

A new version of P-flat modules and its applications

Wei Qi^a, Xiaolei Zhang^a

a. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo 255049, China

Corresponding Author: Xiaolei Zhang, E-mail: zxlrgj@163.com

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and study the class of ϕ - w -P-flat modules, which can be seen as generalizations of both ϕ -P-flat modules and w -P-flat modules. In particular, we obtain that the class of ϕ - w -P-flat modules is covering. We also utilize the class of ϕ - w -P-flat modules to characterize ϕ -von Neumann regular rings, strong ϕ -rings and ϕ -PvMRs.

Key Words: ϕ - w -P-flat module; ϕ -von Neumann regular ring; ϕ -PvMR; strong ϕ -ring.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C11.

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unitary.

It is well-known that the class of flat modules is one of the three classical classes of modules. Some important rings can be characterized by flat modules, such as von Neumann regular rings, Prüfer domains, and coherent rings. The generalizations of flat modules attracted many algebraists. For example, the notion of torsion-free modules can be seen as a generalization of that of flat modules over domains. The notion of P-flat modules over commutative rings, introduced by Li and Wang [8], can also be seen as the generalization of that of torsion-free modules over domains. P-flat modules are important in studying some rings. For example, a ring R is von Neumann regular if and only if every R -module is P-flat; a domain R is Prüfer if and only if every P-flat module is flat (see Cheniour and Mahdou [3]); a ring R is a PF ring if and only if every (principal) ideal is P-flat.

The semi-star operation is a very important tool to extend various of commutative rings. The w -operation over domains was introduced by Wang [13] in 1997, and was extended to commutative rings by Yin et al. [16] in 2010. Since then, using w -operations to construct new rings and modules is a fresh approach to algebraists. In 2014, Kim and Wang [11] introduced and studied w -flat modules over commutative rings. In 2015, Wang and Qiao [14] introduced the w -weak global dimensions of rings, and showed that PvMDs are exactly domains with w -weak global dimensions

at most 1. The w -P-modules recently introduced by Xia and Qiao [15] can be seen as a w -version of P-flat modules. The authors [15] showed that a ring R is a von Neumann regular ring if and only if every R -module is w -P-flat; a domain R is a DW-domain if and only if any w -P-flat module is P-flat. They [15] also studied rings in which any principal ideal is w -P-flat.

The ϕ -ring, introduced by Badawi [2] at the end of the last century, is an important extension of domains. Some classical results over domains can be generalized to ϕ -rings. To generalize some results on w -operations over domains to ϕ -ring, the second named author and Zhao [18] introduced ϕ - w -flat modules over ϕ -rings and ϕ -PvMRs. In particular, the authors [18] proved that a strong ϕ -ring R is ϕ -PvMR if and only if any any R -ideal is ϕ - w -flat. However, the natural question is:

A ϕ -ring R is a ϕ -PvMR if and only if any ideal of is ϕ - w -flat?

To answer this question, we generalized w -P-flat modules to get a new class of modules: ϕ - w -P-flat modules. We utilize the class of ϕ - w -P-flat modules to characterize ϕ -von Neumann regular rings, domains, DW-rings and strong ϕ -rings. Specially, we prove a ϕ -ring R is ϕ -PvMR and a strong ϕ -ring if and only if any ideal R is ϕ - w -flat, and so give a negative answer to the above question.

1. ϕ - w -P-FLAT MODULES AND THEIR BASIC PROPERTIES

First, we recall some basic knowledge on w -operations (see [12] for more details). Let R be a ring and J be a finitely generated ideal of R . If the multiplicative map $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(J, R)$ is an isomorphism, then J is called to be a GV-ideal. The set of all GV-ideals is denoted by $\text{GV}(R)$. Suppose M is an R -module. Denote by

$$\text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M) := \{x \in M \mid \text{there is } J \in \text{GV} \text{ such that } Jx = 0\}.$$

If $\text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M) = M$ (resp., $\text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M) = 0$), then M is said to be GV-torsion (resp., GV-torsion-free). Now, suppose M is a GV-torsion-free R -module. If $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/J, M) = 0$ for any $J \in \text{GV}(R)$, then M is called a w -module. The w -envelope of a GV-torsion-free M is defined as follows:

$$M_w := \{x \in E_R(M) \mid \text{there is } J \in \text{GV} \text{ such that } Jx \subseteq M\},$$

where $E_R(M)$ is the injective envelope of M . Obviously, a GV-torsion-free module M is a w -module if and only if $M_w = M$. If every R -module is w -module, then R is a DW-ring. The maximal w -ideal is the maximal w -submodule of R . The set of all w -ideals is denoted by $w\text{-Max}(R)$. Notice that $w\text{-Max}(R)$ is a nonempty of $\text{Spec}(R)$. An R -homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ is called a w -monomorphism (Resp., w -epimorphism, w -isomorphism), if $f_{\mathfrak{m}} : M_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a monomorphism (Resp., an epimorphism, an isomorphism) as $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -modules for any $\mathfrak{m} \in w\text{-Max}(R)$. If, for any

$\mathfrak{m} \in w\text{-Max}(R)$, $A_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is exact as $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -modules, then the R -sequence $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ is said to be w -exact.

Recall from [4], an R -module M is said to be P-flat, if $(r, m) \in R \times M$ with $rm = 0$ implies $m \in (0 :_R r)M$. Suppose $r \in R$. Then by [6, Proposition 1],

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/rR) = \{m \in M \mid rm = 0\} / (0 :_R r)M.$$

Hence, an R -module M is P-flat if and only if $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/rR, M) = 0$ for any $r \in R$. Recall from [15], an R -module M is said to be w -P-flat, if for any satisfying $rm = 0$ with $(r, m) \in R \times M$, there exists $J \in \text{GV}(R)$ such that $Jm \subseteq (0 :_R r)M$. Hence, an R -module M is w -P-flat if and only if $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/rR, M)$ is GV-torsion for any $r \in R$.

Definition 1.1. Let R be a ring and M be an R -module. If $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, M)$ is GV-torsion for any non-nilpotent element $a \in R$, then M is said to be ϕ - w -P-flat.

Trivially, GV-torsion modules and w -P-flat modules are ϕ - w -P-flat. Next, we will give a characterization of ϕ - w -P-flat modules.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be an R -module. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) M is ϕ - w -P-flat;
- (2) for any non-nilpotent $a \in R$, the natural R -homomorphism $Ra \otimes_R M \rightarrow R \otimes_R M$ is a w -monomorphism;
- (3) for any non-nilpotent element a satisfying $am = 0$ with $(a, m) \in R \times M$, there exists an ideal $J \in \text{GV}(R)$ such that $Jm \subseteq (0 :_R a)M$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) Let a be a non-nilpotent element in R . Then there exists an exact sequence $0 = \text{Tor}_1^R(R, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, M) \rightarrow Ra \otimes_R M \rightarrow R \otimes_R M$. So M is w -P-flat if and only if the natural R -homomorphism $Ra \otimes_R M \rightarrow R \otimes_R M$ is w -monomorphism.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3): It follows by the following natural isomorphism ([6, Proposition 1]):

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, M) \cong \{m \in M \mid am = 0\} / (0 :_R a)M.$$

□

Proposition 1.3. *Suppose $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is w -exact sequence of R -modules. If A and C are ϕ - w -P-flat, then B is also ϕ - w -P-flat.*

Proof. Suppose a is a non-nilpotent element in R . Then there is a w -exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, A) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, C).$$

Since A and C are ϕ - w -P-flat, $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, A)$ and $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, C)$ are GV-torsion. So, $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, B)$ is also GV-torsion. Hence, B is ϕ - w -P-flat. □

Proposition 1.4. *Suppose $\{M_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ is a directed system of ϕ - w -P-flat modules. Then $\varinjlim M_i$ is a ϕ - w -P-flat module.*

Proof. Suppose a is a non-nilpotent element in R , $\{M_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ is a directed system of ϕ - w -P-flat modules. Then $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, M_i)$ is GV-torsion for any $i \in \Lambda$. So $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, \varinjlim M_i) \cong \varinjlim \text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, M_i)$ is also GV-torsion. Hence $\varinjlim M_i$ is ϕ - w -P-flat. \square

Proposition 1.5. *Suppose $\{M_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ is a set of ϕ - w -P-flat modules. Then $\bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda} M_i$ is ϕ - w -P-flat if and only if M_i is ϕ - w -P-flat for any $i \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. Suppose a is a non-nilpotent element in R . It follows by

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda} M_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda} \text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, M_i).$$

\square

Proposition 1.6. *The pure submodules and pure quotients of ϕ - w -P-flat modules are ϕ - w -P-flat.*

Proof. Suppose a is a non-nilpotent element in R . Then we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Ra \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/Ra \rightarrow 0$. Suppose $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ is pure exact sequence of R -modules with N ϕ - w -P-flat. Then we have the following commutative diagram of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R Ra & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_R Ra & \longrightarrow & L \otimes_R Ra & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R R & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_R R & \longrightarrow & L \otimes_R R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R R/Ra & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_R R/Ra & \longrightarrow & L \otimes_R R/Ra & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

It follows by Snake Lemma that $f : M \otimes_R Ra \rightarrow M \otimes_R R$ and $g : L \otimes_R Ra \rightarrow L \otimes_R R$ are w -monomorphisms. It follows by Theorem 1.2 that M and L are ϕ - w -P-flat. \square

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of R -modules and M be an R -module. Recall from [5, Definition 5.1] that if there exists an R -homomorphism $f : F(M) \rightarrow M$ with $F(M) \in \mathcal{F}$, such that for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $g : F \rightarrow M$ there exists $h : F \rightarrow F(M)$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ h \swarrow \cdots & & \searrow g \\ F(M) & \xrightarrow{f} & M \end{array}$$

Then $f : F(M) \rightarrow M$ is called an \mathcal{F} -precover of M . Furthermore, if the endomorphism h of $F(M)$ satisfying $f = f \circ h$ is an automorphism, then $f : F(M) \rightarrow M$ is called the \mathcal{F} -cover of M . Dually, one can define the \mathcal{F} -preenvelope and \mathcal{F} -envelope of R -modules.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be classes of R -modules. Denote by $\mathcal{A}^{\perp 1} = \{M \in R\text{-Mod} \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0, \text{ for any } F \in \mathcal{A}\}$, ${}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{A} = \{M \in R\text{-Mod} \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(M, F) = 0 \text{ for any } F \in \mathcal{A}\}$. If $\mathcal{A} = {}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}^{\perp 1}$, then $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is called a cotorsion pair. If any R -module has an \mathcal{A} -cover and a \mathcal{B} -envelope, then $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is said to be perfect. It is well-known that the class of flat modules is covering. The authors in [17, 19] obtained the classes of w -flat modules and ϕ -flat modules are covering. The next result shows that the class of ϕ - w -P-flat modules is also a covering.

Theorem 1.7. *Let R be a ring. Denote by ϕ - w - \mathcal{F}_P the class of ϕ - w -P-flat modules. Then $(\phi$ - w - \mathcal{F}_P, ϕ - w - $\mathcal{F}_P^{\perp 1})$ is a perfect cotorsion pair. Hence, any R -module has a ϕ - w -P-flat cover.*

Proof. Obviously, R is ϕ - w -P-flat, and ϕ - w - \mathcal{F}_P is closed under extension and direct summand. It follows by Lemma 1.6 and [7, Theorem 3.4] that $(\phi$ - w - \mathcal{F}_P, ϕ - w - $\mathcal{F}_P^{\perp 1})$ is a perfect cotorsion pair. So, any R -module has a ϕ - w -P-flat cover. \square

2. ϕ -RINGS DETERMINED BY ϕ - w -P-FLAT MODULES

Let R be a ring. Denote by $\text{Nil}(R)$ the nil-radical of R , and $Z(R)$ the set of all zero-divisors of R . If $\text{Nil}(R)$ is a prime ideal, then R is called an NP-ring. If, for any $x \notin P$, we have $P \subsetneq (x)$, then the prime ideal P is called a divisible prime ideal (see Badawi [2]). If P is a divisible prime ideal, then for any ideal I of R , we have $I \subseteq P$, or $P \subseteq I$. Write

$$\mathcal{H} = \{R \mid R \text{ is a ring and } \text{Nil}(R) \text{ is a divisible prime ideal of } R\}.$$

If $R \in \mathcal{H}$, then R is called a ϕ -ring. Trivially, any domain is a ϕ -ring. Furthermore, if $Z(R) = \text{Nil}(R)$, then a ϕ -ring R is called a strong ϕ -ring. We will investigate ϕ - w -P-flat modules over ϕ -rings, and generalize some results of w -P-flat modules over domains to ϕ -rings.

Recall from [20], a ϕ -ring R is called a ϕ -von Neumann regular ring, provided any R -module is ϕ -flat. It follows by [18, Theorem 3.1] that a ϕ -ring R is ϕ -von Neumann regular if and only if any R -module is ϕ - w -flat, if and only if $a \in (a^2)_w$ for any non-nilpotent element $a \in R$, if and only if $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ is a domain with Krull dimension 0, that is, $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ is a field. The following gives a new characterization of ϕ -von Neumann regular rings.

Theorem 2.1. *A ϕ -ring R is ϕ -von Neumann regular if and only if any R -module is ϕ - w -P-flat.*

Proof. Obviously, if a ϕ -ring R is a ϕ -von Neumann regular ring, then any R -module is ϕ -flat, and so is ϕ - w -P-flat. On the other hand, let a be a non-nilpotent element in R . Then R/Ra is ϕ - w -P-flat. So, $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, R/Ra)$ is GV-torsion, that is, Ra/Ra^2 is GV-torsion. So $a \in Ra \subseteq (Ra)_w = (Ra^2)_w$. It follows by [18, Theorem 3.1] that R is a ϕ -von Neumann regular ring. \square

It worth to notice that Theorem 2.1 does not hold for all rings. For example, let R be a non-field von Neumann regular ring. Then R is not a ϕ -ring. So it is also not ϕ -von Neumann regular. However, any R -module is flat, and so is ϕ - w -P-flat.

It follows by [18, Theorem 1.9] that a ϕ -ring R is a domain if and only if any ϕ -flat module is flat, if and only if any ϕ - w -flat is w -flat. Similar, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *A ϕ -ring R is a domain if and only if any ϕ - w -P-flat R -module is w -P-flat.*

Proof. Obviously, if a ϕ -ring R is domain, then any ϕ - w -P-flat R -module is w -P-flat. On the other hand, it follows by [18, Proposition 1.7], $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ is ϕ -flat R -module, so is a ϕ - w -P-flat R -module. By assumption, $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ is w -P-flat. Let a be a nilpotent element in R . Then $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/(a), R/\text{Nil}(R)) \cong (a) \cap \text{Nil}(R)/a\text{Nil}(R) = (a)/a\text{Nil}(R)$ is GV-torsion. Hence, there exists a GV-ideal J such that $aJ \subseteq a\text{Nil}(R)$. Since J is nonnil ideal, it follows by [18, Lemma 1.6] that $\text{Nil}(R) = J\text{Nil}(R)$. So $aJ \subseteq a\text{Nil}(R) = aJ\text{Nil}(R) \subseteq aJ$, that is, $aJ = aJ\text{Nil}(R)$. Because aJ is finitely generated, it follows by Nakayama Lemma that $aJ = 0$. Since $J \in \text{GV}(R)$, $a \in R$ is GV-torsion-free, we have $a = 0$. Consequently, $\text{Nil}(R) = 0$. So R is a reduced ϕ -ring, that is, a domain. \square

It is worth to notice that Theorem 2.2 is not true for commutative rings. For example, let R be a non-field von Neumann regular ring. Then any R -module is w -P-flat, and so is ϕ - w -P-flat. Hence any ϕ - w -P-flat R -module is w -P-flat. However, R is not a domain.

Corollary 2.3. *A ϕ -ring R is a DW-domain if and only if any ϕ - w -P-flat R -module is P-flat.*

Proof. Obviously, if ϕ -ring R is a DW-domain, then any ϕ - w -P-flat R -module is P-flat. On the other hand, it follows by Theorem 2.2 that R is a domain. In this situation, any w -P-flat R -module is P-flat. It follows by [15, Theorem 4] that R is a DW-domain. \square

Lemma 2.4. [15, Proposition 1] *Every cyclic w -P-flat module is w -flat.*

If any non-nilpotent element in a ϕ -ring R is non-zero-divisor, then R is called a strong ϕ -ring. The following result gives a characterization of strong ϕ -rings.

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose R is ϕ -ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) R is a strong ϕ -ring;
- (2) any ideal of R is ϕ - w -P-flat;
- (3) any principal ideal of R is ϕ - w -P-flat;
- (4) any nonnil principal ideal of R is w -flat;
- (5) any submodule of ϕ - w -P-flat R -module is ϕ - w -P-flat;
- (6) for any element $r \in R$ and any non-nilpotent element $a \in R$ with $ra = 0$, there exist $b \in (0 :_R Ra)$ and $J \in \text{GV}(R)$ such that $Jr \subseteq Rbr$.

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (3) and (5) \Rightarrow (2): obvious.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose R is a strong ϕ -ring. Let I be an ideal of R . For any non-nilpotent element a with $(a, i) \in R \times I$ satisfying $ai = 0$, we have $i = 0$. So $0 = Ji \subseteq (0 :_R a)I$ for any ideal $J \in \text{GV}(R)$. It follows by Theorem 1.2 that I is ϕ - w -P-flat.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Suppose Ra is a nonnil principal ideal of R . Then a is non-nilpotent in R . Suppose I is a principal ideal of R . Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, I) \cong \text{Tor}_2^R(R/Ra, R/I) \cong \text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, Ra).$$

By assumption, I is ϕ - w -P-flat. So $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, Ra)$ is GV-torsion. Hence Ra is cyclic and w -P-flat. It follows by Lemma 2.4 that Ra is w -flat.

(4) \Rightarrow (5): Suppose M is a ϕ - w -P-flat module and N is a submodule of M . Suppose a is a non-nilpotent element in R . Then Ra is w -flat. Consider the long exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_2^R(R/Ra, M/N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, M).$$

Since M is ϕ - w -P-flat, then $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, M)$ is GV-torsion. To show N is ϕ - w -P-flat, we only need to prove $\text{Tor}_2^R(R/Ra, M/N)$ is GV-torsion. In fact, consider the exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Tor}_2^R(R, M/N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2^R(R/Ra, M/N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(Ra, M/N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R, M/N) = 0.$$

Since Ra is w -flat, $\text{Tor}_1^R(Ra, M/N)$ is GV-torsion. So $\text{Tor}_2^R(R/Ra, M/N)$ is GV-torsion.

(3) \Rightarrow (6): Suppose $r \in R$ and a is a non-nilpotent element in R . Then $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, Rr)$ is GV-torsion. It follows by [6, Proposition 1] that

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/Ra, Rr) \cong \{xr \in Rr \mid axr = 0\} / (0 :_R a)Rr.$$

So if $ra = 0$, then there exists GV-ideal J such that $Jr \subseteq (0 :_R a)Rr$. Since J is finitely generated, there exists $b \in (0 :_R Ra)$ such that $Jr \subseteq Rbr$.

(6) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose a is a zero-divisor in R . Then there exists $0 \neq r \in R$ such that $ar = 0$. By converse, assume a is not nilpotent. Then there exist $b \in (0 :_R Ra)$ and a GV-ideal J such that $Jr \subseteq Rbr$ by assumption. Since R is a ϕ -ring and a is not nilpotent, then b is nilpotent. Assume $b^m = 0$. Then

$$J^m r = J^{m-1}(Jr) \subseteq J^{m-2}J(Rbr) \subseteq J^{m-3}J(Rb^2r) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq J(Rb^{m-1}r) \subseteq Rb^m r = 0.$$

Notice that J^m is a GV-ideal. So J^m is a finitely generated semi-regular ideal. Hence $r = 0$, a contradiction. \square

Let R be a ϕ -ring. Denote by $\phi : R \rightarrow R_{\text{Nil}(R)}$ with $\phi(r) = \frac{r}{1}$ for any $r \in R$. Recall from [9], an ideal I of R is called ϕ - w -invertible, if $(\phi(I)\phi(I)^{-1})_W = \phi(R)$, where W is the w -operation of $\phi(R)$. Recall from [18, Definition 3.2] that if any finitely generated nonnil ideal of R is ϕ - w -invertible, then ϕ -ring R is called a ϕ -PvMR. It follows by [18, Theorem 3.3] that a strong ϕ -ring R is a ϕ -PvMR if and only if any submodule of w -flat module is ϕ - w -flat, if and only if any submodule of flat module is ϕ - w -flat, if and only if any ideal of R is ϕ - w -flat, if and only if any nonnil ideal of R is ϕ - w -flat, if and only if any finite type nonnil ideal of R is ϕ - w -flat. Then a natural question is that:

Can we delete the condition “ R is strong ϕ -ring ” is in [18, Theorem 3.3]?

The following result give a negative answer to this question.

Corollary 2.6. *Let R be a ϕ -ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) R is a ϕ -PvMR and a strong ϕ -ring;
- (2) any submodule of w -flat R -module is ϕ - w -flat;
- (3) any submodule of flat R -module is ϕ - w -flat;
- (4) any ideal of R is ϕ - w -flat;
- (5) any nonnil ideal of R is ϕ - w -flat;
- (6) any finite type nonnil ideal of R is ϕ - w -flat.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Follows by [18, Theorem 3.3].

(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6): Trivial.

(6) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose every finite type nonnil ideal of R is ϕ - w -flat, and so is ϕ - w -P-flat. Hence every nonnil principal ideal of R is ϕ - w -flat, and thus w -flat by Lemma 2.4. It follows by Theorem 2.5 that R is a strong ϕ -ring. So, it follows by [18, Theorem 3.3] that R is a ϕ -PvMR. \square

Example 2.7. Suppose D is a non-field PvMD, K is its quotient field. Since K/D is divisible D -module, we have the trivial extension $R := D(+)K/D$ is ϕ -ring, but not

a strong ϕ -ring (see [1, Remark 1]). Then $\text{Nil}(R) = 0(+)K/D$. So $R/\text{Nil}(R) \cong D$ is a PvMD. It follows by [18, Theorem 3.3] that R is a ϕ -PvMR. However, by Corollary 2.6, there exists an ideal I of R which is not ϕ - w -flat.

Acknowledgement.

The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12201361) and Doctoral Research Initiation Fund of Shandong University of Technology (No.423002). The second author was supported by the Doctoral Research Initiation Fund of Shandong University of Technology (No.422030).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. F. Anderson, A. Badawi, On ϕ -Dedekind rings and ϕ -Krull rings. *Houston J. Math.*, 2005, 31:1007-1022.
- [2] A. Badawi, On divided commutative rings. *Comm. Algebra*, 1999, 27: 1465-1474.
- [3] F. Cheniour, N. Mahdou, On some Flatness properties over Commutative Rings. *Acta Math. Hungar*, 2015, 146(1): 142-152.
- [4] F. Cheniour, N. Mahdou, When every principal ideal is flat. *Portugaliae Math.*, 2013, 70: 51-58.
- [5] R. Gobel, J. Trlifaj, Approximations and endomorphism algebras of modules. *De Gruyter Exp. Math.*, vol. 41, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, 2012.
- [6] A. Hattori, A Foundation of Torsion Theory for Modules over General Rings. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 1960, 17: 147-158.
- [7] H. Holm, P. Jorgensen, Covers, precovers, and purity. *Illinois J. Math.*, 2008, 52(2): 691-703.
- [8] S. S. Li, M. Y. Wang , On P-flat modules. *J. Guangxi Norm. Univ. Nat. Sci*, 2004,22(4): 36-40.
- [9] H. Kim, F. G. Wang, On ϕ -strong Mori rings. *Houston J. Math.*, 2012, 38(2): 359-371.
- [10] H. Kim., N. Mahdou., E. Oubouhou, Nonnil-P-coherent rings and nonnil-PP-rings, to appear in *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*
- [11] F. G. Wang, H. Kim, w -Injective modules and w -semi-hereditary rings. *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 2014, 51: 509-525.
- [12] F. G. Wang, H. Kim, *Foundations of Commutative Rings and Their Modules*. Singapore: Springer, 2016.
- [13] F. G. Wang, R. L. Mccasland, On w -modules over strong Mori domains. *Commun. Algebra*, 1997, 25(4): 1285-1306.
- [14] F. G. Wang, L. Qiao, The w -weak global dimension of commutative rings. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 2015, 52(4): 1327-1338.
- [15] W. H. Xiao, L. Qiao, F. F. Song, w -P-Flat Modules over Commutative Rings and Their applications. *Journal of Jilin University (Science Edition)*, 2022, 60(2):269-276.
- [16] H. Y. Yin, F. G. Wang, X. S. Zhu , Y. H. Chen, w -modules over commutative rings. *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 2011, 48(1): 207-222.

- [17] X. L. Zhang, Covering and Enveloping on w -operation. J. Sichuan Norm. Univ. Nat. Sci, 2019, 42(03):382-386.
- [18] X. L. Zhang, W. Zhao, On w - ϕ -flat modules and their homological dimensions. Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 2021, 58 (4):1039-1052.
- [19] X. L. Zhang, W. Zhao, F. G. Wang, On ϕ -flat Cotorsion Theory. J. Guangxi Norm. Univ. Nat. Sci, 2021,39(02):119-124.
- [20] W. Zhao, F. G. Wang, G. H. Tang, On ϕ -von Neumann regular rings. J. Korean Math. Soc., 2013, 50(1): 219-229.