)$.

2.1 Graph Embeddings

We use the standard terminology of topological graph theory, as in [5, 12]. Recall that a **surface** is a compact 2-dimensional manifold without boundary. An **embedding** of a graph G on a surface S is a drawing of G on S without edge crossings. Technically, we regard an embedding as an injective continuous map $\beta : G \rightarrow S$. The **cells** of $\beta(G)$ are the connected components of $S \setminus \beta(G)$. If G is a planar graph, we call the cells of the embedding on the plane **faces**. A closed walk of the embedded graph is called a **facial walk** if it traverses the edges of a cell. If a facial walk has distinct vertices and edges, it is a **facial cycle**. Note that each edge of G is contained exactly twice in the set of facial walks. If all facial walks are facial cycles, then each edge is contained in exactly two facial cycles. Two embeddings $\beta_1, \beta_2 : G \rightarrow S$ are **equivalent** if there exists a homeomorphism $h : S \rightarrow S$ such that $h \circ \beta_1 = \beta_2$. Often, we assume that G is already mapped on S and therefore associate the image of β with G .

To describe a graph embedding combinatorially, we employ rotation systems and signatures. These two concepts are introduced in [12], but as they play an important role in this work, we repeat the definition here.

Definition 2. Suppose that a graph G is embedded on an orientable surface. A **rotation** ρ_v around a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is a circular ordering of the edges incident to v such that $\rho_v(e)$ is the clockwise successor of e around v . Analogously, $\rho_v^{-1}(e)$ is the anticlockwise successor of e around v . A **rotation system** of G is defined by $\rho = \{\rho_v \mid v \in V(G)\}$.

Two rotation systems ρ and ρ' of G are equivalent if either they are the same or if $\rho'_v = \rho_v^{-1}$ for all $v \in V(G)$. A rotation system of a graph G uniquely describes the embedding of G on an orientable surface, and an embedding of G on an orientable surface uniquely describes a rotation system up to equivalence, see [12, Chapter 3.2.]. The situation, however, is different for non-orientable surfaces, where more information is needed. Therefore we extend the notion of rotation systems to embedding schemes, as described in [12, Chapter 3.3.].

Definition 3. Let $\beta(G)$ be an embedding of G on a not necessarily orientable surface. Let ρ_v be a possible cyclic order of the edges incident to $v \in V(G)$. A **signature** of $E(G)$ is a map $\lambda : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$. For an edge $e = \{v, w\}$ we define $\lambda(e) = 1$ if $\rho_v(e), e$ and $\rho_w^{-1}(e)$ are included in a facial walk of $\beta(G)$; otherwise $\rho_v(e), e$ and $\rho_w(e)$ are included in a facial walk of $\beta(G)$ and we define $\lambda(e) = -1$. The pair (ρ, λ) is called the **embedding scheme** of $\beta(G)$ and an edge $e \in E(G)$ is called **twisted** if $\lambda(e) = -1$ and **untwisted** if $\lambda(e) = 1$.

Unlike in the orientable case an embedding scheme is not uniquely determined by an embedding of G , see [12, Chapter 3.3.]. For instance, if we change the clockwise ordering at a vertex $v \in V(G)$ to anticlockwise, i.e. ρ_v is replaced by ρ_v^{-1} , and for all edges e incident to v we change $\lambda(e)$ to $-\lambda(e)$, the corresponding embedding does not change. Such a changing of the embedding scheme is referred to as a **local change**. Figure 3 shows an example of a local change at vertex v . Two embedding schemes are equivalent if they can be obtained from each other by a sequence of local changes. Thus, there are several equivalent embedding schemes that describe the same embedding, but an embedding scheme uniquely describes an embedding. Moreover, an embedding scheme defines an embedding of a given graph G on a non-orientable surface if and only if there is a cycle in G containing an odd number of twisted edges as shown in [12].




Figure 3: Local change at vertex v with twisted edges coloured red

To understand a graph embedding defined by an embedding scheme better, it is more intuitive to know the facial walks of the embedding. A well-known algorithm computes the facial walks of a given embedding by using the **face traversal procedure** described in Algorithm 1. The face traversal procedure takes as input an embedding scheme and computes one facial walk of the given embedding. It is clear that the algorithm always terminates. Furthermore, the algorithm computes a facial walk for each embedding, and if we apply the algorithm often enough for different vertices and edges, we always get all facial walks of the given embedding. Further details of this algorithm can be found in [12, Chapter 3.3.], for example. Note that at every step in the face traversal procedure a variable κ controls whether we consider the clockwise successor of a given vertex ($\kappa = 1$) or the anticlockwise successor ($\kappa = -1$). Every time we traverse an edge e with $\lambda(e) = -1$, the sign of κ changes.

Algorithm 1: Face traversal procedure

Input: G with embedding scheme (ρ, λ)

Output: A facial walk of G embedded with (ρ, λ)

- 1 Start with an arbitrary vertex $v \in V(G)$, an edge $f = \{v, w\} \in E(G)$ and $\kappa = 1$
 - 2 Define $e := f$
 - 3 **do**
 - 4 | Traverse e from v to w and set $v := w$
 - 5 | **if** $\lambda(e) = -1$ **then**
 - 6 | $\kappa = -\kappa$
 - 7 | **end**
 - 8 | **if** $\kappa = 1$ **then**
 - 9 | $e = \rho_v(e) = \{v, w\}$
 - 10 | **else**
 - 11 | $e = \rho_v^{-1}(e) = \{v, w\}$
 - 12 | **end**
 - 13 **while** $e \neq f$ or $\kappa \neq 1$
 - 14 **return** cycle defined by all traversed edges
-

Sometimes vertices of degree two are considered differently, because in this case the clockwise and anticlockwise successors do not differ. However, in our description of the algorithm, vertices of degree two can be treated equally.

Remark 4. Since a sphere is orientable, a planar embedding of a planar graph G is uniquely defined by a rotation system, as described above. On the other hand drawing G on the plane already defines the rotation system of this planar embedding. An embedding scheme of G then can be uniquely described by drawing G on the plane and indicating which edges are twisted. Such an embedding scheme describes a unique embedding $\beta(G)$ on an arbitrary surface. We call $\beta(G)$ a **re-embedding** because we first embed G on the sphere and then re-embed G on a possibly new surface by specifying the signature. With the face traversal procedure we can compute the facial walks of the re-embedding $\beta(G)$.

If we do not care on which surface we re-embed G , we can twist any edge. Whether the surface is orientable or not depends on the existence of a cycle in G with an odd number of twisted edges, as mentioned above.

Example 5. Consider the cubic planar graph K_4 . We define the rotation system ρ of G by the drawing in Figure 4, as discussed in Remark 4. Then the facial walks of the planar embedding of G are $(1, 2, 3)$, $(1, 2, 4)$, $(1, 3, 4)$ and $(2, 3, 4)$. To compute a re-embedding of G we choose $\{1, 2\}$ to be the only twisted edge, coloured in red. We use the face traversal procedure to compute a facial walk of the re-embedding starting with vertex 1 and edge $\{1, 2\}$.




Figure 4: Planar embedding of K_4 with twisted edge $\{1, 2\}$ drawn in red

1. Start at vertex $v = 1$ with $\kappa = 1$ and edge $f = \{1, 2\}$.
2. Since $\lambda(f) = -1$, we set $\kappa = -1$. So the next edge we traverse is $\rho_2^{-1}(\{1, 2\}) = \{2, 4\} = e$, i.e. the anticlockwise successor of $\{1, 2\}$ at 2.
3. $\lambda(e) = 1$, so κ is still -1 . The next edge we traverse is $\rho_4^{-1}(\{2, 4\}) = \{1, 4\} = e$.
4. $\lambda(e) = 1$, so κ is still -1 . The next edge we traverse is $\rho_1^{-1}(\{1, 4\}) = \{1, 2\} = e$. This means that $e = f$ but $\kappa \neq 1$, so we continue the loop as described in Algorithm 1.

The face traversal procedure returns the facial walk $(1, 2, 4, 1, 2, 3)$ for the start vertex and edge defined above. The faces of the planar embedding without twisted edges define also facial walks of the re-embedding. Thus, the set of facial walks is:

$$\{(1, 2, 4, 1, 2, 3), (1, 3, 4), (2, 3, 4)\}.$$

Remark 6. A polyhedral embedding of a graph on a surface is an embedding such that each facial walk is a facial cycle and the intersection of any two facial walks is either empty, a single vertex or a single edge. In [17], Thomassen first proved that a 3-connected cubic planar graph has a unique polyhedral embedding. With Whitney's Theorem (see [20]) we can conclude that the unique polyhedral embedding of planar graphs is the unique embedding on the sphere. Thus, the facial cycles of a 3-connected cubic planar graph embedded on the sphere cannot intersect in two or more edges.

2.2 Re-embeddings

Each set of twisted arcs together with an arbitrary rotation system defines an embedding on a surface. However, we need to know how to choose the twisted edges if we want to obtain an embedding on a particular surface. In this section we describe how we can characterise a re-embedding of a 3-connected cubic planar graph G on a given surface via the twisted edges.

Remark 7. Since G is 3-connected and planar, G can be embedded uniquely on the sphere. We denote this embedding by the rotation system ρ and assume that G is already embedded by ρ . The only choice we have to make to define a re-embedding $\beta(G)$ on an arbitrary surface is the definition of the signature λ , i.e. the twisted edges. We define λ by specifying a set $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$ and

$$\lambda : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}, e \mapsto \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } e \in \mathcal{T} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We consider the embedding $\beta(G)$ as a re-embedding of G obtained by twisting all edges of \mathcal{T} and denote it by $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$. Using the face traversal procedure defined in Section 2.1, the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ can be computed.

In a planar graph, the faces of the planar embedding are easy to see. Computing the facial walks of a re-embedding can be envisaged as follows: We traverse the edges of a face of the planar embedding, and when we traverse a twisted edge, we must change the face of the planar embedding we are traversing. This is shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5: Segments of facial cycles (blue and orange) for e not twisted (a) and e twisted (b)

For instance, we can re-embed the K_4 with all edges twisted. In Figure 6 a facial cycle of this re-embedding is drawn in blue, so that it is possible to see when we change the face of the planar embedding. We will see later that this defines an embedding on the projective plane.




Figure 6: Re-embedding of K_4 with the rotation system given by the planar embedding and all edges twisted (coloured in red) together with a facial cycle of the re-embedding coloured blue

Each \mathcal{T} defines an embedding of G , but we do not know yet on which surface this is an embedding. Enami characterises in [3] the sets \mathcal{T} of twisted edges which yield embeddings on the projective plane, the torus and the Klein bottle. Moreover, in [3] more details of re-embeddings are considered and, in particular, the author establishes that different sets of twisted edges lead to inequivalent embeddings of G .

Definition 8. Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$. Define $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ as the subgraph of G^* induced by all edges dual to the edges in \mathcal{T} . Call such a subgraph $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ **twisted subgraph** of G^* .

Remark 9. In the following, the above definition is often used in the opposite direction. This means that we wish to define a set \mathcal{T} of twisted edges by designating a subgraph H of G^* to be the twisted subgraph. This can be achieved by computing the dual edges of $E(H)$ and defining them as the set of twisted edges. Furthermore,

if we say that $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a twisted subgraph of G^* without first defining \mathcal{T} , we mean that the edges in \mathcal{T} are the dual edges of $E(H_{\mathcal{T}})$.

Thus, each subgraph of G^* defines a signature, and with the unique rotation system for 3-connected cubic planar graphs we obtain a correspondence between the subgraphs of G^* and the re-embeddings of G .

Enami characterises in [3] re-embeddings of a graph on the projective plane, the torus and the Klein bottle in terms of subgraphs of the dual graph. We recall these statements in the following theorem.

Theorem 10. [3, Theorem 1-3] *Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph.*

- 1) *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between inequivalent embeddings of G on the projective plane and subgraphs of G^* that are isomorphic to K_2 or K_4 .*
- 2) *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between inequivalent embeddings of G on the torus and subgraphs of G^* that are isomorphic to $K_{2,2,2}$, $K_{2,2m}$ or $K_{1,1,2m-1}$ for some positive integer m .*
- 3) *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between inequivalent embeddings of G on the Klein bottle and subgraphs of G^* that are isomorphic to $K_{2,2m-1}$ or $K_{1,1,2m}$ for some positive integer m , or one of the six graphs A_1 to A_6 shown in Figure 7.*




Figure 7: The six graphs A_1 to A_6

With this characterisation, we obtain the following approach for computing all re-embeddings on surfaces with non-negative Euler characteristic for a given 3-connected cubic planar graph G .

Algorithm 2: Re-embedding Algorithm

Input: A 3-connected cubic graph G and a surface S on which we re-embed G

Output: All re-embeddings of G on the given surface S

1. Compute the planar embedding of the given 3-connected cubic planar graph G .
 2. Construct the dual graph G^* .
 3. Find certain subgraphs \mathcal{H} in G^* , depending on the surface on which G is to be re-embedded.
 4. For each $H \in \mathcal{H}$ perform the following steps:
 - a) Compute the twisted edges $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$ by taking the dual edges of H as in Remark 9. Note that then $H_{\mathcal{T}} = H$.
 - b) Compute $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$, i.e. a new set of facial walks using the face traversal procedure described in Section 2.1.
-

This means that the computation of all re-embeddings of G on the projective plane is achieved by determining all subgraphs of G^* that are isomorphic to K_2 or K_4 in step 3. As explained in Remark 9 each of these subgraphs defines a set \mathcal{T} of twisted edges and each of these \mathcal{T} yields an embedding scheme (ρ, λ) for G . In this way we obtain a set of facial walks that describes a re-embedding of G on the projective plane.

Note that re-embeddings we obtain by the re-embedding algorithm are inequivalent, since the set of twisted edges is always different for **different subgraphs**. Two subgraphs are different if the vertex and edge sets are not identical, but the two subgraphs can be isomorphic. As discussed in [3] steps 1 to 3 can be performed in polynomial time in the number of vertices of the input graph. Moreover, the re-embedding defined by a twisted subgraph can be computed in polynomial time. However, the set \mathcal{H} can contain exponentially many subgraphs of G^* , so in general we get an enumeration algorithm, where the maximum computation time between two consecutive outputs is polynomial in the input size.

Example 11. As an example we consider the graph G drawn in Figure 8a. The dual graph G^* , drawn in Figure 8b, has a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$, where the vertices of the partition sets of size two are not adjacent, and which are coloured red. The rotation system, defined by the drawing of G on the plane, together with the twisted edges, defined by $K_{2,2}$, defines an embedding scheme called λ for G . By Theorem 10 λ defines a re-embedding of G on the torus. Since a torus is an orientable surface, there exists an equivalent embedding scheme such that all edge are untwisted (see [12]). Thus, by local changes we can compute an embedding scheme equivalent to λ without twisted edges. This embedding is shown in Figure 8c, where the twisted edges of λ are still coloured in red.




Figure 8: The graph G embedded on the plane (a) with twisted edges coloured red, its dual graph G^* with the twisted subgraph coloured red (b) and the re-embedding of G on a torus (c)

3 Characterisations of Strong Re-embeddings

An embedding of a graph G is a **strong embedding** if the facial walks are cycles, i.e. each edge is contained in exactly two facial cycles. If a re-embedding is a strong graph embedding, we call it a **strong re-embedding**. As mentioned in the introduction, strong embeddings are relevant when considering simplicial surfaces. For a given cubic graph G different simplicial surfaces can exist whose face graphs are isomorphic to G . Computing all these simplicial surfaces is equivalent to computing all strong embeddings of G . As the following lemma shows, certain sets of twisted edges never define a strong re-embedding.

Lemma 12. Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$. If there is a face of the planar embedding of G with exactly two twisted edges and these are adjacent in G , then the resulting re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is not strong.

Proof. Suppose C is a face of G with two adjacent twisted edges $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ and $e_1 = \{v, w\}$, $e_2 = \{u, v\}$ coloured red in Figure 9. Let $\rho_v(e_1) = e_2$. Start the face traversal procedure with e_1 at v . Since e_1 is twisted, we consider the anticlockwise successor of e_1 at w , i.e. $\rho_w^{-1}(e_1)$. We continue the face traversal procedure by

traversing C through the anticlockwise successors until we traverse e_2 . Then we are back at v , but since e_2 is twisted, we do not return to e_1 and terminate, but continue with $\rho_v(e_2)$. Thus, the face traversal procedure results in a closed walk which is not a cycle. \square




Figure 9: A face that has exactly two adjacent twisted edges

Analogously, some twisted subgraphs mentioned in Theorem 10 do not result in facial cycles. In Example 5 we see that twisting exactly one edge, i.e. $H_{\mathcal{T}} \cong K_2$, does not lead to a cycle in the given graph. We show that a twisted subgraph isomorphic to K_2 never leads to a strong re-embedding in Lemma 18.

The goal of this section is to adapt the approach discussed in [3] and Section 2.2 to the case of strong re-embeddings. We characterise the subgraphs that always give rise to strong re-embeddings and those that do not. This results in Theorem 1, which is our main theorem. Let G be a 3-connected graph embedded on the sphere, $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ a twisted subgraph of G^* and $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ the corresponding re-embedding of G . Note that the planar embedding of G in the Euclidean plane induces a unique planar embedding of G^* , which implies a planar embedding of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$. The following lemma describes how facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ are related to facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$.

Lemma 13. *Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$. The facial walks of the re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$, which contain twisted edges, are in one-to-one correspondence with the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$.*

Proof. Let C be a facial walk of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ computed with the face traversal procedure. The face traversal procedure traverses the edges of a face of the planar embedding of G until we reach a twisted edge. Then we change the face of G , as shown in Figure 5, and we change whether we consider the clockwise or anticlockwise successor. This means that we can translate C into a cycle \tilde{C} in G^* which contains only twisted edges, in particular these are the dual of the twisted edges of C . Moreover, by traversing each (twisted) edge of \tilde{C} , we change whether we consider the successor clockwise or anticlockwise. Thus \tilde{C} is a facial walk of the re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$.

On the other hand let \tilde{C} be a facial walk of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$ computed by the face traversal procedure for $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$. Vertices of \tilde{C} can be incident in G^* to dual edges of $E(G) \setminus \mathcal{T}$. We call these edges untwisted edges of G^* . Suppose e is the edge of \tilde{C} that we use to reach a vertex $v \in V(\tilde{C})$. Then we can compute the untwisted edges of G^* at v that lie between e and the clockwise or anticlockwise successor of this edge at v in $H_{\mathcal{T}}$. We will call these edges visited edges at v . Thus, we obtain a sequence of twisted and untwisted edges in G^* . We compute the dual edges of these and get a sequence of edges in G , which by construction defines a closed walk of G . Since we only change the orientation after traversing a twisted edge, this is a facial walk of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$. \square

Definition 14. *Let G be a 3-connected planar graph and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$. Furthermore, let $\tilde{C} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be a facial walk of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$, i.e. all edges of \tilde{C} are twisted. Let $e_i = \{w_{i-1}, w_i\}$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and $e_0 = \{w_1, w_n\}$. Then we can define the tuple of **visited edges** M_i at a vertex w_i in \tilde{C} as the edges in G^* that are incident to w_i and lie between w_i and w_{i+1} in the orientation defined by \tilde{C} . Moreover, we can define the tuple of **visited vertices** V_i by taking the vertices of the edges in M_i that are not equal to w_i . The order of the tuples is the order in which we encounter the visited vertices/edges in G^* at the vertex w_i . Thus, we can define the **dual facial walk** of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ in G^* as $(w_1^{V_1}, \dots, w_n^{V_n})$.*

Example 15. *As an example, consider the 3-connected cubic planar graph G shown in Figure 10a and let $\mathcal{T} = \{ \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{4, 6\}, \{5, 6\} \} \subseteq E(G)$, coloured in red. This means that $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$. Let the cells of the planar embedding of G be labelled as follows:*

$$a = (2, 3, 4, 5), b = (1, 2, 5, 6), c = (1, 2, 3), d = (1, 3, 4, 6) \text{ and } e = (4, 5, 6).$$

In Figure 10b, the graph G^* is drawn with the labelling induced by the labelling of the cells of G , where the red edges correspond to $H_{\mathcal{T}}$. Consider the facial cycle $C = (c, d, e, b)$ of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$ starting in clockwise orientation. The twisted edges of C are coloured red and the edges we visit while traversing C are coloured teal in Figure 10b. So the dual facial walk of C is $(c^{(a)}, d^{(b)}, e^{(a)}, b^{(d)})$. Translating all the twisted and visited edges to their dual edges we obtain $(2, 3, 1, 6, 4, 5, 6, 1)$ as a facial walk of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$. Thus, the resulting re-embedding is not strong because the edge $\{1, 6\}$ is traversed twice.




Figure 10: A planar graph G (a) and its dual graph G^* (b) with twisted edges coloured red and visited edges coloured teal

Now, the goal is to employ the dual facial walks to characterise whether the re-embedding we consider is strong or not. Before we can get to that, we give a result on facial walks of G^* that are not edge simple. We call a closed walk **edge simple** if all edges in this walk are distinct.

Lemma 16. *Let G be a 3-connected planar graph and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$. If a facial walk of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$ is not edge simple, then the re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is not strong.*

Proof. Let \tilde{C} be a facial walk of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$ which contains the edge e more than once. Then the dual edge of e is contained more than once in the corresponding facial walks C of \tilde{C} of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$. Thus, C cannot be a cycle and so $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is not strong. \square

Corollary 17. *Let G be a 3-connected planar graph and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$. If $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ has a bridge, then the re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is not strong.*

If the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$ are cycles we can use the consequence of the Jordan Curve Theorem [8] that each cycle C of a bridgeless planar graph divides the plane into the interior of C , bounded by C and written as $int(C)$, and the exterior of C , written as $ext(C)$. This means that the visited edges at a vertex v in \tilde{C} are either all in $int(\tilde{C})$ or all in $ext(\tilde{C})$, depending on whether we consider the clockwise or anticlockwise successor at v . This fact will be used in Theorem 19.

Let G again be a 3-connected cubic planar graph, ρ a rotation system such that G is embedded on the plane, and λ a signature of G defining a set \mathcal{T} of twisted edges. This induces an embedding scheme (ρ^*, λ^*) of G^* , where ρ^* is defined as the planar embedding of G^* uniquely defined by the planar embedding of G , and λ^* is defined such that all dual edges of \mathcal{T} are twisted. Moreover, we consider the restriction of (ρ^*, λ^*) to $H_{\mathcal{T}}$, denoted by $(\rho^*, \lambda^*)|_{H_{\mathcal{T}}}$. This is defined by simply omitting everything not contained in $H_{\mathcal{T}}$.

In the following we describe a procedure to compute the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ without requiring the face traversal procedure for G . Roughly speaking, we seek to compute the dual facial walks of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ in G^* and use these to deduce the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$. This approach is later used to obtain a characterisation of strong re-embeddings.

Algorithm 3: Computing dual facial walks

Input: G with embedding scheme (ρ, λ) and $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ a subgraph of G^*
Output: facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ or false if the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ are not edge simple

```

1  $(\rho^*, \lambda^*) :=$  the embedding scheme of  $G^*$ , which is uniquely induced by  $(\rho, \lambda)$ 
2  $\mathcal{C} :=$  facial walks of  $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$  computed by the face traversal procedure for  $H_{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $(\rho^*, \lambda^*)|_{H_{\mathcal{T}}}$ 
3 if all closed walks of  $\mathcal{C}$  are edge simple then
4    $res := []$ 
5   forall  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  do
6      $\tilde{C} :=$  dual facial walk of  $C$ 
7      $S :=$  sequence of dual edges of  $\tilde{C}$ 
8     Add( $res$ ,  $S$ )
9   end
10  return  $res$ 
11 else
12   return false
13 end

```

Lemma 18. *Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$. If $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ has a vertex of degree one or is isomorphic to $K_{1,1,m}$ for $m \geq 1$, the re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is not strong.*

Proof. If $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ has a vertex of degree one, $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ has a bridge. Thus, the re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is not strong by Corollary 17.

Let $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ be isomorphic to $K_{1,1,m}$ for $m \geq 1$ with the partition sets $\{x\}, \{y\}, \{1, \dots, m\}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that we have the following embedding, where the different partition sets are coloured differently:




Figure 11: $K_{1,1,m}$

We compute the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$ with the face traversal procedure, starting at vertex x with the edge $\{x, y\}$. Then we obtain the facial walk $(x, y, m, x, y, 1)$. This facial walk contains the edge $\{x, y\}$ twice, so it is not a cycle and therefore $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is not strong. \square

Note that a closed cubic graph walk with distinct edges is a cycle. The following theorem leads to a criterion for deciding whether or not a given twisted subgraph yields a strong re-embedding or not. With this criterion we can extend Theorem 10 to strong re-embeddings.

Theorem 19. *Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph and $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ a twisted subgraph of G^* for $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$ such that the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$ are edge simple. Let $\{\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_k\}$ be the set of dual facial walks of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ in G^* with*

$$\mathcal{C}_i = \left(w_1^{V_1^i}, \dots, w_{n_i}^{V_{n_i}^i} \right)$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. *The re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is not strong if and only if there exists a \mathcal{C}_i containing two vertices w_ℓ and w_m with $\ell, m \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$ and $\ell \neq m$ such that $w_\ell \in V_m^i$ and $w_m \in V_\ell^i$.*

Proof. Let $M_j^i \subseteq E(G^*)$ be the visited edges corresponding to the visited vertices V_j^i for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. Then the edge sets $M_1^i, \dots, M_{n_i}^i$ are not disjoint if and only if there are two vertices w_ℓ and w_m in \mathcal{C}_i with $w_\ell \in V_m^i$ and $w_m \in V_\ell^i$. Thus, we can show that the edge sets $M_1^i, \dots, M_{n_i}^i$ are not disjoint for one $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ if and only if the re-embedding is not strong.

" \Rightarrow " Assume the edge sets M_a^i and M_b^i of \mathcal{C}_i are not disjoint for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $a, b \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. Let e be an edge with $e \in M_a^i \cap M_b^i$, i.e. we visit e once with the face w_a and once with w_b . Since e is untwisted, e is traversed twice by the facial walk of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ we get from \mathcal{C}_i . This means that the re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is not strong.

" \Leftarrow " The facial cycles without a twisted edge of the planar embedding of G are also facial cycles of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$, since G is 3-connected. Thus, we only have to consider the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ with at least one twisted edge. These are precisely the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ we obtain from the dual facial walks $\{\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_k\}$. Suppose a dual facial walk \mathcal{C}_i for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ yields a facial walk C of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ that is not a cycle. Since we assume that the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$ are edge simple and G is cubic, C must traverse an untwisted edge $e \in E(G)$ twice. So e must be contained in two different facial walks w_a and w_b of the planar embedding of G , which are vertices of \mathcal{C}_i . Thus, e is included in the intersection of w_a and w_b . Since e is untwisted, we conclude $e \in M_a^i$ and $e \in M_b^i$ and so e must be a visited edge at w_a and w_b . So the sets M_j^i for $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$ are not pairwise disjoint. □

In the setting of the above theorem it directly follows that if the edge sets M_j^i for $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$ lie in different faces of the planar embedding of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$, the dual facial walk \mathcal{C}_i translates into a facial cycle of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$.

Example 20. Let $G, H_{\mathcal{T}}$ and C be as in Example 15. Remember that $C = (c, d, e, b)$ is a facial walk of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$ and the corresponding dual facial walk is $(c^{(a)}, d^{(b)}, e^{(a)}, b^{(d)})$. We can consider the planar embedding of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$, where we are interested in the face in which the visited edges of a facial walk lie. In Figure 12 the planar embedding of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ is drawn with red edges and the visited edges of C are indicated in teal. To consider a sketch of the planar embedding of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ together with incident edges of G^* can be useful if the considered graph is large and we only want to see in which faces the visited edges are located. We see that the visited edge of c and e and the visited edge of b and d are in the same face of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$. From Theorem 19 we know that this could imply that the resulting facial walk is not simple. This is indeed the case, since b has d as a visited vertex and also the other way around.




Figure 12: Embedding of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ in G^* with twisted edges marked in red and visited edges marked in teal

3.1 Projective Plane

In this section we consider strong re-embeddings of 3-connected cubic planar graphs on the projective plane, i.e. on a non-orientable surface of genus 1. Theorem 10 states that we need to find subgraphs of G^* that are isomorphic to K_2 or K_4 for general re-embeddings of G on the projective plane. Note that Lemma 18 already establishes that twisted subgraphs which are isomorphic to K_2 do not lead to strong re-embeddings. Thus the question arises, whether a twisted subgraph isomorphic to K_4 always leads to a strong re-embedding. The answer is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 21. Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$. If $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ is isomorphic to K_4 , then the re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is strong.

Proof. First we have to compute the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$. There are exactly three of them and they all have length four. Let $\mathcal{C} = (w_1^{V_1}, w_2^{V_2}, w_3^{V_3}, w_4^{V_4})$ be a corresponding dual facial walk of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ in G^* . We denote by V_i the visited vertices and by M_i the corresponding visited edges in G^* for $i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$. Suppose M_a and M_b are not disjoint with $e \in M_a \cap M_b$ for $a, b \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ and $a \neq b$. This means that the faces w_a and w_b of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ are connected in G^* by e , which is untwisted. But w_a and w_b are also connected by a twisted edge, since $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a complete graph. This implies that the two faces w_a and w_b of the planar embedding of G intersect in two edges, which is a contradiction to Remark 6. So the statement follows with Theorem 19. \square

Theorem 22. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between inequivalent strong embeddings of G on the projective plane and subgraphs of G^* which are isomorphic to K_4 .*

Proof. By Theorem 10 we know that there is a one-to-one correspondence between inequivalent embeddings of G on the projective plane and subgraphs of G^* which are isomorphic to K_2 or K_4 , as described in Remark 9. We know that twisted subgraphs isomorphic to K_2 , as shown in Lemma 18, do not lead to strong re-embeddings. Moreover, Lemma 21 shows that twisted subgraphs which are isomorphic to K_4 always result in strong embeddings. Since general embeddings are also strong embeddings, the result follows. \square

Thus, the first part of Theorem 1 is proved. Let \mathcal{G}_n denote the set of 3-connected cubic planar graphs with n vertices and let \mathcal{P}_n denote the set of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n that have a strong embedding on the projective plane. The Table 13 shows that there are few graphs in \mathcal{G}_n for $n \geq 8$ which do not have a strong re-embedding on the projective plane. This means that their dual graphs have no subgraph isomorphic to K_4 . Whether or not there exists a re-embedding of a graph in \mathcal{G}_n on the projective plane was computed using the methods in [19], which are implemented in GAP [4].

n	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
$ \mathcal{G}_n $	1	1	2	5	14	50	233	1249	7595
$ \mathcal{P}_n $	1	1	1	4	12	45	222	1219	7485

Figure 13: Number of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n for $n \in \{4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20\}$ with strong re-embeddings on the projective plane

Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$. It is clear that each vertex of degree three in G^* defines a subgraph of G^* isomorphic to K_4 . Thus, all dual graphs of \mathcal{G}_n that do not have a strong re-embedding on the projective plane have minimum degree at least four. The authors in [2] enumerate the number of plane triangulations for a given number of vertices with minimum degree at least four. Thus the number of $|\mathcal{G}_n| - |\mathcal{P}_n|$ is always less than or equal to the number of plane triangulations with $\frac{n}{2} + 2$ vertices and minimum degree at least four.

3.2 Torus

In this section we consider strong re-embeddings of 3-connected cubic planar graphs on the torus, i.e. on an orientable surface of genus 1. Just as Theorem 22 is the adaptation of general re-embeddings on the projective plane to the case of strong re-embeddings, here we adapt Theorem 10 to the case of re-embeddings on the torus. By Lemma 18 we already know that twisted subgraphs which are isomorphic to $K_{1,1,m}$ for $m \geq 1$ do not lead to strong re-embeddings. Thus, the question is whether a twisted subgraph which is isomorphic to $K_{2,2,2}$ or $K_{2,2,m}$ for $m \geq 1$ always leads to a strong re-embedding.

Lemma 23. *Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$. If $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ is isomorphic to $K_{2,2,2}$, then the re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is strong.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} = (w_1^{V_1}, \dots, w_n^{V_n})$ be a dual facial walk of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ in G^* . For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let M_i be the corresponding visited edges of the visited vertices V_i in G^* . Suppose the edge sets M_a and M_b are not disjoint with $e \in M_a \cap M_b$ and $a \neq b$. This means that w_a and w_b are connected in G^* by the untwisted edge e . Consider the following two cases:

1. Let w_a and w_b be in different partition sets of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$. Then w_a and w_b are additionally connected by a twisted edge, which contradicts the fact that two facial cycles of a planar embedded 3-connected cubic graph can intersect in at most one edge, as mentioned in Remark 6.

2. Let w_a and w_b be in the same partition set of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$. Since $H_{\mathcal{T}} \cong K_{2,2,2}$ is planar and 3-connected, $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ is uniquely embeddable on the sphere. Figure 14 shows such an embedding, where the vertices of the partition sets are highlighted in red, blue and green. Two vertices of the same partition set of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ cannot be adjacent in G^* by an untwisted edge, otherwise we could not embed G^* on the sphere. So we get a contradiction to the fact that w_a and w_b are connected by e .

□




Figure 14: Planar embedding of $K_{2,2,2}$

So twisted subgraphs which are isomorphic to $K_{2,2,2}$ always lead to strong re-embeddings. For twisted subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{2,2m}$ we need to provide additional properties as shown in Lemma 24.

Lemma 24. *Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$ such that $H_{\mathcal{T}} \cong K_{2,m}$ for $m \geq 2$. The re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is strong if and only if the vertices of the partition sets of size two of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ are not adjacent in G^* .*

Proof.

" \Rightarrow " Let two vertices w_1 and w_2 of a partition set of $H_{\mathcal{T}} \cong K_{2,m}$ of size two be connected by the edge $e \in E(G^*)$. Then, without loss of generality, $H_{\mathcal{T}} \cup \{e\}$ is embedded as follows:




Figure 15: Planar embedding of $H_{\mathcal{T}} \cup \{w_1, w_2\}$

Consider the facial walk $C = (w_1, w_{m+2}, w_2, w_3)$ in $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$. Then the visited edges of w_1 and w_2 lie in $\text{ext}(C)$. Thus, $\{w_1, w_2\}$ is a visited edge at both vertices and so the re-embedding cannot be strong by Theorem 19.

" \Leftarrow " G^* has the following subgraph isomorphic to $K_{2,m}$, where the vertices of the partition set of size two, called $A \subseteq V(H_{\mathcal{T}})$, are coloured red and the vertices of the partition set of size m , called $B \subseteq V(H_{\mathcal{T}})$, are coloured blue.




Figure 16: Planar embedding of $K_{2,m}$ for $m \geq 2$

The re-embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$ has m facial cycles of length four, all of which are also facial walks in the planar embedding of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$. Each facial walk contains the vertices w_1 and w_2 of A and two vertices of B , called w_3 and w_4 . We consider the planar embedding of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$, which is drawn in Figure 16, so w_3 and w_4 must be embedded next to each other or one is the leftmost vertex and the other is the rightmost vertex. Let \mathcal{C} be a dual facial walk of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ in G^* with $\mathcal{C} = (w_1^{V_1}, w_3^{V_3}, w_2^{V_2}, w_4^{V_4})$. The face $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = (w_1, w_3, w_2, w_4)$ can be an unbounded or bounded face of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that G^* is embedded in such a way that the embedding of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ is in one of the ways drawn in Figure 17. In Figure 17a no vertex of B can be in $\text{ext}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ and in Figure 17b no vertex of B can be in $\text{int}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$.




Figure 17: Planar embeddings of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ with (w_1, w_3, w_2, w_4) a cell of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$

For $i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$, let M_i be the corresponding visited edges of the visited vertices V_i .

- Let $\mathcal{C} = (w_1, w_3, w_2, w_4)$ be the unbounded face of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ as drawn in Figure 17a. We start at w_1 with the edge $\{w_1, w_3\}$. This means that the edges of M_1 lie in the unbounded face of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$. Now we have to consider the anticlockwise successor of $\{w_1, w_3\}$ at w_3 , which is $\{w_2, w_3\}$. Here the edges we are visiting, i.e. M_3 , are in $\text{int}(\mathcal{C})$. Continuing this way, we see that the edges of M_2 lie in the unbounded face of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ and that the edges of M_4 are in $\text{int}(\mathcal{C})$. Thus, the re-embedding is strong if $M_1 \cap M_2 = \emptyset$ and $M_3 \cap M_4 = \emptyset$. If M_3 and M_4 would have a common edge, m must be equal to 2, due to the embedding of Figure 17a and because the common edge must be in $\text{int}(\mathcal{C})$. Since we assumed that the vertices of partition sets of size two are not adjacent, both pairs of edge sets are disjoint.
- Let $\mathcal{C} = (w_1, w_3, w_2, w_4)$ be a bounded face of $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ as drawn in 17b. Analogous to the first case, we obtain that M_1 and M_2 are in $\text{int}(\mathcal{C})$. Moreover, M_3 and M_4 are in $\text{ext}(\mathcal{C})$. Thus, the re-embedding is strong if $M_1 \cap M_2 = \emptyset$ and $M_3 \cap M_4 = \emptyset$. If M_3 and M_4 would have a common edge, m must be equal to 2, due to the embedding of Figure 17b and because the common edge must be in $\text{ext}(\mathcal{C})$. Since we assumed that the vertices of partition sets of size two are not adjacent, both pairs of edge sets are disjoint and so the re-embedding is strong with Theorem 19.

□

Summarising the previous lemma with Theorem 10 we get the following characterisation.

Theorem 25. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between inequivalent strong embeddings of G on the torus and subgraphs of G^* which are isomorphic to $K_{2,2,2}$ or isomorphic to $K_{2,2,m}$, where $m \geq 1$ and the vertices in partition sets of size two are not adjacent in G^* .*

Proof. By Theorem 10 we know that there is a one-to-one correspondence between inequivalent embeddings of G on the torus and subgraphs of G^* which are isomorphic to $K_{2,2,2}$, $K_{2,2,m}$ or $K_{1,1,2m-1}$ for $m \geq 1$. Twisted subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{1,1,2m-1}$ do not lead to a strong embedding as seen in Lemma 18. Moreover, by Lemma 24 only the subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{2,2,m}$, where the vertices in the partition sets of size two are not adjacent in G^* , result in strong embeddings. Subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{2,2,2}$ lead to strong embeddings of G by Lemma 23. Furthermore, general embeddings are also strong embeddings, so the result follows. □

Thus, the second part of Theorem 1 is proved. Let \mathcal{G}_n again denote the set of 3-connected cubic planar graphs with n vertices. Moreover, \mathcal{R}_n denotes the set of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n that have strong embeddings on the torus. The Table 18 shows that there are several graphs in \mathcal{G}_n for $n \geq 8$ which do not have a strong re-embedding on the torus. In a forthcoming paper we will classify all graphs which have no strong embedding on the torus up to 20 vertices. Whether or not there exists a re-embedding of a graph in \mathcal{G}_n on the torus was computed using the methods in [19], which are implemented in GAP [4].

n	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
$ \mathcal{G}_n $	1	1	2	5	14	50	233	1249	7595
$ \mathcal{R}_n $	0	0	1	2	7	26	140	815	5484

Figure 18: Number of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n for $n \in \{4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20\}$ with strong re-embeddings on the torus

3.3 Klein Bottle

In this section we consider strong re-embeddings of 3-connected cubic planar graphs on the Klein bottle, i.e. on a non-orientable surface of genus 2. We have seen in the previous sections the adaptation of general re-embeddings on the projective plane and the torus to the case of strong re-embeddings. Here we adapt Theorem 10 to the case of strong re-embeddings on the Klein bottle. By Lemma 18 we already know that twisted subgraphs which are isomorphic to $K_{1,1,m}$ for $m \geq 1$ do not lead to strong re-embeddings. Moreover, we know from Lemma 24 that we are not interested in the subgraphs of G^* which are isomorphic to $K_{2,2m-1}$ for $m \geq 2$ where the vertices of partition sets of size two are adjacent in G^* . To get a characterisation for strong re-embeddings on the Klein bottle we need to take a look at the graphs A_1, \dots, A_6 , see Figure 7.

Lemma 26. *Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq E(G)$. If $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ is isomorphic to A_3, A_5 or A_6 , then the re-embedding is strong.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proofs of Lemma 21, 23 and 24. First we compute the facial walks of $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}(H_{\mathcal{T}})$. Then we check whether the visited edges of these walks are disjoint or not. Due to the structure of A_3, A_5 and A_6 we get that the visited edges must be disjoint and so the result follows with Theorem 19. \square

Summarising the previous statements and combining them with Theorem 10, the following characterisation arises.

Theorem 27. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between inequivalent strong embeddings of G on the Klein bottle and subgraphs of G^* isomorphic to A_3, A_5, A_6 or $K_{2,2m-1}$ for $m \geq 2$, where the vertices in the partition set of size two are not adjacent in G^* .*

Proof. With Theorem 10 we know that there is a one-to-one correspondence between inequivalent embeddings of G on the Klein bottle and subgraphs of G^* isomorphic to $A_1, \dots, A_6, K_{2,2m-1}$ or $K_{1,1,2m}$ for $m \geq 1$. Twisted subgraphs isomorphic to $A_1, A_2, A_4, K_{2,1}$ and $K_{1,1,2m}$ do not result in a strong embedding as seen in Lemma 18. Moreover, by Lemma 24 only the subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{2,2m-1}$ for $m \geq 2$, where the vertices of the partition sets of size two are not adjacent in G^* , result in strong embeddings. The other subgraphs lead to strong embeddings of G by Lemma 26. Furthermore, general embeddings are also strong embeddings, so the result follows. \square

Thus, the third part of Theorem 1 is proved. Let \mathcal{G}_n again denote the set of 3-connected cubic planar graphs with n vertices. Moreover, \mathcal{K}_n denotes the set of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n that have strong embeddings on the Klein bottle. The Table 19 shows that all graphs in \mathcal{G}_n with $n < 20$ have a strong re-embedding on the Klein bottle. Furthermore, there is only one graph in \mathcal{G}_{20} that does not have a strong re-embedding on the Klein bottle. This is the dodecahedral graph, which is the dual graph of the icosahedral graph. Whether or not there exists a re-embedding of a graph in \mathcal{G}_n on the Klein bottle was computed using the methods in [19], which are implemented in GAP [4].

n	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
$ \mathcal{G}_n $	1	1	2	5	14	50	233	1249	7595
$ \mathcal{K}_n $	0	1	2	5	14	50	233	1249	7594

Figure 19: Number of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n for $n \in \{4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20\}$ with strong re-embeddings on the Klein bottle

4 Existence of Strong Re-embeddings

In [3], the author shows that there are for each 3-connected cubic planar graph re-embeddings on the projective plane, the torus and the Klein bottle. In the Figures 13, 18 and 19 we see that this is not true for strong re-embeddings. This can also be seen in the proofs of the lower bounds in [3]. The graphs which satisfy the lower bounds in [3] on re-embeddings on the projective plane, the torus or the Klein bottle are exactly those graphs which have no strong re-embeddings on any of the surfaces. To characterise them, we need that a graph G is **cyclically k -edge connected** if there is no set $A \subseteq E(G)$ of at most $k - 1$ edges such that the graph $G \setminus A$ has at least two connected components having a cycle.

Corollary 28. *Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph with $|V(G)| \geq 5$. If G is bipartite or cyclically 4-edge connected, then G has no strong embedding on the projective plane.*

Proof. Adapt the proof of Proposition 17 in [3] to the restriction we obtain for strong re-embeddings on the projective plane in Theorem 22. \square

For the torus we even get a graph property which ensures that the graph has a strong embedding on the torus.

Corollary 29. *Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph with $|V(G)| \geq 5$.*

- 1) *If G is cyclically 5-edge connected, then G has no strong embedding on the torus.*
- 2) *If G is not cyclically 5-edge connected, but cyclically 4-edge connected, then G has a strong embedding on the torus.*

Proof. Adapt the proof of Proposition 18 in [3] to the restriction we obtain for strong re-embeddings on the torus in Theorem 25. Note that the vertices of a partition set of $K_{2,2}$ cannot be adjacent in G^* if G is cyclically 4-edge connected. \square

Corollary 30. *Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph with $|V(G)| \geq 5$. If G is cyclically 5-edge connected, then G has no strong embedding on the Klein bottle.*

Proof. Adapt the proof of Proposition 19 in [3] to the restriction we obtain for strong re-embeddings on the Klein bottle in Theorem 27. \square

Since Enami shows in [3] that we have an upper bound on the number of subgraphs isomorphic to K_4 in a triangulation, we get an upper bound for strong re-embeddings on the projective plane.

Corollary 31. *A 3-connected cubic planar graph G has at most $\frac{|V(G)|}{2} - 1$ strong re-embeddings on the projective plane.*

Proof. In [3], the author shows that every triangulation T on the sphere has at most $|V(T)| - 3$ subgraphs which are isomorphic to K_4 . The dual graph G^* is a triangulation and $|V(G^*)| = \frac{1}{2}|V(G)| + 2$. Thus we obtain that G^* has at most $\frac{|V(G)|}{2} - 1$ subgraphs which are isomorphic to K_4 . The statement follows with Theorem 22. \square

Thus, we can compute all strong re-embeddings for a given 3-connected cubic planar graph G on the projective plane in polynomial time, as mentioned in [3]. The question arises whether this also applies for computing strong re-embeddings on the torus and on the Klein bottle. This is the case if the number of relevant subgraphs of G^* is polynomial.

Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph, as usual. Enami shows in [3] that if G^* has a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{1,1,m}$, then G has at least $2^m - 1$ inequivalent embeddings on each of the torus and the Klein bottle. Note that the subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{1,1,k}$ for $k \geq 1$ and the subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{2,k}$ for $k \geq 1$ constructed in Enami's proof do not yield strong embeddings. Thus, this proof cannot be used for strong embeddings, but there can also be exponentially many inequivalent strong embeddings on the torus and the Klein bottle.

Proposition 32. *Let G be a 3-connected cubic planar graph. G can have exponentially many inequivalent strong embeddings on the torus and the Klein bottle.*

Proof. Let G be the 3-connected cubic planar graph consisting of an inner and an outer cycle each of length $2n$, where the two cycles are connected as shown in Figure 20. Then G^* is isomorphic to $K_{2,2n}$. The number of subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{2,n}$ in $K_{2,2n}$ is $\binom{2n}{n}$. With Stirling's approximation we get that $\binom{2n}{n}$ is asymptotically equivalent to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}}4^n$. Thus G^* has exponentially many subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{2,n}$. This directly implies an exponential number of strong re-embeddings on the torus and the Klein bottle of G , depending on whether n is even or odd. Therefore, the upper bounds for strong re-embeddings of 3-connected cubic planar graphs on the torus and the Klein bottle must be exponential. \square




Figure 20: Graph G with two cycles of length $2n$ and $G^* \cong K_{2,2n}$ for $n \geq 1$

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have identified existence conditions for strong graph embeddings of 3-connected cubic planar graphs on the projective plane, the torus and the Klein bottle. These results enable us to make statements about the existence or non-existence of embeddings of a given 3-connected cubic planar graph on one of these three surfaces.

Compared to Enami's results for general graph embeddings, we have shown that for strong embeddings we need to consider fewer types of subgraphs of the dual graph. This makes the calculation simpler. It may be possible to extend the approach to surfaces of higher genus, but it will be more complicated.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) in the framework of the Collaborative Research Centre CRC/TRR 280 "Design Strategies for Material-Minimized Carbon Reinforced Concrete Structures – Principles of a New Approach to Construction" (project ID 417002380). The authors also thank Reymond Akapanya for his very valuable comments and advice.

References

- [1] J. D. Beule, J. Jonušas, J. D. Mitchell, M. Torpey, M. Tsalakou, and W. A. Wilson. Digraphs - GAP package, version 1.6.3, Sep 2023.
- [2] M. B. Dillencourt. Polyhedra of small order and their Hamiltonian properties. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 66(1):87–122, 1996.
- [3] K. Enami. Embeddings of 3-connected 3-regular planar graphs on surfaces of non-negative Euler characteristic. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 21(4):Paper No. 11, 19, 2019.
- [4] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.12.2*, 2022.
- [5] J. L. Gross and T. W. Tucker. *Topological graph theory*. Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1987.
- [6] F. Jaeger. A survey of the cycle double cover conjecture. In *Cycles in graphs (Burnaby, B.C., 1982)*, volume 115 of *North-Holland Math. Stud.*, pages 1–12. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [7] S. Kitakubo and S. Negami. Re-embedding structures of 5-connected projective-planar graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 244:211–221, 02 2002.
- [8] J. R. Kline. What is the jordan curve theorem? *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 49(5):281–286, 1942.
- [9] B. Mohar. Strong embeddings of minimum genus. *Discrete Math.*, 310(20):2595–2599, 2010.
- [10] B. Mohar and N. Robertson. Planar graphs on nonplanar surfaces. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 68(1):87–111, 1996.
- [11] B. Mohar, N. Robertson, and R. P. Vitray. Planar graphs on the projective plane. *Discrete Math.*, 149(1-3):141–157, 1996.
- [12] B. Mohar and C. Thomassen. *Graphs on Surfaces*. Johns Hopkins University Press, 2001.
- [13] S. Negami. Uniqueness and faithfulness of embedding of toroidal graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 44(2):161–180, 1983.
- [14] R. B. Richter, P. D. Seymour, and J. Širáň. Circular embeddings of planar graphs in nonspherical surfaces. *Discrete Math.*, 126(1-3):273–280, 1994.
- [15] Y. Suzuki. Re-embedding structures of 4-connected projective-planar graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 68(3):213–228, 2011.
- [16] C. Thomassen. The graph genus problem is NP-complete. *J. Algorithms*, 10(4):568–576, 1989.
- [17] C. Thomassen. Embeddings of graphs with no short noncontractible cycles. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 48(2):155–177, 1990.
- [18] C. Thomassen. The genus problem for cubic graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 69(1):52–58, 1997.
- [19] M. Weiß. <https://github.com/MeikeWeiss/SimplicialEmbeddings>.
- [20] H. Whitney. 2-Isomorphic Graphs. *Amer. J. Math.*, 55(1-4):245–254, 1933.